RSC Advances



PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 11900

Received 9th February 2024 Accepted 8th April 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra01028k

Introduction 1

With the rapid increase in the global energy crisis and environmental pollution, researchers directed their research activities to explore effective ways for store energy. Currently, nations around the world are working hard to produce clean, sustainable, and renewable energy sources including solar, wind, and ocean power. However, these sources need energy storage systems to regulate energy production. So far various energy

View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Mesoporous Co₃O₄@CdS nanorods as anode for high-performance lithium ion batteries with improved lithium storage capacity and cycle life[†]

Hamza Waleed,^{ai} Haroon Ur Rasheed,^{ai} Faisal Faiz,^b Amina Zafar,^c Saqib Javed,^d Yanguo Liu,^e Shafqat Karim,^a Hongyu Sun, ^be Yasir Faiz,^f Shafqat Hussain,^a Atia Khalid,^g Yanlong Yu,*^h Amjad Nisar ^b*^a and Mashkoor Ahmad ^{*}

Transition metal oxides based anodes are facing crucial problems of capacity fading at long cycles and high rates due to electrode degradations. In this prospective, an effective strategy is employed to develop advanced electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). In the present work, a mesoporous Co₃O₄@CdS hybrid sructure is developed and investigated as anode for LiBs. The hybrid structure owning porous nature and large specific surface area, provides an opportunity to boost the lithium storage capabilities of Co_3O_4 nanorods. The Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode delivers an initial discharge capacity of 1292 mA h q^{-1} at 0.1C and a very stable reversible capacity of 760 mA h q^{-1} over 200 cycles with a capacity retention rate of 92.7%. In addition, the electrode exhibits excellent cyclic stability even after 800 cycles and good rate performance as compared to previously reported electrodes. Moreover, density functional theory (DFT) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) confirm the enhanced kinetics of the Co₃O₄@CdS electrode. The efficient performance of the electrode may be due to the increased surface reactivity, abundant active sites/interfaces for rapid Li⁺ ion diffusion and the synergy between $Co_{\tau}O_{4}$ and CdS NPs. This work demonstrates that $Co_{\tau}O_{4}$ @CdS hybrid structures have great potential for high performance batteries.

> storage devices such as batteries, supercapacitors and fuel cell etc. have been fabricated to store energy from renewable sources. Among these devices, rechargeable lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) is crucial to meet future needs for industries from personal devices to automobiles.1 However, durability and energy densities of current LIBs are restricted by electrode material.² Therefore, it remains a great challenge to develop advanced electrode materials for LIBs that exhibits improved cycling stability and larger specific capacities. The current progress in the field of energy storage materials provides more innovative solutions to resolve the energy storage issues.

> So far, various transition metal oxides (TMOs) such as MnO₂,³ V₂O₅,⁴ ZnO,⁵ WO₃,⁶ Co₃O₄,⁷ etc. have been exploited as the anode materials for LIBs. Among these, cobalt oxide (Co_3O_4) is regarded as one of the most promising anode materials due to its high theoretical capacity (\sim 890 mA h g⁻¹), environmental friendliness, and superior electrochemical properties. However, its poor cyclic stability, irreversible capacity loss and slow kinetics of Li-ion and electron transport hinder its practical application. In order to overcome these drawbacks, many attempts have been made such as the use of conductive polymers,8 doping with transition metals,9 and making composites.10 On the other hand, recent work on metal sulphides has drawn considerable attention for being the most promising electrode materials for LIBs due to their high electrical

^aNanomaterials Research Group, Physics Division, PINSTECH, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan. E-mail: mashkoorahmad2003@yahoo.com; chempk@gmail.com

^bCollege of Electronics and Information Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, PR China

Central Analytical Facility Division, PINSTECH, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

^dTheoretical Physics Division, PINSTECH, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

eSchool of Resources and Materials, Northeastern University at Qinhuangdao, Qinhuangdao, 066004, PR China

^fChemistry Division, PINSTECH, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

^gSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

^hCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northeast Petroleum University, Daqing, 163318, PR China. E-mail: ylyu66@163.com

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Basic & Applied Sciences, IIU, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra01028k

Paper

conductivity, thermal durability and rich redox chemistry than their metal oxides equivalents. To explore novel materials for energy storage devices, metal sulphides provide better option due to its outstanding features. Among various metal sulphides, cadmium sulfide (CdS) has received less attention as an electrode material for LIBs. Therefore, in order to explore the energy storage features of Co₃O₄ and CdS in a single system, their combination is found to be a good approach for the development of novel electrode material. Such hybrid systems are very appealing for energy storage applications due to their porous nature and ability to absorb guest species such as lithium ion on their surfaces and in the pore spaces. Up till now, according to our knowledge, there have been no reports found on the investigation of Co₃O₄@CdS nanorods as an electrode material for LIBs. For example, D. S. Patil, et al., have reported the use of the core-shell structure of Co₃O₄@CdS for high-performance supercapacitors,¹¹ F. Q. Liu, et al., prepared Co₃O₄/CdS photoelectrode for photoelectrochemical cathodic protection in the dark¹² and Z. Qin et al., have developed Co₃O₄/CdS p-n heterojunction for enhancing photocatalytic hydrogen production.13 C. Jiang et al., prepared Co₃O₄@CdS Hollow Spheres by the template-removal method with the assistance of the ZIF-67 material and obtained high phenol and dye photodegradation activity.14

In this work, mesoporous Co_3O_4 (a)CdS nanorods are developed and investigated as an anode material for LIBs. The hybrid structure shows enhanced physical and chemical properties superior to a single counterpart. The porous nature, large specific surface area and excellent kinetics of the synthesized nanorods leads to rapid lithium storage. The developed electrode exhibits enhanced cycling stability and high-rate capability as compared to pristine Co_3O_4 nanorods. Therefore, Co_3O_4 (a)CdS structure can be considered suitable candidate as an anode material for LIBs.

2 Experimental

The experimental detail is given in the (ESI).†

3 Results and discussion

3.1. Morphological, structural and compositional analysis

XRD analysis was performed in order to investigate the phase and crystallinity of the as-prepared Co_3O_4 and Co_3O_4 @CdS structures as shown in Fig. 1(a). In pristine Co_3O_4 pattern, the diffraction peaks at 19°, 31.3°, 37°, 38.5° and 44.7° are assigned to (111), (220), (311), (222) and (400) planes of Co_3O_4 according to JCPDS # 00-042-1467.¹⁵ The diffraction pattern of Co_3O_4 @CdS structure shows the additional peaks located at 25.2°, 26.8°, 27.6°, 43.7° and 52.1° attributed to the (100), (002), (101), (110) and (112) planes of CdS according to (JCPDS # 00-041-1049) and confirms the deposition of CdS.¹⁶ No other peaks related to impurities are observed, demonstrating the purity of both samples.

In order to understand the vibrational behaviour of the prepared structures, Raman spectra of Co_3O_4 and Co_3O_4 @CdS structures were recorded in the range of (100–1000) cm⁻¹ as

shown in Fig. 1(b). In Co_3O_4 spectrum, the bands located at 185, 478, 520, and 686 cm⁻¹are associated to F_{2g} , E_g , F_{2g} and A_{1g} modes respectively.¹⁷ In Co_3O_4 @CdS spectrum, besides Co_3O_4 bands, two characteristic bands of CdS at 300 cm⁻¹ and 600 cm⁻¹ were also recorded which are attributed to the fundamental longitudinal optical phonon (1LO) and its overtone longitudinal phonon (2LO) respectively.¹⁸ In comparison with Co_3O_4 spectrum, a small peak located at 413 cm⁻¹ is also observed, which ascribed to the Co–S bond formation on the surface of Co_3O_4 @CdS nanorods.¹⁹ With the close observation, a slight shift was also observed in the position of 1LO and 2LO modes which is due to the shape of the nanostructures.²⁰ In addition, the composite spectrum exhibits broadening associated with the slight changes in the crystalline structure of pristine Co_3O_4 as mentioned by previous reports.²¹

To examine the morphology of the as-prepared Co₃O₄(a)CdS structure, SEM was performed. Fig. 1(c) shows the SEM images of Co₃O₄@CdS nanorods. As observed from the images, the Co₃O₄ nanorods are composed of highly dense CdS NPs interconnected with one another in uniformly ordered arrays. For comparison, the SEM images of the pristine Co₃O₄ nanorods and Co₃O₄(a)CdS nanorods are also recorded as shown in Fig. S1 (ESI), S2(a and b)[†] respectively. The surface of the nanorods appear to be uniform and smooth. Fig. 1(d) represents the corresponding EDX spectrum of Co3O4@CdS which consists of Cd, S, Co, and O peaks, confirm the formation of Co₃O₄@CdS nanorods. The existence of Cd and S peaks further confirms the successful deposition of CdS NPs. In order to clarify the detail structure and elemental distribution of the prepared composite, EDX elemental mapping are performed. Fig. S3(a-f)[†] displays the STEM images of Co₃O₄@CdS structure and a corresponding elemental mapping of Co, O, Cd and S respectively. The presence of Cd and S confirms the deposition of CdS and no other impurities formed other than Co₃O₄ and CdS. The detailed structural analysis was conducted via TEM and HRTEM. Fig. 1(e) exhibits a low magnification TEM image of Co₃O₄@CdS structure, demonstrating the deposition of CdS NPs on the whole surface of Co₃O₄ nanorods. Fig. 1(f) displays the HRTEM analysis of the Co3O4@CdS structure and exhibits a polycrystalline nature. The well resolved lattice fringes of 0.24, 0.47 are observed corresponding to (311) and (111) planes of Co₃O₄ nanorods. The lattice fringe of 0.33 nm belongs to (002) plane of CdS is also identified.

FTIR analysis was carried out to examine and investigate the structural molecular changes and presence of different functional groups in as-prepared Co_3O_4 @CdS structures. Fig. S4 (ESI)† illustrates the FTIR spectra of the Co_3O_4 and Co_3O_4 @CdS structures. The bands sited at 555 cm⁻¹ and 657 cm⁻¹ reveals the stretching vibrations which show the presence of Co-O bonding. The band located at 1039 cm⁻¹ is illustrating the presence of Cd–S interaction and manifests the formation of the Co_3O_4 @CdS composite. A sharp peak sited at 1458 cm⁻¹ represents the bending vibrations of monodispersed Co_3O_4 structure. Furthermore, the significant peaks sited at 2851 cm⁻¹ and 2918 cm⁻¹ shows the symmetric and asymmetric stretching modes of $-CH_2$ which comprises from HMT which plays



Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of pure Co_3O_4 and $Co_3O_4@CdS$ structures. (b) Raman spectra of Co_3O_4 and $Co_3O_4@CdS$ NPs structures prepared through deposition by modified SILAR method. (c) FE-SEM image of $Co_3O_4@CdS$ structures; (d) corresponding EDX spectrum of $Co_3O_4@CdS$ structures (e) TEM image of $Co_3O_4@CdS$ structure (f) HRTEM of $Co_3O_4@CdS$ structure.

a significant role as a nucleation controlled reagent and thus, favouring the surface modification of Co_3O_4 nanorods.

The surface of the nanostructures plays an important role in the electrochemical performance of the material. Therefore, XPS of Co_3O_4 @CdS is measured to examine the surfacelocalized information of the elements. Fig. 2(a) shows the deconvoluted XPS spectrum of Co 2p, which consists of two regions at 796.7 eV and 780.9 eV corresponding to Co 2p_{1/2} and Co 2p_{3/2} states respectively. In the Co 2p_{3/2} region, two coexisting peaks at 781.1 eV and 783.0 eV can be seen which confirms the Co³⁺ and Co²⁺ states. Moreover, Co 2p_{1/2} region also composed of two peaks at 797.3 eV and 798.3 eV related to Co³⁺ and Co²⁺ states. Furthermore, two satellites peaks at 786.7 eV and 803.3 eV corresponding to Co $2p_{3/2}$ and Co $2p_{1/2}$ regions, reconfirms the formation of Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ states and agrees well with the previous report.²² For comparison, XPS spectra of Co 2p region of Co₃O₄ structures is also recorded as shown in Fig. S5 (ESI).[†] The area ratio of Co³⁺ to Co²⁺ of both structures are calculated. As observed the relative area ratio of Co₃O₄@CdS (1.14) is significantly higher as compare to Co₃O₄ (1.07) confirming that the Co³⁺ states are more exposed on the surface of Co₃O₄@CdS structure. It is already reported that the nanostructure with dominant Co³⁺ sites exhibit superior electrochemical performance.²³⁻²⁵ Thus Co₃O₄@CdS structure with dominant Co³⁺ active sites are considering more suitable for energy storage applications. Fig. 2(b) depicts the high-



Fig. 2 High resolution XPS spectrum of (a) Co 2p (b) O 1s (c) Cd 3d and (d) S 2p peak.

resolution spectrum of O 1s. The spectrum fitted into three peaks located at 531.4, 532.1 and 533.5 eV corresponding to the O-Co bond, oxygen vacancy defects and O-H species due to the surface absorption of water. The existence of Cd²⁺ is confirmed by the high-resolution spectrum of Cd 3d peak as shown in Fig. 2(c). The spectrum reveals two binding energy peaks at 406.41 and 411.14 eV correspond to the electronic states of Cd $3d_{5/2}$ and Cd $3d_{3/2}$, respectively. The energy difference between two peaks is 4.73 eV, which agrees well with the reported study.²⁶ Fig. 2(d) demonstrates the spectrum of S 2p peaks which comprised two major spin-orbit peaks located at 162.3 eV and 163.9 eV corresponding to the S $2p_{3/2}$ and S $2p_{1/2}$ states respectively. In addition, two peaks at 163.2 eV and 164.7 eV corresponding to the S $2p_{3/2}$ and S $2p_{1/2}$ states can be seen which ascribed to the formation of Co-S bond on the surface of Co3O4@CdS nanorods.

Fig. S6 (ESI)[†] shows the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm of Co₃O₄ and Co₃O₄@CdS structures determined at 77 K. It can be observed that the isotherm of Co₃O₄@CdS structures, presents a broad hysteresis loop as compared to pristine Co₃O₄ structure. The corresponding surface area of Co₃O₄ and Co₃- O_4 CdS structures was calculated to be 43 m² g⁻¹ and 81 m² g^{-1} respectively. The increased surface area of the hybrid structure is considered due to the CdS NPs and the porous nature of Co3O4@CdS structures. Moreover, the pore size of Co₃O₄@CdS structures, based on Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) Model, is also measured by the pore size distribution curve as illustrated in the inset of Fig. S6 (ESI).† The calculated average pore size is ~11 nm. The interconnected primary nanoparticles and the void spaces within a single nanorod is the primary sources for the formation of mesopores. It is wellknown that the porous structure with a high electroactive surface area, plays a vital role in the electrochemical processes.27 Thus, the provision of such good mesoporous surface, accompanying abundant active sites, proves to be beneficial for lithium ion battery.

3.2. Electrochemical performance of Co₃O₄@CdS nanorod/ electrode

3.2.1. Cyclic voltammetry. The electrochemical performance of the assembled cells is recorded by conducting CV curves. Fig. 3(a) depicts CV curves of Co₃O₄@CdS cell for the 1st, 3rd and 5th cycles measured in a voltage range of 0.01-3.0 V (vs. Li/Li^+) at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹. During the first cycle, cathodic peaks at 1.4, 1.0 and 0.6 V are ascribed to alloying processes, irreversible reactions and formation of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer. In the 1st anodic scan two oxidation peaks at 1.9 and 2.3 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) are associated to the multistep dealloying process. In the subsequent cycles, the cathodic peaks at 1.4 and 0.65 V are related to the reduction of cadmium and cobalt to their metallic states while anodic peaks at 1.9 and 2.3 V correspond to the formation of CdS and Co₃O₄ and partial decomposition of SEI. The subsequent cycles exhibit no further change in the shape of CV curves. These results show the good reversibility of the Co₃O₄@CdS hybrid structure. The CV curves of the Co₃O₄ cell for the initial few cycles are also performed under the same condition as shown in Fig. S7 (ESI).†

3.2.2. Galvanostatic charge/discharge. Fig. 3(b) shows the galvanostatic charge/discharge behaviour of Co₃O₄@CdS cell for the 1st, 2nd, 5th, 10th and 20th cycles at a rate of 0.1C (1C = 891 mA g^{-1}) in the voltage window of 0.01–3.0 V (versus Li⁺/Li) at room temperature. As observed, the voltage profiles of the cell show a plateau at 1.2 V and rapidly reaches a plateau at 0.75 V during the first discharge. These plateaus exhibit the conversion of Co_3O_4 to CoO (or LixCo₃O₄) and Co respectively. The initial charge and discharge capacity values are 1110 and 1292 mA h g^{-1} respectively with a coulombic efficiency of 76%. These capacity values are higher than the theoretical capacity of Co_3O_4 (~891 mA h g⁻¹) and also the previously reported electrode materials as shown in Table 1. Moreover, the discharge capacity of the Co₃O₄@CdS electrode is found to be 995, 798, 685 and 598 mA h g^{-1} at 0.1C in the subsequent cycles of 2nd, 5th, 10th and 20th respectively. These values are significantly higher than that of the Co₃O₄ electrode. In addition, the shape of the charge/discharge cycles remain similar which show the stability of the hybrid structure as anode. For comparison, the voltage profiles of Co3O4 electrode is also measured as shown in Fig. S8 (ESI).† The initial charge and discharge capacity of Co_3O_4 electrode is found to be 505 mA h g⁻¹ and 835 mA h g⁻¹ respectively. These capacity values are much smaller than Co3-O4@CdS cell. The improved performance of the Co3O4@CdS electrode may be due to the formation of metal sulfur bond that bridge to accelerate charge transfer between the metal oxide and sulphide.

The rate performance of both cells was also investigated. Fig. 3(c) exhibits the comparison of rate performance of Co_3O_4 and Co_3O_4 @CdS cells at current rates ranging between 0.1C to 0.5C. As the current rates increase from 0.1C to 5C, it can be observed that the reversible capacity of the Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode steadily decreases from 760 mA h g⁻¹ to 258 mA h g⁻¹. Comparatively, the reversible capacities of the Co_3O_4 electrode drop sharply from 620 mA h g⁻¹ to 101 mA h g⁻¹ at the similar rates (0.1C to 5C). Interestingly, when the current rate retunes at



Fig. 3 (a) CV curves of the $Co_3O_4@CdS$ electrode for 1st, 3rd and 5th cycle at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹. (b) Galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles of the $Co_3O_4@CdS$ electrode (c) rate performance (d) performance of electrodes at 0.1C for 200 cycles and (e) cyclic stability of $Co_3O_4@CdS$ electrode at 0.2C for 800 cycles (f) comparison of the EIS curves of $Co_3O_4@CdS$ and Co_3O_4 electrodes; inset is the kinetic parameters of both electrodes.

0.2C, the Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode restores its original reversible capacity more effectively than the Co_3O_4 electrode after 50 cycles. The stability of the electrodes is investigated by testing the cyclic performance of the Co_3O_4 and Co_3O_4 @CdS electrodes over 200 cycles at 0.1C as shown in Fig. 3(d). As observed, both electrodes exhibit good cycling performance. After 200 cycles, the Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode shows the reversible capacity of 760 mA h g⁻¹ with a capacity retention rate of 83.7% and 92.7% respectively. In comparison, the reversible capacity of Co_3O_4 electrode is 580 mA h g⁻¹ which is much smaller that Co_3 - O_4 @CdS electrode. In order to further evaluate the long cyclic stability of the Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode, galvanostatic charge/ discharge are performed for 800 cycles at 0.2C as shown in Fig. 3(e). It can be observed that after 800 cycles, the electrode

Materials	Synthesis methods	Initial discharge capacities (mA h g^{-1})	Current densities $(A g^{-1}/C-rate)$	Reversible capacity $(mA h g^{-1})$	Shelf life (cycles)	Ref.
Nanoporous TiO ₂ /Co ₃ O ₄ composite	One-step dealloying method	866	$100~{ m mA~g}^{-1}$	295	500	31
CO ₃ O ₄ NWs	Decomposition of CoC ₂ O ₄ ·2H ₂ O NWs	1027	$0.11 \mathrm{A~g^{-1}}$	611	50	32
Co ₃ O ₄ /graphene composite	Facile synthesis	1097	$50 \mathrm{A~g}^{-1}$	541	30	33
CoTiO ₃ /Co ₃ O ₄ /TiO ₂	Mechanical milling	480	$100 \mathrm{~mA~g}^{-1}$	722	250	34
Co ₃ O ₄ @TiO ₂ CSNFs	Hydrothermal method	1034	0.2C	632	100	35
PNF Co ₃ O ₄	Self-combustion	1108	0.5C	661	100	36
Co ₃ O ₄ @CNT	Nano-casting method	1260	$0.1\mathrm{A~g}^{-1}$	453	30	37
Co ₃ O ₄ nanoparticles	Facile synthesis	1105	$50 \mathrm{A~g}^{-1}$	541	30	33
C0 ₃ O ₄ @C	MOF-derived strategy	1112	$250~{ m mA~g}^{-1}$	721	500	38
CO ₃ O ₄ @CdS NRs	Hydrothermal method	1292	0.1C	760	800 (0.2C)	This work

shows a stable reversible capacity of 520 mA h g⁻¹ corresponding to the 90% of the initial capacity. The improved and stable performance of the Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode is associated with its mesoporous nature which provides more active sites for Li⁺ insertion/extraction process. These findings demonstrate that the Co_3O_4 @CdS structure is a suitable choice for the construction of high-rate performance batteries.

3.2.3. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. To investigate the kinetic behaviours and charge transfer resistance of the electrodes, EIS study is performed. Fig. 3(f) present the comparative Nyquist plots of the Co_3O_4 @CdS and Co_3O_4 electrodes. It can be observed, in the high frequency region, the plot of Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode exhibits small diameter of the semicircle as compare to Co_3O_4 electrode. This illustrate a low charge transfer resistance (135.1 Ω) and fast transport during the electrolyte. To find the kinetic parameters, the experimental results are fitted well by employing the equivalent circuit model as shown in the inset. The fitting parameters of the two electrodes are presented in Table 2, which shows the excellent performance of the Co_3O_4 @CdS electrode.

3.3. DFT calculations

To further understand the experimental findings, DFT calculations is performed. The optimized structure for Co_3O_4 (111)/CdS (002) interface is shown in Fig. 4(a). Evidently, there is a strong interaction at the interface between Co_3O_4 and CdS (002) atoms, dominated by Co–S and Cd–S bonds. The average Co_3O_4 (111)– CdS (002) separation is ~2.30 Å suggesting the presence of chemical bonding besides weak vdW interactions. Besides covalent bonding, interfacial interactions also have ionic

Table 2 Comparison of kinetic parameters of Co $_3O_4 @CdS$ and Co $_3O_4$ electrodes

Material	$R_{ m s}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{ m ct}\left(\Omega ight)$
Co ₃ O ₄ @CdS	2.615	135.1
Co_3O_4	10.88	223.6



Fig. 4 (a) Schematic description of the optimized Co_3O_4 (111)/CdS (002) interface. Colours; blue (Co), red (O), purple (Cd) and yellow (S), (b) density of states (DOS) contributions of Co_3O_4 and CdS surfaces within Co_3O_4 @CdS hybrid structure. DOS is normalized to the total number of atoms within each surface to provide a clear comparison. Dashed vertical line presents Fermi level (E_F).

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 15 April 2024. Downloaded on 7/16/2025 6:08:55 PM.

character; Bader analysis²⁸ indicates that there is charge transfer of ~0.65*e* from Co₃O₄ to CdS. These findings are consistent with the recent experimental work where both the presence of Co–S interfacial bonds as well as an increase (reduction) in electronic density was observed for CdS (Co₃O₄) surface within Co₃O₄@CdS.²⁹

The impact of strong interfacial interactions on electronic structure is highlighted in Fig. 4(b) where density of states (DOS) contributions of Co3O4 and CdS within Co3O4@CdS are presented. Interestingly, CdS has states around Fermi level $(E_{\rm F})$ suggesting a metallic behaviour. This is in contrast to pristine CdS layer which has a large band gap of \sim 2.0 eV Fig. S9 (ESI).† Therefore, it is evident that transport character of CdS layer on Co₃O₄ changes from semiconducting to metallic, owing to strong interfacial interactions. Moreover, conductivity of Co₃-O4@CdS will be higher as compared to the individual pristine surfaces, especially close to the interface region. Overall, both the increase in conductivity of Co₃O₄@CdS as well as higher electronic density of CdS due to interfacial charge transfer will improve the reaction kinetics at the electrode.³⁰ This is indeed observed in the impedance spectroscopy (Table 2) where a noticeable reduction in charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) is observed for Co3O4@CdS in comparison to that of pristine Co_3O_4 .

The improved lithium storage performance of the Co_3O_4 CdS hybrid structure may have following possible reasons (i) the functionalization of CdS NPs increase the surface reactivity and porosity which creates abundant active sites/interfaces for the rapid diffusion and transportation of Li⁺ ions during the electrochemical reaction (ii) the addition of CdS NPs increase the contact area between electrolyte and electrode and protect the Co_3O_4 nanosheets from degradation during the charge and discharges process. (iii) The synergy between Co_3O_4 and CdS NPs greatly improved the kinetics of Co_3O_4 @CdS nanosheets for fast lithium storage.

4 Conclusion

In summary, a novel mesoporous Co₃O₄@CdS hybrid structure were successfully synthesized by employing hydrothermal along with SILAR method. The hybrid structure demonstrates several structural features including the large specific surface area, abundant active sites and excellent kinetics. The developed electrode showed improved lithium storage performance as compare with pristine and previously reported electrodes. The electrode delivers a stable reversible capacity of 760 mA h g^{-1} at 0.1C over 200 cycles with a capacity retention rate of 92.7%. The electrode can achieve reversible capacity of 520 mA h g^{-1} at 0.2C even after 800 cycles. The improved lithium storage performance may be due to the increase in kinetics, synergy and abundant active sites that facilitate the storing of more Li⁺ ions and fast transportation during the lithiation/delithiation. It is suggested that the electrochemical performance of Co₃O₄@CdS hybrid structure can be further enhanced by structural engineering and optimizing the amount of CdS NPs. This work provides a novel platform to construct a LIBs with long cyclic stability and high-rate capability.

Author contributions

M. A. conceived the idea and designed the experiment. H. W. and H. R. synthesized materials. S. K., F. F and A. N. analyzed the XRD and FESEM data. Y. Y., A. K. and H. S. carried out the HRTEM characterization and analysis. S. J. carried out the DFT calculations. H. W., M. A., and A. K. performed coin cell measurements, analyzed the XPS data. S. H. performed the Raman measurements. A. Z. conducted the electrochemical impedance spectroscopic measurements. H. W., M. A., and A. N. co-wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript. M. A. and A. N. supervised the whole research work.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission for supporting this work. The authors acknowledge the contributions of Mr Muhammad Hussain, Materials Division, PIN-STECH for XRD data collection.

References

- 1 M. Z. Iqbal, M. Shaheen, A. A. Ifseisi, S. Aftab, Z. Ahmad, S. H. Siyal and M. J. Iqbal, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 18038–18044.
- 2 J. Xu, X. Cai, S. Cai, Y. Shao, C. Hu, S. Lu and S. Ding, *Energy Environ. Mater.*, 2023, **6**, e12450.
- 3 K. M. Racik, A. Manikandan, M. Mahendiran, P. Prabakaran, J. Madhavan and M. V. A. Raj, *Phys. E*, 2020, **119**, 114033.
- 4 B. Yan, X. Li, X. Fu, L. Zhang, Z. Bai and X. Yang, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **78**, 105233.
- 5 E. Quartarone, V. Dall'Asta, A. Resmini, C. Tealdi, I. G. Tredici, U. A. Tamburini and P. Mustarelli, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **320**, 314–321.
- 6 X. Wu and S. Yao, Nano Energy, 2017, 42, 143-150.
- 7 B. A. Al Jahdaly, A. Abu-Rayyan, M. M. Taher and K. Shoueir, *ACS Omega*, 2022, 7, 23673–23684.
- 8 M. N. ur Rehman, T. Munawar, M. S. Nadeem, F. Mukhtar, A. Maqbool, M. Riaz, S. Manzoor, M. N. Ashiq and F. Iqbal, *Ceram. Int.*, 2021, 47, 18497–18509.
- 9 M. Aadil, G. Nazik, S. Zulfiqar, I. Shakir, M. F. A. Aboud, P. O. Agboola, S. Haider and M. F. Warsi, *Ceram. Int.*, 2021, 47, 9225–9233.
- 10 X. Wei, J. Kang, L. Gan, W. Wang, L. Yang, D. Wang, R. Zhong and J. Qi, *Nanomaterials*, 2023, 13, 1917.
- 11 D. S. Patil, S. A. Pawar and J. C. Shin, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, 335, 693–702.
- 12 Q.-P. Li, F.-Q. Liu, X.-L. Mu, H.-W. Wang, B. Wu and W. Li, J. Alloys Compd., 2021, 870, 159340.
- 13 L. Chen, X. Xie, T. Su, H. Ji and Z. Qin, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, 567, 150849.

- 14 H. Yang, J. Fan, C. Zhou, R. Luo, H. Liu, Y. Wan, J. Zhang, J. Chen, G. Wang, R. Wang and C. Jiang, *ACS Omega*, 2020, 5, 17160–17169.
- 15 S. R. Bhalerao, S. S. Arbuj, S. B. Rane, J. D. Ambekar and U. P. Mulik, *Nanosci. Nanotechnol. Lett.*, 2014, **6**, 204–209.
- 16 K. S. Kim and Y. J. Park, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2012, 7, 1-6.
- 17 S. Rengaraj, S. H. Jee, S. Venkataraj, Y. Kim, S. Vijayalakshmi, E. Repo, A. Koistinen and M. Sillanpää, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, 11, 2090–2099.
- 18 S. Deng, N. Chen, D. Deng, Y. Li, X. Xing and Y. Wang, *Ceram. Int.*, 2015, 41, 11004–11012.
- 19 H. Sheng, E. D. Hermes, X. Yang, D. Ying, A. N. Janes, W. Li, J. Schmidt and S. Jin, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 8433–8442.
- 20 S. Naranthatta, P. Janardhanan, R. Pilankatta and S. S. Nair, *ACS Omega*, 2021, **6**, 8646–8655.
- 21 S. Deng, N. Chen, D. Deng, Y. Li, X. Xing and Y. Wang, *Ceram. Int.*, 2015, **41**, 11004–11012.
- 22 M. Hussain, A. Nisar, L. Qian, S. Karim, M. Khan, Y. Liu, H. Sun and M. Ahmad, *Nanotechnology*, 2021, **32**, 205501.
- 23 X. Han, G. He, Y. He, J. Zhang, X. Zheng, L. Li, C. Zhong,
 W. Hu, Y. Deng and T. Y. Ma, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 8, 1702222.
- 24 D. Su, S. Dou and G. Wang, Sci. Rep., 2014, 4, 5767.
- 25 K. Song, E. Cho and Y.-M. Kang, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 5116–5122.
- 26 B. Poornaprakash, R. Mangiri, A. A. Al-Kheraif, D. D. Divakar, Y. Kim, M. Kumar and M. S. P. Reddy, *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.*, 2021, **127**, 1–7.

- 27 L. Zu, W. Zhang, L. Qu, L. Liu, W. Li, A. Yu and D. Zhao, Adv. Energy Mater., 2020, 10, 2002152.
- 28 W. Tang, E. Sanville and G. Henkelman, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2009, 21, 084204.
- 29 L. Chen, X. Xie, T. Su, H. Ji and Z. Qin, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, 567, 150849.
- 30 Q. He, B. Yu, Z. Li and Y. Zhao, *Energy Environ. Mater.*, 2019, 2, 264–279.
- 31 D. Zhao, Q. Hao and C. Xu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **211**, 83–91.
- 32 X. Yao, X. Xin, Y. Zhang, J. Wang and Z. Liu, J. Alloys Compd., 2012, 521, 95–100.
- 33 Z.-S. Wu, W. Ren, L. Wen, L. Gao, J. Zhao, Z. Chen, G. Zhou,
 F. Li and H.-M. Cheng, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 3187–3194.
- 34 H. Liu, X. Wu, E. Guo and Q. Lu, *Energy Technol.*, 2020, 8, 1900774.
- 35 X. Tong, M. Zeng, J. Li and Z. Liu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **723**, 129–138.
- 36 J. Wen, L. Xu, J. Wang, Y. Xiong, J. Ma, C. Jiang, L. Cao, J. Li and M. Zeng, *J. Power Sources*, 2020, 474, 228491.
- 37 J. Wang, C. Wang and M. Zhen, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, 356, 1– 10.
- 38 J. Zhang, R. Chu, Y. Chen, H. Jiang, Y. Zeng, X. Chen, Y. Zhang, N. M. Huang and H. Guo, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, 797, 83–91.