


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2024, 14, 18444

BEDT-TTF radical-cation salts with tris(oxalato)chromate and guest additives†

 Toby J. Blundell,^a Joseph O. Ogar,^a Michael J. Brannan,^a Elizabeth K. Rusbridge,^a John D. Wallis,^a Hiroki Akutsu,^b Yasuhiro Nakazawa,^b Shusaku Imajo^c and Lee Martin^{*,a}

The family of radical-cation salts β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)M³⁺(C₂O₄)₃]·guest (M = Fe, Cr, Ga, Al, Co, Mn, Rh, Ru; A = K⁺, H₃O⁺, NH₄⁺) has produced superconductors, metals, semiconductors, and metal-insulators through introduction of different guest molecules into the structure. We present three new additions to the family β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·guest with the guest molecules toluene, phenol, or salicylaldehyde. These new guests are liquid or solid additives within the electrocrystallisation medium. All three salts show metallic behaviour from room temperature down to <10 K and do not show a superconducting transition.

 Received 9th May 2024
 Accepted 30th May 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra03425b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Radical-cation salts of BEDT-TTF [bis(ethylenedithio)tetra-thiafulvalene] with the tris(oxalato)metallate anion have produced a multitude of multifunctional materials which combine conductivity or superconductivity with paramagnetism,¹ ferromagnetism,² antiferromagnetism,³ chirality,⁴ or proton conductivity.⁵ These salts consist of segregated stacks of conducting cationic BEDT-TTF layers and insulating anion layers. The packing arrangement of the BEDT-TTF donor molecules is determined by hydrogen-bonding interactions between the terminal ethylene groups of the BEDT-TTF molecules and the anion layer. Therefore, small changes to the structure of the anion layer will lead to changes in the BEDT-TTF donor packing arrangement and the conducting behaviour of the material.

The most widely studied in this family of salts are the 4 : 1 β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)M(C₂O₄)₃]·guest salts.⁶ The anion layer in these salts consists of a honeycomb network of the counter cation (A = H₃O⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺) and the tris(oxalato)metallate anion (M(C₂O₄)₃³⁻). Guest molecules are sited within the hexagonal cavities of the anion layer. The donor layer consists of BEDT-TTF^{0.5+} molecules with close S⋯S contacts between

donors in adjacent stacks. The first salt in this family to be discovered, and still the salt with the highest superconducting T_c (8.5 K) is β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Fe(C₂O₄)₃]·benzonitrile.¹ Shortly after this, the isostructural Cr(C₂O₄)₃³⁻ salt was reported.⁷ In the following years the metal centre has been changed to various 3d (Co³⁺,⁸ Mn³⁺ (ref. 9)), 4d (Rh³⁺,¹⁰ Ru³⁺ (ref. 11)), and main group (Al³⁺,¹² Ga³⁺ (ref. 13)) metal ions. Changes to the size of the metal ion and the distance between the oxalate oxygens and the cation (A = H₃O⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺) determine the size of the anion layer hexagons within which the guests are located. The resulting size change has been shown to have a small effect on the conducting behaviour whereas a much more pronounced effect is observed by changing the size and shape of the included guest molecule which is sited within the hexagonal cavities. The –C≡N bond of the benzonitrile guest molecules are aligned along the *b* axis¹ and a correlation has been observed between the length of the *b* axis and the superconducting T_c .¹⁴ Longer molecules such as benzonitrile, nitrobenzene, or bromobenzene give superconductors with higher T_c s, whilst smaller molecules such as fluorobenzene have a shorter *b* axis and remain metallic without showing a superconducting transition.¹⁴ Using even smaller guests such as nitromethane can lead to a different phase which is a 3 : 1 semiconducting phase.¹⁵ Using larger guests such as sec-phenethyl alcohol⁴ or 1,2-dibromobenzene¹⁶ leads to the guest molecules being arranged asymmetrically in the anion layer and interacting differently with each of the two neighbouring donor layers. This produces a 4 : 1 bilayered salt with two different donor packing types α and β'' ,^{4,17} or α and pseudo- κ .¹⁶

When the guest is benzonitrile a second 4 : 1 polymorph is also obtained which has the same formula, (BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)M(C₂O₄)₃]·benzonitrile.¹⁸ In this case, the donors pack in a very

^aSchool of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Clifton Lane, Clifton, Nottingham, NG11 8NS, UK. E-mail: lee.martin@ntu.ac.uk

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

^cThe Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

† CCDC 2338454–2338456 contains supplementary X ray crystallographic data for (I), (II) and (III) respectively. This data can be obtained free of charge. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra03425b>



different way with (BEDT-TTF⁺)₂ dimers each surrounded by six neutral BEDT-TTF monomers to give a semiconductor. The anion layer of this 'pseudo-κ' phase and that of the superconducting β'' phase differ in the distribution of the Δ and Λ enantiomers of the M(C₂O₄)₃³⁻ anion which leads to the benzonitrile molecule being disordered over two positions in the pseudo-κ phase.¹⁸


2 : 1 superconductors,¹⁹ semiconductors,²⁰ and proton conductors⁵ have been obtained where the included guest molecule is the 18-crown-6 ether used to aid solubility of the anion, rather than the solvents which have been used in the electrocrystallisation.

The origin of the superconductivity in this family of salts is of interest owing to its unconventional mechanism²¹ and in the search for new superconductors we present the crystal structures and electrical resistance measurements for three new radical-cation salts in the family β''-(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·guest (A = K⁺/H₃O⁺/NH₄⁺). These guests toluene, phenol, or salicylaldehyde are a liquid or solid additive within the electrocrystallisation medium 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene:ethanol (Scheme 1).

Results and discussion

β''-(BEDT-TTF)₄[(NH₄)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·toluene (I)

Salt (I) crystallises in monoclinic space group *C2/c* with an asymmetric unit consisting of two crystallographically independent BEDT-TTF donors, half a tris(oxalato)chromate anion, half a toluene molecule, and half an NH₄⁺ cation. Fig. 1 shows



Scheme 1 From left to right, toluene, phenol, and salicylaldehyde.




Fig. 2 Donor layer of β''-(BEDT-TTF)₄[(NH₄)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·toluene (I).

Table 1 Short S...S contacts (<sum VdW radii) for β''-(BEDT-TTF)₄[(NH₄)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·toluene (I)

S atom 1	S atom 2	Contact (Å)
S9	S13	3.459(2)
S9	S16	3.391(3)
S10	S1	3.488(3)
S11	S1	3.459(2)
S15	S6	3.349(2)
S15	S8	3.290(3)
S16	S1	3.592(3)
S10	S7	3.545(3)

the alternating donor and anion layers viewed down the *a* axis. The crystallographically independent A and B donors adopt a β'' packing motif (Fig. 2) with an ...AABBAABB... packing mode within a donor stack. There are several side-to-side S...S close contacts between donor stacks similar to those observed in other salts in this family of 4 : 1 β'' salts (Table 1).⁶ Table 2





Fig. 1 Layered packing of β''-(BEDT-TTF)₄[(NH₄)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·toluene (I) viewed down the *a* axis.



Table 2 Approximation of the charge of BEDT-TTF molecules in β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(NH₄)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·toluene (**I**) based on the bond lengths (Å):²² $\delta = (b + c) - (a + d)$, $Q = 6.347 - 7.463\delta$



Donor	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	δ	<i>Q</i>
A	1.356	1.7425	1.74625	1.354	0.779	0.54 ⁺
B	1.351	1.74375	1.7505	1.3565	0.787	0.48 ⁺

shows the estimation of charges on the BEDT-TTF molecules are close to the expected 0.5⁺ based on the method of Guionneau *et al.*²²

The honeycomb arrangement of the anion layer is shown in Fig. 3. The hexagonal cavities created by the tris(oxalato)chromate anions and NH₄⁺ cations contain disordered toluene guest molecules. The -CH₃ group of the toluene is directed towards a Cr metal centre along the *b* axis (Fig. 3). A single anion layer consists only of a single enantiomer of Cr(C₂O₄)₃³⁻ with the next

anion layer consisting of only the other enantiomer, to give a salt which is racemic overall.

The electrical resistance of salt (**I**) (Fig. 4) shows metallic behaviour down to 1.8 K with no transition to the superconducting state.

β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·phenol (**II**)

Salt (**II**) is isostructural with (**I**) and incorporates phenol as the guest molecule (Fig. 5–7). Short S...S contacts are given in Table 3. Table 4 shows the estimated charges of the two crystallographically independent BEDT-TTF molecules, which in this case are slightly higher than the expected 0.5⁺. The anion layer for (**II**) has a hexagonal arrangement of Cr(C₂O₄)₃³⁻ and H₃O⁺ cations with phenol guest molecules within the honeycomb cavities (Fig. 7). In the β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)M(C₂O₄)₃]·guest family (A = K⁺/H₃O⁺/NH₄⁺) the guest molecule is usually a monosubstituted benzene with the R group directed along the *b* axis towards the metal centre of the tris(oxalato)metalate anion, as is the case for Cr/toluene (Fig. 3). However, in salt (**II**) the -OH group of the phenol guest molecules are disordered over two positions and not along the *b* axis (Fig. 7). The electrical resistance of salt (**II**) (Fig. 8) shows metallic behaviour down to 0.5 K with no transition to the superconducting state. There is a slight upturn in the resistivity below 4 K.




Fig. 3 Anion layer of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(NH₄)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·toluene (**I**) viewed down the *c* axis.






Fig. 4 Electrical resistance of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(NH₄)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·toluene (I). The resistance below 10 K is shown on the right-hand side.




Fig. 5 Layered packing of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·phenol (II) viewed down the *a* axis.




Fig. 6 Donor layer of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·phenol (II).

β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·salicylaldehyde (III)

Salt (III) is isostructural with (I) and (II) and incorporates the larger salicylaldehyde as the guest molecule. The salicylaldehyde molecule is heavily disordered within the honeycomb cavities. Short S...S contacts are given in Table 5. Table 6 shows the estimated charges of the two crystallographically independent BEDT-TTF molecules, which in this case are slightly lower than the expected 0.5^+ . The electrical resistance of salt (III) (Fig. 9) shows metallic behaviour down to 0.5 K with no transition to the superconducting state. There is a slight upturn in the resistivity below 4 K.

Relationship of the *b* axis length to the superconducting T_c ¹⁴

Salts (I)–(III) are isostructural with the salts presented in Table 7 which shows the conducting properties and the *b* axis length for each salt in the family β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·guest (A = K⁺/H₃O⁺/NH₄⁺). There are three superconducting salts with Cr(C₂O₄)₃ where the guest molecule is benzonitrile⁷ ($T_c = 5.5$ – 6.0 K), nitrobenzene²³ ($T_c = 5.8$ K), or bromobenzene²⁴ ($T_c = 1.5$







Fig. 7 Anion layer of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·phenol (II) viewed down the *c* axis.

Table 3 Short S...S contacts (<sum VdW radii) for β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·phenol (II)

S atom 1	S atom 2	Contact (Å)
S1	S7	3.5312(9)
S5	S7	3.3940(9)
S4	S15	3.5391(9)
S6	S9	3.3977(9)
S6	S13	3.3629(9)

Table 4 Approximation of the charge of BEDT-TTF molecules in β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·phenol (II) based on the bond lengths (Å):²² $\delta = (b + c) - (a + d)$, $Q = 6.347 - 7.463\delta$



Donor	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	δ	<i>Q</i>
A	1.369	1.741	1.74925	1.3525	0.769	0.61 ⁺
B	1.371	1.73725	1.74725	1.3535	0.760	0.68 ⁺

K). The $-\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$, $-\text{NO}_2$, or $-\text{Br}$ group in these superconductors is directed along the *b* axis and increased length of the guest molecule and *b* axis has been correlated with an increase in the superconducting T_c .¹⁴ With slightly smaller guest molecules the *b* axis is reduced and no transition to the superconducting state is observed. The choice of guests has previously been confined to those which can act as the solvent for growth of the crystals *via* electrocrystallisation. Incorporating a guest which is an additive to the crystal growing solvent gives many new possibilities for guests that may increase the *b* axis length and the superconducting T_c to aid with the study of the unconventional mechanism of superconductivity in these salts.²⁹ There is also the possibility of adding extra functionality to the material *via* the guest additive.

Salts (I)–(III) all show metallic behaviour with no transition to the superconducting state, and salts (II) and (III) show an upturn in the resistance below 10 K. This upturn has also been observed in the metallic salts Cr/dimethylformamide,²⁵ Cr/2-bromopyridine²⁶ and Cr/2-chloropyridine.²⁶ The *b* axes for Cr/toluene (I) and Cr/phenol (II) are shorter than those of the three superconducting salts Cr/benzonitrile, Cr/nitrobenzene, and Cr/bromobenzene, which explains the lack of a superconducting transition in (I) and (II). However, the *b* axis length of 19.970(3) Å for Cr/salicylaldehyde (III) is longer at room temperature than the superconducting Cr/bromobenzene ($T_c = 1.5$ K, $b = 19.9773(11)$ Å).







Fig. 8 Electric resistance of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·phenol (II). The upturn in resistance below 10 K is shown on the right-hand side.

Table 5 Short S...S contacts (<sum VdW radii) for β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·salicylaldehyde (III)

S atom 1	S atom 2	Contact (Å)
S1	S7	3.414(6)
S3	S7	3.509(7)
S2	S9	3.373(6)
S2	S11	3.422(7)
S6	S15	3.558(7)
S8	S10	3.589(8)

Table 6 Approximation of the charge of BEDT-TTF molecules in β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·salicylaldehyde (III) based on the bond lengths (Å):²² $\delta = (b + c) - (a + d)$, $Q = 6.347 - 7.463\delta$



Donor	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	δ	<i>Q</i>
A	1.261	1.769	1.72975	1.338	0.900	0.37 ⁺
B	1.309	1.76325	1.75325	1.412	0.795	0.41 ⁺

The lack of a superconducting transition and an upturn in resistance below 10 K has previously been observed in Cr/2-bromopyridine and Cr/2-chloropyridine.²⁶ This is in contrast to their superconducting Fe analogues³⁰ and has been attributed to disorder in the tris(oxalato)metallate anions and in the K⁺/H₃O⁺ cations of the anion layers.

Salicylaldehyde is a non-symmetrical guest and it has been previously been concluded that ordering of a non-symmetrical guest may stop it becoming superconducting due to the dipole moment.³¹




Fig. 9 Electrical resistance of β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(H₃O)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·salicylaldehyde (III). The upturn in resistance below 10 K is shown on the right-hand side.



Table 7 Relationship of the *b* axis length with conducting properties for the family of salts β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·guest (A = K⁺/H₃O⁺/NH₄⁺)

Guest	A ⁺	Conductivity	<i>b</i> axis at room temperature/Å	<i>b</i> axis at lower temperature/Å	Ref.
Toluene (I)	NH ₄ ⁺	Metal >4.2 K		19.8060(2) [120 K]	
Phenol (II)	H ₃ O ⁺	Metal >4.2 K	19.9211(4)		
Salicylaldehyde (III)	H ₃ O ⁺	Metal >4.2 K	19.970(3)		
Benzonitrile	H ₃ O ⁺	<i>T</i> _c = 5.5–6.0 K	20.130(4)	19.965(1) [120 K]	7
Nitrobenzene	H ₃ O ⁺ /NH ₄ ⁺	<i>T</i> _c = 5.8 K	20.0908(3)	19.91720(10) [120 K]	23
Bromobenzene	H ₃ O ⁺	<i>T</i> _c = 1.5 K	19.9773(11)		24
Dimethylformamide	K ⁺ /NH ₄ ⁺	Metal >4.2 K	19.888(2)		25
Dimethylformamide	K ⁺	Metal >4.2 K	19.880(3)		25
2-Bromopyridine	H ₃ O ⁺ /K ⁺	Metal >0.5 K	20.0382(5)		26
2-Chloropyridine	H ₃ O ⁺ /K ⁺	Metal >0.5 K	20.0020(9)		26
Pyridine	H ₃ O ⁺	Metal >0.5 K	19.965		27
Chlorobenzene	H ₃ O ⁺	Metal >130 K	19.9420(10)		20
Dichloromethane	H ₃ O ⁺	Semicon <150 K		19.7144(11) [150 K]	28

Conclusions

We present three new additions to the family β'' -(BEDT-TTF)₄[(A)Cr(C₂O₄)₃]·guest with the guest molecules toluene, phenol, or salicylaldehyde. These new guests are a liquid or solid additive within the electrocrystallisation medium. Previously guests had been limited to those acting as the solvents for crystal growth. This offers new possibilities for inclusion of guests which can add extra functionality to the material or extend the length of the *b* axis to increase the superconducting *T*_c. All three salts show metallic behaviour from room temperature down to <10 K, and the phenol and salicylaldehyde salts show an upturn in resistance below 10 K. The *b* axis length in this family of salts shows a correlation with the conducting properties. Salt (III) (Cr/salicylaldehyde) has a comparable *b* axis length to superconducting Cr/bromobenzene (*T*_c = 1.5 K), whilst salts (I) and (II) have shorter *b* axes to explain their metallic behaviour. Salicylaldehyde is a non-symmetrical guest and its dipole moment may be the reason that it does not show superconductivity.

Experimental

Starting materials

Ammonium tris(oxalato)chromate was synthesised by the method of Bailar and Jones.³² 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene, ethanol, phenol, toluene, salicylaldehyde and 18-crown-6 ether were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. BEDT-TTF was purchased from TCI and recrystallised from chloroform.

Synthesis of radical-cation salts

Salt (I) was synthesised by adding 100 mg of ammonium tris(oxalato)chromate, 250 mg 18-crown-6 ether, and 10 ml of toluene to 15 ml 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene : 3 ml ethanol and stirring overnight before filtering into a H-cell containing 10 mg BEDT-TTF in the anode side. A current of 0.5 μ A was applied to give black blocks which were collected after 1 month.

Salt (II) was synthesised by adding 100 mg of ammonium tris(oxalato)chromate, 250 mg 18-crown-6 ether, and 100 mg of phenol to 15 ml 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene : 3 ml ethanol and

stirring overnight before filtering into a H-cell containing 10 mg BEDT-TTF in the anode side. A current of 0.5 μ A was applied for 3 weeks to give black blocks.

Salt (III) was synthesised by adding 100 mg of ammonium tris(oxalato)chromate, 200 mg 18-crown-6 ether, and 10 ml of salicylaldehyde to 10 ml 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene : 2 ml ethanol and stirring overnight before filtering into a H-cell containing 10 mg BEDT-TTF in the anode side. A current of 0.5 μ A was applied for 3 weeks to give black blocks.

Electrical resistivity measurements

Temperature dependent electrical resistivity measurements were performed using four contacts on single crystals of (I), (II) and (III).

X-ray crystallography

Data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction XtaLAB Synergy R, DW system, HyPix-Arc 100 using CuK α radiation at 150 K for (I) using CrystalisPro software.³³

Data were collected on a Rigaku R-Axis VII imaging plate system with FR-E SuperBright High-Brilliance Rotating Anode Generator with confocal monochromated MoK α radiation, using Rapid Auto software for control and processing at 298 K for (II) and (III).

The crystal structure for (I) was solved and refined using Olex2 (ref. 34) with SHELXT 2018/2 (ref. 35) (solution) and SHELXL 2019/2 (ref. 36) (refinement).

The crystal structure for (II) was solved and refined using Olex2 (ref. 34) with SHELXT 2018/2 (ref. 35) (solution) and SHELXL 2018/3 (ref. 37) (refinement).

The crystal structure for (III) was solved with SIR2014 (ref. 38) and refined with SHELXL 2018/3.³⁷ The heavily disordered guest molecules in the hexagonal cavity which could not be resolved were removed from calculations using SQUEEZE from the PLATON program.³⁹

All molecular illustrations were prepared using Mercury.⁴⁰

Crystal data for (I). At 120 K: C₅₃H₄₂CrNO₁₂S₃₂, *M* = 1962.79, black block, *a* = 10.2438(1), *b* = 19.8060(2), *c* = 35.2267(3) Å, β = 94.350(1)°, *U* = 7126.51(12) Å³, *T* = 119.99(10) K, space group



$C2/c$, $Z = 4$, $\mu = 10.606 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, reflections collected = 202 853, independent reflections = 7477, $R1 = 0.0877$, $wR2 = 0.1835 [F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $R1 = 0.0885$, $wR2 = 0.1839$ (all data). CCDC 2338454.

Crystal data for (II). At 298 K: $C_{52}H_{39}CrO_{14}S_{32}$, $M = 1965.79$, black block, $a = 10.3270(2)$, $b = 19.9211(4)$, $c = 35.3072(7) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 93.139(7)^\circ$, $U = 7252.7(2) \text{ \AA}^3$, $T = 298 \text{ K}$, space group $C2/c$, $Z = 4$, $\mu = 1.140 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, reflections collected = 34 185, independent reflections = 8299, $R1 = 0.0391$, $wR2 = 0.0457 [F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $R1 = 0.1044$, $wR2 = 0.1091$ (all data). CCDC 2338455.

Crystal data for (III). At 298 K: $C_{53}H_{39}CrO_{15}S_{32}$, $M = 1993.80$, black block, $a = 10.3201(11)$, $b = 19.970(3)$, $c = 35.467(4) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 92.995(7)^\circ$, $U = 7299.5(15) \text{ \AA}^3$, $T = 298 \text{ K}$, space group $C2/c$, $Z = 4$, $\mu = 1.135 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, reflections collected = 31 619, independent reflections = 8283, $R1 = 0.2301$, $wR2 = 0.5352 [F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $R1 = 0.2843$, $wR2 = 0.5535$ (all data). CCDC 2338456.

Author contributions

Synthesis MB, EKR, LM; X-ray crystallography, HA, TJB, JOO, LM; conductivity measurements SI, HA, YN, EKR; writing – original draft preparation, LM; project administration, LM; funding acquisition, LM; supervision, LM, JDW, TJB, JOO.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

LM, JOO and TJB would like to thank the Leverhulme Trust for financial support (RPG-2019-242). EKR would like to thank NTU for a PhD studentship. EKR, LM, HA, and YN would like to thank JSPS for funding the Summer Program for EKR.

References

- 1 A. W. Graham, M. Kurmoo and P. Day, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1995, 2061–2062; M. Kurmoo, A. W. Graham, P. Day, S. J. Coles, M. B. Hursthouse, J. L. Caulfield, J. Singleton, F. L. Pratt and W. Hayes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 12209–12217.
- 2 E. Coronado, J. R. Galán-Mascarós, C. J. Gómez-García and V. Laukhin, *Nature*, 2000, **408**, 447–449.
- 3 B. Zhang, Y. Zhang and D. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 197–199.
- 4 L. Martin, P. Day, H. Akutsu, J.-i. Yamada, S.-i. Nakatsuji, W. Clegg, R. W. Harrington, P. N. Horton, M. B. Hursthouse, P. McMillan and S. Firth, *CrystEngComm*, 2007, **10**, 865–867.
- 5 A. Akutsu-Sato, H. Akutsu, S. S. Turner, P. Day, M. R. Probert, J. A. K. Howard, T. Akutagawa, S. Takeda, T. Nakamura and T. Mori, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 291–295.
- 6 L. Martin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **376**, 277–291; S. Benmansour and C. J. Gómez-García, *Magnetochemistry*, 2021, **7**, 93.
- 7 L. Martin, S. S. Turner, P. Day, K. M. A. Malik, S. J. Coles and M. B. Hursthouse, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 513–514.
- 8 L. Martin, S. S. Turner, P. Day, P. Guionneau, J. A. K. Howard, D. E. Hibbs, M. E. Light, M. B. Hursthouse, M. Uruichi and K. Yakushi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 1363–1371.
- 9 S. Benmansour, Y. Sánchez-Mañez and C. J. Gómez-García, *Magnetochemistry*, 2017, **3**, 7.
- 10 L. Martin, A. L. Morritt, J. R. Lopez, Y. Nakazawa, H. Akutsu, S. Imajo, Y. Ihara, B. Zhang, Y. Zhang and Y. Guo, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 9542–9548.
- 11 T. G. Prokhorova, L. V. Zorina, S. V. Simonov, V. N. Zverev, E. Canadell, R. P. Shibaeva and E. B. Yagubskii, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 7048.
- 12 T. J. Blundell, M. Brannan, J. Mburu-Newman, H. Akutsu, Y. Nakazawa, S. Imajo and L. Martin, *Magnetochemistry*, 2021, **7**, 90.
- 13 T. J. Blundell, A. L. Morritt, E. K. Rusbridge, L. Quibell, J. Oakes, H. Akutsu, Y. Nakazawa, S. Imajo, T. Kadoya, J.-i. Yamada, S. J. Coles, J. Christensen and L. Martin, *Mater. Adv.*, 2022, **3**, 4724–4735.
- 14 S. Imajo, H. Akutsu, A. Akutsu-Sato, A. L. Morritt, L. Martin and Y. Nakazawa, *Phys. Rev. Res.*, 2019, **1**, 033184.
- 15 L. Martin, H. Akutsu, P. N. Horton and M. B. Hursthouse, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, **17**, 2783–2790; L. Martin, S.-i. Nakatsuji, J.-i. Yamada, H. Akutsu and P. Day, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 2738–2742; L. Martin, P. Day, S.-i. Nakatsuji, J.-i. Yamada, H. Akutsu and P. Horton, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 1369–1372.
- 16 L. V. Zorina, S. S. Khasanov, S. V. Simonov, R. P. Shibaeva, V. N. Zverev, E. Canadell, T. G. Prokhorova and E. B. Yagubskii, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 2430–2438.
- 17 H. Akutsu, A. Akutsu-Sato, S. S. Turner, P. Day, E. Canadell, S. Firth, R. J. H. Clark, J. Yamada and S. Nakatsuji, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 18–19.
- 18 L. Martin, S. S. Turner, P. Day, F. E. Mabbs and E. J. L. McInnes, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1367–1368.
- 19 L. Martin, A. L. Morritt, J. R. Lopez, H. Akutsu, Y. Nakazawa, S. Imajo and Y. Ihara, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**(2), 717–720; L. Martin, J. R. Lopez, H. Akutsu, Y. Nakazawa and S. Imajo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**(22), 14045–14052.
- 20 A. L. Morritt, J. R. Lopez, T. J. Blundell, E. Canadell, H. Akutsu, Y. Nakazawa, S. Imajo and L. Martin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 10656–10664.
- 21 S. Imajo, H. Akutsu, R. Kurihara, T. Yajima, Y. Kohama, M. Tokunaga, K. Kindo and Y. Nakazawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2020, **125**, 177002.
- 22 P. Guionneau, C. J. Kepert, G. Bravic, D. Chasseau, M. R. Truter, M. Kurmoo and P. Day, *Synth. Met.*, 1997, **86**, 1973–1974.
- 23 S. Rashid, S. S. Turner, P. Day, J. A. K. Howard, P. Guionneau, E. J. L. McInnes, F. E. Mabbs, R. J. H. Clark, S. Firth and T. Biggs, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2001, **11**, 2095–2101.
- 24 E. Coronado, S. Curreli, C. Gimenez-Saiz and C. J. Gómez-García, *Synth. Met.*, 2005, **154**, 245–248.



- 25 T. G. Prokhorova, S. S. Khasanov, L. V. Zorina, L. I. Buravov, V. A. Tkacheva, A. A. Baskakov, R. B. Morgunov, M. Gener, E. Canadell, R. P. Shibaeva and E. B. Yagubskii, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2003, **13**, 403–411.
- 26 T. G. Prokhorova, E. B. Yagubskii, L. V. Zorina, S. V. Simonov, V. N. Zverev, R. P. Shibaeva and L. I. Buravov, *Crystals*, 2018, **8**, 92.
- 27 A. I. Coldea, A. F. Bangura, J. Singleton, A. Ardavan, A. Akutsu-Sato, H. Akutsu, S. S. Turner and P. Day, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2004, **69**, 085112.
- 28 S. Rashid, S. S. Turner, D. Le Pevelen, P. Day, M. E. Light, M. B. Hursthouse, S. Firth and R. J. H. Clark, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 5304–5306.
- 29 Y. Ihara and S. Imajo, *Crystals*, 2022, **12**(5), 711.
- 30 T. G. Prokhorova, L. I. Buravov, E. B. Yagubskii, L. V. Zorina, S. V. Simonov, V. N. Zverev, R. P. Shibaeva and E. Canadell, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **34**, 5611–5620.
- 31 T. G. Prokhorova, E. B. Yagubskii, A. A. Bardin, V. N. Zverev, G. V. Shilov and L. I. Buravov, *Magnetochemistry*, 2021, **7**, 83.
- 32 J. C. Bailar and E. M. Jones, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1939, **1**, 35.
- 33 Agilent, *CrysAlis PRO*, Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, 2014.
- 34 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339–341.
- 35 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2014, **70**, C1437.
- 36 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.
- 37 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2008, **64**, 112–122.
- 38 M. C. Burla, R. Caliendo, B. Carrozzini, G. L. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, M. Mallamo, A. Mazzone and G. Polidori, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2014, **48**, 306–309.
- 39 P. V. D. Sluis and A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A*, 1990, **46**, 194; A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **65**, 148.
- 40 C. F. Macrae, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, G. P. Shields, R. Taylor, M. Towler and J. van de Streek, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2006, **39**, 453–457.

