Nanoscale

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale, 2025, 17, 5090

Received 29th October 2024, Accepted 2nd January 2025 DOI: 10.1039/d4nr04496q

rsc.li/nanoscale

Introduction

Ammonia (NH_3) is indispensable in agriculture and industry, enabling large-scale fertilizer production and supporting various chemical processes.^{1–3} Its high hydrogen concentration and carbon-free nature position it as a promising energy

^aInstitute of Photochemistry and Photofunctional Materials, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China.

E-mail: zongjinglu@usst.edu.cn

^cKey Laboratory of Photochemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China ^dSchool of Chemical Engineering, Shandong Institute of Petroleum and Chemical Technology, Dongying 257061, China

Built-in electric field in the Mn/C₆₀ heterojunction promotes electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction to ammonia[†]

Hao Xue,^{a,b} Kaiheng Zhao,^c Denglei Gao,^d Fangying Duan,^e Zijian Gao,^f Wenjia Yu,^g Sha Li, ⁽¹⁾*^h Menglei Yuan*^{b,e} and Zongjing Lu⁽¹⁾*^a

The electrochemical nitrogen reduction reaction (NRR) has been regarded as a green and promising alternative to the traditional Haber–Bosch process. However, the high bond energy (940.95 kJ mol⁻¹) of the N=N triple bond hinders the adsorption and activation of N₂ molecules, which is a critical factor restricting the catalytic performance of catalysts and their large-scale applications. Herein, an Mn/C₆₀ heterostructure is constructed *via* a simple grinding and calcination process and achieves an extraordinary faradaic efficiency of 42.18% and an NH₃ yield rate of 14.52 µg h⁻¹ mg_{cat}⁻¹ at -0.4 V vs. RHE in 0.08 M Na₂HPO₄. Our experimental and theoretical results solidly confirm that the spontaneous charge transfer at the Mn/C₆₀ heterointerface promotes the formation of a built-in electric field, which facilitates the electron transfer from Mn towards C₆₀ and creates localized electrophilic and nucleophilic regions. The formation of the space-charge region effectively optimized the adsorption energy of the key intermediate *NH-*NH₂ and also reduced the free energy barrier for the hydrogenation step of *NH-*NH to *NH- *NH₂. Furthermore, the calculated lower limiting potential (*U*_{L(NRR)}) in Mn/C₆₀ relative to the HER (*U*_{L(HER)}) demonstrates its enhanced selectivity toward the NRR. This work provided new insights into enhancing the activity and performance of electrocatalysts for the NRR by constructing heterojunctions to improve nitrogen adsorption.

carrier for sustainable energy solutions and climate mitigation.^{4,5} The large-scale synthesis of NH₃, initiated by the Haber-Bosch process in the early 20th century, is thermodynamically exothermic and spontaneous $(N_2(g) + 3H_2(g) \rightarrow$ 2NH₃(g), $\Delta_r H_m^{\theta} = -92.22$ kJ mol⁻¹, and $\Delta_r G_m^{\theta} = -32.90$ kJ mol⁻¹). This reaction requires high temperature (350 °C-450 °C) to accelerate the reaction rate due to the strong bond energies of the N=N triple bond (940.95 kJ mol⁻¹), and the substantial energy barrier (410 kJ mol⁻¹) in the first step of N≡N triple bond dissociation and high pressure (100–200 bar) to ensure a forward reaction according to Le Chatelier's principle.5-8 However, the Haber-Bosch process not only leads to significant energy costs but also generates large amounts of greenhouse gases (mainly CO₂) during the steam methane reformation (SMR) process to produce H2.9 Recently, the electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction reaction (NRR) powered by renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar, in aqueous electrolytes presents a promising alternative to the Haber-Bosch process for efficient NH₃ synthesis.¹⁰⁻¹³ Nevertheless, the performance of NRR electrocatalysts remains insufficient to meet the requirements for practical applications.^{14,15}

Encouragingly, designing a heterogeneous interface to construct a built-in electric field is considered an effective strategy for enhancing electrocatalytic activity, which accelerates the



View Article Online

^bQueen Mary University of London Engineering School, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, China

^eState Key Laboratory of Solidification Processing and School of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China. E-mail: mlyuan@nwpu.edu.cn

^fCAS Key Laboratory of Green Process Engineering, Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^gYantai Research Institute of Harbin Engineering University, Yantai 264006, China ^hChemistry and Chemical Engineering Guangdong Laboratory, Shantou 515021, China. E-mail: lisha@ccelab.com.cn

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4nr04496g

reaction kinetics by promoting electron transfer and reactant diffusion.¹⁶ In general, to construct the built-in electric field, at least one of the two heterojunction components should be a semiconductor. The work function difference between the two materials of a heterojunction induces interfacial polarization, generating a potential difference that promotes the spontaneous movement of electrons near the interface until the Fermi level on two sides is balanced, which ultimately forms localized electron-rich and electron-deficient regions, creating a charge distribution gradient and establishing a built-in electric field.^{16,17} This built-in electric field facilitates electron transfer and modulates the charge density around active sites, thereby enhancing the intrinsic activity of the electrocatalyst. According to the types of the two materials, the heterojunction could be categorized as: (i) p-n heterojunction, (ii) p-p heterojunction, (iii) n-n heterojunction, and (iv) Mott-Schottky heterojunction. The Mott-Schottky heterojunction is a metalsemiconductor interface formed when a metal comes into close contact with a semiconductor that possesses a different Fermi level than that of the metal. For example, if a metal is in contact with a p-type semiconductor (which has electron holes as majority carriers), the electrons in the metal region will flow towards the p-type semiconductor due to the work function difference, which ultimately constructs a built-in electric field from the metal to the semiconductor. A variety of transition metals (TMs), such as Fe,^{18,19} Ru,^{20,21} and Mo,²² have demonstrated excellent NRR activity due to their favorable combination of occupied and unoccupied d orbitals, which possesses suitable energy and symmetry for σ donation from the occupied σ orbital of N₂ to the empty orbital of the metal atom and π back-donation from the occupied orbital of the metal atom to the empty π^* orbital of N₂.^{23,24} Ludden *et al.* indicated that Mn element is capable of improving the catalytic activity of nitrogenases extracted from the photosynthetic bacterium

Rhodospirillum rubrum.²⁵ Mn has 3d orbitals with appropriate energy and symmetry, enabling it to achieve the aforementioned donation and back-donation effects, which are beneficial for the adsorption and activation of N₂ molecules.^{2,26–28} However, the unoccupied d orbitals in the metal may lead to the formation of strong metal-H bonds, which kinetically enhance the unfavorable competitive hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), causing a relatively poor selectivity towards the NRR.^{23,29} Recent studies have demonstrated that tuning the electronic structure around Mn can effectively enhance its NRR activity and selectivity.^{26,28,30,31} Du and colleagues proposed a band structure regulation strategy through alloying, which helps to mitigate the HER issue of Mn.³² The constructed MnP alloy showed a reduced HOMO energy level compared to Mn and suppressed HER behavior. Buckminsterfullerene (C_{60}) has a closed cage-like structure composed of hexagons with alternating single and double bonds and pentagons connected by single bonds,³³ which not only provides a curved surface but also exhibits unique electron-withdrawing properties to work as an excellent electron acceptor.^{34,35} Its narrow bandgap (approximately 1.6–1.9 eV) makes it an excellent semiconductor material for the construction of Mott-Schottky heterojunctions.³⁶⁻³⁸ Moreover, a series of theoretical and experimental studies have demonstrated the pronounced electron-withdrawing capability of C₆₀, underscoring its potential to effectively mitigate the competitive HER at metal active sites while facilitating the NRR.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Therefore, exploring the strategy of constructing a Mn/C₆₀ Mott-Schottky heterojunction for the NRR holds great promise. However, no such studies have been reported to date.

In this work, a simple grinding and calcination process was utilized to synthesise and construct an Mn/C_{60} heterostructure with the purpose of forming a built-in electric field, which was advantageous for accelerating charge transfer and optimizing



Menglei Yuan

Menglei Yuan is an associate professor in the School of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University. He received his bachelor's degree in engineering from the North University of China in 2017. In the same year, he went to the Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for doctoral training. He direct joined the Northwestern Polytechnical University in 2022.

Currently, he focuses on electrocatalytic small molecule activation and organic electrosynthesis reactions for the preparation of highvalue chemicals such as ammonia, urea, amides, olefins, etc. He mainly focuses on two aspects, namely electrocatalyst design and regulation and electrolyte coupling enhancement.



Zongjing Lu

electrolytic nitrogen/nitrate reduction, plastic decomposition, biomass conversion, and the in-depth understanding of catalytic mechanisms.

University

Science

Zongjing Lu received his Ph.D. in

applied chemistry from Tianjin

University, China, under the

supervision of Prof. Jiannian

Yao. He subsequently joined the

November 2023 as an assistant

professor and established his

research group. His current

research interests mainly focus

on the development of new cata-

lytic materials for catalysis-

related applications, such as

and

of Shanghai for

Technology

in

Paper

the Gibbs free energy for intermediate adsorption. The built-in electric field could promote electron transfer and tune the charge density around active Mn sites to suppress the competitive HER and reduce the energy barriers of the NRR. Therefore, the fabricated Mn/C₆₀ catalyst achieved a remarkable faradaic efficiency of 42.18% and an NH₃ yield rate of 14.52 μ g h⁻¹ mg_{cat}⁻¹ at -0.4 V *vs.* RHE. This work aimed to achieve efficient electrochemical ammonia synthesis at ambient temperature and pressure through exploring the strategy of constructing an Mn/C₆₀ heterostructure.

Results and discussion

The Mn/C₆₀ catalyst was synthesized via a simple grinding and low-temperature (300 °C) annealing process. As shown in Fig. 1a, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the prepared Mn/C₆₀ catalyst exhibit pronounced characteristic C₆₀ peaks and recognizable peaks of Mn. The peaks at 10.8°, 17.7°, 20.8°, 21.7°, 27.4°, 28.2°, 30.9°, 32.8°, 42.2° and 48.1° were indexed to the (111), (220), (311), (222), (331), (420), (422), and (511) planes of C₆₀ and the (101) and (110) planes of Mn, respectively. The other peaks in the XRD graph may be attributed to MnO_x generated from the oxidation of Mn element.⁴² The corresponding morphology of the catalyst, as revealed by the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image (Fig. 1b and c), demonstrated that an abundant amount of nanoparticles with small particle sizes (an average diameter of approximately 0.18 µm) (Fig. S1[†]) were highly dispersed on the substrate. Furthermore, the high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image of Mn/C60 further validated that Mn nanoparticles were well dispersed on the C₆₀ substrate



Fig. 1 (a) Experimental XRD patterns of the as-prepared Mn/C₆₀; (b and c) SEM image of the Mn/C₆₀ catalyst; (d–f) high-magnification TEM image of Mn/C₆₀; (g–i) the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping of Mn/C₆₀.

(Fig. 1d and e). In the HRTEM image of Mn/C_{60} (Fig. 1f), the well-resolved lattice fringes of 2.14 nm corresponded to the (101) lattice of Mn. Similarly, the HRTEM image of the substrate exhibited interplanar distances of 2.72 nm and 3.16 nm, which could be ascribed to the (511) and (420) planes of C_{60} , indicating the successful preparation of Mn/C₆₀, consistent with the SEM observations. Due to the low concentration of Mn, high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) (Fig. 1g) and the corresponding energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) were employed to precisely identify the spatial distribution and elemental composition of Mn on the C60 substrate. The EDS elemental mapping images of C (Fig. 1h) and Mn (Fig. 1i) elements in the Mn/C₆₀ catalyst show that Mn was uniformly dispersed across the C₆₀ substrate in the form of nanoparticles. Combined with the weak Mn characteristic peaks in the XRD pattern, the high dispersion of Mn on the C_{60} substrate was further confirmed, establishing a critical foundation for the strong electronic interactions between Mn and C60. Moreover, the well-defined lattice fringe distribution was indicative of the heterogeneous structure formed between Mn and C₆₀, facilitating the formation of an intrinsic built-in electric field.

Based on the results obtained from the aforementioned characterization techniques, we successfully demonstrated the construction of the Mn/C₆₀ heterostructure. Subsequently, it was imperative to confirm the presence of the built-in electric field and examine the charge distribution around Mn and C60 through a combination of theoretical calculations and experimental characterization. First, based on the crystallographic information observed from XRD and TEM, we modeled the Mn (101) crystal plane and C₆₀ and computed their work functions, respectively. The work function of the Mn (101) crystal plane was found to be 3.75 eV, while that of C₆₀ was 5.52 eV, confirming that the two materials met the necessary conditions for the formation of a built-in electric field, specifically, an appropriate work function difference ($\Delta \phi = 1.77 \text{ eV}$) (Table S1[†]). The work function difference between the two materials drove directional electron transfer at the interface, aligning the Fermi levels on both sides. Further differential charge density and Bader charge analysis (Fig. 2a and b) confirmed the spontaneous electron transfer from Mn to C_{60} (1.10) e⁻), which was consistent with the results observed in X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The corresponding charge accumulation and depletion generated locally electrophilic Mn sites and nucleophilic C60 sites, optimizing the adsorption of the reactant/intermediate during the NRR through electrostatic interactions.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed to further elucidate the chemical composition and variations in the elemental valence state of the synthesized Mn/C_{60} catalyst. The significant signals for C, O, and Mn could be observed in the survey spectra (Fig. 3a). More specifically, in the highresolution C 1s spectra (Fig. 3b), the highest characteristic peak at 284.75 eV corresponds to the C–C/C=C bond and the other three weak peaks at 286.49 eV, 288.67 eV and 290.54 eV could be attributed to the C–O bond, C=O bond and π - π * sat-



Fig. 2 (a) Charge density difference of the Mn/C_{60} heterojunction; yellow and cyan indicate electron accumulation and depletion, respectively, with isosurface values of 0.009 e Å⁻³. (b) Bader charge analysis for Mn/C_{60} . Colour code: pink, C; blue, Mn.



Fig. 3 (a) XPS survey spectrum of Mn/C_{60} , and high-resolution XPS spectra of (b) C 1s, (c) O 1s, and (d) Mn 2p.

ellite, respectively. Meanwhile, in the high-resolution O 1s spectra (Fig. 3c), the three peaks at 530.05 eV, 533.16 eV and 531.58 eV could be attributed to the O-Mn, O-H, and O-C bonds, stemming from inevitable metal surface oxidation, surface-adsorbed water and oxygen, respectively.43 Intriguingly, in the high-resolution Mn 2p spectra, the $Mn^0 2p_{3/2}$ peaks depicted a shift towards higher binding energies (Fig. 3d) compared with the characteristic peak of pristine Mn nanoparticles (639.0 eV),^{43,44} indicating strong electronic interactions between Mn and C₆₀. More concretely, the positive shift of Mn⁰ 2p_{3/2} peaks implied an increased oxidation state of Mn, which could be ascribed to the charge transfer from Mn to C₆₀. The aforementioned results indicated the successful construction of the Mn/C₆₀ heterostructure and effective charge transfer between Mn and C₆₀, resulting in the formation of localized electrophilic and nucleophilic regions.^{17,45} This local charge redistribution established a built-in electric field that optimized the adsorption of the reactant/intermediate, thereby improving the performance of the electrocatalytic NRR.

The NRR measurements were carried out with a typical three-electrode system in an N₂-saturated 0.08 M Na₂HPO₄ solution utilizing a single-chamber electrolytic cell. A graphite rod, an Ag/AgCl electrode (filled with saturated KCl solution), and a piece of pretreated carbon cloth $(1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2)$ (with a cata-

lyst loading of 0.3 mg) were used as the counter electrode, reference electrode, and working electrode, respectively. Note that all potentials were reported on the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale in this work. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were first recorded in Ar-saturated and N2-saturated solutions to indicate the electrocatalytic synthesis of ammonia. As shown in Fig. 4a, the current intensity in the Arsaturated solution was smaller than that in the N2-saturated solution, exhibiting an extra current density contribution from the NRR. The time-dependent chronoamperometry (CA) test was subsequently performed within the potential range of -0.2 V to -1.0 V vs. RHE to further quantitatively assess the electrocatalytic NRR performance of the Mn/C60 catalyst. Fig. 4b displays all the chronoamperometric curves recorded for 1 h at various potentials in N2-saturated solution with stable current densities. Obviously, the current density of Mn/ C₆₀ increased with the applied potential. The employed ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra of electrolytes based on the indophenol blue method demonstrated the largest absorption intensity (Fig. 4c) at -0.4 V vs. RHE after electrolysis for 1 h. Quantitatively, the NH₃ yields and associated FEs from the NRR were evaluated utilizing a concentration-absorbance calibration curve constructed via the indophenol blue method (Fig. 4d and e). The corresponding highest NH₃ yield rate achieved was 14.52 μ g h⁻¹ mg_{cat}⁻¹ with an FE of 42.18% at -0.4 V (Fig. 4f), outperforming most of the recently reported catalysts, including Pd/C,⁴⁶ Mn₃O₄ nanocube⁴⁷ and C-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles⁴⁸ (Fig. 4g). However, the electrocatalytic NRR performance decreased when the potential becomes more negative than -0.4 V, which can be ascribed to the enhancement of the competitive HER.49-51

In order to show that the detected NH₃ was obtained from N₂ by the electrocatalytic NRR on the Mn/C₆₀ catalyst, a series of control experiments were carried out under the following conditions: (i) Ar as the feed gas and C₆₀/Mn as the NRR catalyst, (ii) N_2 as the feed gas and C_{60} as the NRR catalyst, (iii) N_2 as the feed gas and Mn as the NRR catalyst, and (iv) N2 as the feed gas and Mn/C_{60} as the NRR catalyst (Fig. 4h). In addition, ¹⁵N₂ isotope labeling experiments were carried out to further exclude possible interference from any contaminants (such as adventitious NH_3 and NO_X). As shown in Fig. 4i, the triplet coupling of $^{14}\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ and the doublet coupling of $^{15}\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ of the standard samples can be detected in ¹H NMR. Only ¹⁵NH₄⁺ was detected when utilizing ¹⁵N₂ as the feed gas for the NRR, which illustrated that NH₃ was produced by the electroreduction of N2. All of these aforementioned results further confirmed that the synthesized NH3 here originated from the directly supplied nitrogen during the electrocatalytic NRR instead of from other contaminants or decomposition of catalysts. Subsequently, the corresponding NH₃ yield and FE after electrolysis for 1 h at -0.4 V vs. RHE utilizing N₂ as the feed gas and Mn/C₆₀ as the NRR catalyst were much higher than those obtained utilizing C60 or Mn as the NRR catalyst, respectively (Fig. 4j), corroborating that the electrocatalytic NRR performance was enhanced by the local charge redistribution in the Mn/C₆₀ heterojunction.



Fig. 4 (a) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) of Mn/C₆₀ in N₂-saturated (red line) and Ar-saturated (blue line) 0.08 M Na₂HPO₄ electrolytes at 10 mV s⁻¹. (b) the chronoamperometric curves of Mn/C₆₀ in the N₂-saturated 0.08 M Na₂HPO₄ electrolyte at various potentials (-0.2 V, -0.4 V, -0.6 V, -0.8 V and -1.0 V) for 1 h. (c) UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes colored with the indophenol indicator for 2 h. (d and e) Concentration–absorbance of NH₄Cl solution with a series of standard concentrations (0–1.0 μ g mL⁻¹) in 0.08 M Na₂HPO₄. (f) The corresponding NH₃ yield rate and faradaic efficiency at each given potential. (g) Comparison of the NH₃ yield rate and faradaic efficiency of Mn/C₆₀ with selected reported NRR electrocatalysts. (h) LSV curves under different conditions. (i) ¹H NMR spectra (700 MHz) for ¹⁴NH₄⁺ and ¹⁵NH₄⁺ produced from N₂ electrochemical reduction using N₂ and ¹⁵N₂ as the feeding gas, respectively. (j) Electrochemical performance of Mn/C₆₀.

To further explore the intrinsic reasons behind the enhanced NRR activity of Mn/C₆₀, DFT calculations were first performed to investigate the adsorption of $*N_2$ on pristine C_{60} , Mn, and Mn/C_{60} . For pristine C_{60} , a relatively high positive free energy barrier (0.49 eV) for *N2 adsorption was observed (Fig. 5a), suggesting that nitrogen molecules encountered significant difficulty in adsorbing onto C₆₀, which aligned with the suboptimal NRR performance of pristine C₆₀, as shown in Fig. 4j. In contrast, as anticipated, pristine Mn exhibited excellent N₂ adsorption capability with a thermodynamically spontaneous *N₂ adsorption process (Fig. 5b). This property was also observed in Mn/C₆₀, presenting a spontaneously downhill step with a negative energy barrier for *N₂ adsorption (Fig. 5c). Additionally, an ideal NRR catalyst must carefully consider the competing HER, involving both the adsorption energies and the limiting potentials of the HER and NRR, the latter of which is discussed further in the following sections.

Regarding the discussion of adsorption energies, a lower *N₂ adsorption energy is typically required compared to that of *H. DFT calculations revealed the adsorption energies of *N₂ and *H on Mn/C₆₀ to be -1.23 and -0.60 eV, respectively, indicating that the constructed Mn/C60 heterostructure was energetically favored to adsorb N2 rather than competitively adsorb *H (Fig. 5e). However, pristine Mn also exhibited good selectivity in the competitive adsorption of *N₂ and *H ($\Delta G_{*N_2} - \Delta G_{*H}$ = -0.95 eV < 0) (Fig. 5b and d). Therefore, it was necessary to further investigate the reasons behind the enhanced NRR performance of Mn/C₆₀ compared to Mn. Subsequently, to further elucidate the impact of introducing C60 on the NRR activity of Mn, we performed electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements to investigate the influence of C_{60} on the charge transfer kinetics of the Mn catalyst. The charge-transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of the catalyst was investigated by EIS. Nyquist plots for Mn/C₆₀ in Fig. 5f demonstrated a smaller semicircle than those of pristine Mn and C₆₀, suggesting that Mn/C₆₀ exhibited smaller charge transfer impedance than pristine Mn and C₆₀, revealing that the strong electronic interactions between Mn and C₆₀ stemming from the built-in electric field can effectively facilitate effective charge transfer and thereby create localized electrophilic and nucleophilic regions. The created localized nucleophilic and electrophilic regions facilitated the adsorption of reactants/intermediates, thereby altering the reaction kinetics and ultimately enhancing NRR activity.52

So far, we have validated the role of the rationally designed built-in electric field in facilitating the adsorption of inert N_{2} , suppressing competitive *H adsorption and accelerating the reaction kinetics. However, the previously mentioned (i) limiting potentials of the HER and NRR, and (ii) how the built-in electric field reduced the energy barrier of the NRR, had not yet been discussed. To be more specific, both of them were closely related to the reaction process and pathway of the NRR. Therefore, further DFT calculations were performed to elaborate on the reaction mechanism by analyzing the variation of intermediates and the energy barriers throughout the reaction process. Both the NRR and the HER are electrochemical processes that involve the transfer of both protons and electrons, a mechanism known as proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET).⁵³ In the absence of Li mediation and no N atoms on the catalyst surface, the NRR mechanism can be classified into the following pathways: distal-associative pathway, alternatingassociative pathway, dissociative pathway, and enzymatic pathway.6,23,54 Among these, the dissociative pathway was difficult to achieve under mild conditions, as it required the direct cleavage of the N=N triple bond to obtain nitrogen atoms for protonation and hydrogenation. Therefore, the feasible reaction pathways were limited to the (i) distal-associative pathway, (ii) alternating-associative pathway, and (iii) enzymatic pathway (Fig. 5g). Generally, the optimal reaction pathway could be determined by the adsorption configuration of N₂ and the hydrogenation order of N atoms.

As a forementioned, the adsorption of N_2 molecules onto the pristine C_{60} surface was highly unfavorable due to the rela-



Fig. 5 (a) The free energy of $N_2 \rightarrow *N_2H$ over C_{60} . (b and c) The model and results of N_2 adsorption energy calculations for (b) pristine Mn (101) and (c) Mn(101)/ C_{60} with different molecular configurations. (d) The calculated free-energy diagram of the HER for pristine C_{60} , pristine Mn, and Mn/ C_{60} . (e) The computed adsorption energy of $*N_2$ and *H on Mn/ C_{60} . (f) Nyquist plots of electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of Mn/ C_{60} , C_{60} , and Mn. (g) Three different reaction pathways for the NRR including the distal-associative pathway, alternating-associative pathway, and enzymatic pathway. (h and i) DFT-calculated reaction pathways and the corresponding energy barriers for the NRR on pristine Mn and Mn/ C_{60} . (j) Maximum free energies required for activating the NRR and HER on pristine Mn and Mn/ C_{60} , where the green and blue regions indicate NRR and HER dominance, respectively.

tively high free energy barrier (Fig. 5a). Therefore, the reaction pathways for the NRR were only discussed for Mn and Mn/C₆₀. Fig. 5b demonstrates that, compared to the end-on configuration, N₂ adsorbed in a side-on configuration on pristine Mn sites exhibited a lower adsorption energy (end-on: -0.46 eV and side-on: -1.50 eV), indicating that the adsorption behavior of N₂ on Mn was primarily dominated by side-on adsorption. Similarly, after calculating the adsorption energies of the two N₂ adsorption configurations on Mn/C₆₀, it was found that N₂ was more favored to adsorb in a side-on configuration at the Mn sites on Mn/C₆₀, and geometrically, it tended to adopt a more energetically stable configuration, as demonstrated in Fig. 5c (ΔG_{*N_2} : configuration 1, -1.23 eV; configuration 2, -0.57 eV). Therefore, the enzymatic pathway was considered the primary reaction pathway for the NRR on Mn/C₆₀.

The relevant reaction intermediates and NRR free energy diagrams obtained through DFT calculations are presented in Fig. 5h and i, with detailed calculation methods in the ESI.† Typically, in the enzymatic pathway, the hydrogenation steps proceeded alternately, with hydrogen atoms binding to nitrogen atoms in a sequential manner.⁵⁵ As shown in Fig. 5h and i, on both Mn and Mn/C₆₀, the intermediate *NH-*NH formed in the second hydrogenation step exhibited a lower free energy compared to *N-*NH₂ (Mn: *NH-*NH, -1.21 eV; *N-*NH₂, -0.66 eV; Mn/C₆₀: *NH-*NH, -1.01 eV; *N-*NH₂, -0.56 eV). Therefore, the reaction mechanism followed an alternating hydrogenation strategy, *i.e.*, the enzymatic pathway. For pristine Mn, the third hydrogenation step (*NH-*NH + H⁺ + e⁻ \rightarrow

*NH-*NH₂) was the potential-determining step (PDS) of the NRR, with an energy barrier of 0.96 eV. However, for Mn/C_{60} , the built-in electric field in the Mn/C₆₀ heterojunction effectively lowered the Gibbs free energy of the key intermediate *NH-*NH2 from -0.25 eV to -0.86 eV and thereby reduced the free energy barrier for the potential-determining step in pristine Mn from 0.96 eV to 0.15 eV. The potential-determining step for the NRR on Mn/C60 was confirmed to be the hydrogenation reduction of *NH2-*NH2 to *NH2 and NH3, for which a Gibbs free energy of only 0.55 eV was required to overcome the free energy barrier, demonstrating a lower energy barrier for the potential-determining step. The thermodynamic limiting potential $(U_{\rm L} = -\Delta G_{\rm PDS}/e)$ was defined as the highest potential at which none of the reaction steps were uphill in free energy.^{56,57} It is noteworthy that the thermodynamic limiting potential for the NRR on pristine Mn was considerably lower than that for the HER ($U_{L(NRR)}$: -0.96 V; $U_{L(HER)}$: -0.55 V). In contrast, the thermodynamic limiting potential for the NRR on Mn/C_{60} ($U_{L(NRR)}$: -0.55 V) was higher than that for the HER ($U_{L(HER)}$: -0.60 V), suggesting enhanced NRR selectivity (Fig. 5j).

Combining the aforementioned experimental and theoretical calculation results, the electrochemical NRR process catalyzed by the Mn/C_{60} heterostructure could be summarized in the following steps: (i) the spontaneous charge transfer at the heterointerfaces generated a built-in electric field, which then (ii) facilitated the formation of electrophilic/nucleophilic regions by electrostatic interaction. (iii) The space-charge regions enhanced the adsorption of the key intermediate *NH– * NH_2 , lowered the energy barrier of the NRR to -0.55 eV for the potential-determining step, improved the limiting potential of the NRR and thereby enhanced NRR activity and selectivity.

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated that the Mn/C₆₀ heterostructure obtained by a simple grinding and low-temperature (300 °C) annealing process showed excellent electrocatalyst activity for the electrocatalytic conversion of N2 to NH3 under ambient conditions. The fabricated Mn/C₆₀ achieved a high FE of 42.18% and a high NH₃ yield rate of 14.52 μ g h⁻¹ mg_{cat}⁻¹ in 0.08 M Na₂HPO₄ solution at -0.4 V vs. RHE. A series of characterization techniques, electrochemical tests, and theoretical calculations suggested that the built-in electric field at the Mn/ C60 heterojunction promoted local charge redistribution, thereby endowing the Mn/C₆₀ surface with distinct nucleophilic and electrophilic sites that can effectively optimize the adsorption energy of the key intermediate *NH-*NH2 from -0.25 eV to -0.86 eV and reduce the free energy barrier to 0.15 eV for the third hydrogenation step (*NH-*NH + H⁺ + e⁻ \rightarrow *NH-*NH₂). Additionally, the NRR on Mn/C₆₀ exhibited a lower limiting potential (-0.55 V) compared to the HER (-0.60 V), indicating an enhancement in NRR selectivity. This study indicates that designing electrocatalysts through the design and construction of heterostructures is a promising strategy for the electrocatalytic NRR.

Author contributions

Hao Xue: conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing, and visualization. Kaiheng Zhao: XRD and SEM investigations, formal analysis, investigation, and data curation. Denglei Gao: DFT calculations, methodology, software, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, and writing - review & editing. Fangying Duan: methodology, electrochemical testing, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, and data curation. Zijian Gao: methodology, electrochemical testing, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, and data curation. Wenjia Yu: methodology, electrochemical testing, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, and data curation. Sha Li: conceptualization, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing - review & editing, project administration and funding acquisition. Menglei Yuan: conceptualization, validation, resources, data curation, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing, visualization, supervision, project administration and funding acquisition. Zongjing Lu: conceptualization, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing - review & editing, supervision, project administration and funding acquisition.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.[†]

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52302310), the QinChuangYuan Cites High-level Innovation and Entrepreneurship Talent Programs (QCYRCXM-2022-335), the Shanghai 2023 "Science and Technology Innovation Action Plan" Social Development Science and Technology Research Project (23DZ1203004), the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2023A1515012816), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (G2022KY05111), and the Open Project Program of Anhui Province International Research Center on Advanced Building Materials (JZCL2303KF).

References

- 1 V. Rosca, M. Duca, M. T. de Groot and M. T. M. Koper, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 2209–2244.
- 2 Z. Wang, F. Gong, L. Zhang, R. Wang, L. Ji, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, H. Guo, Y. Li, P. Gao, X. Shi, B. Li, B. Tang and X. Sun, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, 6, 1801182.
- X. Fu, V. A. Niemann, Y. Zhou, S. Li, K. Zhang, J. B. Pedersen, M. Saccoccio, S. Z. Andersen, K. Enemark-Rasmussen, P. Benedek, A. Xu, N. H. Deissler, J. B. V. Mygind, A. C. Nielander, J. Kibsgaard, P. C. K. Vesborg, J. K. Nørskov, T. F. Jaramillo and I. Chorkendorff, *Nat. Mater.*, 2024, 23, 101–107.
- 4 S. Li, Y. Zhou, X. Fu, J. B. Pedersen, M. Saccoccio, S. Z. Andersen, K. Enemark-Rasmussen, P. J. Kempen, C. D. Damsgaard, A. Xu, R. Sažinas, J. B. V. Mygind, N. H. Deissler, J. Kibsgaard, P. C. K. Vesborg, J. K. Nørskov and I. Chorkendorff, *Nature*, 2024, **629**, 92–97.
- 5 X. Fu, J. Zhang and Y. Kang, *Chem Catal.*, 2022, **2**, 2590–2613.
- G. Duan, Y. Chen, Y. Tang, K. A. M. Gasem, P. Wan,
 D. Ding and M. Fan, *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.*, 2020, 81, 100860.
- 7 M. Yuan, J. Chen, Y. Bai, Z. Liu, J. Zhang, T. Zhao, Q. Shi, S. Li, X. Wang and G. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 6048– 6058.
- 8 J. Mu, X.-W. Gao, T. Yu, L.-K. Zhao, W.-B. Luo, H. Yang, Z.-M. Liu, Z. Sun, Q.-F. Gu and F. Li, *Adv. Sci.*, 2024, **11**, 2308979.
- 9 N. G. Mohan and K. Ramanujam, *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.*, 2024, **45**, 101520.

- 10 H. Wang, C. Zhang, B. Liu, W. Li, C. Jiang, Z. Ke, D. He and X. Xiao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2024, **36**, 2401032.
- 11 Y. Wan, Z. Wang, J. Li and R. Lv, ACS Nano, 2022, 16, 643–654.
- 12 H. Xue, Z.-H. Zhao, M. Yuan and G. Zhang, *Green Energy Environ.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1016/j.gee.2024.06.006.
- 13 M. Qiao, J. Xie and D. Zhu, Nanoscale, 2024, 16, 3676-3684.
- 14 Y. Liu, Y. Su, X. Quan, X. Fan, S. Chen, H. Yu, H. Zhao, Y. Zhang and J. Zhao, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 1186–1191.
- 15 Z. Lu, H. Wang, Y. Tao, S. Zhu, W. Hao, X. Liu, Y. Min and J. Fan, *Nanoscale*, 2023, **15**, 14847–14857.
- 16 X. Zhao, M. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Xiong, P. Yang, J. Qin, X. Xiong and Y. Lei, ACS Nano, 2022, 16, 19959–19979.
- 17 M. Yuan, J. Chen, Y. Bai, Z. Liu, J. Zhang, T. Zhao, Q. Wang, S. Li, H. He and G. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 10910–10918.
- 18 M. Wang, S. Liu, T. Qian, J. Liu, J. Zhou, H. Ji, J. Xiong, J. Zhong and C. Yan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 341.
- 19 S. Zhang, M. Jin, T. Shi, M. Han, Q. Sun, Y. Lin, Z. Ding, L. R. Zheng, G. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang and H. Zhao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 13423–13429.
- 20 H. Tao, C. Choi, L.-X. Ding, Z. Jiang, Z. Han, M. Jia, Q. Fan, Y. Gao, H. Wang, A. W. Robertson, S. Hong, Y. Jung, S. Liu and Z. Sun, *Chem*, 2019, 5, 204–214.
- 21 Z. Geng, Y. Liu, X. Kong, P. Li, K. Li, Z. Liu, J. Du, M. Shu, R. Si and J. Zeng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1803498.
- 22 L. Han, X. Liu, J. Chen, R. Lin, H. Liu, F. Lü, S. Bak, Z. Liang, S. Zhao, E. Stavitski, J. Luo, R. R. Adzic and H. L. Xin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 2321–2325.
- 23 S. Qiang, F. Wu, J. Yu, Y.-T. Liu and B. Ding, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202217265.
- 24 M.-A. Légaré, G. Bélanger-Chabot, R. D. Dewhurst, E. Welz,
 I. Krummenacher, B. Engels and H. Braunschweig, *Science*,
 2018, 359, 896–900.
- 25 P. W. Ludden and R. H. Burris, Science, 1976, 194, 424–426.
- 26 L. Zhang, X.-Y. Xie, H. Wang, L. Ji, Y. Zhang, H. Chen, T. Li, Y. Luo, G. Cui and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 4627– 4630.
- 27 L. Han, M. Hou, P. Ou, H. Cheng, Z. Ren, Z. Liang, J. A. Boscoboinik, A. Hunt, I. Waluyo, S. Zhang, L. Zhuo, J. Song, X. Liu, J. Luo and H. L. Xin, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, 11, 509–516.
- 28 Y. Ma, Y. Lu, C. Li, L. Hu, H. Zhang and J. Feng, *Catal. Lett.*, 2024, **154**, 5830–5837.
- 29 L. Zhang, L.-X. Ding, G.-F. Chen, X. Yang and H. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 2612–2616.
- 30 K. Chu, Y.-p. Liu, Y.-b. Li, Y.-l. Guo, Y. Tian and H. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **264**, 118525.
- 31 X. Wang, D. Wu, S. Liu, J. Zhang, X.-Z. Fu and J.-L. Luo, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2021, 13, 125.
- 32 W. Lu, T. Zheng, X. Zhang, T. He, Y. Sun, S. Li, B. Guan, D. Zhang, Z. Wei, H. Jiang, H. J. Fan and F. Du, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, e202417171.
- 33 S. Singla, S. Sharma, S. Basu, N. P. Shetti and T. M. Aminabhavi, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2021, 46, 33696– 33717.

- 34 R. Zhang, Y. Li, X. Zhou, A. Yu, Q. Huang, T. Xu, L. Zhu, P. Peng, S. Song, L. Echegoyen and F.-F. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, 14, 2460.
- 35 B. Zhao, F. Chen, C. Cheng, L. Li, C. Liu and B. Zhang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2023, **13**, 2204346.
- 36 Y. Pan, X. Liu, W. Zhang, Z. Liu, G. Zeng, B. Shao, Q. Liang,
 Q. He, X. Yuan, D. Huang and M. Chen, *Appl. Catal., B*,
 2020, 265, 118579.
- 37 V. Martínez-Agramunt and E. Peris, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 11836–11842.
- 38 M. Grandcolas, J. Ye and K. Miyazawa, *Ceram. Int.*, 2014, 40, 1297–1302.
- 39 T. He, G. Gao, L. Kou, G. Will and A. Du, J. Catal., 2017, 354, 231–235.
- 40 A. R. Puente Santiago, T. He, O. Eraso, M. A. Ahsan, A. N. Nair, V. S. N. Chava, T. Zheng, S. Pilla, O. Fernandez-Delgado, A. Du, S. T. Sreenivasan and L. Echegoyen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 17923–17927.
- 41 M. A. Ahsan, T. He, K. Eid, A. M. Abdullah, M. L. Curry,
 A. Du, A. R. Puente Santiago, L. Echegoyen and
 J. C. Noveron, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, 143, 1203–1215.
- 42 H. Chen, W. Zhou, D. Zhu, Z. Liu, Z. Feng, J. Li and Y. Chen, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **813**, 151812.
- 43 Q. Huang, W. Yang, Y. Yan, S. Xie, A. Yu, T. Xu, Y. Zhao,
 P. Peng and F.-F. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2024, 2409406.
- 44 A. Chourasia and D. Chopra, *Surf. Sci. Spectra*, 1994, 3, 74–81.
- 45 J. Hu, A. Al-Salihy, J. Wang, X. Li, Y. Fu, Z. Li, X. Han, B. Song and P. Xu, *Adv. Sci.*, 2021, **8**, 2103314.
- 46 J. Wang, L. Yu, L. Hu, G. Chen, H. Xin and X. Feng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 1795.
- 47 X. Wu, L. Xia, Y. Wang, W. Lu, Q. Liu, X. Shi and X. Sun, *Small*, 2018, 14, 1803111.
- 48 K. Jia, Y. Wang, Q. Pan, B. Zhong, Y. Luo, G. Cui, X. Guo and X. Sun, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, **1**, 961–964.
- 49 Z. Xue, C. Sun, M. Zhao, Y. Cui, Y. Qu, H. Ma, Z. Wang and Q. Jiang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 59834–59842.
- 50 M. Liu, S. Yin, T. Ren, Y. Xu, Z. Wang, X. Li, L. Wang and H. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 47458– 47464.
- 51 J. Wang, H. Jang, G. Li, M. G. Kim, Z. Wu, X. Liu and J. Cho, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 1478–1483.
- 52 M. Yuan, S. Dipazir, M. Wang, Y. Sun, D. Gao, Y. Bai, M. Zhang, P. Lu, H. He, X. Zhu, S. Li, Z. Liu, Z. Luo and G. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 3317–3326.
- 53 L. Hu, Z. Xing and X. Feng, ACS Energy Lett., 2020, 5, 430– 436.
- 54 T. He, S. K. Matta and A. Du, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, 21, 1546–1551.
- 55 F. Lai, W. Zong, G. He, Y. Xu, H. Huang, B. Weng, D. Rao, J. A. Martens, J. Hofkens, I. P. Parkin and T. Liu, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 13320–13327.
- 56 A. Kulkarni, S. Siahrostami, A. Patel and J. K. Nørskov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 2302–2312.
- 57 Y. Zhang, J. Huang and M. Eikerling, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, **400**, 139413.