Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2024, 15, 11515

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 15th April 2024 Accepted 20th June 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d4sc02487g rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Phosphine-catalyzed annulation of functionalized allenes and bisnucleophiles represents a straightforward and efficient strategy for the construction of cyclic compounds and has garnered considerable and enduring interest since Lu's groundbreaking research in 2002.^{1,2} The reaction proceeds via two tandem nucleophilic additions of bisnucleophiles to allenoates. With various allene-derived biselectrophiles serving as C1,³ C2 (ref. 4) or C3 (ref. 4d and 5) synthons, a diverse range of annulations have been realized through classical β-Michael addition and α , γ -umpolung addition, mainly providing a variety of five- and six-membered rings in the presence of 1,n-biseletrophiles (n = 1-3), albeit with sporadic racemic examples on [2 + 5] annulations.² To extend the chain-length in annulations, the introduction of novel reaction sites into allenes has emerged as a prevailing trend over the last two decades, and the scatter plots mathematically show the annulation pattern of such reactions (Scheme 1A).1c,6 Compared with those shortchained allene-derived synthons, longer-chained synthons are more difficult to handle in annulation reactions, because more flexible allene-derived biselectrophiles may lead to more difficult control of reactivity and selectivity.

State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Research Institute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China. E-mail: wcai@nankai.edu.cn; hyou@nankai.edu.cn

Enantioselective phosphine-catalyzed [6 + 1] annulations of α -allyl allenoates with 1,1-bisnucleophiles†

Jingxiong Lai, Wei Cai* and You Huang¹

Organocatalytic annulations between allenes and bisnucleophiles represent one of the most convenient routes to various carbocycles and heterocycles. However, most examples are limited to the formation of five- and six-membered rings, probably owing to relatively easy handling of short-chained biselectrophiles. Here we report long-chained α -allyl allenoate-derived 1,6-biselectrophiles for the first time, enabling a phosphine catalyzed [6 + 1] annulation with readily available 1,1-bisnucleophilic reagents. The reaction proceeds *via* a tandem γ -umpolung addition and δ' -addition process, smoothly constructing both seven-membered N-heterocycles and carbocycles with a broad scope of substrates, high atom economy and excellent enantioselectivity (up to 99% yield and up to 96% ee). Mechanistic experiments revealed a conversion of the 1,6-dipole into a 1,6-biselectrophilic intermediate through proton abstraction.

Thus far, only two categories of allenes have been effectively established as longer-chained biseletrophiles for C4 synthons under phosphine-catalyzed conditions (Scheme 1B). In 2010, Tong exquisitely introduced an acetate group at β' -position as leaving group and generated phosphonium diene intermediates as 1,4-biseletrophiles.7 Racemic and enantiomerically enriched [4 + 1] and [4 + 2] annulations were achieved *via* sequential γ umpolung addition and β' -addition with 1,1- and 1,2-bisnucleophiles.^{8,9} In addition, another allene-derived 1,4-biseletrophile is also reported by Huang *et al.*, who used β'' oxazolidinyl-substituted allenyl imide as a C4 synthon, achieving [4 + 1] annulations *via* tandem β'' -addition and γ addition.10 On the basis of these two types of leaving groupcontaining allenes, various other types of annulations have been developed with different bisnucleophiles, generating 5- or 6-membered rings.¹¹ Furthermore, although both the δ-acetoxysubstituted allenoate developed by Tong and the y-alkenyl allenoate developed by our group exhibited δ-addition and remote 1,7-addition reactivity,12 the construction of mediumsized rings through the annulations of long-chained allenederived remote biselectrophiles still remains an elusive challenge,13 probably owing to unfavourable enthalpic and entropic effects in the synthesis of medium-sized rings,14 as well as the limited availability of long-chained allene-derived biselectrophiles.

In consideration of widely-existing of chiral medium-sized rings in natural products and bioactive molecules^{15–17} (Scheme 1C), the development of long-chained allene-derived biselectrophiles to construct medium-sized rings, especially enantio-selectively, would be in high demand. Herein, we report a phosphine-catalyzed [6 + 1] annulation of α -allyl allenoates



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2211963. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc02487g



with 1,1-bisnucleophiles, achieving a general and economical approach for the synthesis of seven-membered rings (Scheme 1D) which is different with the previous works through [4 + 3] annulation.¹⁸ Notable features of this strategy include: (a) the longest-chained allene-derived biselectrophile, acting as C6 synthon to enable the rarely-reported [6 + 1] annulation; (b) both N-heterocycles and carbocycles produced with broad scope and great efficiency (up to 99% yield and 96% ee); (c) high atom economy without the need of leaving groups; (d) excellent regioselectivity without observing potential α - and β -additions, as well as competing self-cyclization.¹⁹

Results and discussion

Recently, a phosphine-catalyzed cycloaddition of α -allyl allenoates with 3-formylchromones was developed by our group.²⁰ Inspired by this success, we envisioned that the conversion of α allyl allenoate-derived 1,6-dipole into 1,6-biselectrophile through proton abstraction may be feasible, thus facilitating a distinct [6 + 1] annulation with readily accessible and costeffective 1,1-bisnucleophilic reagents. Following this

Table 1	Optimization	of the [6 ·	+ 1] annulation	conditions ^a
---------	--------------	-------------	-----------------	-------------------------

	CO ₂ Et + Ts-NH ₂ CO ₂ Et 1-3 2-1	catalyst (30 mol% additive (130 mol solvent, T °C	^{⟨)} ^{⟨)} EtO ₂ C	N—Ts
Entry	Catalyst (mol%)	Additive	Solvent	yield ^{b} (%)
1	PBu ₃	_	CHCl ₃	61
2	PBu ₃	K ₂ CO ₃	$CHCl_3$	43
3	PBu ₃	Cs_2CO_3	CHCl ₃	24
4	PBu ₃	4 Å MS	$CHCl_3$	56
5	PBu ₃	H_2O	$CHCl_3$	62
6 ^{<i>c</i>}	PBu ₃	—	$CHCl_3$	80
7^c	PBu ₃	_	DCE	77
8 ^c	PBu ₃	—	Toluene	64
9 ^c	PBu ₃	—	Et_2O	56
10^d	PBu ₃	—	$CHCl_3$	70
11^e	PBu ₃	—	$CHCl_3$	81
12^c	DMAP	—	$CHCl_3$	NR
13 ^c	$(4-MeOC_6H_4)_3P$		$CHCl_3$	Trace
14^c	PCy ₃		$CHCl_3$	47
15 ^c	—	—	$CHCl_3$	NR

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1-3** (0.2 mmol), **2-1** (0.24 mmol), catalyst (30 mol%) and additives (130 mol%) in solvent (2.0 mL) at 25 °C for 24 hours. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} **1-3** (0.4 mmol), **2-1** (0.2 mmol). ^{*d*} 60 °C. ^{*e*} 0 °C, 48 hours.

hypothesis, we commenced the study with the screening of α allyl allenoate 1-3 and p-toluenesulfonamide 2-1 in the presence of tertiary phosphine catalysts (Table 1). To our delight, the use of PBu₃ in CHCl₃ at 25 °C for 24 h provided the desired azepine 3 in 61% yield (entry 1), while the base additives such as K_2CO_3 or Cs₂CO₃ did not promote the reaction (entries 2 and 3), probably because the addition of base inhibited the proton transfer process, leading to more difficult formation of 1,6bielectrophilic intermediate.13 Notably, 4 Å MS reduced the yield while H_2O slightly improved the yield (entries 4 and 5), suggesting a water-tolerant reaction. When the ratio of 1-3 to 2-1 was changed from 1:1.2 to 2:1, the yield of 3 was improved to 80% with a slightly prolonged reaction time (entry 6). Other screened solvents provided unsatisfactory performances (entries 7–9), and elevating the temperature also decreased the yield. On the other hand, reducing the temperature to 0 °C led to slightly higher yields, yet requiring a longer reaction time (entries 10 and 11). Importantly, the structure of catalyst had strong influence on the reactivity (entries 12-14), and other phosphines or an amine catalyst were in general less effective. In addition, control experiment showed that the absence of PBu₃ resulted in no reactivity, further confirming a vital role of phosphine catalyst in the [6 + 1] reaction (entry 15).

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, we set out to explore the generality of this [6 + 1] annulation. The scope was first explored with various sulfonamides (Scheme 2). Various sulfonamides with aryl rings bearing both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating *para*-substituents were well tolerated, affording 7-membered N-heterocycles with 61–81% yield (3–8). The *o*-tolyl and phenyl-substituted sulfonamides also participated in the reaction smoothly (9 in 64% yield and 10 in 84%



Scheme 2 Scope of 7-membered N-heterocycles. ^aReactions of 1 (0.20 mmol) and 2 (0.40 mmol) were carried out in the presence of PBu₃ (0.06 mmol) in 2.0 mL of CHCl₃ at 25 °C for 24 h. Isolated yields. ^b20 eg. H₂O was added.

yield). In addition, alkyl and heteroaryl sulfonamides provided satisfactory yields (**11** in 82% yield, **12** in 80% yield and **13** in 66% yield). Different allenoates were tolerated well in this reaction, and the steric hindrance of the ester groups at both α and γ' -positions had a small influence on the yield (**14–19**, 48– 79% yield). To our delight, valdecoxib and celecoxib were successfully transformed into the corresponding cycloadducts (**20**, 66% yield, and **21**, 73% yield).

Given the wide availability of 1C,1C-bisnucleophilic reagents, we then investigated the feasibility of the [6 + 1]annulation in the synthesis of 7-membered carbocycles (Scheme 3). We were pleased to find that this transformation was indeed successful with readily available malononitrile and malonate diesters, providing the corresponding cycloheptenes with good efficiency under the standard conditions (22-25, 71-88% yields). The steric hindrance of the ester groups at both α and γ' -positions did not affect the yields (26–31, 83–90% yield). In addition, the citronellol-derived malonate proceeded smoothly to afford 32 in 71% ¹H NMR yield. Non-symmetrical 1C,1C-bisnucleophilic substrates also provided the desired products (33-38, 61-90% yield, 1:1-3.8:1 dr), and the structure of 34 was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.²¹ Heterocyclic spiroheptenes were generated when cyclic 1C,1Cbisnucleophilic reagents were employed. An array of benzofuranones bearing electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents on the aryl rings were tolerated and delivered the spiro products with high efficiency (39-48, 59-99% yield, 1:1-1.3:1 dr). Notably, compound 48 is a spiroapplanatumine analogue.^{17f} Other cyclic skeleton such as benzothiophene, benzolactone, and indolone were also tolerated, providing the



Scheme 3 Scope of seven-membered carbocycles. ^aReactions of 1 (0.20 mmol) and 2 (0.40 mmol) were carried out in the presence of PBu₃ (0.06 mmol) in 2.0 mL of CHCl₃ at 25 °C for 24 h. Isolated yields. dr value determined by ¹H NMR. ^b20 eq. H₂O was added. ^c82% yield, 23% ee under (*R*)-SITCP catalysis.

desired products (49–52) in up to 95% yield, albeit with low diastereoselectivity (1:1-3.2:1 dr).

We then turned to explore the asymmetric version of [6 + 1] annulation reaction (Scheme 4). Catalyst screening indicated that (*R*)-SITCP was the most efficient catalyst among many privileged chiral phosphines (see the ESI†), providing **53–55** in 55–71% yield with good enantioselectivities (81–86% ee).

Benzofuranone spiroheptenes were also smoothly generated under the same reaction conditions, producing the desired products **56–64** in 44–98% yields with good enantioselectivities (mostly more than 90% ee). Asymmetric reaction of 1,3-dicarbonyl compound was also tested, albeit with low enantioselectivity (**A-30**, 23% ee, see Scheme 3 and ESI[†] for details).

The synthetic utility of this [6 + 1] annulation was then explored (Scheme 5). To our delight, the scale-up reactions gave higher yields, providing N-heterocycle 5 and carbocycle 24 in



Scheme 4 Asymmetric substrate scope. ^aReactions of 1 (0.05 mmol) and 2 (0.10 mmol) were carried out in the presence of (R)-SITCP (0.01 mmol) in 0.5 mL of CHCl3 at 0 °C for 24 h. Isolated yields. dr values were determined by ¹H NMR. ee values were determined by HPLC.





79% and 87% yield, respectively. Consequently, these products can be easily converted into various 7-membered ring compounds. The Pd/C-catalyzed hydrogenation of internal alkenes afforded 65 in 61% and 68 in 89% yield. In addition, the internal alkenes were able to converted into bicyclo[5.3.0] skeleton 66 in 91% and 69 in 50% yield via TFA-mediated [3 + 2] annulation, and dihydroxylated 67 in 62% yield via RuCl₃/ NaIO₄-catalyzed oxidation.

To gain mechanistic insight into this [6 + 1] reaction, a deuterium-labeling experiment was first performed (Scheme 6A). With the addition of 20 eq. D_2O , the corresponding product **70** was obtained in 99% yield, with the β - and γ' -positions deuterated in ratios of 66% and 35%, respectively, suggesting a D_2O -assisted proton transfer process.²² The efficiency of γ umpolung addition reaction of T_{sNH_2} (2-1) to α -methyl alleonate was tested under the standard conditions (Scheme 6B).



The yield of product 71 (39%) was much lower than that of [6 + 1] annulation (3, 81% yield), indicating that the γ -umpolung addition alone was not as smooth as the two tandem additions towards annulation. Both crossover experiments with substrates 2-39 or 2-38 furnished only the non-crossover cycloadduct 14 (Scheme 6C). TsNH2 did not undergo Michael addition with the α -allyl-like substrate 2-39, implying that the alkenyl phosphonium fragment is favoured over the acrylate fragment for the first nucleophilic addition. Interestingly, no products generated from only γ -umpolung addition were detected in the presence of 2-38, which may be attributed to the fact that the δ' -addition towards facilitating the current [6 + 1]reaction did not undergo in the reverse γ -addition. To comfirm the key intermediates, the reaction mixture of allenoate 1-2 and indolone 2-37 in the presence of PPh3 under the standard conditions (0.5 h) was analyzed by high-resolution mass spectrometry (ESI-HRMS). Two major ion signals at 459.1725 and 692.2774 suggested the formation of zwitterionic species int 1 and phosphonium int 3, and carbanionic int 4 to int 6 (Fig. 1).

Based on these results, a plausible catalytic cycle was outlined in Fig. 2. Initially, the nucleophilic addition of the phosphine catalyst to allenoate 2-3 leads to the generation of 1,6dipole with a chair conformation that was stabilized by a five-



Fig. 1 HRMS analysis of the reaction system.



membered P–O ring (int 1).²³ This species forms 1,6-biselectrophilic int 3 after hydrogen abstraction from the 1,1-bisnucleophilic reagent. Addition of the nucleophilic int 2 to the γ position of int 3 then provides the carbanionic int 4, which is consistent with the deuterium labeling results. The subsequent 1,3-H shift generates int 5, which undergoes intramolecular δ' addition to give the carbanionic int 6. Finally, the second 1,3-H shift and elimination of the phosphine catalyst afforded the [6 + 1] cycloadduct 70.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an unprecedented phosphinecatalyzed [6 + 1] annulation using α -allyl allenoate as a longchained C6 synthon with various readily available 1,1-bisnucleophiles, providing both 7-membered N-heterocycles and carbocycles with broad scope, high atom economy, excellent regioselectivity, and great efficiency. High enantioselectivity can be achieved by employing (*R*)-SITCP as the chiral catalyst. The synthetic utility including scale-up synthesis and facile transformation into bicyclo[5.3.0] products, has also been well demonstrated. Mechanistic experiments suggested the formation of key remote 1,6-biselectrophilic intermediate and the plausible catalytic cycle. Our investigations on the formation of other medium-sized rings through the newly designed C6biselectrophiles are under the way in the laboratory.

Data availability

General information, detailed experimental procedures, characterization data for compounds, and NMR, HPLC spectra are available in the ESI. \dagger

Author contributions

J. X. L., W. C. and Y. H. proposed the project, designed the experiments, and wrote the manuscript. J. X. performed the

whole experiment. J. X. L., W. C. and Y. H. performed the analysis of experimental data. Y. H. supervised the whole project. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22171147 and 21871148) for generous financial support for our programs. We thank Prof. Mengchun Ye of Nankai University for helpful discussions.

Notes and references

- Selected reviews: (a) L.-W. Ye, J. Zhou and Y. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, 37, 1140–1152; (b) H. Ni, W.-L. Chan and Y. Lu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, 118, 9344–9411; (c) H. Guo, Y. C. Fan, Z. Sun, Y. Wu and O. Kwon, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, 118, 10049–10293.
- 2 C. Lu and X. Lu, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 4677-4679.
- 3 J. Szeto, V. Sriramurthy and O. Kwon, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, 13, 5420–5423.
- 4 (a) B. Liu, R. Davis, B. Joshi and D. W. Reynolds, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 4595-4598; (b) Z. Lu, S. Zheng, X. Zhang and X. Lu, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 3267-3270; (c) P. Xie, W. Lai, Z. Geng, Y. Huang and R. Chen, Chem.-Asian J., 2012, 7, 1533-1537; (d) J. Hu, W. Dong, X.-Y. Wu and X. Tong, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 5530-5533.
- 5 D. Wang, W. Liu, Y. Hong and X. Tong, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, 20, 5002–5005.
- 6 Selected examples: (a) X.-F. Zhu, J. Lan and O. Kwon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 4716-4717; (b) E. Li, Y. Huang, L. Liang and P. Xie, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3138-3141; (c) N. Li, P. Jia and Y. Huang, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 10976-10979.
- 7 Q. Zhang, L. Yang and X. Tong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2550–2551.
- 8 (a) X. Han, W. Yao, T. Wang, Y. R. Tan, Z. Yan, J. Kwiatkowski and Y. Lu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 5643–5647; (b)
 D. T. Ziegler, L. Riesgo, T. Ikeda, Y. Fujiwara and G. C. Fu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 13183–13187; (c) S. Kramer and G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3803–3806; (d)
 X. Tang, H. Ni and Y. Lu, Org. Chem. Front., 2021, 8, 4485–4489; (e) Y. Zhang, D. Wang and X. Tong, Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 3488–3491.
- 9 (a) H. Jang, W. Liu, X. a. Zhang Sean and W. Liao, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2016, 32, 385–389; (b) X. Tang, C. X. A. Tan, W.-L. Chan, F. Zhang, W. Zheng and Y. Lu, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, 11, 1361–1367.
- 10 (a) Z.-H. Cao, Y.-H. Wang, S. J. Kalita, U. Schneider and Y.-Y. Huang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 59, 1884–1890;
 (b) Y.-C. Li, Y.-H. Wang, S. J. Kalita and Y.-Y. Huang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2023, 365, 2487–2510; (c) Z.-Q. Zhang,

Z.-K. Zhang, Y.-H. Wang, B.-T. Chen, F.-K. He, Y.-L. Wang, T. Shu and Y.-Y. Huang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2024, **89**, 6607–6614.

- 11 (a) Y.-H. Wang, Z.-N. Zhao, S. J. Kalita and Y.-Y. Huang, Org. Lett., 2021, 23, 8147–8152; (b) S. Debnath, A. S. Kumar, S. Chauhan and K. C. Kumara Swamy, J. Org. Chem., 2021, 86, 11583–11598.
- 12 J. Feng and Y. Huang, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 3541-3547.
- 13 Selected examples: (a) C. Ni, J. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Hou, D. Wang, X. Tong, S.-F. Zhu and Q.-L. Zhou, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3668-3671; (b) D. Wang and X. Tong, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 6392-6395; (c) J. Xing, Y. Lei, Y.-N. Gao and M. Shi, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 2382-2385; (d) J. Feng and Y. Huang, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 14011-14014; (e) J. Feng, Y. Chen, W. Qin and Y. Huang, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 433-437.
- 14 (a) G. Illuminati and L. Mandolini, Acc. Chem. Res., 1981, 14, 95–102; (b) G. A. Molander, Acc. Chem. Res., 1998, 31, 603–609.
- 15 J. Otevrel, M. Eugui, S. Ričko and K. A. Jørgensen, *Nat. Synth.*, 2023, **2**, 1142–1158.
- 16 Selected reviews: (a) E. Rodriguez, G. H. N. Towers and J. C. Mitchell, *Phytochemistry*, 1976, 15, 1573-1580; (b) W. He, M. Cik, G. Appendino, V. L. Puyvelde, E. J. Leysen and D. N. Kimpe, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2002, 2, 185-200; (c) H.-B. Wang, X.-Y. Wang, L.-P. Liu, G.-W. Qin and T.-G. Kang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, 115, 2975-3011.
- 17 (a) B. Holmes and A. Ward, Drugs, 1985, 30, 285-312; (b)
 I. Tietjen, D. E. Williams, S. Read, X. T. Kuang,
 P. Mwimanzi, E. Wilhelm, T. Markle, N. N. Kinloch,
 C. N. Na-phen and K. Tenney, Antiviral Res., 2018, 152, 94-103; (c) R. D. Taylor, M. MacCoss and A. D. G. Lawson, J. Med. Chem., 2014, 57, 5845-5859; (d) I. Bruce,
 G. W. J. Fleet, B. Cenci di Bello and I. Winchester, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 7257-7260; (e) P. A. Vans,

D. E. Negru and D. Shang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 4768–4772; (*f*) Q. Luo, X.-Y. Wei, J. Yang, J.-F. Luo, R. Liang, Z.-C. Tu and Y.-X. Cheng, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2017, **80**, 61–70.

- 18 (a) K. Kumar, R. Kapoor, A. Kapur and M. P. S. Ishar, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 2023–2025; (b) S. Zheng and X.-Y. Lu, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 3978–3981; (c) R. Na, C. Jing, Q. Xu, H. Jiang, X. Wu, J. Shi, J. Zhong, M. Wang, D. Benitez, E. Tkatchouk, W. A. Goddard, H. Guo and O. Kwon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 13337–13348; (d) R. Zhou, J. Wang, C. Duan and Z. He, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 6134–6137; (e) J. Wu, Y. Tang, W. Wei, Y. Wu, Y. Li, J. Zhang, Y. Zheng and S. Xu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 6284–6288; (f) Z. Dai, J. Zhu, J. Wang, W. Su, F. Yang and Q. Zhou, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 362, 545–551; (g) K. Zhang, L. Cai, S. Hong and O. Kwon, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 5143–5146.
- 19 (a) K. Gao, Y. G. Zhang, Z. Wang and H. Ding, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 1859–1878; (b) K. Selvaraj, S. Chauhan, K. Sandeep and K. C. K. Swamy, *Chem. Asian. J.*, 2020, 15, 2380–2402.
- 20 J. Lai and Y. Huang, Chem. Commun., 2023, 59, 13215–13218.
- 21 Deposition numbers 2211963 (**34**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
- 22 Y.-Z. Xia, Y. Liang, Y.-Y. Chen, M. Wang, L. Jiao, F. Huang, S. Liu, Y.-H. Li and Z.-X. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 3470–3471.
- 23 (a) C.-W. Cho and M. J. Krische, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004,
 43, 6689–6691; (b) R. K. Thalji and W. R. Roush, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, 127, 16778–16779.