Chemical Science

COMMENTARY

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2024, 15, 18224

C All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc90214a

rsc.li/chemical-science

Surface-mounted supramolecular receptors offer excellent opportunities for studying host–guest chemistry in interfacial environments,¹ mimicking biological molecular recognition events. Such receptors have the potential to be used in sensing since they can exhibit an ampli fied response to analytes compared to receptors in solution.² However, constant monitoring is necessary for real-world sensing applications. Techniques for studying the binding interactions between surface-bound receptors and analytes are still relatively scarce,³ and mostly applied to the study of biomolecules.⁴ Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is one of the methods by which interfacial recognition events of surface-immobilized receptors can be studied.³ Traditional faradaic impedimetric ion sensing can detect ion recruitment by self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of supramolecular receptors.³ However, the need for a redoxprobe imposes multiple restrictions on the measurement process, including solubility of the probe in organic media and the electroactivity of analyte anions, and suffers from baseline instability. Capacitance spectroscopy has been used

A focus on capacitive cation sensing under flow: play it again SAM

Debapriya Gupta \mathbb{D}^* an[d](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2764-9155) Amar H. Flood \mathbb{D}^*

We highlight an article by Patrick et al. (S. C. Patrick, R. Hein, P. D. Beer and J. J. Davis, Chem. Sci., 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC05271D>) that integrates capacitance spectroscopy with a continuous flow assay to achieve reagentless electrochemical sensing. Injection of analytes leads to ion recruitment by the self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of benzo-15-crown-5 receptors generating spikes in the sensorgram. Injecting the supporting electrolyte regenerates the vacant receptor, returning the value to baseline. Binding and debinding kinetic signatures also emerge. The continuous and sensitive reporting of interfacial host–guest binding in real-time follows the precision of a well-composed melody that can be played again and again. COMMENTARY

(a) Check for eugenias **A** focus on capacitive cation sensing under flow.

The variable comparison of **blanching and the set of the comparison of the comparison of the set of the set of the set of the set of t**

to detect ion binding by surfaceimmobilized supramolecular receptors based on the variation in monolayer capacitance after the addition of charged guests.⁵–⁷ This non-faradaic approach is preferable for applications due to its clear synthetic and experimental benefits, such as not requiring redox probes, and maintaining a stable baseline.

In recent work, Patrick et al. demonstrate the use of capacitance spectroscopy for continuous and real-time flow detection of cations ([https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC05271D) [D4SC05271D](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC05271D)).8 A modified benzo-15crown-5 was used as the supramolecular receptor, and the SAMs of the receptor were formed on gold electrodes (Fig. 1a). The capacitance of the film was measured before and after exposure to alkali metal cations in a static solution. A distinct increase in the capacitance value was observed after the exposure, attributed to the higher dielectric constant of the thin film after ion recruitment. Additionally, hydration-induced expansion of the electrode's surface area contributed to the increased capacitance values.

This system was integrated with a 3Dprinted flow cell to afford continuous real-time sensory readouts for monitoring cation levels (Fig. 1b). Introduction of the sample aliquot (Na⁺) leads to ion recruitment by the benzo-15-crown-5 receptors, generating a spike in the

capacitance value in the sensorgram (see Fig. 1b and c, spike B). In the next step (C), supporting electrolyte is injected to regenerate the vacant receptor thereby returning the film capacitance to baseline values. Long-term sensing experiments, with measurements conducted for fifteen additions and over the course of 54 hours, demonstrated minimal difference in capacitance readouts and stable baseline values. One clear advantage of the flow system is the opportunity to repeat binding events (Fig. 1b and c) over an extended period of time.

The crown-based sensor is most sensitive to $Na⁺$ with the trend in selectivity following the size complementarity of the receptor under both static and flow conditions. The binding constant values for Li^+ , Na⁺ and K⁺, determined using a Langmuir model, mirror the binding pattern of the receptor in solution.⁹

The sensitivity of the sensor towards Na⁺ exceeds that of the commercial ion selective electrodes (ISEs) used for in-the field $Na⁺$ testing.^{10,11} The method also shows low drift, which is known to be a problem for commercial ISEs. Another advantage of the flow system is the quick regeneration of vacant receptors after ion binding. This behavior removes the necessity for re-calibration, which is typically required to restore the baseline after each measurement with ISEs. The

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 800 East Kirkwood Avenue, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA. E-mail: aflood@iu.edu

Fig. 1 (a) The receptor is a modified benzo-15-crown-5 that is immobilized on a gold electrode surface of a sensor for analysis of sodium (Na⁺) and other cations. (b) Non-faradaic capacitance response, C, of the surface-mounted receptor toward increasing $Na⁺$ concentrations. (c) Cartoon showing the ion binding and debinding process by the surface-immobilized receptor under continuous flow (reproduced from ref. 8 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry).

accuracy of the baseline recovery after washing is impressive and is reproduced with a range of different cations and measurement times ranging from 5–54 hours.

The team showcased the method for sensing $Na⁺$ in real-world samples. Multiple samples of the simulated sweat matrix containing physiologically relevant concentrations of $Na⁺$ (typically between 10–100 mM) were tested using this method. The results showed excellent agreement between absolute and measured Na⁺ values in all cases, including samples of fresh water and tap water tested using this method.

The study highlights specific points regarding the ion recruitment process of supramolecular receptors at the interface. The binding constants determined for the larger cations Rb^+ and Cs^+ were higher than expected. This difference pointed the authors to the possibility of 2 : 1 host : guest sandwich complexes.

The capacitance responses recorded during continuous measurements also displayed kinetic signatures for the association and dissociation processes. Upon analyte injection, the increase in capacitance reflects the kinetics of binding, followed by a plateau and

a return to baseline values in the washing cycle indicating dissociation of the ion from the receptor. Patrick et al. correlated the temporal fingerprints with ionic size. Smaller cations $(Li^+$ and $Na^+)$ exhibit rapid and reversible binding to the receptor. However, for larger cations, a slow dissociation process was observed. The rates of association and dissociation of the cations $(Li^+, Na^+, K^+, Rb^+, Cs^+$ and NH_4^+) were modeled and the calculated rate constants of association were broadly in agreement with the binding affinity trends. The rates of dissociation were significantly slower for larger cations, which again points to the possibility of 2:1 sandwich complex formation. Kinetic analyses of small molecule host–guest recognition at interfaces are rare and Patrick et al. have undertaken one of the pioneering efforts in this direction.

In summary, the article by Patrick et al. introduces a detection method to constantly monitor ion concentrations by binding to receptor-modified interfaces in real time. The method is reagentless and eliminates persistent problems faced by the incumbent ISEs (recalibration and drift). The method can also be extended to monitor other receptor–ion

combinations. This approach is crucial for supramolecular chemists seeking to understand the ion-recruitment process and the selectivity of surfaceimmobilized receptors. Supramolecular receptors used in sensing devices are often incorporated inside membrane sensors¹² or in the conductive channels of polymer-based transistor sensors.¹³ Despite their utility in sensing, these devices offer little opportunity to study the host–guest recognition event on a molecular level. This is where the method by Patrick et al. proves to be extremely valuable. The possibility of determining the thermodynamics and kinetics of interfacial ion association or dissociation is exciting and is expected to provide new insights into the world of interfacial molecular recognition and self-assembly.

Author contributions

D. G. and A. H. F. co-wrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (OIS 2317826).

References

- 1 K. Ariga, J. P. Hill and H. Endo, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2007, 8, 864–883.
- 2 N. H. Evans, H. Rahman, J. J. Davis and P. D. Beer, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2012, 402, 1739–1748.
- 3 R. Hein, P. D. Beer and J. J. Davis, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, 1888–1935.
- 4 R. P. Sparks, J. L. Jenkins and R. Fratti, in SNAREs: Methods and Protocols, ed.
- R. Fratti, Springer, New York, New York, 2019, pp. 199–210.
- 5 S. Flink, F. C. Van Veggel and D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1999, 103, 6515–6520.
- 6 N. Wanichacheva, E. R. Soto, C. R. Lambert and W. G. McGimpsey, Anal. Chem., 2006, 78, 7132–7137.
- 7 R. Hein, A. Borissov, M. D. Smith, P. D. Beer and J. J. Davis, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 4849–4852.
- 8 S. C. Patrick, R. Hein, P. D. Beer and J. J. Davis, Chem. Sci., 2024, DOI: [10.1039/D4SC05271D](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC05271D).
- 9 E. Wagner-Czauderna, A. Koczorowska and M. K. Kalinowski, J. Coord. Chem., 1999, 46, 265–276.
- 10 H.-R. Lim, Y.-S. Kim, S. Kwon, M. Mahmood, Y.-T. Kwon, Y. Lee, S. M. Lee and W.-H. Yeo, Sensors, 2020, 20, 3297.
- 11 D. Midgley, Trans. Inst. Meas. Control, 1987, 9, 25–36.
- 12 E. M. Zahran, E. M. Fatila, C.-H. Chen, A. H. Flood and L. G. Bachas, Anal. Chem., 2018, 90, 1925–1933.
- 13 A. R. Benasco, J. Tropp, V. Kaphle, Y. Chen, W. Zhao, N. Eedugurala, T. N. Ng, A. H. Flood and J. D. Azoulay, Adv. Electron. Mater., 2022, 8, 2101353. Chemical Science

Access Articles. Published on 30 October 2024. Downloaded on 2024. Downloaded on 2024. Downloaded on 2024. Downloaded on 12/28. December 2024. December 2024. Downloaded to 2024. December 2024. December 2