Faraday Discussions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. Z. Tareq, M. Hyder, J. L. Harries and W. Hayes, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2025, DOI: 10.1039/D5FD00051C.



www.rsc.org/faraday_d

View Article Online View Journal

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM

Thermally and base-triggered 'debond-on-demand' view Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D5FD00051C

Alarqam Zyaad Tareq,^{a,b} Matthew Hyder,^a Josephine L. Harries,^c and Wayne Hayes^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AD, U.K.

^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, Duhok, 42001, Iraq.

^c Domino UK Ltd., Trafalgar Way, Bar Hill, Cambridge CB23 8TU, U.K.

Abstract

To address current industrial needs and modern legislation, a series of rapidly degradable and strongly adhering crosslinked polyurethanes featuring the commercially available and degradable chain-extender 2,2'-sulfonyldiethanol have been made for use as depolymerisable coatings and 'debond-on-demand' hot-melt adhesives. Variation of the chain-extended polyurethane (CEPU) composition, through increased hard segment content, provided a route to tailor the mechanical, adhesive, and degradable characteristics, whereby CEPUs with ultimate tensile strengths and elongation at break of up to 42.68 MPa and 17.59 e, respectively, could be achieved. The adhesive shear strength of the CEPUs was investigated on a selection of substrates with the highest shear strength observed of 7.80 MPa on aluminium. Depolymerization was triggered via exposure of the CEPUs and the generation of low molecular weight species. Rapid 'debond-on-demand' adhesion was also achieved upon exposure to 1 M TBAF_(aq), with losses in shear strength of up to 34% on aluminum when exposed for 30 minutes.

Keywords:

Polyurethane, depolymerization, adhesive, debond-on-demand, base degradation

View Article Online

Introduction

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

Polyurethanes have found wide use in a range of applications including adhesives,^{34,95FD00051C} coatings,^{4, 5} textiles,^{6, 7} wearable electronics,^{8, 9} and biomedical devices,^{10, 11} to name a few. When compared to their linear counterparts, crosslinked polyurethane possess enhanced mechanical strength, chemical resistance, and heat resistance.^{12, 13} However, the permanent nature of these covalent crosslinks hinder their ability to be recycled and removed from adhered surfaces, increasing the quantity of materials sent to landfills. With increasing legislative and regulatory pressure for recyclable and reusable materials, the ability to remove polymeric materials, such as adhesives, from multicomponent packaging increases their recyclability by eliminating potential contaminants to yield higher quality recycled plastics.

'Debond-on-demand' adhesives are a relatively new class of stimuli responsive polymers (SRPs) which can debond from adhered surfaces upon exposure to a specific external physical, biological, or chemical stimuli.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ This phenomenon occurs through stimuli induced changes in their chemical and physical properties at specific locations within their molecular architecture. 'Debond-on-demand' adhesives have been realised through innovative use of supramolecular,¹⁸⁻²² dynamic covalent bonding, ²³⁻²⁶ vitrimers,^{27, 28} and self-immolative units.²⁹⁻³²

Greenland and co-workers refined their previous fluoride degradable chain-extender system,^{29, 33} utilised in 'debond-on-demand' adhesives, to afford a tri-armed crosslinker which provided enhanced shear strength and debonding characteristics when compared the linear counterpart.³⁴ Recyclable and re-processable epoxy-anhydride based vitrimer adhesives have also been developed based on dynamic transesterification processes to provide repairable characteristics to the materials.^{12, 35, 36} Debondable polyurethane thermoset adhesives reported by lezzi and co-workers utilised substituted silyl diol chain-extenders, which exhibit on-demand degradation via cascading bond cleavage upon exposure to fluoride ions.^{37, 38} Utilising the dynamic nature of azine functional groups Liu, Li, and co-workers realised a series of dynamic covalent crosslinked polyurethane networks.^{37, 38} The introduction of azine chain-extenders provided the crosslinked polyurethanes thermal re-processability via azine exchange, and acid triggered degradation.³⁹

Inspired by the above studies and our realisation of the commercially available 2,2'sulfonyldiethanol (SDE) as an effective base degradable chain-extender in linear polyurethane 'debond-on-demand' adhesives,⁴⁰ herein we report the utilisation of these simple chemistries to the generation of new base susceptible crosslinked

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM

adhesives.

Results and Discussion

The ability to generate 'debond-on-demand' adhesives which possess high shear strength and the ability to rapidly debond from a range of substrates is an attractive material characteristic, especially when those adhesives can be obtained from low cost commercially available starting materials. Having previously established the base susceptibility of the sulfonyl ethyl urethane (SEU) unit to strong bases, namely NaOH, and TBAF,⁴⁰ a series of chain-extended crosslinked polyurethane (CEPU) adhesives were synthesised via a one-pot two-step method which has previously been deployed to generate analogous recyclable crosslinked polyurethanes,³⁹ see Scheme 1. Poly(tetrahydrofuran) (PTHF) was chosen as the soft segment for these CEPUs whilst 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane (TMP) was used to crosslink the synthesised prepolymers. Variations in the hard segment content of the CEPUs (i.e. HMDI and SDE molar ratios) were used to tailor the mechanical, adhesive, and degradable characteristics of the crosslinked materials, see Table 1 and Supplementary Information (SI), Figure S1, for the composition of the CEPUs.





The successful synthesis of the CEPUs was confirmed by FT-IR spectroscopic analysis, where the characteristic vN=C=O stretch of the isocyanate at *ca*. 2275 cm⁻¹ was not evident, confirming the complete consumption of the isocyanate groups. Furthermore, the presence of absorbance bands at *ca*. 1700-1715 cm⁻¹ and *ca*. 3325 cm⁻¹ correspond to the vC=O and vN-H stretching vibrations of the urethanes within the polymer backbone, respectively. The additional presence of an absorption band at

ca. 1320 cm⁻¹ confirms the incorporation of the SDE chain-extender. Increases in the hard segment content of the CEPUs increases the intensity of the absorption bands SFD00051C for the urethane and sulfone groups. The FT-IR spectroscopic data of the CEPUs is available in Figure S2.

Table 1: Molar Ratios of the CEPU compositions and the thermal properties for **CEPU1-CEPU4** (yields are shown in brackets).

CEPUs	PTHF	HMDI	SDE	TMP	<i>T_g</i> (°C)	<i>T_m</i> (°C)	T_{cc}/T_c (°C)
CEPU1	1	2.5	0.5	0.667	-77.00ª	18.84 ^b ,	-19.06 ^b , -23.56 ^c
(92%)						20.27°	-26.64 ^d
CEPU2	1	3	1	0.667	-78.33ª	54.30ª,	-22.60 ^b , -26.20 ^c
(90%)						19.03 ^b ,	-28.55 ^d
						21.13°	
CEPU3	1	3.5	1.5	0.667	-78.32ª	59.57ª,	-16.65 ^b , -20.77 ^c
(94%)						19.75 ^b ,	-26.38 ^d
						1.61°,	
CEPU4	1	4	2	0.667	-78.14ª	59.34ª,	-18.00 ^b , -23.27 ^c
(95%)						20.10 ^b ,	-33.12 ^d
						21.58°,	

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

^a First heating run 10 °C min⁻¹ (isotherm for 60 min at -90 °C); ^b second heating run 10 °C min⁻¹, ^c third heating run 10 °C min⁻¹, ^d second cooling run 10 °C min⁻¹

The thermal properties of the crosslinked CEPUs were first investigated via thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) to determine their maximum processing temperature, see Figure 1A and Figures S3-S6. As the molar ratio of the hard to soft segments was increased the thermal stability of the CEPUs decreased, whereby the onset of degradation shifted from 254 °C to 233 °C from **CEPU1** to **CEPU4**, most likely attributed to the degradation of the sulfone chain-extender. Additionally, all CEPUs observed complete degradation once the environment reached 475 °C. The thermal transitions of the CEPUs were subsequently investigated via differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), see Figure 1B and Figures S7-S10. To observe the glass transition temperature (T_g) of the PTHF backbone at *ca.* -78 °C,^{41, 42} the CEPUs were held at -90 °C for 60 min prior to heating. **CEPU2-CEPU4** exhibit a broad weak melt transition (T_m) around 59 °C, corresponding to the hard domains within the polymer. The associated enthalpy values exhibit a notable increase, ranging from *ca.* 0.03 to 0.64 J g⁻¹, correlating with the increasing content of the hard domains for **CEPU1** and **CEPU4**,

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

respectively. All CEPUs exhibit strong cold crystallization (T_{cc}) and T_m transitions during subsequent heating cycles whereby increases in the hard segment content resulted T_{m} , 18.84 °C to 20.10 °C for **CEPU1** and **CEPU4**, respectively.



Figure 1: (**A**) TGA curves of **CEPU1-CEPU4** from 0 °C to 600 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, (**B**) DSC thermograms of the second heating cycle of **CEPU1-CEPU4** from -80 °C to 200 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹

The temperature dependent viscoelastic properties of the CEPUs were investigated using rheological analysis from 0 °C to 180 °C at a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹, see Figure 2 and Figure S11. Increasing the hard segment content of the CEPUs enhances the initial storage modulus (G') of the materials from *ca*. 1.4×10^6 Pa to 2.3×10^7 Pa, **CEPU1** and **CEPU4**, respectively. Extended rubbery behaviours up to 120 °C were noticed, and the storage modulus values remained essentially constant around 10^6 Pa, and there was no noticeable microphase separation³⁹ as a result of the low hard domains content of **CEPU1** and **CEPU2**. Low temperature relaxations were observed for CEPUs with higher hard segment content, corresponding to the melt and reordering of the hard segments within the crosslinked CEPU matrix, consistent with the T_m 's from the first heating cycle.



Figure 2: The rheological behaviour of **CEPU1-CEPU4** over a temperature regime of 0 °C to 180 °C, using a normal force of 1 N and a frequency of 1 Hz. (**A**) Storage modulus (G') against temperature, (**B**) phase angle (δ) against temperature, dashed line represents phase transition from viscoelastic solid to viscous liquid.

To aid in the investigation of the thermal susceptibility on the bulk morphology of the CEPUs, variable temperature (VT) small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and wide angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) experiments were performed on thin film samples of the CEPUs from 25 °C to 200 °C, at 25 °C intervals. At 25 °C the CEPUs exhibit broad Bragg peaks in the SAXS data, increasing the hard domain content from CEPU1 to **CEPU4** increases the degree and size of the phase separation shifting the q_{max} from 0.52 nm⁻¹ to 2.99 nm⁻¹, corresponding to *d*-spacings of 11.99 nm and 2.09 nm, respectively, see Figure S12 and Table S1. Two significant peaks were observed in the WAXS diffraction patterns, a sharp peak at 3.7 nm⁻¹ (*d*-spacing of 1.69 nm) and broad diffraction peak at approximately 12.6 nm⁻¹ (d-spacing of 0.49 nm), attributed to the hard segment assembly and hydrogen bonding urethane residues, respectively.^{3, 43-45} These peaks were used to evaluate the intensity changes of the **CEPU1-CEPU4**, that directly proportional to the hard segment content. The microphase separated morphologies of the CEPUs were observed to be susceptible to increases in temperature whereby upon heating above 100 °C (CEPU1 and CEPU2) or 125 °C (CEPU3 and CEPU4) the diffraction peak in the SAXS spectra shifted to lower q values before a transition to an amorphous material was evident by the loss of the diffraction peak, see Figure 3, and Figure S13. VT-WAXS of the CEPUs show shifts in the q_{max} of the scattering peak corresponding to a length scale shift from 12.6 nm⁻¹ to 11.5 nm⁻¹, this shift is attributed to subtle changes in the hydrogen-bond length between the urethane moieties.⁴⁰ Furthermore, the intensity of the diffraction peak at *ca*. 3.7 nm⁻¹

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

increased upon heating corresponding to the change of the polymer structure via disassociation between the chains.⁴⁶



Figure 3: VT-SAXS and VT-WAXS of **CEPU1** (**A** and **B**) and **CEPU4** (**C** and **D**) profiles as a function of temperature, recorded at 25 °C intervals from 25 °C to 200 °C at a heating rate of 25 °C min⁻¹.

Probing the mechanical properties of the CEPUs through tensile stress-strain measurements at a rate of 10 mm min⁻¹ illustrated how varying the hard segment composition of the CEPUs affects their unique mechanical properties, see Figure 4 and Table S2. Increasing the hard segment content of the CEPUs results in increases in the mechanical properties, i.e. the Young's modulus (YM), ultimate tensile strength (UTS), modulus of toughness (MoT), and elongation at break (EB) see *ca*. 1070%, 440%, 1220%, and 200% increased from **CEPU1** to **CEPU4**, respectively, see Figure 4B-4D. Direct comparisons of these degradable CEPUs to those reported within the literature is non-trivial on the account of variations in polymer composition. However, **CEPU1-CEPU4** are compositionally comparable (with exception of the SDE chainextender) to a series of polyurethanes by Liu, Li, and co-workers.³⁹ Although their FAPUE systems exhibit extraordinary values for YM (up to 225 MPa), at comparable hard segment content **CEPU4** exhibits *ca*. 425% and 300% greater EB and MoT, respectively. An analogous strain hardening profile was observed⁵ in the case of

supramolecular polyurethane comb elastomers, the non-covalently crosslinked nature of these supramolecular polymers provided comparable EB yet significantly lower U^{View} Article Online when compared to crosslinked **CEPU4**. Further comparisons of the mechanical properties between **CEPU4** and degradable/recyclable crosslinked polyurethanes are provided in Figure S14.



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

Figure 4: (**A**) Representative stress-strain curves of **CEPU1-CEPU4**. (**B**) Young's modulus (YM), (**C**) ultimate tensile strength (UTS), (**D**) modulus of toughness (MoT), and (**E**) elongation at break (EB) of **CEPU1-CEPU4**. The error shown is the standard deviation between the three repeats for each sample.

The hot-melt adhesive capabilities of the crosslinked CEPUs were investigated using lap shear adhesion tests with glass, aluminium, and Nylon 6,6 substrates. A small disk of polymer (diameter = 4 mm, thickness = 1 mm, and mass = 25 mg) was placed between two substrate coupons and held by clamps on each side with an overlap area of 2.5 cm × 2.5 cm. The CEPUs were adhered at 150 °C for 30 minutes then allowed to cool to room temperature for 30 minutes prior to testing, each sample was tested in triplicate. Increased shear strength was observed for all three substrates as the hard content in the CEPUs was increased from **CEPU1** to **CEPU4**, with up to 258% increase in shear strength, see Figure 5A-C and Table S3. To investigate the reusability of these CEPUs as adhesives, the re-adhesion of **CEPU1-CEPU4** over five re-adhesion cycles

Page 9 of 16

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

Faraday Discussions

was subsequently conducted on all three substrates. Generally, the CEPUs were observed to maintain or increase their shear strength over the five re-adhesign:cyclessoft this was attributed to the increased wetting of the CEPUs on the substrate surface. To further illustrate the adhesive strength of the CEPUs, after five re-adhesion cycles 25 mg of **CEPU4** was re-adhered to the aluminium substrate to which 120 kg of water was suspended, see Figure 5E. Adhering the CEPUs for 2.5 hours at 150 °C was used to show increased wetting of substrates was observed, see Figure 5D and Table S4. With exception of CEPU3 and CEPU4 on glass, the adhesive shear strength of the CEPUs after 2.5 hours was comparable to the shear strength after five re-adhesion cycles. Contrary to the re-adhesion cycles, after adhering for 2.5 hours on glass CEPU3 and CEPU4 observe significantly lower shear strengths and exhibited cohesive failure during testing.⁴⁷ FT-IR spectroscopic analysis of the CEPUs post adhesion revealed the vC=O stretching vibration at ca. 1700 cm⁻¹, see Figure S15, attributed the urethanes in the polymer backbone, decreased in intensity with the emergence of a new absorbance band at 1642 cm⁻¹ which could correspond to the formation of urea functionality within the bulk polymer.^{48, 49} Further comparisons between CEPUs vs. literature cross-linked debondable adhesives and debonding methods are made in Table S5.



Figure 5: Comparison of the shear strength of **CEPU1-CEPU4** over five re-adhesion cycles (30 min/cycle at 150 °C). Lap shear strength on (**A**) aluminum, (**B**) glass, and (**C**) Nylon 6,6. (**D**) Lap shear strength for one cycle after 2.5 hours at 150 °C. The error shown is the standard deviation between the three repeats for each sample. (**E**) Photograph of two aluminum plates bonded by **CEPU4** with 2.5 cm² bonding area (thickness ~ 200 μ m) bearing six water containers weighting 120 kg.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

The inherent insolubility of the CEPUs, as a consequence of their crosslinked nature, hinders the ability to directly monitor the degradation of the CEPUs upon exposure to base. Therefore, to observe the base triggered degradation, 100 mg of CEPU was placed in THF (10 mL), aliquots of this solution were taken and analysed via GPC analysis, high or low molecular weight species were not evident after 24 hours. Upon the addition of 1 M TBAF in THF subsequent aliquots were taken and analysed via GPC analysis after 30 minutes, 6, 24, 30, 48, 54, and 72 hours, see Figure 6, Figure S16, and Table S6. Two distinct trends are observed from the degradation analysis; the first shows as the molar ratio of the SDE is increased within the CEPU the molecular weight of the degraded polymer decreases, indicating the number of PTHF-HMDI repeat units in the polymer backbone decreases. The second trend shows the M_w of the solubilised degraded polymer material increases up to 48 hours post addition

10

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

Faraday Discussions

at which point narrowing of the molecular weight is observed, suggesting the depolymerization of the CEPUs facilitates the solubilisation of high molecular weight structer online fragments which in turn undergo depolymerization themselves. This trend is reinforced by the full solubilisation of the CEPUs after 6 hours of exposure to TBAF.



Figure 6: (**A**) M_n and M_w of **CEPU1–CEPU4** after 30 minutes, 6, 24, 30, 48, 54, and 72 hours post addition of TBAF (1 M) acquired from a THF GPC; the recorded are averages of three separate samples of each CEPU. The error shown is the standard deviation between the three repeats of each sample. (**B**) GPC eluogram of **CEPU1** and (**C**) GPC eluogram of **CEPU4** in THF after 30 minutes, 6, 24, 30, 48, 54, and 72 hours post addition of TBAF (1 M).

Having established the capacity for the crosslinked CEPUs to degrade in the presence of base solutions, the debond-on-demand properties were tested upon exposure to 1 M TBAF_(aq), with the best performing adhesive for each substrate, see Figure 7 and Table S7. We have previously shown with our linear CEPUs featuring the 2,2'-sulfonyldiethanol chain-extender, debonding of the adhesive could be achieved within 30 min of exposure to base solutions.⁴⁰ Therefore, all samples were exposed to base for 30 min, the debond-on-demand procedure for the adhered samples is outlined in the SI. The greatest loss in shear strength was observed with **CEPU4** when adhered to aluminum substrate of 34%, from 7.71 MPa to 5.11 MPa.



View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D5FD00051C

Figure 7: Shear strength of **CEPU4** on aluminum, glass, and Nylon 6,6 after exposure to 1 M TBAF_(aq) for 30 minutes.

Conclusions

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM.

To meet current industrial and commercial needs for strongly adhering and rapidly degradable crosslinked polyurethanes, a series of base triggered depolymerisable CEPUs featuring the commercially available 2,2'-sulfonyldiethanol chain-extender have been generated for use as 'debond-on-demand' adhesives. Variations in the CEPU composition, i.e. increasing the hard segment content, facilitated the tailoring of the mechanical, adhesive, and depolymerisable characteristics of the CEPUs. Increases in the CEPU hard content resulted in significant increases in the mechanical properties, with CEPU4 exhibiting an ultimate tensile strength of 42.68 MPa and elongation at break of 17.59 e. CEPU4, derived from entirely commercially available materials, was shown to have comparable adhesive characteristics to those described within the literature when adhered to a range of substrates. Upon exposure to TBAF, depolymerization of the crosslinked CEPUs occurs characterized by the generation of a range of low molecular weight species and the solubilization of the previously insoluble polymer. Investigation of the base triggered 'debond-on-demand' characteristics of CEPU4 revealed losses in shear strength of 34% (aluminium substrate) could be achieved, from 7.71 MPa to 5.11 MPa, after only 30 minutes exposure to 1 M TBAF_(ag).

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM

Author Contributions

View Article Online

Alarqam Z. Tareq: Conceptualisation, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft. Matthew Hyder: Conceptualisation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - Original Draft. Josephine L. Harries: Supervision & Editing. Wayne Hayes: Conceptualisation, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from HCED Iraq (PhD studentship for A.Z.T.) and from the University of Reading and Domino Printing Sciences Ltd (PhD studentship for M.H.). In addition, the University of Reading (EPSRC - Doctoral Training Grant) is acknowledged for providing access to instrumentation in the Chemical Analysis Facility. We thank Mr Nick Spencer (Chemical Analysis Facility (CAF), University of Reading) for collecting the SAXS and WAXS data.

References

- T. Türel, A. M. Cristadoro, M. Linnenbrink and Ž. Tomović, ACS Appl. Mater. 1. Interfaces, 2025, 17, 2656-2665.
- 2. S. J. Knight, J. D. McCoy, S. Chowdhury and Y. Lee, Polym. Test., 2025, 146, 108776.
- A. Z. Tareq, M. Hyder, D. H. Merino, A. M. Chippindale, A. Kaur, J. A. Cooper 3. and W. Hayes, Polymer, 2024, 302, 127052.
- 4. D. K. Chattopadhyay and K. V. S. N. Raju, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2007, 32, 352-418.
- 5. A. D. O'Donnell, M. Hyder, A. M. Chippindale, J. L. Harries, I. M. German and W. Hayes, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2024, 6, 15242-15252.
- 6. S. Xinrong, W. Nanfang, S. Kunyang, D. Sha and C. Zhen, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2014, 20, 3228-3233.
- 7. M. Vaishali, S. Gopal and K. J. Sreeram, RSC Sustainability, 2024, 2, 2324-2334.
- 8. T. Griggs, J. Ahmed, H. Majd, M. Edirisinghe and B. Chen, Mater. Adv., 2024, **5**, 6210-6221.
- 9. W. Zhang, M. Ren, M. Chen and L. Wu, RSC Adv., 2025, 15, 6231-6240.

10. G. Kaur, R. Adhikari, P. Cass, M. Bown, M. D. M. Evans, A. V. Vashi and P. Gunatillake, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 98762-98772.

- 11. B. Liu, Z. Liu, H. Wei, Y. Meng, Q. Hou, A. Wang, Y. Zhang, E. Han, S. Hu and J. Zhou, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**, 10858-10873.
- 12. E. B. lezzi, G. C. Daniels, K. Sutyak and E. Camerino, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2024, **6**, 8178-8190.
- 13. Y. Zhou, L. Zhu, J. Zhai, R. Yang and X. Guo, *Polymer*, 2023, **285**, 126356.
- 14. N. D. Blelloch, H. J. Yarbrough and K. A. Mirica, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 15183-15205.
- 15. H. Mutlu, C. M. Geiselhart and C. Barner-Kowollik, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2018, **5**, 162-183.
- 16. J. Gong, B. Tavsanli and E. R. Gillies, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.*, 2024, **54**, 47-73.
- 17. A. G. Gavriel, M. R. Sambrook, A. T. Russell and W. Hayes, *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 3188-3269.
- 18. A. del Prado, D. K. Hohl, S. Balog, L. M. de Espinosa and C. Weder, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2019, **1**, 1399-1409.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM

- 19. C. Heinzmann, S. Coulibaly, A. Roulin, G. L. Fiore and C. Weder, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 4713-4719.
- 20. C. Heinzmann, U. Salz, N. Moszner, G. L. Fiore and C. Weder, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, **7**, 13395-13404.
- 21. S. Salimi, T. S. Babra, G. S. Dines, S. W. Baskerville, W. Hayes and B. W. Greenland, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2019, **121**, 109264.
- 22. C. Heinzmann, I. Lamparth, K. Rist, N. Moszner, G. L. Fiore and C. Weder, *Macromolecules*, 2015, **48**, 8128-8136.
- 23. W. Denissen, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 30-38.
- 24. F. Van Lijsebetten, K. De Bruycker, E. Van Ruymbeke, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 12865-12875.
- 25. A. J. Inglis, L. Nebhani, O. Altintas, F. G. Schmidt and C. Barner-Kowollik, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 5515-5520.
- 26. M. Inada, T. Horii, T. Fujie, T. Nakanishi, T. Asahi and K. Saito, *Mater. Adv.*, 2023, **4**, 1289-1296.
- 27. J. Liu, A. Pich and K. V. Bernaerts, *Green Chem.*, 2024, **26**, 1414-1429.
- 28. X. Huang, C. Ding, Y. Wang, S. Zhang, X. Duan and H. Ji, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2024, **16**, 38586-38605.
- 29. T. S. Babra, A. Trivedi, C. N. Warriner, N. Bazin, D. Castiglione, C. Sivour, W. Hayes and B. W. Greenland, *Polym. Chem.*, 2017, **8**, 7207-7216.
- 30. Y. Oh, J. Park, J.-J. Park, S. Jeong and H. Kim, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 6384-6391.
- 31. P. Damacet, H. J. Yarbrough, N. D. Blelloch, H.-J. Noh and K. A. Mirica, *Polym. Chem.*, 2024, **15**, 1112-1122.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D5FD00051C

Open Access Article. Published on 04 June 2025. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 6:52:04 PM

32. S. H. Jung, G. Choi, S. Jeong, J. Park, H. Yoon, J.-J. Park and H. Kim, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2022, **10**, 13816-13824. View Article Online

- T. S. Babra, M. Wood, J. S. Godleman, S. Salimi, C. Warriner, N. Bazin, C. R. Siviour, I. W. Hamley, W. Hayes and B. W. Greenland, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2019, 119, 260-271.
- 34. T. S. Babra, C. Warriner, N. Bazin, W. Hayes and B. W. Greenland, *Mater. Today Commun.*, 2021, **26**, 101777.
- 35. K. B. Sutyak, E. B. lezzi, G. C. Daniels and E. Camerino, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces.*, 2022, **14**, 22407-22417.
- 36. G. C. Daniels, E. Camerino, J. H. Wynne and E. B. lezzi, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2018, **5**, 831-836.
- 37. J. Han, Y. Zhou, G. Bai, W. Wei, X. Liu and X. Li, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2022, **6**, 503-511.
- 38. J. Han, M. Dai, G. Bai, M. Wei, J. Liu, W. Wei, X. Liu and X. Li, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2023, **195**, 112210.
- 39. J. Han, S. Li, W. Zhang, M. Wei, J. Liu, W. Wei, X. Liu and X. Li, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2024, **6**, 712-721.
- 40. M. J. Hyder, J. Godleman, A. M. Chippindale, J. E. Hallett, T. Zinn, J. L. Harries and W. Hayes, *Macromolecules*, 2025, **58**, 681-696.
- 41. C. Fodor, A. Domján and B. Iván, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, **4**, 3714-3724.
- 42. K. N. Raftopoulos, B. Janowski, L. Apekis, K. Pielichowski and P. Pissis, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2011, **47**, 2120-2133.
- 43. P. J. Woodward, D. Hermida Merino, B. W. Greenland, I. W. Hamley, Z. Light, A. T. Slark and W. Hayes, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 2512-2517.
- D. H. Merino, A. Feula, K. Melia, A. T. Slark, I. Giannakopoulos, C. R. Siviour, C. P. Buckley, B. W. Greenland, D. Liu, Y. Gan, P. J. Harris, A. M. Chippindale, I. W. Hamley and W. Hayes, *Polymer*, 2016, **107**, 368-378.
- 45. A. Z. Tareq, M. Hyder, D. H. Merino, S. D. Mohan, J. A. Cooper and W. Hayes, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2025, **228**, 113782.
- 46. X. Li, W. Xu, W. Yuan, K. Liu, J. Zhou, G. Shan, Y. Bao and P. Pan, *Polymer*, 2021, **222**, 123670.
- 47. J. Tang, L. Wan, Y. Zhou, H. Pan and F. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A.*, 2017, **5**, 21169-21177.
- 48. F. Xie, T. Zhang, P. Bryant, V. Kurusingal, J. M. Colwell and B. Laycock, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2019, **90**, 211-268.
- 49. Z. Ying, C. Wu, S. Jiang, R. Shi, B. Zhang, C. Zhang and F. Zhao, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 3614-3619.

DOI: 10.1039/D5FD00051C

Thermally and base-triggered 'debond-on-demand' crosslinked View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D5FD00051C

Alarqam Zyaad Tareq,^{a,b} Matthew Hyder,^a Josephine L. Harries,^c and Wayne Hayes^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AD, U.K.

^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, Duhok, 42001, Iraq.

^c Domino UK Ltd., Trafalgar Way, Bar Hill, Cambridge CB23 8TU, U.K.

Data availability statement

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.