RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 15039

Received 11th March 2024 Accepted 29th April 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra01862a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) is a flammable and highly toxic gas, that is widespread in nature and industrial processes. Inhalation of this gas by human beings can easily lead to paralysis of the central nervous system and respiratory system or even death. Consequently, highly sensitive, reliable, and stable H_2S sensors are in great demand. Metal oxide semiconductors (MOS) gas sensors have become one of the most practical gas sensors due to their high sensitivity, low power consumption, real-time monitoring, and portability.¹⁻³ However, atmosphere water vapor (H_2O) will affect the sensitivity and accuracy of the practical detection to H_2S . Consequently, eliminating the humidity cross-sensitivity is a challenge that needs to be solved.⁴⁻⁶

Recently, various strategies have been explored to suppress the humidity dependence of gas-sensing properties.⁷⁻¹⁰ Endowing the sensing material with hydrophobic properties could efficiently prevent the H_2O molecules from contacting with the sensing surface, and enhance the anti-humidity property of the gas sensor.¹¹⁻¹³ Gao *et al.* synthesized the hydrophobic sensing material by coating the

Humidity-tolerant and highly sensitive gas sensor for hydrogen sulfide based on WO₃ nanocubes modified with CeO₂

Zhixiang Deng,^a Zhixuan Wu,^b Xinkuan Liu,^a Zhengai Chen,^b Yan Sun,^b*^b Ning Dai^b and Meiying Ge^{*c}

The influence of ambient humidity on the gas-sensing characteristics of metal oxide semiconductors has been one of the greatest obstacles for gas-sensing applications. In this paper, the pure WO₃ and CeO₂modified WO₃ nanocubes were prepared by a simple hydrothermal method, and their gas-sensing characteristics in dry and humid atmospheres were investigated. The results show that CeO₂/WO₃ demonstrated excellent gas-sensing properties toward H₂S with high sensitivity and high selectivity at 115 °C. Noteworthy, the humidity independence of the CeO₂/WO₃-6 and CeO₂/WO₃-15 sensors were 70.3, and 76%, respectively, which were much higher than the WO₃ sensor (17.9%). The gas-sensing mechanism of CeO₂-modified WO₃ is discussed based on the gas sensitivity properties. The obtained results provide a promising route to enhance the anti-humidity properties of metal oxide semiconductor gas sensors.

> polydimethylsiloxane layer onto the Pd/TiO₂ surface. The sensors exhibited excellent humidity resistance when humidity was varied across a wide range (0-90% RH).14 Jia et al. coated the surface of ZnSnO3 hollow microspheres with 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecyltriethoxysilane (PFDS) layer. The PFDS/ZnSnO₃ sensors maintained a stable response as the condition changed from dry to 80% RH.15 Although the antihumidity property was highly improved by surface modifications, their gas-sensing properties were inevitably suppressed because the active sites of the sensing surface were covered by the hydrophobic layers. Loading or doping of the materials with high affinity to H₂O molecules has been verified effective in promising humidity resistance of gas sensors.^{16,17} Choi et al. overcame the humidity dependence problems of gas sensors by loading the CuO to SnO₂ hollow spheres. The CuO acts as a hydroxyl absorber to preferentially capture water vapor and form a Cu-OH bond. The sensing materials exhibited nearly consistent responses toward H2S in humidity variation.18 Jeong et al. suppressed the humidity dependence by introducing the calcium silicate nanosheet as a water-trapping layer in SnO₂ nanowires. The sensors achieved high humidity resistance in NO₂ gas sensing.¹⁹ However, the water-trapping effect may easily get saturated, when the sensors are exposed to highly humid atmospheres. Additionally, their selectivity and gas sensitivity will be partially reduced due to their complex modulation methods. To date, the design of the gas sensor that simultaneously possesses excellent gas-sensing properties and humidity resistance is still in the initial stages, and requires an

[&]quot;School of Material and Chemistry, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China. E-mail: d18816470611@163.com

^bState Key Laboratory of Infrared Physics, Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200083, China. E-mail: sunny@mail.sitp.ac. cn

^cNational Engineering Research Center for Nanotechnology, Shanghai 200241, PR China. E-mail: meiyingge@163.com

efficient new route to further breakthroughs in high and continuously changing humidity.

Herein, we achieved the enhancement in both the gas sensing and humidity-resistant properties of the MOS-based gas sensors by employing the CeO₂-modified WO₃ as the sensing material. The gas-sensing properties of WO₃ with different CeO₂ loading concentrations were investigated to understand the anti-humidity mechanism. The main focus of this work is to design CeO₂/WO₃ heterojunction and confirm the optical CeO₂ lading concentration to increase the humidity independence of the gas-sensing properties and accomplish high sensitivity and selectivity towards H_2S in highly humid environments.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Chemicals and materials

All of the chemical reagents are of analytical grade and used without purification, including sodium tungstate dehydrate (Na₂WO₄·2H₂O, A. R. Aladdin), cerium acetate hydrate ((CH₃-CO₂)₃Ce·xH₂O, A. R. Aladdin). All chemicals were used as received without any purification.

2.2. Preparation of CeO₂-modified WO₃ nanocubes

The WO₃ nanocubes were fabricated *via* the hydrothermal process reported before.²⁰ The CeO₂-modified WO₃ was obtained by a secondary hydrothermal process using cerium acetate $((CH_3CO_2)_3Ce \cdot xH_2O)$ as the Ce source, the synthesis route is shown in Fig. 1a. 0.232 g WO₃ powder was dispersed in ethanol solution and stirred continuously for 20 min, followed by adding 10 mL cerium acetate solution to the mixture. The mixing solution was transferred to the 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and subjected to hydrothermal reaction at 180 °C for 12 h. The synthesized powder was collected and alternately washed

with deionized water and ethanol, then dried for subsequent use. The CeO₂/WO₃ composites with different Ce concentrations (0.5 at%, 2 at%, 6 at%, 15 at%) were obtained by adjusting the concentration of the cerium acetate solution. These samples are labeled as CeO₂/WO₃-0.5, CeO₂/WO₃-2, CeO₂/WO₃-6, and CeO₂/WO₃-15 with Ce concentrations 0.5 at%, 2 at%, 6 at%, 15 at%, and the pure WO₃ nanocubes is labeled as WO₃.

2.3. Material characterization

The morphology of materials was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Sirion 200, FEI, The Netherlands) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F20 S-YWIN). The crystal structure of materials was investigated by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/max-2600PC, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The surface composition and chemical state were measured by an X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.4. Fabrication and evaluation of gas sensors

The fabrication of the gas sensor is described as follows. First, a proper amount of sample was dispersed into distilled water to form the paste. Then, the paste was coated onto a ceramic tube to develop the sensing layer, followed by annealing at 500 °C for 2 h in air. The corresponding gas sensor device structure is displayed in Fig. 1b. The gas-sensing properties of the as-fabricated sensor were measured by a WS-30A system (Winsen Electronics Technology Co., Ltd, Zhengzhou, China). The response was defined as the ratio of the sensor resistance (R_a) in the air to the sensor resistance (R_g) in the target gas. The response and recovery time were defined as the times of the gas sensor reaching 90% of its resistance changes upon exposure to the target gas and to the air.



Fig. 1 (a) Synthetic route of the CeO_2 -modified WO_3 nanocubes; (b) the structure diagram of the sensor device; typical SEM images of (c and d) WO_3 nanocubes and (e) CeO_2 -modified WO_3 nanocubes.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Characterizations of materials

The morphology and microstructure of the materials were characterized by SEM and TEM images. As shown in Fig. 1c and d, the microstructure of the pure WO_3 is composed of typical nanocubes, and the length size is 80–150 nm. After the CeO_2 modification, the surface of WO_3 nanocubes appears more rough and uneven according to Fig. 1e.

To investigate the more detailed morphology and characteristics of CeO_2 -modified WO_3 , we selected typical samples for TEM characterization. As recorded in Fig. 2a–f, the CeO_2 particles attach randomly on the WO_3 surface. The intensity of CeO_2 nanoparticles on the WO_3 nanocube surfaces increases as the CeO_2 loading concentration increases. However, as the CeO_2 concentration further increases to the maximum, these CeO_2 nanoparticles are very compactly distributed on the surface of WO_3 and form a stacking layer. The sensing surface of the WO_3 nanocube is wrapped by the CeO_2 nanoparticle layer, which may significantly affect the surface defects and absorption sites for the sensing layer. More detailed crystalline features of the CeO_2 - modified WO₃ nanocubes have been characterized by HRTEM images of the typical samples, as shown in Fig. 2g and h. The nanoparticles with an average diameter of 5–10 nm on the nanotube can be clearly visible. The crystal plane spacing of 0.313 nm and 0.314 nm correspond to the (111) plane of cubic CeO_2 .²¹ Additionally, the lattice fringes of 0.364 nm and 0.363 nm correspond to the (200) plane of monoclinic WO_3 .²²

X-ray powder diffraction was employed to analyze the phases and crystal structure of the materials. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the diffraction peaks at 23.2°, 23.6°, 24.4°, 33.3°, 34.2°, 49.9°, and 55.9° correspond to the (002), (020), (200), (022), (202), (400) and (420) crystallographic facets of the monoclinic WO₃ (JCPDS 83-0950), respectively.²³ It indicates that the WO₃ nanocubes are well crystallized, which is consistent with the TEM results. Apart from the peaks that belong to the WO₃, the diffraction peaks of CeO₂/WO₃ located at 28.5°, 33.1°, and 47.5° correspond to the (111), (200), (220) planes of fluorite cubic CeO₂ (JCPDS 34-0394).²⁴

XPS analysis was employed to further investigate the surface elemental composition and the chemical state of the materials. The W 4f narrow-scanning spectrum of the CeO_2/WO_3 -2 is



Fig. 2 Low-magnification TEM image of (a) WO_3 nanocubes, (b) CeO_2/WO_3 -0.5, (c) CeO_2/WO_3 -2, (d and e) CeO_2/WO_3 -6, (f) CeO_2/WO_3 -15; corresponding HRTEM images of the marked positions (g and h).



Fig. 3 XRD patterns of the WO_3 and CeO_2/WO_3 .

shown in Fig. 4a. The two peaks are attributed to the W $4f_{7/2}$ W $4f_{5/2}$ state of WO₃, respectively.²⁵ As recorded in Fig. 4b, it indicates that there is a certain amount of Ce³⁺ existence in CeO₂/WO₃-2. This may be attributable to the unique chemical

properties of CeO₂. Due to the high mobility of lattice oxygen of CeO₂, the unstable lattice oxygen may be transported and adsorbed on the surface of the WO₃ nanocubes. Ce⁴⁺ will form Ce³⁺ by capturing the electrons left behind by the lattice oxygen, potentially resulting in the formation of oxygen vacancies.^{26,27}

It is well known that the gas-sensing properties are closely related to the oxygen adsorption on the sensing surface.²⁸ To verify the oxygen adsorption state of the materials. The O 1s XPS pattern of CeO₂/WO₃-2 is displayed in Fig. 4d. The pattern can be deconvoluted into three peaks located at 530.45 eV, 531.40 eV, and 532.75 eV, which correspond to lattice oxygen species (O_L), oxygen vacancies (O_V), and adsorbed oxygen species (O_C), respectively.²⁹ The O 1s XPS spectrum of WO₃ is shown in Fig. 4c. It is obvious that both the intensity and area of the O_C peak are smaller than the results of CeO₂-modified WO₃. This suggests that CeO₂ modification has resulted in a higher adsorption capacity of the WO₃ nanocubes for chemisorbed oxygen highly contributes to the gas-sensing toward H₂S gas.

3.2. Gas sensing performance

To investigate the effect of CeO₂ modification on the gas-sensing properties, the WO₃ and CeO₂/WO₃ were employed as sensing materials to prepare gas sensor devices. It is well known that the operating temperature significantly affects the gas-sensing properties of the gas sensors. The response of the sensors to 5 ppm H₂S gas at different operating temperatures of 80 °C, 115 °C, 160 °C, and 205 °C are shown in Fig. 5a. The response gradually increases with a temperature rise from 80 °C to 115 °C, which may be caused by two factors. One aspect is that the temperature increase enables the gas molecules to overcome the activation energy barrier of the surface reaction. The other is that



Fig. 4 XPS analysis of (a) W 4f; (b) Ce 3d of CeO₂/WO₃-2; (c) O 1s spectra of pure WO₃. (d) O 1s spectra of CeO₂/WO₃-2.

Paper



Fig. 5 (a) Gas response of the gas sensors toward 5 ppm H_2S under different operating temperatures; (b) response–recover time curve of the WO_3 and CeO_2/WO_3 -2 sensor to 2.5 ppm H_2S gas at 115 °C; (c) dynamic response curves of the WO_3 and CeO_2/WO_3 sensors to 0.5–5 ppm H_2S gas at 115 °C; (d) the selectivity to 5 ppm H_2S and other volatile gases for WO_3 sensor and CeO_2/WO_3 sensors at 115 °C.

the carrier concentration of sensing layer rises with increasing the operating temperature, which promotes the adsorption of chemisorbed oxygen on the surface. However, the response decreases with further increasing the operating temperature to 205 °C. The decrease in response can be attributed to the significant desorption of gas molecules at higher temperatures, thereby impeding the gas-sensing reaction. Therefore, it could be confirmed that the optimal working temperature of the gas sensor is 115 °C. The sensors in this work show the best response at a much lower temperature relative to the results reported previously, which means lower power consumption for sensors in practical applications.³⁰ All subsequent gas-sensing properties were investigated at an operating temperature of 115 °C.

As can be seen in Fig. 5a, the response values of sensors have been significantly improved for CeO₂/WO₃-0.5 and CeO₂/WO₃-2. However, the response values deteriorate notably for further increasing the concentration of CeO₂. The CeO₂/WO₃-2 sensor exhibits the maximum response ($R_a/R_g = 113$) to 5 ppm H₂S gas, which is significantly higher than the WO₃ sensor under identical conditions. The enhanced response performance is attributed to the modification of CeO₂ nanoparticles. Based on the TEM image, the CeO₂ nanoparticles are randomly distributed on the surface of WO₃ nanocubes. For the CeO₂-modified WO₃, such ultrafine CeO₂ nanoparticles might have endowed CeO₂ with a highly active surface and formed the CeO₂/WO₃ heterojunction to promote the gas-sensing properties. When the CeO₂ nanoparticle loading concentration increases to a certain amount, the excess CeO₂ nanoparticles on the WO₃ nanocube surfaces hinder electron transport and reduce the efficiency of the gas-sensing reaction.³¹ This reveals that a suitable amount of CeO_2 modification can effectively enhance the response properties of WO₃ nanocubes to H₂S gas.

The response and recovery properties of gas sensors are also additional parameters in practical applications. Fig. 5b shows the response and recovery time curves of the WO3 sensor and CeO₂/WO₃-2 sensor to 2.5 ppm H₂S. It is observed that the response time of the CeO₂/WO₃-2 sensor is shorter than the WO₃ sensor. To further investigate the response characteristics of the gas sensors, Fig. 5c presents the dynamic response curves of the sensors to 0.5-5 ppm H₂S. As shown, the response of CeO₂/WO₃-2 is significantly higher than the WO₃ sensor at different H₂S gas concentrations. It is observed that the response values of sensors rise with increasing H₂S gas concentration. Additionally, the inset in Fig. 5c demonstrates the linear fitting function curves based on the response and concentration. The fitting coefficient (R^2) of the CeO₂/WO₃-2 sensor is also significantly higher than the WO₃ sensor, which indicates the CeO₂/WO₃-2 sensor possesses better linearity.

Selectivity is another important parameter in terms of gas sensors. The selectivity of the sensors was studied by measuring the response to C_3H_8O , C_2H_5OH , CH_2O , NH_3 , $(CH_2OH)_2$, and H_2S gas. The responses of the sensors to different volatile gases are shown in Fig. 5d. It can be seen that the response of the sensors to H_2S is significantly higher than those for other volatile gases. The results indicate that all the sensors showed a high selectivity to H_2S against other gases.

3.3. Anti-humidity performance

The response–recovery curves of the WO₃ sensor and CeO₂/WO₃ sensors to 5 ppm H₂S under relative humidity (RH) in the range of 20–80% are presented in Fig. 6a–e. The plot of response retention as a function of relative humidity for different sensors is shown in Fig. 6f. As presented in Fig. 6a, the response value of the WO₃ sensor decreases significantly with the humidity increasing from 20% RH to 80% RH. As shown in Fig. 6f, the response of the WO₃ sensor measured under 60% RH and 80% RH was only 37.2%, and 17.9% compared with that measured under 20% RH. It indicates that the WO₃ sensor exhibited a typical humidity dependence on the H₂S gas-sensing process.

The response curves of CeO_2/WO_3 sensors across various humidity conditions are shown in Fig. 6b–e. Notably, the humidity dependence is slightly mitigated, when the Ce concentration is 0.5–2 at%. As the CeO₂ loading concentration further increases, the response CeO₂/WO₃-6 and CeO₂/WO₃-15 exhibited much lower degradation over the whole humidity range, compared to the other sensors. When the relative humidity changed from 20% RH to 80% RH, the response retentions of CeO₂/WO₃-6 and CeO₂/WO₃-15 were 70.3, and 76%, which is much higher than the pure WO₃. Fig. 6g demonstrates the contact angles of WO₃ and CeO₂/WO₃-15, the contact angle of CeO₂/WO₃-15 is much larger than that of WO₃. The excellent moisture resistance of CeO₂/WO₃-15 could be attributed to the hydrophobic nature of CeO₂.³² Additionally, compare the sensitivity of WO₃ and CeO₂/WO₃-6 sensors measured in highly humid atmospheres (60% RH, 80% RH). It can be seen that the response value of the CeO₂/WO₃-6 sensor was much higher than the WO₃ sensor. In conclusion, the humidity dependence of the response steadily decreased with increasing CeO₂ loading concentration. A certain amount of CeO₂ modification can efficiently enhance the stability of WO₃ against changes in humidity, particularly within high-humidity conditions.

3.4. Gas sensing mechanism

It is well known that the gas-sensing properties of MOS-based gas sensors are associated with the capacity of adsorbing oxygen onto the surface. Particularly, when the sensing materials are exposed to air, oxygen will adsorb on their surfaces, and form chemisorbed oxygen species by capturing electrons from the conduction band of WO₃ and CeO₂. This process will result in the formation of an



Fig. 6 The response curves of (a) WO_3 , (b) CeO_2/WO_3 -0.5, (c) CeO_2/WO_3 -2, (d) CeO_2/WO_3 -6, (e) CeO_2/WO_3 -15 to 5 ppm H₂S gas under different humidity conditions; (f) plot of response retention as a function of relative humidity for different sensors, (g) contact angle tests on the WO_3 and CeO_2/WO_3 -15 materials.

electron depletion region on the surface, which results in an overall high resistance of the gas sensors.

Once the gas sensor is exposed to the H_2S gas, the chemisorbed oxygen reacts with H_2S molecules, which release electrons back into the conduction band of the sensing material. This process causes a decrease in the electrical resistance of the gas sensors, as shown in eqn (1).

$$H_2S + 3O^- \rightarrow SO_2 + H_2O + 3e^-$$
 (1)

Compared to the WO₃ sensor, the CeO₂/WO₃ sensors exhibit higher response properties towards H₂S gas. The enhanced performance is attributed to the construction of the CeO₂/WO₃ heterojunction, and the higher adsorption capacity of CeO₂/ WO₃ for chemisorbed oxygen, as confirmed by previous XPS analysis. The formation of heterojunction is considered to be the main factor. The heterojunction is formed as the CeO₂ nanoparticles are modified on the WO₃ surface. The energy band diagram of the CeO₂/WO₃ heterojunction is shown in Fig. 7a and b. Since the work function of WO₃ (5.2 eV) is larger than CeO₂ (4.9 eV), electrons will flow from the conduction

In Air

(a)

band of CeO_2 to WO_3 .²¹ The electron migration process leads to the formation of a hole accumulation layer on the surface of CeO_2 and an electron accumulation layer on the surface of WO_3 . The hole accumulation layer of CeO_2 at the heterojunction interface would further increase the number of electrons that were released from chemisorbed oxygen when the CeO_2/WO_3 sensors were exposed to H_2S gas.^{33,34} As a result, the CeO_2/WO_3 sensors exhibit higher resistance variations, namely, greater responses, than the WO_3 sensor.

$$2O^{-} + 2H_2O \rightarrow 4OH + 2e^{-}$$
 (2)

$$4Ce^{4+} + 2H_2O \rightarrow 4Ce^{3+} + 4H^+ + O_2$$
 (3)

$$Ce^{3+} + OH + H^+ \rightarrow Ce^{4+} + H_2O$$
 (4)

$$O_2 + e^- \to O^- \tag{5}$$

When the sensor is in humid atmospheres, the H_2O molecules consume the chemisorbed oxygen on the surface, and

In H₂S



(b)

Fig. 7 Schematic of the energy bands of CeO₂-modified WO₃ in the air (a) and H₂S atmospheres (b), respectively, and (c) schematic of the antihumidity detection to H₂S gas.

Paper

generate hydroxyl radicals to occupy the active sites of the sensing layer, which significantly deteriorates the response properties of gas sensors. This humidity-sensitivity process is described by eqn (2).

In contrast to the WO_3 sensor, the CeO_2/WO_3 sensors exhibited a more stable response in humid conditions, which is attributed to the modification of CeO_2 to WO_3 .

Firstly, the CeO₂ exhibits excellent hydrophobicity because the Ce atoms tend not to exchange electrons and form hydrogen bonds with interfacial H2O molecules.35,36 Secondly, the humidity dependence of gas sensors can be efficiently suppressed due to the highly reversible redox interaction between the multivalent states of the Ce elements.^{37,38} when CeO₂ is decorated on the surface of WO₃, CeO₂ preferentially captures H₂O molecules and reacts with them as follows. Firstly, Ce⁴⁺ ions are reduced to Ce³⁺ ions, and H₂O molecules are decomposed into O₂ and H⁺ (eqn (3)). Then, the hydroxyl radicals produced by humidity-sensitivity are scavenged by Ce^{3+} (eqn (4)). Additionally, the oxygen (O₂) stored on the surface of CeO₂ is reionized into active adsorbed oxygen (O^{-}) by electrons captured on the surface (eqn (5)), which promoting the gas-sensing reaction of the H₂S gas. The antihumidity mechanism is explained in Fig. 7c. The above process effectively protects WO3 from interference by H2O molecules while partially enhancing the gas-sensing properties of sensors to H₂S.

4. Conclusion

In summary, the pure WO3 and CeO2-modified WO3 were synthesized by hydrothermal method. Compared to the pure WO₃ nanocubes, the CeO₂-modified WO₃ demonstrated excellent gas sensing performance toward H₂S gas at 115 °C with high sensitivity and high selectivity. The response of CeO2/WO3-2 sensor reached 113 for 5 ppm H₂S at 115 °C, which is two times of the WO₃ sensor. The results of humidity stability show that CeO₂-modified WO₃ can increase the anti-humidity property. When the relative humidity changed from 20% RH to 80% RH, the response retention of CeO₂/WO₃-15 sensor was 76%, which is four times of the WO₃ sensor. The enhanced response sensitivity and humidity stability are attributed to the formation of CeO₂/WO₃ heterojunctions, and the distinctive physicochemical property of catalytic CeO₂ plays a key role in mitigating humidity dependence. Therefore, this work provides a constructive strategy for preparing low-temperature, highsensitivity, and anti-humidity gas sensors.

Author contributions

Zhixiang Deng data curation: lead; investigation: lead; writing – original draft: equal; writing – review & editing: equal. Zhixuan Wu data curation: supporting; investigation: supporting. Zhengai Chen data curation: supporting. Xinkuan Liu data curation: equal; writing – review & editing: supporting. Yan Sun data curation: equal; funding acquisition: lead; supervision: equal; writing – review & editing: lead. Meiying Ge data curation: equal; visualization: supporting; writing – review & editing: equal. Ning Dai funding acquisition: supporting; writing – review & editing: supporting.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11933006, U2141240) and the Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (23ZR1473300).

References

- 1 A. Staerz, S. Somacescu, M. Epifani, T. Kida, U. Weimar and N. Barsan, *ACS Sens.*, 2020, 5, 1624–1633.
- 2 J. Zhang, Z. Qin, D. Zeng and C. Xie, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 6313–6329.
- 3 N. Kaur, M. Singh and E. Comini, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, 9, 2101629.
- 4 X. Tong, X. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Lin, H. Xi and J. Li, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2022, **51**, 5440–5453.
- 5 H. Tai, S. Wang, Z. Duan and Y. Jiang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **318**, 128104.
- 6 H. Zhu, Q. Li, Y. Ren, Q. Gao, J. Chen, N. Wang, J. Deng and X. Xing, *Small*, 2018, **14**, 7403.
- 7 A. Vasiliev, A. Varfolomeev, I. Volkov, N. Simonenko,
 P. Arsenov, I. Vlasov, V. Ivanov, A. Pislyakov, A. Lagutin,
 I. Jahatspanian and T. Maeder, *Sensors*, 2018, 18, 2600.
- 8 K. Suematsu, M. Sasaki, N. Ma, M. Yuasa and K. Shimanoe, *ACS Sens.*, 2016, **1**, 913–920.
- 9 M. Yang, J. Lu, X. Wang, H. Zhang, F. Chen, J. Sun, J. Yang, Y. Sun and G. Lu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **313**, 127965.
- 10 Y. Liu, S. Yao, Q. Yang, P. Sun, Y. Gao, X. Liang, F. Liu and G. Lu, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 52252–52258.
- 11 N. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Li, L. Cao, N. Nan, C. Li and L. Yu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 14355–14364.
- 12 S. S. Nair, N. Illyaskutty, B. Tam, A. O. Yazaydin, K. Emmerich, A. Steudel, T. Hashem, L. Schöttner, C. Wöll, H. Kohler and H. Gliemann, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, 304, 127184.
- 13 M.-S. Yao, W.-X. Tang, G.-E. Wang, B. Nath and G. Xu, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 5229–5234.
- 14 Z. Gao, G. Song, X. Zhang, Q. Li, S. Yang, T. Wang, Y. Li, L. Zhang, L. Guo and Y. Fu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, 325, 128810.
- 15 X. Jia, S. Yu, J. Yang, S. Wang, Y. Li, D. Shao and H. Song, *IEEE Sens. J.*, 2022, 22, 1916–1923.
- 16 H.-R. Kim, A. Haensch, I.-D. Kim, N. Barsan, U. Weimar and J.-H. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 4456–4463.
- 17 J. Miao, C. Chen and J. Y. S. Lin, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **309**, 127785.
- 18 K.-I. Choi, H.-J. Kim, Y. C. Kang and J.-H. Lee, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **194**, 371–376.

- 19 Y. J. Cho, Y. J. Kwon, S. Jin, H. Choi, J.-H. Lee, S.-M. Yang, S.-W. Choi and Y. K. Jeong, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2022, 432, 128671.
- 20 W. Yu, Y. Sun, T. Zhang, K. Zhang, S. Wang, X. Chen and N. Dai, *Part. Part. Syst. Charact.*, 2015, **33**, 15–20.
- 21 K. Yuan, C.-Y. Wang, L.-Y. Zhu, Q. Cao, J.-H. Yang, X.-X. Li,
 W. Huang, Y.-Y. Wang, H.-L. Lu and D. W. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 14095–14104.
- 22 A. Ivanova, B. Frka-Petesic, A. Paul, T. Wagner, A. N. Jumabekov, Y. Vilk, J. Weber, J. S. a. d. Günne, S. Vignolini, M. Tiemann, D. Fattakhova-Rohlfing and T. Bein, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 12639–12647.
- 23 K. Pan, K. Shan, S. Wei, K. Li, J. Zhu, S. H. Siyal and H.-H. Wu, *Compos. Commun.*, 2019, **16**, 106–110.
- 24 J. Wang, Z. Li, S. Zhang, S. Yan, B. Cao, Z. Wang and Y. Fu, Sens. Actuators, B, 2018, 255, 862–870.
- 25 Y. M. Shirke and S. P. Mukherjee, *CrystEngComm*, 2017, **19**, 2096–2105.
- 26 J. Liu, M. Dai, T. Wang, P. Sun, X. Liang, G. Lu, K. Shimanoe and N. Yamazoe, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 6669– 6677.
- 27 J. Hu, Y. Sun, Y. Xue, M. Zhang, P. Li, K. Lian, S. Zhuiykov,
 W. Zhang and Y. Chen, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, 257, 124–135.

- 28 Z. Zhang, Y. Wu, H. Du, Y. Sun, S. Sun, S. Xu, L. Cong and P. Sun, J. Alloys Compd., 2022, 895, 162017.
- 29 M. Al-Hashem, S. Akbar and P. Morris, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **301**, 126845.
- 30 A. V. Raghu, K. K. Karuppanan and B. Pullithadathil, ACS Sens., 2018, 3, 1811–1821.
- 31 K. Yuan, C. Y. Wang, L. Y. Zhu, Q. Cao, J. H. Yang, X. X. Li, W. Huang, Y. Y. Wang, H. L. Lu and D. W. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 14095–14104.
- 32 S. B. Malik, K. V. Mejia-Centeno, P. R. Martínez-Alanis, A. Cabot, F. Güell, F. E. Annanouch and E. Llobet, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2024, **400**, 134879.
- 33 Y. Shuai, R. Peng, Y. He, X. Liu, X. Wang and W. Guo, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2023, **384**, 133625.
- 34 Y. Sun, J. Wang, H. Du, X. Li, C. Wang and T. Hou, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, **868**, 159140.
- 35 G. Azimi, R. Dhiman, H.-M. Kwon, A. T. Paxson and K. K. Varanasi, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 315–320.
- 36 Y. Tian and L. Jiang, Nat. Mater., 2013, 12, 291–292.
- 37 J.-W. Yoon, J.-S. Kim, T.-H. Kim, Y. J. Hong, Y. C. Kang and J.-H. Lee, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 4229–4240.
- 38 H.-Y. Li, C.-S. Lee, D. H. Kim and J.-H. Lee, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 27858–27867.