RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 10172

Received 14th February 2024 Accepted 21st March 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra01120a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Transition metal hydroxides (TMHs) are among the most commonly used electrochemical materials because of their wide accessibility and favorable electrochemical stability.¹–³ Further, they have attracted considerable research attention as promising oxidation catalysts, resulting in extensive theoretical and experimental research on their atomic structure evolution and electron transformation during catalysis.⁴⁻¹¹ In addition, TMHs are commonly used to develop new nanostructures with desired functionalities by controlling their size, morphology, and structures; therefore, they are often synthesized as nanoparticles, nanorods, nanotubes, and nanoplates.¹²–¹⁷

nickel oxide†

and Gyeong Hee Ryu^{D*ac}

Thus far, many approaches have been developed to control the size and shape of these nanostructures. One of the most functionally efficient nanostructures is the nanosheet structure, which has a large surface area. TMHs synthesized as a nanosheet structure exhibit improved catalytic activity because of the

b Department of Chemical Engineering, Changwon National University, 51140 Changwon, Republic of Korea. E-mail: seunghwa@changwon.ac.kr

‡ They are equally contributed.

a hexagonal island shape are synthesized via a surfactant-assisted method. Using this method, nickel hydroxide nanosheets can be easily achieved in a quick manner. The synthesized nanosheets are 3– 6 nm thick and exhibit a curly and wrinkled morphology with increasing surfactant concentration. These nanosheets demonstrate superior catalytic properties for the oxygen evolution reaction activity compared to nickel oxide sheets obtained via a simple heat treatment. Furthermore, we conduct surface enhanced Raman scattering analysis to confirm that the nickel hydroxide nanosheets serve as active species for NiOOH during the oxygen evolution reaction, and we propose an electrochemical mechanism for this system. This study not only presents the detailed synthesis process but also proposes a straightforward approach, offering valuable insights into the structural and electrochemical properties of the resulting nanosheets. PAPER
 EXERCISE SOME STATE S

Synthesis and electrochemical evaluation of nickel

Transition metal hydroxides are commonly used to develop nanostructures with desired functionalities by controlling their size, morphology, and structure. In this study, nickel hydroxide nanosheets with

hydroxide nanosheets with phase transition to

Minj[e](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5988-4440)ong Lee, \sharp ^a Yeo[nge](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8992-8694)un Jang, $\bigoplus \sharp$ ^b Gayoung Yoon, C Seonghwa Lee $\bigoplus \star$ b

alignment of specific exposed crystal planes. Further, lattice matching between crystal grains can help improve carrier mobility and increase the number of active sites by incorporating oxygen vacancies at grain boundaries.¹⁸⁻²¹

TMHs have gained considerable attention for synthesizing two-dimensional (2D) sheets and as anion exchange materials.22,23 They can also be converted to oxide nanostructures easily, without the need for post-treatments.^{12,13,24-27}

TMHs contain a single metal species with the chemical formula $M(OH)_{2}$ (M = Ni, Co, Zn, etc.^{28,29}), which can be transformed into transition metal oxides with a variety of stoichiometries,^{30–34} including α -Ni(OH)₂ and β -Ni(OH)₂.^{35,36} Among these metal species, nickel is of great interest due to its high activity, sufficient natural abundance, and low cost.³⁷⁻³⁹ The latter naturally exists as a layered structure with oxide anions and nickel cations on octahedrally coordinated sites, with the hydrogen of the hydroxide on the tetrahedral sites directed toward the adjacent layer.^{40,41} Ni $(OH)_2$ nanosheets can be transformed into NiO nanosheets with a [111] preferred orientation via a simple heat treatment.⁴²

Ni-based oxides/hydroxides have emerged as some of the most competitive materials offering low overpotential and high current density that can be attributed to the active species of $Ni^{III/IV}$ involved in the oxygen evolution reaction (OER).^{8,43-53} Based on these characteristics, Ni-based materials will be steadily introduced into new technologies.³⁷⁻³⁹ Therefore, synthetic approaches must be explored for the development of $Ni(OH)₂$ nanosheets with a large surface area and uniform

a Department of Materials Engineering and Convergence Technology, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Republic of Korea. E-mail: gh.ryu@gnu.ac.kr

c School of Materials Science and Engineering, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, 52828, Republic of Korea

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra01120a>

thickness for their application as OER catalysts with improved performance.

In this study, we synthesized $Ni(OH)_{2}$ nanosheets and analyzed their morphological and crystallographic structures. The synthesized $Ni(OH)_{2}$ nanosheets were converted to NiO through a simple heat treatment, and the mechanism underlying their high electrocatalytic performance was confirmed. Further, a versatile approach for catalyst development through the phase transition of $Ni(OH)_2$ and NiO was presented, and the important role of the crystal structure in promoting electrocatalytic processes was highlighted.

Experimental

Preparation of $Ni(OH)_2$ sheet

An aqueous nutrient solution containing 3 mM nickel nitrate hexahydrate and 12 mM hexamethylenetetramine was gently added to a glasslock for synthesizing NiO nanosheets (size: 74 \times 74×63.5 mm). A pre-determined amount of chloroform solution with sodium hexadecyl sulfate (SHS) was added at the water–air interface in the opening area of the glasslock. A target concentration of the surfactant solution (2.2 mg oleylamine in 10 g chloroform) was used for a glasslock with an opening area of 5476 mm². After \sim 30 min, the glasslock was capped and placed in a convection oven at 60 °C for 180 min. The nanosheets synthesized at the water–air interface of the glasslock were scooped using an arbitrary substrate for characterization and device fabrication.54,55 The as-synthesized nanosheet was Ni(OH)₂; after annealing in an air atmosphere at 500 °C for 3 h, it converted to NiO.

Characterization

The morphological and chemical properties of the nanosheets were analyzed through scanning electron microscopy (SEM, COXEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM, Veeco Multimode V), and X-ray photoemission (XP) spectroscopy (XPS, Thermofisher K-alpha). The XPS data were obtained from the nanosheets transferred on the 50 nm-thick platinum-coated Si substrates to minimize the signal from the native oxide of the Si substrates. Peak deconvolution was performed after background subtraction using a Shirley background. The specimens were analyzed using TF30ST, Thermo Fisher operated at 300 kV. The electron energy loss (EEL) spectra were acquired using an aberrationcorrected Thermo Fisher Titan Cubed system for transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Titan3 G2 60-300) operated at 80 kV.

Electrochemical tests

All electrochemical experiments were conducted in Fe-free KOH solutions to prevent the effect of Fe promotion on catalytic performance. A multichannel potentiostat (VSP, Bio-Logic) with a three-electrode system comprising a Pt wire as the counter electrode and a Hg/HgO electrode (1 M KOH, E (Hg/HgO) = 0.098 V vs. standard hydrogen electrode) as the reference electrode was used to determine the electrocatalytic activity. An Au foil was used as the working electrode for both the

electrochemical tests and in situ surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). Before use, Au substrates were immersed in a sulfuric acid solution and washed with deionized water and ethanol several times. The catalyst ink containing $Ni(OH)_{2}$ or NiO samples was drop-casted on an electrochemically roughened gold substrate. Catalyst-deposited Au was dried and subjected to electrochemical analysis and Raman spectroscopy. Infrared (IR) compensation was conducted at a rate of 90% using the Bio-Logic EC-Lab software. All obtained electrochemical data were referred to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale using the following:

 E (V vs. RHE) = E (V vs. Hg/HgO) + 0.098 + 0.0592 \times pH

In situ surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS)

In situ SERS experiments were conducted in a custom-made Teflon cell by using a Raman microscope (XperRAM S, Nanobase) coupled with a $60\times$ water immersion objective lens (Olympus). A 633 nm excitation light source was operated at a grating of 600 L mm^{-1} . Each spectrum was measured with a resolution of 1 cm^{-1} through 30 consecutive scans, with a 0.5 s exposure time per scan. Prior to measurement, the peak shift was calibrated to acetaminophen standard peaks for ensuring the high reproducibility of the measured spectra. A double-junction Ag/AgCl electrode in a 3 M KCl solution (+0.22 V vs. NHE at 25 °C) was used as the reference electrode, whereas a Pt wire was used as the counter electrode. The Raman spectra were obtained in situ by varying the applied potential from the open-circuit potential (OCP) to 1.55 V vs. RHE at intervals of 0.05 V. Paper

Unidense for their application as OBR catalysts with improved electrochemical cast and is six solution-

Information and their application article. The symbological and expedition-published various commons are comp

Results

 $Ni(OH)_{2}$ nanosheets in which ionized molecules of sulfates selfassemble at the water–air interface were synthesized. Consequently, during the growth of the nanosheets, the ionized molecules self-assembled to a highly packed monolayer at the surface of water, forming a negatively charged ionic layer. Therefore, positive $Ni²⁺$ cations were attracted to the interface, forming the nanosheets. Fig. 1a illustrates the synthesis process of the $Ni(OH)_2$ nanosheets. In the growth process, the nanosheets were scooped out of the water–air interface using an arbitrary substrate for synthesizing islands and nanosheets. The morphology of the synthesized nanosheets is illustrated through the diagram shown in Fig. 1b, which is created on the basis of SEM images. Fig. 1c–f show the SEM images of the nanosheets transferred onto a $SiO₂/Si$ substrate. Ni(OH)₂ is preferentially formed as hexagonal islands, and single or clustered hexagonal islands are observed near the nanosheets synthesized with a large area (Fig. 1c). They become large-area nanosheets when they are densely connected laterally (Fig. 1d). The density of the islands increases gradually with an increase in the concentration of the surfactant, and they expand into nanosheets (Fig. 1e). Further, laterally extended nanosheets become curly and wrinkled upon the extension of the reaction time (Fig. 1f).

Fig. 1 Synthesis of the Ni(OH)₂ nanosheet. (a) Illustrations of nanosheet formation at the water-air interface. The synthesized nanosheets were transferred onto an arbitrary substrate via scooping. (b) Illustration and (c) SEM image showing islands around the large-area nanosheets. (d)–(f) SEM images of the hexagonal islands and the large-area and curly nanosheets.

The features of the hexagonal and curly $Ni(OH)_{2}$ nanosheets (Fig. 2a and b) are confirmed via the AFM images shown in Fig. 2c and d. The AFM topography images revealed that the surfaces of the hexagonal islands were smooth. The line profile across the islands is extracted and shown in Fig. 2c, which confirms that it has a uniform thickness of 3.8 nm throughout the entire islands (Fig. 2e). The rough feature of the wrinkled sheet is revealed by the AFM topography image with a corresponding line profile extracted from the line across the wrinkled sheet (Fig. 2d and f). XPS was conducted to investigate the elemental composition and bonding states of the nanosheets. The peak corresponding to the binding energy of nickel oxide/ nickel hydroxide/nickel oxyhydroxide was determined, and nickel hydroxide exhibited the main peak in the synthesized nickel-based nanosheet. The XP spectra showed the Ni, O, and C peaks detected from the as-transferred nanosheet on a 50 nmthick Pt-coated Si wafer. Fig. 2g shows the O 1s state at a binding energy of 530.9 eV after deconvolution, indicating that the peaks attributed to NiO, $Ni(OH)_2$, and NiOOH at binding energies of 530.2, 531, and 532.5 eV, respectively, were allocated at $Ni(OH)_2$. Elements such as C were present because of the precursors. The Ni 2p XPS peak of the nanosheet was individually scanned and deconvoluted to confirm the chemical state of the Ni atom (Fig. 2h). The peak at 855.8 eV was attributed to Ni^{2+} in $Ni(OH)_2$, which confirmed that the bulk component of this nanosheet was $Ni(OH)_2$.

TEM was performed to analyze the morphology and crystal structure of the synthesized $Ni(OH)_2$ nanosheets. The presence of hexagonal islands at the beginning of nanosheet formation is confirmed by the morphology of the sample transferred to the TEM grid (Fig. 3a). In addition, wrinkled sheets are visualized as clusters of overlapping islands (Fig. 3b). The diffraction pattern was attributed to the (111) and (110) planes of $Ni(OH)_2$, as confirmed by the electron diffraction in the region where these

Fig. 2 Determination of the thickness and chemical bonding states of the synthesized islands and nanosheets. SEM and AFM topography images showing the thicknesses of the (a) and (c) islands and (b) and (d) nanosheets. (e) and (f) Height profile along the lines in (c) and (d). (g) and (h) XPS profiles of the as-synthesized nanosheets with O 1s and Ni 2p peaks.

sheets are present. Although high-intensity dominance is confirmed, the randomly oriented grains are aligned when the overall ring pattern is maintained. The scanning transmission electron microscopy-high-angle annular dark field (STEM-HAADF) image shows that the curly and wrinkled areas can be easily identified because of the sharp contrast (Fig. 3d). The enlarged images show that the nanosheets have high contrast in the partially overlapped region (Fig. 3e and f). The presence of Ni and O is confirmed when elemental mapping is performed through energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) on the

Fig. 3 TEM images of the synthesized nickel hydroxide nanosheets. TEM images showing the (a) morphology of hexagonal islands transferred onto a TEM grid and (b) wrinkled nanosheets. (c) Selected area diffraction pattern of the wrinkled nanosheet. (d)–(f) STEM-HAADF images showing the wrinkled nanosheets. (g-i) HAADF STEM image and EDS mapping of Ni and O. (j) and (k) EEL spectra of the Ni L_{2,3}- and O K-edges obtained from the $Ni(OH)_2$ nanosheet.

wrinkled sheets (Fig. 3g and h). The EEL spectra were also acquired, which showed Ni $L_{2,3}$ -edges at 856 and 872 eV. Even at the O 1s edge, the OK near-edge structure was observed at 531 eV, corresponding to the binding energy of the $Ni(OH)_{2}$ peak identified via XPS.

The synthesized $Ni(OH)_2$ and post-annealed NiO nanosheets were subjected to electrocatalytic activity tests. The catalytic activity was measured in a 1 M Fe-free KOH solution to prevent Fe incorporation into the samples during the OER.⁵¹ Fig. 4a exhibits cyclic voltammograms of the $Ni(OH)_{2}$ nanosheets obtained at a scan rate of 20 mV s^{-1} before and after annealing. All measured potentials were referred to the RHE scale unless explicitly stated otherwise. A redox event is observed for both samples (see the dotted box in Fig. 4a) commonly attributed to Ni-related reactions according to previous literature.^{51,56,57} In the anodic scan, the $Ni(n)$ to $Ni(m)$ oxidation peak started increasing at ~1.35 V for Ni $(OH)_2$ and at 1.4 V for NiO. During the reverse scan, reduction peaks were observed at ∼1.35 V for NiO and 1.31 V for Ni $(OH)_2$. The redox peaks exhibited by Ni $(OH)_2$ were

notably more intense than those by NiO, implying a stronger prevalence of the $Ni(n)$ sites undergoing oxidation to $Ni(m)OOH$ at the applied potential. This stronger prevalence of the $Ni(II)$ sites contributes substantially to the observed electrocatalytic performance. At potentials of \geq 1.45 V, Ni(OH)₂ demonstrated higher activity for the OER than NiO.

Fig. 4b shows the OER activity of the samples measured via linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) at a scan rate of 1 mV s^{-1} . Chronopotentiometry (CP) was performed at 10 mA cm^{-2} for 24 h (Fig. S4†). The overpotential, as indicated by current densities of 10 mA cm^{-2} , was 80 mV lower for Ni (OH)_{2} than for NiO. This trend is consistent with the Tafel slopes, as seen in Fig. 4c; Ni(OH)₂ exhibits a slope of 50.4 mV dec⁻¹, which is slightly lower than that of NiO (51.6 mV dec⁻¹). Double-layer capacitance (C_{d1}) was measured through cyclic voltammetry (CV) at various scan rates to estimate the electrochemical surface area (ECSA) (Fig. S5†). The calculated capacitance was divided by the known specific capacitance (C_s) for Ni $(OH)₂$ to obtain the ECSA.⁵⁸ A double-layer-capacitance-normalized

Fig. 4 (a) Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and (b) linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves of Ni(OH)₂ (orange) and NiO (green). (c) Tafel slopes, derived from the LSV data in Fig. 4b, of Ni(OH)2 (orange) and NiO (green). (d) Normalized current density and electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) of Ni(OH)₂ (orange) and NiO (green). All tests were performed in a 1 M Fe-free KOH solution.

activity is shown in Fig. 4d, which indicates that the OER activity of $Ni(OH)_{2}$ remains considerably higher than that of NiO even after normalizing the data to $C_{\rm dl}$.

In situ SERS was conducted to explore potential factors contributing to the observed performance difference between the two samples. SERS was employed to enhance the Raman signal by at least an order of magnitude, thereby enabling the observation of bands linked to $Ni^{II}-O$ and $Ni^{II}-OH^{57,59,60}$ Further, the broad band in the spectral region of 850–

1250 cm⁻¹, *i.e.*, active oxygen species (O-O), was resolved with high precision.^{57,61-63} The potential-dependent Raman spectra of the samples are presented in Fig. 5a and b. At the OCP, two prominent peaks corresponding to Ni^{II}-OH and Ni^{II}-O were evident at \sim 450 and 505 cm⁻¹,^{57,59-62} respectively, for both $Ni(OH)_{2}$ and NiO. As the potential increased to 1.35 V, both samples underwent spectral evolution, with peak growth observed at \sim 480 and 560 cm⁻¹. The former peak growth is attributed to the bending mode of $Ni^{III}-O$ in NiOOH, whereas

Fig. 5 In situ Raman spectra recorded for (a) Ni(OH)₂ and (b) NiO by incrementally varying the applied potential from the OCP to 1.55 V (in steps of 0.05 V) in a 1 M Fe-free KOH solution. (Left), the spectra showing Ni–O bands; (right), bands associated with active oxygen species.

the latter is associated with the stretching mode of $Ni^{III}-O$ in NiOOH.57,59–⁶² With the simultaneous emergence of NiOOH peaks, the broad band indicative of active oxygen was observable for $Ni(OH)_2$, whereas NiO exhibited no active oxygen. Although this spectral feature, *i.e.*, active oxygen species in the 850–1250 cm−¹ range, is commonly observed in Ni-based OER catalysts, its origin remains unresolved. Some researchers attribute this to the negatively charged 'NiOO−' species at the surface,^{61,62,64,65} whereas others associate it with oxygen atoms $({}^{6}O^{0}(1/2O_{2})'$ or peroxide-related species.^{63,66} Various superoxide groups have been widely proposed as relevant contributors to this broad band.57,67 Despite the yet-to-be-revealed uncertainties, two assumptions regarding active oxygen have found broad acceptance: (i) prevalence in higher-oxidation-state Ni oxides, either in the bulk or surface region of the sample, and (ii) involvement in the lattice oxygen mechanism, gaining significant attention as a reaction mechanism that diverges from the conventional volcano correlation. Furthermore, recent observations have suggested the mediation of a robust interaction between FeO_xH_y active sites and their corresponding metal oxyhydroxide substrate.⁶⁸ Paper

Open Kriston Article. Published on 27 March 2024. Development of NGOD this study provides are informationally article. Published on 28 March 2024. The studies are the studies are the studies are the studies are the

The comparative analysis of $Ni(OH)_2$ and NiO revealed notable distinctions in their OER activity. In terms of the ECSA, in relation to NiO, $Ni(OH)_2$ demonstrated a larger value, underwent a more substantial transformation to NiOOH, and possessed a catalytically active phase for the OER. The substantial generation of NiOOH is consistent with the higher oxidation state, *i.e.*, the presence of a large amount of $Ni(m)$, which correlates with the abundance of active oxygen. Active oxygen can serve as a reliable indicator for efficient OER activation for both samples containing only Ni (in the absence of Fe). Furthermore, in the low-frequency region for NiO, the spectral feature of Ni^H-O was observed even in the higher potential range where NiOOH begins to form and persist. This observation contributes to the overall spectrum profile of the NiO sample and aligns with the CV results, thereby indicating a smaller degree of $Ni(II)$ oxidation to $Ni(III)$. These electrochemical and spectroscopic data unequivocally confirm that Ni(OH)2 exhibits higher OER activity than NiO.

Conclusion

In this study, $Ni(OH)_{2}$ nanosheets were successfully synthesized using a method involving surfactants. The synthesized nanosheets were converted to NiO nanosheets through simple heat treatment, and their morphology, crystal structure and electrochemical properties were analysed. The hexagonal nanosheets grew laterally and became curly as the concentration of the surfactant increased. The nanosheets were electrochemically analysed considering their OER activity. The redox reaction of the nickel hydroxide nanosheets was found to occur more actively than that of nickel oxide nanosheets, and the overpotential value was lower. This difference resulted from the transformation of Ni(π) sites in Ni(OH)₂ to Ni(π)OOH during the oxidation process, from which active oxygen is generated. This study systematically explored the effect of compositional changes in the 2D sheets of the same material, thereby contributing to the field of 2D-material-based catalysis. Further, this study provides an in-depth understanding of the synthesis, morphological transformations, and phase transition of the assynthesized nanosheets and thus expands their application scope in catalysis and electrochemistry. Therefore, the research findings offer valuable insights into the diverse utilization of nanosheets and suggest future research directions.

Author contributions

G. H. Ryu and S. Lee contributed as co-corresponding authors. M. Lee. and Y. Jang contributed as co-first authors. M. Lee. conducted synthesis of the sample and performed data analysis. G. Yoon conducted synthesis of the sample and the experiments. S. Lee and Y. Jang conducted OER tests and in situ Raman analysis. G. H. Ryu wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00277414 and No. RS-2023-00244851) and Regional Innovation Strategy through the NRF funded by the Ministry of Education (No. 2021RIS-003).

Notes and references

- 1 P. Poizot, S. Laruelle, S. Grugeon, L. Dupont and J. M. Tarascon, Nature, 2000, 407, 496–499.
- 2 W. Li, E. M. Erickson and A. Manthiram, Nat. Energy, 2020, 5, 26–34.
- 3 X. Yu, S. Yun, J. S. Yeon, P. Bhattacharya, L. Wang, S. W. Lee, X. Hu and H. S. Park, Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1702930.
- 4 J. Kang, Y. Xue, J. Yang, Q. Hu, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, A. Selloni, L. M. Liu and L. Guo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2022, 144, 8969-8976.
- 5 C. Bozal-Ginesta, R. R. Rao, C. A. Mesa, X. Liu, S. A. J. Hillman, I. E. L. Stephens and J. R. Durrant, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 15013–15025.
- 6 S. Corby, M.-G. Tecedor, S. Tengeler, C. Steinert, B. Moss, C. A. Mesa, H. F. Heiba, A. A. Wilson, B. Kaiser, W. Jaegermann, L. Francàs, S. Gimenez and J. R. Durrant, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2020, 4, 5024–5030.
- 7 C. A. Mesa, L. Francàs, K. R. Yang, P. Garrido-Barros, E. Pastor, Y. Ma, A. Kafizas, T. E. Rosser, M. T. Mayer, E. Reisner, M. Grätzel, V. S. Batista and J. R. Durrant, Nat. Chem., 2020, 12, 82–89.
- 8 M. B. Stevens, C. D. M. Trang, L. J. Enman, J. Deng and S. W. Boettcher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 11361–11364.
- 9 H. C. Nguyën, F. A. Garcés-Pineda, M. de Fez-Febré, J. R. Galán-Mascarós and N. López, Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 2464–2471.
- 10 Y. Zhou and N. López, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 6254-6261.
-
- 11 F. A. L. Laskowski, M. R. Nellist, J. Qiu and S. W. Boettcher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 1394–1405.
- 12 G. H. Jeong, H. S. Jang, J. C. Yoon, Z. Lee, J. Yang, A. R. Jang and G. H. Ryu, ACS Omega, 2022, 7, 35834–35839.
- 13 M. Lee, G. Yoon, M. K. Kim, J. Hong, S. Lee and G. H. Ryu, J. Alloys Compd., 2024, 976, 173282.
- 14 T. Guo, M.-S. Yao, Y.-H. Lin and C.-W. Nan, CrystEngComm, 2015, 17, 3551–3585.
- 15 S. Xu and Z. L. Wang, Nano Res., 2011, 4, 1013–1098.
- 16 I. Concina, Z. H. Ibupoto and A. Vomiero, Adv. Energy Mater., 2017, 7, 1700706.
- 17 R. S. Devan, R. A. Patil, J. H. Lin and Y. R. Ma, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, 22, 3326–3370.
- 18 R. O. Da Silva, R. H. Gonçalves, D. G. Stroppa, A. J. Ramirez and E. R. Leite, Nanoscale, 2011, 3, 1910–1916.
- 19 Q. Zhang, K. Zhang, D. Xu, G. Yang, H. Huang, F. Nie, C. Liu and S. Yang, Prog. Mater. Sci., 2014, 60, 208–337.
- 20 O. Elbanna, M. Fujitsuka and T. Majima, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 34844–34854.
- 21 C. N. Van, T. H. Do, J.-W. Chen, W.-Y. Tzeng, K.-A. Tsai, H. Song, H.-J. Liu, Y.-C. Lin, Y.-C. Chen and C.-L. Wu, NPG Asia Mater., 2017, 9, e357.
- 22 H. Yin and Z. Tang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 4873–4891.
- 23 Q. Wang and D. O'Hare, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 4124–4155.
- 24 D. Carriazo, C. Domingo, C. Martín and V. Rives, Inorg. Chem., 2006, 45, 1243–1251.
- 25 F. Li, J. Liu, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, Chem. Mater., 2004, 16, 1597–1602.
- 26 S. Morandi, F. Prinetto, M. Di Martino, G. Ghiotti, O. Lorret, D. Tichit, C. Malagù, B. Vendemiati and M. C. Carotta, Sens. Actuators, B, 2006, 118, 215–220.
- 27 A. Yoon, G. Kim, M. Lee, Z. Lee and G. H. Ryu, Nanoscale Horiz., 2022, 7, 1210–1216.
- 28 G. G. C. Arizaga, K. G. Satyanarayana and F. Wypych, Solid State Ionics, 2007, 178, 1143–1162.
- 29 L. Trotochaud, J. K. Ranney, K. N. Williams and S. W. Boettcher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17253–17261.
- 30 K. Petrov, A. Lyubchova and L. Markov, Polyhedron, 1989, 8, 1061–1067.
- 31 L. Poul, N. Jouini and F. Fiévet, Chem. Mater., 2000, 12, 3123-3132.
- 32 N. Guillou, M. Louër and D. Louër, J. Solid State Chem., 1994, 109, 307–314.
- 33 T. Shinagawa, M. Watanabe, T. Mori, J. I. Tani, M. Chigane and M. Izaki, Inorg. Chem., 2018, 57, 13137–13149.
- 34 T. Shinagawa, M. Watanabe, J.-i. Tani and M. Chigane, Cryst. Growth Des., 2017, 17, 3826–3833.
- 35 D. S. Hall, D. J. Lockwood, C. Bock and B. R. MacDougall, Proc. R. Soc. A, 2015, 471(2174), 20140792.
- 36 K. Lawson, S. P. Wallbridge, A. E. Catling, C. A. Kirk and S. E. Dann, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2023, 11, 789–799.
- 37 Y. Li, J. Zhang, Z. Chen and M. Chen, Sustainable Mater. Technol., 2022, 33, e00479.
- 38 I. Rakhimbek, N. Baikalov, A. Konarov, A. Mentbayeva, Y. Zhang and Z. Bakenov, Nanoscale Adv., 2024, 6, 578–589.
- 39 J. Huang, H. Wang, G. Ma, H. Wan, Y. Rao, L. Shen and H. Wang, Electronics, 2024, 13, 1042.
- 40 R. S. McEwen, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 1782–1789.
- 41 M. Mookherjee and L. Stixrude, Am. Mineral., 2006, 91, 127– 134.
- 42 C. W. Kim, Y. S. Son, A. U. Pawar, M. J. Kang, J. Y. Zheng, V. Sharma, P. Mohanty and Y. S. Kang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 19867–19872.
- 43 K. Fominykh, J. M. Feckl, J. Sicklinger, M. Döblinger, S. Böcklein, J. Ziegler, L. Peter, J. Rathousky, E. W. Scheidt and T. Bein, Ultrasmall dispersible crystalline nickel oxide nanoparticles as high-performance catalysts for electrochemical water splitting, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24(21), 3123–3129. **PSC** Advances Articles. Articles. Published on 27 Articles. Article is licensed under a creative common and the creative and the creative and the creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial and the creative Commons Attrib
	- 44 O. Diaz-Morales, D. Ferrus-Suspedra and M. T. M. Koper, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 2639–2645.
	- 45 Z. Lu, L. Qian, Y. Tian, Y. Li, X. Sun and X. Duan, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 908–911.
	- 46 Z. Liu, N. Li, H. Zhao, Y. Zhang, Y. Huang, Z. Yin and Y. Du, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8(4), 3211–3217.
	- 47 J. Zhang, X. Zhen, P. K. Upputuri, M. Pramanik, P. Chen and K. Pu, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1604764.
	- 48 K. Fominykh, G. C. Tok, P. Zeller, H. Hajiyani, T. Miller, M. Döblinger, R. Pentcheva, T. Bein and D. Fattakhova-Rohlfing, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2017, 27, 1605121.
	- 49 F. Malara, S. Carallo, E. Rotunno, L. Lazzarini, E. Piperopoulos, C. Milone and A. Naldoni, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 4786–4795.
	- 50 M. Gong, Y. Li, H. Wang, Y. Liang, J. Z. Wu, J. Zhou, J. Wang, T. Regier, F. Wei and H. Dai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8452–8455.
	- 51 L. Trotochaud, S. L. Young, J. K. Ranney and S. W. Boettcher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 6744–6753.
	- 52 J. W. D. Ng, M. García-Melchor, M. Bajdich, P. Chakthranont, C. Kirk, A. Vojvodic and T. F. Jaramillo, Nat. Energy, 2016, 1, 1–8.
	- 53 J. Bak, T. G. Yun, J.-S. An, H. B. Bae and S.-Y. Chung, Energy Environ. Sci., 2022, 15, 610–620.
	- 54 F. Wang, Y. Yu, X. Yin, P. Tian and X. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 9060–9066.
	- 55 X. Yin, Q. Chen, P. Tian, P. Zhang, Z. Zhang, P. M. Voyles and X. Wang, Chem. Mater., 2018, 30, 3308–3314.
	- 56 S. Klaus, Y. Cai, M. W. Louie, L. Trotochaud and A. T. Bell, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 7243–7254.
	- 57 S. Lee, Y.-C. Chu, L. Bai, H. M. Chen and X. Hu, Chem Catal., 2023, 1, 100475.
	- 58 C. C. McCrory, S. Jung, J. C. Peters and T. F. Jaramillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 16977–16987.
	- 59 B. S. Yeo and A. T. Bell, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 8394– 8400.
	- 60 M. W. Louie and A. T. Bell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 12329–12337.
	- 61 B. J. Trze´sniewski, O. Diaz-Morales, D. A. Vermaas, A. Longo, W. Bras, M. T. Koper and W. A. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 15112–15121.
	- 62 S. Lee, K. Banjac, M. Lingenfelder and X. Hu, Angew. Chem., 2019, 131, 10401–10405.

- 63 M. Merrill, M. Worsley, A. Wittstock, J. Biener and M. Stadermann, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2014, 717–718, 177– 188. Puper
 Control Access Articles. Published on 27 March 2024. Distribution Commons, Article is licensed under a
 Control Access Articles. Control Commons, Article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Comm
	- 64 A. C. Garcia, T. Touzalin, C. Nieuwland, N. Perini and M. T. M. Koper, Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2019, 58, 12999–13003.
	- 65 S. Lee, L. Bai and X. Hu, Angew. Chem., 2020, 132, 8149–8154.
- 66 R. D. Smith and C. P. Berlinguette, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1561–1567.
- 67 C. Hu, Y. Hu, C. Fan, L. Yang, Y. Zhang, H. Li and W. Xie, Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2021, 60, 19774–19778.
- 68 Q. Jiang, S. Wang, C. Zhang, Z. Sheng, H. Zhang, R. Feng, Y. Ni, X. Tang, Y. Gu, X. Zhou, S. Lee, D. Zhang and F. Song, Nat. Commun., 2023, 14, 6826.