ORGANIC CHEMISTRY FRONTIERS

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Org. Chem. Front., 2024, **11**, 2485

Received 6th February 2024, Accepted 29th February 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d4qo00260a

rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Introduction

Thioethers are represented in a large range of natural compounds,¹ pharmaceuticals,² agrochemicals³ as well as in functional materials.⁴ Additionally, compounds containing sulfur in higher oxidation state can be conveniently accessed from the corresponding thioethers.5 Therefore, the efficient and selective construction of the C-S bond has been thoroughly investigated leading to the development of the transitionmetal catalyzed Migita reaction as the most prominent procedure.⁶ Until today, a wide variety of synthetic methods under catalysis of Fe, Ni, Cu and Pd has been established, covering even less activated electrophiles such as aryl chlorides or carbamates while operating under mild conditions.⁷

Despite numerous contributions towards the coupling of electronically deactivated electrophiles, the usage of sterically demanding aryl (pseudo)halides has been much less studied. Compared to other cross-couplings such as the Suzuki-Miyaura-coupling, for which ortho-substituted substrates have been frequently reported,⁸ in C-S couplings, the low reactivity of the electrophile is more problematic due to the high binding affinity of thiol(ate)s,9 possibly leading to off-cycle species before catalytic turnover can occur. In addition, if

Nickel catalyzed C–S cross coupling of sterically hindered substrates enabled by flexible bidentate phosphines*

Ivo H. Lindenmaier, Robert C. Richter and Ivana Fleischer 🕩 *

Due to the smaller size of nickel compared to palladium, the C-S cross-coupling of sterically challenging aryl electrophiles with alkyl thiols under nickel catalysis remained elusive. Herein, we report the nickelcatalyzed cross-coupling of alkyl thiols with aryl triflates bearing functional groups in ortho-position relative to the leaving group using $Ni(cod)_2/DPEphos$ (L1) or dppbz (L2) as the catalytic system. For substrates featuring non-coordinating ortho-substituents, the reaction operates under mild conditions using L1, while for electrophiles bearing coordinating groups, the ligand L2 and elevated temperatures are required. The synthetic utility could be demonstrated on numerous examples, including biologically relevant compounds, and on larger scale. Instead of Ni(cod)₂, more cost-efficient Ni(OAc)₂ can also be employed in the presence of zinc as reductant. Furthermore, insights into the reaction mechanism were obtained by competition experiments, isolation of organometallic intermediates and computations.

> neighboring groups contain heteroatoms, catalysis can be hampered by coordination to the catalyst. Whereas aryl thiols seem to be less problematic and have been more frequently reported (Scheme 1A),¹⁰ only few protocols operating under palladium catalysis can tolerate sterically demanding electrophiles in couplings with more nucleophilic alkyl thiols.

> Such systems have been independently published by the groups of Nolan,¹¹ Buchwald¹² and Hartwig,¹³ but all of these protocols still required harsh conditions that are typical for many C-S couplings (Scheme 1B). The only example for Pdcatalyzed coupling of bulky aryl halides with alkyl thiols under mild conditions was presented by Organ.¹⁴ However, these



Scheme 1 Literature overview for the C-S coupling of sterically hindered electrophiles and our objective.



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Institute of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 18, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

E-mail· ivana fleischer@uni-tuehingen de

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4qo00260a

reports do not primarily focus on sterically challenging substrates, which is why the scope of *ortho*-substituted halides is limited to few examples.

Meanwhile, no general efficient procedures exist under nickel catalysis, with the only recently published exceptions requiring photoredox conditions.¹⁵ This may owe to the smaller radius of nickel compared to palladium, resulting in higher steric strain around the metal center. Recently, our group reported the coupling of aryl chlorides and triflates with sterically demanding alkyl thiols at room temperature using a nickel precatalyst containing Xantphos ligand (4,5-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthen).¹⁶ Aryl triflates not only showed superior reactivity, but can also be accessed from the corresponding phenols, a widely available and naturally abundant substance class. Nevertheless, substituents in ortho-position were not tolerated even at higher temperatures with orthomethyl as the only exception. Consequently, we envisioned the development of a nickel-catalyzed procedure that would allow for the synthesis of sterically hindered aryl alkyl thioethers (Scheme 1B).

Results and discussion

In the beginning of our investigations, 2-biphenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-PhPhOTf, 1a) was chosen as the model substrate in the coupling with *n*-heptane thiol (2a). In previous projects we found the inorganic base KOAc explicitly suitable for mild C-S couplings and decided to take advantage of this reactivity again. The earlier used precatalyst (Xantphos)Ni(otolyl)Cl, as well as a catalytic system consisting of $Ni(cod)_2$ and Xantphos proved ineffective, thus a ligand screening was performed (Scheme 2). It revealed, that bidentate triaryl phosphines with wide bite-angles were necessary for this reaction. Other ligand classes such as N-heterocyclic carbenes, bidentate alkyl-, monodentate aryl and alkyl phosphines or bidentate N-ligands were generally ineffective (for detailed screening information, see ESI, Table S1[†]). Of all ligands tested, DPEphos (bis[(2-diphenylphosphino)phenyl] ether, L1), as well as ferrocene derivative dppf (1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene) showed the highest reactivity, while other ligands led to no conversion to the product. For further investigations, DPEphos was chosen for its higher economic efficiency compared to dppf. Since bulky substrates would imply steric strain on the metal center, we hypothesized that the ligand needed to be flexible in the backbone, which was represented by the large difference in reactivity between more rigid Xantphos and more flexible DPEphos.17

Having identified a suitable catalytic system, other reaction parameters were investigated. Conveniently, lowering the reaction temperature to room temperature did not result in reduced yields. Surprisingly, the Ni(0)-precatalyst Ni(cod)₂ performed much better than Ni(0)-stilbene. This can be explained by the challenging oxidative addition that may be more hindered by the coordination of stilbene compared to cod, or the higher molar ratio of stilbene. To our delight, even tertiary ada-



Scheme 2 Optimization of reaction parameters. 2-Biphenyl triflate (1a) (0.1 mmol), heptane thiol (2a) (1.0 equiv.), KOAc (1.5 equiv.), Ni(4-^tBustilbene)₃ (10 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), THF (2 mL), 60 °C, 16 h. For monodentate ligands, 20 mol% were used. Yield and conversion were determined by GC-FID using pentadecane as internal standard.

mantane thiol (2b) gave excellent yield at room temperature with a short reaction time of two hours (for kinetic investigation see ESI, Fig. S1[†]) and therefore this bulky thiol was chosen as model substrate for further studies. Remarkably, conversions were close to yields and unreacted aryl triflate could be recovered. This was generally the case for most substrates presented in both aryl triflate and alkyl thiol scope (*vide infra*). For ligands that gave no product, the conversion was usually ~10%, indicating that oxidative addition had occurred, but further catalytic steps were not supported.

With these optimized conditions in hand, the substrate scope with respect to electrophiles was explored (Scheme 3). The isolation of previously screened 2-biphenyl thioether **3ab** was successful in excellent yield. Simple alkyl substituents with increasing steric demand showed high yields of **3bb** and **3cb**, while an *ortho-tert*-butyl group in **3db** proved more chal-



Scheme 3 Substrate scope with respect to aryl triflates. Standard conditions: 1 (1 mmol), 2b (1.05 equiv.), KOAc (1.1 equiv.), L1 (10 mol%), Ni(cod)₂ (10 mol%), THF (2 mL), rt, 4 h. Isolated yields. ^a 2 h. ^b 100 °C, 16 h. ^c GC-MS. ^d Tetradecane thiol (1.05 equiv.) instead of 2b. ^e L2 (10 mol%) instead of L1. ^f 60 °C. ^g 6 h.

lenging, giving poor yield even under harsher conditions. An allyl substituent of 3eb was tolerated in excellent yield, leaving the double bond intact. Despite these promising results, aryl triflate 1f bearing two ortho-methyl groups gave no yield with the catalytic system discussed above. Thus, we further optimized towards the coupling of this highly challenging substrate and found ligand dppbz (1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino) benzene, L2) competent for this transformation, albeit only primary thiols could be used, and higher temperature was necessary. Using these modified conditions, thioether 3fc was formed in modest, but still synthetically useful yield, especially since the starting material can be recovered. The synthesis of disubstituted thioether 3gb derived from 1,2-phenyl ditriflate was possible in high yield without raising the catalyst loading. Next, electron-withdrawing functional groups were investigated. The trifluoromethyl-substituted thioether 3hb was obtained in good yield, while nitrile 3ib gave excellent yield. Remarkably, biselectrophile 3jb with a chloro-substituent gave

the desired monosubstituted product in good yield. When we moved towards substrates bearing functional groups with potentially coordinating ability in ortho-position, L1 gave little to no product. To our surprise, L2, which was previously used for the coupling of 2,6-dimethylphenyl triflate (1f), performed well with these substrates. Accordingly, acyl 3kb as well as ester 3lb groups were feasible when using dppbz (L2), although slightly elevated temperatures were necessary. Strikingly, boronic ester substituted triflate 1m was converted in high yield, possibly enabling subsequent functionalization of 3mb by orthogonal cross-coupling protocols. Conveniently, a neighboring methoxy group, which is commonly present in numerous bioactive phenols,18 gave the acetovanillone-derived thioether 3nb in excellent yield. Although heterocycles occasionally needed slightly more forcing conditions (longer reaction times or higher temperatures), thioethers with pyridine (3ob), quinoline (3pb), thiophene (3qb), chromanone (3rb) and benzodioxol (3sb) functionalities were furnished in

Research Article

high yields even when using the corresponding bromide instead of triflate. Notably, amine- and amide-substituted triflates showed no conversion to the products in this reaction (3tb and 3ub), probably due to strong chelating effects. However, suitable protecting groups could render nitrogencontaining groups feasible, but would require additional optimization. Finally, the thioetherification of triflates 1v-1x demonstrated the possibility to employ substrates derived from biologically active phenols or natural products, though higher temperatures and longer reaction times were generally required, which can be explained by the low solubility of such compounds. Synthesis of thioether 3xb also highlights the possibility to obtain sulfur bioisosteres of well-known drug molecules, such as Febuxostat. In addition, 1-pyrene triflate 1y was subjected to the reaction, showcasing the possibility for functionalization of motifs relevant for functional materials.

Subsequently, the scope of alkyl thiols was investigated (Scheme 4). Surprisingly, primary and secondary thiols initially gave diminished yields compared to sterically more demanding adamantane thiol (2b), which can be ascribed to the stronger poisoning effect of sterically less hindered thiols. This effect was not present in preliminary screening experiments, probably due to lower concentration of thiol in the reaction mixture. Fortunately, lowering the thiol concentration by adding more solvent combined with slower addition of thiol resulted in the formation of primary alkyl thioether **3ac** in 78% isolated yield. Using this slightly modified procedure, simple primary, secondary and tertiary alkyl thiols **2c-e** were coupled in high to excellent yields, clearly favoring higher substituted thiols. In the thiol moiety, functional groups such as ester, ketone and cyclic ether were tolerated, although yields of



Scheme 4 Substrate scope with respect to alkyl thiols. Standard conditions: 1a (1 mmol), 2 (1.05 equiv.), KOAc (1.1 equiv.), L1 (10 mol%), Ni (cod)₂ (10 mol%), THF (4 mL), rt, 4 h. Isolated yields.

3af, **3ag** and **3aj** were lower when the functionality was in close proximity to the thiol group. Contrary to the aryl triflate scope, yields with these thiols could neither be improved by employing **L2** nor by higher temperatures. The cyclopropyl group in **3ah** was compatible with the method but showed modest yield. Azetidine **3ai** only gave poor yield, which could be explained by poisoning by the carbamate. Aryl thiols were not compatible with this method.

Aiming for a better understanding of the reactivity in this system, competition experiments were performed.¹⁹ Accordingly, the expected reactivity order between halogens OTf \approx Br > Cl was revealed by intermolecular competition (Scheme 5A). In an intra-



C. Steric vs electronic discrimination



D. Ni(II)-precatalyst instead of Ni(cod)₂



Scheme 5 Further exploration of reactivity in the C–S cross-coupling of (pseudo)halides with alkyl thiols. Standard conditions: aryl (pseudo-) halides (each 0.2 mmol), 2b (1.05 equiv.), KOAc (1.1 equiv.), L1 (10 mol%), Ni(cod)₂ (10 mol%), THF (2 mL), rt, 4 h. Yields and conversions were determined by quantitative GC-FID unless stated otherwise. ^a Isolated yield.

molecular competition, chemoselectivity between OTf and Br was even less expressed, and the disubstitution product **3zbb** was favored. Yet, chemoselective monosubstitution was possible between OTf/Cl yielding thioether **3Ab** in good yield (Scheme 5B).

Further results of intramolecular competition were previously observed in the investigation of scope for 1,2-biselectrophiles (Scheme 3, 3gb and 3jb). Next, sterically hindered triflate 1a was subjected to coupling in the presence of the electronically less reactive 4-chloro toluene to compare the influence of both factors (Scheme 5C). Interestingly, the higher reactivity of C-OTf bond can overcome the steric hinderance caused by an ortho-phenyl group, leaving 4-chloro toluene almost unreacted. Furthermore, the air-sensitive Ni(cod)₂ could be replaced by Ni(OAc)₂ under reductive conditions (Scheme 5D), greatly improving the cost-efficiency of this reaction as well as enabling storage of all reagents under benchtop-conditions. However, longer reaction times and heating were required for the activation of the precatalyst. Upscaling of the model reaction to 5 mmol proceeded without issues and delivered 1.34 g of thioether 3ab (Scheme 5E).

Intrigued by the substrate-depending demand for different ligands, we thrived to get a better understanding of the mechanistic steps, especially compared to our previously developed Ni/Xantphos catalytic system.^{16*a*,*b*} In these studies, the coordination and deprotonation of the thiol was identified to be the likely slowest step, operating in a concerted-metalation-deprotonation (CMD)-like fashion.²⁰ Since this was only computed for unsubstituted aryl chlorides, we questioned how the

mechanism would differ when introducing *ortho*-substituents. The lower BDE of aryl triflates compared to chlorides and the high steric demand of the herein presented substrates should exhibit opposite effects on the reactivity.

Reductive elimination, though, would be presumably facile in this system as the relief of steric strain acts as the driving force and was therefore not further investigated. Hence, DFT computations were performed to study the steps of oxidative addition (OA) and thiol coordination and deprotonation (TCD, Fig. 1).²¹ The computed barriers were $\Delta G_{OA}^{\ddagger} = 4.3$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta G_{\text{TCD}}^{\ddagger}$ = 9.8 kcal mol⁻¹, indicating that oxidative addition occurs more easily, showcasing the high reactivity of triflates even in a constrained environment. In contrast, the coordination of the bulky thiol required a higher activation barrier. Since we were unable to determine the transition state for the acetate coordination to B due to more complex effects such as salt precipitation and solubility, no rate-determining state could be identified. However, the mechanism of the OA and TCD were studied more detailed to elucidate the proceeding of the reaction despite the high steric strain around the nickel center aiming to rationalize the need for flexible ligands. Generally, oxidative addition of Ni(0) into aryl triflates is computationally poorly investigated with most reports focusing on sulfonamides or esters.²² The reported mechanisms differ between S_N2-like, 3- or 5-centered transition states.²³ Our computations indicated an S_N2-like mechanism for the oxidative addition (TSA-B), while competing pathways showed higher energy barriers. Presumably, the steric pressure would render



Fig. 1 Free energy profile for the oxidative addition and thiol coordination in the cross-coupling of 2-PhPhOTf with HSAd. Structures and energies were calculated at the M06-L(SMD : THF)/def2-QZVPP//B3LYP-D3BJ/def2-SVP level of theory.

the S_N 2-mechanism more favorable in this case. The barrier of the oxidative addition, however, is remarkably low, and the process is strongly exergonic, showcasing the high reactivity of aryl triflates. Nevertheless, we found the initial precoordination of the π -system (**A**) fairly unfavored, but possible due to the great excess of **1a** at the beginning of the reaction (see SI). The product of the oxidative addition is the [(DPEphos)Ni (biphenyl)]⁺-cation (**B**), which is in accordance with the obtained crystal structure (*vide infra*).

Notably, when we moved towards the thiol coordination, computations pointed out a displacement of one of the phosphines from nickel by initial η^2 -coordination of the acetate, which is energetically more favorable than the corresponding n¹-structure, demonstrating a major difference to previous results.^{16a} However, structure C-II could be energetically favored due to reduced steric strain. A similar η^1 -coordination of DPEphos was previously demonstrated on sterically demanding Cu-complexes.²⁴ Simultaneously to the acetate switching back to n^1 -coordination, the free coordination site trans to the loosely bound phosphine is occupied by the thiol, followed by proton transfer to acetate. In the transition state, a complete dissociation of the previously displaced phosphine is observed with the now inactive arm of L1 rotated away from nickel (TS_{C-D}). Competing bidentate transition-states could not be located. Essentially, L1 appears to act as monodentate PPh₃-like ligand during the step of thiol coordination and deprotonation. This feature of bidentate phosphine ligands was previously proposed from the group of Doyle for the nickel-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of sterically hindered substrates.²⁵ This proposed mechanism could explain the large difference in reactivity between the otherwise similar Xantphos and DPEphos (L1). Analysis of the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) revealed that the reactive mode of the transition state corresponds to a H⁺-transfer from thiol to acetate

while all other bonds remained almost unchanged. We additionally found energy minima shortly after the transition state, where the proton is bound to the acetate, but still exhibits weak H-bond interactions to the sulfur atom. As soon as the H⁺-transfer from thiol to acetate is complete (**D**), acetic acid is displaced by the phosphine, leading to structure **E**, from which product formation will eventually occur *via* reductive elimination (not shown). This interplay demonstrates the need for a specific ligand that is both bulky and flexible to protect sensitive intermediates by steric repulsion, but allows for the generation of additional coordination sites, when necessary. The Gibbs Energy of the overall reaction was computed to amount to -45.2 kcal mol⁻¹.

Encouraged from these findings, we sought to obtain additional indices for the mechanism, e.g. isolating the product of oxidative addition to Ni(0). It is a known issue that addition of bidentate phosphine ligands to $Ni(cod)_2$ can lead to mixtures of Ni(cod)₂, ($P^{\cap}P$)Ni(cod) and ($P^{\cap}P$)₂Ni. ($P^{\cap}P$)₂Ni is considered a catalytic sink, which will not engage in oxidative addition.²⁶ In accordance with previous reports,²⁶ we only observed NMR-signals for (DPEphos)Ni(cod) upon mixing a 1:1 ratio of DPEphos and Ni(cod)₂. However, when adding 1 equiv. of 2-PhPhOTf (1a), no reaction was observed after 1 hour. We speculated that the aryl triflate would initially bind with its π -surface, meaning that it must displace cod in an equilibrium, which could be shifted by using an excess of aryl triflate. Accordingly, complex Ni1 was isolated as a fine orange powder (Scheme 6A), and characterized by NMR, HR-MS and X-Ray analysis. The obtained connectivity of Ni1 showed a distorted square-planar complex that crystallized as a cation due to the moderate coordination ability of the triflate counterion.²⁷ Consequently, the reactivity of complex Ni1 was further investigated. Thus, the reaction of Ni1 with 1.05 equiv. adamantane thiol (2b) in the presence of KOAc yielded coupling



Scheme 6 Isolation and reactivity of oxidative addition product Ni1. The ORTEP of Ni1 was obtained by X-Ray diffraction of suitable crystals. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% propability and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. ^a Isolated yield. ^b Yield determined by quantitative GC-FID using pentadecane as internal standard.

product **3ab** in 69% yield (Scheme 6B). In absence of base, the reaction gave slightly lower yield, which can be explained by reduced efficiency of the thiol coordination and deprotonation. Furthermore, **Ni1** could be efficiently employed as an active catalyst in the standard reaction (Scheme 6C), indicating that **Ni1** was indeed an intermediate of the catalytic cycle. We thus propose that the reaction proceeds *via* the typical Ni⁰/Ni^{II}-cycle that has been previously proposed in our earlier work.^{16a} Although our calculations indicated a flexible η^1/η^2 -ligation state of DPEphos during base-assisted thiol coordination, we were unable to obtain experimental data to support this hypothesis.

Conclusions

In summary, we developed the first nickel-catalyzed C-S cross coupling for any triflates bearing substituents in ortho-position. Although aryl bromides and, to some extent, chlorides were feasible substrates as well, the combination of the superior reactivity of aryl triflates with a flexible ligand (L1) allowed for the coupling of sterically hindered substrates, including heterocycles, in high yields. Additionally, a wide range of functional groups with coordinating abilities, such as the methoxy group, carbonyls and boronic ester, was tolerated when using another ligand (L2). Moreover, the synthetic utility could be demonstrated by the thioetherification of triflates derived from biologically relevant phenols. Experimental and computational mechanistic studies supported a Ni⁰/Ni^{II} catalytic cycle. Furthermore, computations indicated an unusual switch in the ligation state of the bidentate phosphine, rationalizing the need for a flexible ligand.

Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the analytics department of the University of Tübingen for their excellent work, as well as Dr. C. Maichle-Mössmer for performing X-Ray analysis. The financial support from the University of Tübingen, DFG (grant FL 878/9-1), FCI (scholarship I.H.L.) and Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes (scholarship R.C.R.) is gratefully acknowledged.

- 1 C. Jiménez, Marine sulfur-containing natural products, in *Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem*, ed. R. Atta ur, Elsevier, 2001, vol. 25, pp. 811–917.
- 2 (a) K. A. Scott and J. T. Njardarson, Analysis of US FDA-Approved Drugs Containing Sulfur Atoms, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2018, **376**, 5; (b) M. Feng, B. Tang, H. S. Liang and X. Jiang, Sulfur Containing Scaffolds in Drugs: Synthesis and Application in Medicinal Chemistry, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **16**, 1200–1216.
- 3 (a) P. Devendar and G.-F. Yang, Sulfur-Containing Agrochemicals, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2017, 375, 82;
 (b) H. W. Hilton, N. S. Nomura, W. L. Yauger and S. S. Kameda, Absorption, translocation, and metabolism of metribuzin (BAY-94337) in sugarcane, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1974, 22, 578–582.
- 4 (*a*) M. Criado-Gonzalez and D. Mecerreyes, Thioether-based ROS responsive polymers for biomedical applications, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2022, **10**, 7206–7221; (*b*) W.-J. Wang, J. Liu, Y.-T. Yan, X.-L. Yang, W.-Y. Zhang, G.-P. Yang and Y.-Y. Wang, Uncommon thioether-modified metal-organic frameworks with unique selective CO2 sorption and efficient catalytic conversion, *CrystEngComm*, 2021, **23**, 1447–1454.
- 5 K. Sato, M. Hyodo, M. Aoki, X.-Q. Zheng and R. Noyori, Oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides and sulfones with 30% hydrogen peroxide under organic solvent- and halogen-free conditions, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, 57, 2469–2476.
- 6 M. Toshihiko, S. Tomiya, A. Yoriyoshi, S. Jun-ichi, K. Yasuki and K. Masanori, The Palladium Catalyzed Nucleophilic Substitution of Aryl Halides by Thiolate Anions, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1980, **53**, 1385–1389.
- 7 (a) V. J. Geiger, R. M. Oechsner, P. H. Gehrtz and I. Fleischer, Recent Metal-Catalyzed Methods for Thioether Synthesis, Synthesis, 2022, 54, 5139-5167; (b) F. Abedinifar, S. Bahadorikhalili, B. Larijani, M. Mahdavi and F. Verpoort, A review on the latest progress of C-S cross-coupling in diaryl sulfide synthesis: Update from 2012 to 2021, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2022, 36, e6482; (c) P. K. Behera, P. Choudhury, P. Behera, A. Swain, A. K. Pradhan and L. Rout, Transition Metal Catalysed C-S Cross-Coupling Reactions at Room Temperature, ChemistrySelect, 2022, 7, e202202919; (d) I. P. Beletskaya and V. P. Ananikov, Transition-Metal-Catalyzed C-S, C-Se, and C-Te Bond Formations via Cross-Coupling and Atom-Economic Addition Reactions. Achievements and Challenges, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 16110-16293; (e) S. Huang, M. Wang and X. Jiang, Ni-catalyzed C-S bond construction and cleavage, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2022, 51, 8351-8377.
- 8 (a) G. Altenhoff, R. Goddard, C. W. Lehmann and F. Glorius, An N-Heterocyclic Carbene Ligand with Flexible Steric Bulk Allows Suzuki Cross-Coupling of Sterically Hindered Aryl Chlorides at Room Temperature, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, 42, 3690–3693; (b) N. Marion, O. Navarro, J. Mei, E. D. Stevens, N. M. Scott and

S. P. Nolan, Modified (NHC)Pd(allyl)Cl (NHC = N-Heterocyclic Carbene) Complexes for Room-Temperature Suzuki-Miyaura and Buchwald-Hartwig Reactions, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 4101-4111; (*c*) K. L. Billingsley, K. W. Anderson and S. L. Buchwald, A Highly Active Catalyst for Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions of Heteroaryl Compounds, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3484-3488; (*d*) J.-S. Ouyang, Y.-F. Li, F.-D. Huang, D.-D. Lu and F.-S. Liu, The Highly Efficient Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling of (Hetero)aryl Chlorides and (Hetero)aryl-boronic Acids Catalyzed by "Bulky-yet-Flexible" Palladium-PEPPSI Complexes in Air, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, **10**, 371-375.

- 9 (a) J. Luo, Y. Liang, M. Montag, Y. Diskin-Posner, L. Avram and D. Milstein, Controlled Selectivity through Reversible Inhibition of the Catalyst: Stereodivergent Semihydrogenation of Alkynes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2022, 144, 13266–13275; (b) T. Itoh and T. Mase, Practical Thiol Surrogates and Protective Groups for Arylthiols for Suzuki–Miyaura Conditions, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 2203– 2206; (c) B. Zeysing, C. Gosch and A. Terfort, Protecting Groups for Thiols Suitable for Suzuki Conditions, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 1843–1845.
- 10 (a) J. Xu, R. Y. Liu, C. S. Yeung and S. L. Buchwald, Monophosphine Ligands Promote Pd-Catalyzed C-S Cross-Coupling Reactions at Room Temperature with Soluble Bases, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 6461-6466; (b) T. Scattolin, E. Senol, G. Yin, Q. Guo and F. Schoenebeck, Site-Selective C-S Bond Formation at C-Br over C-OTf and C-Cl Enabled by an Air-Stable, Easily Recoverable, and Recyclable Palladium(I) Catalyst, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 12425-12429; (c) A. C. Jones, W. I. Nicholson, H. R. Smallman and D. L. Browne, A Robust Pd-Catalyzed C-S Cross-Coupling Process Enabled by Ball-Milling, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 7433-7438; (d) T.-Y. Yu, H. Pang, Y. Cao, F. Gallou and B. H. Lipshutz, Safe, Scalable, Inexpensive, and Mild Nickel-Catalyzed Migita-Like C-S Cross-Couplings in Recyclable Water, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 3708-3713; (e) R. Sikari, S. Sinha, S. Das, A. Saha, G. Chakraborty, R. Mondal and N. D. Paul, Achieving Nickel Catalyzed C-S Cross-Coupling under Mild Conditions Using Metal-Ligand Cooperativity, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 4072-4085; (f) C.-W. Chen, Y.-L. Chen, D. M. Reddy, K. Du, C.-E. Li, B.-H. Shih, Y.-J. Xue and C.-F. Lee, CuI/Oxalic Diamide-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Thiols with Aryl Bromides and Chlorides, Chem. - Eur. J., 2017, 23, 10087-10091.
- 11 G. Bastug and S. P. Nolan, Carbon–Sulfur Bond Formation Catalyzed by [Pd(IPr*OMe)(cin)Cl] (cin = cinnamyl), *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 9303–9308.
- 12 M. Murata and S. L. Buchwald, A general and efficient method for the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of thiols and secondary phosphines, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 7397–7403.
- 13 M. A. Fernández-Rodríguez and J. F. Hartwig, A General, Efficient, and Functional-Group-Tolerant Catalyst System

for the Palladium-Catalyzed Thioetherification of Aryl Bromides and Iodides, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 1663–1672.

- 14 J. L. Farmer, M. Pompeo, A. J. Lough and M. G. Organ, [(IPent)PdCl2(morpholine)]: A Readily Activated Precatalyst for Room-Temperature, Additive-Free Carbon–Sulfur Coupling, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 15790–15798.
- 15 (a) M. Nikitin, F. Babawale, S. Tastekin, M. Antonietti, I. Ghosh and B. König, C(sp2)–S cross-coupling reactions with nickel, visible light, and mesoporous graphitic carbon nitride, *Green Chem.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D3GC04517J;
 (b) I. Ghosh, N. Shlapakov, T. A. Karl, J. Düker, M. Nikitin, J. V. Burykina, V. P. Ananikov and B. König, General crosscoupling reactions with adaptive dynamic homogeneous catalysis, *Nature*, 2023, 619, 87–93.
- 16 (a) R. M. Oechsner, J. P. Wagner and I. Fleischer, Acetate Facilitated Nickel Catalyzed Coupling of Aryl Chlorides and Alkyl Thiols, ACS Catal., 2022, 12, 2233–2243;
 (b) R. M. Oechsner, I. H. Lindenmaier and I. Fleischer, Nickel Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Aryl and Alkenyl Triflates with Alkyl Thiols, Org. Lett., 2023, 25, 1655–1660;
 (c) P. H. Gehrtz, V. Geiger, T. Schmidt, L. Sršan and I. Fleischer, Cross-Coupling of Chloro(hetero)arenes with Thiolates Employing a Ni(0)-Precatalyst, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 50–55.
- 17 G. M. Adams and A. S. Weller, POP-type ligands: Variable coordination and hemilabile behaviour, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, 355, 150–172.
- 18 E. Orlo, C. Russo, R. Nugnes, M. Lavorgna and M. Isidori, Natural Methoxyphenol Compounds: Antimicrobial Activity against Foodborne Pathogens and Food Spoilage Bacteria, and Role in Antioxidant Processes, *Foods*, 2021, **10**, 1807.
- 19 (a) E. D. Entz, J. E. A. Russell, L. V. Hooker and S. R. Neufeldt, Small Phosphine Ligands Enable Selective Oxidative Addition of Ar-O over Ar-Cl Bonds at Nickel(0), *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142, 15454-15463; (b) E. K. Reeves, E. D. Entz and S. R. Neufeldt, Chemodivergence between Electrophiles in Cross-Coupling Reactions, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2021, 27, 6161-6177.
- 20 D. Lapointe and K. Fagnou, Overview of the Mechanistic Work on the Concerted Metallation–Deprotonation Pathway, *Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **39**, 1118–1126.
- 21 (a) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory, J. Comput. Chem., 2011, 32, 1456-1465; (b) F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy, Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297-3305; Phys. Chem. (c) F. Weigend, Accurate Coulomb-fitting basis sets for H to Rn, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 1057-1065; (d) A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, Universal Solvation Model Based on Solute Electron Density and on a Continuum Model of the Solvent Defined by the Bulk Dielectric Constant and Atomic Surface Tensions, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2009, 113, 6378-6396; (e) Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, A new local density func-

tional for main-group thermochemistry, transition metal bonding, thermochemical kinetics, and noncovalent interactions, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **125**, 194101.

- 22 (a) K. W. Quasdorf, A. Antoft-Finch, P. Liu, A. L. Silberstein, A. Komaromi, T. Blackburn, S. D. Ramgren, K. N. Houk, V. Snieckus and N. K. Garg, Suzuki–Miyaura Cross-Coupling of Aryl Carbamates and Sulfamates: Experimental and Computational Studies, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 6352– 6363; (b) L. V. Hooker and S. R. Neufeldt, Ligation state of nickel during C-O bond activation with monodentate phosphines, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, 74, 6717–6725; (c) X. Li and X. Hong, Computational studies on Ni-catalyzed C–O bond activation of esters, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2018, 864, 68–80.
- 23 (a) R. Y. Liu, J. M. Dennis and S. L. Buchwald, The Quest for the Ideal Base: Rational Design of a Nickel Precatalyst Enables Mild, Homogeneous C–N Cross-Coupling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 4500–4507; (b) R. Tang, J. Petit, Y. Yang, A. Link, F. Bächle, M.-E. L. Perrin, A. Amgoune and A. Tlili, Nickel-Catalyzed Trifluoromethylselenolation of Aryl and Alkenyl-Triflates: Scope and Mechanism, ACS Catal., 2023, 12553–12562.

- 24 R. Venkateswaran, M. S. Balakrishna, S. M. Mobin and H. M. Tuononen, Copper(I) Complexes of Bis(2-(diphenylphosphino)phenyl) Ether: Synthesis, Reactivity, and Theoretical Calculations, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 6535– 6541.
- 25 J. E. Borowski, S. H. Newman-Stonebraker and A. G. Doyle, Comparison of Monophosphine and Bisphosphine Precatalysts for Ni-Catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura Cross-Coupling: Understanding the Role of the Ligation State in Catalysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, 7966–7977.
- 26 A. L. Clevenger, R. M. Stolley, N. D. Staudaher, N. Al, A. L. Rheingold, R. T. Vanderlinden and J. Louie, Comprehensive Study of the Reactions Between Chelating Phosphines and Ni(cod)2, *Organometallics*, 2018, 37, 3259– 3268.
- 27 T. Hayashida, H. Kondo, J.-i. Terasawa, K. Kirchner, Y. Sunada and H. Nagashima, Trifluoromethanesulfonate (triflate) as a moderately coordinating anion: Studies from chemistry of the cationic coordinatively unsaturated monoand diruthenium amidinates, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 382–394.