# RSC Advances



# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 34848

Received 27th July 2024 Accepted 19th October 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra05460a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1 Introduction

Chronic prostatitis (CP) is a common disease among men, significantly impacting their overall health and quality of life.<sup>1</sup> 18.4% of men aged 20–40 years have reported symptoms of prostatitis in China, and patients with prostatitis have accounted for nearly 3–16% of cases in urological departments.<sup>2,3</sup> Patients with CP experience urination discomfort, radiating pain, perineal swelling, erectile dysfunction, insomnia, anxiety and other symptoms, making them suffer from great mental and physical pain.<sup>4,5</sup> Clinical diagnosis primarily relies on prostatic ultrasound examination and prostate fluid leukocyte detection, or urinary bacteria screening. These methods suffer from low resolution, high rates of false

# Enzyme-encapsulated metal–organic framework ZIF-8-mediated biosensor for ultrasensitive detection of urinary prostatic exosomal protein using a glucose meter†

Mutu Li[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0355-9892),<sup>a</sup> Qiaohong Wang,<sup>a</sup> Yun Dai,<sup>a</sup> Jinyuan Chen  $\mathbb{D}^{*b}$  and Yiming Lin<sup>\*c</sup>

A highly sensitive and efficient home-use method is impressive and promising in the self-monitoring of chronic prostatitis (CP). Herein, we developed a glucose oxidase-zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 antibody composite (GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>) to achieve highly sensitive point-of-care testing (POCT) of urinary prostatic exosomal protein (PSEP) by combining it with a personal glucometer (PGM). The developed GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> was prepared through a simple but effective biomineralization reaction and a strong binding affinity between  $Zn^{2+}$  and Fc region of the antibody. This bifunctional material GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> could not only specifically recognize the PSEP via immunoreaction but also wrap a large number of GOx molecules. Following the sandwich immunoreaction, the prepared GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> facilitated hydrolysis of glucose in a one-to-many fashion, amplifying the detection signal and greatly improving the sensitivity of PGM detection. In comparison to those of the conventional enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), the detection time of the developed technique was shortened to 45 minutes and its limit of detection (0.23 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was reduced by 4-5 orders of magnitude. This technique was successfully utilized for detecting PSEP in human urine samples with a recovery rate of 96–116%. Owing to its rapid, ultrasensitive, specific and portable features, the as-designed platform based on GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> and the PGM device holds great promise as a home-use POCT platform for self-monitoring of CP and other chronic diseases. PAPER<br> **Enzyme-encapsulated metal-organic framework<br>
Club the Microsofte conducts<br>
Club the SC AA, 2004, 14,34888<br>
Club the SC AA, 2004, 14,34888<br>
Club the SC AA, 2004, 14,34888<br>
Club the Club text of Club of Club of Club** 

positives, reliance on specialized equipment and situations, and long processing periods.<sup>6</sup> Consequently, there is a dearth of sensitive, efficient and home-use methods. Prostatic exosomal protein (PSEP), a subcellular structure with an average diameter of 80–150 nm, mainly exists in the Golgi-rich area of human prostate ductal epithelial cells. PSEP is discharged from the prostatic epithelial cells into the ductal lumen through exocytosis and excretion and enters the urethra to reach the urine. PSEP has strong antioxidant and antibacterial functions, which are stronger than those of neutrophils. When inflammation occurs, prostatic epithelial cell activity is abnormal, and the level of PSEP in urine is elevated.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, PSEP has shown promise as a non-invasive tool for rapid testing of CP,<sup>8,9</sup> with clinical multicenter studies identifying PSEP as a novel diagnostic marker strongly linked to CP.<sup>10,11</sup>

With the advancement of biotechnology, immunological detection suitable for point-of-care testing (POCT) has garnered widespread attention due to its high specificity in an antigenantibody reaction and its robustness in the complex matrix.<sup>12</sup> Typically, POCT immunological analyses employing reasonable signal transduction mechanisms can be achieved through portable devices easily accessible for home use.13,14 The personal glucometer (PGM) stands as one of the most common

a Department of Pharmacy, Quanzhou Maternity and Children's Hospital, Quanzhou, Fujian, 362000, China

b The Central Laboratory, Fujian Key Laboratory of Precision Medicine for Cancer, The First Affiliated Hospital, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350005, China. E-mail: chenjinyuan@fjmu.edu.cn

c Department of Clinical Laboratory, Quanzhou Maternity and Children's Hospital, Quanzhou, Fujian, 362000, China. E-mail: linyiming0819@sina.com

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra05460a>

portable POCT detection devices,<sup>15-17</sup> which utilizes an immunological analysis pattern combining enzyme–antibody conjugates and has been employed for POCT analyses of various proteins.<sup>18-20</sup> The specific principle involves the formation of an immune complex among capture antibody, target, and enzyme– antibody conjugates, followed by the addition of the enzyme's substrate after magnetic separation. The enzyme-antibody conjugate catalyzes the hydrolysis of the substrate (such as glucose and sucrose), and the PGM detects the change in glucose concentration, enabling quantitative determination of the target. $^{21}$  For example, Li *et al.* proposed an artificial, separated, embedded nanoporous membrane cascade reaction system to improve the compatibility of nucleic acid detection for CRISPR-mediated, multi-enzyme reactions. They further integrated the compartmentalized cascade reaction system into a microfluidic platform and developed a simple, portable bioinspired CRISPR-MCR biosensor for HIV nucleic acid quantification by using a low-cost PGM, eliminating the need for complex instrumentation and training professionals.<sup>22</sup> Very recently, Chen et al. reported a method for sensitive and simple detection of kanamycin using a blood PGM as the detector. Aptamers are used in sensing systems to identify kanamycin. Hairpin probe-mediated signal amplification is introduced to produce a large amount of dsDNA containing PAM sequences for CRISPR-Cas12a recognition and activation. Thus, activated Cas12a can cut ssDNA (modified with magnetic bead and invertase) to release invertase. After magnetic separation, invertase can convert sucrose into glucose, which can be quantified by a PGM. The PGM-based sensor used for kanamycin detection has a linear range of 1 pM to 100 nM with a detection limit of 1 pM. $^{23}$  Liu et al. combined a smartphone app with a commercial portable PGM to build a circulating tumor cells (CTCs) instant-detection microfluidic device based on the Au@CuMOF cascade enzyme. In this microfluidic system, the Au@CuMOF signal probe and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  capture probe were used to dual identify and capture CTCs. Then, Au@CuMOF targets CTCs to enable the forming cellular complexes to exhibit both glucose-like oxidase and peroxidaselike activity, further catalyzing glucose reduction. Thus, a dualmode CTC detection method using a colorimetric method and portable PGM was constructed. The linear range of the method was 4–105 cells per mL, and the detection limit was 3 cells per mL.<sup>24</sup> Similarly, Zuo et al. developed a comprehensive, highefficiency strategy for capturing heterogeneous CTCs from the blood of patients with non-small cell lung cancer and performing portable assays. They first employed pleated hydrogels with high biocompatibility and high specific surface area as biological interfaces to capture CTCs. Meanwhile, a mesoporous silica material containing glucose molecules and sealed by epithelial cell adhesion protein aptamers and vimentin aptamers was synthesized. When the material was bound to CTC cells on the hydrogel by the aptamers, the glucose in mesoporous silica was released. The number of CTCs captured on the gel can be reflected by the indication of PGM.<sup>25</sup> Based on the aforementioned principle, utilizing the PGM combined with enzyme–antibody conversion technology for immuno-detection is highly desirable for achieving sensitive, accurate, and on-site Paper<br>
Paper Rockdotences<br>
Articles. Published on 01 November 2022. Development and the propose are the material on the propose are the state and the material on the propose are the state and the material on the material o

detection of PSEP. However, there are still some shortcomings that need to be overcome. Firstly, the enzyme needs to be coupled with the detection antibody where a fusion protein is often prepared, which requires technical operation and a tedious pretreatment process. Additionally, the bioconjugated enzyme's signal conversion efficiency is restricted due to the limited ability of one antibody to fuse with a small number of enzyme molecules,<sup>18</sup> making it challenging to detect urinary biomarkers at trace levels. Therefore, a facile signal amplication system containing multiple enzyme molecules is highly desired for sensitive, accurate and on-site detection of PSEP.

In this study, we developed a glucose oxidase-zeolitic imidazolate framework-8-PSEP antibody composite (GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>), to achieve highly sensitive on-site detection of PSEP when integrated with the PGM system. ZIF-8, a metal–organic framework with pores, can retain the activity of numerous enzyme molecules when encapsulated within it.<sup>26-28</sup> The approach utilizing  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  is distinct from prior immunological methods relying on PGM in various ways. Firstly, ZIF-8-encapsulated GOx is prepared through a facile but effective biomineralization process, rather than fussy enzyme– antibody protein conjugation or fusion, thereby rationalizing signal labeling. Secondly, ZIF-8 binds with a large number of antibody molecules through strong binding affinity between  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and the Fc region of the antibody.<sup>29-31</sup> GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> serves both as a proficient recognition component and an amplification unit for signals. Following the sandwich immunoreaction, the  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst facilitates the breakdown of glucose in a one-to-many fashion, increasing the detection signal and greatly improving the sensitivity of PGM detection.28,32–<sup>35</sup> Additionally, ZIF-8 plays a key role in this approach by acting as a versatile substance that can readily accommodate various antibodies, showcasing remarkable adaptability for diagnosing different illnesses. Compared to traditional enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for detecting PSEP, this work offers significant progress: the detection time has been shortened to 45 minutes and the detection limit (0.23 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was reduced by 4-5 orders of magnitude.10,11 Thus, by integrating a metal–organic framework-enzyme composite system with the portable PGM immunological analysis, a straightforward and effective approach is established for the highly sensitive detection of PSEP, particularly in intimate situations or resource-limited areas related to prostatic diseases.

## 2 Experimental section

### 2.1 Reagents and methods

Sodium metaperiodate (NaIO<sub>4</sub>), cysteine (Cys) and glucose oxidase (GOx) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai). Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co. Ltd (Shanghai) supplied the 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) and 2-methylimidazole (2-MI). Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd provided zinc acetate hexahydrate and D-glucose. Polyvinylpyrrolidone was obtained from Macklin Biochemical Co. Ltd. Rat monoclonal antibody was obtained from Wuhan MSK Biotechnology Co., Ltd (reactivity: human). All remaining

#### RSC Advances **Paper** Paper **Paper Paper Paper**

reagents were of analytical purity and utilized without further processing.

#### 2.2 Apparatus

FT-IR spectra were recorded using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5 from the USA. SEM pictures were obtained using a scanning electron microscope (ultra plus, Zeiss) from Germany. Zeta potentials were measured using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS device from Britain. UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a 2450 UV-white spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The Xray diffraction (XRD) patterns of ZIF-8 samples were obtained on a Rigaku diffractometer using Cu Ka ( $k = 1.54$  Å) radiation. The  $N_2$  adsorption and desorption isotherms were obtained on an ASAP-2020 (Micromeritics, USA) sorptometer at a liquid nitrogen temperature.

#### 2.3 Synthesis of GOx@ZIF-8

GOx@ZIF-8 was synthesized with a little modification according to the previous literature.<sup>24,36</sup> Initially, 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> GOx was added in 0.5 mL 4 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> PVP (molecular weight: 8000). After mixing for 1 minute, 0.5 milliliters of Cys (2 milligrams per milliliter) was added while stirring for an additional 30 seconds. Then, 2 milliliters of 2-MI (160 mM) and 2 milliliters of ZnC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (40 mM) were added separately and left at 25 °C for 4 hours. Lastly, the product was purified with water and then dried to produce GOx@ZIF-8.

#### 2.4 Synthesis of GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>

Initially, 1 milligram of anti-PSEP antibody was added in 2 milliliters of deionized water, and the prepared 1 mL GOx@ZIF-8 solution (10 mg  $mL^{-1}$ ) was subsequently combined with the antibody using an ultrasonic cleaner (Shanghai Kudos, power  $200$  W) for 10 minutes, followed by 2 hours of mixing. After centrifuging the heterogeneous mixture at 13 000 rpm for 10 minutes, it was subsequently rinsed two times with deionized water. The GOx@ZIF-8 modified with antibodies (GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>) was dispersed in deionized water and kept at 4  $\degree$ C for future tests.

### 2.5 Synthesis of GOx-Ab<sub>2</sub>

The GOx-A $b<sub>2</sub>$  conjugate was prepared according to the previous work.<sup>37</sup> Initially, a 2 mg per mL GOx was incubated with a 100 mM sodium metaperiodate solution and left to incubate for 20 minutes at 25  $\degree$ C. The remedy was subsequently filtered using a PD10 desalting column from Pharmacia that had been prepared with a 1 mM  $CH<sub>3</sub>COOH$  solution at pH 4.4. The fractions containing the protein were collected based on  $A_{280nm}$ readings. The oxidized protein solution (1.3 mL) was combined with anti-PSEP signal antibodies (1 mg) that were previously dissolved in 125  $\mu$ L of 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution (pH 9.5). The above solution was mixed for 2 hours at ambient temperature, followed by the addition of 125 µL of a 4 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> sodium borohydride solution and agitation for an additional 2 hours. The resultant GOx-Ab<sub>2</sub> combination was cleansed using a PD10 column filled with 0.1 M PBS. The obtained protein had

a concentration of 0.5 milligrams per milliliter ascertained through spectrophotometric analysis. The paired  $GOx-Ab<sub>2</sub>$  was kept in a refrigerator at a temperature of 4 °C.

#### 2.6 Sandwich immunoassay of PSEP

First, the 1 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> PSEP capture antibody  $(Ab_1)$  was diluted through the  $H_2CO_3$  solution (pH 9.5). Next, 100 microliters of the  $Ab<sub>1</sub>$  solution were introduced into the 96-well plate and left to incubate at 4 °C overnight. Following rinsing with 10 mM PBS (pH 7.4), the plate was then treated with a PBS buffer containing 1% BSA for 10 minutes at a temperature of 25 °C. Next, 100 microliters of antigen PSEP were introduced into the microplate. After incubating for 10 minutes at 37  $\mathrm{C}$ , the antigen was immobilized on the microplate surface through an immune response. After washing, these plates were incubated with 100 µL GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> (1 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>) for 5 min at 25 °C. The immune sandwich structure of  $Ab_1$ -PSEP-GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> was achieved after eliminating the unreacted GOx@ZIF-8@Ab2. RSC Advances<br>
Tragecton series are described on 01 November 2022. A Symbol sheet of the plane access are described to the plane of the plane of

#### 2.7 PGM detection

After the immune complex was formed,  $100 \mu L$  of  $25 \mu M$ glucose in  $CH<sub>3</sub>COOH–CH<sub>3</sub>COONa$  solution (pH 5.0) was added to each well. Following a 30 minutes incubation at 37 °C, the glucose levels were assessed using PGM analysis. The glucose consumption  $(\Delta \text{Glu})$  was calculated by using the initial glucose concentration and subtracting the glucose concentration after the catalytic reaction.

#### 2.8 Real urine sample tests

Urine samples were collected from healthy volunteers. Next, the urine sample was diluted by a factor of 100 using 10 mM PBS (pH 7.4). In real samples, PSEP detection was performed similar to that described in the previous section. In short, 10, 20, and 30 pg  $mL^{-1}$  of standard PSEP were added to a 1% diluted urine sample.

# 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Principle of the proposed GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>-based PGM immunoassay

Theoretically,  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  serves as a bifunctional material with both catalytic activity and recognition of PSEP protein. This immunoassay principle is shown in Fig. 1. By sequentially adding a PESP-capturing antibody, PSEP protein, and PESP signal antibody modified GOx@ZIF-8 (GOx@ZIF-8@Ab2) onto the microplate, we can easily construct a sandwich-like immunosensor. With the addition of the glucose substrate, GOx catalyzes the hydrolysis into gluconic acid and hydrogen peroxide. Based on the amount of glucose consumed as tested by a glucose meter, we thus can achieve quantitative detection of PSEP protein.

### 3.2 Preparation and characterization of ZIF-8, GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> and GOx@ZIF-8

GOx@ZIF-8 was synthesized using a self-assembly method similar to metallothionein. Initially, GOx, PVP and Cys were



Fig. 1 Illustration of the GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> synthesis process (a) and the concept behind PGM immunoassay (b).

assembled together to create Cys/PVP/GOx.<sup>28</sup> Cys hastened the creation of a prenucleation center containing  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and 2-MI near the glucose oxidase, facilitating the in situ mineralization of glucose oxidase by ZIF-8. The antibody was tailored to ZIF-8 through the high-affinity binding of its histidine-rich Fc region to zinc atoms of ZIF-8. To verify the preparation of ZIF-8, we investigated the XRD patterns for simulated ZIF-8 (JCPDS 00- 062-1030) and the as-synthesized ZIF-8 (Fig. S1†). The obvious crystal peaks at  $2\theta = 10.23^{\circ}$ , 12.55°, 14.56°, 16.28°, 17.88° and 19.36° well-matched with those from the standard card of ZIF-8  $($ ICPDS No. 00-062-1030 $)$ , which corresponded to the reflections planes of ZIF-8 (002), (112), (022), (013), (222) and (123), respectively. This result indicated the successful synthesis of ZIF-8. Fig. 2a and S2a† display the consistent polyhedral shape of ZIF-8 nanoparticles with an average diameter of ∼260 nm. Following the incorporation of GOx into ZIF-8, the morphology and dimensions of GOx@ZIF-8 (Fig. 2b, S2b and S3†) and  $GOX@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  (Fig. 2c) remained unchanged in comparison to ZIF-8 nanoparticles, suggesting that the presence of GOx did not disrupt the integrity of ZIF-8. DLS analysis showed that ZIF-8 and GOx@ZIF-8 had similar hydrodynamic sizes, while  $GOX@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  were approximately 468 nm in size with a PDI of 0.336 in aqueous solution (Fig. 2d). Furthermore, the zeta potential findings for ZIF-8, GOx, GOx@ZIF-8, and GOx@ZIF-8@Ab2 were +3.6 mV, −7.9 mV, −18.2 mV, −23.2 mV, Paper<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{$ 



respectively, indicating the in situ mineralization of GOx in ZIF-8 and the binding of Ab<sub>2</sub> to the ZIF-8 surface (Fig. 2e). The FT-IR findings additionally confirmed the  $in$  situ mineralization of GOx within the ZIF-8 nanoparticles (Fig. 2f). The distinctive peaks of GOx between 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup> were attributed to the amide I, representing the stretching mode of  $C=O$ . GOx@ZIF-8@Ab2 and GOx@ZIF-8 exhibited amide I absorption bands, which clearly showed that GOx was trapped within ZIF-8. To further verify that GOx is wrapped in ZIF-8, we performed a calculation of the GOx loading rate. In the GOx@ZIF-8 synthesis process, precipitation (GOx@ZIF-8) and supernatant were obtained by centrifugation. The supernatant contains unreacted GOx. Thus, we calculated the amount of glucose consumed by precipitation of GOx@ZIF-8 and the supernatant Gox. The loading rate of GOx was calculated as 42.7% according to eqn  $(1)$  (Table S1).† Besides, the incorporation of GOx and Ab<sub>2</sub> did not obviously alter the pore-size distribution of the ZIF-8 matrix (Fig. S4†). All of the above results indicated the successful preparation of  $GOx@ZIF-8-Ab_2$ .

#### 3.3 Immunoassay based on  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  and PGM

GOx exhibits specific catalysis in decomposing glucose molecules into  $C_6H_{10}O_7$  and  $H_2O_2$  molecules. To ascertain the catalytic activity of GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>, we synthesized a GOx-Ab<sub>2</sub> composite using the  $NaIO<sub>4</sub>$  oxidation method, and compared the glucose consumption by GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>, pure glucose oxidase, and GOx-Ab<sub>2</sub> at the same mass (40  $\mu$ g of GOx). As illustrated in Fig. 3a, the glucose consumption by  $GOx-Ab_2$  and  $GOX@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  were 6.94 mM and 6.88 mM, respectively, sufficiently demonstrating that the presence of ZIF-8@GOx enzyme coupled with PSEP antibody minimally affects the catalytic activity, maintaining over 99% activity. Compared to pure GOx enzyme, the glucose consumption of  $GOx-Ab<sub>2</sub>$  and GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> exhibited reductions of 11.5% and 12.7%, respectively, possibly due to steric hindrance by antibodies impeding glucose molecules accessing the active center. Thus, our bio-mineralization method of loading antibodies onto ZIF-8 effectively maintains its activity, offering a simpler and more efficient approach compared to chemical synthesis methods, with great application prospects. The preserved activity of GOx may be attributed to the porous structure of ZIF-8, which does not obstruct sufficient reaction between glucose molecules and the enzyme's active site.



Fig. 3 (a) Catalytic property comparison of GOx, GOx-Ab<sub>2</sub> and GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>. (b) Glucose consumption comparison of Ab<sub>1</sub>, Ab<sub>1</sub> + PSEP,  $Ab_1$  + PSEP + GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> in the proposed immunosensor. The data are presented as the average plus the standard deviation ( $n =$ 3).

#### RSC Advances **Paper** Paper **Paper Paper Paper**

To demonstrate the successful recognition of PSEP protein by the synthesized GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>, we compared its glucose consumption with that of a separately modified PSEP-capturing antibody and the PSEP-capturing antibody combined with PSEP. As shown in Fig. 3b, there was no significant glucose consumption in the former two cases, whereas the sandwich-like structure based on GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> exhibited significant glucose consumption, indicating efficient recognition of PSEP protein. Therefore, we have successfully developed a novel sensing system for PSEP detection using a portable glucose meter.

#### 3.4 Optimization of experimental conditions

Optimizing the reaction conditions is essential for maximizing the immunosensor's detection performance of PSEP. As shown in Fig. 4a, when the incubation time between  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab_2$ and PSEP antigen increased from 5 min to 10 min, the glucose consumption increased and maximized at 10 min. Therefore, we chose 10 min for further incubation. Additionally, there was a notable rise in glucose utilization as the concentration of GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> elevated, peaking at 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. 4b). No further increase in glucose consumption can be observed, so we attributed this to the saturation of  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab_2$  relative to the PSEP antigen. Therefore, a concentration of 5 mg  $mL^{-1}$  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  was determined to be the most effective for the subsequent immune tests. In addition, the catalytic time was closely related to the glucose consumption. Fig. 4c demonstrated that glucose consumption increased with catalytic time and leveled off after 30 minutes. Therefore, a duration of 30 minutes was chosen for the following experiment.

#### 3.5 Evaluation of the PGM immunosensor based on  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$

The GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>-based PGM immunosensor's performance was evaluated under ideal conditions by monitoring glucose consumption at varying PSEP concentrations. Fig. 5a demonstrates that glucose consumption rose as the PSEP concentration increased, indicating a strong linear correlation between PSEP concentration ranging from 0.375 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> to 48 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> ( $R^2$  = 0.996). The limit of detection (LOD) was determined to be 0.23 pg  $mL^{-1}$  (S/N = 3.3, where S stands for the abbreviation of signal, and N stands for the abbreviation of noise). A comparison between the present immunosensor and other previous PSEP detection methods is summarized in Table S2.† The findings showed that the proposed immunsensor outperformed other methods in detecting PSEP, with a significantly lower LOD value compared to previous approaches, highlighting the exceptional sensitivity of the study.



Fig. 4 Effects of incubation time (a), GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub> concentration (b) and catalytic time (c) on the PGM-based immunoassay.



Fig. 5 (a) Linear calibration plot for PSEP detection (0.375, 1.5, 6, 24, and 48 pg mL $^{-1}$ ). (b) Selectivity study of the proposed sensor for PSEP assay. The concentration of PSEP was 48 pg mL−<sup>1</sup> and the concentration of PSA, AFP, and CEA was 480 pg mL $^{-1}$ .

Examination of the effects of various common proteins was performed to assess the specificity of the immunosensor (Fig. 5b). Glucose consumption did not show signicant changes when detecting prostate-specific antigen (PSA), alphafetoprotein (AFP), and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). However, a noticeable increase in glucose consumption was observed for 48 pg per mL PSEP antigen, confirming the high specificity of the immunosensor. Furthermore, the reliability of this immunosensor was analysed by conducting five parallel tests to measure the glucose consumption values of PSEP at concentrations of 6 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 48 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> (Table S3†), and the RSDs were 14% and 5%, respectively. Although the storage temperature influenced the performance of the immunosensor (Fig. S5†), after three weeks of storage at 4  $\degree$ C the glucose consumption value for 48 pg per mL PSEP remained at 107.8% (Fig.  $S6\dagger$ ). The findings confirmed the excellent consistency and durability of the immunosensor. **PSC Advances**<br>
To demonstrate the successful reception of PSE product with<br>
the symbol of the published on 01 November 2022. The symbol of the symbol

#### 3.6 Real-sample analysis

The actual sample was obtained from the hospital. After obtaining the sample, we performed a 10 000 rpm centrifugation for 15 min to remove the urine residue so as not to interfere with the detection results. The supernatant after centrifugation was divided and placed in the −20 °C refrigerator for use. When the urine sample was redissolved, it was diluted to the desired concentration using 10 mM PBS (pH 7.4). The reliability of the immunoassay for detecting PSEP in actual samples was tested by spiking various concentrations of PSEP into diluted urine samples for recovery experiments. With the addition of different concentrations of the PSEP standard into the human urine sample, the recoveries were calculated. Table 1 displays that the experiments yielded satisfactory results, with a recovery rate between 96% and 116% and an RSD below 10.0%,



# 4 Conclusions

In summary, this work demonstrated an immune-sensing platform constructed by  $GOx@ZIF-8@Ab<sub>2</sub>$  and PGM for sensitive, specific and rapid detection of PSEP. Furthermore, the proposed platform was developed for the accurate detection of PSEP in urine samples. We demonstrated that GOx@ZIF-8 was rapidly synthesized in a simple biomineralizing manner with no significant reduction in enzymatic activity after the loading of the capture antibody. Because ZIF-8 can wrap a large number of GOx molecules to catalyze more glucose hydrolysis in a one-tomany manner, the detection sensitivity of this method could be greatly improved. For the detection of PSEP protein in solution, the glucose consumption  $(\Delta \text{Glu})$  followed a linear relationship with the PSEP concentration, and the LOD was evaluated as low as 0.23 pg mL<sup> $-1$ </sup> for PSEP. The detection time was only 45 minutes and the LOD was the lowest value reported so far. In addition, the platform was used for the recovery test of PSEP in actual urine solution, and the results were satisfactory. This immunosensor has substantial advantages including high sensitivity and specificity, fast response, ease of universality and portability, offering an affordable and accessible solution for the detection of PSEP. By changing the antibody molecules, this platform holds great promise for the detection of other reproductive system disease-related markers in the future and could be potentially translated into a home-use platform for the selfmonitoring of chronic disease. Paper<br> **Open Access Article Communication** Complete published on 2 published on 01 November 2022. The analysis Article Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Communication Comm

# Ethical statement

This study conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethical Committee of Quanzhou Maternity and Children's Hospital. Human urine samples were collected from Quanzhou Maternity and Children's Hospital and did not have any identifying information about any of the participants, and all participants provided written informed consent.

# Data availability

The authors confirm that the data supporting this study's conclusions are available within the article and its ESI.† Further information and raw data are available upon request.

# Author contributions

Mutu Lin: investigation, data curation, writing the original draft. Qiaohong Wang: formal analysis, data curation, writing refinement. Yun Dai: formal analysis. Jinyuan Chen: supervision, validation, project administration, writing – reviewing and editing. Yiming Lin: project administration, data curation, writing – reviewing and editing. All authors contributed to the

data analysis, revising and approving the final manuscript to be published.

# Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from Fujian Provincial Society of Laboratory Medicine and National (Fujian) Genetic Testing Technology Application Demonstration Center (2023LHYC040) and Quanzhou City Science and Technology Program of China (Grant No. 2021C052R).

# Notes and references

- 1 A. Yebes, C. Toribio-Vazquez, S. Martinez-Perez, J. M. Quesada-Olarte, A. Rodriguez-Serrano, M. Álvarez-Maestro and L. Martinez-Piñeiro, Curr. Neurol. Rep., 2023, 24, 241–251.
- 2 Z. Zhang, Z. Li, Q. Yu, C. Wu, Z. Lu, F. Zhu, H. Zhang, M. Liao, T. Li, W. Chen, X. Xian, A. Tan and Z. Mo, Andrology, 2015, 3, 1119–1124.
- 3 F. U. Khan, A. U. Ihsan, H. U. Khan, R. Jana, J. Wazir, P. Khongorzul, M. Waqar and X. Zhou, Biomed. Pharmacother., 2017, 94, 1064–1076.
- 4 Z.-Y. Hao, H.-J. Li, Z.-P. Wang, J.-P. Xing, W.-L. Hu, T.-F. Zhang, X.-S. Zhang, J. Zhou, S. Tai and C.-Z. Liang, J. Androl., 2011, 32, 496–501.
- 5 S.-D. Chung, C.-C. Huang and H.-C. Lin, J. Affective Disord., 2011, 134, 404–409.
- 6 F. U. Khan, A. U. Ihsan, H. U. Khan, R. Jana, J. Wazir, P. Khongorzul, M. Waqar and X. Zhou, Biomed. Pharmacother., 2017, 94, 1064–1076.
- 7 X. Feng, M. Zhang, L. Zhang, H. Hu, L. Zhang, X. Zhang, S. Fan and C. Liang, Int. Urol. Nephrol., 2020, 52, 225–232.
- 8 L. Yin, Y. Tang, A. Pan, L. Yang, X. Zhu and Y. Liu, Medicine, 2019, 98, e16848.
- 9 Q. Ge and J. Lou, Lab. Med., 2023, 54, 212–214.
- 10 X. Li, T. Jiang, F. Liu, X. Shao, Y. Xu, W. Sheng and W. Sun, Urol. Int., 2017, 100, 112–118.
- 11 W. Liang, Z. Wu, G. Zhang, W. Chen, X. Hu, J. Yang, J. Meng, Y. Zeng, H. Li and X. Shang, Transl. Androl. Urol., 2020, 9, 2218–2226.
- 12 S. Perveen, A. Negi, V. Gopalakrishnan, S. Panda, V. Sharma and R. Sharma, Clin. Chim. Acta, 2023, 538, 139–156.
- 13 F. Ranjbari, A. Nosrat, F. Fathi and A. Mohammadzadeh, Clin. Chim. Acta, 2024, 558, 118670.
- 14 R. Gupta, P. Gupta, S. Wang, A. Melnykov, Q. Jiang, A. Seth, Z. Wang, J. J. Morrissey, I. George, S. Gandra, P. Sinha, G. A. Storch, B. A. Parikh, G. M. Genin and S. Singamaneni, Nat. Biomed. Eng., 2023, 7, 1556–1570.
- 15 Q. Wang, Y. He, S. He, S. Yu, Y. Jiang and F. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2023, 59, 1345–1348.
- 16 H. Dai, J. Zhang, Y. Wu, J. Zhao, C. Liu and Y. Cheng, Anal. Chem., 2024, 96, 1789–1794.
- 17 E. Amalfitano, M. Karlikow, M. Norouzi, K. Jaenes, S. Cicek, F. Masum, P. Sadat Mousavi, Y. Guo, L. Tang, A. Sydor, D. Ma, J. D. Pearson, D. Trcka, M. Pinette, A. Ambagala, S. Babiuk, B. Pickering, J. Wrana, R. Bremner, T. Mazzulli, D. Sinton, J. H. Brumell, A. A. Green and K. Pardee, Nat. Commun., 2021, 12, 724. PSC Advances Weekenburg, M. Famel, M. Particles. Articles. 27 S. Hunger, G. Chen and G. Ougang, Chem, Sc. Rev., 2022, 31<br>
F. Monton, P. Saint Monten, V. Keno, This article. Published on 2024. This article. Nonce 2021. The
	- 18 B. Lin, D. Liu, J. Yan, Z. Qiao, Y. Zhong, J. Yan, Z. Zhu, T. Ji and C. J. Yang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 6890– 6897.
	- 19 E. K. Leonard, M. Aller Pellitero, B. Juelg, J. B. Spangler and N. Arroyo-Currás, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2022, 144, 11226-11237.
	- 20 D. Huang, Z. Shi, J. Qian, K. Bi, M. Fang and Z. Xu, Biotechnol. Bioeng., 2021, 118, 1568–1577.
	- 21 Y. Xiang and Y. Lu, Anal. Chem., 2012, 84, 4174–4178.
	- 22 Z. Li, N. Uno, X. Ding, L. Avery, D. Banach and C. Liu, ACS Nano, 2023, 17, 3966–3975.
	- 23 J. Chen, G. Shi and C. Yan, Sci. Total Environ., 2023, 872, 162279.
	- 24 X. Liu, Y. Fang, X. Chen, W. Shi, X. Wang, Z. He, F. Wang and C. Li, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2024, 251, 116105.
	- 25 Y. Zuo, W. Lu, Y. Xia, J. Meng, Y. Zhou, Y. Xiao, L. Zhu, D. Liu, S. Yang, Y. Sun, C. Li and Y. Yu, ACS Sens., 2023, 8, 187–196.
	- 26 G. Chen, X. Kou, S. Huang, L. Tong, Y. Shen, W. Zhu, F. Zhu and G. Ouyang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 2867–2874.
- 27 S. Huang, G. Chen and G. Ouyang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2022, 51, 6824–6863.
- 28 F. Shao, Y. Wu, Z. Tian and S. Liu, Biomaterials, 2021, 274, 120869.
- 29 Q. Zhang, J. Liang, A. Bongers, J. J. Richardson, K. Liang and Z. Gu, Adv. Sci., 2023, 10, 2206546.
- 30 J. Huang, L. Jiao, W. Xu, Q. Fang, H. Wang, X. Cai, H. Yan, W. Gu and C. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 33383–33391.
- 31 D. Wei, D. Xiong, N. Zhu, Y. Wang, X. Hu, B. Zhao, J. Zhou, D. Yin and Z. Zhang, Anal. Chem., 2022, 94, 12981–12989.
- 32 Y. Cao, F. Mo, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, G. Li, W. Yu and X. Liu, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2022, 198, 113819.
- 33 A. Rodriguez-Abetxuko, M. C. Morant-Miñana, M. Knez and A. Beloqui, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 5172–5179.
- 34 X. Zhang, F. Zhang, Z. Lu, Q. Xu, C. Hou and Z. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 25565–25571.
- 35 T.-O. Knedel, E. Ricklefs, C. Schlüsener, V. B. Urlacher and C. Janiak, ChemistryOpen, 2019, 8, 1337–1344.
- 36 G. Chen, S. Huang, X. Kou, S. Wei, S. Huang, S. Jiang, J. Shen, F. Zhu and G. Ouyang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 1463– 1467.
- 37 D. B. Papkovsky, T. C. O'Riordan and G. G. Guilbault, Anal. Chem., 1999, 71, 1568–1573.