

INORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

Accepted Manuscript



This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: T. Forbes, S. Kruse, S. K. Scherrer, G. P. Holmbeck and J. A. Laverne, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2025, DOI: 10.1039/D5QI00975H.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

The Inorganic Chemist's Guide to Actinide Radiation Chemistry: A Review

Samantha J. Kruse^{a,b*}, Sarah K. Scherrer^a, Gregory P. Horne^c, Jay A. LaVerne^{b,d}, Tori Z. Forbes^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA, 52240

^bRadiation Laboratory, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA, 46556

^cCenter for Radiation Chemistry Research, Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID, USA, 83415

^dDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA, 46556

Abstract

This review aims to provide an overview of the current state of radiation chemistry with respect to the actinide elements, thorium through californium. Despite the inherent radioactivity of the actinides, only a few studies explore the effects of ionizing radiation on their redox chemistry and surrounding environment. This fundamental knowledge gap, coupled with the current renaissance in actinide-based technologies such as nuclear power, space exploration, and medicine, underscores the importance of research in this interdisciplinary area. This review will focus on the interactions between reactive species formed by radiolysis with actinides and their complexes, offering an inorganic chemist's perspective on research in radiation chemistry. In addition, a thorough discussion of our current understanding of radiation-induced changes in actinide speciation in both aqueous solution and the solid-state will be provided, focusing on changes in oxidation state distribution, complexation, and secondary coordination effects within inorganic materials. Finally, this review will discuss challenges and opportunities for inorganic chemists to explore this unique intersection of fields.

Highlights

- Summary of relevant fundamental ionizing radiation physics and chemistry.
- Overview of both aqueous solution and solid-state radiation chemistry and changes in actinide speciation, specifically oxidation state, coordination environment, and products formed.
- Discussion of outlooks for inorganic chemists in the field of radiation chemistry.



Table of Contents

Abstract.....	1
Highlights	1
1. Introduction	3
2. Ionizing Radiation Basics.....	4
3. Radiation Sources	6
4. Radiation Chemistry in Aqueous Solutions.....	7
4.1. Water Radiolysis and its Chemistry	7
4.2. A short overview on actinide elements	12
4.3. Actinide interactions with aqueous radiolysis products and common solutes	13
4.3.1. Hydrated electron (e_{aq}^-)	14
4.3.2. Hydrogen radical (H^\bullet).....	16
4.3.3. Hydroxyl ($^\bullet OH$) and oxide anion ($O^{\bullet -}$) radicals	17
4.3.4. Oxygen radicals (HO_2^\bullet , $O_2^{\bullet -}$, and $O_3^{\bullet -}$).....	21
4.2.5. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 , HO_2^-)	22
4.3.6 Impacts of co-solutes on the radiolysis of actinide cations	26
4.3.6.1. Nitrate and Nitrite	26
4.3.6.2. Carbonate	30
4.3.6.3. Sulfate.....	32
4.3.6.4. Halogens.....	33
5. Solid-State Chemistry	34
5.1. Brief summary of glasses and ceramics	35
5.2 Metallic and Intermetallic materials.....	37
5.3. Oxides.....	38
5.4. Peroxides.....	40
5.5. Halogen containing materials	42
5.6. Nitrates	42
5.7. Phosphorus-based materials	43
5.8. Metal-organic Frameworks (MOFs)	44
6. Intersection of solution and solid-state: Radiolysis effects of corrosion and environmental systems.....	46
7. Challenges, Opportunities, and Visions.....	50
Author Contributions	55
Funding Sources.....	55



References	56
------------------	----

1. Introduction

The actinides are a series of complex elements that continue to challenge and fascinate the inorganic chemistry community.¹ Positioned at the bottom of the periodic table, these elements exhibit chemical behavior significantly influenced by relativistic effects, such as expansion of the $5f$ -orbitals and extensive spin-orbit coupling. These physical attributes strongly influence their electronic structure, resulting in complex spectroscopic signatures, magnetic properties, and redox behavior. Unlike their $4f$ congeners, the lanthanides, actinides display increased involvement of their $5f$ -orbitals in chemical bonding, leading to enhanced covalency.² The intricacies of actinide electronic structures and the resulting variability in their chemical interactions have led many inorganic chemists to delve deeper into the fundamental behavior of these elements.

A frequently overlooked aspect of actinide chemistry is the impact of their inherent radioactivity or the ionizing radiation fields in which they are associated. All actinides possess isotopes that are susceptible to radioactive decay, resulting in the emission of ionizing radiation in the form of energetic particles (alpha particles [α], beta particles [β], neutrons [n], fission fragments, and recoiling daughter nuclei) and photons (gamma rays [γ] and X-rays). Harnessing this energy is essential for energy production by nuclear power reactors^{3, 4} and radioisotope thermoelectric generators for space exploration.^{5, 6} Conversely, managing the impacts of ionizing radiation fields is a significant challenge for used nuclear fuel (UNF) reprocessing,⁷⁻⁹ nuclear waste storage,¹⁰⁻¹³ environmental remediation,^{14, 15} and nuclear medicine¹⁶ because the energy deposited by ionizing radiation generates reactive radicals, ions, and molecular species. These radiolysis products can initiate redox chemistry, degrade molecules, create defects in solid-state materials, and alter the physical and chemical properties of matter.¹⁷ Each of these radiation-induced modifications can influence the chemical behavior, speciation, and transport of actinides which is further complicated by actinide elements often being intermixed with other radionuclides in real-world scenarios.^{18, 19} Consequently, understanding actinide radiation chemistry is one of the basic research needs in nuclear science.

There is an existing understanding of the effects of ionizing radiation on actinide chemistry, thanks to the foundational work of radiation chemists and physicists, but the primary focus has



been on resolving long-standing challenges in the reprocessing of UNF.²⁰⁻²³ However, there remains a gap between the insights of radiation chemists and the tools and techniques utilized by inorganic chemists to explore fundamental actinide science. Bridging this gap could yield valuable insights and new discoveries in the field. Thus, this review aims to summarize the current state of ionizing radiation research on actinides in both aqueous solutions and the solid-state, focusing on the interactions between radiolysis products and actinide ions, their complexes, and the surrounding chemical environment. Additionally, this review will highlight gaps in the literature, key tools for the characterization of actinides, and potential future research directions.

In this review, we focus on inorganic actinide species in aqueous solutions and solid-state materials. For solid-state materials, we cover inorganic crystalline solids that demonstrate significant changes in actinide speciation upon irradiation. Given the importance to the field, we also include discussion on organic ligands coordinated to actinide ions in the solid-state and briefly highlight emerging metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). This review is also limited to radiation-induced processes involving α -particles and γ -rays, as these radiation types are predominant in the radioactive decay of actinide isotopes and have been extensively studied in the literature. Furthermore, it is important to note that discussions of γ -rays also apply to β -particle effects due to their equivalent mechanisms of radiation energy deposition.²⁴

2. Ionizing Radiation Basics

The absorption of ionizing radiation by matter primarily causes the ejection of electrons from atoms, ions, and molecules to create “holes” (the site vacated by the electron) and a free electron.²⁵ In this context, α -particles, β -particles, γ -rays, X-rays, fast electrons (e^-), fission fragments, recoiling daughter nuclides, accelerated protons (p^+), and heavy ions are all forms of ionizing radiation that can induce physical and chemical changes in matter. Note that β -particles are electrons/negatrons (β^-) or positrons (β^+) emitted by the decay of a nucleus, while fast electrons are artificially produced. The terms are often interchanged, especially as the chemistry induced by these species is equivalent. Note that a low energy β -particle will not induce the same radiation chemistry as a fast electron due to differences in the track structure. Neutrons have been omitted from the above list as they do not directly ionize matter; instead, they activate nuclei through neutron capture processes, generating unstable isotopes that subsequently undergo radioactive decay, or generate recoil ions that then ionize the surrounding medium.



Not all energy transfer events result in ionization; those below the energetic threshold promote an electron to a higher energy orbital, forming an excited state.²⁶ Excited states can also form by charge recombination reactions between electrons and holes. These initial radiolytic species—the free electron, the corresponding hole, and excited states—subsequently relax by solvation, fragmentation, chemical reaction, or charge recombination to ultimately generate thermal energy. The latter of which is the predominant process in the absence of solutes and reactive surfaces. In more complex systems, the branching of these relaxation pathways determines the extent to which radiolysis impacts the physical and chemical properties of an irradiated system. Each species formed by radiolysis, initial and subsequent, has an associated yield per unit of energy absorbed, a *G*-value (mol J⁻¹ or species per 100 eV energy absorbed), representing the efficiency of radiation-induced chemical change.

Initial energy loss by charged particles is through Coulombic interactions with the electrons of the medium. In a mixed medium, the energy deposition to each component is proportional to the electron density of each component, which to a first approximation is equal to their relative masses. The passage of ionizing radiation through matter creates a path of energy transfer events known as a radiation track—a non-homogenous distribution of radiolytic species that evolves in time and space due to a competition between diffusion and chemical reaction. The structure of a radiation track is determined by the type of incident radiation and the composition of the absorbing medium. The number and separation of energy transfer events is directly related to the linear energy transfer (LET) of a charged particle; defined as the average amount of energy deposited by ionizing radiation per unit path length of the medium it travels through ($-\frac{dE}{dx}$).^{25, 27} LET increases as the particle's velocity decreases, goes through a maximum (the Bragg peak) and ultimately reaching zero when the particle thermalizes with the surrounding medium. At a given velocity, heavier particles have a higher LET than light particles and travel shorter distances.

Track structure is important for the subsequent chemistry that occurs with constituents of the irradiated system. For instance, high-LET leads to energy loss events sufficiently close in space that they can overlap, creating a columnar structure that promotes second-order radical combination reactions, affording relatively lower *G*(radicals) and higher *G*(ions/molecules) values. Conversely, low-LET results in energy loss events that are well separated in space, with



each event evolving independently, affording relatively higher $G(\text{radicals})$ and lower $G(\text{ions/molecules})$ values.

Photon radiation interacts with matter via (1) the photoelectric effect, where the incident photon is absorbed to create a hole and a free electron; (2) Compton scattering, where the photon scatters like a particle to produce a free electron and corresponding hole; or (3) pair production, where an electron and positron are produced.²⁸ In water, the photoelectric effect is dominant below approximately 30 keV, then Compton scattering up to about 25 MeV, and pair production beyond that energy range. Given these modes of interaction, photon radiation tracks are comprised of few, well-separated energy transfer events, and thus, classified as low-LET radiation. γ -rays are generally in the MeV energy range, so they produce high energy electrons through the Compton effect and the radiation chemistry they induce is the same as an incident fast electron. Electrons ejected by X-rays will induce radiation chemistry consistent with low energy β -particles or fast electrons depending on their initial energy.

It is important to note that if electrons are ejected with sufficient kinetic energy (approximately > 5 keV), they propagate their own radiation tracks. However, in most cases, these "secondary" electrons do not travel far from the initial ionization event and ultimately produce a cluster of ionizations and excitations, known as a spur. As alluded to above, the lifetime of a radiation track is finite, on the order of microseconds in aqueous solution. The surviving species become homogeneously distributed in bulk solution and available for further reaction.

3. Radiation Sources

Ionizing radiation sources can be external, where a radioactive material or accelerator is used to supply the radiation, or internal where a radioisotope is deposited or dissolved in the medium. Experimental radiation chemistry studies typically utilize external sources of γ -radiation due to the limited penetration depth of external α -sources and the challenges of working with radioisotopes for internal source irradiations. External radiation sources provide more accurate dosimetry and are useful for simulating the long-term effects of radiation in an experimentally feasible time. External γ -radiation sources often utilize ^{60}Co ($\tau_{1/2} = 5.27$ yr) or ^{137}Cs ($\tau_{1/2} = 30.71$ yr), which require a significant amount of expertise and safety and security procedures to use. Accelerators are common sources for charged particles or X-rays and can be run in a continuous mode for high dose rate studies or in a pulsed mode for time resolved studies. Accelerators can



provide advanced capabilities, including electron pulse radiolysis experiments that utilize short bursts of high energy electrons to examine radical reactions and other chemical changes as a function of time and absorbed dose. Fewer studies focus on α -radiation, with external sources utilizing particle accelerators to generate He^{2+} ion beams (α -particle surrogates), and internal sources incorporating a radioactive α -emitter, such as ^{241}Am ($\tau_{1/2} = 432.2$ yr), for so-called self-radiolysis experiments.²⁹ The incorporation of an internal α -emitter provides a more uniform dose as a He-ion beam can only penetrate a short distance into a medium given its high LET.³⁰ Therefore, the use of both external and internal radiation sources are essential in experimental studies.

4. Radiation Chemistry in Aqueous Solutions

4.1. Water Radiolysis and its Chemistry

Water, as the universal solvent, is important in numerous processes; thus, the interaction of ionizing radiation with water is a key factor in understanding fundamental mechanisms occurring in ionizing radiation environments. As depicted in **Figure 1**, water radiolysis can be described in three main stages. The initial timepoint is the physical stage, where the absorption of radiation causes either excitation (H_2O^*) or ionization ($\text{H}_2\text{O}^{++} + \text{e}^-$) of a water molecule. During the subsequent physicochemical stage, these initial water radiolysis species undergo a variety of reactions to create a range of primary radiolysis products. From the excited state, dissociative relaxation can occur to either form a $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}\cdot$ pair or create H_2 and an $\text{O}(^1\text{D}, ^3\text{P})$ atom. The $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ atom can interact with neighboring water molecules to create two more $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals. From the ionization branch, H_2O^{++} can either transfer a proton to a nearby water molecule to form $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \cdot\text{OH}$, or undergo recombination with an electron to give H_2O^* . Any e^- that escape recombination becomes solvated by the surrounding water molecules to yield the hydrated electron (e_{aq}^-). Finally, each of the species produced from the excitation and ionization processes can interact with other constituents in the system during the chemical stage. The chemical stage also includes the formation of additional reactive oxygen species, such as H_2O_2 , $\text{HO}_2\cdot$, and $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$, through interactions between free radicals ($\cdot\text{OH} + \cdot\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$; $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \cdot\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2\cdot + \text{H}_2\text{O}$) or molecular oxygen ($\text{O}_2 + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$). These reactions occur over the range of nanoseconds to microseconds depending on the type and energy of the incident radiation.





Although each of these radiation-induced species has a G -value in neat water, these yields are highly sensitive to the presence of solutes and radiation quality (type and energy). For example, about a microsecond the e_{aq}^- has a G -value of 2.8–2.9 ions/100eV from the γ -radiation of neat water.³² This yield decreases to 2.47 ions/100eV for 5 MeV accelerated He^{2+} ions,³³ and zero in the presence of 1 mM $NaNO_3$.³⁴ Therefore, one must consider all components of an irradiated system when comparing and invoking G -values in the study of actinide-containing solutions.

Page 8 of 74



The rate of reaction between a water radiolysis product (P) and a solute (S) is given by the following equation:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = -k_s[P][S] \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

Generally, [S] does not change much over the course of the reaction so it can be considered constant giving a pseudo first-order reaction rate, and the value of $k_s[S]$ is referred to as the “scavenging capacity” of this reaction. The inverse of the scavenging capacity provides the time regime of the scavenging reaction. For example, scavenging of the e_{aq}^- by NO_3^- occurs at $9 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$.³⁵ Therefore, in an aqueous 1 mM NaNO_3 solution, the scavenging capacity is $9 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ occurring within the sub-microsecond time regime ($1.11 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}$), i.e., the non-homogenous chemical stage.³⁶ Care must be taken with interpreting the chemistry of efficient radical scavenging solutes because at high solute concentrations they can scavenge the precursors of certain radiolysis products. For instance, at concentrations $> 1 \text{ M}$, NO_3^- can scavenge the precursor to the e_{aq}^- and H_2O^* .³⁷ Note, unless there are additional driving forces, such as Coulombic attraction between charged species or extended ion networks, rate coefficients cannot be faster than the diffusion limit of a reactive species in a specific solution. Rate coefficients can, however, be much slower than the diffusion-controlled limit due to a variety of factors, including steric hindrance and high activation energies.

The presence of multiple solutes can lead to competition for water radiolysis products, the partitioning of which is based on simple competition kinetics. Consider the scavenging of e_{aq}^- by NO_3^- with a rate coefficient of k_a in competition with the reduction of U(VI) by the e_{aq}^- with a rate coefficient of k_b . Competition between these two reactions is given by:



$$k_b[\text{U(VI)}]/(k_b[\text{U(VI)}] + k_a[\text{NO}_3^-]) \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

The scavenging capacity of each competing species is independent of the concentration of the e_{aq}^- and applies to both the non-homogeneous and homogeneous bulk regimes. Important limitations to consider when selecting a radical scavenging solute include its solubility, its reactivity towards a species of interest, and potential issues from radiolysis of the solute and solvent at high concentration.³⁸

Finally, water radiolysis leads to the formation of strong reductants (e_{aq}^-) and oxidants ($\cdot\text{OH}$) in about equal yields,^{39, 40} and thus, both oxidation and reduction can occur simultaneously




in irradiated aqueous solutions. This competition can lead to difficulties in determining which species is responsible for the observed chemistry. Consequently, radiation chemists commonly resort to saturating aqueous solutions with nitrous oxide (N₂O), which converts the reducing products of water radiolysis into additional $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals.⁴⁰



This conversion is nearly stoichiometric, leading to a doubling of $G(\cdot\text{OH})$ and associated yields of oxidizing reactions. In contrast, the yields of reducing reactions will decrease to zero in N₂O saturated solutions. Note, not all systems are completely oxidizing or reducing, so some variation of these results can be expected.

The discussed reaction kinetics are a result of indirect radiation effects. Direct radiation effects refer to chemical change arising from the direct absorption of radiation energy by a solute, as in by the solvent whereas indirect effects arise from the interaction of solvent radiolysis products with solutes. That said, under high solute concentration conditions (approximately > 1 M in aqueous solution), a solute will receive a fraction of the radiation energy absorbed by the medium, leading to the direct radiolysis of the solute. These direct radiation effects may decompose the solute in a completely different manner from the oxidation/reduction processes occurring by indirect processes. For instance, the direct radiolysis of NO₃⁻ leads to:⁴¹






Figure 2. Left – Timeline of radiation chemistry with the incorporation of metals for solution and solid-state media. Right – Experimental techniques that can be used for different irradiation analyses and associated timescales including spectroscopic techniques such as absorbance, *in-situ* Raman spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, absorbance spectroscopy, ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy (IR), nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (EPR), mass spectroscopy (MS), luminescence, X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES), extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy (EXAFS), and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Solid-state characterization techniques also include powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and thermogravimetric analyses (TGA)/differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).

4.2. A short overview on actinide elements

The actinides consist of elements 89–103 on the periodic table and the complexity of their aqueous chemistry is driven by their electron configuration. Valence electrons associated with the actinide elements are located within the $7s$, $6d$, and $5f$ -orbitals and the close proximity of the orbital energy levels leads to multiple oxidation states for a majority of the actinide cations. Table 1 summarizes information on the known oxidation states of the actinides from Chapter 15 of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements book,⁴² which provides an excellent detailed overview of the chemistry in this series. The authors also note that the most unstable oxidation states have been observed in solid state compounds or, more relevant for this audience, produced as transient species in solution by pulse radiolysis. **Figure 3** provides a standard redox potential scale for the actinide elements and common radicals produced from aqueous solutions (with common co-solutes) to further highlight how radicals produced during pulse radiolysis could influence the redox behavior of the metal cation. We note that the standard reduction potentials provided in **Figure 3** are taken from equilibrium conditions in 1 M HCl or HClO₄, but that non-equilibrium conditions can exist during radiolysis processes and are influenced by pH. For readers interested in additional information on the impact of pH on actinide redox behavior, we refer them to the “Atlas of Eh-pH Diagrams” published by the Geological Survey of Japan.⁴³

Table 1. Possible oxidation states for the actinide elements.^{42, 44, 45} The most stable oxidation states are shown in bold and unsubstantiated are indicated with question marks.

<i>Ac</i>	<i>Th</i>	<i>Pa</i>	<i>U</i>	<i>Np</i>	<i>Pu</i>	<i>Am</i>	<i>Cm</i>	<i>Bk</i>	<i>Cf</i>	<i>Es</i>	<i>Fm</i>	<i>Md</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Lr</i>
			1										1(?)	
	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	4(?)				
		5	5	5	5	5	5(?)		5(?)					
			6	6	6	6	6(?)							
				7	7	7(?)								
					8(?)									






Figure 3. Standard reduction potentials versus the Standard Hydrogen Electrode (SHE) for free radicals produced during the radiolysis of water and aqueous solutions of common solutes compared to the common oxidation states for the actinide elements under acidic conditions.⁴²

Across the actinide series, cations of the same charge tend to have similarities in coordination environments and some chemical properties. Both trivalent, An(III), and tetravalent, (AnIV) cations have coordination numbers that vary between 8–10 and show a strong tendency to solvate, hydrolyze, and oligomerize in aqueous solutions. Both pentavalent, An(V), and hexavalent, An(VI), actinides form strong covalent bonds to oxygen atoms to create actinyl ions (AnO₂ⁿ⁺, where n = 1 or 2) whereas heptavalent, An(VII), actinides form the tetraoxo species (AnO₄⁻). These high valent moieties can further coordinate to additional ligands in solution to create an overall coordination number between 6–8. Given the limited evidence of the divalent and octavalent states, the coordination environments of these species are unknown. The An(IV)/An(III) and An(V)O₂⁺/An(VI)O₂²⁺ redox couples are reversible, but the others are not, likely due to the barrier caused by the breakage/formation of the covalent actinyl bonds. Changes in standard redox couples and the overall chemical behavior of the actinides are observed in the presence of co-solutes and are also relevant to consider when evaluating how these cations will interact with free radicals produced during water radiolysis.

4.3. Actinide interactions with aqueous radiolysis products and common solutes

Adding actinide cations to aqueous solutions adds additional complexity to the cascade of radiation-induced processes discussed thus far, resulting in deviations in the expected chemical



behavior. In the current section, we summarize the existing state of knowledge regarding the irradiation of actinide-containing aqueous solutions and highlight key inorganic chemistry drivers that may be important to consider in these systems. To support these efforts, we have also created a series of tables (Supporting information, **Tables S1–S22**) that summarizes the previously reported radiation results for actinide elements Th–Cf in aqueous solutions. These tables consolidate information regarding experimental conditions (i.e., solutes, actinide concentration, and pH) and oxidation state changes of the actinide cations before and after irradiation to provide a clearer picture of the experimental conditions that have previously been reported in the literature. This review does not include discussions of Ac, Pa, or Es through Lr due to the limited information on the inorganic chemistry and irradiation of these species—opportunities for future study!

4.3.1. Hydrated electron (e_{aq}^-)

The hydrated electron (e_{aq}^-) is a powerful reducing agent ($E^\circ = -2.77$ V) capable of participating in single-electron reduction reactions with actinide ions in aqueous media.⁴⁶ Reduction by the e_{aq}^- is considered an elementary process, meaning that an intermediate actinide species does not form prior to the reduction process. An important consideration for inorganic actinide chemists is that the e_{aq}^- can reduce actinide ions traditionally considered stable in aqueous media, such as Th(IV) and Cm(III). Th(IV) has a calculated E°_{red} ranging from -2.09 to -2.36 V for its hydrated monomeric form, which means that the e_{aq}^- is capable of reducing Th(IV) to Th(III).^{47, 48} Electron pulse radiolysis of Cm(III), a species which is predominantly present as a trivalent ion in aqueous solution, has resulted in its transient reduction to Cm(II).⁴⁹ Although this species re-oxidized within approximately 20 μ s, one could envisage that under constant irradiation in a system that favored the formation of the e_{aq}^- , a small steady-state concentration of Cm(II) could be established. Morss reported an E°_{red} of -3.7 V for the Cm(III)/Cm(II) couple in 1994,⁵⁰ which is significantly larger than the reducing potential of the e_{aq}^- at -2.77 V. However, Mikheev *et al.* reported a Cm(III)/Cm(II) E°_{red} of -2.78 V,⁵¹ indicating that reduction is thermodynamically feasible, but the resulting Cm(II) would be ultimately unstable under these conditions, aligning with the findings from pulse radiolysis.⁴⁹ The curium study highlighted that validation of standard reduction potentials under a range of chemical conditions, particularly for the transuranic elements, is needed to provide better predictive capabilities for redox properties of the actinides elements subjected to ionizing radiation.



When considering the impact of the e_{aq}^- , pH and coordination environment of the actinide ion must be considered because redox properties are predicted to vary with metal hydrolysis and speciation. As mentioned above, Th(IV) has a calculated standard reduction potential ranging from -2.09 to -2.36 V for its hydrated monomeric form.^{47, 48} When factoring in hydrolysis, the standard reduction potential for the hydrolyzed forms of Th(IV)—such as $\text{Th}(\text{OH})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ and $\text{Th}_2(\text{OH})_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$ —shifts to -3.80 and -3.74 V, respectively⁵² (**Figure 4**), where the former is a monomer and the latter is a dimeric species. This shift suggests that actinide hydrolysis products may be less reactive towards the e_{aq}^- , although this is yet to be explored experimentally. Similar considerations need to be made beyond Th(IV), as other tetravalent actinides readily undergo hydrolysis. For example, Np(V) is reduced to Np(IV) by the e_{aq}^- , and further reduction to Np(III) can occur under acidic condition.⁵³ However, in neutral or basic conditions, the formation of a trivalent species may be limited because the resulting hydrolysis product, $\text{Np}(\text{OH})_4$, may be less prone to subsequent reduction.⁵⁴




Figure 4. Ball and stick models of **a)** $\text{Th}(\text{OH})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ and **b)** $\text{Th}_2(\text{OH})_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$ molecular hydrolysis products with their calculated reduction potentials. H, O, and Th atoms are colored pink, red, and green spheres, respectively.⁴⁸

While interactions between actinide ions and e_{aq}^- clearly demonstrate that reduction will take place, the lifetime of the reduced species is variable and strongly dependent on the chemical environment. Nenoff *et al.*⁵⁵ reported that the γ -irradiation of U(VI) in acidic media results in the formation of U(IV) as UO_2 nanoparticles. In this case, the one-electron reduction of U(VI) by the e_{aq}^- forms U(V), which is unstable in aqueous solution. The resulting U(V) rapidly disproportionates into U(IV) and U(VI), cascading the reduction process. This chemistry demonstrates the dynamic nature of these irradiated redox active systems and how subtle differences in chemical environment can influence the long-term behavior actinides.




4.3.2. Hydrogen radical (H•)

The H• is another strongly reducing ($E^\circ = -2.3$ V) water radiolysis product generated by a combination of excited state water (H_2O^*) fragmentation (reaction 4) and protonation of the e_{aq}^- (reaction 5):⁵⁶



Consequently, the yield and availability of the H• for reaction with actinide ions is tied directly to the acidity of the solution (number of free protons). Therefore, H•-mediated reduction reactions are less likely in basic pH media. Under acidic conditions, the H• has been shown to reduce several actinide ions and oxidation states⁴⁶ at rates typically one-to-two orders of magnitude slower than by the e_{aq}^- , which is consistent with their fundamental differences in chemical reactivity and respective redox potentials. Reduction of an actinide ion by the H• has been postulated to occur via an inner-sphere mechanism in which the H• adds to a coordinated water molecule, forming the hydronium radical ($\text{H}_3\text{O}^\bullet$). This species then undergoes an electron transfer with the metal ion center to generate the hydronium ion (H_3O^+), as shown in **Scheme 1**:⁵⁷



Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism describing the redox process for H• mediated actinide ion (An^{n+}) reduction.⁵⁷

Similar to the e_{aq}^- -mediated reduction, the long-term stability of H• reduced actinide species is dependent on the overall chemical environment. For example, the reduction of Cf(III) to Cf(II) by the H• radical⁴⁵ resulted in the reoxidation back to Cf(III) within the μs timeframe.⁴⁵ Metal ion reduction can induce disproportionation reactions as Saini *et al.*⁵⁸ observed for the γ -radiation of U(IV). The initially formed U(V) further transforms into a mixture of U(IV) and U(VI). Similarly, interactions with the H• reduces Am(V) to Am(IV)⁵⁹ but can also cause disproportionation of Am(IV) and Am(VI)⁴⁴. It is worth noting that all of the examples described above include the presence of HClO_4 as a co-solute, providing excess H_{aq}^+ to increase $G(\text{H}^\bullet)$ from water radiolysis. Yet, the presence of co-solutes (described in more detail in *Section 4.3.6*) further complicates the underlying chemistry (e.g., generation of ClO_4^\bullet and ClO_3^\bullet radicals, *Section 4.3.6*), which must also



be considered beyond the primary products of water radiolysis. Therefore, the discussed studies may not solely reflect H^\bullet chemistry, but other radical-driven processes as well.

Interestingly, unlike the e_{aq}^- , the H^\bullet has been shown to oxidize the trivalent oxidation states of Np and Pu.^{60, 61} This unusual behavior is likely driven by the more negative standard reduction potentials of Np(III) and Pu(III), -2.83 and -2.79 V, respectively.⁵² This observation adds an additional layer of redox complexity to multivalent irradiated actinide systems that needs further study.

4.3.3. Hydroxyl ($^\bullet OH$) and oxide anion ($O^{\bullet -}$) radicals

The $^\bullet OH$ is the most important oxidizing radical ($E^\circ = 2.8$ V) produced by water radiolysis due to its persistence beyond the lifetime of the radiation track and has been shown to interact with a wide variety of chemical constituents.⁶² This radical primarily exists in acidic to weakly basic media, whereas its deprotonated form ($O^{\bullet -}$, $pK_a = 11.9$) predominates under alkaline conditions.^{57, 62} In acidic environments, the $^\bullet OH$ undergoes one-electron oxidation processes with the majority of the actinide elements and their oxidation states, even with those whose redox potentials would suggest otherwise (e.g., Am(III), Cm(III), and Cf(III)).⁶³

Two mechanisms for the $^\bullet OH$ -mediated oxidation of trivalent actinides have been proposed and explored using experimental and computational techniques. The first mechanism, proposed by Berdnikov *et al.*⁶⁴, occurs through an H-atom abstraction/electron transfer from an $^\bullet OH$ in the second coordination sphere (**Figure 5a**). Codorniu-Hernández and Kusalik⁶⁵ investigated this pathway in bulk solution using density functional theory (DFT)-based *ab initio* molecular dynamics methods, predicting a rapid three-step process: (1) preorganization of the $[H_2O-OH]^\bullet$ transition state with weakening of the O-H bonds in the ligated water; (2) formation of an $H_3O_2^\bullet$ molecule and subsequent electron transfer from the coordinated An^{3+} ion to the bonded radical species; and (3) electron transfer/H-atom abstraction resulting in the formation of free H_2O and coordinated OH^- . The second proposed mechanism is an inner-sphere process proposed by Golub *et al.*⁶⁶ In this mechanism, the $^\bullet OH$ directly complexes to the actinide ion, leading to the rearrangement of the first coordination sphere (**Figure 5b**). This rearrangement is followed by electron transfer from the metal center to $^\bullet OH$, elimination of OH^- , and a return to the original coordination environment. This proposed mechanism is supported in part by Lierse *et al.*,⁶⁷ who measured rate coefficients using transient conductivity pulse radiolysis experiments for Np(III),



Cm(III), Bk(III), and Cf(III). The kinetic values were in good agreement with those predicted ($\sim 5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$),⁶⁷ however, the Lierse *et al.* study is limited to nanosecond time resolution, which may not be fast enough to provide definitive evidence for distinguishing between the two mechanisms.




Figure 5. Proposed $\cdot\text{OH}$ mediated oxidation schemes for H-abstraction by $\cdot\text{OH}$ of the inner hydration shell of the actinides (**a, outer sphere**) and rearrangement of the inner hydration shell followed by an OH^- elimination (**b, inner sphere**). The * indicates a radical species and – indicates an anion. Actinides (An), O, and H are represented as green, red, and pink, respectively. Hydrogen atoms were omitted from the aqua ligands for clarity unless deprotonation is described. Adapted from Lierse *et al.*⁶⁸

Oxidation of the early actinides (i.e., U, Np, Pu, and Am) from their lower valence states to higher valence actinyl states (AnO_2^{n+} , $n = 1$ or 2) involves more than just a simple electron transfer. It requires the additional formation of two axial oxygen bonds, leading to reaction rates that are significantly slower—by orders of magnitude—compared to the oxidation of An(III) to An(IV).⁶⁶






Figure 6. Ball-and-stick models of the hexavalent and pentavalent **a)** uranyl cation ($\text{UO}_2^{2+}/\text{UO}_2^+$) and potential geometries—**b)** tetragonal, **c)** pentagonal, and **d)** hexagonal bipyramids—that are found in solution and solid-state materials. Adapted from Plášil.⁷⁰

The penta- and hexavalent oxidation states form the actinyl moiety (AnO_2^{n+} , $n = 1$ or 2)—a linear dioxo cation that can accommodate additional coordinating ligands in the equatorial plane, adopting square, pentagonal, or hexagonal bipyramidal geometries, as illustrated in **Figure 6**.⁶⁹ It is theoretically possible for the $\cdot\text{OH}$ to coordinate through the equatorial plane as hydrolysis species are known in a wide range of compounds (**Figure 5**).⁷¹ U(VI) is known for binding strongly to hydroxide anions (OH^-) and there is some evidence that $\cdot\text{OH}$ can be complexed by U(VI) within peroxide clusters as reported by Lottes *et al.*⁷² Formation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in this case is likely due to the breakdown of peroxide and may be enhanced by the formation of the molecular cluster. However, it is unclear if the $\cdot\text{OH}$ or O^- radicals can be captured within monomeric U(VI) species, $[\text{UO}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ (**Figure 7a**). Further, it is unclear if stabilization can occur through bridging hydroxide groups (**Figure 7b and 7c**) or if weak interactions can induce the stabilization of these reactive species in solution.






Figure 7. Uranyl (UO_2^{2+}) complexes that can exist in aqueous solutions with hydroxide anions. **a)** $[\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$, **b)** $[\text{UO}_2(\text{OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{2+}$, and **c)** $[\text{UO}_2(\text{O})(\text{OH})_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^+$. U, O, and H are depicted as yellow, red, and pink spheres, respectively. Adapted from Quiles *et al.*⁷¹

As the available oxidation state increases to heptavalent for Np and Pu, there are additional considerations regarding interactions with $\cdot\text{OH}$ or $\text{O}^{\cdot-}$ beyond metal redox processes. Gamma radiation can induce the formation of Np(VII) as evidenced when Pikaev *et al.*⁷³ irradiated alkaline solutions saturated with N_2O and it can be chemically induced under basic conditions using ozone as the oxidant. Plutonium in alkaline conditions can also form the heptavalent state in the presence of oxidizing species, such as $\text{O}^{\cdot-}$.⁵⁷ While ozonolysis can create a variety of reactive oxygen species within the solution, a recent study by Kravchuk *et al.*⁷⁴ utilized DFT calculations to determine that $\cdot\text{OH}$ is the most favorable oxidant under these conditions. Oxidation from Np(VI) to Np(VII) again requires a change in the coordination geometry from the initial $[\text{Np}(\text{VI})\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ species found in basic conditions to the $[\text{Np}(\text{VII})\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_2]^{3-}$ species observed for the heptavalent state (**Figure 8**). As described earlier, the reaction of the $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical with the actinide ion involves both H-abstraction and electron charge transfer. Kravchuk *et al.*⁷⁴ evaluated the energetics of the individual steps of this reaction. The $[\text{Np}(\text{VI})\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ is more stable than the related $[\text{Np}(\text{VI})\text{O}_2(\text{O})(\text{OH})_3]^{3-}$ suggesting that deprotonation may not be the first step in the reaction. Both Np(VII) forms ($[\text{Np}(\text{VII})\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_2]^{3-}$ and $[\text{Np}(\text{VII})\text{O}_3(\text{OH})_3]^{2-}$) are predicted to be more stable than the Np(VI) forms, indicating that the electron transfer and protonation steps may occur simultaneously as the initial step in the process. This process is then followed by a second deprotonation step to form the final $[\text{Np}(\text{VII})\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_2]^{3-}$ species. The caveat to this study is that it does not contain additional hydrogen bonding interactions as did the work performed by Codorniu-Hernández and Kusalik;⁷⁵ thus, additional theoretical studies could yield new insights into the electron and hydrogen transfer steps in these reactions.⁶⁵






Figure 8. Diagram describing the formation of a stable Np(VII) species from Np(V) oxidation under basic conditions that was originally proposed by Kravchuk *et al.*⁷⁴ The $\cdot\text{OH}$ was determined to be the most likely oxidant and two metastable Np(VI) complexes were identified before oxidation to the Np(VII) occurs. Np, O, and H are depicted as blue, red, and white spheres, respectively.

4.3.4. Oxygen radicals (HO_2^\cdot , $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$, and $\text{O}_3^{\cdot-}$)

Formation of HO_2^\cdot ($E^\circ = 1.44 \text{ V}$), $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ ($E^\circ = 0.89 \text{ V}$), and $\text{O}_3^{\cdot-}$ ($E^\circ = 1.60 \text{ V}$) radicals occur upon the radiation of oxygenated aqueous solutions, where the concentration of these species is dependent on the incident radiation and the media. In aerated solutions, e_{aq}^- and H^\cdot react with dissolved O_2 to form $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ and HO_2^\cdot , respectively.⁵⁷ The yields of HO_2^\cdot and $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ from heavy ion radiolysis are greatly increased due to intratrack reactions.⁷⁶ The $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ reacts with H_2O ($1.0 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) to form O_2 , HO_2^- , and OH^- .⁷⁷ In the presence of proton sources (i.e., in acidic media), $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ will disproportionate to form either H_2O and O_2 or protonate to HO_2^\cdot , but the lifetime of $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ is prolonged with OH^- concentrations $> 1 \text{ M}$. The $\text{O}_3^{\cdot-}$ radical is said to form solely in basic media where O^\cdot reacts with O_2 but decays to form the $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ radical anion with a rate coefficient of $6.0 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Due to the reactive nature of these radicals in aqueous solution, most radiation studies mention HO_2^\cdot , $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$, and $\text{O}_3^{\cdot-}$ in the context of intermediates for the formation of peroxides. The



work by Gogolev *et al.*⁷⁸ evaluated the reactions of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ with Np(VI) and Np(VII) using pulse radiolysis, where they found no significant impacts to the oxidation state of either actinide ion. Both Np(VI) and Np(VII) were more susceptible to reduction by the e_{aq}^- rather than $O_2^{\cdot-}$. Similarly, ozonolysis is widely used by the actinide chemistry community to oxidize metal ions to higher valent states, but it is often other radical species such as $\cdot OH$ that are engaging in the oxidation process in radiolysis.

While there is limited information in the radiation chemistry literature on these species, there are a handful of studies from inorganic and physical chemists that evaluate interactions of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ and HO_2^{\cdot} with actinide cations. Very little is known about interactions with the tetravalent state, however, Meisel *et al.*⁷⁹ reported that a Th(IV)- HO_2^{\cdot} exists in solution through use of EPR spectroscopy. Gibson *et al.* have explored gas phase Pu(V) chemistry, noting the formation of a $[Pu(V)O_2(O_2)]$ complex through the decomposition of the oxalate starting material, which spontaneously oxidizes to $[Pu(VII)O_4]^-$.⁸⁰ During this process, $O_2^{\cdot-}$ reduces into two O_2^{2-} molecules to create the tetroxide Pu(VI) species. This suggests that in the absence of $\cdot OH$ radical, the $O_2^{\cdot-}$ can also engage in metal redox processes. More recently, there is evidence that $O_2^{\cdot-}$ can be stabilized both in solution and the solid-state when coordinated to U(VI). Scherrer *et al.*⁸¹ recently demonstrated oxidation of O_2^{2-} to $O_2^{\cdot-}$ within U(VI) triperoxide solids. They observed $O_2^{\cdot-}$ EPR spectral feature two days after dissolution of potassium uranyl triperoxide. These findings were the first known report using EPR detection of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ stabilized in water at room temperature without the addition of a spin trapping agent. This suggests that the U(VI) cation can stabilize $O_2^{\cdot-}$, which may influence the ability of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ to engage in subsequent chemical reactivity. Recent work has probed such reactivity within the solid-state,⁸¹ but additional studies are necessary to fully understand the bonding and reactivity of actinide superoxide complexes in ionizing radiation fields.

4.2.5. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 , HO_2^-)

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 ; $E^\circ = 0.88$ V, pH 14) and its deprotonated form (HO_2^- , $pK_a = 11.65$)⁸² are considered the more chemically stable species produced by the radiolysis of aqueous solutions.⁸³ Molecular radical species typically occur in higher yields with increasing LET, but H_2O_2 is produced by both γ - and α -irradiation of aqueous solution.⁸³ A majority of the H_2O_2 is produced within 1 μs following irradiation and its final steady-state concentrations is governed by



e_{aq}^- and H^\bullet atom chemistry in the homogeneous phase of radiolysis.⁸⁴ Studies with γ -radiation have found negligible changes in H_2O_2 concentrations over a pH range of 5–9, while lower pH affords higher H_2O_2 yields due to the protonation of e_{aq}^- in H^\bullet .⁸⁴ With high LET systems ($> 100 \text{ eV nm}^{-1}$), there was little-to-no change in the yield of H_2O_2 across the pH range of 3–10.^{83–85}

Interactions between H_2O_2 and actinide ions can either result in oxidation or reduction of the metal center, depending on the conditions present and a given actinide oxidation state's redox potential (**Figure 3**). While Th(IV) is unreactive towards H_2O_2 , uranium(III,IV,V) readily undergoes one-electron oxidation reactions with H_2O_2 .^{66,86} These oxidations will result in the formation of $O_2^{\bullet-}$; thus, the chemistry of the latter must also be considered when exploring H_2O_2 chemistry. Shilov *et al.*⁸⁷ also explored the radiolysis of Np(V) in pure water and determined that the formation of H_2O_2 did not change its oxidation state under these conditions, but instead formed a neptunyl peroxo-complex.

Given the stability of H_2O_2 and HO_2^- , much of our knowledge on actinide redox behavior with peroxide comes from the direct addition of H_2O_2 to solution. Th(IV) remains stable in the presence of H_2O_2 , but U(IV) and U(V) will oxidize to U(VI) species. Neptunium is more complex due to its variable redox behavior, with the resulting redox reactions being pH dependent. When Np(III) or Np(IV) are dissolved in acid and exposed to radiolysis products such as H_2O_2 , the metal center oxidizes through a one-step oxidation process. However, Tananev *et al.*⁸⁸ reported that Np(V) reduced to Np(IV) in 4.5 M HNO_3 , but oxidized to Np(VI) when the concentration increased to 7.5 M. With Np(VI) and Np(VII), the presence of H_2O_2 formed from solvent radiolysis results in reduction to lower valent states under basic conditions in lithium hydroxide.⁸⁹ Similar complexities exist for plutonium, where Pu(III) in the presence of H_2O_2 will oxidize to Pu(IV) in strong acidic conditions, but Pu(IV) will reduce to Pu(III) under weakly acidic conditions.⁹⁰ Pu(VI) will form either Pu(V) or a mixed Pu(V)/Pu(VI) solution.^{90, 91} Interactions of HO_2^- with Am(IV) result in either the oxidation to Am(V) in HNO_3 ,⁴⁴ or Am(V) and Am(IV) peroxo-complexes in weakly acidic aqueous solutions.^{92, 93}

Peroxides tend to form complexes with actinide cations, but there is a limited understanding of these species for lower valent oxidation states. Trivalent actinides do not typically form peroxide complexes, likely due to redox instability, but Th(IV) and Pu(IV) peroxide complexes have previously been reported.^{94–96} Only one Th(IV) peroxide coordination complex has been reported



by Galley *et al.* that contains three Th(IV) cations linked through μ_2 -peroxide bridges and capped by 2,2',6',2''-terpyridine and nitrate anions.⁹⁴ This sole complex could only be formed through the use of 40-year-old, unpurified ^{232}Th , which contained three orders of magnitude more disintegrations per second than fresh materials, resulting in radiolysis of the solution. Pu(IV) peroxo-complexes are postulated to form, including dimers $[\text{Pu}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{OH})]^{5+}$ and $[\text{Pu}_2(\text{O}_2)_2]^{4+}$ (**Figure 9a** and **9b**), but the two structurally characterized Pu(IV) peroxo-dimers were formed in a carbonate solution $(\text{K}_8[\text{Pu}_2(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\text{O}_2)_2(\text{CO}_3)_6] \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O})^{95}$ and $\text{Na}_8[\text{Pu}_2(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\text{O}_2)_2(\text{CO}_3)_6] \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**Figure 9c**).⁹⁷ These complexes contain two Pu(IV) cations bridged through $\mu_2\text{:}\eta^2\text{-}\text{O}_2$ ligands and further bonded to six bidentate carbonate ligands.⁹⁵ A tetrameric $[\text{Pu}_4(\text{O}_2)_6(\text{O})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}]_n^{2+}$ species (**Figure 9d**) has also been suggested based upon spectroscopic evidence, but has yet to be structurally characterized using X-ray diffraction techniques.⁹⁶ Pu(IV) peroxide complexes have previously been noted to form within irradiation experiments and are important within the nuclear fuel cycle, so additional efforts are needed to understand these phases.




Figure 9. Pu(IV) peroxo-complexes such as **a)** $[\text{Pu}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{OH})]^{5+}$, **b)** $[\text{Pu}_2(\text{O}_2)_2]^{4+}$, **c)** $\text{M}_8[(\text{CO}_3)_3\text{Pu}]_2(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\text{O}_2)_2 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (where $\text{M} = \text{K}$ or Na), and **d)** $[\text{Pu}_4(\text{O}_2)_6(\text{O})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}]_n^{2+}$. Pu, O, C, and H are represented as purple, red, grey, and pink spheres, respectively. Hydrogens on aqua ligands, outer sphere waters molecules, and counter cations were omitted for clarity.⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷



U(VI) peroxide chemistry is the most well-studied of the actinides outside of the radiation chemistry field and there are a range of complexes that can be formed depending on the pH. We refer the reader to review articles on U(VI) peroxides for a complete understanding of these complexes,^{98, 99} but highlight several important species herein. Upon α -radiolysis of water, the formation of uranyl peroxide complexes readily occur¹⁰⁰ and eventually lead to the precipitation of $[(\text{UO}_2)(\text{O}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (studtite) under acidic conditions.¹⁰¹ Using the direct addition of 30% H_2O_2 has led to a large range of structural topologies, including the formation of uranyl peroxide coordination complexes,¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ sheets,¹⁰⁵ crowns,¹⁰⁶ bowls,¹⁰⁶ and spherical clusters.^{98, 107, 108} Transformation from the monomeric coordination complexes $[(\text{UO}_2)(\text{O}_2)_3]^{4-}$ to the larger spherical clusters containing twenty-four U(VI) cations in $[(\text{UO}_2)(\text{O}_2)(\text{OH}))_{24}]^{24-}$ can occur through both the use of a transition metal catalyst (e.g., Cu(II)) or with exposure to γ -radiation.¹⁰⁹ This alteration suggests that the mechanism is the degradation of bound peroxide into hydroxide anions but warrants additional investigation.

Neptunyl peroxide complexes are also expected to occur for the pentavalent and hexavalent states, but their exact speciation is complicated because H_2O_2 can oxidize or reduce Np depending on the chemical conditions.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹² In both oxidation states, Np has been reported to form complexes with the peroxide ligand. For Np(V), there is some controversy over where stable peroxo-complexes form as it was originally postulated that the peroxo phase forms as a yellow-brown solution at high γ doses, but the exact oxidation state has not been definitively proven using spectroscopic techniques. Under acidic conditions, a fine precipitate forms but this has also not been conclusively linked to Np(V).³² A mixed phase Np(V)/Np(VI) peroxide cluster has been reported, with the Np(V) potentially inhabiting the central position inside the spherical molecular cluster, but that again has not been supported spectroscopically.¹¹³ A $[\text{Np}(\text{VI})\text{O}_2(\text{O}_2)_3]^{4-}$ species has been crystallized in the presence of Ca(II) anions under highly basic conditions.¹¹⁰ This species is isostructural to the U(VI) triperoxide phases, suggesting that the broad structural topology observed for U(VI) may also be observed for Np(VI) peroxide phases.

Higher valent plutonyl peroxides may also have importance although there is limited information about these species in solution. Reduction of Pu(VI) to Pu(IV) occurs quite readily under acidic conditions, but the reaction is influenced by acidity and temperature.^{114, 115} In this case, H_2O_2 causes the reduction of Pu(VI) to Pu(V) and the acidity determines the rate of disproportionation to form the Pu(IV) species. There is no evidence of a Pu(V) peroxide phase,





but this may be due to instability of the complex in acidic media and needs further study. Nash *et al.*¹¹⁶ noted the formation of a transient reddish-brown color when they added H₂O₂ to a 0.6 mM Pu(VI) stock under basic conditions, which they assumed to be a transient Pu(VI) peroxide phase based upon an absorption at 480 nm observed in the UV-Vis spectrum. They noted that the species undergoes reduction to Pu(V) over longer periods of time and that mixed valent Pu(V)/Pu(VI) peroxo-complexes may form upon aging.¹¹⁷

4.3.6 Impacts of co-solutes on the radiolysis of actinide cations



Aqueous solutions of actinides are often accompanied by co-solutes that can influence the range of radiolysis products available for reaction. These additional solutes or their radiation products can play a significant role in the overall chemistry of an irradiated actinide solution. The extent of co-solutes affecting the chemistry is dependent on competition kinetics; that is, the relative reactivity of the actinide or the co-solute with water radiolysis products. We will focus on co-solutes commonly used in the manipulation of actinide cations in solution, specifically NO₃⁻, nitrite (NO₂⁻), carbonate (CO₃²⁻), sulfate (SO₄²⁻), and halogen (X⁻) anions.




4.3.6.1. Nitrate and Nitrite

Actinide nitrates are widely used as precursors in basic science research and are ubiquitous in the reprocessing of UNF and the management of legacy waste.¹¹⁸ The direct radiolysis of NO₃⁻/HNO₃ solutions (reaction 6) leads to formation of additional NO₂⁻/HNO₂ and NO₃[•].¹¹⁹ The nitrate anion (NO₃⁻) is an effective scavenger of the e_{aq}⁻ (reaction 7), as well as the H[•] atom to a lesser extent.¹²⁰




As a result, in the presence of NO₃⁻, the reducing species from water radiolysis are transformed through a series of reactions (reactions 8–14) into nitrogen dioxide (NO₂[•]), nitrite (NO₂⁻), and nitrous acid (HNO₂):^{36, 121, 122}





Although less reducing than their precursors, these indirect $\text{NO}_3^{\bullet 2-}$ radiolysis products participate in redox reactions with most actinide oxidation states.¹²³⁻¹²⁵ For instance, HNO_2 has been shown to play a critical role in the radiation-induced redox chemistry of Np(VI) , Pu(VI) , and Am(VI) in concentrated HNO_3 solutions.¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶ In concentrated HNO_3 solutions, there is a non-negligible amount of undissociated HNO_3 that can react with $\cdot\text{OH}$ to produce the similarly oxidizing nitrate radical NO_3^{\bullet} , $E^\circ = 2.30\text{--}2.60\text{ V}$ ¹²⁷ (reaction 15):¹²⁸



The NO_3^{\bullet} radical has been demonstrated to be an integral part of the radiation-induced redox cycling of actinide oxidation states.

Though regarded as an oxidizer, neither Th(IV) nor U(VI) are reactive with NO_3^{\bullet} , but there is a model study that suggests U(IV) can be oxidized to U(V) in the presence of this species.¹²⁹ Although not directly impacting the actinide itself, a study by Boyle *et al.*¹³⁰ aimed to explore the role of the e_{aq}^- reducing an actinide cation but quickly discovered that the presence of minimal HNO_3 in solution in addition to that coordinated to the Th(IV) center impacted this chemistry. The radiolysis of Th(IV) nitrate solutions produced O_2 , H_2 , N_2 , N_2O , and $\text{NO}_2/\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$.¹³⁰ However, no changes in the oxidation state distribution of thorium were reported, so the stability of the Th(IV) cation under these conditions remains unclear in terms of the e_{aq}^- . If the Th(IV) cation is stable in this scenario, it may be due to the presence of the NO_3^- that can more readily capture the e_{aq}^- to form $\text{NO}_3^{\bullet 2-}$, which decomposes into NO_2^- species, creating oxidizing conditions. Previous work by Cook *et al.*³⁵ indicates that the strongly reducing $\text{NO}_3^{\bullet 2-}$ ($E^\circ = -1.1\text{ V}$) persists in solution for no more than $\sim 20\text{ }\mu\text{s}$ before converting into the oxidizing NO_2^{\bullet} . Therefore, the NO_3^- ligands coordinated to Th(IV) and in the solvation sphere may provide a shielding effect for the Th(IV) cation, but further research is needed to confirm this hypothesis. Therefore, coordination complexes used to enhance actinide solubility create a more complex environment where not only



must one consider the effects of water radiolysis on the actinide, but also the additional formation of reactive species from the coordinated ligands which can behave as a co-solute.

Though the early actinides have yet to be shown to be directly impacted by NO_3^\bullet , transuranic species have been experimentally reported to react with NO_3^\bullet . For a mixed Np(V)/Np(VI) system, Mincher *et al.*⁴¹ and Shilov *et al.*¹³¹ indicated that the oxidation of Np(V) to Np(VI) is due to NO_3^\bullet . In media containing HNO_3 or NO_3^- , Pu(III) was reported to oxidize to Pu(IV) regardless of aeration, doping with various gases, or left under ambient conditions.¹³²⁻¹³⁴ HNO_3 solutions of Am(III) have been reported to oxidize to a mixture of Am(IV) and Am(V).¹³⁵ Asprey *et al.*^{137, 138} reported the oxidation of Am(III) to Am(VI). This oxidation likely occurs due to the interaction of NO_3^\bullet with Am cations. In the case of Cm(III), a one-electron oxidation occurred in the presence of NO_3^\bullet ,⁴⁹ which was also observed for Cf(III) in 6.0 M HNO_3 .⁴⁵

One of the challenges in understanding the redox behavior of these systems is the ingrowth of the NO_2^- species and how that may impact the resulting actinide redox behavior. This complexity is exemplified in the mixed Np(V)/Np(VI) system explored by Mincher *et al.*,⁴¹ where after the initial oxidation to Np(VI), there was subsequent reduction to Np(V) at higher radiation doses. This reduction was linked to the formation of HNO_2 that influenced the overall redox distribution of the metal. This effect may also explain the behavior observed by Frolova *et al.*¹³⁹ where α -irradiation of Np(IV) at HNO_3 concentrations < 1 M formed Np(V) while concentrations > 1 M resulted in a mixture of oxidation states including (IV), (V), and (VI). Garaix *et al.*,¹⁴⁰ noted that the yields of HNO_2 increase with NO_3^- concentration until it reaches a plateau at HNO_3 concentration > 2 M. Reduction has also been observed for Pu(VI)¹⁴¹ and depends on the exact chemical conditions.^{44, 142} Interestingly, when the reactive species was NO_2^\bullet , the resulting optical spectra observed the formation of a mixture of both Pu(III) and Pu(V),¹⁴³ likely from the disproportionation of intermediate oxidation states.

Both NO_3^- and NO_2^- ligands can coordinate to actinide cations in solution, which has implications on the interactions with the corresponding radical species. There is some evidence that a weak inner-sphere $\text{Th}(\text{NO}_3)^{3+}$ complex exists in 1 M NO_3^- solutions¹⁴⁴ but for others it requires concentrations of 6 M NO_3^- or higher.¹⁴⁵ There are no experimental studies reporting Th(IV)– NO_2^- molecular species,¹⁴⁶ but there are suggestions that the NO_2^- complexes should be stronger than the corresponding NO_3^- species given that the nitrite is a stronger base. A



$\text{Np(V)O}_2\text{NO}_{2(\text{aq})}$ species may exist, but the results were challenging as the $\log_{10}\beta$ was determined to be -0.05 ± 0.05 .¹⁴⁵ Similarly, plutonium species are assumed to be negligible. This speciation data suggests that direct inner sphere interactions between actinide cations and NO_3^- or NO_2^- will be relatively insignificant, except when the actinide cations are in high acid concentrations. Thus, additional work in this area is needed to fully understand these interactions.

Vladimirova *et al.*^{147, 148} reported that the irradiation of Pu(VI) can form the intermediate excited-state Pu(VI)* species, which dimerizes with ground-state Pu(VI). These Pu(VI)*-Pu(VI) dimers are then reduced to Pu(V) in concentrated ($> 8 \text{ M}$) HNO_3 . Plutonyl- NO_3^- complexes are understudied as there are only a handful of reports containing structural or spectroscopic characterization, but no dimeric species have been identified. Reilly *et al.*¹⁴⁹ isolated a hydrolyzed Pu(VI) dimer under basic conditions (**Figure 10**) suggesting the potential speciation present in nitrate system. In this case, the two Pu(VI)O_2^{2+} cations are connected through $\mu_2\text{-OH}$ bridges and surrounded by additional aqua ligands in the equatorial plane and may be similar to the species that could exist in the nitrate system. Maurice *et al.*¹⁵⁰ utilized DFT calculations to explore the nature of Pu(V/VI)- NO_3^- complexes created from electrospray ionization and noted that $[\text{Pu(V)O}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2]^-$ and $[\text{Pu(VI)O}_2(\text{NO}_3)_3]^-$ can be formed under these conditions. They also noted the formation of a $[\text{Pu(VI)O}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{O})]^-$ can be created from the release of NO_2^- and is more favored than oxidation to a Pu(VII) phase.





Figure 10. Pu(VI) dimer $[\text{Pu}_2\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$ observed experimentally in solution under basic conditions by Reilly *et al.*¹⁴⁹ and are displayed as line (left) and ball and stick models (right). Hydrogens on aqua ligands were removed for clarity. H, O, and Pu atoms are depicted as pink, red, and purple spheres, respectively.

Alpha particle irradiation of plutonium in HNO_3 has also been explored under a range of concentrations. Pu(III) can be stabilized in a solution that contains mixtures of $\text{HNO}_3/\text{HNO}_2/\text{NO}_2$, oxidized to Pu(IV) in 1 M HNO_3 or HNO_2 , and form Pu(IV) peroxo-complexes or Pu(VI) in dilute (0.12 M) HNO_3 (Scheme 2). Andreichuk *et al.*^{151, 152} observed stabilization of Pu(IV) if the α -particle flux was kept below 0.3 Gy s^{-1} for several years; however, exceeding this dose rate



resulted in a mixture of Pu(IV) and Pu(VI). They indicated that this oxidation at higher doses was due to the formation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ and $\text{NO}_2\cdot$ species, and that the presence of H_2O_2 would reduce any higher-valent species in the system, resulting in mixed valence states.^{151, 152} If the dose rate remained below 4.6 Gy s^{-1} in 6 M HNO_3 , the only product was Pu(VI), but once this concentration was exceeded Pu(IV)– NO_3^- complexes were observed in the solution.






Scheme 2. Concentration dependence of α -particle irradiated HNO_3 solutions leads to the formation of Pu(IV), Pu(V), and/or Pu(VI). Adapted from Andreichuk *et al.*^{151, 152}

An additional study by Vladimirova *et al.*^{147, 153} explored γ -ray irradiation of a mixed Pu(VI) and Np(VI) stock in HNO_3 . Under all irradiation scenarios, Np(VI) was reduced to Np(V), but a dose $> 320 \text{ Gy}$ was necessary for Pu(VI) to reduce to Pu(V). Vladimirova *et al.*¹⁵⁴ suggested the easier reduction of neptunium was due to Np(VI) having higher reduction rate coefficients with radiolysis products, allowing for the reduction of Np(VI) to Np(V) prior to the reduction of the Pu(VI) species. Initial stages were confirmed via kinetic modeling to support the reduction of Np(VI), but the model could not account for the reduction rates of Pu(VI), other than that the dependence of Pu(VI) retention relies on the concentration of Np(VI) and Pu(VI).

4.3.6.2. Carbonate

The carbonate radical ($\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$, $E^\circ = 1.78 \text{ V}$) is formed upon radiolysis of solutions containing carbonate (CO_3^{2-}) or hydrogen carbonate (HCO_3^-). Direct ionization of CO_3^{2-} and interactions with $\cdot\text{OH}$ are described in reactions 16–18:^{155, 156}






The formation of $\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$ through interactions with $\text{O}^{\cdot-}$ has been postulated, yet there is lack of experimental evidence that provides characteristic spectroscopic signatures associated with this species; therefore, a reaction scheme has yet to be reported involving $\text{O}^{\cdot-}$. Oxidation of actinide cations is predicted to occur upon interacting with $\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$ as it is known for being a strong one-electron oxidant, but the formation of e_{aq}^- may cause additional complexities in the redox behavior of the system.

The challenges with understanding the redox behavior of actinides in the presence of irradiated carbonate solutions is highlighted by studies with the neptunyl cation. Pikaev *et al.*¹⁵⁷ specifically noted oxidation of Np(V) to Np(VI) in NaHCO_3 after irradiation, but Np(V) in a Na_2CO_3 solution does not change valence states. In the case of Np(VI), reduction to Np(V) occurs in Na_2CO_3 but it remains stable in NaHCO_3 . It has been suggested that the redox stability is related to the metal center being protected by the ligated carbonate anions in the molecular complex. Carbonate does form strong complexes with both Np(V) and Np(VI) where $[\text{Np(V/VI)O}_2(\text{CO}_3)]^-$, $[\text{Np(V/VI)O}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2]^{3-/2-}$, and $[\text{Np(VI)O}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3]^{4-}$ are all possible, depending on pH and carbonate concentration.¹⁵⁸⁻¹⁶⁶ However, the exact carbonate speciation has yet to be determined in these systems.

Similar redox behavior has been observed for interactions between $\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$ and plutonium. Gogolev *et al.*^{167, 168} reported both the reduction and oxidation of a $\text{Pu(IV)}-\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ complex to Pu(III) and Pu(V), but do not comment on the outcome. For low-valent species like Pu(III) and Pu(IV), the metal cations undergo a one-electron oxidation when exposed to $\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$.^{53, 169} Pu(IV) is predicted to form $[\text{Pu}(\text{CO}_3)]^{2+}$,¹⁷⁰ but Pu(IV) carbonatohydroxo complexes (reactions 19 and 20) can also form because hydroxide is a competitive ligand in these systems:








Pu(V) was noted to be stable within irradiated carbonate solution.¹⁷¹ The stability of the Pu(V) state is interesting because $[\text{Pu(V)}(\text{CO}_3)_3]^{5-}$ generally occurs in equilibrium with its



disproportionation species (reaction 21).¹⁷² This result suggests that potentially another Pu(V) carbonate species is responsible for the redox stability under these conditions.

4.3.6.3. Sulfate

Sulfate ($\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$) radical ions ($\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$, $E^\circ = 2.43 \text{ V}$)¹⁷³ are formed through the direct ionization of SO_4^{2-} (reaction 22) or indirect interactions between $\text{HSO}_4^-/\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ with $\cdot\text{OH}$, $\cdot\text{H}$, or $\text{HO}_2\cdot$ (reactions 23–26).¹⁷⁴ In acidic media, the predominant species is HSO_4^- , yet in weakly acidic ($\text{pK}_a = 1.9$) or neutral conditions, the primary form present is SO_4^{2-} . There is, therefore, a concentration dependence for the formation of $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$, where the starting reactant will lead to varying secondary radical species.¹⁷⁵



The presence of $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$ in most systems will lead to oxidation of the actinide cation. For example, $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$ reacts with U(IV)¹⁷⁶ and U(V)¹⁷⁷ to induce a one-step oxidation. Similarly, Np(III), Np(IV) and Np(V) are all readily oxidized by $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$.^{157, 169, 178-181} In H_2SO_4 , the generated $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$ has been shown to oxidize both Pu(III) and Pu(IV) to Pu(IV) and Pu(V), respectively.^{132, 169} Similarly, Am(III) and Cf(III) react with $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$, to form their respective tetravalent species.⁵⁷ These experimental results were collected by pulse radiolysis studies and the steady-state species were not reported. It is important to evaluate the longer timescales consequences of $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$ chemistry (i.e., steady-state conditions) to provide additional information regarding the persistence of non-equilibrium actinide species and complexes in radiation environments, such as monomeric cations, hydrolyzed species, or sulfate complexes.

Sulfate radicals may play a secondary role in the redox behavior of the actinides when other radicals are present in the system. While α -particle irradiation of U(IV) in neat H_2SO_4 results in a one-electron oxidation, U(IV) is stabilized in a mixed $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{HClO}_4$ solution.^{32, 58} The importance of HClO_4 in the mixture was not discussed, but reactive species formed from HClO_4 may interact




with water radiolysis products or $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$, preventing further oxidation from taking place. In addition, the presence of O_2 in the solution may also drive the redox chemistry in irradiated sulfate solutions. Boyle *et al.*,¹⁸² determined that in O_2 saturated systems, U(IV) is oxidized but subsequently undergoes a one-electron reduction step. The valence state remained unchanged in deaerated systems, suggesting the oxidation of U(IV) to U(VI) may be driven by $\cdot\text{OH}$ and $\text{HO}_2\cdot$, and at a later stage U(VI) is reduced back to U(IV) by the e_{aq}^- . More systematic studies are necessary to elucidate the mechanisms that prohibit or enhance radical formation and what controls the metal redox behavior in SO_4^{2-} containing actinide solutions.

With more redox active actinide cations, like plutonium, irradiation of SO_4^{2-} containing solutions leads to complex behavior. When a mixture of Pu(V) and Pu(VI) or pure Pu(VI) was irradiated in H_2SO_4 , it resulted in the reduction of both species to Pu(IV).¹⁴¹ Molecular complexes have been identified by Wilson *et al.* including $[\text{Pu}(\text{SO}_4\text{H})]^{3+}$, $[\text{Pu}(\text{SO}_4)]^{2+}$, and $[\text{Pu}(\text{SO}_4)_2]$,¹⁸³ and it was determined that bidentate coordination of the sulfate to Pu(IV) is more thermodynamically favorable than the monodentate form.¹⁸³

4.3.6.4. Halogens

Dihalogen radical anions ($\text{X}_2^{\bullet-}$) are well-known oxidants that can be formed by irradiating halide-containing solutions, although they are typically less oxidizing than $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$. Monoatomic halide radicals ($\text{X}\cdot$) are also formed upon radiolysis of halogen-containing solutions,¹⁸⁴ but nothing has been reported on their interaction with actinide cations. When HClO_4 is present in solution, both $\text{ClO}_4\cdot$ and $\text{ClO}_3\cdot$ radicals can be formed (reaction 27):¹⁸⁵



in addition to other reactive species such as e_{aq}^- , $\text{O}^{\bullet-}$, and $\text{O}\cdot$.¹⁸⁰

The interactions between $\text{X}_2^{\bullet-}$ —specifically $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$, $\text{Br}_2^{\bullet-}$, and $\text{I}_2^{\bullet-}$ —and actinide cations have been investigated in acidic media using pulse radiolysis. In HClO_4 , $\text{X}_2^{\bullet-}$ species oxidized U(III) to U(IV), while $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$ generated from irradiated NaCl and HCl led to the one-electron oxidation of U(V) to U(VI).^{138, 181} U(III) and U(IV) irradiated in both aerated and deaerated HCl resulted in the oxidation of each species,³² likely due to the formation of $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$ from the media. One-electron $\text{X}_2^{\bullet-}$ mediated oxidations have also been reported for Np(III), Np(V), Pu(III), Am(III), and Cf(III).^{32, 128, 181}



Both reduction and oxidation of the actinide cations can be observed in HClO_4 solutions depending on experimental conditions. In dilute HClO_4 ($< 1 \text{ M}$), Np(IV) oxidizes to Np(V) ¹⁸⁷ with α -particles and at higher concentrations ($> 1 \text{ M}$), Np(IV) can be converted to Np(VI) . In solutions containing both Pu(III) and Pu(IV) in HClO_4 , the product contained only Pu(III) ;¹⁴¹ thus, the Pu(IV) was reduced under these conditions. In samples containing Pu(IV) in concentrated HClO_4 , both Pu(III) and Pu(VI) was observed and the formation of Pu(VI) peroxo-complexes were suggested to form in solution.¹⁴¹ When Pu(V) in LiClO_4 was irradiated with α -particles, Pu(V) disproportionated into Pu(IV) and Pu(VI) and led to the formation of insoluble Pu(IV) colloids formed from Pu(IV) hydrolysis.¹⁸⁸ Frolov *et al.*¹⁸⁹ observed similar behavior when Am(III) and Cm(III) were placed in a saturated solution of NaClO_4 where Am(V) , Am(III) hydroxides, and Cm(III) hydroxides formed upon α -particle radiolysis. The only clean reduction reaction reported in halogen systems is that by Zielen *et al.*,¹⁹⁰ where α -particle radiolysis of Np(VI) in HClO_4 of varying concentrations reduced to Np(V) .

In general, halogens form weak interactions with the actinide elements, with the F^- anion being the exception.^{188, 191} Actinide speciation in HClO_4 media is dominated by aqua complexes because of weak interactions with the ClO_4^- anion, meaning that the previously reported studies are representative of those complexes.¹⁸⁹ This result is likely why the Pu(V) reduction to Pu(IV) in LiClO_4 ¹⁴¹ and studies on Am(III) and Cm(III) in NaClO_4 ¹⁸⁹ enabled hydrolysis and formation of colloidal particles.¹⁸⁸ Similarly, in HCl , HBr , and HI , the X^- concentrations reach several molar before the halogen anions can complex with the actinide cation.¹⁹² Fluoride is the only halogen that binds strongly to the actinide and forms stable complexes under dilute solutions; however, there are no studies exploring the irradiation of these solutions in the current literature.¹⁹³

5. Solid-State Chemistry

Irradiation of compounds in the solid-state provides atomistic-level perspectives on defects and changes in materials when exposed to radiation. Diffusion is hindered in the solid state, so irradiation of these materials gives an understanding of their initial decomposition due to direct radiation effects. Moreover, a thorough understanding the behavior of actinides in ionizing radiation fields relevant to nuclear fuel is a high priority for their safe and effective storage. Solid nuclear fuel materials are subjected to extreme conditions³ such as high radiation, high temperatures and aggressive media like strong acids that promote corrosion processes. Corrosion



of UNF presents significant long-term risks for their storage and sequestration from the environment.^{194, 195} While the development of radiation-resistant solid materials remains of interest for the disposal of UNF, it is crucial to determine what risks may be present following irradiation of the actinides to mitigate the release of potentially harmful radioactive materials in the environment.¹⁹⁶ Therefore, understanding the behavior of solid-state actinides, specifically in terms of the metal center and complexes formed, following exposure to ionizing radiation is crucial in determining what risks may arise after a breach of UNF containment vessels.

Herein, we highlight the current state of radiation research on solid-state materials and discuss the impact of radiation fields on redox behavior, metal complexation, and changes to the crystalline lattice. The solid-state chemistry covered in this review will be limited to inorganic crystalline materials and MOFs, which have previously reported structural characterization or detailed atomistic-level understanding. Glasses and ceramics will only be briefly summarized, as there are several high-quality reviews dedicated to these materials in the literature.^{10, 11, 197-199} Again, we provide a summary of the studies that explored the irradiation of actinide-bearing solid materials in the Supporting Information Section, **Tables S23-S26**.

5.1. Brief summary of glasses and ceramics

Glasses remain a high priority for the long-term disposal of actinide-bearing nuclear materials where the elements of concern have half-lives in the thousands to millions of years.¹¹ Transforming liquid waste into a glass product is termed *vitrification* and this process has the potential to immobilize problematic radionuclides, while minimizing the space required for storage relative to liquid waste forms. However, studies have unveiled that both intense short-term and prolonged radiation exposure lead to decomposition of the glass and induce bubble formations from ionization-driven radiolytic processes.¹⁰ Additionally, microfracturing can take place (viewable by optical microscopy) after prolonged radiation exposure and decay events within glasses,¹⁰ which is problematic because it increases the surface area within the material and results in leaching of the radionuclide from the wastefrom.²⁰⁰ For a more in-depth assessment of glasses, we refer readers to Gin *et al.*¹⁹⁸, who has provided an extensive review discussing factors that can be considered to rationally design durable materials for long-term nuclear waste storage.

Ceramics have been investigated as host materials to immobilize actinides in high-level nuclear waste due to their ability to withstand significant radiation damage, but there are notable



defects that occur within this group of solids. In ceramics (e.g., apatite, perovskite, pyrochlore/fluorite, zircon, and zirconolite structure types),²⁰¹ the most typical defects resulting from irradiation of solid-state materials is an anion vacancy or F-center (also called a color center), which is caused by a free electron inhabiting a void space in the lattice (**Figure 11**).²⁰² Formation of F-centers result in a color change due to band gap filling; thus, optical spectroscopy is an excellent tool for this subset of materials.²⁰³ Within metal-oxide crystals it is typical to observe F_s -centers (F-centers isolated on the surface), which have smaller transition energies than a traditional F-center and usually form on the surface of the material.²⁰⁴ These defects can quickly deteriorate under ambient conditions via the adsorption of oxygen or by thermal induced escape of the electron from the trapped site. The other class of defects that are common among radiation studies are Frenkel defects, where the smaller ion, typically the cation, leaves its position in the crystalline lattice, thus creating a vacancy, then relocates in a nearby site becoming an interstitial cation. The formation of both the vacancy and interstitial cation is often denoted as a Frenkel pair.²⁰⁵ Some defects produced from ionizing radiation exposure can be annealed, ultimately reversing the observed radical formation and damage. One study by Griffith *et al.*²⁰⁶ reported that additional impurities imbedded within the material can lead to a variety of defects and those impurities (i.e., Pb), observed oxidation state changes that further perturb the equilibrium in the lattice.




Figure 11. Model of F-center defects (left) and Frenkel defects (right) in the solid-state. Cation and anions are represented by pink and green spheres, respectively, whereas the dashed circle represents a vacancy site. Adapted from Leitner *et al.*²⁰²

While ceramics, like many insulators, are considered relatively impervious to radiation damage, the crystalline materials eventually become amorphous upon radiation exposure (whether externally or internally generated). Amorphization is typically accompanied by swelling (increased



material volume), increase in tensile strength, and higher levels of leachability for the actinide immobilized within the ceramic (rates on average increase by factors of 10–100).²⁰⁷ For a more detailed review regarding physical changes in ceramics upon irradiation, comprehensive reviews have been provided by Weber *et al.*,²⁰⁸ Thomé *et al.*,¹⁹⁹ and Tracy *et al.*²⁰⁹

Though previous literature provides insightful findings regarding macroscopic effects and lattice expansion, few studies report atomistic-level details such as vibrational mode changes or radical formation from experimental spectra. Thus, the next sections focus on atomistic-level details—those beyond physical changes discussed *vide supra*—to understand the chemistry associated with changes upon irradiation.

5.2 Metallic and Intermetallic materials

Metals exhibit varying degrees of radiation resistance, influenced by their atomic structure, alloy composition, and microstructural characteristics. In general exposure to ionizing radiation can harden a metal as atoms are moved from their original site within the crystallographic lattice, forming defects and dislocations. This hardening process strengthens the material at the same time it embrittles it, resulting in a loss of ductility. Within intermetallic or alloys, exposure to radiation can lead to atom diffusion and segregation, creating phase separations and voids. The formation of defects and voids can also impact the behavior of electrons and phonons in the system, increasing electrical resistivity and thermal conductivity. Similarly, semiconducting materials can display both transient and permanent changes upon exposure to ionizing radiation due to the formation of charge carriers.²¹⁰ These charge carriers can temporarily increase the conductivity but will lead to permanent failure of the semiconducting material when they are accumulated or trapped within defects or vacancies.

Irradiation of metallic and intermetallic phases have only been studied for Pu-based compounds. This compilation includes pure γ -Pu and group 13 intermetallics (PuAl₂, PuGa₃, PuCoGa₅, PuCoIn₅, PuPt₂In₇, and Pu₂PtGa₈).²¹¹ As an α -emitter, Pu decay creates radiation-induced swelling in materials where the recoil energy and ballistic effects from the daughter products and the emitted α -particles cause damage to the lattice. These energetic events cause displacement of the atoms and ultimately lead to the formation of Frenkel pairs, vacancies, and self-interstitials (**Figure 12**). These 0-D defects can then lead to 1-D defects, such as grain



boundaries and lattice dislocations, resulting in macroscopic swelling and formation of voids.²¹²⁻

214




Figure 12. Representation of Frenkel defect formation in δ -Pu. Adapted from Wolfer.²¹²

5.3. Oxides

Radiation effects in oxide materials are the most well studied due to the importance of these compounds for the nuclear fuel cycle. More specifically, the major focus is on the AnO_2 *fcc*-fluorite structure due to the use of UO_2 in light water power reactors and the impacts of γ -rays, α -particles, and heavy-ion bombardment.^{215, 216} However, the total number of studies for this group of compounds is quite limited, indicating that there are significant areas for continued discovery and advancement.

Several studies have explored the optical spectroscopy associated with ThO_2 to better understand the nature of swelling that occurs upon irradiation. Upon γ -rays and ion beam irradiation, the starting material mainly remains intact; however, F-centers are created upon the formation of oxygen vacancies (V_O).^{21, 217-220} The presence of V_O sites can further perturb the lattice, creating dislocation loops and Frenkel defects from the Th(IV) cation shifting into an interstitial position and leaving behind a second lattice vacancy (**Figure 13**).^{221, 222} These shifts from equilibrium positions in the lattice can then lead to volume expansions, thus explaining the swelling observed in ThO_2 . Dennett *et al.*²²³ further characterized this process for H^+ -irradiation using spectroscopic techniques, observing the formation of ThO_{2-x} , ThO_{2+x} , and Th_4O_9 on the



surface of ThO₂, further emphasizing the necessity to use both surface and bulk characterization techniques to understand the full effects of radiation exposure.




Figure 13. Modified figure from Park *et al.*,²²⁴ shows the various defects in the ThO₂ unit cell.

UO₂ has been investigated with a multitude of techniques, such as PXRD and Raman spectroscopy, which provide additional information into the formation of point defects that then grow into dislocation loops and lines upon dual ion beam irradiation.²²⁵ Formation of dislocation loops and lines are further supported by Beauvy *et al.*²²⁶ using UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopy they demonstrated that these defects are due to the oxidation of U(IV) to U(V) and the formation of V_O sites within the lattice. These point defects perturb the lattice and eventually lead to higher-dimensional defects. Guimbretiere *et al.*²²⁷ explored the effects of He²⁺ ion beam and internal α -particle irradiation using ²³⁹Pu on UO₂ using Raman spectroscopy, where they observed a blue shift in the original T_{2g} peak and the ingrowth of additional peaks at higher wavenumbers associated with UO_{2+x} and U₄O₉. The presence of these phases supports the formation of V_O sites within the lattice and the oxidation of the U(VI) cation within these materials.

When Am(III) was incorporated as the internal α -particle source in UO₂, partial oxidation of both U and Am to U(V) and Am(IV) was observed regardless of the atmospheric conditions.^{228, 229} The use of an internal source provides critical evaluation of materials that undergo constant irradiation by showing the long-term effects of prolonged exposure. As such, U(IV) typically oxidizes to U(VI), however, the incorporation of Am(III) into UO₂ results in the formation of U(V). It is also noted by Prieur *et al.*²²⁹ that when U(V) is present in a mixed oxide with Am(III), the local environment around the U cation is perturbed, establishing new lattice equilibria to accommodate for the change in cation size, and suggests that U(V) becomes the preferred oxidation state in the material.



While oxidation of U(IV) is widely reported, only one study indicated that U(VI) oxides/oxyhydroxide phases are reduced to the tetravalent state. Tracy *et al.*²¹ indicated that heavy-ion bombardment of γ - UO_3 and a mixed oxyhydroxide, metaschoepite (88% α -uranyl hydroxide, 12% $[(\text{UO}_2)_8(\text{O}_2)(\text{OH})_{12}] \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$), under vacuum led to the formation of nanocrystalline UO_{2+x} . This reduction was suggested to occur through electronic excitation, followed by expulsion of oxygen along ion track cores. Tracy *et al.*²¹ also indicates that uranium oxides, which can readily undergo metal redox reactions, exhibit radiation damage accumulation behavior different than that of oxides with stable metal centers like ThO_2 . This study provides an intriguing mechanism for the reduction processes that may occur for U(VI) materials in the absence of O_2 but may not represent the final product in an aerobic environment. Benjamin *et al.*²³⁰ noted that irradiation of metaschoepite by a He^{2+} ion beam did not result in the formation of U(IV), instead observing dehydration to γ - UO_3 followed by post-radiation transformation into studtite.

Redox changes of the actinide cation are not the only way that oxide materials are impacted by radiation. Mosley *et al.*²³¹ reported on the self-radiation of several Cm(III) oxides where the swelling of the lattice varied depending on the overall symmetry of the material. They observed that swelling of *fcc*- CmO_2 was twice that of *bcc*- Cm_2O_3 , and furthermore, no swelling was noted for the monoclinic Cm_2O_3 phase. Mosley *et al.*²³¹ also reported the stabilization of *bcc*- Cm_2O_3 , a high temperature metastable form, under ambient conditions. This observation indicates that radiolysis of solids may induce the formation of metastable phases not predicted to occur under standard conditions.

5.4. Peroxides

Peroxide materials have relevance in the nuclear fuel cycle and have been identified as secondary alteration phases on surfaces at waste sites, such as the corium lava at Chernobyl.²³² Multiple studies have been performed at the University of Notre Dame to investigate changes in peroxo-bearing U(VI) materials, including U(VI) triperoxide coordination complexes, large molecular U(VI) peroxide clusters, and studtite.^{109, 230, 233-235} For both γ -particle and α -particle irradiation, the materials exhibited structural changes through the formation of hydroxide anions or formation of the amorphous U_2O_7 phase and weakening of the uranyl bond.²³⁶ Interestingly, upon irradiation of the monomeric material $\text{Li}_4[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_3] \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (LiUT), formation of the $\text{Li}_{24}[\text{UO}_2\text{O}_2(\text{OH})]_{24}$ (LiU_{24}) cluster was observed, which at high radiation doses (400 kGy)



degrades into $\text{Li}_2[(\text{UO}_2)_3\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_3]_2 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$.¹⁰⁹ Fairley *et al.*,²³⁵ noted a red shift for the symmetric UO_2^{2+} stretch (ν_1) in the Raman spectra for the molecular clusters and studtite after irradiation by γ -rays; however, degradation of studtite and the U_{60} cluster to form amorphous U_2O_7 occurred upon He^{2+} ion irradiation. Uranyl peroxide clusters containing pyrophosphates also observed reduction of the metal cation into mixed oxidation states of U(IV), U(V), and U(VI).

Additional efforts by Emory *et al.*²³³ noted that exposure of $\text{Li}_{28}[(\text{UO}_2)_{28}(\text{O}_2)_{42}]$ (LiU_{28}) to He^{2+} ion radiation leads to decomposition of the cluster at high doses and eventually direct air capture of CO_2 . Fairley *et al.*²³⁴ also reported the transformation of uranyl peroxide materials to uranyl tricarbonates upon radiation exposure under ambient conditions (**Figure 14**), ultimately capturing CO_2 from the atmosphere. This work highlights potential formation mechanisms of secondary mineral phases containing uranyl triperoxides and their transformations into other mineral phases.

It is worth noting that the radical forms of the hydroxide and peroxide ligands ($\cdot\text{OH}$ and $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$) could be present within the irradiated peroxide materials. This aspect was not evaluated in the previous studies and thus no conclusions can be made on their formation from direct irradiation. However, research by Kravchuk *et al.*¹⁰⁴ and Scherrer *et al.*^{81, 237} have previously identified the presence of $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ within uranyl peroxide materials without exposure to external ionizing radiation, suggesting that superoxide species can exist within these materials. Two of these studies reported that uranyl triperoxides form uranyl peroxo-superoxide species over the course of a few weeks under inert conditions and that these uranyl peroxo-superoxide complexes will undergo direct air carbon capture under ambient conditions.^{81, 104} Thus, the formation of the uranyl superoxide has been linked to the first step in CO_2 capture, but more research into the radical formation is necessary to elucidate the associated mechanism taking place under irradiation.




Figure 14. Direct CO_2 capture by a uranyl triperoxide moiety upon exposure to α -radiation, resulting in the formation of a uranyl tricarbonates species. Adapted from Fairley *et al.*²³⁴



5.5. Halogen containing materials

Actinide fluorides are important intermediate compounds in the formation of metallic actinide phases and are also used in the preparation of targets; thus, their behavior in high radiation fields is of interest. Steindler *et al.*²³⁸ evaluated the behavior of PuF₆ upon γ -ray irradiation, observing reduction to PuF₄. Investigations by Stacy *et al.*²³⁹ evaluated CaF₂ doped with An(III) (where An = U, Np, Pu, Am, or Cm). Upon γ -ray irradiation under ambient conditions, the majority of the An(III) readily oxidized to An(IV) within CaF₂, as evidenced with optical spectroscopy. The one exception is the Am(III) doped materials where Stacy *et al.*²³⁹ and Edelstein *et al.*²⁴⁰ both observed reduction of Am(III) to Am(II) concomitant with F-center formation, although it is not readily clear why the reduction occurs for just this one dopant. Due to valence state changes, multiple studies report a rearrangement of symmetry for the CaF₂ due to formation of defect sites, increase of interatomic distances, and structural disorder.

The only solid-state berkelium study was reported by Silver *et al.*²⁴¹ on the effects of self-irradiation on a mixture of Bk(III)/Bk(VI) iodates. They noted that Bk(IO₃)₃ converted to Bk(IO₃)₄ from the oxidation of Bk(III) to Bk(IV). This process occurs due to the high self-activity of ²⁴⁹Bk where these materials preferentially oxidize to Bk(IV). More insight is necessary to understand the impact of the iodate ligands present on the material since Bk is a low-energy β -particle emitter that could potentially induce radical formation within the lattice itself, perturbing the metal-ligand coordination over time.

5.6. Nitrates

As discussed in *Section 4.3.6.1*, actinide nitrates are ubiquitous in UNF separations processes, and their corresponding solid-state materials can help understand their atomistic-level decomposition and behavior due to direct radiation effects that will occur at high concentrations. Rao *et al.* investigated the γ -ray radiation induced formation of radicals in [K(UO₂)(NO₃)₃], [K₂(UO₂)(NO₃)₄], and [(NH₄)(UO₂)(NO₃)₃] solids using EPR.²⁴² They observed the formation of two different signatures in their EPR spectra after irradiation but could not definitively assign these features to specific radical species. More recently, Kruse *et al.*²⁴³ reported γ -ray radiation-induced changes in [M(UO₂)(NO₃)₃] complexes, where M = Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, and observed similar signatures as those reported by Rao *et al.*²⁴² Additional DFT calculations and predicted EPR signatures performed on model complexes, including the second sphere coordination environment,



demonstrated that the bidentate nitrate anion in uranyl trinitrate becomes monodentate following radiation exposure due to the formation of the NO_3^\bullet , as illustrated in **Figure 15**. The use of solid-state materials provides insight into atomistic-level changes with control over the coordination environment and second-sphere interactions that can elucidate small changes upon irradiation. Although solid-state materials are traditionally regarded as immobile, the formation of molecular defects highlights the small but significant changes to the solid that can cascade into further reactivity. These small changes may provide critical information that can assist solution-based irradiation studies.




Figure 15. a) DFT calculation of uranyl trinitrate models without considering interactions of alkali metal counter cations. b) DFT calculation of uranyl trinitrate where alkali metal counter cations are integrated into the calculation. Adapted from Kruse *et al.*²⁴³

5.7. Phosphorus-based materials

Phosphate materials, including monazite-based ceramics, are important materials that have been evaluated for the long-term storage of nuclear materials, but there are additional actinide phosphate phases that have also been evaluated at the atomistic level. EPR, thermoluminescence, and X-ray photoluminescence spectroscopy were used to evaluate the formation of radicals within γ - and heavy-ion irradiated $\text{Th}_4(\text{PO}_4)_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$.²⁴⁴ Both phosphate- and diphosphate-based radicals



were identified, which can behave as oxidizing agents and form additional species.²⁴⁴ Additional degradation products were observed from heavy-ion irradiation of $\text{Th}_4(\text{PO}_4)_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$, including ThO_2 , $(\text{ThO})_3(\text{PO}_3)_2$, and P_4O_{10} on the surface of the starting material,²⁴⁴ additional studies are necessary to determine the extent of degradation product formation throughout the bulk material.

Self-irradiation of phosphates has also been explored for AnPO_4 ($\text{An} = \text{Am(III)}, \text{Pu(III)}$) and in both cases the crystalline starting material underwent rapid amorphization. Powder X-ray diffraction was used to evaluate crystallinity, and the lack of any discernable peaks occurred at $2.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ Bq g}^{-1}$ and $0.55 \times 10^{18} \text{ Bq g}^{-1}$ for AmPO_4 and PuPO_4 , respectively. However, Dacheux *et al.*²⁴⁵ noted that 2-billion-year-old (U,Th) PO_4 monazite samples were found to be well-crystallized even with observable radiation damage. These samples contain small nanodomains of lattice distortions and Seydoux-Guillaume *et al.*²⁴⁶ suggested that the structure itself can undergo self-annealing to remove defects caused by irradiation. Nanodomains within the self-irradiated AmPO_4 sample were observed using transmission electron microscopy imaging and the ^{31}P nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy indicated no change in the local coordination environment.²⁴⁷ This result suggests that over time there could be some annealing effect, but the exact mechanism is unclear.

5.8. Metal-organic Frameworks (MOFs)

As a newer class of materials, MOFs are promising candidates for a range of applications (i.e., asymmetric catalysis, gas storage/separation, nonlinear optics, chemical sensing) because of their unique properties, and are also being evaluated for their use in the nuclear fuel cycle.²⁴⁸⁻²⁵⁰ While there are several studies that focus on transition metal and main-group MOFs for capture of fission products or other radionuclides important to the waste stream, this section will specifically focus on actinide-containing MOF materials.

Most studies in the literature on MOFs focus on the durability of the material upon irradiation and highlighted the importance of the organic linker²⁵¹⁻²⁵³ and the metal node.²⁵⁴⁻²⁵⁶ Hastings *et al.*²⁵⁵ reported the importance of the identity of the metal nodes within the MOF for obtaining increased structural stability, where thorium was found to enhance the stability of a UiO-66 MOF compared to plutonium. They also noted that the strength of the metal-ligand complexation is positively correlated with MOF structural stability upon irradiation and that self-irradiation within the Pu-UiO-66 MOF results in additional recoil effects that degrade the structural



integrity of the material more readily. A Th-based MOF reported by Gilson *et al.*²⁵⁴ reported the highest radiation stability of a MOF to date, which completely amorphized by 25 MGy of α -particle radiolysis. This study indicated that properties beyond absorption of energy by the metal node affect the radiation resistance of MOFs, such that the framework as a whole must be evaluated to holistically understand these properties. Zhang *et al.*²⁵⁷ explored Pu(IV) and Am(III) MOFs (Pu-TPO and Am-TPO where TPO = tris(4-carboxyphenyl)-phosphineoxide) and demonstrated self-radiation resistance as no degradation of the material was initially noted for Pu-TPO and Am-TPO. However, α -particle radiation induced swelling was observed over the course of a few months for Pu-TPO. The authors suggested that the organic linker contributes to this stabilization due to the presence of extended π -systems where the delocalization of electrons can occur upon irradiation. However, α -particle and recoil energy within self-irradiated materials generally contribute to the amorphization of crystalline materials, so it is unclear how this material is more resistant to these types of forces. Further systematic work with both the metal and organic linkers are necessary to elucidate the rational design of MOFs with high structural stability towards radiation damage.

Gilson *et al.*²⁵⁸ provided additional insights into how MOFs may degrade under γ -ray irradiation by utilizing vibrational spectroscopy. They reported the formation of a Np(V) MOF containing 4',4'',4''',4''''-methanetetrayltetrabiphenyl-4-carboxylic acid that displayed structural stability until 3 MGy of γ -ray radiolysis at which point the amorphization of the material occurred. However, most of the spectroscopic signatures of the material remained unchanged up to 6 MGy of γ -ray irradiation. Only subtle changes in the vibrational spectroscopy are noted and are linked to carboxylate vibrational modes. This observation suggests it is the metal-ligand coordination that is most readily impacted following irradiation although the exact nature is still unknown. The stability of the material to γ -rays may be associated with the aromaticity of the tetracarboxylate ligand. However, it is interesting to note the redox activity of neptunium and the presence of actinyl-actinyl interactions that may also enhance disproportionation reactions. Reduction to Np(IV) would not be readily observed in the vibrational spectra and there are differences in the UV-Vis-NIR spectra reported by Gilson *et al.*²⁵⁸ that may be indicative of redox changes.

One of the key properties of MOFs is their ability to have cavities or be porous in nature; thus, additional studies evaluated changes in porosity and uptake of guest molecules with exposure



to ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiation exposure has been reported to enhance the sorption properties within main-group and transition metal MOFs,²⁵⁹⁻²⁶² but has not been evaluated for actinide-bearing materials. Li *et al.*²⁵⁹ explored the structural radiation-resistance of two Th-MOFs built from aromatic dicarboxylate linkers where structural stability was maintained up to 0.2 MGy. The authors explored the capability of the two MOFs to capture the fission product I₂, which is important in the proper disposal of nuclear waste, and both systems showed efficient I₂ adsorption (258–473 mg g⁻¹). However, these adsorption studies were only conducted pre-irradiation so the impact of irradiation on the I₂ uptake is unclear. It is important to expand these studies to understand not only the structural stability, but also the porous nature of the MOF upon ionizing radiation exposure, which can lead to changes in sorption capacity. This concern is demonstrated by Volkringer *et al.*²⁶¹ who completed a comprehensive study of various MOFs pre- and post-irradiation to understand changes in efficacy of N₂ sorption via BET analyses. Although this study does not include actinide-based MOFs, it represents an example of how the nature of the pores and impacts of irradiation on MOF materials can go beyond structural stability.

Lastly, it is important to note that MOFs are also being explored in radiomedicinal applications to assist in diagnostics, therapeutics, and a combination of both (theranostics). Mezenov *et al.*²⁶³ reported a more in-depth analysis of MOFs, including non-actinide MOFs, and their changes to external stimuli such as radiation. Some MOFs, specifically a Th-MOF reported by Andreo *et al.*,²⁶⁴ are also being explored as radiation detectors for imaging where autoluminescent properties from the decay of the actinide can aid as a sensor. Most studies in this area utilize MOFs without actinides; however, actinides can be promising candidates to advance this area of research due to their inherent radioactive decay which can be implemented in either detection and/or treatment.

6. Intersection of solution and solid-state: Radiolysis effects of corrosion and environmental systems

While the last two sections of this review article focused on either aqueous solutions or solid-state materials, many chemical systems of interest are more complex. It is the intersection of these two-phase boundaries that can lead to important chemical reactivity. Chemistry occurring at surfaces and grain boundaries plays a significant role in the behavior of UNF in storage facilities, the evolution of nuclear materials over time, and the transport of actinides in environmental



systems.^{265, 266} With this in mind, the current section focuses on exploring the impacts of water radiolysis on solid-state actinide materials.

Overwhelmingly, studies have shown that the γ -radiolysis of adsorbed water significantly affects the behavior of UO_2 fuel. This process enhances the oxidation of U(IV) to U(VI) ^{261, 262} by reacting with H_2O_2 or $\cdot\text{OH}$ from water radiolysis or through secondary species like $\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$ derived from dissolved CO_2 .²⁶² These radiation-induced redox reactions produce secondary U(VI) alteration phases ($\text{UO}_{2.33}$) and significantly increase the dissolution rate of UO_2 .^{261, 264-266} The rate of oxidation is dependent on the one-electron transfer by the oxidant. Ekeröth and Jonsson indicate that reactions with $\cdot\text{OH}$ or $\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$ are diffusion controlled, but the reaction between UO_2 and H_2O_2 may be associated with a Fenton-like mechanism.^{269, 273} Christensen and Sunder also note that diffusion is not a major contributor to UO_2 oxidation; thus, only a thin layer of water ($< 100 \mu\text{m}$)²⁷⁴ is needed for this process to take place.^{267, 270, 271}

Sunder *et al.*²⁶⁷ also report that oxidation of UO_2 fuel is dependent on the flux of the α -particle radiation.²⁶⁷ These studies were conducted using an internal α -particle radiation source (^{241}Am) and they observed that a dose equivalent to $5 \mu\text{Ci}$ will convert UO_2 to $\text{UO}_{2.33}$, but a dose $\geq 250 \mu\text{Ci}$ oxidizes the fuel to U_3O_7 —a result dependent on accumulated dose. Additional studies using high energy He^{2+} ions (10^{10} – $10^{11} \text{ He}^{2+} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) supplied by a cyclotron found that UO_2 in the presence of water will alter to a hydrated uranyl peroxide upon irradiation.²⁷⁵⁻²⁷⁹ Chemical experiments that introduced H_2O_2 to the solution also produced this hydrated U(VI) peroxide phase, but Corbel *et al.*²⁷⁹ noted that the behavior of UO_2 dissolution is different between these two types of experiments. When H_2O_2 is produced by irradiation, the rate of uranium release from the interface is much higher than with simple H_2O_2 addition. This observation is consistent with H_2O_2 dissolution studies performed by Hickman *et al.*,²⁸⁰ suggesting that it is not just H_2O_2 alone that enhances UO_2 dissolution in high radiation fields.

While the importance of H_2O_2 in the oxidation of UO_2 has been clearly demonstrated, there are some differences regarding the production of this molecular species between the specific type of incident radiation (γ -rays and α -particle irradiation). Jegou *et al.*²⁸¹ identified that the presence of gaseous O_2 enhances the production of H_2O_2 in γ -ray radiolysis and Sarrasin *et al.*²⁸² demonstrated using *in-situ* Raman spectroscopy and ^{18}O labeled water that the peroxide bond contained a significant amount of ^{16}O . This observation indicates that the formation of H_2O_2



involved the dissolved $^{16}\text{O}_2$ and related radical species (i.e., HO_2^\bullet , $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$). However, Perrot *et al.*²⁸³ utilized the same approach for α -particles and found that the peroxide bond contains mostly ^{18}O , demonstrating that the formation of H_2O_2 in this case occurred through the recombination of $^\bullet\text{OH}$ produced from water radiolysis. These results align with expected LET effects and the typical yields for water radiolysis for the two types of radiation.




Figure 16. Diagram of the formation of studtite from the oxidative dissolution of UO_2 from water radiolysis including both γ - and α - incident radiation pathways. Adapted from Perrot *et al.*²⁸³

Formation of peroxide alteration phases has been further explored and found to be somewhat dependent on the chemical environment. The hydrated peroxide phase studtite and dehydrated phase metastudtite $[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ consume H_2O_2 in a reaction with UO_2^{2+} , leading to their formation as a solid layer for both γ -rays and α -particles (**Figure 16**). Further α -particle radiolysis of studtite led to the formation of a reactive species and its conversion to metaschoepite, $[(\text{UO}_2)_8(\text{O}_2)(\text{OH})_{12}] \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$, in the presence of water. Additional UO_2 alteration experiments also noted the formation of schoepite $[(\text{UO}_2)_8(\text{O}_2)(\text{OH})_{12}] \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$.²⁷⁸ These studies were performed with de-ionized water, but if UO_2 was exposed to environmentally relevant conditions, such as ground water, then the products and chemistry could be altered. Ground water contains various solutes that can act as radical scavengers that, when paired with neat water radiolysis, diminish the already low concentrations of H_2O_2 resulting in decreased schoepite formation. With a high initial



H₂O₂ concentration that exceeds the scavenger concentration, studtite and/or metastudtite is most favorable.²⁸⁴

Although these studies have provided foundational information for the effects of water radiolysis on UO₂ species, other studies have also explored the behavior of real UNF for extended periods of time. Hanson *et al.*¹⁹⁴ exposed commercial UNF (c-UNF) to water without any external radiation source and observed the corrosion phases present on the surface of the fuel. Crystallites of metaschoepite were observed at short times on hydrated c-UNF particles; however, over two years of water contact, metaschoepite was no longer present and the c-UNF was instead coated with studtite and metastudtite. Thus, c-UNF under long-term storage conditions likely undergoes radiation-induced oxidative corrosion and the relationships between schoepite and studtite phases should be further explored to understand the nature of the reactive alteration phases.²⁷²

It is also important to highlight work by Jégou *et al.*²⁸⁴ and Büppelmann *et al.*²⁸⁶ that explored the impacts of radiation on transuranic oxide materials in aqueous solutions. Jégou *et al.*²⁸⁵ investigated the impacts of γ -ray radiolysis on MOX-47, [Pu,U]O₂, material in water, resulting in the oxidation of Pu(IV) to Pu(V), and U(IV) to U(VI). Pu(IV) was found to be more stable over time than the U(IV) oxide materials, and soluble Pu(V) complexes were found to form Pu(OH)₄ colloids from hydrolysis upon aging.²⁸⁵ Büppelmann *et al.*²⁸⁶ explored the redox behavior of α -particle irradiated PuO₂ and Am(IV)(OH)₄ in high ionic strength (< 5 M NaCl) solutions. With irradiation of the high-ionic strength solution in both air and Ar, Pu(IV) oxidized to Pu(VI).²⁸⁶ Am(III) can also be oxidized to Am(V) under irradiation in air but remains stable in argon and 0.6 M NaCl. As the pH increased to 8 and the NaCl concentration increased to 3 M, oxidation of Am(III) to Am(V) was noted, indicating that pH and ionic strength play a role in the chemical species.

Increasing the complexity of the solution is important for understanding environmental transport; thus, Vladimirova *et al.*²⁸⁷ explored the behavior of PuO₂ in ground water exposed to γ -rays. They observed reduction of PuO₂ to a more soluble Pu(III) form by the reaction with H₂O₂. The amount of Pu in solution can be linked to organic acids in ground water, particularly fulvic and mellitic acids, that form soluble complexes. Further dose accumulation studies noted that high doses such as 35 kGy result in the complete reduction of Pu(IV), whereas lower doses result in only 35% solubilized plutonium in ground water. This difference is due to disproportionation



reactions where Pu(IV) interacts with water radiolysis products to produce Pu(III) and Pu(V), and H₂O₂ further reduces the remaining Pu(IV) to Pu(III). Thus, the direct interface of PuO₂ with ground water will generate radiolysis products to reduce Pu(IV) to Pu(III), increasing material solubility, leading to environmental contamination.

Although most studies in the literature focused on the oxidative dissolution of actinide oxides, some experiments observe the reduction and precipitation of oxide materials. Rath *et al.*²⁸⁸ reported the electron beam of U(VI) nitrate hexahydrate dissolved in N₂-purged aqueous solutions containing 10 wt% propan-2-ol resulted in the formation of UO₂ nanoparticles. The particles were unstable in the presence of O₂, resulting in reoxidation to U(VI). However, if the solution was purged with N₂ and irradiated a second time, the UO₂ nanoparticles reformed. This study demonstrates that the irradiation of U(VI) bearing solutions, particularly in complex waste streams that contain organic solvents, can lead to the unanticipated formation of colloidal materials and particulates. Additional studies are needed to fully understand these processes.

7. Challenges, Opportunities, and Visions

This review has summarized most of the work completed thus far for the irradiation of both aqueous solution and solid-state actinide-containing systems. These ranged from cutting-edge experiments to explore the pico-second evolution of radical species in solution and changes to metal oxidation state, to focusing on the identification of radiolysis products and other long-lasting changes to actinide materials. Bridging the gap between pulse radiolysis and longer time scale dose accumulation studies can lead to a better mechanistic understanding of the behavior of actinides in these reactive environments that have important implications in the nuclear fuel cycle. In the following sections we highlight opportunities to enhance our understanding of actinides in high radiation fields through a variety of chemical approaches.

One of the main challenges with both actinide and radiation chemistry is that each require specialized facilities and licensing to complete the work. However, the infrastructure needed to irradiate materials and work with radioactive samples are quite different, so there are only a handful of facilities globally that can handle both. This limitation leads to challenges in developing this important chemistry more fully; thus, it is important to continue investments in these two areas. In addition, much of the infrastructure and workforce in actinide and radiation chemistry is



aging, thus an influx of funds to support upgrades and educate the next generation is necessary to continue developing the field.

It is also important to continue expanding the chemical systems that are evaluated by irradiation experiments. While there have been significant and important efforts in some systems (i.e., water, nitrate solutions, and oxide solids), it is somewhat surprising how few co-solutes and solid-state material classes have been explored to date. Additionally, studies on the radiolysis of organic solvents with actinides are needed because there is limited information regarding the actinide chemistry in these systems. Preliminary studies have been conducted on solely the organic solvent,^{289, 290} but the impact of radiolysis products in conjunction with actinides remains underexplored. With the development of next generation reactors and advanced reprocessing strategies for complex waste streams, it is important to increase our understanding of radiolytic effects on a broader spectrum of chemical environments.

Common analytical techniques utilized by inorganic chemists were listed in **Figure 2**. These techniques fit within the toolbox of the inorganic chemist and can provide information on bonding and speciation that are crucial to developing a complete picture of actinide behavior within these systems. Some of these techniques are not routinely utilized in radiation chemistry, but the further development of these and other advanced methods to achieve shorter timescales can provide additional signatures to enhance our understanding of the chemical timescales for the metal species.

Continuing to explore and expand the time scales of actinide radiation chemistry experiments will lead to additional insights into the long-term behavior of these materials. Several studies have reported radiation-induced reaction kinetics and changes in actinide oxidation states, but few studies have reported steady-state dose accumulation products. Further, pulse radiolysis experiments provide important insight into the mechanistic details and yields of products upon radiolysis; however, few report the resulting complexes with the actinides present in solution. Bridging the physicochemical stages with the non-homogenous and homogenous stages would provide insights into the broader chemical system. Many actinide elements typically persist for tens to thousands of years due to the radioactive lifetime of species present;²⁹¹ therefore, long-term dose accumulation studies (e.g., days, weeks, years) need to be conducted to fully understand the impacts of radiolysis on reprocessing and the storage of nuclear waste.^{292, 293} Since these timescales



are difficult to achieve at irradiation facilities, continued work on internal radiation experiments are necessary to provide additional data for modeling chemical behavior.

One of the challenges with spectroscopic approaches is the deconvolution and interpretation of the resulting spectra. This effort can be particularly challenging in the case of actinides as the $5f$ -orbitals have complex electronic transitions, speciation, and redox behavior. Therefore, additional efforts must be put forward by inorganic chemists to provide a fundamental understanding of the chemical signatures for these actinide species. This advancement can occur by aqueous phase or solid-state model complexes and with the development of chemical surrogates (i.e., chemical radical initiators, peroxide generators) to mimic specific radicals produced during radiolysis. Enhancements in the detection of low concentrations at fast time frames will enhance our understanding of actinide speciation in the presence of reactive species formed through radiolysis.

Additional efforts in developing existing and novel computational methods in the physical, physicochemical, and chemical stages could also enhance our understanding of actinides in high radiation fields. Much of the computational efforts in radiation chemistry track structure utilizes Monte Carlo simulations and deterministic methods, which enables important information on generation of radical species and their respective yields and kinetics.²⁹⁴⁻²⁹⁷ These calculations are essential for pulse radiolysis experiments to account for the fast kinetics ($\sim 10^{-12}$ s), and can extend to longer timescales as well to model the chemical speciation (i.e., H_2O_2 , H_2) of interest to inorganic chemists.²⁹⁸⁻³⁰⁰ One of the challenges with these methodologies is that all equilibrium reactions must be accounted for and setting up these calculations can be time consuming, requiring ~ 90 reactions for neat water radiolysis alone.²⁹⁸ The models described above only partially account for changes in actinide speciation and have focused solely on the solution, therefore the development of models for actinide speciation is necessary.

Some studies have explored aqueous solutions on the surface of UNF to understand the radiation-induced dissolution process.³⁰¹⁻³⁰⁴ These simulations are reported to be fairly accurate when homogeneous rate constants are used. Importantly, Eriksen *et al.*³⁰⁵ notes spatial dose rate coefficients are needed for calculating the irradiation of materials at short times ($< 10^{-6}$ s) but are less important at longer times (> 1 s). In general, these calculations are time consuming, therefore, the use of spatial distribution will make calculations more expensive. The tradeoff is that without



employing spatial distribution, the results are less accurate. For calculations over longer periods, a steady-state approach is fairly accurate; however, reaction mechanisms and kinetics cannot be reliably determined using this method. Thus, the user must recognize these models may assume poorly fitting rate coefficients and unrealistic mechanisms, even though the results may still match experimental yields. Therefore, reaction rate coefficients must be determined experimentally and used in the model. Additionally, mechanisms must be verified by the user to ensure they are chemically sound. A more in-depth review has been provided by Eriksen *et al.*³⁰⁵ in regard to this predictive modelling approach for radiation-induced dissolution of actinide solids.

DFT methods are now commonly utilized by inorganic actinide chemists to understand speciation, determine energetics, predict spectral signatures, explain trends in bonding, and explore electronic structure within molecules and extended solids.²⁹⁶ Recent solid-state irradiation studies²⁴³ have implemented various DFT calculations, specifically for aid in radical characterization,²⁴³ including the use of programs like ORCA³⁰⁶ which can be used to calculate *g*-factors identified in EPR spectroscopy and are becoming quite accurate with the inclusion of relativistic effects (i.e., ZORA),³⁰⁷⁻³⁰⁹ necessary for actinides. Spin densities can be visualized using Chemcraft³¹⁰ programs as well, to provide important insights into the interaction between the metal cation and the radical species. To gain highly-accurate calculations, Complete Active Space Self-Consistent Field (CASSCF),^{311, 312} dynamic electron correlation N-Electron Valence state Perturbation Theory 2 (NEVPT2),³¹³ and spin orbit coupling using Quasi Degenerate Perturbation Theory (QDPT)^{314, 315} are being developed for these complex systems.³¹⁶ For *g*-factor calculations, a matrix can be calculated after employing CASSCF methods through Effective Hamiltonian,³¹⁷ which may enable more accurate predictions for irradiated materials.³¹⁸

There are benefits and challenges associated with standard DFT that can arise within radiation chemistry; therefore, understanding its limitations is crucial. Benefits of DFT include geometry predictions, determination of bonding networks including bond orders, bond lengths, and bond angles, calculating dispersion forces—all of which have had great success in many computational studies.^{296, 319} However, the use of DFT requires the user to screen various basis sets and functionals to test which works best for each system.³²⁰ Without proper screening of basis sets and functionals, large errors can easily arise. Benchmarking is essential for any set of calculations involving different actinide structures because a single basis set may not be universally accurate for all systems. Additional considerations include the treatment of the actinide



and its $5f$ -orbitals. Different corrections can be made to treat the electrons in the f -orbitals as either localized or delocalized, which can also impact the results for actinide-ligand interactions, especially when determining radical signatures associated with the actinide complexes.³²¹⁻³²⁴ Overall, DFT typically does a poor job for calculating bulk solution chemistry.³²⁵ However, when investigating the effects of a single outer-sphere shell of water or discrete network of water and an actinide moiety, DFT can still be used.^{326, 327} The dynamics in which electrons move throughout a system are important, and some solvation calculations have reported surface hopping dynamics of electrons;³²⁸⁻³³⁰ however, gaining accuracy in these systems pushes towards gas- or condensed-phase calculations that may not accurately represent the behavior of the system.³³¹ Gas- and condensed-phase calculations typically represent ideal behavior of complex interactions. Ultimately, the environment chosen for the calculation is crucial and can easily complicate computational methods.

Additionally, DFT calculations use many approximations, such that typical calculations are usually ground-state calculations.³³² In terms of materials exposed to ionizing radiation, it is likely that both ground- and excited-states can arise. Thus, the use of a multireference methods such as CASSCF would be more favorable to account for excited-states. That said, there are also challenges with multireference methods, including the choice of chemical space—a common challenge in general when using DFT—and selecting specific orbitals and symmetries to calculate. Therefore, possessing chemical knowledge of the system can aid in determining the relevant chemical space and limiting the number of calculations to be run to a reasonable number to save computation time. Additionally, one can choose to make one part of their system multireference while the rest can be treated as periodic DFT, leaving many choices for the user to make. For a more comprehensive review of advantages and disadvantages with using DFT, we recommend a review written by Cohen *et al.*³³² and a perspective by Burke.³²⁵

In summary, continued development of instrumentation and data analysis procedures by inorganic and radiation chemists will lead to broader improvements in the identification and fundamental understanding of actinide species in both solution and solid-state chemistry. Inorganic chemists and their perspectives can provide critical insight into this realm of research, creating a unique perspective for the radiation chemistry of actinide-containing materials. However, collaborations between inorganic and radiation chemists are imperative so that experiments are performed safely and interpreted correctly. These collaborations provide valuable insights into the



behavior of actinides in high radiation fields, which will ultimately drive progress in the safe handling and storage of nuclear materials, improved strategies for UNF reprocessing, and aid in the remediation of environmental contamination.

Author Contributions

All authors have participated in the writing of this manuscript and have given approval to the final version.

Author Information

Corresponding Authors

Samantha J. Kruse — Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-4261-2024

Tori Z. Forbes — Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-5234-8127

Authors

Sarah K. Scherrer — Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-4809-5451

Gregory P. Horne — Center for Radiation Chemistry Research, Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID, 83415, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-0596-0660

Jay A. LaVerne — Radiation Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 46556, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-8383-7476

Funding Sources

Kruse acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program (NSF GRFP-1945994). Forbes was supported by U.S. DOE, Basic Energy Sciences, Heavy Elements Chemistry under award DE-SC0023995. Horne was supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Solar Photochemistry Program under award DE-SC0024191. LaVerne was supported by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences, Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, US-DOE through Award No. DE-FC02-04ER15533, document number NDRL-5458.



References

- (1) Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Actinide Chemistry at the Extreme. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2019) 58:3, 1721-1723. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b03603.
- (2) Vitova, T.; Pidchenko, I.; Fellhauer, D.; Bagus, P. S.; Joly, Y.; Pruessmann, T.; Bahl, S.; Gonzalez-Robles, E.; Rothe, J.; Altmaier, M.; et al. The role of the 5f valence orbitals of early actinides in chemical bonding. *Nature Communications* (2017) 8:1, 16053. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms16053.
- (3) Matzke, H. Radiation effects in nuclear fuels. In *Radiation Effects in Solids*, Springer, 2007; pp 401-420.
- (4) Mincher, B. J.; Elias, G.; Martin, L. R.; Mezyk, S. P. Radiation chemistry and the nuclear fuel cycle. *Journal of radioanalytical and nuclear chemistry* (2009) 282, 645-649. DOI: 10.1007/s10967-009-0156-x
- (5) Pustovalov, A. Role and prospects of application of RTG on base of plutonium-238 for planetary exploration. In *5th European Conference on Thermoelectrics, Odessa, Ukraine* (2007).
- (6) Dustin, J. S.; Borrelli, R. A. Assessment of alternative radionuclides for use in a radioisotope thermoelectric generator. *Nuclear Engineering and Design* (2021) 385:111475. doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2021.111475
- (7) Bell, J.; Toth, L. Photo and Radiation Chemistry in Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing. *Radiochimica Acta* (1978) 25:3-4, 225-230. doi.org/10.1524/ract.1978.25.34.225
- (8) Paviet-Hartmann, P.; Benedict, B.; Lineberry, M. J. Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing. In *Nuclear Engineering Handbook*, CRC Press, (2009) pp 333-384.
- (9) Ewing, R. Actinides and radiation effects: impact on the back-end of the nuclear fuel cycle. *Mineralogical Magazine* (2011) 75:4, 2359-2377. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1180/minmag.2011.075.4.2359>
- (10) Ewing, R. C.; Weber, W. J.; Clinard, F. W. Radiation effects in nuclear waste forms for high-level radioactive waste. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* (1995) 29:2, 63-127. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-1970\(94\)00016-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-1970(94)00016-Y).
- (11) Ewing, R. C.; Weber, W. J. Actinide Waste Forms and Radiation Effects. In *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, Morss, L. R., Edelstein, N. M., Fuger, J. Eds.; Springer Netherlands, (2011) pp 3813-3887. DOI: [10.1007/1-4020-3598-5_30](https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3598-5_30)
- (12) Matzke, H.; Wald, J. W.; Weber, W. J. Self-Radiation Damage in Actinide Host Phases of Nuclear Waste Forms. *MRS Proceedings* (1984) 44, 679. DOI: 10.1557/PROC-44-679 From Cambridge University Press Cambridge Core.
- (13) Matzke, H. J. Actinide behavior and radiation damage produced by α -decay in materials to solidify nuclear waste. *Inorganica Chimica Acta* (1984) 94:1, 142-143. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1693\(00\)94605-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1693(00)94605-X).
- (14) Walther, C.; Denecke, M. A. Actinide colloids and particles of environmental concern. *Chemical reviews* (2013) 113:2, 995-1015. DOI: doi.org/10.1021/cr300343c
- (15) Eddy, N. O.; Igwe, O.; Eze, I. S.; Garg, R.; Akpomie, K.; Timothy, C.; Udeokpote, G.; Ucheana, I.; Paktin, H. Environmental and public health risk management, remediation and rehabilitation options for impacts of radionuclide mining. *Discover Sustainability* (2025) 6:1, 209. DOI: doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-01047-6
- (16) Dhiman, D.; Vatsa, R.; Sood, A. Challenges and opportunities in developing Actinium-225 radiopharmaceuticals. *Nuclear Medicine Communications* (2022) 43:9, 970-977. DOI: [10.1097/MNM.0000000000001594](https://doi.org/10.1097/MNM.0000000000001594)
- (17) Wirth, B. D. How does radiation damage materials? *Science* (2007) 318:5852, 923-924. DOI: [10.1126/science.1150394](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150394)
- (18) Choppin, G. R. Solution chemistry of the actinides. *Radiochimica acta* (1983) 32:1-3, 43-54. DOI: doi.org/10.1524/ract.1983.32.13.43
- (19) Bruno, J.; Ewing, R. C. Spent Nuclear Fuel. *Elements* (2006) 2:6, 343-349. DOI: 10.2113/gselements.2.6.343



- (20) Ewing, R. C.; Weber, W. J.; Lian, J. Nuclear waste disposal—pyrochlore (A2B2O7): Nuclear waste form for the immobilization of plutonium and “minor” actinides. *Journal of Applied Physics* (2004) 95:11, 5949-5971. DOI: 10.1063/1.1707213
- (21) Tracy, C. L.; Lang, M.; Pray, J. M.; Zhang, F.; Popov, D.; Park, C.; Trautmann, C.; Bender, M.; Severin, D.; Skuratov, V. A.; et al. Redox response of actinide materials to highly ionizing radiation. *Nature Communications* (2015) 6:1, 6133. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7133.
- (22) Weber, W. J. Radiation effects in nuclear waste glasses. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* (1988), 32:1, 471-479. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X\(88\)90257-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(88)90257-1)
- (23) Weber, W. J.; Navrotsky, A.; Stefanovsky, S.; Vance, E. R.; Vernaz, E. Materials Science of High-Level Nuclear Waste Immobilization. *MRS Bulletin* (2009) 34:1, 46-53. DOI: 10.1557/mrs2009.12
- (24) Spinks, J. W. T.; Woods, R. J. *An introduction to radiation chemistry*; New York, NY (USA); John Wiley and Sons Inc., (1990).
- (25) Choppin, G.; Liljenzin, J.-O.; Rydberg, J.; Ekberg, C. Chapter 8 - Radiation Effects on Matter. In *Radiochemistry and Nuclear Chemistry (Fourth Edition)*, Choppin, G., Liljenzin, J.-O., Rydberg, J., Ekberg, C. Eds.; Academic Press, (2013) pp 209-237.
- (26) Seaton, M. The theory of excitation and ionization by electron impact. *Atomic and molecular processes* (1962) 13, 375-420.
- (27) Kraft, G.; Kramer, M. Linear energy transfer and track structure. In *Advances in radiation biology*, Vol. 17; Elsevier, (1993) pp 1-52.
- (28) Ragheb, M. Gamma rays interaction with matter. *Nuclear, Plasma and Radiation Science. Inventing the Future*, (2011) 17-22. <https://netfiles.uiuc.edu/mragheb/www>
- (29) Collum, B. 2 - Radiation. In *Nuclear Facilities*, Collum, B. Ed.; Woodhead Publishing, (2017) pp 45-60.
- (30) Ewing, R. The Design and Evaluation of Nuclear-Waste Forms: Clues from Mineralogy. *Canadian Mineralogist - CAN MINERALOG* (2001) 39, 697-715. DOI: 10.2113/gscanmin.39.3.697.
- (31) Le Caër, S. Water Radiolysis: Influence of Oxide Surfaces on H₂ Production under Ionizing Radiation. *Water* (2011) 3:1, 235-253. DOI: doi.org/10.3390/w3010235
- (32) Pikaev, A. K.; Šilov, V. P.; Spicyn, V. I. *Radioliz vodnykh rastvorov lantanidov i aktinidov*; Nauka, (1983).
- (33) Smith, M.; Pimblott, S. M.; LaVerne, J. A. Hydroxyl radical yields in the heavy ion radiolysis of water. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (2021) 188:109629. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2021.109629>.
- (34) Huerta Parajon, M.; Rajesh, P.; Mu, T.; Pimblott, S. M.; LaVerne, J. A. H atom yields in the radiolysis of water. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (2008) 77:10, 1203-1207. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2008.05.050>.
- (35) Cook, A. R.; Dimitrijevic, N.; Dreyfus, B. W.; Meisel, D.; Curtiss, L. A.; Camaioni, D. M. Reducing Radicals in Nitrate Solutions. The NO₃²⁻ System Revisited. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2001) 105:14, 3658-3666. DOI: 10.1021/jp0038052.
- (36) Horne, G. P.; Donocli, T. A.; Sims, H. E.; Orr, R. M.; Pimblott, S. M. Multi-Scale Modeling of the Gamma Radiolysis of Nitrate Solutions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* (2016) 120:45, 11781-11789. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b06862.
- (37) Horne, G. P.; Pimblott, S. M.; LaVerne, J. A. Inhibition of Radiolytic Molecular Hydrogen Formation by Quenching of Excited State Water. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* (2017) 121:21, 5385-5390. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b02775.
- (38) LaVerne, J. A.; Pimblott, S. M. Scavenger and time dependences of radicals and molecular products in the electron radiolysis of water: examination of experiments and models. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* (1991) 95:8, 3196-3206. DOI: 10.1021/j100161a044.
- (39) Pikaev, A. K. Environmental Application of Radiation Processing. *Isotopenpraxis* 22 (1986) 12. DOI: doi.org/10.1515/9783112523445-006



- (40) Barilla, J.; Simr, P.; Sýkorová, K. Simulation of the Influence of N₂O on the Chemical Stage of Water Radiolysis. *WSEAS Transactions on Biology and Biomedicine* (2022) 19, 47-62.
- (41) Mincher, B. J.; Precek, M.; Paulenova, A. The redox chemistry of neptunium in γ -irradiated aqueous nitric acid in the presence of an organic phase. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry* (2016) 308:3, 1005-1009. DOI: 10.1007/s10967-015-4530-6.
- (42) Edelstein, N. M.; Fuger, J.; Katz, J. J.; Morss, L. R. Summary and Comparison of Properties of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements. In *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, Morss, L. R., Edelstein, N. M., Fuger, J. Eds.; Springer Netherlands, (2011), 1753–1835.
- (43) Takeno, N. *Atlas of Eh-pH diagrams*; Research Center for Deep Geological Environments, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, (2005).
- (44) Horne, G. P.; Grimes, T. S.; Bauer, W. F.; Dares, C. J.; Pimblott, S. M.; Mezyk, S. P.; Mincher, B. J. Effect of Ionizing Radiation on the Redox Chemistry of Penta- and Hexavalent Americium. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2019) 58:13, 8551–8559. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b00854.
- (45) Horne, G. P.; Rotermund, B. M.; Grimes, T. S.; Sperling, J. M.; Meeker, D. S.; Zalupski, P. R.; Beck, N.; Huffman, Z. K.; Martinez, D. G.; Beshay, A.; et al. Transient Radiation-Induced Berkelium(III) and Californium(III) Redox Chemistry in Aqueous Solution. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2022), 61:28, 10822–10832. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.2c01106.
- (46) Mincher, B. J.; Mezyk, S. P. Radiation chemical effects on radiochemistry: A review of examples important to nuclear power. *rca - Radiochimica Acta* (2009) 97:9, 519-534. DOI: doi:10.1524/ract.2009.1646
- (47) Knope, K. E.; Soderholm, L. Solution and Solid-State Structural Chemistry of Actinide Hydrates and Their Hydrolysis and Condensation Products. *Chemical Reviews* (2013) 113:2, 944-994. DOI: 10.1021/cr300212f.
- (48) Gorden, A. E. V.; McKee, M. L. Computational Study of Reduction Potentials of Th⁴⁺ Compounds and Hydrolysis of ThO₂(H₂O)_n, n = 1, 2, 4. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2016) 120:41, 8169-8183. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpca.6b08472.
- (49) Horne, G. P.; Grimes, T. S.; Zalupski, P. R.; Meeker, D. S.; Albrecht-Schönzart, T. E.; Cook, A. R.; Mezyk, S. P. Curium(III) radiation-induced reaction kinetics in aqueous media. *Dalton Transactions* (2021) 50:31, 10853-10859, 10.1039/D1DT01268A
- (50) Morss, L. R. Comparative thermochemical and oxidation-reduction properties of lanthanides and actinides. *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths* (1994) 18, 239-291. DOI: doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1273(05)80045-5
- (51) Mikheev, N. Lower oxidation states of lanthanides and actinides. *Inorganica chimica acta* (1984) 94:5, 241-248. DOI: doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1693(00)87451-4
- (52) Dutra, F. R.; Vasiliu, M.; Gomez, A. N.; Xia, D.; Dixon, D. A. Prediction of Redox Potentials for U, Np, Pu, and Am in Aqueous Solution. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2024) 128:28, 5612-5626. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02902.
- (53) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. *Radiokhimiya* (1990) 32, 121.
- (54) Schmidt, K. H.; Gordon, S.; Thompson, R. C. A pulse radiolysis study of the reduction of neptunium (V) by the hydrated electron. *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* (1980) 42:4, 611-615. DOI: doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902(80)80093-5.
- (55) Nenoff, T. M.; Jacobs, B. W.; Robinson, D. B.; Provencio, P. P.; Huang, J.; Ferreira, S.; Hanson, D. J. Synthesis and Low Temperature In Situ Sintering of Uranium Oxide Nanoparticles. *Chemistry of Materials* (2011) 23:23, 5185-5190. DOI: 10.1021/cm2020669.
- (56) Meesungnoen, J.; Jay-Gerin, J.-P.; Filali-Mouhim, A.; Mankhetkorn, S. Monte-Carlo calculation of the primary H atom yield in liquid water radiolysis: effects of radiation type and temperature. *Chemical Physics Letters* (2001) 335:5, 458-464. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(01)00073-2.
- (57) Pikaev, A. K.; Shilov, V. P.; Gogolev, A. V. Radiation chemistry of aqueous solutions of actinides. *Russian Chemical Reviews* (1997) 66:9, 763. DOI: 10.1070/RC1997v066n09ABEH000284.
- (58) Saini, R. D.; Bhattacharyya, P. K. Radiolytic oxidation of U(IV) sulphate in aqueous solution by alpha particles from cyclotron. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C*.



Radiation Physics and Chemistry (1987) 29:5, 375-379. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197\(87\)90009-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(87)90009-9).

(59) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. The study of reactivity of actinide ions towards hydrated electrons and hydrogen atoms in acid aqueous solutions by a pulse radiolysis method. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C. Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (1991) 37:3, 531-535. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197\(91\)90031-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(91)90031-V).

(60) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. *Khim. Vys. Energ.* (1991) 25, 472.

(61) Shilov, V. P.; Gogolev, A. V.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. *Khim. Vys. Energ.* (1994) 28, 114.

(62) Buxton, G. V.; Greenstock, C. L.; Phillips Helman, W.; Ross, A. B. Critical review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radical in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* (1988) 17:2, 513-886. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555805>

(63) David, F.; Maslennikov, A. G.; Peretrukhin, V. P. Electrochemical reduction of actinide ions in aqueous solution. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry* (1990) 143:2, 415-426. DOI: 10.1007/BF02039610.

(64) Berdnikov, V. M. Mechanism of OH Radical Reaction with Variable Valence Ions. *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* (1973) 47:11, 2753-2761.

(65) Codorniu-Hernández, E.; Kusalik, P. G. Mobility Mechanism of Hydroxyl Radicals in Aqueous Solution via Hydrogen Transfer. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2012) 134:1, 532-538. DOI: 10.1021/ja208874t.

(66) Golub, D.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. Kinetics and mechanism of single electron oxidations of the tervalent uranium ion, $U^{3+}(aq)$, by free radicals in aqueous solutions. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions* (1985) 4, 641-644. DOI: 10.1039/DT9850000641.

(67) Lierse, C. H.; Schmidt, K. H.; Sullivan, J. C. *Radiochim. Acta* (1988) 44/45:1.

(68) Lierse, C.; Schmidt, K.; Sullivan, J. Reactions of radiolytically produced OH radicals with selected actinides in solution. *Radiochimica Acta* (1988) 44:1, 71-72.

(69) Choppin, G. R.; Jensen, M. P. Actinides in Solution: Complexation and Kinetics. In *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, Morss, L. R., Edelstein, N. M., Fuger, J. Eds.; Springer Netherlands, (2006) pp 2524-2621. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3598-5_23

(70) Plasil, J. Oxidation-hydration weathering of uraninite: the current state-of-knowledge. *Journal of Geosciences* (2014) 59, 99-114. DOI: 10.3190/jgeosci.163.

(71) Quilès, F.; Burneau, A. Infrared and Raman spectra of uranyl(VI) oxo-hydroxo complexes in acid aqueous solutions: a chemometric study. *Vibrational Spectroscopy* (2000) 23:2, 231-241. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2031\(00\)00067-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2031(00)00067-9).

(72) Lottes, B.; Carter, K. P. Capture and Stabilization of the Hydroxyl Radical in a Uranyl Peroxide Cluster. *Chemistry – A European Journal* (2023) 29:45, e202300749. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300749>.

(73) Pikaev, A. K.; Shilov, V. P.; Krot, N. N.; Spitsyn, V. I. Some aspects of radiation chemistry of aqueous solutions of neptunium and americium ions *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* (1980) 15:2-3, 139-149. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-5724\(80\)90124-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-5724(80)90124-7)

(74) Kravchuk, D. V.; Augustine, L. J.; Rajapaksha, H.; Benthin, G. C.; Batista, E. R.; Yang, P.; Forbes, T. Z. Insights into the Mechanism of Neptunium Oxidation to the Heptavalent State. *Chemistry – A European Journal* (2024) 30:23, e202304049. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202304049>.

(75) Codorniu-Hernández, E.; Kusalik, P. G. Probing the mechanisms of proton transfer in liquid water. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2013) 110:34, 13697-13698. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312350110>

(76) LaVerne, J. A.; Schuler, R. H.; Burns, W. Track effects in radiation chemistry: production of hydroperoxyl radical within the track core in the heavy-particle radiolysis of water. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* (1986) 90:14, 3238-3242. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100405a037>

(77) Hayyan, M.; Hashim, M. A.; AlNashef, I. M. Superoxide Ion: Generation and Chemical Implications. *Chemical Reviews* (2016) 116:5, 3029-3085. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00407.



- (78) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Pikaev, A. K. *Khim. Vys. Energ.* (1996) 30, 225.
- (79) Meisel, D.; Czapski, G.; Samuni, A. Hydroperoxy radical reactions. I. Electron paramagnetic resonance study of the complexation of hydroperoxyl radical with some metal ions. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (1973) 95:13, 4148-4153. DOI: 10.1021/ja00794a007.
- (80) Gibson, J. K.; de Jong, W. A.; Dau, P. D.; Gong, Y. Heptavalent Actinide Tetroxides NpO_4^- and PuO_4^- : Oxidation of Pu(V) to Pu(VII) by Adding an Electron to PuO_4 . *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2017) 121:47, 9156-9162. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpca.7b09721.
- (81) Scherrer, S. K.; Rajapaksha, H.; Kravchuk, D. V.; Mason, S. E.; Forbes, T. Z. Impacts of trace level chromium on formation of superoxide within uranyl triperoxide complexes. *Chemical Communications* (2024) 60:76, 10584-10587. DOI: 10.1039/D4CC03194F.
- (82) Elliot, A. J.; McCracken, D. R. Computer modelling of the radiolysis in an aqueous lithium salt blanket: Suppression of radiolysis by addition of hydrogen. *Fusion engineering and design* (1990) 13:1, 21-27. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-3796\(90\)90028-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-3796(90)90028-5)
- (83) LaVerne, J. A. Charged Particle and Photon Interactions with Matter: Radiation Chemical Effects of Heavy Ions. 1st ed.; CRC, (2003) p 27.
- (84) Roth, O.; LaVerne, J. A. Effect of pH on H_2O_2 Production in the Radiolysis of Water. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2011) 115:5, 700-708. DOI: 10.1021/jp1099927.
- (85) Hiroki, A.; Pimblott, S. M.; LaVerne, J. A. Hydrogen Peroxide Production in the Radiolysis of Water with High Radical Scavenger Concentrations. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2002) 106:40, 9352-9358. DOI: 10.1021/jp0207578.
- (86) Bhattacharyya, P.; Saini, R. Radiolytic oxidation of U(IV) to U(VI) in H_2SO_4 and HCl media. *Radiat. Phys. Chem. (United Kingdom)* (1979) 13:1, 57-63.
- (87) Shilov, V. P.; Gogolev, A. V.; Pikaev, A. K. The formation of neptunium peroxo complexes upon reduction of neptunium(VI) by hydrogen peroxide in concentrated solutions of alkalis. *Mendeleev Communications* (1998) 8:6, 220-221. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1070/MC1998v008n06ABEH000969>.
- (88) Tananaev, I. G.; Dzyubenko, V. I. *Radiokhimiya* (1988) 30, 842-845.
- (89) Krot, N. N.; Shilov, V. P.; Nikolaevskii, V. B.; Pikaev, A. K.; D.Gel'man, A.; Spitsyn, V. I. Production of americium in septivalent state. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* (1974) 217, 589-592.
- (90) Shilov, V. Mechanisms of Plutonium (III–VI) Redox Reactions in Solutions with pH above 1. *Radiochemistry* (2023) 65:3, 323-329.
- (91) Hixon, A. E.; Powell, B. A. Plutonium environmental chemistry: mechanisms for the surface-mediated reduction of Pu (v/vi). *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts* (2018) 20:10, 1306-1322. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EM00369B>
- (92) Pikaev, A. K.; Shilov, V. P.; Spitsyn, V. I. Application of pulsed radiolysis method for investigation of americium (4) properties in aqueous solutions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* (1977) 232:2, 387-390.
- (93) Pikaev, A. K.; Shilov, V. P.; Nikolaevskii, V. I.; Krot, N. N.; Spitsyn, V. I. *Radiokhimiya* **1977**, 19, 720.
- (94) Galley, S. S.; Van Alstine, C. E.; Maron, L.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Understanding the Scarcity of Thorium Peroxide Clusters. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2017) 56:21, 12692-12694. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b02216.
- (95) Sweet, L. E.; Corbey, J. F.; Gendron, F.; Autschbach, J.; McNamara, B. K.; Ziegelgruber, K. L.; Arrigo, L. M.; Peper, S. M.; Schwantes, J. M. Structure and Bonding Investigation of Plutonium Peroxocarbonate Complexes Using Cerium Surrogates and Electronic Structure Modeling. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2017) 56:2, 791-801. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b02235.
- (96) Margate, J.; Bayle, S.; Dumas, T.; Dalodière, E.; Tamain, C.; Menut, D.; Estevenon, P.; Moisy, P.; Nikitenko, S. I.; Viro, M. Chronicles of plutonium peroxides: spectroscopic characterization of a new peroxo compound of Pu(IV). *Chemical Communications* (2024) 60:49, 6260-6263, DOI: 10.1039/D4CC01186D.
- (97) Runde, W.; Brodnax, L. F.; Goff, G. S.; Peper, S. M.; Taw, F. L.; Scott, B. L. Synthesis and structural characterization of a molecular plutonium(IV) compound constructed from dimeric building blocks. *Chemical Communications* (2007) 17, DOI: 10.1039/B617878B.



- (98) Burns, P. C.; Nyman, M. Captivation with encapsulation: a dozen years of exploring uranyl peroxide capsules. *Dalton Transactions* (2018) 47:17, 5916-5927, DOI: 10.1039/C7DT04245K.
- (99) Nyman, M.; Burns, P. C. A comprehensive comparison of transition-metal and actinyl polyoxometalates. *Chemical Society Reviews* (2012) 41:22, 7354-7367. DOI: 10.1039/C2CS35136F.
- (100) Lane Snow, B. M., Sergei Sinkov. Observation of radiolytic field alternation of the uranyl cation in bicarbonate solution. In *Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings*, (2007) 986, p 177.
- (101) Forbes, T.; Horan, P.; Devine, T.; McInnis, D.; Burns, P. Alteration of dehydrated schoepite and soddyite to studtite, $(\text{UO}_2)(\text{O}-2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})(2)$ $(\text{H}_2\text{O})(2)$. *American Mineralogist* (2011) 96, 202-206. DOI: 10.2138/am.2011.3517.
- (102) Jayasinghe, A. S.; Applegate, L. C.; Unruh, D. K.; Hutton, J.; Forbes, T. Z. Utilizing Autoxidation of Solvents To Promote the Formation of Uranyl Peroxide Materials. *Crystal Growth & Design* (2019) 19:3, 1756-1766. DOI: 10.1021/acs.cgd.8b01735.
- (103) Kravchuk, D. V.; Forbes, T. Z. In Situ Generation of Organic Peroxide to Create a Nanotubular Uranyl Peroxide Phosphate. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* (2019) 58:51, 18429-18433. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201910287>.
- (104) Kravchuk, D. V.; Dahlen, N. N.; Kruse, S. J.; Malliakas, C. D.; Shand, P. M.; Forbes, T. Z. Isolation and Reactivity of Uranyl Superoxide. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* (2021) 60:27, 15041-15048. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103039>.
- (105) Kubatko, K.-A.; Burns, P. C. Expanding the Crystal Chemistry of Actinyl Peroxides: Open Sheets of Uranyl Polyhedra in $\text{Na}_5[(\text{UO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)_4(\text{OH})_3](\text{H}_2\text{O})_{13}$. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2006) 45:16, 6096-6098. DOI: 10.1021/ic0518677.
- (106) Sigmon, G. E.; Weaver, B.; Kubatko, K.-A.; Burns, P. C. Crown and Bowl-Shaped Clusters of Uranyl Polyhedra. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2009) 48:23, 10907-10909. DOI: 10.1021/ic9020355.
- (107) Arteaga, A.; Zhang, L.; Hickam, S.; Dembowski, M.; Burns, P. C.; Nyman, M. Uranyl–Peroxide Capsule Self-Assembly in Slow Motion. *Chemistry – A European Journal* (2019) 25:24, 6087-6091. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201806227>.
- (108) Vlaisavljevich, B.; Gagliardi, L.; Burns, P. C. Understanding the Structure and Formation of Uranyl Peroxide Nanoclusters by Quantum Chemical Calculations. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2010) 132:41, 14503-14508. DOI: 10.1021/ja104964x.
- (109) Felton, D. E.; Fairley, M.; Arteaga, A.; Nyman, M.; LaVerne, J. A.; Burns, P. C. Gamma-Ray-Induced Formation of Uranyl Peroxide Cage Clusters. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2022) 61:30, 11916-11922. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.2c01657.
- (110) Hickam, S.; Ray, D.; Szymanowski, J. E. S.; Li, R.-Y.; Dembowski, M.; Smith, P.; Gagliardi, L.; Burns, P. C. Neptunyl Peroxide Chemistry: Synthesis and Spectroscopic Characterization of a Neptunyl Triperoxide Compound, $\text{Ca}_2[\text{NpO}_2(\text{O}_2)_3] \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2019) 58:18, 12264-12271. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b01712.
- (111) Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M. Oxidation of Np(IV) with hydrogen peroxide in carbonate solutions. *Radiochemistry* (2013) 55:3, 287-290. DOI: 10.1134/S1066362213030077.
- (112) Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M. Reaction of Np(VI) with H_2O_2 in carbonate solutions. *Radiochemistry* (2010) 52:3, 245-249. DOI: 10.1134/S1066362210030045.
- (113) Burns, P. C.; Kubatko, K.-A.; Sigmon, G.; Fryer, B. J.; Gagnon, J. E.; Antonio, M. R.; Soderholm, L. Actinyl Peroxide Nanospheres. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* (2005) 44:14, 2135-2139. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200462445>.
- (114) Qiu, J.; Burns, P. C. Clusters of Actinides with Oxide, Peroxide, or Hydroxide Bridges. *Chemical Reviews* (2013) 113:2, 1097-1120. DOI: 10.1021/cr300159x.
- (115) Maillard, C.; Adnet, J.-M. Plutonium(IV) peroxide formation in nitric medium and kinetics Pu(VI) reduction by hydrogen peroxide. *Radiochimica Acta* (2001) 89:8, 485-490. DOI: [doi:10.1524/ract.2001.89.8.485](https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2001.89.8.485).
- (116) Nash, K.; Noon, M. E.; Fried, S.; Sullivan, J. C. The reaction between Pu(VI) and H_2O_2 in aqueous bicarbonate media. Formation of a Pu(VI) H_2O_2 complex (1). *Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry Letters* (1980) 16:1, 33-35. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-1650\(80\)80089-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-1650(80)80089-4).



- (117) Koltunov, V. S. *Kinetics of Actinide Reactions*; Moscow: Atomizdat, (1974).
- (118) Arm, S. T. Direct Dissolution of Used Nuclear Fuel in PUREX Solvent: Review and Flowsheet Development. *Nuclear Technology* (2022) 208:7, 1124-1136. DOI: 10.1080/00295450.2021.2018274.
- (119) Katsumura, Y.; Jiang, P.; Nagaishi, R.; Oishi, T.; Ishigure, K.; Yoshida, Y. Pulse radiolysis study of aqueous nitric acid solutions: formation mechanism, yield, and reactivity of NO₃ radical. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* (1991) 95:11, 4435-4439. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100164a050>
- (120) Elliot, A. J. A pulse radiolysis study of the temperature dependence of reactions involving H, OH and e-aq in aqueous solutions. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C. Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (1989) 34:5, 753-758. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197\(89\)90279-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(89)90279-8)
- (121) Elliot, A. J.; Sopchyshyn, F. C. The radiolysis at room temperature and 118 °C of aqueous solutions containing sodium nitrate and either sodium formate or 2-propanol. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* (1983) 61:7, 1578-1582. DOI: 10.1139/v83-274.
- (122) Faraggi, M.; Zehavi, D.; Anbar, M. Radiolysis of aqueous nitrate solutions. *Transactions of the Faraday Society* (1971) 67, 701-710. DOI: 10.1039/TF9716700701.
- (123) Miner, F.; Seed, J. Radiation chemistry of plutonium nitrate solutions. *Chemical Reviews* (1967) 67:3, 299-315. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr60247a003>
- (124) Grimes, T. S.; Horne, G. P.; Dares, C. J.; Pimblott, S. M.; Mezyk, S. P.; Mincher, B. J. Kinetics of the Auto-reduction of Hexavalent Americium in Aqueous Nitric Acid. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2017) 56:14, 8295-8301. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b00990.
- (125) Horne, G. P.; Gregson, C. R.; Sims, H. E.; Orr, R. M.; Taylor, R. J.; Pimblott, S. M. Plutonium and americium alpha radiolysis of nitric acid solutions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* (2017) 121:4, 883-889. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b12061>
- (126) Horne, G. P.; Grimes, T. S.; Mincher, B. J.; Mezyk, S. P. Reevaluation of Neptunium–Nitric Acid Radiation Chemistry by Multiscale Modeling. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* (2016) 120:49, 12643-12649. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b09683>
- (127) Jiang, P.-Y.; Nagaishi, R.; Yotsuyanagi, T.; Katsumura, Y.; Ishigure, K. γ -Radiolysis study of concentrated nitric acid solutions. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* (1994) 90:1, 93–95, 10.1039/FT9949000093. DOI: 10.1039/FT9949000093.
- (128) Jiang, P. Y.; Katsumura, Y.; Ishigure, K.; Yoshida, Y. Reduction potential of the nitrate radical in aqueous solution. *Inorganic Chemistry* (1992) 31:24, 5135-5136. DOI: 10.1021/ic00050a038.
- (129) Nagaishi, R. A model for radiolysis of nitric acid and its application to the radiation chemistry of uranium ion in nitric acid medium. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (2001) 60:4, 369-375. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-806X\(00\)00410-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-806X(00)00410-2).
- (130) Boyle, J. W.; Mahlman, H. A. Radiation-Induced Decomposition of Thorium Nitrate Solutions. *Nuclear Science and Engineering* (1957) 2:4, 492-500. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13182/NSE57-A25414>
- (131) Shilov, V. P.; Gogolev, A. V.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. Investigation of reactivity of neptunoyl-ions to inorganic free radicals by pulse radiolysis method. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim* (1986) 456-458.
- (132) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Makarov, I. E.; Pikaev, A. K. Reactivity of neptunium and plutonium in relation to inorganic free radicals in aqueous solutions. *Radiokhimiya* (1988) 30:6, 761-766.
- (133) Turel, Z. R.; Natarajan, P. R. *Lanthanide Actinide Res.* (1990).
- (134) Nagar, M.; Natarajan, P. Studies on radiolytic oxidation of plutonium (III) in hydrochloric acid medium. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C. Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (1987) 30:3, 169-172. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197\(87\)90074-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(87)90074-9)
- (135) Ermakov, V.; Rykov, A.; Timofeev, G.; Yakovlev, G. Investigations of the Kinetics of Redox Reactions of the Actinide Elements. XX. Kinetics and Mechanism of the Interactions of Americium (III) and (V) with Peroxydisulfate ions in Nitric Acid Solution. *Sov. Radiochem. (Engl. Transl.)* (1971) 13:6, 851-857.



- (136) Ohyoshi, A.; Jyo, A.; Shinohara, T. Kinetics of the Reaction of Americium (III) with Peroxydisulfate. *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan* (1971) 44:11, 3047-3051. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1246/bcsj.44.3047>
- (137) Asprey, L. B.; Stephanou, S. E.; Penneman, R. A. A New Valence State of Americium, Am(VI). *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (1950) 72:3, 1425-1426. DOI: 10.1021/ja01159a528.
- (138) Asprey, L. B.; Stephanou, S. E.; Penneman, R. A. Hexavalent Americium I. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (1951) 73:12, 5715-5717. DOI: 10.1021/ja01156a065.
- (139) Frolova, L. M.; Frolov, A. A.; Vasil'ev, V. Y. Radiation-chemical behaviour of neptunium ions in nitric acid solutions in the presence of curium-244 *Radiokhimiya* (1984) 26:1, 108-114.
- (140) Garaix, G.; Venault, L.; Costagliola, A.; Maurin, J.; Guigue, M.; Omnee, R.; Blain, G.; Vandenberg, J.; Fattahi, M.; Vigier, N.; et al. Alpha radiolysis of nitric acid and sodium nitrate with 4He²⁺ beam of 13.5 MeV energy. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (2015) 106, 394-403. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2014.08.008>.
- (141) Pages, M. Radiolysis of aqueous solutions of plutonium *J. Chim. Phys., Phys. Chim. Biol.* (1962) 59, 63.
- (142) Gogolev, A. V.; Makarov, I. E.; Pikaev, A. K. In *Proceedings of the VIth Tihany Symposium on Radiation Chemistry*, Budapest: Akademia Kiado, Hedvig, E. P., Ed.; (1987) p 161.
- (143) Vladimirova, M. V.; Kulikov, I. A.; Sosnovskii, O. A.; Ryabova, A. A. Reduction of PuO₂²⁺ ions during gamma-radiolysis in aqueous solutions of HNO₃. *At. Energ.* (1981) 51:1, 55-57.
- (144) Di Bernardo, P.; Zanonato, P.; Rao, L.; Bismondo, A.; Endrizzi, F. Interaction of thorium(IV) with nitrate in aqueous solution: medium effect or weak complexation? *Dalton Transactions* (2011) 40:36, 9101-9105. DOI: 10.1039/C1DT10325C.
- (145) R. J. Lemire, J. F., H. Nitsche, P. E. Potter, M. H. Rand, J. Rydberg, K. Spahiu, J. C. Sullivan, W. J. Ullman, P. Vitorge, H. Wanner. *Chemical Thermodynamics of Neptunium and Plutonium*; North Holland Elsevier Science Publishers, (2001).
- (146) Rand, M.; Fuger, J.; Neck, V.; Grenthe, I.; Rai, D. *Chemical Thermodynamics of Thorium*; (2008).
- (147) Vladimirova, M. V.; Sosnovskii, O. A. Radiation-chemical reduction of PuO₂²⁺ and NpO₂²⁺ ions in the case of their simultaneous presence in HNO₃ solutions. *Radiokhimiya* (1980) 22, 662-670.
- (148) Vladimirova, M. V. Radiation-chemical reduction of Pu(6) in 0.3-6.0 M HNO₃ *Radiokhimiya* (1980) 22:5, 686-691.
- (149) Reilly, S. D.; Neu, M. P. Pu(VI) Hydrolysis: Further Evidence for a Dimeric Plutonyl Hydroxide and Contrasts with U(VI) Chemistry. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2006) 45:4, 1839-1846. DOI: 10.1021/ic051760j.
- (150) Maurice, R.; Renault, E.; Gong, Y.; Rutkowski, P. X.; Gibson, J. K. Synthesis and Structures of Plutonyl Nitrate Complexes: Is Plutonium Heptavalent in PuO₃(NO₃)₂⁻? *Inorganic Chemistry* (2015) 54:5, 2367-2373. DOI: 10.1021/ic502969w.
- (151) Andreichuk, N. N.; Rotmanov, K. V.; Frolov, A. A.; Vasil'ev, V. Y. Reduction of Pu (6) in nitric acid solutions at alpha-irradiation. *Radiokhimiya* (1984) 26:1, 94-98.
- (152) Andreichuk, N. N.; Vasil'ev, V. Y.; Vysokoostrovskaya, N. B.; Kalashnikov, V. M.; Karasev, V. I.; Karelin, E. A.; Mishinev, V. B.; Nikolaev, V. M.; Osipov, S. V. Oxidation of plutonium (4) in nitric acid solutions with high specific alpha-radioactivity. *Radiokhimiya* (1976) 18:1, 89-92.
- (153) Vladimirova, M. V.; Kulikov, I. A.; Sosnovskii, O. A.; Ryabova, A. A. Reduction of PuO₂²⁺ in gamma radiolysis in aqueous HNO₃. *Soviet Atomic Energy* (1981) 51, 475-478. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01122566>
- (154) Vladimirova, M.; Kulikov, I.; Ryabova, A.; Milovanova, A. Radiation chemistry of Pu and Np aqueous solutions. *Radiokhimiya* (1976) 18:1, 172-177.
- (155) Patra, S. G.; Mizrahi, A.; Meyerstein, D. The Role of Carbonate in Catalytic Oxidations. *Accounts of Chemical Research* (2020) 53:10, 2189-2200. DOI: 10.1021/acs.accounts.0c00344.
- (156) Buxton, G. V.; Elliot, A. J. Rate constant for reaction of hydroxyl radicals with bicarbonate ions. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C. Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (1986) 27:3, 241-243. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197\(86\)90059-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(86)90059-7).



- (157) Pikaev, A. K.; Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P. Redox reactions of neptunium and plutonium in alkaline aqueous solutions upon gamma radiolysis. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* (1999) 56:4, 483-491. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-806X\(99\)00334-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-806X(99)00334-5)
- (158) Ikeda-Ohno, A.; Tsushima, S.; Takao, K.; Rossberg, A.; Funke, H.; Scheinost, A. C.; Bernhard, G.; Yaita, T.; Hennig, C. Neptunium Carbonate Complexes in Aqueous Solution: An Electrochemical, Spectroscopic, and Quantum Chemical Study. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2009) 48:24, 11779-11787. DOI: 10.1021/ic901838r.
- (159) Maya, L. Hydrolysis and carbonate complexation of dioxoneptunium(V) in 1.0 M sodium perchlorate at 25.degree.C. *Inorganic Chemistry* (1983) 22:14, 2093-2095. DOI: 10.1021/ic00156a031.
- (160) Clark, D. L.; Hobart, D. E.; Palmer, P. D.; Sullivan, J. C.; Stout, B. E. ¹³C NMR characterization of actinyl(VI) carbonate complexes in aqueous solution. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* (1993) 193:1, 94-97. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0925-8388\(93\)90319-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0925-8388(93)90319-I).
- (161) Wester, D. W.; Sullivan, J. C. Electrochemical and spectroscopic studies of neptunium(VI), -(V) and -(IV) in carbonate-bicarbonate buffers. *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* (1981) 43:11, 2919-2923. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902\(81\)80643-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902(81)80643-4).
- (162) Ingmar, G.; Riglet, C.; Vitorge, P. Studies of metal-carbonate complexes. 13. Composition and equilibria of trinuclear neptunium(VI)- and plutonium(VI)-carbonate complexes. *Inorganic Chemistry* (1986) 25:10, 1679-1684. DOI: 10.1021/ic00230a031.
- (163) Maya, L. Hydrolysis and carbonate complexation of dioxouranium(VI) in the neutral-pH range at 25.degree.C. *Inorganic Chemistry* (1982) 21:7, 2895-2898. DOI: 10.1021/ic00137a077.
- (164) Madic, C.; Hobart, D. E.; Begun, G. M. Raman spectrometric studies of actinide(V) and -(VI) complexes in aqueous sodium carbonate solution and of solid sodium actinide(V) carbonate compounds. *Inorganic Chemistry* (1983) 22:10, 1494-1503. DOI: 10.1021/ic00152a015.
- (165) Pratopo, M. I.; Yamaguchi, T.; Moriyama, H.; Higashi, K. Adsorption of Np(IV) on Quartz in Carbonate Solutions. *Radiochimica Acta* (1991) 55, 209-213.
- (166) Yamaguchi, T.; Pratopo, M.; Moriyama, H.; Higashi, K. Adsorption of cesium and neptunium (V) on bentonite. In *Proceedings of the third international conference on nuclear fuel reprocessing and waste management, RECOD'91*, (1991).
- (167) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim* (1990) 28.
- (168) Gogolev, A. V.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Shilov, V. P.; Pikaev, A. K. Kinetics of the radiation chemical reactions of trivalent and quadrivalent actinides and lanthanides in carbonate solutions. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Div. Chem. Sci.* (1990) 39, 21-25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00962995>
- (169) Gordon, S.; Sullivan, J.; Ross, A. B. Rate Constants for Reactions of Radiation-Produced Transients in Aqueous Solutions of Actinides. *Journal of physical and chemical reference data* (1986) 15:4, 1357-1367. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555768>
- (170) Nitsche, H.; Silva, R. J. Investigation of the Carbonate Complexation of Pu(IV) in Aqueous Solution. *Radiochimica Acta* (1996) 72:2, 65-72. DOI: doi:10.1524/ract.1996.72.2.65
- (171) Mulac, W. A.; Gordon, S.; Schmidt, K. H.; Wester, D.; Sullivan, J. C. Reactions of uranium (v), neptunium (V), and plutonium (V) with the carbonate radical. *Inorg. Chem.* (1984) 23:12, 1639-1641.
- (172) Capdevila, H.; Vitorge, P.; Giffaut, E.; Delmau, L. Spectrophotometric Study of the Dissociation of the Pu(IV) Carbonate Limiting Complex. *Radiochimica Acta* (1996) 74:1, 93-98. DOI: doi:10.1524/ract.1996.74.special-issue.93
- (173) Huie, R. E.; Clifton, C. L.; Neta, P. Electron transfer reaction rates and equilibria of the carbonate and sulfate radical anions. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C. Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (1991) 38:5, 477-481. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197\(91\)90065-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(91)90065-A).
- (174) Pikaev, A. K.; Zolotarevskii, V. I. Pulse radiolysis of aqueous solutions of sulfuric acid. *Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Division of chemical science* (1967) 16:1, 181-182. DOI: 10.1007/BF00907128.



- (175) Jiang, P.-Y.; Katsumura, Y.; Nagaishi, R.; Domae, M.; Ishikawa, K.; Ishigure, K.; Yoshida, Y. Pulse radiolysis study of concentrated sulfuric acid solutions. Formation mechanism, yield and reactivity of sulfate radicals. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* (1992) 88:12, 1653-1658. DOI: 10.1039/FT9928801653.
- (176) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. The Use of Conjugated Scavengers to Determine the Rate Constants of Fast Reactions in Aqueous Solutions by Pulse Radiolysis. *Mendeleev Communications* (1993) 3:4, 155-156. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1070/MC1993v003n04ABEH000265>
- (177) Pikaev, A. K.; Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M. Reactivity of ions of actinides towards inorganic free radicals in irradiated aqueous solutions. *Isotopenpraxis* (1990) 26:10, 465-469.
- (178) Hedvig, P.; Nyikos, L.; Dobó, J.; Schiller, R. *Proceedings of the Sixth Tihany Symposium on Radiation Chemistry: The 6th Tihany Symposium on Radiation Chemistry, Held at Balatonszéplak, Hungary, 21-26 September 1986, Organized by the Radiation Chemical Section of the Hungarian Chemical Society, in Co-operation with the International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna; Akadémiai Kiadó, (1987).*
- (179) Pikaev, A. K.; Shilov, V. P.; Gogolev, A. V. Radiation chemistry of aqueous solutions of actinides. *Usp. Khim.* (1997) 66, 845-873.
- (180) Shilov, V. P.; Gogolev, A. V.; Pikaev, A. K. Unusual radiolytic behavior of neptunium ions in aqueous bicarbonate solutions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.* (2000) 375:3, 362-365.
- (181) Gogolev, A. V.; Shilov, V. P.; Fedoseev, A. M.; Pikaev, A. K. Investigation of reactivity of neptunoyl-ions to inorganic free radicals by pulse radiolysis method.[Electrons] *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim* (1986) 2, 456-458.
- (182) Boyle, J.; Ghormley, J.; Hochanadel, C.; Kieffer, W.; Sworski, T. The Radiation Chemistry of Aqueous Reactor Solutions. *Nuclear Science Engineering and Technology* (1953) 25.
- (183) Wilson, R. E. Structural Periodicity in the Coordination Chemistry of Aqueous Pu(IV) Sulfates. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2012) 51:16, 8942-8947. DOI: 10.1021/ic301025f.
- (184) Boris, G. E. Kinetics, mechanism and intermediates of some radiation-induced reactions in aqueous solutions. *Russian Chemical Reviews* (2004) 73:1, 101. DOI: 10.1070/RC2004v073n01ABEH000865.
- (185) Domae, M.; Katsumura, Y.; Jiang, P.; Nagaishi, R.; Ishigure, K.; Kozawa, T.; Yoshida, Y. Pulse and γ -radiolysis of concentrated perchloric acid solutions. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* (1996) 92:12, 2245-2250.
- (186) Lierse, C.; Sullivan, J. C.; Schmidt, K. H. Rates of oxidation of selected actinides by Cl₂. *Inorganic Chemistry* (1987) 26:9, 1408-1410.
- (187) Rotmanov, K. V.; Andreichuk, N. N.; Yasil'ev, V. Y. Investigation of alpha-radiation effect on valent states of actinoids 14. Valent transformations of neptunium under alpha-irradiation of perchloric solutions. *Radiokhimiya* (1995) 37:1, 38-43.
- (188) Newton, T. W.; Hobart, D. E.; Palmer, P. D. The Formation of Pu(IV)-Colloid by the Alpha-reduction of Pu(V) or Pu(VI) in Aqueous Solutions. *Radiochimica Acta* (1986) 39:3, 139-148. DOI: doi:10.1524/ract.1986.39.3.139
- (189) Frolov, A. A.; Frolova, L. M.; Vasil'ev, V. Y. Behaviour of americium and berkelium ions in solutions under intensive alpha irradiation. *Radiokhimiya* (1987) 29:1, 79-87.
- (190) Zielen, A. J.; Sullivan, J. C.; Cohen, D. The photochemical reduction and the autoreduction of neptunium(VI). *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* (1958) 7:4, 378-383. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902\(58\)80246-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902(58)80246-8).
- (191) Manes, L.; Benedict, U. Structural and thermodynamic properties of actinide solids and their relation to bonding. In *Actinides — Chemistry and Physical Properties*, Berlin, Heidelberg, Manes, L., Ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: (1985) pp 75-126.
- (192) Su, J.; Dau, P. D.; Qiu, Y.-H.; Liu, H.-T.; Xu, C.-F.; Huang, D.-L.; Wang, L.-S.; Li, J. Probing the Electronic Structure and Chemical Bonding in Tricoordinate Uranyl Complexes UO₂X₃– (X = F, Cl, Br, I): Competition between Coulomb Repulsion and U–X Bonding. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2013) 52:11, 6617-6626. DOI: 10.1021/ic4006482.



- (192) Wu, Q.-Y.; Wang, C.-Z.; Lan, J.-H.; Chai, Z.-F.; Shi, W.-Q. Electronic structures and bonding of the actinide halides An(TRENTIPS)X (An = Th–Pu; X = F–I): a theoretical perspective. *Dalton Transactions* (2020) 49:44, 15895-15902, 10.1039/D0DT02909B. DOI: 10.1039/D0DT02909B.
- (194) Hanson, B. D.; McNamara, B.; Buck, E. C.; Friese, J. I.; Jenson, E.; Krupka, K.; Arey, B. W. Corrosion of commercial spent nuclear fuel. 1. Formation of studtite and metastudtite. *Radiochimica Acta* (2005) 93:3, 159-168. DOI: doi.org/10.1524/ract.93.3.159.61613
- (195) Forsyth, R. S.; Werme, L. O. Spent fuel corrosion and dissolution. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (1992) 190, 3-19. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3115\(92\)90071-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3115(92)90071-R)
- (196) Zinkle, S. J.; Was, G. S. Materials challenges in nuclear energy. *Acta Materialia* (2013) 61:3, 735-758. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2012.11.004>.
- (197) Ojovan, M.; Batyukhnova, O. Glasses for Nuclear Waste Immobilization. *WM* (2007) 15.
- (198) Gin, S.; Jollivet, P.; Tribet, M.; Peugeot, S.; Schuller, S. Radionuclides containment in nuclear glasses: an overview. *Radiochimica Acta* (2017) 105:11, 927-959. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/ract-2016-2658>
- (199) Thomé, L.; Moll, S.; Debelle, A.; Garrido, F.; Sattonnay, G.; Jagielski, J. Radiation effects in nuclear ceramics. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering* (2012) 2012:1, 905474. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/905474>
- (200) Weber, W. J. Radiation and Thermal Ageing of Nuclear Waste Glass. *Procedia Materials Science* (2014) 7, 237-246. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mspro.2014.10.031>.
- (201) Kurian, M.; Thankachan, S. Introduction: Ceramics classification and applications. In *Ceramic catalysts*, Elsevier, (2023) pp 1-17.
- (202) Lin, L. Y.; Leitner, D.; Benatti, C.; Perdikakis, G.; Krause, S. W.; Rencsok, R.; Nash, S.; Wittmer, W. Investigation of ion induced damage in KBr, YAG:Ce, CaF₂:Eu and CsI:Tl irradiated by various-energy protons. *Journal of Instrumentation* (2015), 10:3, P03024. DOI: 10.1088/1748-0221/10/03/P03024.
- (203) Matsunaga, K.; Narita, N.; Tanaka, I.; Adachi, H. Electronic states of F-centers in alkali halide crystals. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* (1996) 65:8, 2564-2570. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1143/jpsj.65.2564>
- (204) Illas, F.; Pacchioni, G. Optical properties of surface and bulk F centers in MgO from ab initio cluster model calculations. *The Journal of chemical physics* (1998) 108:18, 7835-7841. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.476220>
- (205) Vinetskiĭ, V.; Kalnin, Y. K.; Kotomin, E.; Ovchinnikov, A. Radiation-stimulated aggregation of Frenkel defects in solids. *Soviet Physics Uspekhi* (1990) 33:10, 793. DOI: 10.1070/PU1990v033n10ABEH002634
- (206) Griffiths, T. R.; Dixon, J. Electron irradiation of single crystal thorium dioxide and electron transfer reactions. *Inorganica Chimica Acta* (2000) 300-302, 305-313. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1693\(99\)00597-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1693(99)00597-6).
- (207) Weber, W. J.; Matzke, H. J. Radiation effects in actinide host phases. *Radiation Effects* (1986) 98:1-4, 93-99. DOI: 10.1080/00337578608206101.
- (208) Weber, W. J.; Ewing, R. C.; Catlow, C.; De La Rubia, T. D.; Hobbs, L. W.; Kinoshita, C.; Motta, A.; Nastasi, M.; Salje, E.; Vance, E. Radiation effects in crystalline ceramics for the immobilization of high-level nuclear waste and plutonium. *Journal of Materials Research* (1998) 13:6, 1434-1484. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1557/JMR.1998.0205>
- (209) Tracy, C. L.; Lang, M.; Zhang, F.; Park, S.; Palomares, R. I.; Ewing, R. C. Review of recent experimental results on the behavior of actinide-bearing oxides and related materials in extreme environments. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* (2018,) 104, 342-358. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2016.09.012>.
- (210) Ren, Y.; Shu, M.; Xu, D.; Liu, M.; Dai, X.; Wang, S.; Li, L. Overview on Radiation Damage Effects and Protection Techniques in Microelectronic Devices. *Science and Technology of Nuclear Installations* (2024), 2024, 3616902, 17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/3616902>
- (211) Booth, C. H.; Jiang, Y.; Medling, S. A.; Wang, D. L.; Costello, A. L.; Schwartz, D. S.; Mitchell, J. N.; Tobash, P. H.; Bauer, E. D.; McCall, S. K.; Wall, M. A.; Allen, P. G. Self-irradiation damage to the



- local structure of plutonium and plutonium intermetallics. *Journal of Applied Physics* (2013) 113:9. DOI: 10.1063/1.4794016
- (212) Wolfer, W. G. Radiation effects in plutonium. *Los Alamos Sci* (2000) 26:2, 274-285.
- (213) Muller, I.; Weber, W. J. Plutonium in crystalline ceramics and glasses. *MRS Bulletin* (2001) 26:9, 698-706. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs2001.180>
- (214) Strachan, D. M.; Scheele, R. D.; Icenhower, J. P.; Buck, E. C.; Kozelisky, A. E.; Sell, R. L.; Elovich, R. J.; Buchmiller, W. C. *Radiation Damage Effects in Candidate Ceramics for Plutonium Immobilization: Final Report*; PNNL-14588; NN6001020; TRN: US0401443; United States, (2004). DOI: 10.2172/15007189.
- (215) Goll, W.; Fuchs, H.-P.; Manzel, R.; Schlemmer, F. U. Irradiation behavior of UO₂/PuO₂ fuel in light water reactors. *Nuclear Technology* (1993) 102:1, 29-46. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13182/NT93-A34800>
- (216) Arborelius, J.; Backman, K.; Hallstadius, L.; Limbäck, M.; Nilsson, J.; Rebensdorff, B.; Zhou, G.; Kitano, K.; Löfström, R.; Rönnerberg, G. Advanced doped UO₂ pellets in LWR applications. *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology* (2006) 43:9, 967-976. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/18811248.2006.9711184>
- (217) Griffiths, T. R.; Dixon, J. Optical absorption spectroscopy of thorium dioxide. Analysis of oxidising and reducing anneals and gamma and UV radiation on both flux-grown and arc-fused single crystals. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* (1992) 88:8, 1149-1160. DOI: 10.1039/FT9928801149 Scopus.
- (218) Neeley, V. I.; Gruber, J. B.; Gray, W. J. F Centers in Thorium Oxide. *Physical Review* (1967) 158:3, 809-813. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.158.809.
- (219) Childs, B. G.; Harvey, P. J.; Hallett, J. B. Color Centers and Point Defects in Irradiated Thoria. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* (1970) 53:8, 431-435. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1970.tb12671.x>.
- (220) Jegadeesan, P.; Amirthapandian, S.; Kaur, G.; Kumar, D. S.; Das, A.; Avasthi, D. K.; Ananthasivan, K.; Panigrahi, B. K. Investigations on ion irradiation induced modifications in Th 5f occupancy in thoria. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2022) 570, 153961. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2022.153961>.
- (221) Dennett, C. A.; Deskins, W. R.; Khafizov, M.; Hua, Z.; Khanolkar, A.; Bawane, K.; Fu, L.; Mann, J. M.; Marianetti, C. A.; He, L.; et al. An integrated experimental and computational investigation of defect and microstructural effects on thermal transport in thorium dioxide. *Acta Materialia* (2021) 213, 116934. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2021.116934>.
- (222) Bawane, K.; Liu, X.; Yao, T.; Khafizov, M.; French, A.; Mann, J. M.; Shao, L.; Gan, J.; Hurley, D. H.; He, L. TEM characterization of dislocation loops in proton irradiated single crystal ThO₂. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2021) 552, 152998. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2021.152998>.
- (223) Dennett, C. A.; Hua, Z.; Khanolkar, A.; Yao, T.; Morgan, P. K.; Prusnick, T. A.; Poudel, N.; French, A.; Gofryk, K.; He, L.; et al. The influence of lattice defects, recombination, and clustering on thermal transport in single crystal thorium dioxide. *APL Materials* (2020) 8:11. DOI: 10.1063/5.0025384 (accessed 6/20/2024).
- (224) Park, J.; Farfán, E. B.; Mitchell, K.; Resnick, A.; Enriquez, C.; Yee, T. Sensitivity of thermal transport in thorium dioxide to defects. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2018) 504, 198-205. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2018.03.043>.
- (225) Bricout, M.; Onofri, C.; Debelle, A.; Pipon, Y.; Belin, R. C.; Garrido, F.; Leprêtre, F.; Gutierrez, G. Radiation damage in uranium dioxide: Coupled effect between electronic and nuclear energy losses. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2020) 531, 151967. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2019.151967>.
- (226) Beauvy, M.; Dalmaso, C.; Iaconi, P. Irradiation effects of swift heavy ions in actinide oxides and actinide nitrides: Structure and optical properties. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* (2006) 250:1, 137-141. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2006.04.096>.
- (227) Guimbretière, G.; Desgranges, L.; Canizarès, A.; Carlot, G.; Caraballo, R.; Jégou, C.; Duval, F.; Raimboux, N.; Ammar, M. R.; Simon, P. Determination of in-depth damaged profile by Raman line scan in a pre-cut He²⁺ irradiated UO₂. *Applied Physics Letters* (2012) 100:25. DOI: 10.1063/1.4729588



- (228) Horlait, D.; Lebreton, F.; Roussel, P.; Delahaye, T. XRD Monitoring of α Self-Irradiation in Uranium–Americium Mixed Oxides. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2013) 52:24, 14196-14204. DOI: 10.1021/ic402124s.
- (229) Prieur, D.; Lebreton, F.; Martin, P. M.; Caisso, M.; Butzbach, R.; Somers, J.; Delahaye, T. Comparative XRPD and XAS study of the impact of the synthesis process on the electronic and structural environments of uranium–americium mixed oxides. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* (2015) 230, 8-13. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2015.03.037>.
- (230) Benjamin, S. E.; LaVerne, J. A.; Sigmon, G. E.; Burns, P. C. Investigation of Radiation Effects in the Uranyl Mineral Metaschoepite. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2023) 62:29, 11602-11610. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c01337.
- (231) Mosley, W. Self-radiation damage in curium-244 oxide and aluminate. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* (1971) 54:10, 475-479. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1971.tb12182.x>
- (232) Burakov, B. E.; Strykanova, E. E.; Anderson, E. B. Secondary Uranium Minerals on the Surface of Chernobyl “Lava”. *MRS Proceedings* (1996) 465, 1309. DOI: 10.1557/PROC-465-1309
- (233) Emory, Z. C.; LaVerne, J. A.; Burns, P. C. Activation of uranyl peroxides by ionizing radiation prior to uranyl carbonate formation. *Dalton Transactions* (2024) 53, 17169-17178. DOI: 10.1039/D4DT01841A.
- (234) Fairley, M.; Sigmon, G. E.; LaVerne, J. A. Solid-State Transformation of Uranyl Peroxide Materials through High-Level Irradiation. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2023) 62:48, 19780-19785. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c03373.
- (235) Fairley, M.; Myers, N. M.; Szymanowski, J. E. S.; Sigmon, G. E.; Burns, P. C.; LaVerne, J. A. Stability of Solid Uranyl Peroxides under Irradiation. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2019) 58:20, 14112-14119. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b02132.
- (236) Fairley, M.; Felton, D. E.; Sigmon, G. E.; Szymanowski, J. E. S.; Poole, N. A.; Nyman, M.; Burns, P. C.; LaVerne, J. A. Radiation-Induced Solid-State Transformations of Uranyl Peroxides. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2022) 61:2, 882-889. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c02603.
- (237) Scherrer, S. K.; Gates, C.; Rajapaksha, H.; Greer, S. M.; Stein, B. W.; Forbes, T. Z. Superoxide Radicals in Uranyl Peroxide Solids: Lasting Signatures Identified by Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* (2024) 136:21, e202400379. DOI: 10.1002/anie.202400379
- (238) Steindler, M.; Steidl, D.; Fischer, J. The decomposition of plutonium hexafluoride by gamma radiation. *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* (1964) 26:11, 1869-1878.
- (239) Stacy, J. J.; Edelstein, N.; McLaughlin, R. D. Effects of Gamma Irradiation on Actinide Ions in Calcium Fluoride. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* (1972) 57:11, 4980-4988. DOI: 10.1063/1.1678168
- (240) Edelstein, N.; Easley, W.; McLaughlin, R. Formation and Characterization of Divalent Americium in CaF₂ Crystals. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* (1966) 44:8, 3130-3131. DOI: 10.1063/1.1727193
- (241) Silver, M. A.; Cary, S. K.; Garza, A. J.; Baumbach, R. E.; Arico, A. A.; Galmin, G. A.; Chen, K.-W.; Johnson, J. A.; Wang, J. C.; Clark, R. J.; Chemey, A.; Eaton, T. M.; Marsh, M. L.; Seidler, K.; Galley, S. S.; van de Burgt, L.; Gray, A. L.; Hobart, D. E.; Hanson, K.; Van Cleve, S. M.; Gendron, F.; Autschbach, J.; Scuseria, G. E.; Maron, L.; Speldrich, M.; Kogerler, P.; Celis-Barros, C.; Perez-Hernandez, D.; Arratia-Perez, R.; Ruf, M.; Albrecht-Schmidt, T. E. Electronic Structure and Properties of Berkelium Iodates. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2017) 139:38, 13361-13375. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b05569.
- (242) Gundu Rao, T. K.; Lingam, K. V.; Bhattacharya, B. N. ESR of γ -irradiated single crystals of rubidium uranyl nitrate: NO₃ radical. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* (1969) 1974, 16 (3), 369-376. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364\(74\)90218-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364(74)90218-2).
- (243) Kruse, S. J.; Rajapaksha, H.; LaVerne, J. A.; Mason, S. E.; Forbes, T. Z. Radiation-Induced Defects in Uranyl Trinitrate Solids. *Chemistry – A European Journal* (2024) 30:35, e202400956. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202400956>.
- (244) Pichot, E.; Dacheux, N.; Emery, J.; Chaumont, J.; Brandel, V.; Genet, M. Preliminary study of irradiation effects on thorium phosphate-diphosphate. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2001) 289:3, 219-226. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115\(01\)00437-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115(01)00437-8).
- (245) Dacheux, N.; Clavier, N.; Podor, R. Versatile Monazite: Resolving geological records and solving challenges in materials science. Monazite as a promising long-term radioactive waste matrix: Benefits of



- high-structural flexibility and chemical durability. *American Mineralogist* (2013) 98:5-6, 833-847. DOI: doi:10.2138/am.2013.4307
- (246) Seydoux-Guillaume, A.-M.; Deschanel, X.; Baumier, C.; Neumeier, S.; Weber, W. J.; Peugeot, S. Why natural monazite never becomes amorphous: Experimental evidence for alpha self-healing. *American Mineralogist* (2018) 103:5, 824-827. DOI: doi:10.2138/am-2018-6447
- (247) Martel, L.; Islam, M. A.; Popa, K.; Vigier, J.-F.; Colineau, E.; Bolvin, H.; Griveau, J.-C. Local Structure and Magnetism of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{M}_x\text{PO}_4$ ($\text{M} = \text{Sm}, ^{239}\text{Pu}, ^{241}\text{Am}$) Explained by Experimental and Computational Analyses. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* (2021) 125:40, 22163-22174. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c03957.
- (248) Wang, C.; Liu, D.; Lin, W. Metal–Organic Frameworks as A Tunable Platform for Designing Functional Molecular Materials. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2013) 135:36, 13222-13234. DOI: 10.1021/ja308229p.
- (249) Banerjee, D.; Cairns, A. J.; Liu, J.; Motkuri, R. K.; Nune, S. K.; Fernandez, C. A.; Krishna, R.; Strachan, D. M.; Thallapally, P. K. Potential of metal–organic frameworks for separation of xenon and krypton. *Accounts of chemical research* (2015) 48:2, 211-219. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar5003126>
- (250) Vazhappilly, T.; Pathak, A. K.; Sundararajan, M.; Modak, B.; Kancharlapalli, S.; Choudhury, N. Multiscale Modelling and Simulations for Nuclear Fuels, Waste Management, and Radiation Damages. *BARC newsletter* (2024).
- (251) Fairley, M.; Gilson, S. E.; Hanna, S. L.; Mishra, A.; Knapp, J. G.; Idrees, K. B.; Chheda, S.; Traustason, H.; Islamoglu, T.; Burns, P. C.; et al. Linker Contribution toward Stability of Metal–Organic Frameworks under Ionizing Radiation. *Chemistry of Materials* (2021) 33:23, 9285-9294. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c02999.
- (252) Wang, L.; Ma, M.; Wang, H.; Xiong, H.; Chen, X.; Wei, F.; Shen, B. Real-Space Imaging of the Molecular Changes in Metal–Organic Frameworks under Electron Irradiation. *ACS Nano* (2023) 17:5, 4740-4747. DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.2c11110.
- (253) Lv, K.; Patzschke, M.; März, J.; Bazarkina, E.; Kvashnina, K. O.; Schmidt, M. Selective Crystallization of a Highly Radiation-Resistant Isonicotinic Acid-Based Metal–Organic Framework as a Primary Actinide Waste Form. *ACS Materials Letters* (2023) 5:2, 536-542. DOI: 10.1021/acsmaterialslett.2c01087.
- (254) Gilson, S. E.; Fairley, M.; Julien, P.; Oliver, A. G.; Hanna, S. L.; Arntz, G.; Farha, O. K.; LaVerne, J. A.; Burns, P. C. Unprecedented Radiation Resistant Thorium–Binaphthol Metal–Organic Framework. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2020) 142:31, 13299-13304. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.0c05272.
- (255) Hastings, A. M.; Fairley, M.; Wasson, M. C.; Campisi, D.; Sarkar, A.; Emory, Z. C.; Brunson, K.; Fast, D. B.; Islamoglu, T.; Nyman, M.; et al. Role of Metal Selection in the Radiation Stability of Isostructural M–UiO-66 Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Chemistry of Materials* (2022) 34:18, 8403-8417. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c02170.
- (256) Zhang, Y.; Du, Y.; Li, L.; Tao, Y.; Li, K.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Chen, L.; Wang, Y.; Chai, Z.; et al. A Tetravalent Plutonium Organic Framework Containing $[\text{Pu}_2\text{O}_{16}]$ Dimers as Secondary Building Units: Synthesis, Structure, and Radiation Stability. *Chinese Journal of Chemistry* (2023) 41:13, 1552-1556. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.202200833>.
- (257) Zhang, Y.; Li, K.; Zhang, S.; Wang, X.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Chai, Z.; Wang, S. A Trivalent Americium Organic Framework with Decent Structural Stability against Self-Irradiation. *Chinese Journal of Chemistry* (2022) 40:7, 801-805. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.202100724>.
- (258) Gilson, S. E.; Fairley, M.; Hanna, S. L.; Szymanowski, J. E. S.; Julien, P.; Chen, Z.; Farha, O. K.; LaVerne, J. A.; Burns, P. C. Unusual Metal–Organic Framework Topology and Radiation Resistance through Neptunyl Coordination Chemistry. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2021) 143:42, 17354-17359. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.1c08854.
- (259) Li, Z.-J.; Yue, Z.; Ju, Y.; Wu, X.; Ren, Y.; Wang, S.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Z.-H.; Guo, X.; Lin, J.; et al. Ultrastable Thorium Metal–Organic Frameworks for Efficient Iodine Adsorption. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2020) 59:7, 4435-4442. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b03602.



- (260) Al Lafi, A. G.; Assfour, B.; Assaad, T. Spectroscopic investigations of gamma-ray irradiation effects on metal organic framework. *Journal of Materials Science* (2021) 56:21, 12154-12170. DOI: 10.1007/s10853-021-06051-5.
- (261) Volkringer, C.; Falaise, C.; Devaux, P.; Giovine, R.; Stevenson, V.; Pourpoint, F.; Lafon, O.; Osmond, M.; Jeanjacques, C.; Marcillaud, B.; et al. Stability of metal–organic frameworks under gamma irradiation. *Chemical Communications* (2016) 52:84, 12502-12505. DOI: 10.1039/C6CC06878B.
- (262) Dorhout, J. M.; Wilkerson, M. P.; Czerwinski, K. R. Irradiation and isolation of fission products from uranium metal–organic frameworks. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry* (2019) 320:2, 415-424. DOI: 10.1007/s10967-019-06478-w.
- (263) Mezenov, Y. A.; Krasilin, A. A.; Dzyuba, V. P.; Nominé, A.; Milichko, V. A. Metal–Organic Frameworks in Modern Physics: Highlights and Perspectives. *Advanced Science* (2019) 6:17, 1900506. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201900506>.
- (264) Andreo, J.; Priola, E.; Alberto, G.; Benzi, P.; Marabello, D.; Proserpio, D. M.; Lamberti, C.; Diana, E. Autoluminescent Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs): Self-Photoemission of a Highly Stable Thorium MOF. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2018) 140:43, 14144-14149. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b07113.
- (265) Forsyth, R. Spent nuclear fuel. A review of properties of possible relevance to corrosion processes. (1995).
- (266) Grambow, B. Spent fuel. Dissolution and oxidation; Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., (1989).
- (267) Sunder, S.; Shoesmith, D. W. Chemistry of UO₂ fuel dissolution in relation to the disposal of used nuclear fuel. (1991).
- (268) Shoesmith, D. W.; Tait, J.; Sunder, S.; Gray, W.; Steward, S.; Russo, R.; Rudnicki, J. *Factors affecting the differences in reactivity and dissolution rates between UO₂ and spent nuclear fuel*; Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., (1996).
- (269) Ekeröth, E.; Roth, O.; Jonsson, M. The relative impact of radiolysis products in radiation induced oxidative dissolution of UO₂. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2006), 355:1, 38-46. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2006.04.001>.
- (270) Shoesmith, D. W.; Sunder, S. *An electrochemistry-based model for the dissolution of uranium dioxide*; Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, (1991).
- (271) L. H. Johnson, D. W. S. *Radioactive Waste Forms of the Future*; North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- (272) Ewing, R. C. Long-term storage of spent nuclear fuel. *Nature Materials* (2015) 14, 252-257. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4226>.
- (273) Ekeröth, E.; Jonsson, M. Oxidation of UO₂ by radiolytic oxidants. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2003) 322:2-3, 242-248.
- (274) Christensen, H.; Sunder, S. An evaluation of water layer thickness effective in the oxidation of UO₂ fuel due to radiolysis of water. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (1996) 238:1, 70-77. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115\(96\)00342-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115(96)00342-X).
- (275) Corbel, C.; Lucchini, J. F.; Sattonnay, G.; Barthe, M. F.; Huet, F.; Dehaudt, P.; Ardois, C.; Hickel, B.; Jegou, C. Increase of the uranium release at an UO₂/H₂O interface under He²⁺ ion beam irradiation. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* (2001) 179:2, 225-229. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X\(01\)00519-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(01)00519-5).
- (276) Sattonnay, G.; Ardois, C.; Corbel, C.; Lucchini, J. F.; Barthe, M. F.; Garrido, F.; Gosset, D. Alpha-radiolysis effects on UO₂ alteration in water. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2001) 288:1, 11-19. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115\(00\)00714-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115(00)00714-5).
- (277) Corbel, C.; Lucchini, J. F.; Sattonnay, G.; Barthe, M. F.; Huet, F.; Dehaudt, P.; Ardois, C.; Hickel, B.; Paul, J. L. Uranium Oxide Mass Loss Rate in Water for an Interface Under Alpha-Irradiation. *MRS Online Proceedings Library* (1999) 608:41. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1557/PROC-608-41>.
- (278) Canizarès, A.; Guimbretière, G.; Tobon, Y. A.; Raimboux, N.; Omnée, R.; Perdicakis, M.; Muzeau, B.; Leoni, E.; Alam, M. S.; Mendes, E.; et al. In situ Raman monitoring of materials under irradiation: study



of uranium dioxide alteration by water radiolysis. *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* (2012) 43:10, 1492-1497. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.4088>.

(279) Corbel, C.; Sattonnay, G.; Guilbert, S.; Garrido, F.; Barthe, M. F.; Jegou, C. Addition versus radiolytic production effects of hydrogen peroxide on aqueous corrosion of UO₂. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2006) 348:1, 1-17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2005.05.009>.

(280) Hickam, S.; Breier, J.; Cripe, Y.; Cole, E.; Burns, P. C. Effects of H₂O₂ Concentration on Formation of Uranyl Peroxide Species Probed by Dissolution of Uranium Nitride and Uranium Dioxide. *Inorganic Chemistry* (2019) 58:9, 5858-5864. DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b00231.

(281) Jégou, C.; Muzeau, B.; Broudic, V.; Peugeot, S.; Poulesquen, A.; Roudil, D.; Corbel, C. Effect of external gamma irradiation on dissolution of the spent UO₂ fuel matrix. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2005) 341:1, 62-82. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2005.01.008>.

(282) Sarrasin, L.; Miro, S.; Jégou, C.; Tribet, M.; Broudic, V.; Marques, C.; Peugeot, S. Studtite Formation Assessed by Raman Spectroscopy and 18O Isotopic Labeling during the Oxidative Dissolution of a MOX Fuel. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* (2021) 125:35, 19209-19218. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c04392.

(283) Perrot, A.; Canizares, A.; Miro, S.; Claparede, L.; Podor, R.; Sauvage, T.; Peugeot, S.; Jegou, C.; Dacheux, N. In situ Raman monitoring of studtite formation under alpha radiolysis in 18O-labeled water. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2024) 600, 155267. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2024.155267>.

(284) Amme, M. Contrary effects of the water radiolysis product H₂O₂ upon the dissolution of nuclear fuel in natural ground water and deionized water. *Radiochimica Acta* (2001) 90:7, 399-406. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2002.90.7_2002.399.

(285) Jégou, C.; Caraballo, R.; De Bonfils, J.; Broudic, V.; Peugeot, S.; Vercouter, T.; Roudil, D. Oxidizing dissolution of spent MOX47 fuel subjected to water radiolysis: Solution chemistry and surface characterization by Raman spectroscopy. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2010) 399:1, 68-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2010.01.004>.

(286) Büppelmann, K.; Magirius, S.; Lierse, C.; Kim, J. I. Radiolytic oxidation of americium(III) to americium(V) and plutonium(IV) to plutonium(VI) in saline solution. *Journal of the Less Common Metals* (1986) 122, 329-336. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088\(86\)90427-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(86)90427-3).

(287) Vladimirova, M. V.; Fedoseev, D. A.; Dunaeva, M. A. Radiation-Chemical Behavior of Plutonium in the Heterogeneous System PuO₂-Ground Water. *Radiochemistry* (2002) 44:5, 498-500. DOI: 10.1023/A:1021191710917.

(288) Rath, M. C.; Naik, D. B.; Sarkar, S. K. Reversible growth of UO₂ nanoparticles in aqueous solutions through 7MeV electron beam irradiation. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2013) 438:1, 26-31. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.02.005>.

(289) Umpleby, R. E.; Conrad, J. K.; Wilbanks, J. R.; Schaller, K. D.; Horne, G. P. Radiolytic evaluation of acetohydroxamic acid (AHA) under biphasic (HNO₃:n-dodecane and TBP/DEHBA/DEHIBA) used nuclear fuel reprocessing conditions. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (2023) 207, 110799. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2023.110799>.

(290) Deokar, R. G.; Cook, A. R. Early-stage oxidation and subsequent damage of the used nuclear fuel extractant TODGA; electron pulse radiolysis and theoretical insights. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* (2024) 26:46, 29060-29069, 10.1039/D4CP03678F. DOI: 10.1039/D4CP03678F.

(291) Veliscek-Carolan, J. Separation of actinides from spent nuclear fuel: A review. *Journal of hazardous materials* (2016) 318, 266-281. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.07.027>

(292) Kraft, M. E. Nuclear power and the challenge of high-level waste disposal in the United States. *Polity* (2013) 45:2, 265-280.

(293) Wymer, R. Reprocessing of Nuclear Fuel: Overview/critique of Worldwide Experience and Engineering/technology Challenges for Separations. *Chemical Separation Technologies and Related Methods of Nuclear Waste Management: Applications, Problems, and Research Needs* (1999) 29-52.

(294) Daemen, L. L.; Kanner, G. S.; Lillard, R. S.; Butt, D. P.; Brun, T. O.; Sommer, W. F. Modeling of water radiolysis at spallation neutron sources. In Conference: 126. annual meeting of the Minerals, Metals and Materials Society, Orlando, FL (United States), 9-13 Feb 1997; Other Information: PBD: [1998], United States; (1998).



- (295) Cai, Z.; Li, X.; Katsumura, Y.; Urabe, O. Radiolysis of bicarbonate and carbonate aqueous solutions: product analysis and simulation of radiolytic processes. *Nuclear technology* (2001) 136:2, 231-240. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13182/NT01-A3241>
- (296) Vallet, V.; Macak, P.; Wahlgren, U.; Grenthe, I. Actinide Chemistry in Solution, Quantum Chemical Methods and Models. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* (2006) 115:2, 145–160. DOI: 10.1007/s00214-005-0051-7.
- (297) Macdonald, D. D.; Engelhardt, G. R.; Petrov, A. A Critical Review of Radiolysis Issues in Water-Cooled Fission and Fusion Reactors: Part I, Assessment of Radiolysis Models. *Corrosion and Materials Degradation* (2022) 3:3, 470-535. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/cmd3030028>
- (298) Pimblott, S. M.; LaVerne, J. A. Molecular product formation in the electron radiolysis of water. *Radiation research* (1992) 129:3, 265-271. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3578025>
- (299) LaVerne, J. A.; Pimblott, S. M. Diffusion-kinetic modeling of the electron radiolysis of water at elevated temperatures. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* (1993) 97:13, 3291-3297.
- (300) Iwamatsu, K.; Sundin, S.; LaVerne, J. A. Hydrogen peroxide kinetics in water radiolysis. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* (2018) 145, 207-212. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2017.11.002>
- (301) Hossain, M. M.; Ekeröth, E.; Jonsson, M. Effects of HCO₃⁻ on the kinetics of UO₂ oxidation by H₂O₂. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2006) 358:2-3, 202-208. DOI: 10.1016/j.jnucmat.2006.07.008
- Scopus.
- (302) Casas, I.; De Pablo, J.; Clarens, F.; Giménez, J.; Merino, J.; Bruno, J.; Martínez-Esparza, A. Combined effect of H₂O₂ and HCO₃⁻ on UO₂(s) dissolution rates under anoxic conditions. *Radiochimica Acta* (2009) 97:9, 485-490. DOI: 10.1524/ract.2009.1641
- (303) Lucuta, P. G.; Verrall, R. A.; Matzke, H.; Palmer, B. J. Microstructural features of SIMFUEL - Simulated high-burnup UO₂-based nuclear fuel. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (1991) 178:1, 48-60. DOI: 10.1016/0022-3115(91)90455-G
- (304) Garisto, F. The energy spectrum of α -particles emitted from used CANDU™ fuel. *Annals of Nuclear Energy* (1989) 16:1, 33-38. DOI: 10.1016/0306-4549(89)90118-7
- (305) Eriksen, T. E.; Shoesmith, D. W.; Jonsson, M. Radiation induced dissolution of UO₂ based nuclear fuel – A critical review of predictive modelling approaches. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2012) 420:1, 409-423. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2011.10.027>.
- (306) Neese, F.; Wennmohs, F.; Becker, U.; Riplinger, C. The ORCA quantum chemistry program package. *J Chem Phys* (2020) 152:22, 224108. DOI: 10.1063/5.0004608
- (307) Lenthe, E. v.; Baerends, E.-J.; Snijders, J. G. Relativistic regular two-component Hamiltonians. *The Journal of chemical physics* (1993) 99:6, 4597-4610. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.466059>
- (308) van Wüllen, C. Molecular density functional calculations in the regular relativistic approximation: Method, application to coinage metal diatomics, hydrides, fluorides and chlorides, and comparison with first-order relativistic calculations. *The Journal of chemical physics* (1998) 109:2, 392-399. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.476576>
- (309) Pantazis, D. A.; Chen, X.-Y.; Landis, C. R.; Neese, F. All-Electron Scalar Relativistic Basis Sets for Third-Row Transition Metal Atoms. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* (2008) 4:6, 908-919. DOI: 10.1021/ct800047t
- (310) Chemcraft. (2009) <https://www.chemcraftprog.com>
- (311) Iliopoulos, J.; Itzykson, C.; Martin, A. Functional methods and perturbation theory. *Reviews of Modern Physics* (1975) 47:1, 165. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.165>
- (312) Chattopadhyay, S.; Chaudhuri, R. K.; Mahapatra, U. S.; Ghosh, A.; Ray, S. S. State-specific multireference perturbation theory: development and present status. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science* (2016) 6:3, 266-291.
- (313) von Burg, V. Modern Approaches Towards the Electron Correlation Problem. ETH Zurich, 2022.
- (314) Zhai, H. Degenerate quantum gases with spin-orbit coupling: a review. *Reports on Progress in Physics* (2015) 78:2, 026001. DOI: 10.1088/0034-4885/78/2/026001
- (315) Marian, C. M. Spin-orbit coupling in molecules. *Reviews in computational chemistry* (2001) 17, 99-204. DOI:10.1002/0471224413



- (316) Guo, Y.; Sivalingam, K.; Valeev, E. F.; Neese, F. Explicitly correlated N-electron valence state perturbation theory (NEVPT2-F12). *The Journal of Chemical Physics* (2017) 147:6. DOI: 10.1063/1.4996560
- (317) Bolvin, H. From ab initio calculations to model Hamiltonians: the effective Hamiltonian technique as an efficient tool to describe mixed-valence molecules. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2003) 107:25, 5071-5078. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp034176+>
- (318) Neese, F. Efficient and accurate approximations to the molecular spin-orbit coupling operator and their use in molecular g-tensor calculations. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* (2005) 122:3. DOI: 10.1063/1.1829047
- (319) Dognon, J.-P. Theoretical insights into the chemical bonding in actinide complexes. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* (2014) 266-267, 110–122. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2013.11.018>.
- (320) Peterson, K. A. Correlation consistent basis sets for actinides. I. The Th and U atoms. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* (2015) 142:7. DOI: 10.1063/1.4907596
- (321) Pegg, J. T.; Aparicio-Anglès, X.; Storr, M.; de Leeuw, N. H. DFT+U study of the structures and properties of the actinide dioxides. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* (2017) 492, 269-278. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2017.05.025>.
- (322) Gryaznov, D.; Heifets, E.; Sedmidubsky, D. Density functional theory calculations on magnetic properties of actinide compounds. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* (2010) 12:38, 12273-12278. DOI: 10.1039/C0CP00372G.
- (323) Sadigh, B.; Kutepov, A.; Landa, A.; Söderlind, P. Assessing Relativistic Effects and Electron Correlation in the Actinide Metals Th to Pu. *Applied Sciences* (2019) 9:23, 5020. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9235020>
- (324) Clavaguéra-Sarrio, C.; Vallet, V.; Maynaud, D.; Marsden, C. J. Can density functional methods be used for open-shell actinide molecules? Comparison with multiconfigurational spin-orbit studies. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* (2004) 121:11, 5312–5321. DOI: 10.1063/1.1784412
- (325) Burke, K. Perspective on density functional theory. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* (2012) 136:15. DOI: 10.1063/1.4704546
- (326) Sholl, D. S.; Steckel, J. A. *Density functional theory: a practical introduction*; John Wiley & Sons, (2022).
- (327) Neugebauer, J.; Hickel, T. Density functional theory in materials science. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science* (2013) 3:5, 438-448. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1125>
- (328) Park, J. W.; Shiozaki, T. On-the-Fly CASPT2 Surface-Hopping Dynamics. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* (2017) 13:8, 3676-3683. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00559.
- (329) Toldo, J. M.; do Casal, M. T.; Ventura, E.; do Monte, S. A.; Barbatti, M. Surface hopping modeling of charge and energy transfer in active environments. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* (2023) 25:12, 8293-8316. DOI: 10.1039/D3CP00247K.
- (330) Wong, K. F.; Rossky, P. J. Mean-Field Molecular Dynamics with Surface Hopping: Application to the Aqueous Solvated Electron. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* (2001) 105:12, 2546-2556. DOI: 10.1021/jp0037652.
- (331) Sutton, R. P. Fundamental PVT Calculations for Associated and Gas/Condensate Natural-Gas Systems. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering* (2007) 10:3, 270-284. DOI: 10.2118/97099-pa
- (332) Cohen, A. J.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Yang, W. Challenges for Density Functional Theory. *Chemical Reviews* (2012) 112:1, 289-320. DOI: 10.1021/cr200107z.





Data Availability Statement

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.

