# Journal of Materials Chemistry B



## **COMMUNICATION**

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2024, 12, 1782

Received 9th November 2023, Accepted 29th January 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d3tb02663a

[rsc.li/materials-b](https://rsc.li/materials-b)

### Preparation of cellular membrane-mimicking glycopolymer interfaces by a solvent-assisted method on QCM-D sensor chips and their molecular recognition†

Masanori Nagao,  $\mathbf{D}^{\star a}$  Tsukuru Masuda,  $\mathbf{D}^b$  Madoka Takai  $\mathbf{D}^b$  and Yoshiko Miura D<sup>\*a</sup>

Carbohydrate-based membranes that show molecular recognition ability are interesting mimics of biointerfaces. Herein, we prepared glycopolymer membranes on QCM-D sensor chips using a solventassisted method and investigated their interactions with a target lectin. The membrane containing the glycopolymer with a random arrangement of the carbohydrate units adsorbed more lectin than that containing the glycopolymer with an organized block of carbohydrate units.

Carbohydrates play important roles in biological activities (e.g., pathogen infection, immune response, and cell-to-cell communication) through molecular recognition on cell surfaces, where carbohydrates selectively interact with corresponding proteins  $(lectins).<sup>1-4</sup>$  Since monovalent interaction between a carbohydrate unit and a lectin binding site is generally weak, multivalent binding is important to strengthen carbohydrate–lectin interactions.5,6 Glycoconjugates exist as clusters on cell surfaces and exhibit multivalent binding with multiple lectin binding sites. A glycopolymer contains multiple carbohydrate units in a single molecule.<sup> $7-10$ </sup> Glycopolymers exhibit multivalent interactions with target lectins and have been used as pathogen inhibitors and biosensors.<sup>11-13</sup>

Since the molecular recognition of carbohydrates occurs on cell surfaces, material interfaces modified with carbohydrates have attracted much attention as biointerface mimics and analysis platforms.14–17 Carbohydrates can be immobilized by thiol–gold interactions on gold surfaces $14,16$  and silane coupling reactions on glass substrates.<sup>18,19</sup> Locally dense immobilized carbohydrates on solid surfaces can form strong multivalent interactions with the target lectin. For example,

Percec and co-workers fabricated a glycodendrimer surface with a precisely controlled arrangement of carbohydrate units.<sup>20</sup> The well-defined distance between the carbohydrate units determined the multivalency and strengthened the interaction of the glycodendrimer surface with lectins. Furthermore, while not related to carbohydrate interactions, there have been reports indicating that the method of immobilizing enzymes on the surface can impact their activity. $21-23$  These examples demonstrate the substantial impact that the immobilization method for functional groups can have on the properties of biointerface interactions. COMMUNICATION<br>
<sup>2</sup> **(0)** Check for undates<br>
<sup>2</sup> **Comparison of cellular membrane-mimicking**<br>
<sup>2</sup> **Comparison of cellular membrane-mimicking**<br>
<sup>2</sup> **Comparison of** *S* **<b>Comparison of Cellular membrane-mimicking**<br>
<sup>2</sup> **Compar** 

Molecular recognition of fluid membranes formed through non-covalent interactions is attracting increasing attention. For example, Ritcher and co-workers reported highly selective molecular recognition between adamantane units and cyclodextrin polymers on a fluid lipid bilayer supported on a substrate. $24$  Such fluid lipid bilayers are formed by the liposome shaping and the Langmuir-Blodgett method.<sup>25,26</sup> In addition to these traditional methods, the solvent-assisted method was reported by Cho and co-workers. $27-29$  This method enabled the facile preparation of a uniform lipid bilayer membrane. Furthermore, Meier and co-workers demonstrated that the solvent-assisted method can be applied to synthetic polymer membranes.<sup>30</sup> In this pioneering work, the authors also demonstrated the molecular recognition of the formed polymer membrane.

In this context, we hypothesized that the solvent-assisted method would be useful for obtaining a glycopolymer membrane-based molecular recognition platform. However, the applicability of the solvent-assisted method for this purpose and the effects of polymer structures on surface molecular recognition remain unclear. Herein, we investigate the bottom-up formation of glycopolymer membranes on a quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D) sensor chips using the solvent-assisted method and the molecular recognition behavior of the glycopolymer membranes toward a target lectin (Fig. 1). QCM-D is an excellent tool for analyzing

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan. E-mail: nagaom@chem-eng.kyushu-u.ac.jp, miuray@chem-eng.kyushu-u.ac.jp

 $b$  Department of Bioengineering, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3tb02663a) [10.1039/d3tb02663a](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3tb02663a)



Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of polymer membrane formation on a quartz crystal microbalance with a dissipation (QCM-D) sensor chip by the solvent-assisted method. B is butyl acrylate and D is N,N-dimethyl acrylamide. In the lower half, membrane formation using glycopolymers with a random arrangement (a) and a block arrangement (b).

interactions between biomolecules. $31$  While there have been several reports on the interaction between glycopolymer interfaces and proteins using QCM-D, $32-34$  this work focuses on observing the in situ formation of non-covalent polymer membranes using the solvent-assisted method and their interactions with proteins. In this work, we use the term ''membrane'' to describe the ''membrane-like'' solid-supported polymer assemblies for simplicity.<sup>30</sup>

First, we investigated the amphiphilic polymer structures required to form polymer membranes. The block copolymers were synthesized by reversible addition–fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization, which is a type of living radical polymerization. Hydrophobic and hydrophilic blocks were prepared with butyl acrylate (BA) and N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMA) monomers, respectively. The target degree of polymerization for each block was varied (Tables S1 and S2, ESI†). The compositions of the obtained polymers were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Fig. S1-S9, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis demonstrated that the peaks of the hydrophobic BA polymers (polyBA<sub>m</sub>,  $m = 50$ , 100, and 200) shifted to higher molecular weight  $(M_n)$  after polymerization with the hydrophilic DMA (polyBA<sub>m</sub>-b-DMA<sub>n</sub>,  $B<sub>m</sub>D<sub>n</sub>$ ), confirming successful chain extension (Fig. S10 and S11, ESI†).

The self-assembly behaviour of the synthesized  $B<sub>m</sub>D<sub>n</sub>$  diblock copolymers was evaluated by dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements. The DLS measurements of  $B_{58}D_{24}$ ,  $B_{58}D_{64}$ ,  $B_{110}D_{55}$ , and  $B_{110}D_{110}$  polymer solutions in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution  $(0.1 \text{ g L}^{-1})$  showed that the copolymers had diameters larger than 30 nm, indicating the formation of selfassembled structures such as micelles in aqueous solution (Table 1 and Fig. S12, ESI<sup>†</sup>).  $B_{200}D_{100}$  and  $B_{200}D_{200}$  did not completely dissolve in ethanol and were excluded from subsequent experiments.

To determine the appropriate polymer structure for the solvent-assisted method, the synthesized diblock copolymers were applied to form polymer membranes on QCM-D substrates. As a representative example, the QCM-D frequency

Table	1 Amphiphilic RAFT polymerization	diblock	copolymers	prepared by
				Polymer $M_n^a$ (g mol <sup>-1</sup> ) $M_w/M_n^a$ $D_h^b$ (nm) Surface coverage <sup>c</sup> (%)
$B_{58}D_{24}$	8300	1.36	$48 + 5$	26
$B_{58}D_{64}$	12 200	1.21	$32 + 1$	43
$B_{110}D_{55}$	16 100	1.33	$48 + 8$	39
$B_{110}D_{110}$	22 100	1.20	$34 \pm 4$	53

<sup>a</sup> Molecular weight  $(M_n)$  and dispersity  $(M_w/M_n)$  were determined by SEC analysis (N,N-dimethylformamide with 10 mM LiBr as an eluent) calibrated with a polymethylmethacrylate standard.  $\frac{b}{c}$  Hydrodynamic diameter was determined by dynamic light scattering  $(0.1 \text{ g L}^{-1})$ ' in PBS at 25  $^{\circ}$ C). Measurements were repeated three times.  $^{\circ}$  Coverage of the QCM-D sensor surface was determined by: 100%  $\times$   $(1 - \Delta F_{\rm BSA}$  on polymer membrane/ $\Delta F_{\text{BSA}}$  on bare glass substrate), where  $\Delta F_{\text{BSA}}$  is the frequency change caused by the addition of bovine serum albumin.

profile of  $B_{110}D_{110}$  during membrane formation is shown in Fig. 2 (profiles for the other polymers are shown in Fig. S13– S15, ESI†). A silica-coated QCM-D sensor chip was set in the module, and the signal was stabilized with a flow of PBS solution, followed by ethanol and polymer solution in ethanol  $(10 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ . Then, the solvent was switched from the polymer solution to PBS, and the frequency change  $(\Delta F)$  was observed (step 4 in Fig. 2). The negative  $\Delta F$  indicated polymer adsorption on the QCM-D substrate. To evaluate the polymer membrane coverage of the sensor surface, the solvent was sequentially changed to bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution in PBS (0.5  $g L^{-1}$ ), which led to BSA adsorption on the uncovered area of the sensor surface. The surface coverage of the polymer membrane was calculated using eqn (1): Communication<br>
Members Articles. Published on 30 January 2022. The contract of the computer state of the computer of the common article is likely and the com

Surface coverage =  $100\% \times (1 - \Delta F_{\rm BSA}$  on polymer membrane/  $\Delta F_{BSA}$  on bare glass substrate) (1)

where  $\Delta F_{BSA}$  is the frequency change caused by BSA. A profile for BSA adsorption onto the bare glass substrate is provided in Fig. S16 (ESI†). The surface coverage of the polymer membrane is summarized in Table 1. Surface coverage increased with the molecular weight and the ratio of hydrophobic to hydrophilic units of the polymers. The highest coverage of 53% was obtained for  $B_{110}D_{110}$ . This trend is attributed to the increase of hydrophobic interactions, acting as the driving force for membrane formation. These results revealed that the structure of  $B_{110}D_{110}$  was the most appropriate of those investigated for the formation of polymer membranes by the solvent-assisted method.

Next, amphiphilic glycopolymers were synthesized based on the structure of  $B_{110}D_{110}$ . Mannose units were introduced into the hydrophilic block as side chains in two types of sequence: random or block. The target degree of mannose acrylamide was 10 and copolymerized with DMA for the random glycopolymer poly(BA<sub>110</sub>-b-(DMA-r-Man)<sub>103</sub>) (RG). Mannose acrylamide was polymerized with  $B_{110}D_{110}$  as the macro-RAFT agent to produce block glycopolymer poly $(BA_{110}-b-DMA_{110}-b-Man_7)$  (BG). The number of mannose units in each RG and BG was determined to be nine and seven, respectively, by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Fig. 3 and Fig. S17, S18, ESI†). Both RG and BG showed similar molecular



Fig. 2  $\alpha$ CM-D frequency (a) and dissipation (b) profiles for polymer membrane formation with  $B_{110}D_{110}$  upon addition of PBS (1), ethanol (2), polymer solution in ethanol (3), PBS (4), BSA solution in PBS (5), and PBS (6).



Fig. 3  $^{-1}$ H NMR spectra of (a)  $B_{110}D_{110}$ , (b) random glycopolymer (RG), and (c) block glycopolymer (BG) in  $d_6$ -dimethylsulfoxide.

weights (Fig. S19, ESI†). These results indicated that RG and BG have comparable structures, except for their sequence.

Then, polymer membranes containing the glycopolymers were formed using the solvent-assisted method. Polymer solutions were prepared with either RG or BG (10 or 50 wt%, respectively) and  $B_{110}D_{110}$  (90 or 50 wt%) in ethanol. As in the control experiment with only  $B_{110}D_{110}$ , the mixtures of  $B_{110}D_{110}$ and glycopolymers also showed decreased  $\Delta F$  after solvent exchange (step 4 in Fig. 4a and b). The surface coverage of the glycopolymer membranes was less than 30%, which was lower than that of  $B_{110}D_{110}$  (Table 2). The decreased surface coverage was attributed to the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance of the polymers being affected by the presence of mannose units. The glycopolymer membranes on the QCM-D sensor chips were observed by atomic force microscopy (AFM) after drying; no vesicle structure was observed (Fig. S20, ESI†). The AFM results further confirmed the formation of glycopolymer membranes on the QCM-D sensor surface using the solventassisted method.

Molecular recognition by the glycopolymer membranes was then evaluated using concanavalin A (ConA). ConA is a tetrameric lectin that specifically binds to mannose units in the presence of calcium ions.<sup>4</sup> After blocking the uncovered sensor surface with BSA, the membranes were exposed to ConA in PBS with calcium ion (PBS(+)) solution (0.01  ${\rm g\, L}^{-1})$ , which caused a  $\Delta F$  decrease (step 7 in Fig. 4a and b). The sensor frequency changes caused by ConA ( $\Delta F_{\rm ConA}$ ) were  $-18$  and  $-7$  Hz for the membranes with 50 wt% RG and BG, respectively (Fig. 4c and Table 2). The concentration of ConA solution was sequentially increased to 0.1  $g L^{-1}$  (step 8 in Fig. 4a and b), which caused  $\Delta F_{\rm ConA}$  to further decrease to  $-36$  and  $-17$  Hz for RG and BG, respectively (Fig. 4c). Stated values are the averages of triplicate experiments (Table S3, ESI†). The dissipation profiles of the membranes are shown in Fig. S21 (ESI†). In contrast, peanut agglutinin (PNA), a non-mannose binding lectin did not induce frequency changes, indicating the retained selectivity of the mannose units on the glycopolymer surfaces (Table S4 and Fig. S22, S23, ESI†). Membranes with a lower ratio of glycopolymers to  $B_{110}D_{110}$  of 10 wt% adsorbed less ConA than those with a higher glycopolymer:  $B_{110}D_{110}$  ratio (Table 2 and Fig. S24, S25,



Fig. 4 QCM-D frequency profiles for polymer membrane formation with (a)  $B_{110}D_{110}$ :  $RG = 50:50$  (w/w) and (b)  $B_{110}D_{110}$ :  $RG = 50:50$  (w/w). In the profiles, PBS (1), ethanol (2), polymer solution in ethanol (3), PBS (4), BSA solution in PBS (5), PBS(+) (6), ConA solution in PBS(+) (C = 0.01 g L $^{-1}$ ) (7), ConA solution in PBS(+) (C = 0.1 g  $L^{-1}$ ) (8), and PBS (+) (9). (c) The frequency change caused by ConA adsorption for each polymer membrane. (d)  $\Delta D-\Delta F$  plots of ConA adsorption for each glycopolymer membrane (step 5 to step 9).

Table 2 Glycopolymer membrane characteristics

Glyco polymer <sup>a</sup>	Glycopolymer/ $B_{110}D_{110}$ (w/w)	Surface coverage $^b$ (%)	[ConA] $(g L^{-1})$	$-\Delta F_{\rm ConA}$ (Hz)
RG	10:90	24	0.01	4
			0.1	12
	50:50	28	0.01	18
			0.1	36
BG	10:90	26	0.01	2
			0.1	7
	50:50	27	0.01	7
			0.1	17

<sup>a</sup> RG and BG are poly(BA<sub>110</sub>-b-(DMA-r-Man)<sub>103</sub>) and poly(BA<sub>110</sub>-b- $DMA<sub>110</sub>$ -b-Man<sub>7</sub>), respectively.  $b<sup>b</sup>$  Surface coverage of QCM-D sensor surface was determined by: 100%  $\times$  ( $\Delta F_{\rm BSA}$  on polymer membrane/ $\Delta F_{\rm BSA}$ on bare glass substrate).

ESI<sup>†</sup>). The polymer membrane with only  $B_{110}D_{110}$  (no glycopolymer) showed negligible ConA adsorption (Fig. 4c). These results demonstrated that using BSA to block uncovered sensor surfaces worked well to avoid nonspecific adsorption of ConA, even at low surface coverage, and that ConA adsorption on the

QCM-D surface was derived from specific molecular recognition by mannose units of the glycopolymers. Interestingly, the amount of ConA adsorbed on the sensor surface was larger for the membrane with RG than that with BG, even though they had the same surface coverage (Table 2). This indicates that the glycopolymer membrane containing mannose units with a random arrangement interacted more strongly with ConA or had larger capacity for ConA binding. It is noteworthy that our previous works also reported that the strongest binding of glycopolymers to lectins was not achieved at the highest carbohydrate unit density.<sup>35,36</sup> Alexander-Katz and co-workers reported that polymers featuring the functional group in an alternating fashion demonstrate an advantage in multivalent interactions with the target compared to those with a block structure, particularly under high target concentrations. This phenomenon arises from the competition for multiple binding sites on the target.<sup>37</sup>

The slope of the dissipation change  $(\Delta D)$ – $\Delta F$  plots obtained from QCM-D measurements provides information about the viscoelasticity of the sensor surface in adsorption experiments. A higher slope indicates that a surface has a relatively relaxed structure and high viscosity.<sup>38</sup> Fig. 4d shows that the slopes of the  $\Delta D-\Delta F$  plots for ConA adsorption were the same for the membranes with RG and BG. This indicates that the glycopolymer membranes had comparable elasticity, and the amount of ConA adsorbed on the membrane was affected by the statistical arrangement of carbohydrate units on the surface, and not by dynamic properties such as fluidity.

To demonstrate the advantage of membrane formation by the solvent-assisted method, we prepared a glycopolymer membrane on a QCM-D sensor chip by spin coating using the same polymer solution  $(B_{110}D_{110} : RG = 50:50, 10 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$  in ethanol). The spin-coated surface displayed  $\Delta F_{\rm ConA}$  of  $-7$  Hz upon exposure to ConA solution  $(0.1 \text{ g L}^{-1})$ , which was smaller than that of the equivalent membrane prepared by the solventassisted method (Fig. S26 and S27, ESI†). The deference on the adsorption amount of ConA was attributed to the varying amounts of glycopolymers on the sensor surface. At step 2 in Fig. S26 (ESI†), the frequency change due to the adsorption of BSA on the spin-coated polymer was as large as that on a bare glass surface of the QCM sensor, indicating that the polymer is sparsely deposited on the sensor surface. Considering that the adsorption of PNA on the polymer membranes prepared by the solvent-assisted method was below 3 Hz (Table S4, ESI†), the adsorption of ConA on the spin-coated surface is likely specific to mannose units, implying the presence of a certain amount of polymer on the sensor surface. This experiment aimed to showcase the effects of different membrane formation methods at the same polymer concentration, highlighting the solvent-assisted method's efficiency in forming a membrane at lower concentrations. A high polymer concentration in the spin-coating method could potentially result in more polymer deposition on the sensor surface.

In conclusion, we prepared synthetic polymer membranes on QCM-D sensor surfaces using the solvent-assisted method. The formation of these membranes relies on the self-assembly

of amphiphilic polymers, where the hydrophobic blocks aggregate in the aqueous solvent, as observed upon replacing the polymer solution in ethanol to PBS solution. Among the amphiphilic copolymers tested,  $B_{110}D_{110}$ , with a diblock structure featuring higher molecular weight and hydrophobic unit ratio, yielded the membrane with the highest coverage of the QCM-D sensor chip. Introduction of glycopolymers containing mannose units, arranged either randomly or in organized blocks, into the  $B_{110}D_{110}$  polymer membranes revealed that the arrangement of carbohydrate units at the membrane surface influences molecular recognition ability. Specifically, the membrane with randomly arranged mannose units adsorbed more ConA than its counterpart with organized blocks of the mannose units, suggesting competition for multiple binding sites on ConA. Controlled polymerization techniques enable the precise design of synthetic polymer structures, paving the way for the development of highly functional interfaces based on synthetic polymers in the future. Furthermore, the polymer membrane formed via the solvent-assisted method exhibited superior ConA adsorption compared to the spin-coating method, underscoring the advantages of the solvent-assisted approach. Moving forward, applying this method to create non-covalently attached membranes on surfaces with amphiphilic polymers featuring different glass transition temperatures of hydrophobic blocks could unveil the correlation between polymer membrane fluidity and functionality. **Journal of Materials Chemistry B**<br> **Communication**<br>
gagge in the sequence in the subsected open replace in the sequence in t

#### Author contributions

The experiments were planned and conducted by M. Nagao and T. Masuda. The manuscript was written by M. Nagao. Y. Miura and M. Takai provided advice to improve the quality of the research.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a JSPS Grant-in-Aid (JP22K14728, JP22H05430, JP22H05048, JP23H02015) and by funding from Fukuoka University (Grant No. 221045).

#### References

- 1 R. A. Dwek, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 683–720.
- 2 J. Poole, C. J. Day, M. Von Itzstein, J. C. Paton and M. P. Jennings, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2018, 16, 440–452.
- 3 H. Lis and N. Sharon, Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 637–674.
- 4 M. Ambrosi, N. R. Cameron and B. G. Davis, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2005, 3, 1593–1608.
- 5 J. J. Lundquist and E. J. Toone, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 555–578.
- 6 S. Cecioni, A. Imberty and S. Vidal, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 525–561.
- 7 Y. Miura, Y. Hoshino and H. Seto, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 1673–1692.
- 8 G. Yilmaz and C. R. Becer, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 2020, 221, 2000006.
- 9 L. L. Kiessling and J. C. Grim, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 4476–4491.
- 10 M. Nagao, H. Matsumoto and Y. Miura, Chem. Asian J., 2023, 18, e202300643.
- 11 T. Masuda and M. Takai, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2022, 10, 1473–1485.
- 12 J. Li, X. Y. Tian, L. P. Zong, Q. Zhang, X. J. Zhang, R. Marks, S. Cosnier and D. Shan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 32366–32372.
- 13 A. Singh, J. C. Arango, A. Shi, J. B. d'Aliberti and S. A. Claridge, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2023, 145, 1668–1677.
- 14 K. R. Love and P. H. Seeberger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 3583–3586.
- 15 J. Shi, T. Yang, S. Kataoka, Y. Zhang, A. J. Diaz and P. S. Cremer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 5954–5961.
- 16 S. Park, J. C. Gildersleeve, O. Blixt and I. Shin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 4310–4326.
- 17 C. D. Rillahan, E. Schwartz, R. McBride, V. V. Fokin and J. C. Paulson, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 11014–11018.
- 18 G. T. Carroll, D. Wang, N. J. Turro and J. T. Koberstein, Langmuir, 2006, 22, 2899–2905.
- 19 H. Seto, S. Kamba, T. Kondo, M. Hasegawa, S. Nashima, Y. Ehara, Y. Ogawa, Y. Hoshino and Y. Miura, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 13234–13241.
- 20 N. Yu. Kostina, D. Söder, T. Haraszti, Q. Xiao, K. Rahimi, B. E. Partridge, M. L. Klein, V. Percec and C. Rodriguez-Emmenegger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 8352–8360.
- 21 J. Guo, L. Yang, Z. Gao, C. Zhao, Y. Mei and Y.-Y. Song, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 5949–5958.
- 22 J. Guo, L. Yang, C. Zhao, Z. Gao, Y.-Y. Song and P. Schmuki, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 14911–14919.
- 23 J. Xu, Y. Xue, X. Jian, Y. Zhao, Z. Dai, J. Xu, Z. Gao, Y. Mei and Y.-Y. Song, Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 6550–6557.
- 24 G. V. Dubacheva, T. Curk, D. Frenkel and R. P. Richter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 2577–2588.
- 25 R. P. Richter, R. Bérat and A. R. Brisson, Langmuir, 2006, 22, 3497–3505.
- 26 C. Draghici, V. Mikhalevich, G. Gunkel-Grabole, J. Kowal, W. Meier and C. G. Palivan, Langmuir, 2018, 34, 9015–9024.
- 27 A. R. Ferhan, B. K. Yoon, S. Park, T. N. Sut, H. Chin, J. H. Park, J. A. Jackman and N. J. Cho, Nat. Protoc., 2019, 14, 2091–2118.
- 28 S. R. Tabaei, J. A. Jackman, S. O. Kim, B. Liedberg, W. Knoll, A. N. Parikh and N. J. Cho, Langmuir, 2014, 30, 13345–13352.
- 29 J. J. J. Gillissen, S. R. Tabaei and N. J. Cho, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 24157–24163.
- 30 S. Di Leone, J. Vallapurackal, S. Yorulmaz Avsar, M. Kyropolou, T. R. Ward, C. G. Palivan and W. Meier, Biomacromolecules, 2021, 22, 3005–3016.
- 31 J. Wei, Y. Shao, S. Qiao, A. Li, S. Hou and W.-B. Zhang, Anal. Chem., 2023, 95, 16435–16446.
- 32 Y. Wang, R. Narain and Y. Liu, Langmuir, 2014, 30, 7377–7387.
- 33 J. Liu, C. Fu, S. Wang, L. Tao, L. Yan, D. M. Haddleton, G. Chen and Y. Wei, Macromolecules, 2014, 47, 4676–4683. Communication<br>
22 Y. Wang, E. Namin and Y. Liu, Langmair, 2024, 30, 35 M. Nagao, Y. Pajuwan, T. Massakara, Y. Hoshino, T. Sat<br>
32 January 2024. Downloaded on 2. Wei, Maschmonton 36 and V. Minin, Americanoiscus, 2017, 19, 4
	- 34 Y. Chen, M. S. Lord, A. Piloni and M. H. Stenzel, Macromolecules, 2015, 48, 346–357.
- 35 M. Nagao, Y. Fujiwara, T. Matsubara, Y. Hoshino, T. Sato and Y. Miura, Biomacromolecules, 2017, 18, 4385–4392.
- 36 T. Ishida, M. Nagao, T. Oh, T. Mori, Y. Hoshino and Y. Miura, Chem. Lett., 2022, 51, 308–311.
- 37 E. Zumbro and A. Alexander-Katz, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 10774–10781.
- 38 M. Tagaya, Polym. J., 2015, 47, 599–608.