# RSC Advances



# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 35475

Received 18th May 2024 Accepted 18th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra03676j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### 1. Introduction

Asymmetric organosilanes have interesting and useful applications in different fields, including organic synthesis,<sup>1</sup> agroscience,<sup>2</sup> materials science,<sup>3</sup> and medicinal chemistry.<sup>4</sup> Owing to the presence of the silicon atom in their structures, they have a particular physical and electronic nature. Several synthetic strategies have been developed to produce asymmetric organosilanes, which rely on transition metals as catalysts.<sup>5,6</sup> In contrast, developing organocatalysis-based strategies to construct asymmetric organosilanes has become a great and essential challenge.<sup>7</sup> N-Heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) act as key and powerful tools to construct chiral C–X  $(X = C, N \text{ or } O)$  bonds in various asymmetric organic reactions, such as Stetter, crossbenzoin, Mannich, Michael, and cycloaddition reactions.<sup>8-12</sup> Interestingly, many annulation reactions in the formation of carbocyclic and heterocyclic systems using NHC catalysts have been reported. These reactions have been performed with excellent stereo- and regioselectivity. NHC-catalyzed annulation reactions were carried out with the Umpolung of enals and

## DFT investigation of the mechanism and role of Nheterocyclic carbene (NHC) in constructing asymmetric organosilanes using NHC-catalyzed [4+2] cycloaddition reaction†

#### Batoul Alipou[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1357-923X)<sup>D\*</sup>

Herein, the mechanism and origin of stereoselectivity for the asymmetric [4+2] cycloaddition between (E)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1) and phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-en-1-one (R2) in the presence of an Nheterocyclic carbene (NHC) were theoretically scrutinized. The desirable catalytic cycle is characterized by five steps: (1) the coupling reaction of the NHC catalyst with R1, the formation of the Breslow and enolate intermediates in the second and third steps, (4) the formal [4+2] cycloaddition reaction to form the stereoselective C–C bond, and (5) the regeneration of NHC to obtain asymmetric organosilanes. In the most energetically favorable pathway, the formation of the enolate intermediate exhibits the highest energy barrier of about 19.48 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Re-TS<sub>2</sub>BA) and is the rate-determining step. The [4+2] cycloaddition reaction is the stereoselectivity-determining step forming the chiral C–C bond with RR, RS, SR and SS configurations, among which RS is the most desirable configuration. The origin of stereoselectivity was investigated using distortion energy analysis. The first and fourth steps helped in investigating the effects of electron-donating (Me) and electron-withdrawing (Cl) groups on cinnamaldehyde. Conceptual DFT (CDFT) analysis was carried out to confirm the critical role of the NHC catalyst as a Lewis base during the reaction processes. PAPER<br>
(a) Cheek for updates<br>
Cut since  $\frac{1}{2}$  Cut since

diverse electrophilic substrates, such as aldehydes, imines, and ketones.<sup>13</sup> In fact, the complexation of NHCs and enals results in the inversion of natural reactivity  $(i.e.,$  Umpolung reaction) and forms the Breslow intermediate, which works as a prenucleophile, as shown in Scheme 1.

This leads to the formation of various reactive intermediates possessing one, two, three, and four active carbon centers. a-Carbon (enolate intermediate  $b$ ),<sup>14,15</sup>  $\beta$ -carbon (homoenolate intermediate c),<sup>16-20</sup>  $\gamma$ -carbon (dienolate intermediate d),<sup>21-23</sup> and carbonyl carbon (acyl anion equivalent intermediate a) are among these intermediates (Scheme  $2$ ).<sup>24-26</sup>

This intermediate serves as a  $C_X$  (X = 1, 2, 3, and 4) carbon synthon in diverse annulation reactions (Scheme 2). For instance, the cross-benzoin reaction occurs when the Breslow



Scheme 1 Formation of the Breslow intermediate.

Department of Chemistry, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box 14115 175, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: a.batoul@modares.ac.ir

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra03676j>



Scheme 2 The formation of different reactive intermediates from the Breslow intermediate.

intermediate acts as a  $C_1$ -synthon.<sup>24-26</sup> A  $C_2$ -synthon (enolate intermediate) performs different types of  $[2+n]$   $(n = 3, 4)$ annulation reactions.<sup>27,28</sup> A C<sub>3</sub>-synthon (homoenolate intermediate) shows different types of  $[3+n]$   $(n = 3, 4)$  annulation reactions.<sup>8</sup> Finally, the NHC-catalyzed  $[4+n]$   $(n = 2, 3)$  annulation reaction occurs through a  $C_4$ -synthon (dienolate intermediate).29,30 Glorius and Bode reported that the NHC-catalyzed reactions between enals and aldehydes resulted in the production of  $\gamma$ -lactones generated by the homoenolate intermediates.16,17 These results lead to much attention for the construction of different kinds of compounds through the activated  $\beta$ -carbon in the homoenolates.<sup>31</sup> Also, Bode suggested that the conjugated Breslow intermediate can undergo the protonation process and form the enol or enolate intermediate.<sup>32</sup> In addition, Scheidt<sup>33</sup> and Chi<sup>15</sup> indicated that the proton transfer of the  $\beta$ -carbon of homoenolate led to the generation of the enolate intermediate under certain experimental conditions.

In 2018, Fu and Huang advanced an interesting [4+2] cycloaddition reaction between  $(E)$ -3- $(p$ -tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1) and phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-en-1-one (R2).<sup>34</sup> This reaction was performed in the presence of triazolium salt as a precatalyst and  $Cs_2CO_3$  and 1,4-dioxane (DO) as the base and solvent, respectively. This reaction proceeded smoothly at ambient temperature to afford the asymmetric organosilanes in good yields and excellent stereoselectivities (Scheme 3).

Although construction methods of carbon–carbon chiral bonds have been developed, the comprehension of their mechanism continues to be challenging. Over the years, numerous theoretical studies have been published to elucidate the characterization of NHC as a catalyst and the origin of stereoselectivity in annulation reactions.<sup>35-39</sup> Sunoj and coworkers explored the detailed mechanism of several reactions in NHC-catalyzed [3+2] and [4+2] annulation reactions using DFT calculations.<sup>40</sup> Wei and co-workers revealed the origin of stereoselectivity in different types of NHC-catalyzed cycloaddition reactions through density functional theory  $(DFT)$ .  $37,41,42$ More theoretical investigations have been reported to elucidate the detailed mechanism of NHC-catalyzed  $[n+2]$   $(n = 2, 3, 4)$  and [3+4] annulation reactions by other groups.<sup>36,43-46</sup>

Herein, DFT computations of the NHC-catalyzed [4+2] cycloaddition reaction between R1 and R2 have been performed, as shown in Scheme 3. This study aims to describe the potential role of N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) as an organocatalyst in promoting [4+2] cycloaddition reactions with a deep insight and a broad understanding of their important and influential role in organic synthesis, which solved the following questions.

(1) How can the unsaturated  $sp<sup>2</sup>$  carbon of R1 be activated in this reaction? (2) How can proton transfer be performed in this reaction? (3) What is the role of NHC and  $Cs_2CO_3$ ? (4) Which step determines the rate or stereoselectivity? (5) What is the origin of the stereoselectivity?

And (6) what are the effects of substitution on the addition of NHC to R1 and stereoselectivity?

### 2. Computational method

Gaussian 09 software was employed to investigate all DFT calculations.<sup>47</sup> The reported DFT calculations show that the M06-2X method gives an actual outcome of the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters.<sup>48-55</sup> The geometries of all structures were investigated using the M06-2 $X^{48,56,57}$  method along with the  $6-31G(d,p)$  basis set to optimize the C, H, N, and O atoms. Also, lanl2dz<sup>58,59</sup> was applied to optimize the Cs atom. The solvent environmental effects were considered in all theoretical calculations in 1,4-dioxane solvent through the integral equation formalism polarizable continuum model (IEF-PCM).<sup>60,61</sup> The vibrational frequency was computed at the same level to access the corrections in the Gibbs free energies and



Scheme 3 NHC-catalyzed [4+2] annulation reaction of (E)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1) and phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-en-1-one (R2).

verify the character of each stationary point. The minima state had no imaginary frequencies (NIMAG =  $0$ ), while the transition state (TS) had only one imaginary frequency (NIMAG  $= 1$ ). Single-point energy for all optimized structures were calculated at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level in implicit 1,4-dioxane using IEF-PCM. Also, tight convergence criterion and ultrafine integral grid were used to enhance the accuracy of the calculations. In this study, all of the detailed mechanisms were scrutinized using the Gibbs free energies resulting from the addition of the thermal corrections Gibbs free energies at the M06-2X/6-31G(d,p)//(dioxane) IEF/PCM level (L1) to the relevant single point energies at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd)// (dioxane) IEF/PCM level (L2). Intrinsic reaction coordinate  $(IRC)^{62,63}$  calculations were done at the M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level to confirm the transition states that led to the desired reactants and products. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis<sup>64-66</sup> was used at the M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level to calculate the atomic charge distribution. In addition, the CDFT-derived reactivity index was described similarly to the global reactivity index (GRI) analysis,<sup>67</sup> which was executed to indicate the role of the organocatalyst. In this analysis, the electrophilicity index  $\omega$  was obtained through  $\omega = (\mu^2/2\eta)$  (eV), in which  $\mu$  was defined as electronic chemical potential and  $\eta$  was defined as chemical hardness.<sup>68,69</sup> With the use of expressions  $\mu \approx (E_{\rm H} - E_{\rm L})/2$  and  $\eta$  $\approx (E_{\rm L} - E_{\rm H})$ , the values of  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  were obtained. The  $E_{\rm H}$  and  $E_{\rm L}$ are the one-electron energy of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals in the corresponding molecules, respectively. It is worth mentioning that the nucleophilicity index  $N = E_{\text{H(Nu)}} - E_{\text{H(TCNE)}}$ , in which tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) is known as the ref. 70–72. The Parr functions  $(P_{\rm k}{}^+$  and  $P_{\rm k}{}^-)^{\tau_3,\tau_4}$  were assessed to compute both the local electrophilicity and local nucleophilicity indexes, which were obtained through expressions  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \omega P_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \right)$ <sup>75</sup> and  $N_{\rm k}$   $\left(N_{\rm k}\,=\,N P_{\rm k}\right)^{-\gamma_{6}}$  for some key atoms in the reactants and intermediates, respectively. Three-dimensional (3D) structures in this article were performed using the CYL-view software.<sup>77</sup> In addition, Boltzmann distribution calculation was performed to determine the extent of enantioselectivity in terms of enantiomeric excess (ee%). The value of diastereomeric (ee%) transition states were obtained by the following equations. Paper<br>
Published on 06 November 2022. The method of the case of  $\alpha$  November 2022. The method of the Case of Creative Commons are the common at the system of the system of the case of Creative Commons are the system of t

$$
\frac{[R]}{[S]} = \frac{e^{(-\Delta G_{[R]}^{\ddag}/RT)}}{e^{(-\Delta G_{[S]}^{\ddag}/RT)}} = e^{(\Delta \Delta G^{\ddag}/RT)}
$$

$$
ee = \frac{[R] - [S]}{[R] + [S]} \times 100\% = \frac{\frac{[R]}{[S]} - 1}{\frac{[R]}{[S]} + 1} \times 100\%
$$

where  $G^{\ddagger}$  is the Gibbs free energy barrier of the competing diastereomeric transition state and  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  is the Gibbs free energy difference between two competing intended diastereomeric transition states.

### 3. Results and discussion

In this study, two possible routes for constructing the asymmetric organosilanes of  $(E)$ -3- $(p$ -tolyl)acrylaldehyde  $(R1)$  and phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-en-1-one (R2) are proposed and investigated, which are discussed in detail as follows.

#### 3.1. The first reaction route

As shown in Scheme 4, a possible mechanism has been proposed for this reaction by initiating the removal of a proton of the pre-catalyst by  $Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  base to prepare the active NHC, a molecule of CsCl and a molecule of  $CsHCO<sub>3</sub>$ . The catalytic cycle involves five steps:  $(1)$  the formation of a zwitterionic intermediate by the addition of NHC to R1; (2) [1,2]-proton transfer processes to form the Breslow intermediate; (3) [1,4] proton transfer processes to generate the enolate intermediate; (4) formal [4+2] annulation reaction or Diels–alder reaction; (5) regeneration of NHC to afford the expected product.

3.1.1. First step: the formation of the zwitterionic intermediate  $Re/Si$ -M1 by the addition of NHC to R1. The first step commences with the nucleophilic attack of the NHC catalyst on the Re/Si-face carbonyl group of R1 to afford the intermediate Re/Si-M1, known as the zwitterionic intermediate, via the transition state Re/Si-TS1. The optimized geometries demonstrate that the distance between C1 and C2 atoms reduces from 1.92/ 1.94 Å in  $Re/Si$ -TS1 to 1.58/1.56 Å in  $Re/Si$ -M1, respectively (ESI<sup>†</sup>). This showed that the C1–C2 bond is completely coordinated between NHC and R1 in the first step. DFT calculations indicated that the energy barrier Re-TS1 (13.88 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) is 0.80 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than that of Si-TS1 (14.68 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). More importantly,  $Re$ -M1 (9.69 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) is 3.24 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than that of Si-M1 (12.93 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). These results show



Scheme 4 Possible mechanism for the NHC-catalyzed  $[4+2]$  annulation reaction of  $(E)$ -3-(p-tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1) and phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-en-1-one (R2).

that the nucleophilic addition of NHC to the Re-face of R1 is both thermodynamically and kinetically favorable.

3.1.2. Second step: formation of Breslow intermediate Re/  $Si-M2$  by [1,2]-proton transfer. In this step, the Breslow intermediate Re/Si-M2 was obtained through the intramolecular proton transfer of H4 in the C2 to O3 atom of the intermediate Re/Si-M1 (Scheme 5). This process forms a three-membered ring with high strain due to the direct proton transfer (DPT) route. Inspired by the reported investigations in the literature, $78-82$  the other three possible routes are suggested, including the bimolecular proton transfer (BPT) happening using another molecule of enals R1. Cesium bicarbonate  $(CsHCO<sub>3</sub>)$  works as a medium for facilitating and assisting proton transfer that was obtained from the reaction between Pre-Cat with  $Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  base and is shown as the BAPT route. Also, the bicarbonate anion  $(\mathrm{HCO_3}^-)$  serves as a medium that assisted in proton transfer and depicted as the BAPT1 route (Scheme 5). The transition states TS2D, TS2B, TS2BA, and TS2BA1 are denoted as the DPT, BPT, BAPT and BAPT1 routes, respectively.

DPT route: The optimized geometry of Re/Si-TS2D reveals the formation of a three-membered ring between C2, O3, and H4 atoms. The bond length of O3–H4 is reduced from 2.08/2.08 Å in  $Re/Si$ -M1 to 1.36/1.35 Å in  $Re/Si$ -TS2D, whereas the distance between C2 and H4 is elongated from  $1.13/1.12$  Å in  $Re/Si$ -M1 to 1.17/1.18 Å in  $Re/Si$ -TS2D, respectively (ESI†). The free energy

barrier was calculated at 37.93/33.49  $(\mathrm{kcal} \ \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$  in  $\mathit{Re}/\mathit{Si}\textrm{-} \mathrm{TS2D},$ which is challenging to overcome at room temperature.

BPT route: Using the reported DFT studies on the NHCcatalyzed dimerization,<sup>79,82</sup> this route has been proposed. This mechanism describes a bimolecular proton transfer that occurs using another molecule of enals R1. When the enal R1 approaches intermediate  $Re/Si$ -M1, the H4' atom is transferred from the C2' atom to the O3 atom from  $Re/Si$ -M1 and the H4 atom is shifted from the C2 atom to the C2' atom of R1. This leads to the formation of a five-membered ring  $(C2, H4, O3, C2)$ , and  $H4'$ ) through the  $Re/Si$ -TS2B transition state. The energy barrier (37.61/45.35 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in Re/Si-TS2B) is higher than that of Re/Si-TSD, which is difficult to overcome at room temperature. The optimized geometry of Re/Si-TS2B indicates that these two proton transfers are asynchronous, and the transfer of the H4<sup>'</sup> atom occurs faster than that of the H4 atom.

BAPT route: As shown in Fig. 1, this route is scrutinized as an intermolecular proton transfer process in which cesium bicarbonate  $(CsHCO<sub>3</sub>)$  serves as the base and assists in performing proton transfer under the experimental conditions. The investigation of this mechanism was inspired by the reported studies in the literature.<sup>82,83</sup> Cesium bicarbonate, for the aid of proton transfer, can reduce the energy barrier through the formation of the seven-membered ring (C2–H4–O9–C10–O7–H8–O3). This mechanism is more energetically favorable than that of the described mechanisms. As shown in Fig. 1, Re/Si-M1 in the



presence of CsHCO<sub>3</sub> forms  $Re/Si$ -M02BA. Next,  $Re/Si$ -M02BA performs the proton transfer through the transition state Re/Si-TS2BA and produces Re/Si-M03BA. In this Re/Si-TS2BA, the H4 is shifted from the C2 atom to the O9 of carbonyl, and following the hydrogen, H8 from the O7 atom is transferred to the O3 atom. The calculated free energy barrier was 9.23/ 14.49 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in Re/Si-TS2BA, which has the lowest energy barrier of all the routes and is easily performed under experimental conditions. In addition, the energy barriers of Re/Si-M02BA and Re/Si-M03BA were obtained as 9.96/15.87 and  $-3.95/-4.55$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These outcomes show that CsHCO<sub>3</sub> can be easily linked with  $Re/Si$ -M1. In contrast, the energy barrier  $Re/Si$ -M03BA shows that CsHCO<sub>3</sub> is quickly dissociated and the Breslow intermediate Re/Si-M2 is formed. The formation of a seven-membered ring leads to decreased strain and energy barriers compared to the other routes. Owing to the ring expansion, the reactivity centers increase in three-, five-, and seven-membered rings, respectively. As discussed above, the desired catalytic cycle was performed and continued using Re-M2 because the complexation of NHC and R1 (Re-face) in both thermodynamics and kinetics is more favorable than the coupling of NHC and R1 (Si-face). Therefore, the mechanism of the nucleophilic attack of NHC on the Si-face of R1 is ignored.

BAPT1 route: The bicarbonate anion  $\rm (HCO_3^-)$  is also used for assisting proton transfer, which decreased the energy barrier by forming a seven-membered ring (C2–H4–O9–C10–O7–H8–O3). This route is more energetically favorable than that of the DPT and BPT routes. The calculated free energy barrier is 13.30/ 16.97 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in Re/Si-TS2BA1, which has a high energy barrier in the BAPT mechanism and can be performed under experimental conditions. Notably, the strong interactions between the cesium and oxygen atoms lead to reduce energy

barrier of the BAPT mechanism (BAPT vs. BAPT1) and were obtained simply under the experimental conditions. Scheme 6 illustrates the relative Gibbs free energy to construct the Breslow intermediate in both the first and second steps.

3.1.3. Third step: formation enolate intermediate M3 by [1,4]-proton transfer. In this step, the Breslow intermediate  $Re$ -M2 will undergo  $\beta$ -protonation to produce the enolate intermediate M3. In fact, the [1,4]-proton transfer (intramolecular proton transfer) of H4 atom from the O3 to the C6 atom in the intermediate Re-M2 occurs (Scheme 6). Like step 2, direct proton transfer (DPT), bimolecular proton transfer (BPT), cesium bicarbonate-assisted proton transfer (BAPT) and the anion bicarbonate-assisted proton transfer (BAPT1) mechanisms were investigated (Scheme 7). The transition states TS3D, TS3B, TS3BA, and TS3BA1 are shown as the DPT, BPT, BAPT, and BAPT1 routes, respectively.

DPT route: This process forms a five-membered ring via the [1,4]-proton transfer. The optimized geometry of TS3D shows that a five-membered ring between C2, C5, C6, O3, and H4 atoms was formed. The bond length of O3–H4 was elongated from 0.97 Å in  $Re$ -M2 to 1.27 Å in TS3D, whereas the distance between C6 and H4 was reduced from 2.83 Å in Re-M2 to 1.43 Å in TS3D, respectively (ESI†). For this TS, the energy barrier was calculated to be 32.37 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.

BPT route: This mechanism explored a bimolecular proton transfer using another molecule of enals R1, which is done in two steps; firstly, the enal R1 approaches intermediate  $Re$ -M2, and the H4 $'$  atom is transferred from the C2 $'$  atom of R1 to the C6 atom of  $Re$ -M2. Following this, the H4 atom is shifted from the O3 atom to the C2 $'$  atom of R1. For the transfer of the H4 $'$ atom to the C6 atom in the first step, the energy barrier  $(61.12 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$  is more than that of the DPT route, which leads to neglecting the transfer of H4 to  $C2'$  calculation.



Fig. 1 The geometry and optimization of the BAPT route for the formation of the Breslow intermediate.

BAPT route: As depicted in Fig. 2, this route is described as an intermolecular proton transfer process in which the cesium bicarbonate base works as the medium for the proton-assisted transfer process. Cesium bicarbonate reduces the energy barrier through the formation of the nine-membered ring (C2, H8, O3, C5, C6, O7, H4, O9, and C10 atoms). This mechanism is more energetically favorable than that of other routes. As depicted in Fig. 2,  $CsHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $Re-M2$  formed intermediate M04BA. Then, M04BA undergoes the proton transfer through the transition state TS3BA and creates intermediate M05BA. In this T3BA, the H8 is shifted from the O3 atom to the O7 of carbonyl, following which the hydrogen H4 from the O9 atom in

cesium bicarbonate is transferred to the C6 atom in Re-M2. The calculated free energy barrier is 19.48 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, the lowest barrier in this step and is easily performed under experimental conditions. In addition, the calculated results show that the energy barriers of M04BA and M05BA are −7.53 and  $-2.01$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that CsHCO<sub>3</sub> is highly prone to react with Re-M2; in contrast, the energy barrier of M05BA shows that  $CsHCO<sub>3</sub>$  is easily separated and formed enolate intermediate M3.

BAPT1 route: The bicarbonate anion applied for the aid of proton transfer diminished the energy barrier by making a ninemembered ring (C2–H4–O9–C10–O7–H8–O3). This pathway is



more thermodynamically favorable than that of the DPT and BPT routes. The calculated free energy barrier is 22.37 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in TS3BA1, which has a high energy barrier of the BAPT pathway and can be done under experimental conditions. Due to the presence of the Cs atom in the BAPT route, the dative interactions between the O and Cs atoms lead to reduced barrier energy (stability) versus the BAPT1 route.

3.1.4. Fourth step: formal [4+2] annulation reaction. The fourth step is known as  $[4+2]$  annulation and also is the stereocontrolling step. This step determines that the RS-configuration is favorable to form the final product through the construction of two stereogenic centers (C5 and C11 atoms). Simultaneously, the C–O bond forms to produce a six-membered ring structure

(M4). The six-membered ring RR, RS, SR, and SS-M4 were formed via transition state RR, RS, SR, and SS-TS4, respectively. The energy barriers were calculated for RR, RS, SR, and SS-TS4, 25.18, 12.47, 17.55, and 28.73 (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, implying that all four configurations can be generated at the experimental conditions. The calculated energy barrier RS-TS4 is 12.47 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, the lowest among other configurations. The energy barriers are 2.88, 2.98,  $-2.21$ , and 1.31 (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for RR, RS, SR, and SS-M4, respectively. The four possible reaction models for this step are shown in Scheme 8. The addition from the Re face of M3 on the Re or Si face of R2 results in the formation of intermediates RR-M4 and RS-M4 through RR-TS4 and RS-TS4, respectively. The addition of the Si face from M3 to



Scheme 7 The possible routes for the formation of the enolate intermediate.



Fig. 2 The optimized structures of the BAPT route for the formation of the enolate intermediate.



Scheme 8 Stereochemistry for the [4+2] annulation reaction in the fourth step.

Re or the Si face from R2 results in intermediates SR-M4 and SS-M4 through SR-TS4 and SS-TS4, respectively. The geometrical parameters show that from RR, RS, SR, and SS-TS4 to RR, RS, SR, and SS-M4, the bond distance C5–C11 shortens from 2.30, 2.24, 2.21, and 2.20 Å to 1.50, 1.54, 1.54, and 1.55 Å, and also the bond distance C2–O14 is decreased from 2.73, 2.92, 2.98, and 2.94 Å to 1.53, 1.51, 1.50, and 1.50 Å, respectively (ESI†).

Generally, the addition of the Re face from M3 to the Re or Si face of R2 is more stable and prominent both kinetically and thermodynamically compared to the addition of the Si face from M3 to the Re or Si face of R2.

3.1.5 Fifth step: catalyst NHC liberation. Finally, the NHC catalyst is released from intermediate M4 to synthesize the expected cycloaddition product RS-P via RS-TS5. The energy barriers for RR, RS, SR, and SS-TS5 were calculated at 8.75, 5.13, 3.83, and 8.18 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Owing to the small energy barrier, the regeneration of the NHC catalyst is very facile. From RR-M4, RS. SR, and SS to RR, RS. SR, and SS-TS5, the bond distance of C1–C2 is elongated from 1.58, 1.58, 1.57, and 1.58 Å, to 2.03, 1.99, 2.04, and 2.01 Å, respectively (ESI†). Lastly, the relative Gibbs free energy profiles of steps 1-5 are shown in Scheme 9.

#### 3.2. The second reaction route

Based on Wei's research in  $2014<sup>41</sup>$ , this route is suggested to further investigate the reaction of R2 and NHC catalyst. As shown in Scheme 10, the catalytic cycle recognizes three steps: (1) the formation of zwitterionic intermediate  $Re/Si$ -M1<sup>'</sup> by the Michael addition of NHC to Re/Si-R2; (2) the construction of the C–O bond through the addition of  $Re$ -M1' to R1 (keten); (3) the



Scheme 9 Gibbs free energy profiles of steps 1-5.

formation of the chiral C–C bond via intermolecular annulation reaction and regeneration of NHC to afford the expected product. For performing this pathway, it essentially converted the (E)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1) to the keten molecule (R1 $^{\prime}$ )  $via$  [1,3]-proton transfer, where the H4 from C2 atom is transferred to the C6 atom. The conversion of R1 to R1 $'$  was studied through three mechanisms including the direct proton transfer (DPT) through the formation of the four-membered ring, the bimolecular proton transfer (BPT) through the help of another R1 by forming the six-membered ring, and the aid of  $CsHCO<sub>3</sub>$ base (BAPT) by making the eight-membered ring. The calculated results indicated that the energy barrier for these TSs is



Scheme 10 The second reaction mechanism for the NHC-catalyzed annulation reaction of (E)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1) and phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)prop-2-en-1-one (R2).

65.34, 75.17, and 51.56 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the DPT, BPT, and BAPT pathways, respectively. Owing to the instability of the formed four, six, and eight-membered ring structures in these TSs, the preparation of the keten molecule (R1′) structure from the enal (R1) is impossible under ambient temperatures. Additionally, we investigated the first step of this route that started with the Michael addition of the NHC on the Re/Si-face of C11 from R2 to afford the intermediate Re/Si-M1', identified as the zwitterionic intermediate *via* the transition state *Re/Si-*TS1'. The optimized geometries demonstrate that the distance between C1 and C11 atoms is 2.06/2.01 Å in *Re/Si-*TS1', respectively. The DFT outcomes indicated that the energy barrier  $Re/Si$ -TS1' (17.22/ 18.24 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) is 3.34/3.56 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher than that of Re/ Si-TS1 (13.88/14.68 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). These outcomes confirm that the nucleophilic addition of NHC to the Re-face of R1 is both thermodynamically and kinetically favorable than the Michael addition of the NHC catalyst on the Re/Si-face of R2. Therefore, we did not continue the other steps and ignored the second reaction route. **PSC Advances**<br> **S3.4,73.17, and 3.5% kml moil of the DFT, DFT, and BATY [17.92 kml moil of Fig. 2025 and 2.73.16 and European<br>
proposed on the latent model of VJ structure from the case are and a SPK (2025 and 21:27 kml m** 

#### 3.3. Origin of the stereoselectivity

As discussed above, approaching the intermediate M3 to R2, the two chiral centers (C5 and C11 atoms) formed in the stereoselectivity step. Therefore, the transition states RR-TS4, RS-TS4, SR-TS4, and SS-TS4 are crucial to deeply understanding this mechanism. The energy difference between RS-TS4 and SR-TS4 is 5.08 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> , corresponding to an enantiomeric excess of >99% in favor of the RS diastereomer, and the free energy difference between RS-TS4 and RR-TS4 (12.71 kcal mol $^{-1})$  can be associated with the diastereomeric excess. These results are in good agreement with the experimentally observed ee of 99%. Herein, the origin of the stereoselectivity is described for the NHC-catalyzed [4+2] annulation reaction. The distortion/ interaction analysis was performed to comprehensively understand the stereoselectivity of the transition state TS4. Based on Houk's definition,<sup>84</sup> each transition state is dissociated into two parts (*i.e.*, M3\_part and R2\_part). The distortion energy is defined as the energy level difference between the decomposed part and the corresponding optimized structure in the absence of the other part (*i.e.*,  $\Delta E_{\text{dist\_M3}}^{\pm}$  and  $\Delta E_{\text{dist\_R2}}^{\pm}$ ), and the total<br>distortion operate ( $\Delta E^{\pm}$ ), is defined as  $\Delta E^{\pm}$ distortion energy  $(\Delta E_{\text{dist\_total}}^{\pm})$  is defined as  $\Delta E_{\text{dist\_M3}}^{\pm}$  +  $\Delta E_{\text{dist,R2}}^{\neq}$ . The interaction energy is obtained through the rela-<br>tionship  $\Delta E^{\neq} = \Delta E^{\neq}$ . The computed distortion and tionship  $\Delta E_{\text{int}}^{\neq} = \Delta E^{\neq} - \Delta E_{\text{dist}}^{\neq}$ . The computed distortion and<br>interaction anomias are obtained for the reactants in the tran interaction energies are obtained for the reactants in the transition state geometries (Table 1). The distortion/interaction energies were compared for these four transition states. These results show that the distortion energy of RS-TS4

Table 1 The distortion and interaction energies for the reactants of geometries TS4

 $\Delta E_{\rm dist}^{\neq}$  (R2)

 $\Delta E_{\rm dist}^{\neq}$  (M3)

 $(17.92 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}, \text{Table 1})$  is substantially lower than that of RR-TS4 and SR-TS4 (29.55 and 21.79 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, Table 1). In addition, the most stable interaction energy  $(-1.68 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1},$ Table 1) of RS-TS4 is presented. The most stable interaction energy and the distortion energy are observed for RS-TS4. These data determine that the  $RS$ -configuration product is preferentially formed.

The atom in molecules (AIM) analysis was also applied to further gain insight into the stereo-controlling step. and distinguish the nature of interactions of the chiral C5–C11 bond in TS4 using the properties of electron density by indicating the bond critical points (BCPs). These desirable topological parameters, such as electron density,  $\rho(r)$ , Laplacian of electron density,  $\nabla^2 \rho(r)$  and electronic energy density,  $H(r)$  interaction for<br>the transition states PP\_PS\_SP\_and\_SS-TS4\_are shown in Table the transition states RR, RS, SR, and SS-TS4, are shown in Table 2. According to this table, the C5–C11 bond should be considered as a partially electrostatic and covalent interaction (1 <  $|V(r)|/G(r) < 2$ .

The localized orbital locator (LOL) map was calculated (Fig. 3).58,59 The obtained results illustrate that there is usually a strong bond along with a high electron density at the BCP. This parameter shows that the C5–C11 bond (RS-TS4) is stronger than RR, SR, and SS-TS4.

Also, global electron density transfer  $(GEDT)^{85-87}$  is used to disclose the kind of reaction and charge transfer in the transition states TS4. GEDT results are indicated along with the molecular electrostatic potential maps for RR, RS, SR, and SS-TS4 in Fig. 4. For the four transition states, the obtained GEDT indicates that R2 is the negative part and has a low electron density (LUMO), whereas M3 is the positive part with a high electron density (HOMO). The charge transfer of M3 to R2 confirms that the inverse Diels-Alder reaction occurred. It should be mentioned that the GEDT value for the four transition states is more than 0.15 eV. This GEDT value shows that the [4+2] reaction has a polar nature and absorption occurs in all of the transition states TS4.

#### 3.4. Explication of the effect of substitution

As shown in Table 3, Parr Functions  $(P_{\rm k}{}^+$  and  $P_{\rm k}{}^-)$  were studied to obtain the effect of the substitution for the R1 and M3 derivatives in the first and fourth steps. We chose chlorine (Cl) and hydrogen (H) atoms in contrast to the methyl group (Me) as the model to investigate the transition states of Re/Si-TS1 and RR, RS, SR, SS-TS4. Table 3 shows that the Cl atom in R1 reduces the barrier energy compared to the hydrogen and methyl derivatives of both the Re and Si-TS1. The local electrophilicity index  $P_k$ <sup>+</sup> increases the C2 atom of the Cl-R1 through the



SR  $\Delta\Delta G$   $\Delta E^{\neq}$   $\Delta E_{\text{di}}^{\neq}$ 



Fig. 3 The LOL analysis for the transition states RR-TS4, RS, SR, and SS.

resonance effect with the phenyl ring. This phenomenon caused the treatment of Cl-R1 and NHC to proceed easily compared to the substituted H and Me-R1. It is observed that the barrier energy for the substituted Re-TS1 is lower than that of the substituted Si-TS1. Also, this table indicates that the substituted Cl atom in M3 decreases the barrier energy compared to the



Fig. 4 Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) maps of TSs in the [4+2] annulation reaction step.



Table 3 Parr functions ( $P_{\rm k}^+$  and  $P_{\rm k}^-$ ) to study the effect of substitution for the R1 and M3 derivatives in the first and fourth steps

substituted H and Me derivatives in the RR, RS, SR, and SS-TS4. The local nucleophilicity index  $P_{\rm k}^-$  value of the C5 atom in Cl-M3 is 0.67 eV, while this value of  $P_k^-$  for the C5 atom in H and Me-M3 is 0.66 and 0.65 eV, respectively. These results illustrate that the nucleophilicity of the C5 atom in the substituted Cl atom in M3 is increased compared to the substituted H and Me in M3 and leads a reduction in the barrier energy Cl-TS4s. The RS configuration has the lowest barrier energy of all the substituted TS4s.

Therefore, in our study, the observed substituent effects were primarily attributed to the electronic factors. The Parr functions employed provide a quantitative measure of local electron density, elucidating the impact of different substituents on the reaction centers. Though steric effects cannot be entirely discounted, especially for significantly bulky substituents, their influence in our system is considered secondary.

#### 3.5. Explication of the nature of the NHC catalyst

To further understand the details of the NHC-catalyzed formation of chiral organosilanes through the [4+2] annulation of R1 and R2, it is essential that the role of the catalyst be revealed in the suggested catalytic cycle. The conceptual DFT (CDFT), FMO, LRI, and NBO analyses were applied to describe the role of NHC in the catalytic mechanism. Global reactivity indices derived from CDFT for the NHC, R1, Re-M1, M3, and R2 are listed in Table 4. Domingo's describes that the molecular electrophilicity

Table 4 CDFT-based electronic chemical potential  $(\mu, \text{ in a.u.})$ , chemical hardness ( $\eta$ , in a.u.), global electrophilicity ( $\omega$ , in eV), and global nucleophilicity (N, in eV) of NHC, R1, Re-M1, M3, and R2

<b>SR</b>	μ	η	ω	N
<b>NHC</b>	$-0.12$	0.29	0.73	1.48
R1	$-0.16$	0.23	1.51	1.56
$Re$ -M1	$-0.11$	0.21	0.79	2.73
M <sub>3</sub>	$-0.10$	0.19	0.76	3.40
R <sub>2</sub>	$-0.17$	0.25	1.56	0.72

feature is determined as the global electrophilicity index  $(\omega)$ , whereas the molecular nucleophilicity feature is quantified as the global nucleophilicity index  $(N)$ . As depicted in Table 4, the catalyst NHC contains an electrophilicity value of 0.73 eV and a nucleophilicity value of 1.48 eV. On the other hand, the electrophilicity and nucleophilicity values of R1 are 1.508 and 1.56 eV, respectively. Thus, the NHC carbene coordinates successfully with R1. Moreover, it is observed that the interaction of NHC with R1 remarkably decreased the electrophilicity value to 0.79 eV; in contrast, the global nucleophilicity value increased to 2.73 eV in the Re-M1. In addition, the electrophilicity and nucleophilicity values are 0.76 and 3.40 eV for intermediate M3, respectively. Accordingly, the enolate intermediate M3 can now interact successfully with the electrophilic center of R2, which has a global electrophilicity value of 1.56 eV. These outcomes corroborated that the inversion of polarity occurs in R1.

The role of NHC catalyst in the catalytic cycle was evaluated by studying the FMO analysis. This theory is defined as the energies of the highest occupied and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals, known as the HOMO and LUMO energies, respectively. The FMO diagram for the interaction of R1 with R2 in the presence and absence of the NHC catalyst is shown in Fig. 5. This diagram illustrates that the reaction between R1 and R2 is not possible in the absence of an NHC catalyst at the experimental conditions owing to a higher energy gap (6.55 eV) between the HOMO and LUMO orbitals of R1 and R2, respectively. The incorporation of the NHC catalyst and R1 forms an intermediate M3 in which the HOMO energy level is 5.75 eV, reducing the LUMO–HOMO energy gap to 4.74 eV. This energy gap leads to the reaction with R2 under the experimental conditions. Owing to the existence of the NHC catalyst, the polarity of R1 changes by forming M3 and allows the annulation reaction to take place with R2. Thus, the description of FMO theory is well associated with the CDFT assessment, indicating the role of the NHC catalyst in the catalytic cycle of this reaction. **PSC** Advances Contents Articles. The state of  $\sqrt{2}$  on the state of  $\sqrt{2}$  on 06 November 2022. The state of the

> As shown in Table 5, the local reactivity index (LRI) was also assessed to obtain further insights into the nature of NHC at the M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. This table shows more details about the Umpolung properties of the NHC catalyst. It is seen that the  $\omega_k$  value of the C2 atom in R1 is 0.24 eV, while the  $N_k$  value of the C1 atom in NHC is 1.55 eV. The  $\omega_k$  and  $N_k$  values of the O3 atom in R1 are 0.31 eV and 0.21 eV, changing to 0.004 eV and 2.33 eV in Re-M1, respectively. This shows that the polarity of the O3 atom in the generated Re-M1 is changed. The interaction of the C1 and C2 atoms in NHC and R1 changes the nature of the C5 atom in the generated M3. The  $\omega_k$  and  $N_k$ values at the C5 atom of M3 were calculated to be 0.07 and 2.24 eV, respectively. The calculated LRI values show that reactant R2 has two electrophilic centers at C11 and C13 with  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ values of 0.43 and 0.35 eV, respectively. Thus, the NHC organocatalyst promoted the annulation reaction between R1 and R2 under the experimental conditions.

> As shown in Table 6, the NBO charge analysis illustrates that the charge on the C1 atom is enhanced from 0.14 e in NHC to 0.51 e in Re-M1, while the charge value on the C2 atom is reduced from 0.38 e in R1 to 0.10 e in Re-M1. Furthermore, the



Fig. 5 Calculated frontier molecular orbitals of R1, R2, and M3 at the M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level (unit in eV).

Table 5 Parr functions ( ${P_k}^+$  and  ${P_k}^-$ ), local electrophilicity ( $\omega_k$ , in eV), and local nucleophilicity ( $N_k$ , in eV) of NHC, R1, Re-M1, M3, and R2

<b>SR</b>	Atom	$P_{k}$ <sup>+</sup>	$P_{k}$ <sup>-</sup>	$\omega_{\bf k}$	$N_{\rm k}$
<b>NHC</b>	C <sub>1</sub>	0.11	1.05	0.08	1.55
R1	C <sub>2</sub>	0.155	$-0.10$	0.24	$-0.14$
	O <sub>3</sub>	0.20	0.14	0.31	0.21
	C <sub>5</sub>	0.11	0.44	0.17	0.66
$Re-M1$	C <sub>2</sub>	$-0.01$	$-0.08$	$-0.01$	$-0.21$
	O <sub>3</sub>	$-0.004$	0.85	$-0.004$	2.33
	C <sub>5</sub>	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.23
M <sub>3</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	0.02	0.45	0.01	1.52
	C <sub>5</sub>	0.10	0.66	0.07	2.24
R <sub>2</sub>	$C13$ (CO)	0.22	$-0.08$	0.35	$-0.06$
	C <sub>11</sub> $(C_6)$	0.27	$-0.02$	0.43	$-0.01$

Table 6 The NBO charges of selected atoms NHC, R1, Re-M1, M3, and R2 (units of e)



negative charge on the N atom in NHC reduces (−0.46 e in NHC vs.  $-0.39$  e in Re-M1), whereas the negative charge on the oxygen atom increases ( $-0.57$  e in R1 vs.  $-0.92$  e in Re-M1). Also, the NBO charge of the H4 atom is enhanced slightly from 0.16 e in R1 to 0.17 e in Re-M1. The cooperation of NHC and R1 increases the acidity of the H4 atom, which makes the breakage of the C2– H4 bond easier. NBO results show that the electron is shifted from the NHC catalyst to R1 in the process of NHC + R1  $\rightarrow$  ReM1. The increased NBO charge of the O3 and H4 atoms in the conversion of  $(R1 \rightarrow Re-M1)$  would remarkably facilitate the proton transfer of H4 to the O3 atom. Therefore, NHC activates the C2–H4 bond of R1 by enhancing the acidity of the H4 atom and improving the feasibility of bond fracture. In addition, many investigations have indicated that NHC mainly serves as a Lewis base in the annulation reaction.

To predict and assay the catalytic efficiency of the NHC catalyst compared to the achiral NHC (A), we carried out additional calculations on the stereoselectivity-determining step (Table 7). The energy barrier for RS-TS4\_NHC (A) is 6.94 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> , which is higher than that of the RS-TS4\_NHC (B). These results are consistent with the experimental observations, i.e., the NHC provides higher reaction yields than NHC (A).

Table 7 Comparison of the catalytic efficiency of the NHC catalyst with achiral NHC (A) in the stereo-controlling step





### 4. Conclusion

In this article, the detailed mechanisms and origin of stereoselectivity for the asymmetric [4+2] cycloaddition between an (E)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1) and phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl) prop-2-en-1-one (R2) in the presence of NHC catalyst have been theoretically investigated. The most favorable mechanism proceeds through the following steps: the coupling reaction of the NHC catalyst with the  $(E)$ -3- $(p$ -tolyl)acrylaldehyde  $(R1)$ ,  $[1,2]$ proton transfer to form the Breslow intermediate, [1,4]-proton transfer to generate the enolate intermediate, [4+2] cycloaddition to form the stereoselective  $C-C$  bond, and regeneration of the NHC catalyst to give the final product. The computed results show that the additive  $CsHCO<sub>3</sub>$  mainly works in proton-assisted transfer to form the Breslow and enolate intermediates via TS2BA and TS3BA routes and plays a key role in the [1,2] and [1,4]-proton transfer process. The  $[1,2]$ -proton transfer is a ratedetermining step, while the [4+2] cycloaddition reaction is characterized as a stereoselectivity-determining step. **PSC Advances** Articles. Conclusion (Fig. 2024. The control of the section of the sect

Also, the Re/Si pattern leads to the formation of the RSconfigurational product, which is consistent with the experimental results.

Distortion energy is the dominant parameter determining stereoselectivity, and the RS-configuration product is preferentially formed. The first and fourth steps investigate the effects of electron-donating (Me) and electron-withdrawing (Cl) groups on the cinnamaldehyde. The electron-withdrawing (Cl) group on R1 and M3 has the lowest barrier energy in the first and fourth steps.

CDFT and FMO analyses have been utilized to deeply understand the role of NHC in the proposed catalytic cycle. NHC serves as a Lewis base and plays a crucial role in the polarity inversion of  $(E)$ -3- $(p$ -tolyl)acrylaldehyde (R1).

### Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the ESI† files of this article.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Acknowledgements

The support from Tarbiat Modares University (TMU) is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- 1 T. Komiyama, Y. Minami and T. Hiyama, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 631–651.
- 2 G. G. Cash, Pestic. Sci., 1997, 49, 29–34.
- 3 Z. Rappoport, The Chemistry of Functional Groups, 2001, 3.
- 4 G. K. Min, D. Hernández and T. Skrydstrup, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 457–470.
- 5 M. A. Kacprzynski, S. A. Kazane, T. L. May and A. H. Hoveyda, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 3187–3190.
- 6 K.-s. Lee and A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2898–2900.
- 7 J. M. O'Brien and A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 7712–7715.
- 8 Y. Zhang, Y. Lu, W. Tang, T. Lu and D. Du, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 3009–3015.
- 9 M. Wang, Z. Huang, J. Xu and Y. R. Chi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1214–1217.
- 10 S. Hu, B. Wang, Y. Zhang, W. Tang, M. Fang, T. Lu and D. Du, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 4661–4667.
- 11 N. Zhou, F. Zhao, L. Wang, X. Gao, X. Zhao and M. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2023, 25, 6072–6076.
- 12 Y. Li, X.-Y. Duan, C. Yang, Y. Wei, J. Li, X. Ren and J. Qi, J. Org. Chem., 2023, 88, 11299–11309.
- 13 S.-Y. Zhu, Y. Zhang, W. Wang and X.-P. Hui, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 5380–5383.
- 14 Y. Lin, L. Yang, Y. Deng and G. Zhong, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 8330–8333.
- 15 Z. Fu, H. Sun, S. Chen, B. Tiwari, G. Li and Y. R. Chi, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 261–263.
- 16 C. Burstein and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 6205–6208.
- 17 S. S. Sohn, E. L. Rosen and J. W. Bode, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14370–14371.
- 18 C. Guo, M. Schedler, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 10232–10236.
- 19 A. Chan and K. A. Scheidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 5334– 5335.
- 20 K. Liu, L. Zhang, T. Cao, C. Jin, D. Qiu, Q. Zhou, A. Zettl, P. Yang, S. G. Louie and F. Wang, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 4966.
- 21 X. Y. Chen, F. Xia, J. T. Cheng and S. Ye, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 10644–10647.
- 22 J. Mo, X. Chen and Y. R. Chi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8810–8813.
- 23 R. Liu, C. Yu, Z. Xiao, T. Li, X. Wang, Y. Xie and C. Yao, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 1885–1891.
- 24 L. H. Sun, Z. Q. Liang, W. Q. Jia and S. Ye, Angew. Chem., 2013, 125, 5915–5918.
- 25 X. Fang, X. Chen, H. Lv and Y. R. Chi, Angew. Chem., 2011, 123, 11986–11989.
- 26 D. A. DiRocco and T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10402–10405.
- 27 J. Kaeobamrung, M. C. Kozlowski and J. W. Bode, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 20661–20665.
- 28 L. Yang, F. Wang, P. J. Chua, Y. Lv, L.-J. Zhong and G. Zhong, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 2894–2897.
- 29 H. Lv, W. Q. Jia, L. H. Sun and S. Ye, Angew. Chem., 2013, 125, 8769–8772.
- 30 Y. Xie, Y. Que, T. Li, L. Zhu, C. Yu and C. Yao, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 1829–1835.
- 31 R. S. Menon, A. T. Biju and V. Nair, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 5040–5052.
- 32 M. He, J. R. Struble and J. W. Bode, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 8418–8420.
- 33 B. E. Maki, A. Chan and K. A. Scheidt, Synthesis, 2008, 1306– 1315.
- 34 Y. Zhang, J. Huang, Y. Guo, L. Li, Z. Fu and W. Huang, Angew. Chem., 2018, 130, 4684–4688.
- 35 N. Munir, N. Gürbüz, M. N. Zafar, E. Evren, B. Sen, M. Aygün and İ. Özdemir, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2024, 1295, 136679.
- 36 Y. Li, L. Geng, Z. Song and Z. Zhang, New J. Chem., 2023, 47, 21815–21824.
- 37 Y. Wang, B. Wu, L. Zheng, D. Wei and M. Tang, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 190–203.
- 38 J. Zhang, Q. Qiao, Z. Wu, Z. Pang, Q. Shi, Y. Wang, Y. Qiao and D. Wei, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2022, 20, 1662–1670.
- 39 K. M. Melancon and T. R. Cundari, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, 18, 7437–7447.
- 40 (a) Y. Reddi and R. B. Sunoj, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 530–537; (b) M. Pareek and R. B. Sunoj, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 3118–3126.
- 41 Z. Li, D. Wei, Y. Wang, Y. Zhu and M. Tang, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 3069–3078.
- 42 X. Li, R. Duan, Y. Wang, L.-B. Qu, Z. Li and D. Wei, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 6117–6125.
- 43 L. Zhang and Y. Wang, Comput. Theor. Chem., 2023, 1220, 114007.
- 44 A. Mavroskoufis, M. Lohani, M. Weber, M. N. Hopkinson and J. P. Götze, Chem. Sci., 2023, 14, 4027–4037.
- 45 Y. Li, M. Zhang and Z. Zhang, J. Org. Chem., 2023, 88, 12997– 13008.
- 46 Y. Wang, Y. Luo, M. Zhao and Y. Qiao, Mol. Catal., 2023, 551, 113621.
- 47 R. A. Gaussian 09, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009, 121, 150–166.
- 48 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 157–167.
- 49 H. Yang and M. W. Wong, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 7399–7405.
- 50 E. H. Krenske, E. C. Davison, I. T. Forbes, J. A. Warner, A. L. Smith, A. B. Holmes and K. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 2434–2441. Puper<br>
33 B. E. Mali, A. Chan and K. A. Scheidt, Synthesis, 2008, 1306-61 V. Baronce and M. Cossi, J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102<br>
14 V. This article. Published A. V. Costable. 2024. Downloaded on 2022. The cheme and H. D. Sch
	- 51 M. W. Wong and A. M. E. Ng, Aust. J. Chem., 2014, 67, 1100– 1109.
	- 52 H. Yang and M. W. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5808– 5818.
	- 53 H. Xue, D. Jiang, H. Jiang, C. W. Kee, H. Hirao, T. Nishimura, M. W. Wong and C.-H. Tan, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 5745– 5752.
	- 54 Y. Li, Z. Zhang and C. Liang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2018, 16, 9251–9258.
	- 55 Y. Li, Z. Zhang and Z. Li, Mol. Catal., 2020, 492, 111030.
	- 56 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2008, 120, 215– 241.
	- 57 W. Zhang, D. G. Truhlar and M. Tang, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9, 3965–3977.
	- 58 P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 299–310.
	- 59 P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 270–283.
	- 60 B. Mennucci and J. Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys., 1997, 106, 5151– 5158.
- 61 V. Barone and M. Cossi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 1995– 2001.
- 62 C. Gonzalez and H. B. Schlegel, J. Chem. Phys., 1989, 90, 2154–2161.
- 63 C. Gonzalez and H. B. Schlegel, J. Phys. Chem., 1990, 94, 5523–5527.
- 64 a. J. Foster and F. Weinhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 7211–7218.
- 65 A. E. Reed and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 78, 4066– 4073.
- 66 N. Version, Google Scholar There is no corresponding record for this reference, 1988.
- 67 R. G. Parr, L. v. Szentpály and S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 1922–1924.
- 68 R. G. Parr and R. G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 7512–7516.
- 69 P. Geerlings, F. De Proft and W. Langenaeker, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1793–1874.
- 70 L. R. Domingo, E. Chamorro and P. Pérez, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 4615–4624.
- 71 L. R. Domingo and P. Pérez, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 7168–7175.
- 72 L. R. Domingo, M. J. Aurell, P. Pérez and R. Contreras, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2002, 106, 6871–6875.
- 73 L. R. Domingo, P. Pérez and J. A. Sáez, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 1486–1494.
- 74 L. R. Domingo, M. Ríos-Gutiérrez and P. Pérez, Molecules, 2016, 21, 748.
- 75 P. Pérez, L. R. Domingo, M. Duque-Noreña and E. Chamorro, J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM, 2009, 895, 86–91.
- 76 R. R. Contreras, P. Fuentealba, M. Galván and P. Pérez, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1999, 304, 405–413.
- 77 C. Legault, CYLview User Manual, 2012.
- 78 Y. Wang, D. Wei, W. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Zhu, Y. Jia and M. Tang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 7503–7514.
- 79 Y. Li, Y. Zhu, W. Zhang, D. Wei, Y. Ran, Q. Zhao and M. Tang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 20001–20008.
- 80 X.-K. Guo, L.-B. Zhang, D. Wei and J.-L. Niu, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 7059–7071.
- 81 Y. Wang, X. Guo, M. Tang and D. Wei, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 8422–8431.
- 82 X. Zhang, M. Tang, Y. Wang, Y. Ran, D. Wei, Y. Zhu and W. Zhang, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 868–877.
- 83 Y. Wang, L. Zheng, D. Wei and M. Tang, Org. Chem. Front., 2015, 2, 874–884.
- 84 F. M. Bickelhaupt and K. N. Houk, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10002.
- 85 L. R. Domingo, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 32415–32428.
- 86 L. R. Domingo, A. Asensio and P. Arroyo, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2002, 15, 660–666.
- 87 L. R. Domingo, M. J. Aurell, P. Pérez and R. Contreras, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 4417–4423.