Nanoscale Advances

REVIEW



Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2025, **7**, 2446

Received 10th January 2025 Accepted 6th March 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5na00037h

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology involves working with materials and synthesizing processes at the nanoscale, with diameters ranging from 1 to 100 nm. Many sectors, including electronics, environmental science, medicine, agriculture, and water management, have gained immense interest in nanoparticles lately.¹⁻³ Among the many types of nanomaterials available, the global formation and utilization of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles (NPs) have been expanding rapidly due to their distinctive properties, for example, high surface area to volume ratio and magnetic, catalytic, diminutive, and antimicrobial properties.^{4,5}



Israt Jahan Lithi,^a Kazi Imtiaz Ahmed Nakib,^a A. M. Sarwaruddin Chowdhury^a and Md. Sahadat Hossain[®]*^b

Green synthesis (GS) is a vital method for producing metal nanoparticles with antimicrobial properties. Unlike traditional methods, green synthesis utilizes natural substances, such as plant extracts, microorganisms, etc., to create nanoparticles. This eco-friendly approach results in non-toxic and biocompatible nanoparticles with superior antimicrobial activity. This paper reviews the prospects of green synthesis of metal nanoparticles of silver (Ag), copper (Cu), gold (Au) and metal oxide nanoparticles of zinc (ZnO), magnesium (MgO), cobalt (Co_3O_4), and titanium (TiO₂) using plant extracts from tissues of leaves, barks, roots, etc., antibacterial mechanisms of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, and obstacles and factors that need to be considered to overcome the limitations of the green synthesis process. The clean surfaces and minimal chemical residues of these nanoparticles contribute to their effectiveness. Certain metals exhibit enhanced antibacterial properties only in GS methods due to the presence of bioactive compounds from natural reducing agents such as Au and MgO. GS improves TiO₂ antibacterial properties under visible light, while it would be impossible without UV activation. These nanoparticles have important antimicrobial properties for treating microbial infections and combating antibiotic resistance against bacteria, fungi, and viruses by disrupting microbial membranes, generating ROS, and interfering with DNA and protein synthesis. Nanoscale size and large surface area make them critical for developing advanced antimicrobial treatments. They are effective antibacterial agents for treating infections, suitable in water purification systems, and fostering innovation by creating green, economically viable antibacterial materials. Therefore, green synthesis of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles for antibacterial agents supports several United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), including health improvement, sustainability, and innovation.

> Nanoparticles are helpful in managing microbial infections since they have some level of antimicrobial activity that kills microorganisms, especially in today's world, where research is being done with a view to generate new antibiotics to fight against the increased resistance of pathogens towards preexisting antibiotics.⁶

> There are two types of methods by which nanoparticles can be produced: the bottom-up and the top-down approach.⁷ The top-down approach breaks down large materials into nanoscale molecules using mechanical or physical processes like lithography, milling, laser ablation, and grinding.^{8,9} However, it can be energy-intensive and less suitable for applications requiring precise control over particle characteristics.¹⁰ These limit the application of top-down techniques in producing high-quality nanoparticles. The bottom-up approach involves the construction of nanoparticles from atomic or molecular constituents by chemical or biological means. This approach has increased



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

^aDepartment of Applied Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh

^bInstitute of Glass & Ceramic Research and Testing, Bangladesh Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (BCSIR), Dhaka 1205, Bangladesh. E-mail: saz8455@gmail.com

surface control over the appearance and dimension of nanoparticles. Bottom-up methods include chemical reduction, solgel, and biological methods.¹¹

Traditional nanoparticle production methods require toxic chemicals and harmful organic solvents that cause damage to the environment.12 They also need high pressures and temperatures, which cause the cost of production to escalate, while the availability of raw materials is even limited sometimes. Contrarily, green synthesis involves the use of living resources like fungi, bacteria, lichens, or plant extracts, which are safe for the environment and reduce the use of hazardous chemicals.13 This bottom-up approach is also non-toxic and cost-efficient.14 Green synthesis can easily be scaled up and down in industry for large-scale nanoparticle production or synthesized in the laboratory using green chemistry principles.15 Among other biological routes, the plant extract-mediated process to synthesize metal nanoparticles has acquired significant interest due to alkaloids and polyphenols, which were used as capping agents that reduce metallic ion precursors to their nanoparticle forms, thus stabilizing them.16

This paper discusses the antimicrobial activities and medical applications of these nanomaterials (gold, copper, zinc oxide, silver, magnesium oxide, cobalt oxide, and titanium dioxide) obtained through green synthesis. These metal NPs have enhanced synergy, resulting in higher antimicrobial efficacy against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, making them suitable for medical instrument coatings.¹⁷ They also demonstrate antimicrobial properties even at low concentrations, inhibiting in a dose-dependent manner and being effective against multidrug-resistant strains.18 In the case of metal oxides, ZnO exhibits high antibacterial efficacy at low concentrations, effective against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, and is pH- and temperature-dependent.19 Ti oxide has photocatalytic activity under UV light and produces ROS, which are effective for self-cleaning surfaces but require UV exposure. Despite being biocompatible, MgO is not well known for its strong antibacterial properties. The mechanisms by which nanoparticles show their antimicrobial properties and their applications in the medical and environmental fields are discussed here. This review will contribute to studying these metal and metal oxide nanoparticle addition through a green synthesis process, which has reduced toxicity and improved biocompatibility. The primary objectives of this review are (i) the importance of green synthesis in metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, (ii) the application of these nanoparticles in their respective sectors and their efficiency in the green synthesis method, (iii) green synthesis of metal (Ag, Au, and Cu) and metal oxide (ZnO, MgO, Co₃O₄, and TiO₂) nanoparticles using plant extraction methods and their antibacterial mechanism against microorganisms reviewed from research conducted in recent years, (iv) antibacterial mechanisms of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, and (v) obstacles of the green synthesis method found in recent research and efficient factors to be considered as recommended by successful research to overcome the limitations of this method.

2. Prospects and future of green synthesis

The green synthesis of nanoscale metals is possible, according to studies conducted on a variety of plant materials. Numerous studies on the green synthesis of nanoscale metals have been published in recent years. The green synthesis (GS) of metal nanoparticles (NPs) from microorganisms and plants, along with their uses, are the main topics of this review. Compared to other traditional methods, such as physical and chemical methods, the green synthesis method offers a clean, non-toxic, and environmental friendly way to synthesize metal nanoparticles and agree with the principles of green chemistry.20 Nanoparticles have more ROS and antimicrobial properties, primarily because of better physiochemical properties, including the larger surface area, which extends their applications to a wide range of areas like medicine, agriculture, industrial processes, and environmental remediation. Numerous plant materials—such as leaves, fruit extracts, seeds, fruits, bark, etc.-as well as microorganisms-such as fungi, actinomycetes, bacteria, algae, etc.-have demonstrated the capacity to synthesize different metals and metal oxide nanoparticles. However, plant extracts have phytochemicals present in them (flavonoids, alkaloids, phenolic acids, polyphenols, terpenoids, sugars, and proteins), which act as reducing and stabilizing agents in the formation of metal oxide nanoparticles.²¹ The principal plant metabolites used in the formation of metal nanoparticles are flavonoids, including luteolin and quercetin; ascorbic acid; triterpenes; saponins; reducing sugars, including aldoses and ketones; terpenoids like eugenol; amino acids, including tyrosine and tryptophan; and phytochemicals, including glutathiones, metallothioneins, polyphenols, heterocyclic compounds, carboxyls, amines, watersoluble carbohydrates and ascorbates.22,23 Besides antimicrobial activity, metal and metal oxide nanoparticles have a large spectrum of applications (Fig. 1). Since they are highly biocompatible, they can be utilized in agriculture, food, wastewater treatment, photocatalytic degradation of dyes, wound



Fig. 1 General application of GS metal nanoparticles.²⁴

healing, bone tissue engineering, heavy metal detection, and medicinal purposes. These natural capping agents also enhance the biocompatibility of the metal NPs with enhanced antimicrobial properties. By selecting specific plants with unique phytochemical compositions, this plant-mediated synthesizing process can help customize specific properties of the nanoparticles for specific purposes such as water purification or antibacterial coatings. Thus, using plant extracts has proved to be simple and cost-effective.²³

By comparing general nanoparticles and green synthesized nanoparticles, there are a number of key differences that can be clarified.

2.1. Synthesis methods

Regular nanoparticles are typically synthesized using chemical reduction methods (such as sodium borohydride), sonochemistry, laser ablation, or sputter deposition. These methods frequently use hazardous chemicals and energy-intensive processes. Green synthesized nanoparticles are made using biological materials such as plant extracts or microorganisms as reducing agents. This method is more environmentally conscious and sustainable.²⁵

2.2. Environmental impact

Conventional nanoparticle synthesis, which uses toxic chemicals and solvents, can pollute the environment. Green synthesized NPs minimize the environmental impact by avoiding toxic substances during synthesis.²⁶

2.3. Cost-effectiveness

Regular nanoparticles are typically more expensive due to the high cost of chemicals used in traditional methods. Green synthesized nanoparticles are generally less expensive because natural extracts are used as reducing agents.

Because of their eco-friendliness, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability, green synthesized metal nanoparticles have a bright future as antibacterial agents. These nanoparticles have demonstrated potent antimicrobial properties against a wide range of pathogens, making them useful in a variety of applications. Green synthesis produces nanoparticles from biological materials such as plants or microorganisms, reducing the need for hazardous chemicals and minimizing the environmental impact. Green metal nanoparticles have shown strong antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria. Many green-synthesized nanoparticles are biocompatible,28 which is important for medicinal applications. Using natural extracts lowers the production costs compared to traditional chemical methods. Furthermore, these nanoparticles can potentially be used in cancer treatment, as drug delivery systems, and as antiviral agents due to their increased therapeutic drug efficiency.27

2.4. Future directions

2.4.1. Optimization techniques. More research is needed to optimize the synthesis conditions, such as pH, temperature,

and precursor concentration, in order to improve the nanoparticle yield and their properties.²⁸

2.4.2. Combination therapies. The possibility of combining green synthesized nanoparticles with conventional antibiotics to improve the antimicrobial efficacy while decreasing antibiotic resistance needs to be explored.²⁹

2.4.3. Scalability. Expanding green synthesis processes while remaining cost-effective will be critical for their wide-spread adoption in industries such as healthcare and food safety. ZnO is used in sunscreens in the cosmetic industry. The production of ZnO nanoparticles through a green synthesis method utilizing plant extracts presents a significant opportunity to integrate the antimicrobial properties inherent in these extracts. This approach is expected to enhance the characteristics of ZnO nanoparticles, making them more suitable for application on sensitive skin. In short, the future of green synthesized metal nanoparticles appears promising due to their ability to combat bacterial infections in a sustainable manner while maintaining their effectiveness and safety.

3. Antibacterial applications of lichen

Lichens are emerging as effective biosynthesizers for metal nanoparticles (MNPs) with significant antibacterial properties. This biomass is also useful in perfume production and is used as food, dye, bio-indicators, and traditional medicine.³⁰ The green synthesis process involves using lichen extracts to reduce metal ions into nanoparticles, such as silver or iron oxide. These nanoparticles demonstrate antimicrobial activity through mechanisms like ROS generation, which damage bacterial DNA and disrupt cell membranes.31 Studies have shown that various lichen species can produce Ag, Cu, TiO₂, ZnO, and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles of different shapes and sizes, enhancing their potential in antimicrobial applications by leveraging their natural biocompatibility and effectiveness against a range of pathogens.^{32,33} The group of organisms such as algae (photobiont) and many types of fungi (mycobiont) that live together in symbiosis is known as lichens.³⁴ Lichens are among the most abundant non-vascular biomass in the world. The biomass in found on tree trunks and branches. Host characteristics and climatic differences affect the degree of colonization and distribution. The mycobionts are known to produce several secondary metabolites, such as usnic acid, atranorin (depside), norstictic acid, stictic acid, chloroatranorin, lecanoric acid, depsone, phenolic acid and salazinic acid, that have antimicrobial, antioxidant and anticarcinogenic activities.35 These compounds are insoluble in water and are extracted using organic solvents which might make the extraction process time consuming or require higher temperatures.³⁶

3.1. Key compounds with antibacterial activity

Usnic acid, one of the most studied lichen compounds, is well known for its potent antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria. It prevents RNA and DNA synthesis in these bacteria.

Vulpinic acid has strong antibacterial properties against certain Gram-positive bacteria, including *Clavibacter*

Review

michiganensis subsp.*michiganensis*.³⁷ *Lobaric acid* has antibacterial properties comparable to streptomycin against certain Gram-positive bacteria. *Perlatolic acid* shows minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values, which indicates potent antibacterial activity.

3.2. Mechanism of action³⁸

Lichen compounds exert antibacterial effects through several mechanisms, including (1) disruption of bacterial cell membranes, (2) interference with DNA replication, and (3) production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). According to a study conducted by Sharma et al., Himalayan lichen (Hypotrachyna cirrhata) has been found to successfully synthesize silver nanocolloids with an average particle size of 11.1 nm to be used in heavy metal sensing, which successfully detected Hg²⁺ and Cu^{2+} with LOD values of 1 and 5 mg L⁻¹.³⁹ Siddiqi *et al.* synthesized silver nanoparticles using Usnea longissimi lichen and found that they are effective as antibacterial agents against Gram positive bacteria, such as Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus viridans, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Corynebacterium diphtheriae, and Corynebacterium xerosis, and Gram negative bacteria, such as Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Escherichia coli.40 In another experiment, Ahmad et al. produced spherical ZnO nanoparticles successfully using Permelia perleta lichen, which showed antibacterial activity against E. coli and also developed photocatalytic dye degradation properties against Eriochrome black-T and acridine orange.41 Until now, only Cetraria islandica is used successfully to synthesize Ag nanoparticles.42,43 Thus, they can be used in many fields of applications, such as in medical devices, as antimicrobial coatings, in wound healing, to disinfect water for purification and biofilm control, as antioxidants, and as antidiabetics.44,45

Metal nanoparticles

4.1. Silver

Silver is widely used in the pharmaceutical industry due to its high antimicrobial, antifungal, and anticancer properties.⁴⁶ Recent studies have proved that silver is non-toxic and suitable for killing pathogenic microorganisms.⁴⁷ Silver forms a protective barrier for several pathogens and bacteria like Gramnegative bacteria (*E. coli*), Gram-positive bacteria (*S. aureus*), viruses, and fungi.⁴⁸ The reticular structure of the peptidoglycan layer of the cell wall determines the mechanical strength of bacteria and hence results in different amounts of silver permeation into cells.⁴⁹

4.1.1. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles. According to Shereen *et al.*, the leaves and stem of *Swertia chirata* plant are recommended to be utilized successfully for green synthesis of Ag NPs.⁵⁰ Washed and rinsed plant leaves and stems were dried in an oven at 60 °C for 4 h and then pulverized. They were then extracted in the Soxhlet apparatus using methanol, chloroform, ethanol and distilled water as solvents for 48 h at 60 °C. The resulting extract was then left to cool, filtered and evaporated to complete dryness using a flash evaporator.⁵⁰ 10 mL of the



Fig. 2 Flow chart of the green synthesis of silver nanoparticles.

filtrate was added with 90 mL of 1 mM AgNO₃ solution. Using the basic solution of NaOH, the pH of the solution was immediately brought to 10 and then agitated for 72 h at a rate of 150 rpm until its color changed from yellow to dark brown. Fig. 2 shows the flowchart describing the procedure followed in production of silver nanoparticle by green synthesis process.⁵¹

Various plant extracts such as *Galega officinalis*, *Psidium guajava*, *Brassica nigra*, and *Ananas comosus* were used to synthesize silver nanoparticles, and it was usually carried out at room temperature (RT) and pH 8-12 (Table 1). *Origanum vulgare* and *Psidium guajava* were found to be effective against a wide range of microbes, including *E. coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *S. aureus*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Klebsiella* sp., *Salmonella paratyphi*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Shigella sonnei*. They kill the bacteria through disruption of microbial membranes, generating ROS and also interaction with sulfur containing compounds. Table 1 depicts the various applications of produced Ag nanoparticles with green method and their potential use as antibacterial agents.

4.1.2. Antimicrobial properties and mechanism of silver nanoparticles. Silver nanoparticles damage the bacterial cell wall by causing DNA damage, preventing the bacteria from multiplying.⁸⁰ It can physically bind to the exterior of microbial cells because of their small dimensions and high ratio of surface to volume. It penetrates the lipid bilayer of microbial cell membranes and disrupts the integrity of the lipid bilayer structure.⁴⁷ This disruption leads to holes in cell membrane and causes cellular content leakage, leading to cell death. Studies also show that silver nanoparticles interacting with the integral membrane proteins, including transporters and ion channels, cause denaturation of the cell. Silver nanoparticles attached to sulfur atoms in enzymes and sulfhydryl protein groups are found in the bacterial cell surface.⁸¹

Some studies suggest that silver nanoparticles induce programmed cell death in microbial cells.⁸² This process is known as apoptosis. Silver nanoparticles produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) within microbial cells, mainly in the mitochondria. Wang *et al.* suggest that endoplasmic reticulum is highly prone to oxidative damage.⁸³ ROS include superoxide radicals

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. Open Access Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM. (cc) BY

m different plant extracts

Table 1 Format	Formation of Ag nanoparticles fror
Raw materials	Plant extract
Silver nitrate	Galega officinalis
	Skimmia laureola
	Calophyllum tomentosum
	Psidium guajava
	Ţ
	Saraca asoca Plectranthus amboinicus Brassica nigra
	Lavandula angustifolia Mil
	Ananas comosus (L.)

Plant extract	Reaction conditions	Microbes	Remarks	References
Galega officinalis	RT, stirred, pH 8–12	E. coli, S. aureus, and Pseudomonas	Antimicrobial	52
Skimmia laureola	RT	syrungue P. aeruginosa, E. coli, Proteus vulgaris, S.	Antibacterial	53
Calophyllum tomentosum	RT, 1 h	uureus, ann Ar preumonaue P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, K. aerogenes, and E. coli	Anti-bacterial and anti-oxidant	54
Psidium guajava	RT, 30 min	Arthrobacter creatinolyticus, Bacillus aryabhattai, B. subtilis, E. coli, Bacillus	Antioxidant and antimicrobial	55
Saraca asoca	Stirring	megaterium, and Attaigenes Juctuits E. coli and B. subtilis	Anti-bacterial	56
Plectranthus amboinicus	60 °C, 30 min, centrifuged	E. coli and Penicillium sp.	Anti-bacterial	57
Brassica nigra	RT, 1 h, pH 8.5	Propionibacterium acnes, P. aeruginosa and K. pneumoniae	Anti-bacterial, antifungal, anti-oxidant, and anticancer	58
Lavandula angustifolia Mill.	Boiled for 30 min	S. aureus, E. coli and Candida albicans	Cytotoxic, anti-oxidant, and antimicrobial	59
Ananas comosus (L.)	RT, 24 h, stirred	L. monocytogenes, B. cereus, E. faecium, and S. aureus	Anti-oxidant, anti-bacterial, antidiabetic and evtotoxic	60
Prosopis farcta	RT, 1 h, centrifuged	S. aureus, B. subtilis, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	Antibacterial	61
Olea europaea	RT. 2 min. stirred	S. aureus. P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	Antibacterial	62
Azadirachta indica	RT	E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and B. subtilis	Antimicrobial and antiproliferative	63
Persea americana	RT, 5 h, stirred	E. coli	Antimicrobial	64
Phlomis	RT	E. coli, Salmonella typhimurium, S. aureus,	Antibacterial	65
		and B. cereus		
satvta spinosa Artocarpus heterophyllus Lam.	K1, 6 h, surred 121 °C, 5 min, 15 psi in autoclave	B. subtitis, Bactitus valitsmortis, and E. coli B. cereus, B. subtilis, S. aureus, P.	Antibacterial Antimicrobial	67 67
		aeruginosa, and P. vulgaris		
Camellia sinensis	50 °C, 30 min	Klebsiella sp. and S. aureus	Antibacterial	68
Aloe vera	RT	E. coli, P. aeruginosa, Enterobacter sp., and	Antimicrobial	69
		S. aureus		
Boerhaviadiffusa	RT, 24 h	A. hydrophila, Pseudomonas fluorescens, and Flavobacterium branchiophilum	Antibacterial	70
Calliandra haematocephala	80 °C, 10 min	E. coli	Antibacterial	71
Origanum vulgare	60–90 °C, 10 min	A. hydrophila, Bacillus sp., E. coli, Klebsiella sp., S. paratyphi, S. dysenteriae, and S. sonnei	Antibacterial and cytotoxic	72
Rosmarinus officinalis	RT, stirred	S. aureus, B. subtilis, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, C. albicans. and Asneroillus orvzae	Antibacterial and antifungal	73
Alternanthera dentata	RT, 10 min	E. coli, P. aruginosa, K. pneumoniae, and	Antibacterial	74
Berheris miloaris	Warm solution	Entrer ococcus Juecuits S aureus P aeruoinosa L monocutovenes	Antihacterial	75
		E. coli, and Salmonella enterica		2

63

Antiproliferative and antimicrobial

P. aeruginosa, Vibrio cholerae, B. subtilis, and E. coli

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{T}}$

Ficus benghalensis

 Open Access Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM.

 BY
 This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Review

_	
-	-
c	3
+	5
2	2
C	C
C)
•	-
101	
hia 1	
- tolde	

Raw materials Plant extract	Plant extract	Reaction conditions	Microbes	Remarks	References
Ρ	Pistacia atlantica	80 °C, stirred	P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, S. pyogenes, E.	Antibacterial	76
C	Carissa carandas L.	RT	cou, 3- pararypar b, and K. preumoniae S. typhimurium, E. faecalis, Shigella flexneri, Citrobacter spp., and Gonococci	Antioxidant and antimicrobial	77
Ľ	Lysiloma acapulcensis	RT, 2 min, incubated	spp. E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, and C. alhicene	Antimicrobial and cytotoxic	78
2	Zephyranthesrosea	RT, pH 11	e. united as a coli, S. aureus, and S. mutans	Anti-bacterial, anti-oxidant, anti- inflammatory, and anti- diabetic	79

like hydroxyl radicals and hydrogen peroxide that cause oxidative stress, DNA damage and mitochondrial dysfunction. Improper ER function causes cell damage and apoptosis,⁸⁴ resulting from damage or reduced disulfide bond formation, inhibition of protein glycosylation, or deficiency of calcium from the ER lumen.⁸² Silver nanoparticles lead to inhibition of enzymatic activity by interacting with metal-binding sites within microbial enzymes, preventing substrate binding. This causes denaturation or loss of enzyme activity. It also alters the pH and temperature stability of the enzymes.⁸⁵ From Table 1, it can be seen that most Ag NPs are ideally synthesized at room temperature (RT).

Hence, researchers are encouraged to explore environmentally friendly and effective methods for producing silver nanoparticles. Among various methods, using microalgae such as seaweeds has proven successful in producing silver nanoparticles.⁸⁶

It has firmly been established that the performance and applicability of silver nanoparticles rely on their shape, composition, and surface chemistry. For instance, brown seaweed *Padina tetrastromatica* produces spherical nanoparticles with a diameter of 14 nm, exhibiting excellent antibacterial properties.⁸⁷ Similarly, *Trichoderma viride* and *Chaeroceros calcitrans* are also known to form silver nanoparticles with potent bactericidal activity.⁸⁸ Ecklonia cava, a brown alga found mainly in Korea, Japan, and China, produces nanoparticles with anti-oxidant, anticancer, and antimicrobial activities.⁸⁸

4.2. Gold

Gold has historically been utilized by ancient societies for jewellery, adornments, cosmetics, currency, and medical purposes.⁸⁹ Also, it is seen that during the Middle Ages, people used to drink gold and utilised it for many diseases like arthritis, tumours, heart diseases, epilepsy, dysentery, and many more.^{90,91} Gold nanoparticles have been shown to be very useful as a therapeutic substance in the treatment of tumours.⁹²

4.2.1. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles. To synthesize gold nanoparticles, *Capsicum annum* is widely selected. According to Patil *et al.*, dried red chilies were collected and powdered, and 5:100 DI water was made and boiled.⁹³ Filtration was done further to remove any remaining residue. The filtrate was added to 10 mL 1 mM HAuCl₄, and the temperature was brought to 90 °C. The mixture was stirred until the colour turned dark violet from light yellow. It was then cooled and spun at 10 000 rpm for 30 min. The precipitate was rinsed with DI water and stored at 4 °C.⁹³

Plant extracts like *Croton caudatus*, *O. europaea*, *Moringa oleifera*, and *Mangifera indica* are most commonly used. The synthesis temperature usually ranges from RT to 75 °C, and the incubation time ranges from minutes to hours. *M. indica* has shown effectiveness against the largest group of microbes such as *B. cereus*, *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *Corallium rubrum*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. typhimurium*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *C. albicans*, and *Candida glabrata*, proving that gold nanoparticles have excellent antimicrobial, antifungal,

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. Open Access Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM. (cc)) BY

Table 2 Formation of gold nanoparticles from different plant extracts

-	0	_
2	-i	7
-	u L	
-	a E	C (
)	T 10	

Raw materials	Plant extract	Reaction conditions	Microbes	Remarks	References
Chloroauric acid	Croton caudatus Geiseler	RT	E. coli	Cytotoxic, antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antifungal	94
	Mammea surioa	30 °C 24 h stirred	E coli S aureus P aeruoinosa and B subtilis	Antibacterial	95
	Olea europaea and		S. aureus, B. subtilis, E. coli, K. pneumoniae,	Antibacterial and cytotoxic	96
	Acacia nilotica	1000 rpm	and P. aeruginosa	5	
	Citrus maxima	RT, stirred	S. aureus and E. coli	Catalytic and antibacterial	97
	Ziziphus zizyphus	RT	E. coli and C. albicans	Antibacterial and antifungal	98
	Couroupita guianensis	RT, 5 min	HL-60 cells	Cytotoxic and anticancer	66
	Simarouba glauca	RT, 15 min	S. aureus, S. mutans, B. subtilis, E. coli, P. vulgaris, and K. meumoniae	Antibacterial	100
	Zingiberofficinale	60 °C, 60 min, stirred, pH = 8	P. aeruginosa, E. coli, B. subtilis, S. aureus, C. albicans. and Aspereillus brasiliensis	Antibacterial and antifungal	101
	Pterocarpus santalinus L.	28 °C, pH = 7.4	S. aureus and P. aeruginosa	Antimicrobial	102
	Thyme	RT, stirred, 30 min	E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, and B. subtilis	Antioxidant, antimicrobial, and cytotoxic	103
	Kaempferiaparviflora	RT, 30 min, stirred at 200	E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, and B. subtilis	Catalytic, antioxidant, and antimicrobial	104
		rpm	,		
	Garcinia kola	RT, 5 h, stirred	P. aeruginosa, E. coli, S. aureus, and B. cereus	Antibacterial	105
	Moringa oleifera	75°C, stirred	S. aureus, E. coli, and Enterococcus sp.	Cytotoxic, antioxidant, antibacterial, and photo-catalytic	106
	Caulerpa racemosa	RT, 24 h, stirred	Aeromonasveronii and Streptococcus agalactiae	Anticancer and antibacterial	107
	Lannea discolor	25 °C, 1 h	S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae, and E. coli	Antibacterial	108
	Trianthema decandra	RT, dark place	E. coli, Streptococcus faecalis, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, and S. aureus	Antimicrobial	109
	Areca catechu	300 K nH 6 5 min stirred	E coli Enterohacter sn K nneumoniae	Anticancer antibacterial antiovidant	110
			P. aeruginosa, and S. aureus	and catalyst	
	Parthenium hysterophorus	70 °C, 60 min, stirred	S. aureus, E. coli, E. faecalis, and S. enterica	Antibacterial and antifungal	111
	Bauhinia purpurea	RT, microwave irradiation	S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, Aspergillus flavus, and E. coli	Anticancer, antimicrobial, antioxidant, and catalvtic	112
	Iatronhainterverrima	RT	R subtilis S aureus E coli and K nneumoniae	Antihacterial	113
	Nenenthes khasiana	RT. 3 h. stirred	Bacillus sp., F. coli, C. alhicans, and Asneroillus niver	Antimicrobial	114
	Mangifera indica	RT, 24 h, dark	B. cereus, B. subtilis, S. aureus, C. rubrum, E. coli,	Antimicrobial, antioxidant, and	115
			P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae, S. typhimurium, C. neoformans, C. albicans, and C. glabrata	anticancer	
	Allium cepa L.	RT, 24 h, stirred	B. cereus, E. coli, L. monocytogenes, S. aureus,	Antibacterial, antifungal, and	116
	Eclipta alba	90 °C, 20 min	 syptumination, and c. appendix subtilis, E. coli, S. aureus, and P. aeruginosa 	annoxuant Antibacterial and antidiabetic	117

antioxidant and anticancer activities. Table 2 illustrates the various applications of gold nanoparticles produced using a green method and their potential use as antibacterial agents.

4.2.2. Antimicrobial properties and mechanism of gold nanoparticles. Having a small size and high optical and inert properties, gold nanoparticles can accumulate in tumours and hence help in thermal treatment procedures.¹¹⁸ They are effective delivery systems for anticancer medications, reducing both the duration of treatment and its adverse effects,119 having high chemical inertness, size and shape control, surface oxidation resistance, and relative stability.¹²⁰ Also, gold nanoparticles have special properties, such as surface plasmon resonance (SPR), making them valuable for the treatment of cancer, imaging of tissues, and delivery of drugs and biosensors.121,122 A study by Chauhan et al. proved the potential of gold nanoparticles for the detection of liver cancer.123 The study proved that due to the antibiotic properties of gold nanoparticles, they can successfully differentiate between cancerous cells and normal cells. Research has found that gold nanoparticles can destroy Gram-negative bacteria faster than Gram-positive bacteria. They show different antimicrobial activities with different bacterial cell wall compositions. Compared to Gram negative bacteria, Gram positive bacteria have a thicker peptidoglycan coating of linear polysaccharides cross-linked by peptides, making penetration more difficult for nanoparticles. Recent research has proved that gold nanoparticles produced from natural sources have potent anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic effects against cancer cells.¹²¹ They are also effective against diabetic management and have environmental uses like the catalytic breakdown of organic dyes and antimicrobial properties. Khalil et al. proved its antioxidant properties in a research article using olive leaf extract to synthesize gold nanoparticles.¹²⁴ The nanoparticles synthesized from plant extract can also be used in agriculture due to their low toxicity.125 They are used to target specific areas in plants and inject nutrients or genes to genetically modify any plant to increase its nutrition level.

Gold nanoparticle synthesis using plant extracts has shown to be beneficial in producing nanoparticles with a variety of shapes and sizes influenced by the specific biological entities involved and reacting conditions like reaction time, temperature, reactant concentration and pH.⁹⁰ For example, adding an extract of *Stachys lavadulifolia Vahl* to a gold ion solution can produce hexagonal, spherical, and triangular shaped particles.¹²⁶ The quantity of extract and reaction temperature are also important under different conditions that lead to differentsized nanoparticles.

Polyphenols in plant extracts cause the reduction of Au to form nanoparticles.⁹¹ Also, green synthesis of gold nanoparticles means no external stabilizing agent is required, and it is a renewable process with high availability of cheap raw materials for reaction conditions.¹²⁷

4.3. Copper

Recent studies on the bactericidal effects of copper nanoparticles showed that copper nanoparticles exhibited better anti-bacterial activity than silver nanoparticles.¹²⁸ Also, copper nanoparticles were preferred over silver nanoparticles since copper is cheaper than silver, has higher chemical and physical stability, and mixes easily with polymers.¹²⁹ Copper nanoparticles have many uses in numerous fields, due to their desirable optical, magnetic, and electrical characteristics, and are used in nanoparticle devices.¹³⁰ Copper has ultraviolet sensitivity, catalytic activity, and electrical conductivity; is malleable; possesses anti-bacterial and antifungal activities; has high thermal and corrosion resistance.^{131,132} Copper nanoparticles have been used in water treatment, as antimicrobial coatings for medical equipment, and in heat transfer systems.¹³³

4.3.1. Green synthesis of copper nanoparticles. Following the procedure proposed by Amer *et al.*, fresh *Citrus limon* fruits were washed thoroughly with distilled and deionised (DI) water, and the required plant extract was made through heating the cut pieces in 100 mL of deionised water and filtering the solution.¹³⁴ The extract was added with 4 g copper sulfate pentahydrate (CuSO₄·5H₂O) at 27 °C for 4 h. The reaction was completed within 10 min as the color of the extract changed from blue to brown. Later, at 3000 rpm, it was centrifuged for 10 min. At 85 °C, it was dried for 4 h.¹³⁴

Many plant extracts such as *Punica granatum, Citrus limon, Ocimum sanctum, Allium saralicum, Syzygium aromaticum*, and *Aloe vera* are commonly used to synthesize copper nanoparticles. The reaction temperature ranges from RT to 90 °C under different pH conditions. These nanoparticles have been found to have strong antibacterial action against S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and K. pneumoniae, as shown in Table 3. Table 3 shows various applications of produced Cu nanoparticles using the green method and their potential use as antibacterial agents.

4.3.2. Antimicrobial properties and mechanism of copper nanoparticles. The antimicrobial properties depend on the nanoparticles' concentration, stability, and size, which are very important for biomedical and pharmaceutical applications.150 According to Rajesh et al., copper nanoparticles have shown excellent antibacterial activity against Bacillus spp. and excellent antifungal activity against Penicillium spp.154 Due to the usage of more and more antibiotics in our body, we build antibacterial resistance, which may occur in various ways, like changes in target sites of antimicrobial agents, reduced intracellular accumulation, or enzyme modification due to resistant genes.¹⁵⁷ Thus, it has been a challenge to find and improve antibacterial agents so that they are effective in killing the bacteria, both Gram negative and Gram positive, including Pasteurella multocida, K. pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, and S. typhi, and hence the use of nanoparticles for antibacterial properties has evolved.158

Copper nanoparticles release Cu²⁺ ions, which bind to the bacteria cell wall and neutralize it; hence, the penetrability of the membrane increases, and cell lysis occurs. It also damages the cell membrane and causes the cellular components to leak out, which in turn kills the cell.¹⁵⁹ A study by Kumar *et al.* stated about lipase inhibition activity, showing that copper nanoparticles synthesized from the plant extract of *Saussurea lappa*

Table 3 Formation of copper nanoparticles from different plant extracts

Raw materials	Dlant extract	Reaction conditions	Microhee	Remarks	References
Ginitanni	ו ומוור בערו מכר		TITLIADO		
Copper(II)	Punica granatum	80 °C, 4 h stirring	Micrococcus luteus, P. aeruginosa, S. enterica, and	Anti-bacterial	135
sulfate			Enterobacteraerogenes		
	Alstonia scholaris	RT	E. coli and S. aureus	Antimicrobial	136
	Lannea discolor	25 °C, 1 h	P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, E. coli, and K. pneumoniae	Antibacterial	108
	Sesbania aculeata	RT, 24 h, stirred	Phoma destructiva and Curvularia lunata	Antimicrobial	137
	Celastrus paniculatus	RT, pH-7	Fusariumoxysporum	Photocatalytic and antifungal	138
	Cedrusdeodara	RT, stirred, kept in dark for	S. aureus and E. coli	Antibacterial	139
		5 days			
	Allium saralicum	RT, 1 h, stirred, pH-12	C. albicans, C. glabrata, Candida krusei,	Antioxidant, cytotoxic, antifungal, and	140
			Canaida guilhermonan, P. aerugnosa, E. coh, B. subtilis, and S. aureus	antibacterial	
	Ziziphus spina-christi (L.)	RT	S. aureus and E. coli	Antibacterial and dye adsorbent	141
	Ocimum sanctum	RT, stirred, 1 h, sunlight	E. coli, K. pneumoniae, E. faecalis, and S. aureus	Antibacterial, cytotoxic, and antioxidant	142
	Nigella sativa	80 °C, stirred at 150 rpm	S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa	Antibacterial, lipase, amylase, and	143
	Ownia adation I	70.00 34 h atimod at 140		glucose assay activity	777
	Grewia astatica L.	/0 °C, 24 II, SUITEU AL 140		Anunbacteriai, amunungai, iarvicitai, anu	144
			A. niger, A. oryzae, E. coli, and B. subtilis	anti-termite	
	Azadirachta indica	80 °C, 1 h, stirred at 700	Proteus mirabilis, K. pneumoniae, and S. aureus	Antibacterial	145
		rpm			
	Cissus vitiginea	RT, stirred	Enterococcus sp., Klebsiella sp., Proteus sp., and E. coli	Antioxidant and antibacterial	146
	Citrus limon	27 °C, 4 h, stirred	E. coli and S. aureus	Antibacterial	134
Copper nitrate	Hagenia abyssinica (Brace) IF. Gmel	RT, 24 h, centrifuged	S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, and E. coli	Antimicrobial and anti-oxidant	128
	Withania comnifera	55 of 4 b stirred	susting S but it	Antibactarial and antiovidant	117
	чинини зоннцени Сіппатотителанісит	55 °C 24 h stirred	L. tout and D. dureus Ling carcinoma cell line	Cutotoxic and antioxidant	14/ 148
	Garcinia manaosteen	70 °C 1 h	S autous and F coli	Optication and an and a second and a second se	149
	Empoint caucasicum	60 °C 24 h stirred	S tynhimurium B cerens F coli and S aureus	Antimicrohial	150
	Trautv.				
Copper(II)	Green tea	100 °C, 3 h, refluxed	S. aureus, B. subtilis, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	Antibacterial	151
chloride	Cardiospermum halicacabum	80 °C, 1 h, stirred	P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and S. aureus	Antibacterial	152
	Ageratum houstonianum Mill.	Erlenmeyer flask, RT, 24 h, stirred	E. coli	Photocatalytic and anti-bacterial	153
Copper	Syzygium aromaticum	RT, 15 min, stirred	Staphylococcus sp. Pseudomonas sp. E. coli, and Bacillus sp.	Antimicrobial and antifungal	154
acetate	Aloe vera	70 °C, 15 min, stirred, pH-11.5	B. subtilis, S. aureus, and E. coli	Antimicrobial	155
	Kigelia africana	RT, 3 h, stirred	Salmonella typhi, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and S. aureus	Antimicrobial	156

also have anti-obesity and anti-diabetic activities due to the flavonoid present in it. $^{\mathbf{160}}$

One of the most prevalent elements on Earth is copper. It is found in more than 30 types of protein, and many enzymes in our body need copper to function properly. Both physical and chemical methods have been used to form Cu nanoparticles, including microemulsion-based and chemical reduction methods.¹⁶¹ Formation of Cu nanoparticles with the green method is very successful for their environment-friendly nature, cost-effectiveness, availability, and non-hazardous by-products.¹⁶² Also, it is a sustainable and rapid process with a simple reaction setup and very mild reaction conditions.

5. Metal oxide nanoparticles

5.1. Zinc oxide

ZnO nanoparticles have also gained much interest in medicine, catalysis, electronics, and optics because of their outstanding electrical and antimicrobial characteristics.^{163,164} ZnO nanoparticles have been incorporated in sunscreens and cosmetic lotions because of their property to absorb and reflect UV radiation, which protects from the danger of sunburn and skin cancer.¹⁶⁵ It is also effective as an anti-bacterial agent against a broad spectrum of bacteria.¹⁶⁶ Therefore, green synthesised ZnO nanoparticles are essential as they are eco-friendly, can replace synthetic chemicals, and have very effective therapeutic and antimicrobial actions.¹⁶⁷

5.1.1. Green synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles. *Pisonia alba* leaves were gathered and rinsed carefully with DI water, as demonstrated by MuthuKathija *et al.*¹⁶⁸ 10 g of powdered leaves was put in 50 mL of deionised Milli Q water¹⁶⁹ to make the leaf extract. Then, the prepared extract was cooled, filtered, and stored at 4 °C. 20 mL of the extract solution was added with 50 mL of zinc acetate solution, and at 70 °C, the mixture was swirled continually for 2 h. At 6000 rpm, it was centrifuged for 15 min, the precipitate was then collected after filtration, rinsed, and dried at 80 °C. Fig. 3 shows the synthesis mechanism of ZnO nanoparticles when *Pisonia Alba* leaves are used.¹⁷⁰

Aloe vera, Citrus aurantifolia, Terminalia chebula, Azadirachta indica, Elaeagnus angustifolia, and many other plant extracts are used to form ZnO nanoparticles. Atalantia monophyla and



Fig. 3 Schematic presentation of the synthesis mechanism of ZnO nanoparticles using plant extracts.

Elaeagnus angustifolia are found to have antimicrobial properties against the maximum number of microbes such as *Leishmania tropica*, *Mucor racemosus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium solani*, *C. albicans*, *A. flavus*, *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, *B. subtilis*, and *B. cereus* according to Table 4. The condition temperature ranges from 50–80 °C. These nanoparticles are found to have broad-spectrum antibacterial effects, including both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, fungi and also have antioxidant and anticancer properties. Table 4 illustrates the various applications of produced ZnO nanoparticles using a green method and their potential use as antibacterial agents.

5.1.2. Antimicrobial properties and mechanism of zinc oxide nanoparticles. ZnO nanoparticles have extensive biomedical applications, including anticancer, antimicrobial, antidiabetic, and drug delivery systems.195 Most of the current research demonstrates that ZnO nanoparticles can exhibit antibacterial properties by eliminating bacterial cells solely by forming ROS.¹⁹⁶ It can produce superoxide radicals (O_2^{-}) , hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and hydroxyl radicals ('OH)¹⁹⁷ when exposed to UV radiation or enough moisture.¹⁹⁸ These then diffuse into the infected cells, induce oxidative stress,199 damage the DNA, and ultimately destroy the cell.²⁰⁰ Also, ZnO nanoparticles have the potential to destroy cells upon contact by disrupting the cell membrane, ultimately leading to death of cells through a process called apoptosis.²⁰¹ The bacterial cell wall has a peptidoglycan layer that encompasses the cell membrane and holds the cytoplasm's osmotic pressure, thus giving the cell its shape. Gram-negative bacteria possess two cell membranes, an outer membrane and a plasma membrane. Gram negative bacterial cell wall is characterized by a 7-8 nm narrow peptidoglycan layer.²⁰² In general, the bacterial cell wall caries a negative charge. Gram positive bacteria have a single membrane made of a multilayered peptidoglycan polymer, with a cell wall of 20-80 nm thickness.203

For the formation of ZnO nanoparticles, chemical, biological, and physical methods were used; they include hydrothermal method, microwave-based synthesis, laser ablation, sol-gel method, etc.²⁰⁴⁻²⁰⁶ These methods, however, present several problems, such as the need for high pressures or temperatures, high cost, and the time-consuming involvement of harmful reagents that are detrimental to the surroundings and health of those who use them.²⁰⁷ Hence, there have been efforts to synthesize ZnO nanoparticles through green, reliable, cost-effective, energy-efficient, and sustainable methods. Biosynthesized nanoparticles are shown to have more catalytic activity and allow large-scale production of nanoparticles.²⁰⁸ The process of creating green nanoparticles involves oxidizing or reducing metallic ions by an organic group. This is a bottomup approach. The mechanism of using extracts of plants involves using the active molecule present in plants, which acts as a capping and a reducing agent.²⁰⁹ These active molecules, known as phytochemicals, reduce metal ions to produce nanoparticles and stabilise them by attaching to their surfaces.²¹⁰ Thus, they help to control the dimension, dispersity, and appearance of nanoparticles, which is essential for various uses.211

 Table 4
 Formation of ZnO nanoparticles from different plant extracts

Raw materials	Plant extract	Reaction conditions	Microbes	Remarks	References
Zinc nitrate	Aloe vera	60 °C, stirred	E. coli, K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, S. aureus, and S. tvohi	Antimicrobial and anti-bacterial	171
	Cymbopogancitratus	RT, 5 min, stirring	E. coli and S. aureus	Anti-bacterial	172
	Coleus amboinicus	60–80 °C, stirred	S. typhi, K. pneumoniae, E. coli, B. cereus, and S. aureus	Antimicrobial	173
	Azadirachta indica	80 °C, stirred	B. subtilis, P. mirabilis, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	Antimicrobial	174
	Solanum nigrum	60-80 °C	S. aureus, S. paratyphi, V. cholerae and E. coli	Anti-bacterial	175
	Citrus aurantifolia	65–70 °C, stirring	E. coli, A. niger, and T. viride	Antimicrobial	176
	Terminalia chebula	RT, 30 min	S. enterica, S. aureus, and E. coli	Anti-bacterial	177
	Justicia adhatoda	$60 ^{\circ}\text{C}, 1 \text{h}, \text{stirred}$	S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, E. coli, and S. pneumoniae	Antimicrobial	178
	Punica granatum	60 °C, 2 h, stirred	S. aureus, E. coli, S. pneumoniae, B. cereus, Moraxella catarrhalis, A. hydrophila, S. enterica subsp. diarizonae, and E. faecalis	Antibacterial	179
	Elaeagnus angustifolia	60 °C, 2 h	L. tropica, M. racemosus, A. niger, F. solani, C. albicans, A. flavus, S. aureus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae, and B. subtilis	Antileishmanial, antioxidant, anticancer, and antifungal	180
	Beta vulgaris	Do 09	E. coli and S. aureus	Antibacterial and antifungal	181
	Tabernaemontana divaricata	80 °C	S. paratyphi, E. coli, and S. aureus	Antimicrobial and photocatalytic	182
	Acacia caesia	65 °C	E. coli, C. albicans, S. aureus, and A. niger	Antimicrobial, antifungal, and anti- inflammatory	183
	Tectona grandis (L.)	⊃° 06–09	S. aureus, S. paratyphi, B. subtilis, and E. coli	Anti-bacterial, anti-arthritic, and anti- oxidant	184
Zinc(n) acetate	Eucalyptus lanceolatus	65 °C, 5 h	E. coli, F. solani, S. aureus, P. multocida, B. subtilis, Aspergillus parasiticus, and A. niger	Antimicrobial, anti-bacterial, and antifungal	185
	Hibiscussubdariffa	50 °C, 30 min, stirred	E. coli and S. aureus	Antibacterial and anti-diabetic	186
	Dilleniaindica and Mikania micrantha	60 °C, 2 h, stirred	S. typhi, V. cholerae, S. aureus, and E. coli	Antibacterial and photocatalytic	187
	Passiflora caerulea L.	RT, stirred, 3 h	E. coli, Streptococcus sp., Enterococcus sp., and Klebsiella sp.	Antibacterial	188
	Atalantia monophylla	60 °C, 2 h, stirred	B. Subtilis, B. cereus, S. aureus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae, C. albicans, and A. niger	Antimicrobial	189
	Litchi chinensis	70 °C, 3 h, stirred at 180 rpm	P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, and E. coli	Antibacterial and dye removal	190
	Musa paradisiaca	85 °C, 2 h, stirred, pH = 12	S. enterica, K. pneumoniae, B. cereus, and S. aureus	Antimicrobial	191
	Polyalthia longifolia	60 °C, 2 h, stirred	F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceris	Antifungal and photocatalytic	192
	Cassiaauriculatacan	RT, 2 h, stirred	B. subtilis, K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, and P. mirabilis	Antibacterial	193
Zinc oxide	Olea europaea	Stirred at 100 rpm for 4 h	Xoo strain GZ 003	Antibacterial	194

Open Access Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM.

Table 5 Formation of MgO nanoparticles from different plant extracts

Raw materials	Plant extract	Reaction conditions	Microbes	Remarks	References
Magnesium	Lawsonia intermis	80 °C	P. vulgaris, B. subtilis, S. aureus, and E. coli	Anti-bacterial	216
Magnesium	Moringa oleifera	90 °C, 3 h, stirred	E. coli and S. aureus	Anti-bacterial and anti-oxidant	217
chloride	Bauhinia purpurea		S. aureus	Antibacterial	218
Magnesium	Hibiscus rosa sinensis	60 °C, 2 h, stirred pH = 5.6	P. vulgaris, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	Antibacterial	219
sulphate	Clematis orientalis	50 °C, 2 h, stirred	S. aureus, B. subtilis, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumoniae	Antimicrobial and antioxidant	220
	Terminalia bellirica	78 °C, 4 h, stirred at 110	Azotobacter, Rhizobium, and breast cancer cells (MCF-7)	Antioxidant, antibacterial, and	221
		rpm		antiproliferative	
Magnesium nitrate	Persimmon	60 °C, 2 h, stirred, pH 10– 12	S. aureus and E. coli	Antibacterial	222
	Solanum trilobatum	5000 rpm, 5 min	E. coli, B. subtilis and S. pyogenes	Anti-oxidant and anti-bacterial	223
	Mucuna pruriens	RT 10 min, 10 000 rpm	E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, and B. subtilis	Antibacterial and antioxidant	224
	Citrus limon	70 °C, 1 h. pH 9.7	E. coli and S. aureus	Antimicrobial	225
	Psidiumguajava and Aloe vera	RT, 30 min, stirred	S. aureus and E. coli	Antimicrobial	213
	Saussurea costus	$80 ^{\circ}\text{C}, 4 \text{ h}, \text{ stirred}$	S. aureus, B. subtilis, P. aeruginosa, E. coli	Antimicrobial	214
	Rhizophora lamarckii	100 °C, 30 min, stirred	S. aureus, S. pneumoniae, E. coli, and S. typhi	Antimicrobial	226
	Dalbergia sissoo	30 °C, 4 h	E. coli and Ralstonia solanacearum	Photocatalytic and antibacterial	227
	Datura stramonium	50 °C, 2 h, stirred pH = 2	E. coli and S. aureus	Antibacterial	228
	Punica granatum	$40 ^{\circ}$ C, 1 h, stirred	B. subtilis, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	Antibacterial and larvicidal	229
	Abrus precatorius L.	RT, 45 min, stirred	Staphylococcus epidermidis, B. subtilis, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	Photocatalytic, antioxidant, cytotoxic, and antibacterial	230
	Emblica officinalis	RT, 4 h, stirred	S. aureus and E. coli	Antibacterial	231
	Rosa floribunda charisma	90 °C, 6 h, stirred	S. epidermidis, S. pyogenes, and P. aeruginosa	Antibiofilm, antioxidant, and antiaging	232
	Limonia acidissima	RT, 5 min, stirred	Alternaria alternata, Phomopsis azadirachtae, P. aeruginosa, K. menmonioe S. anrens, and F. coli	Antifungal and antibacterial	233
	Havenia ahvssinica	60 °C. 2 h. stirred	S. aureus and E. coli	Antibacterial	234
	Costus pictus	80 °C, 4 h, stirred	S. aureus, B. subtilis, E. coli, S. paratyphi, C. albicans, and	Antimicrobial and anticancer	235
			A. niger		
	Citrus aurantium	80 °C, 2 h, stirred	S. aureus, S. epidermidis, C. albicans, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumoniae	Antimicrobial	236
			7		

Different plant extracts produce nanoparticles with different properties. For example, ZnO nanoparticles produced from *Eucalyptus lanceolatus* have anti-bacterial and antifungal properties,²¹² whereas *Acacia caesia* produce ZnO nanoparticles with antifungal, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory action.¹⁸³ They also affect the appearance and dimensions of nanoparticles produced. Hence, the choice of the plant extract to use in synthesizing ZnO nanoparticles with the desired properties becomes essential. Thus, the process of selecting the plant extract for synthesizing ZnO nanoparticles with specific desired characteristics is a crucial step. Antibiotic properties have been evaluated using both Gram negative organisms (*S. paratyphi, Klebsiella planticola*, and *P. aeruginosa*) and Gram-positive organisms (*S. mutans* and *S. aureus*) using the well diffusion method.

5.2. Magnesium oxide

Magnesium oxide (MgO) nanoparticles have many different fundamental properties that are beneficial for a lot of different applications. For example, MgO is an excellent anti-bacterial agent and is also used as a catalyst, and it is also used in optoelectronic devices and biodiesel synthesis.²¹³ It has great corrosion resistance along with high thermal and low electrical conductivity, which allow it to be used in ceramics, additives, photochemical products, and drug manufacturing.²¹⁴ The properties of MgO nanoparticles depend on the fabrication conditions. Different-sized nanoparticles have different calcination reactions and different conditions of gel preparation like pH, heating rate, *etc.*²¹⁵

5.2.1. Green synthesis of MgO nanoparticles. Lawsonia intermis leaves were gathered and properly cleaned with deionised (DI) water. Following Akshaykranth *et al.*'s method, the clean leaves were dried and powdered.²¹⁶ 15 g of powdered leaves were taken and put in 150 mL of DI water and heated to 90 °C for 1 h using a hot plate until the solution turned light red. The leaf extract was filtered. Then, the extract was added to 0.5 M Mg(CH₃COO)₂ and heated to 80 °C until nanoparticles were formed. The nanoparticles were extracted and calcined at 200 °C for 4 h, and grey-coloured MgO nanoparticles were collected.²¹⁶

For MgO NP synthesis, *Moringa oleifera, Hibiscus rosa sinensis, Citrus limon, Lawsonia intermis* are usually used. The reaction temperature usually ranges from 60 to 90 °C according to different plant extracts used. Table 5 shows that they are most effective against *E. coli, S. aureus, B. subtilis*, and *P. aeruginosa*. Table 5 depicts the various applications of produced MgO nanoparticles using a green method and their potential use as antibacterial agents.

5.2.2. Antimicrobial properties and mechanism of magnesium oxide nanoparticles. Because of their antimicrobial properties, MgO nanoparticles have several applications, such as in water treatment processes, textiles, food packaging, and medicines.²³⁷ They damage the bacterial cell wall because of the electrostatic interaction between the bacterial cell wall and nanoparticles.²³⁸ The high alkalinity of MgO also helps damage the bacterial cell wall, producing extreme conditions like

a sudden increase in pH that causes the cytoplasm to leak out and lose more fluid, which ultimately causes cell death. A string layer of water forms over the particles when the nanoparticles absorb H_2O , and this highly alkaline surface of water damages the membrane and kills the bacterial cell.²³⁹

MgO nanoparticles release Mg²⁺ ions, which interfere with many cellular processes and enzymatic activities.²⁴⁰ MgO nanoparticles' high surface energy permits them to adhere to the cell membrane, disrupting its integrity. This causes the cell membrane to rupture and the leaking of cellular components. It has also been shown to disrupt bacterial glycolysis and cause high DNA damage, so the bacteria cannot multiply.²³⁹

MgO nanoparticles are shown to produce superoxides with the O_2 from the bacterial cell wall. These superoxides destroy the phospholipids inside the cell wall faster.²⁴¹ Magnesium nanoparticles have extraordinary morphological properties, high surface area, greater stability, and a high refractive index.²⁴² It has been shown to be effective in cancer treatment, especially against the leukaemia K562 cell line, as reported by Behzadi *et al.*²⁴³ MgO nanoparticles also show antifungal activity.²⁴⁴ The electrostatic interaction between the negative charge on the fungal cell wall containing glycoprotein and the positive charge of the magnesium oxide nanoparticles causes variation in the zeta potential of the cell barrier and hence reduces membrane strength and stability.²⁴⁵

Several methods have been used to synthesize magnesium nanoparticles, for example, thermal decomposition, combustion, chemical precipitation, and sol-gel methods.²⁴⁶ However, these methods often need high temperatures and complex reaction conditions like pH control, expensive equipment, and toxic chemicals.²²⁵ Moreover, because heavy metals like Ti attach to the synthesized nanomaterials, chemical and biological hazards increase in chemical synthesis methods.²²⁶ Green synthesis of these nanoparticles hence provides a more energyefficient and economical way with no production of environmentally toxic chemicals. Plants are readily available and biocompatible to use and hence are generally used in the green production of magnesium oxide nanoparticles. Many different phytochemicals are present in these extracts made of plants that cause reduction and act as chemical stabilizers during the formation of magnesium oxide nanoparticles.²⁴⁷ Many factors, including pH, plant concentration, or temperature, influence the dimensions and morphology of the MgO nanoparticles formed. For instance, Jeevanandam et al. demonstrated that at pH 3, the nanoparticles' size decreases, forming a hexagonal structure.²⁴⁸ The disc diffusion technique was used to investigate magnesium oxide nanoparticles' bactericidal action using both Gram negative bacteria (S. typhi and E. coli) and Gram positive bacteria (S. pneumoniae and S. aureus).

5.3. Cobalt oxide

Cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄) nanoparticles are one of the most extensively used nanoparticles nowadays for a number of reasons. Lithium-ion batteries,²⁴⁹ gas sensors,²⁵⁰ electrochromic thin films,²⁵¹ field emission materials, capacitors,²⁵² solar selective absorbers,²⁵³ catalysis,²⁵⁴ and magneto-resistive devices²⁵⁵ all

ess Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM.	This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.
Open Acce	(cc)) BY

 Table 6
 Formation of cobalt oxide nanoparticles from different plant extracts

Raw materials	Plant extract	Reaction conditions	Microbes	Remarks	References
Cobalt(11) nitrate	Populous ciliata	80 °C, 3 h	E. coli, K. pneumoniae, B. subtilis, and Bacillus lichaniformis	Antibacterial	265
	Psidium guajava	Hot plate, 3 h	S. aureus and E. coli	Photocatalytic, antioxidant, antibacterial,	266
	Phytolacca dodecandra	RT, 1 h. stirred	S. aureus and E. coli	anu cycocoac Antibacterial	267
	Seshania seshan	80 °C. 12 h. stirred	E. coli. P. aeruginosa. S. aureus. and	Antibacterial	268
			E. faecalis		
	Lawsonia inermis	70 °C, 2 h	A. niger, A. flavus, A. oryzae, M. caribbica, M. guilliermondii, R. oryzae, P. aeruginosa, S. thalpophilum, E. coli, Sphingobacterium	Antifungal and antibacterial	269
			sp., S. aureus, B. subtilis, Acinetobacter sp., and Ochrohactrum sp.		
	Ziziphora clinopodioides	60 °C, 90 min, refluxed	E. coli, S. typhimurium, B. subtilis, and	Cytotoxic, antioxidant, antifungal, and	270
	Curcuma longa	RT, 30 min, 750 rpm stirred	S. pneumoniae S. aureus and E. coli	antibacterial Antibacterial, anti-fungal, antimalarial,	271
Cohalt(n) chloride	Zizinhusorunhulla Edaew	80 °C 30 min	E coli and S aurons	anuurer, anu anuaiapeuc Antibarterial	272
	Clitoria ternatea	RT, 20 min, stirred	Streptococcus thermophilus	Antibacterial and antifungal	273
	Citrus limon	90 $^{\circ}$ C, 2 h, stirred at 800 rpm	S. aureus, S. mutans, K. pneumoniae, and	Antibacterial and antifungal	274
			E. coli		
	Aerva javanica	RT, incubated in the dark for 3 days	B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. coli, and P. aerveinosa	Antibacterial and antifungal	275
	Celosia argentea	80 °C, 30 min, stirred	E. coli and B. subtilis	Antioxidant, antibacterial, hemolytic,	262
	Catharanthus roseus	80 °C, 1 h, stirred	B. subtilis and E. coli	anu catanyuc Antibacterial, antioxidant, hemolytic,	276
				and dye degradation	
	Rosmarinus officinalis	70 °C, 3 h, stirred, pH = 7.5	U87 human cancer cell line, <i>C. albicans</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , and <i>S. aureus</i>	Cytotoxic, antibacterial, and antifungal	277
	Calpurnia aurea	60 °C, 2 h, stirred, pH-13	S. aureus and E. coli	Antibacterial	278
	Hibiscus rosa-sinensis	90 °C, stirred	S. aureus, S. mutans, K. pneumoniae, and	Antibacterial and antifungal	279
Cobalt(II) acetate	Sageretia thea	60 °C. 2 h. stirred	E. coli E. coli	Antibacterial and cytotoxic	280
	e Rhamnus virgata	$75 \circ C, 2 h, stirred$		Antibacterial, antileishmanial, antifinmed anticencer	281
			P. aeruginosa	antinangar) and anticancer	
	Calotropis procera	RT, 2 h, stirred	E. coli, Pseudomonas sp., Alcaligens sp., and Enterococcus sp.	Antimicrobial	282
	Geranium wallichianum	60 °C, 2 h, stirred	P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, K. pneumoniae, E. coli, S. aureus, C. albicans, and A. flavus	Antibacterial, antifungal, anticancer, antioxidant, cytotoxic, and enzyme	283
Cobalt(m) sulfate	Euphorbiatirucalli	60 °C, overnight, stirred	MCF-7 breast cancer cell	Anti-proliferative	284

use cobalt oxide nanoparticles. They are also used for photocatalytic dye degradation.²⁵⁶ The reason for them being successfully used in many applications is that cobalt oxide nanoparticles have magnetic, catalytic, electrical, and optical properties.^{257,258} Many methods can be used to prepare cobalt oxide nanoparticles, like electrochemical, co-precipitation, hydrothermal,259 solvent evaporation, and sol-gel processes.260 The sol-gel process produces nanoparticles with good chemical reactivity, uniform compound, better efficiency, and good chemical reactivity.261 However, these processes may include toxic organic reducing and capping materials or hightemperature calcination, which causes a reduction in the antibacterial properties of the synthesized nanoparticles.²⁶² Thus, green synthesis is recommended because it is ecologically safe, non-toxic, and readily accessible in nature, therefore making it inexpensive.263

5.3.1. Green synthesis of cobalt oxide nanoparticles. *Fenugreek leaves* were used to generate cobalt oxide nanoparticles by Akhlaghi *et al.*²⁶⁴ The leaves were rinsed with distilled water, then dried and powdered. The plant extract was obtained using the ethanolic extraction technique. The extract was mixed with 0.1 M $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in a ratio of 1:4. Temperature was maintained at 80 °C using a water bath. The mixture was agitated with a magnetic stirrer until cobalt oxide nanoparticles were formed. Then, it was cooled, filtered, and rinsed with Milli-Q water, and at 13 500 rpm, it was centrifuged three times for 30 min each. At 100 °C, the nanoparticles were then dried for 2 h.²⁶⁴

Cobalt oxide has larger incubation times in hours with reaction temperatures from 60 to 90 °C, as shown in Table 6. The data show that *Lawsonia intermis* is most effective against maximum microbes from *A. niger*, *A. flavus*, *A. oryzae*, *Meyerozyma caribbica*, *Meyerozyma guilliermondii*, *R. oryzae*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Sphingobacterium thalpophilum*, *E. coli*, *Sphingobacterium* sp., *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis*, and *Acinetobacter* sp. to *Ochrobactrum* sp. Table 6 depicts the abundant applications of produced cobalt oxide nanoparticles using a green method and their potential use as antibacterial agents.

5.3.2. Antimicrobial properties and mechanism of cobalt oxide nanoparticles. Cobalt oxide nanoparticles have illustrated excellent antibacterial properties, and they are effective against both Gram negative bacteria (Pasteurella multocida and P. aeruginosa) and Gram-positive bacteria (B. subtilis and S. aureus). Cobalt oxide nanoparticles destroy the rigid peptidoglycan layer of Gram-positive bacteria by releasing toxic cobalt ions, which can penetrate the bacterial cell membrane and prevent any protein synthesis. They also cause DNA damage and prevent cell replication. Co₃O₄ nanoparticles also damage the membrane and cause all the cellular components to leak out. The ROS generated by cobalt nanoparticles also target both Gram negative and Gram-positive bacteria and cause oxidative stress in bacteria, leading to the degeneration of proteins, lipids, and DNA. Thus, it is used in many medical devices as a coating to prevent bacterial infections.285 Adding cobalt nanoparticles to fabrics can create antibacterial textiles, which may be used in healthcare industries. They are also used in water treatment to remove bacteria and in cancer therapy.286

Green synthesis can be done through a variety of different natural reducing agents like plant extracts or microorganisms to manufacture nanoparticles with controlled size, shape, and enhanced functionality.287 They generally have mild reaction conditions and hence have low energy consumption and less need for complex instruments.²⁸⁸ They are also environmentally friendly, helping us avoid the use of toxic organic solvents.289 Nanoparticles made from toxic chemicals may retain some residual impurities, which will affect their biocompatibility. Green synthesis methods can also be easily scaled up for industrial production and are a great costeffective alternative.²⁹⁰ Also, traditional nanoparticle synthesis can generate a lot of harmful waste. These wastes need to be disposed safely to prevent environmental pollution. Nanoparticles synthesized using green methods are often more biocompatible and thus can be applied in biomedical industries for delivering drugs and as antibacterial agents.263 Studies have shown that using plant extracts like Curcuma longa or Aloe vera can effectively reduce metal ions to nanoparticles. These extracts contain phytochemicals, which can be both stabilizing and reducing agents, so there is no need for harmful chemicals.

5.4. Titanium dioxide

Titanium dioxide nanoparticles are promising materials for antimicrobial application. They are a widely studied form of nanomaterial with unique properties, which make it suitable for many applications. They are used in sunscreens as they can block UV radiation while remaining transparent on the skin.²⁹¹ They are also used in paints, coatings, and food packaging.^{292,293} These nanoparticles are also used in the construction of buildings and in cement, tiles, plastics, windows, solar cells, and also in light-emitting diodes.²⁹¹

5.4.1. Green synthesis of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. To synthesize TiO_2 nanoparticles from titanium isopropoxide, *Syzygium cumini* leaves were used as a capping agent, as suggested by Sethy *et al.*²⁹⁴ The leaves are collected and rinsed carefully with DI water and dried at 60 °C in a tray drier. Then, they were ground into powder, and made into a solution with DI water in a 20 : 100 ratio. At 80 °C, it was heated for 1 h. The plant extract was filtered and combined with titanium isopropoxide at a ratio of 1 : 1. The mixture was agitated for 8 h. At 9000 rpm, it was centrifuged for 10 min and filtered. The nanoparticles formed were then calcined at 570 °C for 3 h.²⁹⁴

Many different plant extracts were found to successfully produce titanium dioxide nanoparticles with antibacterial, antioxidant or photocatalytic activities such as *Calotropis procera*, *Acorus calamus*, *Maerua oblongifolia*, and *Chenopodium quinoa* with being *Trigonella foenum* effective against the highest number of microbes such as *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. faecalis*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *P. vulgaris*, *B. subtilis*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and *C. albicans*, as shown in Table 7. The reaction temperature ranged from RT to 80 °C with vigorous stirring. Table 7 shows various applications of produced TiO₂ nanoparticles using a green method and their potential use as antibacterial agents.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. Open Access Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM. (cc)) BY

ThaniumCalotropis proceraRT, 2 h, stirred, stirred, pH = 7S. aureus, Streptococcas sp. E. coli, and B. subtilis RevisacagonaRT, stirred, stirred, B. coli and S. aureusS. ond B. subtilis aureusNervisacagonaRT, stirred, Menthe arrensisS. o., C, 1 h, stirred, B. coli and S. aureusS. aureus, and S. aureusNenthe arrensis50 °C, 1 h, stirred, B. coli and S. aureusE. coli and S. aureusAnarra oblongiplia60 °C, 1 h, stirredB. subtilis, E. coli, K. pneumoniae, F. faccalis, a acrai catechuThanium sulfateLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredB. subtilis, E. coli, K. pneumoniae, F. faccalis, a arrai catechis, E. coli, S. preunoniae, S. faccalis, a acrai catechis, R. pneumoniae, S. faccalis, A acrai catechiTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredB. aureus, E. faecalis, K. pneumoniae, J. faccalis, A aureus, E. faecalis, K. pneumoniae, J. faccalis, A aureus, E. faecalis, R. pneumoniae, J. faccalis, A aureus, B. auteus, B. auteusTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredS. aureus, E. coli, P. ulgaris, B. subtilis, Y. en aureus, B. aureus, E. faecalis, A. pneumoniae, J. faccalis, A aureus, B. aureus, B. aureus, B. aureus, B. aureus, J. faccalis, A aureus, B. aureus, A aureus, B. aureus, B. aureus, B. aureus, S. faccalis, and C. albicansTrigonella foenumRT, 1 h, stirredS. aureus, S. epidernudis, S. aureus, and C aureus, S. epidernudis, Serratia marcesens, P. aureus, B. factalia marcesens, B. aureus, S. epidernudis, Serratia marcesens, P. aureus, B. factalia marcesens, R. aureus, S. epidernudis, Serratia marcesens, Allium eriophyllam BoissAndropideKriph		Plant extract		Microbes	kemarks
Acorus calamusRT, stirred, pH = 7NervilaaragonaRT, stirredMenthe arvensis50 °C, 5 h, stirredMaerua oblongifolia60 °C, 1 h, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, stirredChenopodiumquinoaRT, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredAcacia catechu80 °C, 2 h, stirredOcimum americanum60 °C, 30 min, stirredTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredAnangifera indicaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajavaRT, 45 h, stirredSidium guajavaRT, 45 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredAntenisia haussknechtiiRT, 4.5 h, stirredAntenisia haussknechtiiRT, 4.5 h, stirredAllium cepaS0 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000Allium cepaS0 °C, 4-5 h, stirredAndrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	-	tropis procera	RT, 2 h, stirred	S. aureus, Streptococcus sp., E. coli, and P. aeruginosa	Antibacterial and antioxidant
NervilaaragonaRT, stirredMenthe arvensis50 °C, 5 h, stirredMaerua oblongifolia60 °C, 1 h, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredChenopodiumquinoaRT, 15 min, stirredTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 4.5 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 4.5 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 4.5 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRTArtemisia haussknechtiiRTArtemisia haussknechtiiRTArtemisia haussknechtiiRTArtemisia haussknechtiiRTArtemisia	,	us calamus	RT, stirred, $pH = 7$	S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and B. subtilis	Antimicrobial and photocatalytic
Menthe arvensis50 °C, 5 h, stirredMaerua oblongifolia60 °C, 1 h, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, stirredRT, 6 h, stirredRT, 6 h, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredRT, 6 °C, 2 h, stirred80 °C, 2 h, stirredDcimum americanum60 °C, 30 min, stirredRT, 15 min, stirred80 °C, 2 h, stirredAcacia catechu80 °C, 2 h, stirredRT, 15 min, stirred80 °C, 2 h, stirredAcacia catechu80 °C, 2 h, stirredRT, 15 min, stirred80 °C, 2 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 1 hRT, 1 h80 °C, 2 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredRtium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 4.5 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 4.5 h, stirredAradirachta indicaRTAradirachta indicaRTAradirachta indicaRTAradirachta indicaRTAradirachta indicaRTAndrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Nervi		RT, stirred	E. coli and S. aureus	Antibacterial
Maerua oblongifolia60 °C, 1 h, stirredChenopodiumquinoaRT, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredAcacia catechu80 °C, 2 h, stirredOcimum americanum60 °C, 30 min, stirredTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredRinophofia foliosaRT, 45 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtenis foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredArtenis filum erophyllum BoissRT, 4.5 h, stirredAllium reopa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Mentl		50 °C, 5 h, stirred	E. coli, P. vulgaris, and S. aureus	Antibacterial and antifungal
ChenopodiumquinoaRT, stirred Luffa acutangulaLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredLuffa acutangulaRT, 6 h, stirredOcimum americanum80 °C, 2 h, stirredTrigonella foenum60 °C, 30 min, stirredTrigonella foenumRT, 1 hRT, 15 min, stirredRT, 1 hArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredSridium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredRiphoffa foliosaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtenis foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredAllium erophyllum BoissRT, 4.5 h, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirredAndrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Maeri		60 °C, 1 h, stirred	E. coli and S. aureus	Antibacterial and photocatalytic
ate Luffa acutangunaa R1, 6 h, stirred Luffa acutangula R7, 6 h, stirred Ocimum americanum 60 °C, 30 min, stirred Trigonella foenum R7, 15 min, stirred Trigonella foenum R7, 15 min, stirred Mangifera indica R7, 14 h Artemisia haussknechtii R7, 24 h, stirred Psidium guajava R7, 24 h, stirred R1temisia haussknechtii R7, 24 h, stirred R1tum erophyllum Boiss R7, 4.5 h, stirred Allium erophyllum Boiss R7, 4.5 h, stirred Allium cepa 50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000 Andrographis paniculata R7, 1 h, stirred		and in many new	DTT offunnel	للمفرا ممم فسأفأصأ	degradation
Luffa acutangula RT, 6 h, stirred ate Acacia catechu 80 °C, 2 h, stirred Dcimum americanum 60 °C, 30 min, stirred Trigonella foenum RT, 15 min, stirred Trigonella foenum RT, 15 min, stirred Artemisia RT, 24 h, stirred Artemisia haussknechtii RT, 24 h, stirred Psidium guajava RT, 24 h, stirred RT, 10 RT, 24 h, stirred Artemisia haussknechtii RT, 24 h, stirred RT, 24 h, stirred RT, 24 h, stirred Artemisia haussknechtii RT, 24 h, stirred Rtium guajava RT, 45 h, stirred Attenisia foliosa RT, 45 h, stirred Attenisia foliosa RT, 45 h, stirred Attenista indica RT Attenista RT Attenista RT Attenista RT Attenindata RT	-	nopoulumpunoa	KI, Suiffed	usulago inuci	Anurungar
autrate Acacta catectur 80°°, 2 h, stirred <i>Trigonella foenum</i> RT, 15 min, stirred <i>Trigonella foenum</i> RT, 15 min, stirred <i>RT</i> , 15 min, stirred <i>RT</i> , 16 min, stirred <i>Anagifera indica</i> RT, 24 h, stirred <i>Artemisia haussknechtii</i> RT, 1 h, stirred at 1000 <i>Andrographis paniculata</i> RT, 1 h, stirred	-	i acutangula	RT, 6 h, stirred	B. subtilis, E. coli, K. pneumoniae, E. faecalis, and S. aureus	Antibacterial, antifungal, and optical
Ocimum americanum60 °C, 30 min, stirredTrigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredCola nitidaRT, 1 hCola nitidaRT, 24 h, stirredMangifera indicaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajawaRT, 24 h, stirredRT, nonRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtenis foliosaRT, 45 h, stirredAdadirachta indicaRT, stirredAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirredAndrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred		ia catecnu		o. aureus	Anubacterial
Trigonella foenumRT, 15 min, stirredCola nitidaRT, 1 hGola nitidaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajawaRT, 24 h, stirredRtina pajawaRT, 4.5 h, stirredKniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirreddideAzadirachta indicaRtina eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Ocim	ıum americanum	60 °C, 30 min, stirred	B. cereus, Clostridium perfringens, K. pneumoniae, S. paratyphi, C. albicans, and A. niger	Photocatalytic and antimicrobial
Cola nitidaRT, 1 hMangifera indicaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajawaRT, 24 h, stirredRT, 154 h, stirredRT, 24 h, stirredArtenisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajawaRT, 24 h, stirredArtenisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtabing foliosaRT, 45 h, stirredAdadirachta indicaRT, stirredAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirredAndrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Trigoi	onella foenum	RT, 15 min, stirred	S. aureus, E. faecalis, K. pneumoniae, S. faecalis, P.	Antimicrobial
Cola nitidaRT, 1 hMangifera indicaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredRiphofia foliosaRT, 45 h, stirredKniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredcitrus limonRT, stirredCitrus limonRT, stirredAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred				aeruginosa, E. coli, P. vulgaris, B. subtilis, Y. enterocolitica, and C. albicans	
Mangifera indicaRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredArtemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredKniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredCitrus limonRT, 4.5 h, stirredAzadirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred		nitida	RT, 1 h	S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae, and E. coli	Antibacterial, antifungal, antioxidant, and anticoagulant
Artemisia haussknechtiiRT, 24 h, stirredPsidium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredKniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredKniphofia foliosaRT, stirredAtaalirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Mang	gifera indica		Asubpictus and Culex guinguefasciatus	Larvicidal
Psidium guajavaRT, 24 h, stirredKniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredCitrus limonRT, stirredAzadirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Artem	nechtii	RT, 24 h, stirred	S. aureus, S. epidermidis, Serratia marcescens, and E. coli	Antibacterial and antioxidant
Kniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredCitrus limonRT, stirredAzadirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred	Psidin		RT, 24 h, stirred		Antioxidant and antibacterial
Kniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredCitrus limonRT, stirredAzadirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred				A. hydrophila, P. mirabilis, E. coli, S. aureus, and P.	
Kniphofia foliosaRT, 4.5 h, stirredCitrus limonRT, stirredAciadirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred				aeruginosa	
Citrus limonRT, stirredAddirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000Andrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred		hofia foliosa	RT, 4.5 h, stirred	S. aureus, S. pyogenes, E. coli, and K. pneumoniae	Antibacterial
Azadirachta indicaRTAllium eriophyllum BoissRT, stirredAllium cepa50 °C, 4-5 h, stirred at 1000rpmrpmAndrographis paniculataRT, 1 h, stirred		ts limon	RT, stirred	E. coli, methicillin-resistant S. aureus, and Klebsiella sp.	Antibacterial
RT, stirred 50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000 rpm RT, 1 h, stirred		'irachta indica	RT	S. typhi, E. coli, S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, and B. subtilis	Antibacterial
50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000 rpm RT, 1 h, stirred	Alliun	m eriophyllum Boiss	RT, stirred	S. pneumoniae, S. typhimurium, C. glabrata, C. albicans, C.	Antioxidant, cytotoxic, antibacterial, and
50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000 rpm RT, 1 h, stirred				guilliermondii, S. aureus, and P. aeruginosa	antifungal
RT, 1 h, stirred	Alliun	m cepa	50 °C, 4–5 h, stirred at 1000	S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, S. epidermidis, E. coli, and C.	Antimicrobial
RT, 1 h, stirred			Thut	Clinulu	
	Andro	ographis paniculata	RT, 1 h, stirred	E. coli, Salmonella sp., Bacillus sp., and C. albicans	Antioxidant, antidiabetic, and
Titanium(w) <i>Citrullus lanatus</i> 0 °C. 3 h. stirred. <i>C. neoformans. B. subtilis. E. coli. C. albicans</i>		illus lanatus	0 °C. 3 h. stirred.	C. neoformans. B. subtilis. E. coli. C. albicans. Aspergillus	Antioxidant, cytotoxic, and antimicrobial

315

Antibacterial RT

fumigatus, and A. niger P. aeruginosa

microwave (1000 W) 3 min RT, stirred, pH = 7

Aloe barbadensis Mill.

chloride

References

5.4.2. Antimicrobial properties and mechanism of titanium oxide nanoparticles. TiO₂ is known for its antimicrobial and strong photocatalytic properties; thus, it is very useful in medical applications.³¹⁶ Rajeswari *et al.* showed that these nanoparticles have strong antioxidant properties, even better than ascorbic acid.³¹⁷ They can generate ROS when exposed to light which cause oxidative damage to microbial cells and also tumour cells.³¹⁸ The excited electrons participate in redox reactions with water and oxygen molecules, forming highly reactive species:

Nanoscale Advances

$$h^+ + H_2O \rightarrow OH + H^+$$

 $e^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^-$

These ROS can cause oxidative damage to a broad range of biological molecules like proteins and DNA, causing cell death. TiO₂ nanoparticles are effective against a large spectrum of bacteria. They are used as a coating in many medical instruments to prevent the growth of microorganisms.³¹⁹ In cancer treatment, TiO₂ nanoparticles are used in photodynamic therapy (PDT), where they are triggered by light to create ROS, which selectively destroy cancer cells.320 The ease of surface modification in these nanoparticles makes them easier to use in nanocarriers for targeted drug delivery.321 Also, titanium dioxide nanoparticles are shown to release drugs in response to specific stimuli like changes in pH or temperature. Thus, they are effective in drug delivery where they release ROS in the presence of an acidic environment due to the tumour but do not affect the neutral healthy cells. Achudhan et al. conducted a study demonstrating the mosquito-killing effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles synthesized using a solution from Ficus benghalensis, Azadirachta indica twigs, and Syzygium aromaticum.³²² According to the research, these nanoparticles successfully targeted Aedes aegypti larvae, causing damage to the thoracic and abdominal regions as well as body shrinkage and hair bristle loss.

Many synthesis processes are used to synthesize metal oxide nanoparticles, such as the electrochemical method, the sonochemical methodology, or the sol–gel process.^{323,324} TiO₂ nanoparticles can be synthesized using fungi, bacteria, and plant extracts as capping and reducing agents.³²⁵ This green synthesis method offers an affordable and natural alternative to conventional chemical production methods. Not only is it renewable and non-toxic but it also reduces energy consumption. Furthermore, the green synthesis method aligns with the global push to circular economy models, where waste is minimized, and resources are efficiently utilized.

6. Antimicrobial properties of metalbased nanoparticles

Numerous processes, such as metal ion release, oxidative stress induction, and non-oxidative damage, which impact various microorganisms' structures, have been clarified as part of the extensive investigation into the precise antibacterial mechanisms of NPs.³²⁶

6.1. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production

Reactive oxygen species are short-lived reactive intermediates or molecules (with half-lives ranging from 10^{-9} to 10^{-3} seconds) that possess significant oxidative potential, which can ultimately be toxic to microorganisms.327 They function in cell signaling and homeostasis at low concentrations and induce oxidative stress and damage at elevated concentrations. When metal compounds absorb sufficient energy from light irradiation, an electron is excited and moves to the conduction band from the valence band, creating a highly reactive hole (H⁺). The holes in the valence band show high oxidizing power, whereas the electrons in the conduction band demonstrate high reducing power. This reactive site interacts with the surrounding H₂O or OH⁻ molecules, generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) near the nanoparticles, as shown in Fig. 4.328 Nanoparticles can also produce ROS through Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions in which metal ions such as Fe²⁺ react with hydrogen peroxide and generate hydroxyl radicals.329

$$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$$
 + $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ + 'OH + OH⁻

The Haber–Weiss reaction continues ROS formation by recycling ferric iron:

$$O^{2-} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow O_2 + OH + OH^-$$

Another method of producing ROS is metal nanoparticles coming in contact with biological molecules and causing them to produce ROS through redox cycling reactions. Silver and gold nanoparticles are metabolically active in bacteria and cause oxidative stress.³³⁰ ZnO and TiO₂ NPs are shown to exhibit three different types of ROS under UV exposure - singlet oxygen, superoxide radicals, and hydroxyl radicals. Some metal oxides produce only one or two different radicals.331 Thus they are mostly used in photodynamic therapy. The hydroxyl radical is a nonselective and highly reactive oxidant that damages every kind of biomolecule like lipids, DNA, nucleic acids, proteins, amino acids, and carbohydrates. Metal nanoparticles (NPs) utilize reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), including peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) and nitric oxide (NO), to exert antimicrobial effects. ROS, such as superoxide and hydrogen peroxide, can damage bacterial DNA, proteins, and membranes, leading to cell death.332 Nanoparticles that produce ROS have been explored as adjuvants to conventional antibiotics. They enhance antibiotics by disrupting bacterial cell membranes and DNA and the cellular functions. This



Fig. 4 ROS production by metal nanoparticles.

Review

synergistic approach can be used against various drugs and strains of bacteria. NPs can enhance ROS production through various mechanisms, including Fenton reactions and photodynamic therapy, which increase their antimicrobial efficacy.^{333,334} RNS also play a role in modulating microbial responses to oxidative stress, potentially enhancing the effectiveness of metal NPs in combating infections, and also causes oxidative protein carbonylation, inactivation of specific enzymes, as well as lipid peroxidation and nitrosative stress.335 ROS can initiate the peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids in membranes which damage membrane integrity and function. Brynildsen et al. have deployed a system biology approach aimed at identification of metabolic reactions in E. coli that generates ROS. They discovered that removing genes for metabolic enzymes made bacteria more sensitive to β-lactam and fluoroquinolone antibiotics. Blocking the action of succinate dehydrogenase also increased sensitivity to oxidants and ampicillin. This suggests that increasing endogenous ROS locally can help improve the activity of antibiotics.336

This dual action of ROS and RNS highlights the therapeutic potential of metal NPs in antimicrobial applications. Due to their ability to produce ROS, nanoparticles like Ag, CuO, Au and ZnO are included in medicinal coatings to avoid colonization of bacteria on implants and surgical tools. These coatings ensure a sustained release of ROS over time without an antibiotic to kill microbes. Using nanoparticles that create ROS is a new form of antimicrobial therapy. Future studies should work to improve the formulation of nanoparticles for better selection, stability and less toxicity, which will help the next-generation antimicrobial treatments.³³⁷

6.1.1. Cell wall damage. The composition of the cell wall varies depending on the type of microorganism: Gram-positive bacteria have teichoic acid (20–50 nm) and multiple layers of peptidoglycan; fungi and yeast are primarily made of chitin and polysaccharides; Gram-negative bacteria have less layers of peptidoglycan encircled using another lipid membrane that contains lipoproteins and lipopolysaccharides.³³⁸

Because of the features of teichoic acid structures and peptidoglycan, the attraction of the nanoparticles with the walls is greater, which causes cell membrane damage and cell death; the cell wall has a higher negative charge than Gram-negative bacteria.³³⁹ Through electrostatic attraction, hydrophobic interactions, and van der Waals forces, zinc oxide, silver, titanium dioxide, and gold nanoparticles can be drawn to the cell wall, altering the permeability, shape, and function of the cells.³⁴⁰⁻³⁴²

6.1.2. Proteins of DNA. Proteins are an essential component of cellular structures and play a key role in metabolic reactions that microorganisms catalyze. In the presence of CuO NPs, proteomic analysis has shown deregulation in proteins present in substance transport, nitrogen metabolism, and electron transfer.³⁴³ Even in bacteria with cell walls and plasma membranes, the expression of the ribosomal subunit, which binds with protein groups that contain phosphorus and sulfur, can be impacted by the silver ions released by Ag NPs.³⁴⁴ Au NPs inhibit ATPase activity by collapsing the membrane potential and preventing a ribosomal subunit from combining with



Fig. 5 Damaging ribosomal functions of micro-organisms using metal nanoparticles.

tRNA, as shown in Fig. 5. This consequently decreases the ATP levels and increases ROS production, impacting other structures at the same time, as illustrated in Fig. 6.³⁴³

Since ROS can cause DNA mutations, genomic analysis has demonstrated that TiO₂ NPs can impact transcription, cell division, and metabolic replication in regulatory microorganisms. Saccharide fragmentation and strand break may result from these changes, which may attack the sugar-phosphate or the nucleobases.³³⁹ Using electrophoresis, the cleavage caused by the nanoparticles was examined in the pBR322 plasmid in the presence of Ag NPs.345 Guanine's low redox potential makes it the most affected nucleobase, according to the results, and its oxidation results in a wide range of changes that eventually impact DNA function (Fig. 7).³⁴⁶ By inducing genetic damage, nanoparticles can impact not only bacteria but also other intricate multicellular organisms.347 Copper oxide nanoparticles (CuONPs) exhibit significant antibacterial properties, primarily through mechanisms that damage bacterial DNA.348 They induce oxidative stress, leading to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can cause DNA strand breaking and other forms of genetic damage.349,350 CuONPs also disrupt bacterial cell membranes and interfere with cellular functions, contributing to their bactericidal effects.^{351,352} Their ability to release copper ions enhances their efficacy, as these ions can bind to essential proteins in bacteria, further promoting cell death.353



Fig. 6 Damaged electron transport chain in mitochondria of bacteria using metal nanoparticles.



7. Minimizing the obstacles and limitations of green synthesis process

The practical production and use of green synthesized nanomaterials, however, require overcoming a number of obstacles, including low yield, intricate extraction processes, regional and seasonal raw material availability, irregular particle sizes, and other issues illustrated in Fig. 8. Consequently, there may be a wide range of opportunities, as shown in Fig. 1, and significant development potential for green synthesis of nanoscale metals.³⁵⁴

The following hypotheses and presumptions need to be considered to get over the flaws and restrictions in the existing research and, ultimately, implement green-synthesized (GS) nanoscale metals (Fig. 9).

Selection of raw materials: evergreen plants are more promising and effective for the selection of plants for metal synthesis in green chemistry. The primary focus of future research should be on materials that are not restricted by geographical or seasonal availability. Before choosing materials, researchers should think about the ease of the extraction process and the seasonal and geographic availability for material acquisition.³⁵⁴ An excellent substitute material might be



Fig. 8 Obstacles of green synthesized metal nanoparticles.



Fig. 9 Points to consider to overcome the limitations of the green synthesis process.

evergreen plants like *Filicium decipiens*, which are able to evade seasonal time constraints. *F. decipiens* trees are frequently used as shade trees, and their growth is typically unrestricted by the soil. Since it was directly acquired from the campus, *F. decipiens* used was easily accessible.³⁵⁵ Iron oxide nanoparticles have also been produced using different plants, including *S. lavandulifolia*, *Mediterranean cypress* (*Cupressus sempervirens*), and *Murraya koenigii*.^{356,357} These plant materials have high viability for green synthesis in terms of availability because their collections were not restricted by time or season. Asia, North Africa, Europe, and America are all home to *Urtica dioica*, more commonly known as common nettle or stinging nettle, which is used to synthesize Fe NPs. Grape and eucalyptus leaves are also frequently used to produce Cu NPs.

Optimized production: the creation of uniform small particles with a large surface area should be the main goal of future research. *Gloriosa superba* L. extract is better for CuO NPs since it produces particles with consistent sizes between 5 and 10 nm.³⁵⁸ Mint (*Mentha spicata* L.) leaf extract was produced in a uniform small amount for NZVIs. Scattered particles that did not come together during the synthesis of *Cupressus sempervirens* leaf extract have a diameter of roughly 1.5 nm.³⁵⁹ Certain eco-friendly materials can be synthesized.

Energy efficiency: based on the current energy-saving experiments, processes that can be performed without heating can be implemented to overcome the problem of high energy consumption. Fe NPs can be produced with leaf extracts from *Henna, Gardenia*, and *Hippophae rhamnoides* without the use of hazardous chemical reagents or high reaction temperatures or energies. Some low-energy extraction techniques are presently in use, such as processes utilizing the grape seed extract that produces Ag NPs at temperatures below 100 °C³⁶⁰ or fruit extract from *Genipa americana* that produces Au NPs at temperatures between 22 and 25 °C. 80 °C is the temperature necessary for the synthesis of Fe NPs.³⁶¹ Methods with relatively low energy consumption should be taken into consideration when compared to those that require ≥ 600 °C.

Review

Production storage: nanoscale metal storage must also be considered. The cost of storage or preservation will be significantly reduced if nanoscale metals can be stored at room temperature. The stability of nanoscale metals affects how they are stored. The NPs can be stored more affordably and are more stable. Ag NPs made from Acorous calamus rhizome stayed stable for 24 hours, but those made from Carissa carandas fruits only displayed the highest UV-vis absorption peak for 4 hours before declining over time. This suggested that after four hours, its stability would be impacted.362,363 Cu NPs produced using the leaf extract of Thymus vulgaris L. and supported by bentonite can be kept in an inert environment for 20 days.³⁶⁴ For 15 days, the Cu NPs that were created using the aqueous guava extract stayed stable at room temperature. PEG 6000 was chosen as a capping agent to keep the metal colloid stable during the 15 days storage period because the copper nanoparticles are oxidized to copper oxide easily.365 The product stability can be impacted by various manufacturing techniques and materials. To create more stable products, researchers should select the right materials and techniques.

Some raw materials also need further processing that adds to the intricacy and cost of the green synthesis technique. To prove economic viability, the usefulness and cost-effectiveness of these substances need to be demonstrated. While the local supply of green NPs can be done using native plants, it is difficult to source and use plants globally for nanoparticle synthesis. Nanoparticles' shape and size vary with different plant extracts, and the large difference in the size of the particles make green technology unfit for bulk production; besides, controlling the particle size during production presents a major challenge. Also, the specific chemical pathways involved in the synthesis process are not completely understood even when the effect of plant extracts on synthesis has been established. Phytochemicals help increase the dispersion as well as reduce agglomeration. The properties of phytochemicals found in plant extracts and species used to manufacture nanoformulations play a key role in green synthesis.

8. Conclusion

This review highlights the benefits of green synthesis using plant extracts in place of chemical synthesis techniques that are characteristically hazardous and energetically expensive. Plant extracts have been found to produce different shaped and sized nanoparticles with antibacterial, antifungal, cytotoxic, and catalytic properties due to the presence of phenolic acid, alkaloids, terpenoids, and polyphenols which act as reducing and stabilizing agents to successfully synthesize these metal and metal oxide nanoparticles. Thus, different plant extracts can be used to produce nanoparticles with distinct properties for specific purposes. Green synthesis has a bright future because it fits in with the global focus on environmental preservation, sustainability, reliability and technological innovation. Because of its cost-effectiveness, eco-friendliness, and capacity to produce functional nanomaterials with a broad range of applications, green synthesis of nanoparticles, in particular, is expected to expand dramatically across numerous industries. By combining

the unique properties of nanoparticles along with the benefits of green synthesis, it aims to address the pressing challenges in healthcare and environmental sustainability while advancing the field of nanotechnology. This sustainable and environmental friendly method of nanoparticle synthesis is extensively utilized in electronics, medicine, catalysis, and environmental applications. This technique avoids the use of hazardous chemicals by utilizing natural reducing agents derived from biological sources. Even though green synthesis has many benefits, issues like reproducibility, scalability, and managing the shape and size of nanoparticles require further study. Both the shape and average size of the metallic nanoparticles have profound effects on their biological and therapeutic activities.³⁶⁶ The ongoing research in this field aims to improve techniques and increase their use in industry and medicine. These nanoparticles are highly relevant for the ongoing issue of drug-resistant infections because of their relatively broad-spectrum antibacterial, antifungal, and antiviral activities against a variety of bacteria, fungi, viruses, and drugresistant pathogens. Different elements of the plants can be used to form numerous metal or metal oxide nanoparticles. Some problems, like adverse reactions, biodegradation, cellular interactions, abundance of raw materials, and biodistribution, need to be considered. Also, researchers must be concerned about not leaving these nanoparticles inside biological systems, where they can accumulate in our food chain and lead to numerous health issues. Using metal NPs like silver nanoparticles in different industries for a prolonged time has been shown to cause severe toxic effects on human health like bluishgrey skin and eye discolouration.³⁶⁷ Thus, extensive research is required to enhance production and application in many other fields.

The green synthesis of metal nanoparticles (MNPs) for antibacterial applications faces several limitations:

(1) Reproducibility: achieving consistent particle size and shape can be challenging, affecting the reliability of antimicrobial efficacy.^{24,368}

(2) Biological effects: the biological implications of greensynthesized MNPs, including toxicity and biocompatibility, are not well understood, necessitating further research.³⁶⁹

(3) Synthesis complexity: the process often involves complex steps such as microbial isolation and culturing, which can complicate production scale and efficiency.³⁶⁸

(4) Environmental impact: while greener than traditional methods, the environmental benefits of green synthesis need a thorough evaluation to ensure no harmful by-products are produced.^{24,370}

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

Author contributions

Israt Jahan Lithi: data curation, formal analysis, writing – original draft. Kazi Imtiaz Ahmed Nakib: formal analysis,

writing – original draft, visualization. Md. Sahadat Hossain: conceptualization, supervision, writing – review and editing. A. M. Sarwaruddin Chowdhury: supervision, writing – review and editing.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no financial or personal interests that may have influenced the work presented in this study.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Bangladesh Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (BCSIR) and the Department of Applied Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, for their support.

References

- 1 A. Haleem, M. Javaid, R. P. Singh, S. Rab and R. Suman, *Global Health J.*, 2023, 7, 70–77.
- 2 A. Gauba, S. K. Hari, V. Ramamoorthy, S. Vellasamy, G. Govindan and M. Valan Arasu, *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 2023, **125**, 102023.
- 3 P. Chaudhary, L. Ahamad, A. Chaudhary, G. Kumar, W.-J. Chen and S. Chen, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2023, **11**, 109591.
- 4 S. S. Low, M. Yew, C. N. Lim, W. S. Chai, L. E. Low, S. Manickam, B. T. Tey and P. L. Show, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2022, 82, 105887.
- 5 A. Shahzaib, Shaily, I. Ahmad, S. M. Alshehri, T. Ahamad and N. Nishat, *Chem. Inorg. Mater.*, 2024, **2**, 100037.
- 6 Y.-T. Duan, K. Soni, D. Patel, H. Choksi, C. B. Sangani,
 W. Sharaf Saeed, K. Lalit Ameta and R. Kumar Ameta, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2024, **396**, 123985.
- 7 J. Z. Chan, R. R. Ali, K. Shameli, M. S. N. Salleh, K. X. Lee and E. D. M. Isa, *IOP Conf. Ser.:Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2020, **808**, 012036.
- 8 O. S. Karvekar, P. D. Sarvalkar, A. S. Vadanagekar,
 R. D. Singhan, S. M. Jadhav, M. S. Nimbalkar and
 N. R. Prasad, *Appl. Nanosci.*, 2022, 12, 2207–2226.
- 9 D. Hong, A. Sharma, D. Jiang, E. Stellino, T. Ishiyama, P. Postorino, E. Placidi, Y. Kon and K. Koga, *ACS Omega*, 2022, 7, 31260–31270.
- 10 Q. Wei, Y. Pan, Z. Zhang, S. Yan and Z. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2024, **483**, 149040.
- 11 S. Bhullar, N. Goyal and S. Gupta, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2022, **17**, 3147–3161.
- 12 A. Shahzaib, Shaily, I. Ahmad, M. A. Hashmi, M. A. Khan and N. Nishat, *Catal. Lett.*, 2024, **154**, 1567–1578.
- 13 R. G. Chaudhary and N. B. Singh, *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.*, 2023, 24, 1–2.
- 14 H. Majeed, T. Iftikhar, M. A. Nadeem and M. A. Nazir, *Heliyon*, 2024, **10**(2), e24467.
- 15 A. García-Quintero and M. Palencia, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2021, **793**, 148524.
- 16 N. Sedefoglu, Ceram. Int., 2024, 50, 9884-9895.

- 17 C. Singh, A. K. Mehata, V. Priya, A. K. Malik, A. Setia, M. N. L. Suseela, Vikas, P. Gokul, Samridhi, S. K. Singh and M. S. Muthu, *Molecules*, 2022, 27, 7059.
- 18 M. A. Malik, S. S. Albeladi, S. M. Al-Maaqar, A. A. Alshehri, S. A. Al-Thabaiti, I. Khan and M. R. Kamli, *Life*, 2023, 13, 653.
- 19 R. C. de Souza, L. U. Haberbeck, H. G. Riella, D. H. B. Ribeiro and B. A. M. Carciofi, *Braz. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **36**, 885–893.
- 20 F. Khan, A. Shahid, H. Zhu, N. Wang, M. R. Javed, N. Ahmad, J. Xu, Md. A. Alam and M. A. Mehmood, *Chemosphere*, 2022, **293**, 133571.
- 21 V. V. Makarov, A. J. Love, O. V. Sinitsyna, S. S. Makarova,
 I. V. Yaminsky, M. E. Taliansky and N. O. Kalinina, *Acta Naturae*, 2014, 6, 35–44.
- 22 M. Rai and A. Yadav, IET Nanobiotechnol., 2013, 7, 117-124.
- 23 S. J. Nadaf, N. R. Jadhav, H. S. Naikwadi, P. L. Savekar,
 I. D. Sapkal, M. M. Kambli and I. A. Desai, *OpenNano*, 2022, 8, 100076.
- 24 P. K. Dikshit, J. Kumar, A. K. Das, S. Sadhu, S. Sharma, S. Singh, P. K. Gupta and B. S. Kim, *Catalysts*, 2021, **11**, 902.
- 25 M. A. Turkia and T. A. Salman, *J. Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **6**, 458–481.
- 26 K. Jain, A. Takuli, T. K. Gupta and D. Gupta, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2024, **19**, e202400701.
- 27 L. S. Mbatha, J. Akinyelu, C. I. Chukwuma, M. P. Mokoena and T. Kudanga, *Viruses*, 2023, **15**, 741.
- 28 R. N. Morgan and K. M. Aboshanab, *Future Sci. OA*, 2024, **10**, FSO935.
- 29 S. Wahab, A. Salman, Z. Khan, S. Khan, C. Krishnaraj and S.-I. Yun, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, **24**, 14897.
- 30 M.-X. Yang, S. Devkota, L.-S. Wang and C. Scheidegger, *Diversity*, 2021, **13**, 330.
- 31 T. Ahsan, L. He, Y. Miao, B. Li and Y. Wu, *J. Mater. Sci. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 10–20.
- 32 R. Rattan, S. Shukla, B. Sharma and M. Bhat, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2021, **12**, 633090.
- 33 R. S. Hamida, M. A. Ali, N. E. Abdelmeguid, M. I. Al-Zaban, L. Baz and M. M. Bin-Meferij, *J. Fungi*, 2021, 7, 291.
- 34 M. Alavi, M. R. Hamblin and J. F. Kennedy, *Nano Micro Biosyst.*, 2022, **1**, 15–21.
- 35 M. Alavi, N. Karimi and T. Valadbeigi, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, 5, 4228–4243.
- 36 M. Baláž, M. Goga, M. Hegedüs, N. Daneu, M. Kováčová, L. Tkáčiková, L. Balážová and M. Bačkor, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 13945–13955.
- 37 J. A. Paguirigan, R. Liu, S. M. Im, J.-S. Hur and W. Kim, *Plant Pathol. J.*, 2022, **38**, 25–32.
- 38 P. Srivastava, D. K. Upreti, T. N. Dhole, A. K. Srivastava and M. T. Nayak, *Interdiscip. Perspect. Infect. Dis.*, 2013, 2013, 709348.
- 39 N. Sharma, S. K. Gautam, A. Adhikari and B. Bhakta Neupane, *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 2024, **11**, 231633.
- 40 K. S. Siddiqi, M. Rashid, A. Rahman, Tajuddin, A. Husen and S. Rehman, *Biomater. Res.*, 2018, 22, 23.
- 41 W. Ahmad, S. Ahmed, S. Kumar and H. C. Joshi, *Chem. Pap.*, 2024, **78**, 8309–8320.

- 42 N. Yıldız, Ç. Ateş, M. Yılmaz, D. Demir, A. Yıldız and A. Calımlı, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2014, **3**, 259–270.
- 43 Z. Çıplak, C. Gökalp, B. Getiren, A. Yıldız and N. Yıldız, Green Process. Synth., 2018, 7, 433–440.
- 44 Centre of Advanced Study in Botany, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, Uttar Pradesh, India, H. Rai, R. K. Gupta and Centre of Advanced Study in Botany, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, Uttar Pradesh, India, *Trop. Plant Res.*, 2019, **6**, 293–298.
- 45 A. Esmaeili and S. Rajaee, *Phytother. Res.*, 2017, **31**, 1590–1599.
- 46 D. Fawcett, J. J. Verduin, M. Shah, S. B. Sharma and G. E. J. Poinern, *J. Nanosci.*, 2017, 2017, 8013850.
- 47 A. Hamad, K. S. Khashan and A. Hadi, J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater., 2020, 30, 4811–4828.
- 48 S. Gurunathan, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2015, 29, 217-226.
- 49 B. Song, C. Zhang, G. Zeng, J. Gong, Y. Chang and Y. Jiang, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 2016, 604, 167–176.
- 50 M. A. Shereen, A. Ahmad, H. Khan, S. M. Satti, A. Kazmi, N. Bashir, M. Shehroz, S. Hussain, M. Ilyas, M. I. Khan, H. A. Niyazi and F. Zouidi, *Heliyon*, 2024, **10**, e28038.
- 51 B. Mahdavi, S. Paydarfard, E. Rezaei-Seresht, M. Baghayeri and M. Nodehi, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2021, 35, e6264.
- 52 N. Manosalva, G. Tortella, M. Cristina Diez, H. Schalchli,
 A. B. Seabra, N. Durán and O. Rubilar, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2019, 35, 88.
- 53 M. J. Ahmed, G. Murtaza, A. Mehmood and T. M. Bhatti, *Mater. Lett.*, 2015, **153**, 10–13.
- 54 M. Govindappa, B. Hemashekhar, M.-K. Arthikala, V. Ravishankar Rai and Y. L. Ramachandra, *Results Phys.*, 2018, 9, 400–408.
- 55 L. Wang, Y. Wu, J. Xie, S. Wu and Z. Wu, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2018, **86**, 1–8.
- 56 S. Fatema, M. Shirsat, M. Farooqui and M. A. Pathan, *Int. J. Nano Dimens.*, 2019, **10**, 163–168.
- 57 D. M. Shanmugavadivu, K. E. Rao, D. N. R. Kar, R. Ranjan, D. K. Vishwakarma and V. K. Mourya, *J. Cardiovasc. Dis. Res.*, 2023, 14, 1739–1758.
- 58 R. Pandit, Nusant. Biosci., 2015, 7, 15-19.
- 59 I. R. Suică-Bunghez, R. M. Senin, A. A. Sorescu, M. Ganciarov, I. Răut, C. Firincă, M. Constantin, I. C. Gifu, R. Stoica, I. Fierăscu and R. C. Fierăscu, *Plants*, 2024, 13, 333.
- 60 G. Das, J. K. Patra, T. Debnath, A. Ansari and H.-S. Shin, *PLoS One*, 2019, **14**, e0220950.
- 61 A. Miri, M. Sarani, M. Rezazade Bazaz and M. Darroudi, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2015, **141**, 287–291.
- 62 M. M. H. Khalil, E. H. Ismail, K. Z. El-Baghdady and D. Mohamed, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2014, 7, 1131–1139.
- 63 D. Nayak, S. Ashe, P. R. Rauta, M. Kumari and B. Nayak, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, C, 2016, 58, 44–52.
- 64 N. G. Girón-Vázquez, C. M. Gómez-Gutiérrez, C. A. Soto-Robles, O. Nava, E. Lugo-Medina, V. H. Castrejón-Sánchez, A. R. Vilchis-Nestor and P. A. Luque, *Results Phys.*, 2019, **13**, 102142.

- 65 A. R. Allafchian, S. Z. Mirahmadi-Zare, S. A. H. Jalali,
 S. S. Hashemi and M. R. Vahabi, *J. Nanostruct. Chem.*, 2016, 6, 129–135.
- 66 S. Pirtarighat, M. Ghannadnia and S. Baghshahi, J. Nanostruct. Chem., 2019, 9, 1-9.
- 67 U. B. Jagtap and V. A. Bapat, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2013, **46**, 132–137.
- 68 H. Abbas Widatalla, L. Fathi Yassin, A. Ahmed Alrasheid, S. A. R. Ahmed, M. Osman Widdatallah, S. Hussein Eltilib and A. Abdulmoneim Mohamed, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2022, 4, 911–915.
- 69 T. R. Anju, S. Parvathy, M. Valiya Veettil, J. Rosemary, T. H. Ansalna, M. M. Shahzabanu and S. Devika, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 2021, 43, 3956–3960.
- 70 P. P. N. Vijay Kumar, S. V. N. Pammi, P. Kollu, K. V. V. Satyanarayana and U. Shameem, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2014, 52, 562–566.
- 71 S. Raja, V. Ramesh and V. Thivaharan, Arabian J. Chem., 2017, 10, 253–261.
- 72 R. Sankar, A. Karthik, A. Prabu, S. Karthik,
 K. S. Shivashangari and V. Ravikumar, *Colloids Surf., B*, 2013, 108, 80–84.
- 73 M. Ghaedi, M. Yousefinejad, M. Safarpoor, H. Z. Khafri and M. K. Purkait, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2015, **31**, 167–172.
- 74 D. A. Kumar, V. Palanichamy and S. M. Roopan, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2014, **127**, 168–171.
- 75 A. Salayová, Z. Bedlovičová, N. Daneu, M. Baláž, Z. Lukáčová Bujňáková, Ľ. Balážová and Ľ. Tkáčiková, *Nanomaterials*, 2021, **11**, 1005.
- 76 R. Golabiazar, K. I. Othman, K. M. Khalid, D. H. Maruf, S. M. Aulla and P. A. Yusif, *BioNanoScience*, 2019, 9, 323– 333.
- 77 R. Singh, C. Hano, G. Nath and B. Sharma, *Biomolecules*, 2021, **11**, 299.
- 78 D. Garibo, H. A. Borbón-Nuñez, J. N. D. de León, E. García Mendoza, I. Estrada, Y. Toledano-Magaña, H. Tiznado, M. Ovalle-Marroquin, A. G. Soto-Ramos, A. Blanco, J. A. Rodríguez, O. A. Romo, L. A. Chávez-Almazán and A. Susarrey-Arce, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 12805.
- 79 G. Maheshwaran, A. N. Bharathi, M. M. Selvi, M. K. Kumar, R. M. Kumar and S. Sudhahar, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, 8, 104137.
- 80 P. Bhuyar, M. H. Ab. Rahim, S. Sundararaju, R. Ramaraj, G. P. Maniam and N. Govindan, *Beni-Suef Univ. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 2020, 9, 3.
- 81 A. V. Domínguez, R. A. Algaba, A. M. Canturri, Á. R. Villodres and Y. Smani, *Antibiotics*, 2020, 9, 36.
- 82 R. Zhang, M. J. Piao, K. C. Kim, A. D. Kim, J.-Y. Choi, J. Choi and J. W. Hyun, *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2012, 44, 224–232.
- 83 X. Wang, B. Wang, Z. Fan, X. Shi, Z.-J. Ke and J. Luo, *Neuroscience*, 2007, **144**, 1045–1056.
- 84 S. Kim and D.-Y. Ryu, J. Appl. Toxicol., 2013, 33, 78-89.
- 85 N. S. Wigginton, A. de Titta, F. Piccapietra, J. Dobias, V. J. Nesatyy, M. J. F. Suter and R. Bernier-Latmani, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, 44, 2163–2168.
- 86 D. Fawcett, J. J. Verduin, M. Shah, S. B. Sharma and G. E. J. Poinern, *J. Nanosci.*, 2017, 2017, 874742.

- 87 S. Rajeshkumar, C. Kannan and G. Annadurai, *Drug Invent. Today*, 2012, 4, 511–513.
- 88 J. Venkatesan, S.-K. Kim and M. S. Shim, *Nanomaterials*, 2016, **6**, 235.
- 89 X. Zhang, X. He, K. Wang and X. Yang, J. Biomed. Nanotechnol., 2011, 7, 245–254.
- 90 A. M. E. Shafey, Green Process. Synth., 2020, 9, 304-339.
- 91 U. K. Parida, S. Das, P. K. Jena, N. Rout and B. K. Bindhani, in *Fabrication and Self-Assembly of Nanobiomaterials*, ed. A. M. Grumezescu, William Andrew Publishing, 2016, pp. 149–177.
- 92 M. Shah, D. Fawcett, S. Sharma, S. K. Tripathy and G. E. J. Poinern, *Materials*, 2015, 8, 7278–7308.
- 93 T. P. Patil, A. A. Vibhute, S. L. Patil, T. D. Dongale and A. P. Tiwari, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2023, 13, 100372.
- 94 P. Vijaya Kumar, S. Mary Jelastin Kala and K. S. Prakash, *Mater. Lett.*, 2019, **236**, 19–22.
- 95 M. M. Poojary, P. Passamonti and A. V. Adhikari, *BioNanoScience*, 2016, 6, 110–120.
- 96 M. A. Awad, N. E. Eisa, P. Virk, A. A. Hendi, K. M. O. O. Ortashi, A. S. A. Mahgoub, M. A. Elobeid and F. Z. Eissa, *Mater. Lett.*, 2019, **256**, 126608.
- 97 C.-G. Yuan, C. Huo, B. Gui and W.-P. Cao, *IET Nanobiotechnol.*, 2017, **11**, 523–530.
- 98 A. A. Aljabali, Y. Akkam, M. S. Al Zoubi, K. M. Al-Batayneh, B. Al-Trad, O. Abo Alrob, A. M. Alkilany, M. Benamara and D. J. Evans, *Nanomaterials*, 2018, 8, 174.
- 99 R. Geetha, T. Ashokkumar, S. Tamilselvan, K. Govindaraju, M. Sadiq and G. Singaravelu, *Cancer Nanotechnol.*, 2013, 4, 91–98.
- 100 N. Thangamani and N. Bhuvaneshwari, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2019, **732**, 136587.
- 101 A. Fouda, A. M. Eid, E. Guibal, M. F. Hamza, S. E.-D. Hassan, D. H. M. Alkhalifah and D. El-Hossary, *Appl. Sci.*, 2022, **12**, 12879.
- 102 M. Keshavamurthy, B. S. Srinath and V. R. Rai, *Part. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **36**, 785–790.
- 103 M. Hamelian, K. Varmira and H. Veisi, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *B*, 2018, **184**, 71–79.
- 104 B. A. Varghese, R. V. R. Nair, S. Jude, K. Varma, A. Amalraj and S. Kuttappan, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **126**, 166–172.
- 105 S. A. Akintelu, B. Yao and A. S. Folorunso, *Plasmonics*, 2021, 16, 157–165.
- 106 J. S. Boruah, C. Devi, U. Hazarika, P. V. B. Reddy, D. Chowdhury, M. Barthakur and P. Kalita, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 28029–28041.
- 107 M. Manikandakrishnan, S. Palanisamy, M. Vinosha, B. Kalanjiaraja, S. Mohandoss, R. Manikandan, M. Tabarsa, S. You and N. M. Prabhu, *J. Drug Delivery Sci. Technol.*, 2019, 54, 101345.
- 108 U. Rambau, N. A. Masevhe and A. Samie, *Inorganics*, 2024, 12, 36.
- 109 R. Geethalakshmi and D. V. L. Sarada, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2013, **51**, 107–115.
- 110 A. Rajan, V. Vilas and D. Philip, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2015, **212**, 331–339.

- 111 A. M. Leyu, S. E. Debebe, A. Bachheti, Y. S. Rawat and R. K. Bachheti, *Sustainability*, 2023, **15**, 9456.
- 112 R. Vijayan, S. Joseph and B. Mathew, *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, 2019, **42**, 305–319.
- 113 G. Suriyakala, S. Sathiyaraj, R. Babujanarthanam, K. M. Alarjani, D. S. Hussein, R. A. Rasheed and K. Kanimozhi, *J. King Saud Univ., Sci.*, 2022, 34, 101830.
- 114 B. S. Bhau, S. Ghosh, S. Puri, B. Borah, D. K. Sarmah and R. Khan, *Adv. Mater. Lett.*, 2015, **6**, 55–58.
- 115 S. Donga, G. R. Bhadu and S. Chanda, Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol., 2020, 48, 1315–1325.
- 116 J. K. Patra, Y. Kwon and K.-H. Baek, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 2016, **27**, 2204–2213.
- 117 S. Vijayakumar, R. Vinayagam, M. A. V. Anand, K. Venkatachalam, K. Saravanakumar, M.-H. Wang, S. Casimeer C, G. Km and E. David, *J. Drug Delivery Sci. Technol.*, 2020, 58, 101786.
- 118 L. R. Hirsch, R. J. Stafford, J. A. Bankson, S. R. Sershen, B. Rivera, R. E. Price, J. D. Hazle, N. J. Halas and J. L. West, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, **100**, 13549– 13554.
- 119 W. Cai, T. Gao, H. Hong and J. Sun, *Nanotechnol., Sci. Appl.*, 2008, **1**, 17–32.
- 120 N. M. Ishak, S. K. Kamarudin and S. N. Timmiati, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2019, **6**, 112004.
- 121 N. Yang, L. WeiHong and L. Hao, *Mater. Lett.*, 2014, **134**, 67–70.
- 122 T. Bennur, Z. Khan, R. Kshirsagar, V. Javdekar and S. Zinjarde, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 233, 684–690.
- 123 A. Chauhan, S. Zubair, S. Tufail, A. Sherwani, M. Sajid, S. C. Raman, A. Azam and M. Owais, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2011, 2305–2319.
- 124 M. M. H. Khalil, E. H. Ismail and F. El-Magdoub, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2012, 5, 431–437.
- 125 L. Castillo-Henríquez, K. Alfaro-Aguilar, J. Ugalde-Álvarez, L. Vega-Fernández, G. Montes de Oca-Vásquez and J. R. Vega-Baudrit, *Nanomaterials*, 2020, **10**, 1763.
- 126 P. Khademi-Azandehi and J. Moghaddam, *Particuology*, 2015, **19**, 22–26.
- 127 P. B. Santhosh, J. Genova and H. Chamati, *Chemistry*, 2022, 4, 345–369.
- 128 H. C. A. Murthy, T. Desalegn, M. Kassa, B. Abebe and T. Assefa, *J. Nanomater.*, 2020, **2020**, e3924081.
- 129 M. I. Din and R. Rehan, Anal. Lett., 2017, 50, 50-62.
- 130 M. Zahran, Mater. Adv., 2024, 5, 68-82.
- 131 F. F. Habibah, W. O. S. Rizki, A. L. Ivansyah, D. I. Astuti and R. Hertadi, *Heliyon*, 2024, **10**, e24242.
- 132 B. Hu, R. Liu, Q. Liu, Y. Shi, J. Li, L. Wang, L. Li, X. Xiao and Y. Wu, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2022, 10, 2357–2383.
- 133 M. C. Crisan, M. Teodora and M. Lucian, *Appl. Sci.*, 2022, 12, 141.
- 134 M. W. Amer and A. M. Awwad, Chem. Int., 2021, 7, 1-8.
- 135 P. Kaur, R. Thakur and A. Chaudhury, *Green Chem. Lett. Rev.*, 2016, **9**, 33–38.
- 136 A. N. Labaran, Z. U. Zango, G. Tailor, A. Alsadig, F. Usman, M. T. Mukhtar, A. M. Garba, R. Alhathlool, K. H. Ibnaouf and O. A. Aldaghri, *Sci. Rep.*, 2024, 14, 5589.

- 137 V. Tamil Elakkiya, R. V. Meenakshi, P. Senthil Kumar, V. Karthik, K. Ravi Shankar, P. Sureshkumar and A. Hanan, *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **19**, 1313–1322.
- 138 S. C. Mali, A. Dhaka, C. K. Githala and R. Trivedi, *Biotechnol. Rep.*, 2020, 27, e00518.
- 139 M. Ramzan, R. M. Obodo, S. Mukhtar, S. Z. Ilyas, F. Aziz and N. Thovhogi, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 2021, **36**, 576–581.
- 140 R. Tahvilian, M. M. Zangeneh, H. Falahi, K. Sadrjavadi, A. R. Jalalvand and A. Zangeneh, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2019, 33, e5234.
- 141 R. Khani, B. Roostaei, G. Bagherzade and M. Moudi, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2018, **255**, 541–549.
- 142 M. Ashokkumar, K. Palanisamy, A. Ganesh Kumar, C. Muthusamy and K. J. Senthil Kumar, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2024, 52, 438–448.
- 143 M. Kumar, D. Kaushik, A. Kumar, P. Gupta, C. Proestos, E. Oz, E. Orhan, J. Kaur, M. R. Khan, T. Elobeid, M. Bordiga and F. Oz, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2023, 58, 2883–2892.
- 144 A. Tahir, C. Quispe, J. Herrera-Bravo, H. Iqbal, Z. ul Haq, F. Anum, Z. Javed, A. Sehar and J. Sharifi-Rad, *Bull. Natl. Res. Cent.*, 2022, 46, 188.
- 145 V. Gopalakrishnan and S. Muniraj, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 2021, **36**, 832-836.
- 146 S. Wu, S. Rajeshkumar, M. Madasamy and V. Mahendran, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2020, **48**, 1153–1158.
- 147 J. Shanmugapriya, C. A. Reshma, V. Srinidhi, K. Harithpriya, K. M. Ramkumar, D. Umpathy, K. Gunasekaran and R. Subashini, *J. Nanomater.*, 2022, 2022, 7967294.
- 148 H. Liu, G. Wang, J. Liu, K. Nan, J. Zhang, L. Guo and Y. Liu, *J. Exp. Nanosci.*, 2021, **16**, 410–423.
- 149 Y. T. Prabhu, K. Venkateswara Rao, V. Sesha Sai and T. Pavani, *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **21**, 180–185.
- 150 S.-M. Hasheminya and J. Dehghannya, *Part. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **38**, 1019–1026.
- 151 A. H. Keihan, H. Veisi and H. Veasi, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2017, **31**, e3642.
- 152 P. Punniyakotti, P. Panneerselvam, D. Perumal, R. Aruliah and S. Angaiah, *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, 2020, 43, 1649– 1657.
- 153 S. K. Chandraker, M. Lal, M. K. Ghosh, V. Tiwari, T. K. Ghorai and R. Shukla, *Nano Express*, 2020, **1**, 010033.
- 154 K. M. Rajesh, B. Ajitha, Y. A. K. Reddy, Y. Suneetha and P. S. Reddy, *Optik*, 2018, **154**, 593–600.
- 155 S. Sharma and K. Kumar, *J. Dispersion Sci. Technol.*, 2021, 42, 1950–1962.
- 156 I. Alao, I. Oyekunle, K. Iwuozor and E. Emenike, *Adv. J. Chem., Sect. B*, 2022, 4(1), 39–52.
- 157 E. Peterson and P. Kaur, Front. Microbiol., 2018, 9, 2928.
- 158 L. T. Bagheri, F. Shahcheraghi and F. Shooraj, *Jundishapur J. Microbiol.*, 2013, **6**, 29–35.
- 159 S. Mahmoodi, A. Elmi and S. Hallaj-Nezhadi, *J. Mol. Pharm.* Org. Process Res., 2018, 6, 1–7.
- 160 M. Kumar, D. Kaushik, A. Kumar, H. Krishnan, F. Oz, C. Proestos, A. Hashem and E. F. Abd Allah, *Heliyon*, 2024, 10, e29433.

- 161 M. B. Gawande, A. Goswami, F.-X. Felpin, T. Asefa, X. Huang, R. Silva, X. Zou, R. Zboril and R. S. Varma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 3722–3811.
- 162 S. Adamu, N. Y. Pindiga, A. H. Nuhu, A. Ibrahim and M. S. Yakubu, *Sci. World J.*, 2024, **19**, 279–283.
- 163 P. Pino, F. Bosco, C. Mollea and B. Onida, *Pharmaceutics*, 2023, **15**, 970.
- 164 V. Parihar, M. Raja and R. Paulose, *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.*, 2018, **53**, 119–130.
- 165 A. Elbrolesy, Y. Abdou, F. A. Elhussiny and R. Morsy, J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater., 2023, 33, 3750–3759.
- 166 C. Mahendra, M. Murali, G. Manasa, P. Ponnamma, M. R. Abhilash, T. R. Lakshmeesha, A. Satish, K. N. Amruthesh and M. S. Sudarshana, *Microb. Pathog.*, 2017, **110**, 620–629.
- 167 L. K. Edison and N. S. Pradeep, in *Green Nanoparticles:* Synthesis and Biomedical Applications, ed. J. K. Patra, L. F. Fraceto, G. Das and E. V. R. Campos, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020, pp. 371–384.
- 168 M. MuthuKathija, M. Sheik Muhideen Badhusha and V. Rama, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2023, **15**, 100400.
- 169 H. Agarwal, S. Venkat Kumar and S. Rajeshkumar, *Resour.-Effic. Technol.*, 2017, **3**, 406–413.
- 170 M. Shu, B. Mahdavi, A. Balčiūnaitienė, S. Goorani and A. A. Mahdavi, *Micro Nano Lett.*, 2023, **18**, e12157.
- 171 A. Kumar, C. Khatana, D. Kumar and A. Kumari, *J. Pure Appl. Microbiol.*, 2021, **15**, 1907–1914.
- 172 Y. H. I. Mohammed, S. Alghamdi, B. Jabbar, D. Marghani,
 S. Beigh, A. S. Abouzied, N. E. Khalifa, W. M. A. Khojali,
 B. Huwaimel, D. H. M. Alkhalifah and W. N. Hozzein,
 ACS Omega, 2023, 8, 32027–32042.
- 173 P. Wang, L. Jiang and R. Han, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2020, 7, 095010.
- 174 K. Elumalai and S. Velmurugan, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, 345, 329–336.
- 175 M. Ramesh, M. Anbuvannan and G. Viruthagiri, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2015, 136, 864–870.
- 176 M. Rajeswari and P. Agrawal, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B Biol. Sci., 2020, 90, 989–996.
- 177 S. M. H. Akhter, V. U. Siddiqui, S. Ahmad, D. Husain, S. Naeem and M. T. Alam, *Nano-Struct. Nano-Objects*, 2024, 38, 101158.
- 178 A. K. Khajuria, F. Chowdhary and N. S. Bisht, *Journal of Mountain Research*, 2020, **15**, 135–140.
- 179 U. L. Ifeanyichukwu, O. E. Fayemi and C. N. Ateba, *Molecules*, 2020, **25**, 4521.
- 180 J. Iqbal, B. A. Abbasi, T. Yaseen, S. A. Zahra, A. Shahbaz, S. A. Shah, S. Uddin, X. Ma, B. Raouf, S. Kanwal, W. Amin, T. Mahmood, H. A. El-Serehy and P. Ahmad, *Sci. Rep.*, 2021, **11**, 20988.
- 181 A. M. Pillai, V. S. Sivasankarapillai, A. Rahdar, J. Joseph, F. Sadeghfar, R. Anuf A, K. Rajesh and G. Z. Kyzas, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2020, **1211**, 128107.
- 182 A. Raja, S. Ashokkumar, R. Pavithra Marthandam, J. Jayachandiran, C. P. Khatiwada, K. Kaviyarasu, R. Ganapathi Raman and M. Swaminathan, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *B*, 2018, **181**, 53–58.

- 183 J. Ashwini, T. R. Aswathy, A. B. Rahul, G. M. Thara and A. S. Nair, *Catalysts*, 2021, **11**, 1507.
- 184 N. Senthilkumar, E. Nandhakumar, P. Priya, D. Soni, M. Vimalan and I. V. Potheher, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, 41, 10347–10356.
- 185 D. M. B. Vanarotti and D. C. P. Jawahar, *Migr. Lett.*, 2023, **20**, 216–224.
- 186 N. Bala, S. Saha, M. Chakraborty, M. Maiti, S. Das, R. Basu and P. Nandy, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 4993–5003.
- 187 P. Kumar Pal, Md. Sarifujjaman, P. Saha, S. M. Mahbubur Rahman, Md. Emdadul Islam, B. Ahmmad, K. Md. Rezaul Karim and Md. Mahiuddin, *ChemistryOpen*, 2024, 13, e202400102.
- 188 J. Santhoshkumar, S. V. Kumar and S. Rajeshkumar, *Resour.-Effic. Technol.*, 2017, **3**, 459–465.
- 189 S. Vijayakumar, S. Mahadevan, P. Arulmozhi, S. Sriram and P. K. Praseetha, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.*, 2018, 82, 39– 45.
- 190 Sachin, Jaishree, N. Singh, R. Singh, K. Shah and B. K. Pramanik, *Chemosphere*, 2023, **32**7, 138497.
- 191 E. E. Imade, T. O. Ajiboye, A. E. Fadiji, D. C. Onwudiwe and
 O. O. Babalola, *Sci. Afr.*, 2022, 16, e01152.
- 192 A. Raza, P. Malan, I. Ahmad, A. Khan, M. Haris, Z. Zahid, M. Jameel, A. Ahmad, C. Shekhar Seth, T. A. Y. Asseri, M. Hashem and F. Ahmad, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, 14, 17535–17546.
- 193 P. Ramesh, K. Saravanan, P. Manogar, J. Johnson, E. Vinoth and M. Mayakannan, *Sens. BioSensing Res.*, 2021, **31**, 100399.
- 194 S. O. Ogunyemi, Y. Abdallah, M. Zhang, H. Fouad, X. Hong, E. Ibrahim, Md. M. I. Masum, A. Hossain, J. Mo and B. Li, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2019, 47, 341–352.
- 195 J. L. Lopez-Miranda, G. A. Molina, M. A. González-Reyna, B. L. España-Sánchez, R. Esparza, R. Silva and M. Estévez, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, 24, 1474.
- 196 B. Mohapatra, S. Choudhary, S. Mohapatra and N. Sharma, *Mater. Today Commun.*, 2023, **34**, 105083.
- 197 S. Nair, A. Sasidharan, V. V. Divya Rani, D. Menon, S. Nair, K. Manzoor and S. Raina, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.*, 2009, 20, 235–241.
- 198 R. Goyal, P. Roy and P. Jeevanandam, *Appl. Phys. A*, 2023, **129**, 244.
- 199 B. Mohapatra, S. Mohapatra and N. Sharma, *Ceram. Int.*, 2023, **49**, 20218–20233.
- 200 R. Dadi, R. Azouani, M. Traore, C. Mielcarek and A. Kanaev, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2019, **104**, 109968.
- 201 A. Lipovsky, Y. Nitzan, A. Gedanken and R. Lubart, *Nanotechnology*, 2011, 22, 105101.
- 202 G. Fu, P. S. Vary and C.-T. Lin, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 8889-8898.
- 203 A. Sirelkhatim, S. Mahmud, A. Seeni, N. H. M. Kaus, L. C. Ann, S. K. M. Bakhori, H. Hasan and D. Mohamad, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2015, 7, 219–242.
- 204 M. Lal, P. Sharma and C. Ram, *Results Opt.*, 2023, 10, 100371.
- 205 B. R. Khanam, M. T. Basavaraj Angadi, P. Kumar, B. Singh and U. V. Khadke, *Ceram. Int.*, 2024, **50**, 5552–5561.

- 206 H. J. Imran, K. A. Hubeatir and K. A. Aadim, *Nanostruct. Mater*, 2023, 1, 3.
- 207 A. R. Maheo, S. M. Vithiya B, A. Arul Prasad T,
 V. L. Mangesh, T. Perumal, W. H. Al-Qahtani and
 M. Govindasamy, *ACS Omega*, 2023, 8, 10954–10967.
- 208 A. Zuhrotun, D. J. Oktaviani and A. N. Hasanah, *Molecules*, 2023, **28**, 3240.
- 209 P. Basnet, T. I. Chanu, D. Samanta and S. Chatterjee, J. *Photochem. Photobiol.*, B, 2018, **183**, 201–221.
- 210 A. R. Prasad, L. Williams, J. Garvasis, K. O. Shamsheera, S. M. Basheer, M. Kuruvilla and A. Joseph, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2021, 331, 115805.
- 211 A. Umamaheswari, S. L. Prabu, S. A. John and A. Puratchikody, *Biotechnol. Rep.*, 2021, **29**, e00595.
- 212 P. Sharma, M. Urfan, R. Anand, M. Sangral, H. R. Hakla, S. Sharma, R. Das, S. Pal and M. Bhagat, *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants*, 2022, 28, 363–381.
- 213 L. Umaralikhan and M. Jamal Mohamed Jaffar, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.*, 2018, **42**, 477–485.
- 214 M. Amina, N. M. Al Musayeib, N. A. Alarfaj, M. F. El-Tohamy, H. F. Oraby, G. A. Al Hamoud, S. I. Bukhari and N. M. Moubayed, *PLoS One*, 2020, 15, e0237567.
- 215 H. Fahmy, M. El-Hakim, D. Nady, Y. Elkaramany,
 F. Mohamed, A. Yasien, M. Moustafa, B. Elmsery and
 H. Yousef, *Nanomed. J.*, 2022, 9, 1–14.
- 216 A. Akshaykranth, N. Jayarambabu, V. R. Tumu and R. K. Rajaboina, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater.*, 2021, 31, 2393–2400.
- 217 A. Fatiqin, H. Amrulloh and W. Simanjuntak, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.*, 2021, 35, 161–170.
- 218 B. Das, S. Moumita, S. Ghosh, M. I. Khan, D. Indira, R. Jayabalan, S. K. Tripathy, A. Mishra and P. Balasubramanian, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, C, 2018, 91, 436–444.
- 219 Kainat, M. A. Khan, F. Ali, S. Faisal, M. Rizwan, Z. Hussain, N. Zaman, Z. Afsheen, M. N. Uddin and N. Bibi, *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.*, 2021, 28, 5157–5167.
- 220 A. Nadeem, Sumbal, J. S. Ali, M. Latif, Z. F. Rizvi, S. Naz, A. Mannan and M. Zia, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, 271, 124900.
- 221 P. V. Patil, N. A. Nerlekar, A. R. Kuldeep, P. P. Patil,
 P. B. Dandge, T. D. Dongale, P. B. Dandge and
 G. S. Rashinkar, *Plant Nano Biol.*, 2024, 8, 100069.
- 222 R. Ali, Z. J. Shanan, G. M. Saleh and Q. Abass, *Iraqi J. Sci.*, 2020, 266–276.
- 223 S. Narendhran, M. Manikandan and P. B. Shakila, *Bull. Mater. Sci.*, 2019, **42**, 133.
- 224 S. Rahmani-Nezhad, S. Dianat, M. Saeedi and A. Hadjiakhoondi, *J. Nanoanalysis*, 2017, **4**, 290–298.
- 225 N. M. Al Musayeib, M. Amina, F. Maqsood, K. A. Bokhary and N. S. Alrashidi, *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.*, 2024, **2024**, 8180102.
- 226 R. Prasanth, S. D. Kumar, A. Jayalakshmi, G. Singaravelu,
 K. Govindaraju and V. G. Kumar, *Indian J. Mar. Sci.*, 2019,
 48, 1210–1215.
- 227 M. I. Khan, M. N. Akhtar, N. Ashraf, J. Najeeb, H. Munir,
 T. I. Awan, M. B. Tahir and M. R. Kabli, *Appl. Nanosci.*,
 2020, 10, 2351–2364.

View Article Online Nanoscale Advances

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM.

- 228 A. Saka, L. T. Jule, L. Gudata, A. Gindaba, S. S. Abdisa,
 N. Nagaprasad and K. Ramaswamy, *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*,
 2022, 2022, 7134991.
- 229 A. Fouda, K. S. Alshallash, M. I. Alghonaim, A. M. Eid, A. M. Alemam, M. A. Awad and M. F. Hamza, *Chemistry*, 2023, 5, 2009–2024.
- 230 S. Ali, K. G. Sudha, N. Thirumalaivasan, M. Ahamed,
 S. Pandiaraj, V. D. Rajeswari, Y. Vinayagam,
 M. Thiruvengadam and R. Govindasamy, *Bioengineering*, 2023, 10, 302.
- 231 K. Ramanujam and M. Sundrarajan, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 2014, **141**, 296–300.
- 232 I. Y. Younis, S. S. El-Hawary, O. A. Eldahshan, M. M. Abdel-Aziz and Z. Y. Ali, *Sci. Rep.*, 2021, **11**, 16868.
- 233 T. B. Nijalingappa, M. K. Veeraiah, R. B. Basavaraj,G. P. Darshan, S. C. Sharma and H. Nagabhushana, *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.*, 2019, 18, 100991.
- 234 B. Y. Hirphaye, N. B. Bonka, A. M. Tura and G. M. Fanta, *Appl. Water Sci.*, 2023, **13**, 175.
- 235 J. Suresh, G. Pradheesh, V. Alexramani, M. Sundrarajan and S. I. Hong, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 2018, **29**, 1685–1694.
- 236 S. Vijayakumar, V. N. Punitha and N. Parameswari, *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.*, 2022, **47**, 6729–6734.
- 237 I. Zare, D. M. Chevrier, A. Cifuentes-Rius, N. Moradi, Y. Xianyu, S. Ghosh, L. Trapiella-Alfonso, Y. Tian, A. Shourangiz-Haghighi and S. Mukherjee, *Mater. Today*, 2023, 66, 159–193.
- 238 O. Akhavan and E. Ghaderi, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 5731-5736.
- 239 M. Ramezani Farani, M. Farsadrooh, I. Zare, A. Gholami and O. Akhavan, *Catalysts*, 2023, **13**, 642.
- 240 O. Akhavan and E. Ghaderi, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2010, **205**, 219–223.
- 241 S. Abinaya, H. P. Kavitha, M. Prakash and A. Muthukrishnaraj, *Sustainable Chem. Pharm.*, 2021, **19**, 100368.
- 242 Q. M. Jebur, A. Hashim and M. A. Habeeb, *Trans. Electr. Electron. Mater.*, 2019, **20**, 334–343.
- 243 E. Behzadi, R. Sarsharzadeh, M. Nouri, F. Attar, K. Akhtari, K. Shahpasand and M. Falahati, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2019, **14**, 257–270.
- 244 J. Chen, L. Wu, M. Lu, S. Lu, Z. Li and W. Ding, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2020, **11**, 365.
- 245 A. H. Wani and M. A. Shah, J. Appl. Pharm. Sci., 2012, 40-44.
- 246 J. Hornak, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2021, 22, 12752.
- 247 N. T. T. Nguyen, L. M. Nguyen, T. T. T. Nguyen, U. P. Tran,D. T. C. Nguyen and T. Van Tran, *Chemosphere*, 2023, 312, 137301.
- 248 J. Jeevanandam, Y. S. Chan and M. K. Danquah, *3 Biotech*, 2020, **10**, 489.
- 249 J. Ma and A. Manthiram, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 3187-3189.
- 250 W. Y. Li, L. N. Xu and J. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 851–857.
- 251 X. Wang, X. Chen, L. Gao, H. Zheng, Z. Zhang and Y. Qian, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 16401–16404.
- 252 V. R. Shinde, S. B. Mahadik, T. P. Gujar and C. D. Lokhande, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2006, **252**, 7487–7492.

- 253 G. B. Smith, A. Ignatiev and G. Zajac, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1980, **51**, 4186–4196.
- 254 M. Saeed, M. Muneer, N. Mumtaz, M. Siddique, N. Akram and M. Hamayun, *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **26**, 1264–1269.
- 255 R. Koyyati, K. Kudle and P. R. M. Padigya, *Int. J. PharmTech Res.*, 2016, **9**, 466–472.
- 256 P. Ahuja, S. K. Ujjain, R. Kanojia and P. Attri, *J. Compos. Sci.*, 2021, **5**, 82.
- 257 K. Ahmed, I. Tariq and S. U. S. M. Mudassir, *Pure Appl. Biol.*, 2021, 5, 453–457.
- 258 A. S. Adekunle, J. A. O. Oyekunle, L. M. Durosinmi, O. S. Oluwafemi, D. S. Olayanju, A. S. Akinola, O. R. Obisesan, O. F. Akinyele and T. A. Ajayeoba, *Nano-Struct. Nano-Objects*, 2020, 21, 100405.
- 259 C. Dong, X. Xiao, G. Chen, H. Guan and Y. Wang, *Mater. Lett.*, 2014, **123**, 187–190.
- 260 R. Itteboina and T. K. Sau, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 2019, 9, 458–467.
- 261 F. S. Taghavi, A. Ramazani, Z. Golfar and J. S. Woo, J. Appl. Chem. Res., 2017, 11(3), 19–27.
- 262 T. Shahzadi, M. Zaib, T. Riaz, S. Shehzadi, M. A. Abbasi and M. Shahid, *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, 44, 6435–6444.
- 263 Y. A. M. Esa and N. Sapawe, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 2020, **31**, 378–385.
- 264 N. Akhlaghi, G. Najafpour-Darzi and H. Younesi, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 2020, **31**, 3562–3569.
- 265 M. Hafeez, R. Shaheen, B. Akram, Z. Abdin, S. Haq, S. Mahsud, S. Ali and R. T. Khan, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2020, 7, 025019.
- 266 R. Govindasamy, V. Raja, S. Singh, M. Govindarasu, S. Sabura, K. Rekha, V. D. Rajeswari, S. S. Alharthi, M. Vaiyapuri, R. Sudarmani, S. Jesurani, B. Venkidasamy and M. Thiruvengadam, *Molecules*, 2022, 27, 5646.
- 267 F. K. Sabir, E. T. Bekele, B. A. Gonfa, G. D. Edossa and A. T. Adino, *J. Nanostruct.*, 2021, **11**, 577–587.
- 268 F. E. Ghadi, A. R. Ghara and A. Naeimi, *Chem. Pap.*, 2018, 72, 2859–2869.
- 269 L. A. Kolahalam, K. R. S. Prasad, P. M. Krishna and N. Supraja, *Results Chem.*, 2024, 7, 101367.
- 270 B. Mahdavi, S. Paydarfard, M. M. Zangeneh, S. Goorani, N. Seydi and A. Zangeneh, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2020, 34, e5248.
- 271 P. Chelliah, S. M. Wabaidur, H. P. Sharma, M. J. Jweeg, H. S. Majdi, M. M. R. A. L. Kubaisy, A. Iqbal and W.-C. Lai, *Water*, 2023, 15, 910.
- 272 S. Y. Saeed, K. Mazhar, L. Raees, A. Mukhtiar, F. Khan and M. Khan, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2022, **9**, 105001.
- 273 G. T. Parethe, P. Rajesh, P. Nathiya, M. Balaji, S. Kavica,
 G. T. Parethe, P. Rajesh, P. Nathiya, M. Balaji and
 S. Kavica, *World J. Adv. Res. Rev.*, 2023, 19, 001–009.
- 274 C. T. Anuradha and P. Raji, Appl. Phys. A, 2021, 127, 55.
- 275 N. Mubraiz, A. Bano, T. Mahmood and N. Khan, *Agronomy*, 2021, **11**, 1607.
- 276 M. Zaib, T. Shahzadi, I. Muzammal and U. Farooq, *Inorg. Nano-Met. Chem.*, 2020, **50**, 1171–1180.
- 277 T. Habeeb, M. S. Aljohani, R. Kebeish, A. Al-Badwy and A. H. Bashal, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2024, **1313**, 138714.

278 K. M. Gendo, R. Feyisa Bogale and G. Kenasa, *ACS Omega*, 2024, **9**, 28354–28371.

Nanoscale Advances

- 279 C. T. Anuradha and P. Raji, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2019, 6, 095063.
- 280 A. T. Khalil, M. Ovais, I. Ullah, M. Ali, Z. K. Shinwari and M. Maaza, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2020, **13**, 606–619.
- 281 B. A. Abbasi, J. Iqbal, Z. Khan, R. Ahmad, S. Uddin, A. Shahbaz, S. A. Zahra, M. Shaukat, F. Kiran, S. Kanwal and T. Mahmood, *Microsc. Res. Tech.*, 2021, 84, 192–201.
- 282 S. Dubey, J. Kumar, A. Kumar and Y. C. Sharma, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 2018, **29**, 2583–2590.
- 283 J. Iqbal, B. A. Abbasi, R. Batool, A. T. Khalil, S. Hameed, S. Kanwal, I. Ullah and T. Mahmood, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2019, 6, 115407.
- 284 I. K. Kgosiemang, R. Lefojane, P. Direko, Z. Madlanga, S. Mashele and M. Sekhoacha, *Inorg. Nano-Met. Chem.*, 2020, 50, 1070–1080.
- 285 A. Waris, M. Din, A. Ali, S. Afridi, A. Baset, A. U. Khan and M. Ali, *Open Life Sci.*, 2021, **16**, 14–30.
- 286 I. Khan, K. Saeed and I. Khan, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2019, **12**, 908–931.
- 287 P. Singh, Y.-J. Kim, D. Zhang and D.-C. Yang, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2016, **34**, 588–599.
- 288 S. Kharade, G. Nikam, S. Mane-Gavade, S. Patil and K. Gaikwad, *Res. J. Chem. Environ.*, 2020, **24**, 9–13.
- 289 S. Ahmad, S. Munir, N. Zeb, A. Ullah, B. Khan, J. Ali, M. Bilal, M. Omer, M. Alamzeb and S. M. Salman, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2019, 5087–5107.
- 290 A. Imtiyaz, A. Singh and R. Gaur, *BioNanoScience*, 2024, **14**, 3536–3554.
- 291 N. Seydi, S. Saneei, A. R. Jalalvand, M. M. Zangeneh, A. Zangeneh, R. Tahvilian and E. Pirabbasi, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2019, 33, e5191.
- 292 S. A. Korde, P. B. Thombre, S. S. Dipake, J. N. Sangshetti, A. S. Rajbhoj and S. T. Gaikwad, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **153**, 110777.
- 293 S. Jafarzadeh and S. M. Jafari, *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 2021, **61**, 2640–2658.
- 294 N. K. Sethy, Z. Arif, P. K. Mishra and P. Kumar, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2020, **9**, 171–181.
- 295 S. Habib, F. Rashid, H. Tahir, I. Liaqat, A. A. Latif, S. Naseem, A. Khalid, N. Haider, U. Hani and R. A. Dawoud, *Microorganisms*, 2023, **11**, 1363.
- 296 A. Ansari, V. U. Siddiqui, W. U. Rehman, M. K. Akram, W. A. Siddiqi, A. M. Alosaimi, M. A. Hussein and M. Rafatullah, *Catalysts*, 2022, **12**, 181.
- 297 V. H. Rathi and A. R. Jeice, Chem. Phys. Impact, 2023, 6, 100197.
- 298 W. Ahmad, K. K. Jaiswal and S. Soni, *Inorg. Nano-Met. Chem.*, 2020, **50**, 1032–1038.
- 299 M. D. Dilika, G. M. Fanta and T. Tański, *Materials*, 2024, **17**, 5835.
- 300 M. A. Irshad, R. Nawaz, M. Zia ur Rehman, M. Imran, J. Ahmad, S. Ahmad, A. Inam, A. Razzaq, M. Rizwan and S. Ali, *Chemosphere*, 2020, 258, 127352.
- 301 D. Anbumani, K. vizhi Dhandapani, J. Manoharan,R. Babujanarthanam, A. K. H. Bashir, K. Muthusamy,

A. Alfarhan and K. Kanimozhi, *J. King Saud Univ., Sci.*, 2022, **34**, 101896.

- 302 K. Chand, D. Cao, D. E. Fouad, A. H. Shah, M. N. Lakhan,
 A. Q. Dayo, H. J. Sagar, K. Zhu and A. M. A. Mohamed, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2020, **316**, 113821.
- 303 S. Vijayakumar, E. Vidhya, G. C. Anand, M. Nilavukkarasi, V. N. Punitha and B. Sakthivel, *Vegetos*, 2020, 33, 805–810.
- 304 S. Subhapriya and P. Gomathipriya, *Microb. Pathog.*, 2018, **116**, 215–220.
- 305 P. O. Akinola, A. Lateef, T. B. Asafa, L. S. Beukes, A. S. Hakeem and H. M. Irshad, *Heliyon*, 2020, 6, e04610.
- 306 G. Rajakumar, A. A. Rahuman, S. M. Roopan, I.-M. Chung, K. Anbarasan and V. Karthikeyan, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2015, **114**, 571–581.
- 307 M. Alavi and N. Karimi, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2018, **46**, 2066–2081.
- 308 T. Santhoshkumar, A. A. Rahuman, C. Jayaseelan, G. Rajakumar, S. Marimuthu, A. V. Kirthi, K. Velayutham, J. Thomas, J. Venkatesan and S.-K. Kim, *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.*, 2014, 7, 968–976.
- 309 E. T. Bekele, B. A. Gonfa, O. A. Zelekew, H. H. Belay and F. K. Sabir, *J. Nanomater.*, 2020, 2020, 2817037.
- 310 O. Ouerghi, M. H. Geesi, Y. Riadi and E. O. Ibnouf, *Green Chem. Lett. Rev.*, 2022, **15**, 483–490.
- 311 B. K. Thakur, A. Kumar and D. Kumar, South Afr. J. Bot., 2019, 124, 223–227.
- 312 R. D. Abdul Jalill, IET Nanobiotechnol., 2018, 12, 678–687.
- 313 S. Rajeshkumar, J. Santhoshkumar, L. T. Jule and K. Ramaswamy, *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.*, 2021, 2021, 6267634.
- 314 O. M. Ali, M. S. Hasanin, W. B. Suleiman, E. E.-H. Helal and A. H. Hashem, *Biomass Convers. Biorefinery*, 2024, 14, 6987– 6998.
- 315 J. Rajkumari, C. M. Magdalane, B. Siddhardha, J. Madhavan, G. Ramalingam, N. A. Al-Dhabi, M. V. Arasu, A. K. M. Ghilan, V. Duraipandiayan and K. Kaviyarasu, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B, 2019, 201, 111667.
- 316 L. V. Srinivasan and S. S. Rana, *Discov. Appl. Sci.*, 2024, 6, 371.
- 317 D. Rajeswari, E. Eed, A. Elfasakhany, I. Badruddin,
 S. Kamangar and B. Kathirvel, *Appl. Nanosci.*, 2023, 13, 1477–1484.
- 318 L. Wang, C. Hu and L. Shao, Int. J. Nanomed., 2017, 12, 1227–1249.
- 319 S. Maiti, Int. J. Dent. Oral Sci., 2020, 21-26.
- 320 J. Joseph, in *Inorganic Nanosystems*, ed. M. S. Hasnain, A. K. Nayak and T. M. Aminabhavi, Academic Press, 2023, pp. 253–278.
- 321 P. F. de Oliveira, R. M. Torresi, F. Emmerling and P. H. Camargo, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 16114–16141.
- 322 D. Achudhan, S. Vijayakumar, B. Malaikozhundan, M. Divya, M. Jothirajan, K. Subbian, Z. I. González-Sánchez, S. Mahboob, K. A. Al-Ghanim and B. Vaseeharan, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 104521.
- 323 N. Ajinkya, X. Yu, P. Kaithal, H. Luo, P. Somani and S. Ramakrishna, *Materials*, 2020, **13**, 4644.

- Review
- 324 R. Singaravelan and S. Bangaru Sudarsan Alwar, *Appl. Nanosci.*, 2015, **5**, 983–991.
- 325 M. Aslam, A. Z. Abdullah and M. Rafatullah, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2021, **98**, 1–16.
- 326 M. G. Correa, F. B. Martínez, C. P. Vidal, C. Streitt, J. Escrig and C. L. de Dicastillo, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, 2020, **11**, 1450–1469.
- 327 B. C. Dickinson and C. J. Chang, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2011, 7, 504–511.
- 328 J. Yu, W. Zhang, Y. Li, G. Wang, L. Yang, J. Jin, Q. Chen and M. Huang, *Biomed. Mater.*, 2014, **10**, 015001.
- 329 A. Kessler, P. Huang, E. Blomberg and I. Odnevall, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, 2023, **36**, 1891–1900.
- 330 T. Liu, B. Xiao, F. Xiang, J. Tan, Z. Chen, X. Zhang, C. Wu, Z. Mao, G. Luo and X. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 2788.
- 331 Y. Li, W. Zhang, J. Niu and Y. Chen, *ACS Nano*, 2012, 6, 5164–5173.
- 332 S. Alfei, G. C. Schito, A. M. Schito and G. Zuccari, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2024, 25, 7182.
- 333 A. Abdal Dayem, M. K. Hossain, S. B. Lee, K. Kim,
 S. K. Saha, G.-M. Yang, H. Y. Choi and S.-G. Cho, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2017, 18, 120.
- 334 P. Rossner Jr, T. Cervena, B. Echalar, K. Palacka, A. Milcova, Z. Novakova, M. Sima, Z. Simova, J. Vankova and V. Holan, *Toxics*, 2023, **11**, 253.
- 335 M. Alavi and R. Yarani, Nano Micro Biosyst., 2023, 2, 22-30.
- 336 M. P. Brynildsen, J. A. Winkler, C. S. Spina, I. C. MacDonald and J. J. Collins, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **31**, 160–165.
- 337 F. Gao, T. Shao, Y. Yu, Y. Xiong and L. Yang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 745.
- 338 N. A. R. Gow, J.-P. Latge and C. A. Munro, *Microbiol. Spectr.*, 2017, 5, 101–128.
- 339 Z. Liu, M. Zhang, X. Han, H. Xu, B. Zhang, Q. Yu and M. Li, *Chem. -Biol. Interact.*, 2016, **252**, 9–18.
- 340 H. Li, Q. Chen, J. Zhao and K. Urmila, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 11033.
- 341 I. Armentano, C. R. Arciola, E. Fortunati, D. Ferrari, S. Mattioli, C. F. Amoroso, J. Rizzo, J. M. Kenny, M. Imbriani and L. Visai, *Sci. World J.*, 2014, 2014, 1–18.
- 342 B. Luan, T. Huynh and R. Zhou, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 5750– 5754.
- 343 R. Di Pasqua, G. Betts, N. Hoskins, M. Edwards, D. Ercolini and G. Mauriello, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2007, 55, 4863–4870.
- 344 K. M. Hindi, A. J. Ditto, M. J. Panzner, D. A. Medvetz, D. S. Han, C. E. Hovis, J. K. Hilliard, J. B. Taylor, Y. H. Yun, C. L. Cannon and W. J. Youngs, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**, 3771–3779.
- 345 F. Gulbagca, S. Ozdemir, M. Gulcan and F. Sen, *Heliyon*, 2019, **5**, e02980.
- 346 B. Matter, C. L. Seiler, K. Murphy, X. Ming, J. Zhao, B. Lindgren, R. Jones and N. Tretyakova, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 2018, **121**, 180–189.
- 347 B. Trouiller, R. Reliene, A. Westbrook, P. Solaimani and R. H. Schiestl, *Cancer Res.*, 2009, 69, 8784–8789.

- 348 D. H. Atha, H. Wang, E. J. Petersen, D. Cleveland,
 R. D. Holbrook, P. Jaruga, M. Dizdaroglu, B. Xing and
 B. C. Nelson, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, 46, 1819–1827.
- 349 F. A. Bezza, S. M. Tichapondwa and E. M. Chirwa, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 16680.
- 350 H. He, Z. Zou, B. Wang, G. Xu, C. Chen, X. Qin, C. Yu and J. Zhang, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2020, **15**, 3291–3302.
- 351 S. Talebian, B. Shahnavaz, M. Nejabat, Y. Abolhassani and F. B. Rassouli, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2023, **11**, 1140010.
- 352 S. V. Gudkov, D. E. Burmistrov, P. A. Fomina, S. Z. Validov and V. A. Kozlov, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2024, **25**, 11563.
- 353 K. M. Flores-Rábago, D. Rivera-Mendoza, A. R. Vilchis-Nestor, K. Juarez-Moreno and E. Castro-Longoria, *Antibiotics*, 2023, **12**, 1251.
- 354 S. Ying, Z. Guan, P. C. Ofoegbu, P. Clubb, C. Rico, F. He and J. Hong, *Environ. Technol. Innov.*, 2022, **26**, 102336.
- 355 G. Sharmila, M. Farzana Fathima, S. Haries, S. Geetha, N. Manoj Kumar and C. Muthukumaran, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2017, **1138**, 35–40.
- 356 A. Ebrahiminezhad, S. Taghizadeh, Y. Ghasemi and A. Berenjian, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2018, **621**, 1527–1532.
- 357 M. Shahriary, H. Veisi, M. Hekmati and S. Hemmati, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, *C*, 2018, **90**, 57–66.
- 358 H. R. Naika, K. Lingaraju, K. Manjunath, D. Kumar, G. Nagaraju, D. Suresh and H. Nagabhushana, J. Taibah Univ. Sci., 2015, 9, 7–12.
- 359 H. S. Devi, M. A. Boda, M. A. Shah, S. Parveen and A. H. Wani, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2019, **8**, 38–45.
- 360 Y. Ping, J. Zhang, T. Xing, G. Chen, R. Tao and K.-H. Choo, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2018, 58, 74–79.
- 361 M. Leili, M. Fazlzadeh and A. Bhatnagar, *Environ. Technol.*, 2018, **39**, 1158–1172.
- 362 N. Anupama and G. Madhumitha, *Inorg. Nano-Met. Chem.*, 2017, **47**, 116–120.
- 363 K. Tahir, S. Nazir, B. Li, A. Ahmad, T. Nasir, A. U. Khan, S. A. A. Shah, Z. U. H. Khan, G. Yasin and M. U. Hameed, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *B*, 2016, **164**, 164–173.
- 364 S. Taghavi Fardood and A. Ramazani, J. Nanostruct., 2016, 6, 167–171.
- 365 G. Caroling, M. N. Priyadharshini, E. Vinodhini, A. M. Ranjitham and P. Shanthi, *Int. J. Pharm. Biol. Sci.*, 2015, 5, 25–43.
- 366 Y. Zheng, W. Liu, Z. Qin, Y. Chen, H. Jiang and X. Wang, *Bioconjug. Chem.*, 2018, **29**, 3094–3103.
- 367 N. R. Panyala, E. M. Peña-Méndez and J. Havel, *J. Appl. Biomed.*, 2008, **6**, 117–129.
- 368 H. Chopra, S. Bibi, I. Singh, M. M. Hasan, M. S. Khan, Q. Yousafi, A. A. Baig, M. M. Rahman, F. Islam, T. B. Emran and S. Cavalu, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2022, **10**, 874742.
- 369 D.-M. Radulescu, V.-A. Surdu, A. Ficai, D. Ficai, A.-M. Grumezescu and E. Andronescu, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, 24, 15397.
- 370 O. Usman, M. M. Mohsin Baig, M. Ikram, T. Iqbal, S. Islam,
 W. Syed, M. B. A. Al-Rawi and M. Naseem, *Sci. Rep.*, 2024,
 14, 11354.

Open Access Article. Published on 07 March 2025. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:12:50 PM. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.