RSC Sustainability

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Sustainability, 2025, 3, 365

Received 30th July 2024 Accepted 7th November 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4su00430b

rsc.li/rscsus

Sustainability spotlight

Concentration-dependent kinetic study of graphene oxide (GO) reduction using biophenol and electrochemical analysis†

S[a](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8891-0225)ad Zafar, ‡ Sanjana Krishna Mani, ‡ Monisha Monisha **D** and Bimlesh Locha[b](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8434-6513) **D** *

Graphene, a two-dimensional material, has garnered significant interest among researchers globally due to its exceptional characteristics, including a substantial surface area, remarkable chemical stability, elevated electron mobility, and electrical conductivity. The present study explored the synthesis of reduced graphene oxide (rGO), a derivative of graphene materials, by the utilization of gallic acid as a green reducing agent. The successful reduction of graphene oxide (GO) was assessed by X-ray diffraction, UVvis spectroscopy, Raman, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), galvanostatic charge/discharge (GCD), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) investigations were employed to analyze the electrochemical and capacitive performance of reduced graphene oxide (rGO). The specific capacitance of rGO was determined to be 301.7 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹. The electrode exhibits an energy density of 121.1 W h kg⁻¹ at a power density of 853.2 W kg⁻¹, and has an exceptional cycle stability of 91% after undergoing 2000 cycles. This green reduction technique is environmentally friendly and shows promising reduction of graphene oxide (GO) into reduced graphene oxide (rGO). Additionally, the prepared rGO exhibited improved electrochemical and capacitive properties showcasing its potential use in supercapacitor applications. PAPER
 **COncentration-dependent kinetic study of Sample School (GO) reduction using biophenol and electrochemical analysis?

Sand 2dart, Sanjana Krishna Mani, Monisha Monisha O** and Bimesh Lochab O and Bimesh Lochab O an

The present work highlights the optimal use of renewable biophenol as a safer alternative to toxic and hazardous hydrazine for the sustainable and industrially viable production of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) from graphite. The quality of rGO produced depends on the amount of gallic acid used. Even a small weight percentage of this lignin-sourced bioreductant is efficient in producing highly reduced rGO, providing excellent electrochemical properties. The sustainable synthesis of nanomaterials and their application in meeting the growing demand for clean energy solutions is the way forward to supplement the sustainable growth of this industry.

1 Introduction

Sustainable synthesis of nanomaterials and their application in meeting the growing demand for clean energy solutions have garnered significant attention in recent years.^{1,2} In particular, to address the increasing energy demand, extensive efforts have

‡ Equal contribution.

been devoted to developing energy storage devices.³⁻⁵ Rapid advancement of electric vehicles and portable electronic devices has further driven the exploration of abundant, low-cost, and safer chemistries for producing more sustainable raw materials in providing coveted energy resources. Electrochemical capacitors, also known as supercapacitors or ultracapacitors, have received significant attention over a decade due to their exceptional energy and power density, cost-effectiveness, and longlasting durability.⁶ Supercapacitors are frequently combined with batteries and fuel cells to provide high power and energy harvesting capabilities. Supercapacitors are primarily categorized as electrical double layered capacitors (EDLCs) and pseudocapacitors (redox) based on their energy storage mechanism.⁷ Nevertheless, the practical uses of supercapacitors can be advanced and realized when their energy storage capacity approaches that of batteries.^{$7-9$} Thus, approaches to enhance the specific capacitance and energy density while maintaining the life cycle are gaining impetus.

Materials Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, School of Natural Sciences, Shiv Nadar Institution of Eminence, Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh, 201314, India. E-mail: bimlesh.lochab@snu.edu.in

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: pH variation of the GO and NH₄OH solution with the sequential addition of gallic acid to obtain rGO_{50} to rGO₃₀₀; UV-vis and plot FT-IR spectrum of gallic acid; variation of the slope in plot within the initial first hour of the reduction reaction; XPS wide survey spectra of GO and rGOs, and their respective carbon to oxygen ratio; comparative specific capacitance values reported for rGO obtained using different reducing agents; plot depicting specific capacitance against current density; Ragone plot; EIS spectra of GO and rGO_{100} . See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4su00430b>

In this regard, one of the widely used nanomaterials is graphene, a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice structure, which is sourced from graphite. It is considered as an excellent electrode material for supercapacitors due to its excellent electrical conductivity (106 S m^{-1}), appreciable mechanical properties, high theoretical surface area (2600 m² g⁻¹), and electric double-layer capacitor (EDLC) nature.¹⁰⁻¹² Extensive use of this nanomaterial in a wide variety of applications demands cost-effective technologies for easy large-scale production of graphene and this has become an area of great interest. There are many methods for the synthesis of graphene such as mechanical exfoliation, chemical vapor deposition, ball milling, laser-induced graphene and solution processing techniques.¹³–¹⁶ Chemical synthesis is a simple and costeffective method and this route has vastly attracted a vast arena of applications, including supercapacitors, compared to other procedures.¹⁷ Chemical reduction of oxidized graphite, graphene oxide (GO) ,¹⁸ using reducing agents such as hydrazine hydrate,¹⁹ hydroiodic acid,^{20,21} agricultural byproducts,²² and sodium borohydride²³ to form reduced graphene oxide (rGO) is well reported. However, these reactions cannot be scaled up safely due to their hazardous and explosive properties. Alternatively, organic reagents such as hydroquinone,²⁴ pyrogallol,²⁵ and sodium hydroxide²⁶ were also explored. However, these reagents remained in suspension and showed inferior reduction ability than the former reducing agents. Further, rising environmental concerns have attracted the use of greener reducing agents such as L-ascorbic acid,²⁵ gallic acid (GA),²⁷⁻²⁹ sugars (glucose and fructose), 30 tannic acid, 31 and plant extracts.³²–³⁴ GA is a naturally sourced antioxidant and showed promising reducing ability. To date, the GA method achieved a maximal reduction of GO (GO : GA, 1 : 10 w/w) to form rGO and that too with remarkable dispersibility.²⁷ However, this excessive use of GA poses a significant purification challenge of rGO as residual GA bound strongly to the graphene framework via π - π stacking interactions leading to the formation of the amorphous nature of rGO. As a result, the conductivity of rGO decreases and hampers energy storage applications.³⁰ Additionally, it is worth gaining some insights into understanding the reduction mechanism of GO with GA, leading to the exfoliation of the stacked graphene layers due to the introduction of functional groups. rGO synthesized under high pH conditions from GO showed a better restoration of the $sp²$ graphitic lattice, and a pH-dependent effect on the properties of rGO is observed.35,36 RSC Sustainability

In this regard, one of the widely used annonnaminal is grav-

plene, since the since entropy this interpretation and the since of the si

In this study, we aim to develop and optimize the concentration of gallic acid (GA), a biophenol, as a greener reductant for the synthesis of reduced graphene oxide (rGO). We investigate the GA-mediated reduction of graphene oxide (GO) using various weight ratios of GO to GA to determine the extent of GO reduction. The highly reduced graphene oxide (rGO) sample obtained was thoroughly characterized and assessed for its electrochemical performance. This work provides a strategy for the efficient, scalable, and sustainable chemical synthesis of rGO, contributing to the development of sustainably sourced 2D graphene materials and their applications in materials science.

2 Experimental details

2.1 Materials

Graphite powder (Alfa Aesar), ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH, 50%, Alfa Aesar), gallic acid (Sigma-Aldrich), sodium nitrate (NaNO₃, Fisher scientific), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, Fisher scientific), potassium permanganate (KMnO₄, Fisher scientific), ethanol (Emsure), hydrogen peroxide $(H₂O₂$, 30% w/v, Finar), and methanol (CH₃OH, Finar). All the reagents were used as received.

2.2 Synthesis of graphene oxide

In a typical synthesis, graphite powder (3.0 g, 1 eq.) was added in concentrated H_2SO_4 (69.0 mL) along with NaNO₃ (1.5 g, 0.5 eq.), and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C using an ice bath. To the above stirred reaction mixture, KMD_4 (9.0 g, 3 eq.) was added in small amounts maintaining temperature below 20 °C. The color of the suspension turned from black to dark green. Thereafter, the ice bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. To this, water (∼120 mL) was added slowly (careful: highly exothermic reaction) and the color changed to brown. The reaction temperature was maintained (∼98 °C) and stirred for 15 min. Finally, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, and additional water (\sim 270 mL) and H₂O₂ (3.0 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand undisturbed overnight to allow gravity settling of GO. The supernatant was decanted, and the brown residue was taken in Falcon tubes and centrifuged at 9000 rpm, 5 °C for 20 min. The residue was collected and repeatedly washed with distilled water until the pH of the supernatant becomes neutral. The final suspension was dried using a rotary evaporator (with water bath temperature at 60 $^{\circ}$ C) in a vacuum. The solid obtained was further dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight to obtain GO as brown colored flakes (1.74 g, 58%).

2.3 Synthesis of reduced graphene oxide (rGO)

The method was followed from previously reported literature with some modification.²⁷ GO (100.0 mg) was dispersed in distilled water (40.0 mL) using a probe sonicator for 5 min. To this dispersion, liquor ammonia $(100 \mu L)$ was added to adjust the pH at 12 to promote the colloidal stability of the graphene oxide sheets through electrostatic repulsion. Varied amounts of GA (50/ 75/100/200/300 mg), with GO : GA ratios of 1 : 0.5, 1 : 0.75, 1 : 1, 1 : 2, 1 : 3 w/w, were added to the suspension followed by stirring under reflux conditions under a nitrogen atmosphere. Aliquots were taken from the reaction mixture at regular intervals till 10 h and the progress of the reaction was monitored using the UV-vis absorption spectrum. The mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature and rGO was filtered using vacuum filtration. Samples are abbreviated as rGQ_x , where x is the amount of GA used. The resultant samples are abbreviated as rGO_{50} , rGO_{75} , $rGO₁₀₀$, $rGO₂₀₀$, and $rGO₃₀₀$, respectively.

2.4 pH-study protocol

The pH of the GA and aqueous $NH₄OH$ mixture containing varied amounts of GA was recorded. Typically, $10 \mu L$ of 50%

 $NH₄OH$ (fresh sample) was added to 10 mL of $H₂O$. The pH of this mixture was recorded as shown in Fig. S1.† To this solution, 5 mg of GA was added to the mixture (to obtain rGO_{50}). UV-vis spectra and the pH of the mixture were recorded. Similarly, the readings were taken after sequential addition of GA as 2.5 mg, 2.5 mg, 10 mg, and 10 mg, to mimic the reaction suspensions as rGO_{75} , rGO_{100} , rGO_{200} , and rGO_{300} , respectively. Similarly, the pH of the bare GO dispersion (control sample) without adding distilled water was monitored.

2.5 Characterization

FTIR spectra in the range of 4000–400 cm^{-1} were recorded on a Nicolet iS5 spectrometer equipped with an attenuated total reflectance diamond accessory (iD5-ATR, Thermoscientific, USA). Absorbance measurements were carried out on a Thermo Scientific Evolution 201 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Thermoscientific, USA). A small amount of sample $(200 \mu L)$ was collected at regular intervals, purified by centrifugation, and repeatedly washed with deionized water to remove residual gallic acid. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a Mettler Toledo instrument under a N_2 atmosphere (40 mL min−¹) at a heating rate of 10 °C min−¹ . Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, Billerica, MA, USA) patterns were recorded on a Bruker D8-Discover using Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.154$ nm) in the scattering range (2 θ) of 7-50° at room temperature. Raman spectroscopy (Kotoku, TYO, Japan) measurements were carried out with an STR500 Airix microscope using a 532 nm laser at a power of 3 mW. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Akishima, TYO, Japan) images were recorded using an HRTEM, JEOL-2100F instrument at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Samples for TEM analysis were prepared by dispersing 1 mg of the material in 5 mL of ethanol solution followed by ultrasonication for 5 min and dropwise deposited onto a copper grid. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted on an XPS Omicron Multiprobe surface analyzer equipped with a monochromatized Al-K α (1486.7 eV) radiation source. The obtained spectra were charge corrected at a binding energy of the carbon 1s peak at 284.6 eV and plotted using the CasaXPS software.

2.6 Electrochemical measurements

All the electrochemical analyses of the electrode materials were performed on a CHI 700E electrochemical workstation through cyclic voltammetry (CV), galvanostatic charge–discharge (GCD), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements. A three-electrode system was used to investigate the electrochemical properties of individual electrodes, which were used as working electrodes, with a high purity graphite rod as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode. The three-electrode testing was performed using 0.5 M $H₂SO₄$ solution. The working electrode was prepared by mixing rGO_{100} (80 wt%), acetylene black (SuperP, 10 wt%), and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, 10 wt%) in N-methy-2-pyrrolidone solvent. The slurry was then cast on carbon cloth and dried at 70 °C for 12 h under vacuum. The specific capacitance $(C, F g^{-1})$ of the electrode was calculated from GCD (C_{sp}) curves according to eqn (1):

$$
C_{\rm sp} = \frac{I\Delta t}{m\Delta V} \tag{1}
$$

where *I* is the discharge current (mA), Δt is the discharge time (s), ΔV is the potential (V) window, and m is the active mass per electrode (mg).

3 Results and discussion

A facile method for the synthesis of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) using gallic acid is discussed here. The reaction involves a reduction of graphene oxide (GO) which can be easily followed with color change. The color of the reaction mixture gradually progressed from brown (GO suspension) to black in rGO indicating restoration of the π -conjugation due to the reduction of oxo-functionalities in GO.²⁷ The UV-vis absorption spectrum of GO showed a maximum around 230 nm and a shoulder at 310 nm corresponding to the π to π^* and n to π^* transitions respectively.³⁷ Upon reduction, the shoulder band vanished, and an intense red-shifted absorption is observed. Since the extent of reduction of oxo-functionalities is closely correlated with the absorption peak position, the progress of the reaction could be easily monitored by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy to determine the effect of GA on the reduction reaction of GO. The GA absorption maximum appeared at 263 nm (Fig. S2†), which is far beyond the rGO absorption peak. To rule out any discrepancy in data analysis due to residual GA, reaction aliquots were washed extensively to remove any unconsumed GA. A concentration dependent reduction of GO by GA at different GO: GA ratios is followed by recording timedependent UV-vis. spectra. From Fig. 1a–e, it was found that in all weight ratios of GO : GA, the characteristic GO absorption peak at 228 nm corresponding to the n to π^* transition red shifted with time, suggesting that the reduction is affected by the variation in the concentration of GA. Notably, λ_{max} altered relatively fast up to a certain time, and thereafter insignificantly varied despite keeping the reaction for a longer interval (>10 h), confirming successful completion of reduction. It is evident from the UV-vis plots, even though all absorption peak patterns are similar, however rGO₁₀₀ showed the highest λ_{max} value compared to the other ratios. This indicates that the $rGO₁₀₀$, with a GO: GA of $1:1$, w/w, facilitated reduction efficiently. Fig. 1f shows variation in λ_{max} vs. time at different feed-in ratios of GA. It can be observed that the plateau is reached relatively fast, whereas for other samples, the curve changes only slowly before reaching the plateau. The ratios $1:0.5$ to $1:1$ showed a faster increase in λ_{max} while ratios >1:1 *i.e.*, 1:2 and 1:3 showed a slower initial increase, indicating faster reaction in the former ratios. Interestingly, 1 : 1 showed a maximum red shift in λ_{max} by 50 nm within 2 h. Unexpectedly, a high GA amount $(rgO₃₀₀)$ neither leading to a significantly reduced rGO sample (as indicated by low λ_{max}) nor facilitating the reduction of GO in a time-efficiently manner (an additional 3 h is required to achieve similar λ_{max} to other ratios). **Paper**
 SEC Sustainability

Minimitiation and the pH of the mising were recorded and the ph of the mising where *I* is lied disclarge current. (nA), Δt is the disclarge interest are the position of the mising were t

> A concentration dependent variation in λ_{max} achieved at different GO : GA contents is presented in Fig. 2a. A bell-shaped curve with the maximum observed for the 1 : 1 ratio indicates

Fig. 1 Progress of the reduction reaction is monitored by UV-vis spectra as a function of reaction time for (a) rGO₅₀, (b) rGO₇₅, (c) rGO₁₀₀, (d) $rGO₂₀₀$, and (e) $rGO₃₀₀$, and (f) variation of absorption wavelength maximum with time.

maximal reduction in the case of rGO_{100} . Fig. 2b represents the initial change in slope values *i.e.* change in λ_{max} in the first 1 h (data are summarized as Table S1†) at rGO_{75} .

Bi-phenols, such as gallic acid (GA) and epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), are used as reducing agents for converting GO to rGO.³⁸–⁴⁰ The reduction mechanism involves the reaction of epoxy groups on GO with the phenolic-OH groups in GA forming a six-membered transition state, which subsequently converted into a galloyl-derived ortho-quinone with the release of water as a byproduct, to form a double bond. This chemical process restores the $sp²$ carbon network and promotes the reestablishment of extended π -conjugation via reduction of epoxide functionalities at the basal plane, which is essential for the improved electronic properties of rGO (Fig. 3).

We hypothesize that the reduction of graphene oxide (GO) to reduced graphene oxide (rGO) using gallic acid (GA) occurs through a specific mechanism influenced by the GA concentration. An increase in GA concentration (from GO ratios of 1 : 0.5 to $1:1$) correlates with a greater extent of GO reduction. However, at ratios exceeding $1:1$ (e.g., $1:2$ and $1:3$), the reduction extent diminishes. This behavior suggests that at high GA concentrations, GA may preferentially adsorb onto the graphene oxide/rGO surfaces via π – π stacking and polar interactions, which inhibits its role in reduction reactions. The high surface area of the 2D graphene sheets enhances this adsorption, limiting the availability of GA for further reduction. Furthermore, the physical binding of GA can obstruct adjacent reactive sites, sterically hindering interactions with other GA

Fig. 2 Variation of the GA ratio in GO: (a) plot of $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\pi \text{ to } \pi^* \text{ transition})$ of synthesized rGOs, and (b) kinetics of the reduction reaction (change in wavelength within the initial 1 h of the reaction).

Fig. 3 Probable reaction for the formation of rGO using polyphenols as reducing agents.

molecules and impeding effective reduction kinetics. In contrast, at lower GA concentrations, $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions are less pronounced, allowing for more efficient interaction with GO and resulting in faster reduction rates. Therefore, using GA beyond a certain concentration is undesirable when a high purity of rGO is desired.

The interlayer spacing is the most significant parameter to access the structural information of graphene. XRD is a technique which deals with the layer-to-layer space and crystalline structure of graphene. In this study, we studied the variation in the interlayer d -spacing of GO before and after reduction. In comparison to GO, rGO is related to the removal of oxofunctionalities including basal plane epoxy functionalities. The powder XRD spectrum of graphite, Fig. 4a, revealed an intense and sharp peak at $2\theta \sim 26.7^\circ$ corresponding to a dspacing (calculated using Bragg's equation) of 0.34 nm. After graphite oxidation to GO, the disappearance of a sharp and strong graphitic peak and appearance of a new broad peak at 2θ \sim 11.2° are observed. This also confirmed that the GO sample is free from the graphite impurity. Further, this increase in the dspacing to 0.8 nm infers successful oxidation of graphite and formation of oxo-functionalities at the basal plane enabling separation of layers. Post-reduction reaction of GO using GA, this XRD peak of GO vanished, and a broad prominent diffraction peak at $2\theta \sim 26^\circ$ is observed, confirming successful restoration of the graphitic network as in rGO.

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy was employed to understand the nature of oxo-functionalities in GO and the role of GA in reducing these functional groups, Fig. 4b. Various IR absorption bands are due to the O-H stretching (3400 cm^{-1}) , carbonyl and carboxylic acid groups (\sim 1723 cm $^{-1}$), aromatic C=C bonds and O–H bending (1617 cm⁻¹), phenolic groups (1260 cm⁻¹), and C–O–C epoxy (1224 cm^{-1} and 1056 cm^{-1}) groups.^{41,42} The transmission intensity of these bands signicantly reduced after reduction with GA. Interestingly, IR bands related to GA (Fig. $S3\dagger$) are absent in rGO₁₀₀, inferring the sample is free from the GA impurity. In contrast, reported FTIR spectra of rGO produced employing a higher amount of gallic acid clearly showed its remnants.²⁷ NH₂NH₂ has been reported previously to be an efficient reducing agent for GO reduction, and the rGO obtained is free from the residual reducing agent on the graphene surface.¹⁹ For the comparison purpose, rGO was obtained through sustainable (GA as the reducing agent) and nonsustainable (hydrazine as the reducing agent) routes, and we synthesized rGO using hydrazine hydrate, abbreviated as rGO_{NH2}NH₂. The IR spectrum of rGO₁₀₀ became featureless due to the formation of a highly conjugated π -framework, which is similar to that of $\text{rGO}_{\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2}$, indicating GA has a similar reducing potential to hydrazine.

Raman spectra of the samples were recorded to determine the difference in the relative intensities of G (arising from the first order scattering of E_{2g} phonons or sp² domains) and D

Fig. 4 $\,$ (a) XRD spectra of GO, graphite, rGO $_{300}$, rGO $_{100}$ and rGO $_{50}$, (b) FT-IR spectra of GO, rGO $_{100}$ and rGO $_{\rm NH_2NH_2}$, (c) Raman spectra of graphite, synthesized GO, rGO₁₀₀ and rGO₃₀₀, and (d) thermogravimetric curves of GA, GO, rGO₁₀₀ and rGO_{NH2}NH₂.

(arising from a breathing mode of A_{1g} symmetry) bands.³⁰ Hence, comparison of as prepared-rGO using gallic acid will provide an understanding of the quality of graphene obtained. Graphite showed the G band at 1582 cm^{-1} and a weak D band at 1355 cm−¹ , corresponding to the strong in-plane vibration of the graphite lattice and very few defects, respectively in Fig. 4c.⁴³ Upon chemical oxidation, the peak position of G (1596 cm^{-1}) and D (1345 cm^{-1}) bands is altered due to the introduction of oxo-functionalities in the conjugated framework and formation of isolated double bonds that resonate at higher frequencies. The D band also became prominent due to the introduction of these defects in the graphitic plane.³⁰ After the reduction of GO with GA, the G and D bands shifted to lower wavenumbers confirming the effectiveness of GA as a reducing agent.⁴⁴ Further, both rGO_{100} and rGO_{300} showed similar resonance frequencies. Additional information on the reduction potential of GA was obtained from the intensity ratio D/G (denoted as I_D) I_{G}).¹⁹ The $I_{\text{D}}/I_{\text{G}}$ value calculated for GO, rGO₁₀₀ and rGO₃₀₀ was found to be 0.83, 1.10 and 1.06, respectively.⁴⁵ This suggests reestablishment of a conjugated structure along with development of more crystalline domains, which are successfully achieved in rGO_{100} as a result of significant lowering in defects, even at a relatively low amount of GA.⁴⁶

The thermal stability of rGO_{50} , rGO_{100} , rGO_{300} and rGO_{NH_2} - $_{\text{NH2}}$ from GO was performed by TGA. From the TG curves Fig. 4d, GO showed mass loss around 100 °C attributed to the

loss of adsorbed water molecules. Both the rGOs showed a similar mass loss pattern, a negligible weight loss at ∼100 °C followed by a slow, steady mass loss from 200–800 °C, attributed to the loss of non-reduced oxygen functional groups.⁴⁷ Also, the residual masses obtained for both rGOs at 800 °C were close (∼80%), which is expectedly very high compared to GO (13%). There is no mass loss associated with GA as can be seen in the TG curve of rGO_{100} , signifying no bound GA on rGO. Similar thermal stability of both rGOs suggested that GA is equally effective as hydrazine along with additional attractive features is safe to use, especially during the scale up reaction.

To investigate the morphology and crystallinity of the rGO₁₀₀, TEM (Transmission Electron Microscopy) and SAED (Selected Area Electron Diffraction) recorded. Both GO and rGO sheets showed corrugations, wrinkles, and folds similar to the earlier work.^{30,43} The SAED patterns of the GO are diffuse while rGO shows hexagonal symmetry with well-defined diffraction spots (inset Fig. 5b and d), illustrating the aromatic configuration was restored after reduction.

From X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), the relative amounts of carbon, oxygen and other functional groups present in the GO and rGO_x were determined. From wide survey spectra, Fig. S4a,† all the prepared materials were found to be rich in C and O and no other atomic impurities were observed. The relative intensity of C/O provided an insight into the extent of reduction in rGO as shown in Fig. S4b.† GO showed the lowest

Fig. 5 TEM and SAED patterns (inset) of (a and b) GO and (c and d) rGO_{100} respectively.

C/O ratio compared to the other prepared rGO samples. Furthermore, the C/O ratio of rGO_{100} is the highest compared to the other $rGO_{>100}$, indicating the formation of a maximally reduced graphene structure in the former. From the deconvoluted spectra, the characteristic peaks obtained can be used to elucidate the type of functional groups present.^{46,48} GO showed four peaks centered at 284.5, 285.7, and 287.4, 291.5 eV (Fig. 6a), corresponding to $C=C/C-C/C-H$ in aromatic rings, C–O/C–OH (epoxy and hydroxyl), $>C=O$ and COOH groups, and a satellite peak, respectively.^{25,49} After reduction, the intensities of carbonoxygen bonds decreased substantially indicating the effectiveness of GA as a bioreductant in reducing oxo-functionalities in GO (Fig. 6b–d).

Electrochemical properties of GO and $rGO₁₀₀$ were assessed using cyclic voltammetry (CV), galvanostatic charge–discharge (GCD), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The CV curve was obtained within a potential window of −0.5–1.0 V for GO and $-0.6-1.1$ V for rGO₁₀₀ at scan rates of 20, 50, 100, 200, 400, 600, and 800 mV s⁻¹. The quasi-rectangular shape of the CV curve resembles the EDLC (Electric Double Layer Capacitor) characteristic commonly found in carbon

materials.⁵⁰ Typically, the capacitance of a supercapacitor is determined by comparing the area under the CV curve at a given potential range.

As depicted in Fig. 7a, rGO_{100} exhibited the largest area under the CV curve, indicating a higher capacitance compared to GO. GO CV curves displayed a minimal current response across various scan rates (Fig. 7b). Conversely, the area under the CV curve for rGO_{100} (Fig. 7d) increased, indicating a higher current response in a 0.5 M $H₂SO₄$ electrolyte at different scan rates. Fig. 7c and e illustrate the GCD curves of GO and $rGO₁₀₀$ electrodes at different current densities. The GCD analyses were conducted at varying current densities of 1, 2, 3, 5, and 10 A g^{-1} . Both GO and $rGO₁₀₀$ displayed nearly triangular-shaped GCD curves. The electrode specific capacitance can be determined using the GCD data. The specific capacitance values for GO and $rGO₁₀₀$ at various current densities, calculated from the galvanostatic discharge information, are tabulated in Table 1. As the applied current density increased, the specific capacitance decreased. This decrease is attributed to the limitations in the kinetic diffusion process at higher current densities and the decreased number of active sites available for electrochemical

Fig. 6 Deconvoluted XPS spectra of the carbon 1s peak of GO (a) and rGO (b–d) samples.

reactions.^{51,52} Additionally, the average crystal size significantly impacts the electrochemical performance. A decrease in crystal size can increase the transport of oxygen vacancies. Since oxygen vacancies act as charge carriers and possess high mobility, which can result in higher specific capacitance.^{53,54} The plot depicting specific capacitance against current density is displayed in Fig. S5a.† At a current density of 1 A g^{-1} , the

calculated specific capacitances for GO and $rGO₁₀₀$ were 26.6 and 301.7 F g^{-1} , respectively. A considerable enhancement in the specific capacitance of rGO_{100} is attributed to the swift electron transport during the charge–discharge process, associated with the higher conductivity of rGO_{100} compared with GO.

A comparison of the specific capacitance values obtained in this study with earlier investigations is presented in Table S2.† In comparison to these prior studies, the specific capacitance of rGO obtained in this work demonstrates a far superior value. The use of an acidic electrolyte such as H_2SO_4 has notably enhanced the capacitive behavior of rGO due to the small size of $H⁺$ ions, facilitating their rapid mobility to reach the active sites within the electrode materials.

The energy density (E) and power density (P) stand as critical parameters when assessing the performance of energy storage devices, both of which are anticipated to demonstrate high values. These metrics can be computed using the subsequent eqn (2) and (3):

$$
E = \frac{C_{\rm sp}(\Delta V)^2}{7.2} \tag{2}
$$

and

$$
P = \frac{E}{\Delta t} \times 3600\tag{3}
$$

where E represents the energy density (W h kg $^{-1}$), $C_{\rm sp}$ signifies the specific capacitance obtained from GCD (F $\rm g^{-1}$), ΔV stands for the potential window (V), P denotes the power density (W kg^{-1}), and Δt signifies the discharge time (s). These calculations can be depicted on the Ragone plot, as shown in Fig. S5b.† The energy density and power density values for GO are 8.3 W h kg⁻¹ and 747 W kg^{-1} , respectively. In contrast, rGO₁₀₀ exhibited significantly higher energy density and power density values of 121.1 W h kg⁻¹ and 853.2 W kg⁻¹, respectively, surpassing those of GO by a considerable margin. Fig. S5c† shows the Nyquist plot from the EIS spectrum of GO and $rGO₁₀₀$, showcasing two distinct segments — a semicircle in the high-frequency region and a vertical line in the low-frequency region. The total resistance or equivalent series resistance (ESR) for the GO circuit is 225.28 Ω , comprising a solution resistance (R_s) of 4.88 Ω and a charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of 220.4 Ω . In contrast, rGO₁₀₀ demonstrates a solution resistance (R_s) of 4.47 Ω and a charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of 133.5 Ω , resulting in a total resistance or ESR of 137.97 Ω . A lower ESR value indicates higher electrode conductivity, attributed to improved ion diffusion, thus enhancing electrochemical behavior.^{55,56} In the low frequency range, the linear segment indicates the resistance known as Warburg's resistance, which is associated with the diffusion of $H⁺$ ions from the electrolyte to the electrode surface. An ideal capacitor is shown as a vertical line parallel to the imaginary component of the impedance (Z'') . A vertical line indicates a low interfacial charge transfer resistance and favourable capacitive behaviour. The slope of the straight line for the $rGO₁₀₀$ is higher compared to that of the GO.^{57,58} This indicates that the $rGO₁₀₀$, when used as an electrode material, has a faster transfer of ions and exhibits a more capacitive behaviour. In Fig. 7f, the capacity

Fig. 7 $\,$ (a) CV curves of GO and rGO $_{100}$ at 20 mV s $^{-1}$, (b) CV curves of GO at different scan rates, (c) GCD curves of GO, (d) CV curves of rGO $_{100}$ at different scan rates, (e) GCD curve of rGO_{100} , and (f) capacity retention of rGO_{100}

Table 1 Specific capacitance (F g⁻¹) calculated from galvanostatic charge–discharge^a

Sample	Current density $(A g^{-1})$				
					10
GO (F g^{-1}) rGO ₁₀₀ (F g^{-1})	26.6(1.2) 301.7(3.6)	16.2(0.6) 233.5(2.1)	12.6(0.4) 197.7(2.2)	8.0(0.4) 156.4(2.5)	6.3(0.4) 93.7(1.7)
	^{<i>a</i>} *S.D. = standard deviation in parentheses.				

retention of $rGO₁₀₀$ attains a high stability of 91% of the initial value after 2000 cycles which demonstrates the excellent cycling stability of rGO_{100} . The rGO_{100} electrode, prepared through a green reduction method employing gallic acid, exhibits promising potential for applications in supercapacitors.

4 Conclusions

Graphene oxide was reduced effectively using GA as a reducing agent with ten times less gallic acid than the literature reported value. A GO : GA ratio of $1:1$ (rGO₁₀₀) facilitated higher reduction of oxo-functionalities and helped in faster and efficient purification than when performed at a higher amount of GA. The lower ratios of GO : GA $(\leq 1 : 1)$ resulted in a faster reaction rate than that obtained with higher ratios $(>1:1)$. The properties of rGO obtained using a greener phenolic reductant are similar to those observed using hydrazine. rGO obtained showed a capacitive value of 301 F g^{-1} and a power density of 853.2 W kg⁻¹. Our present work illustrates a safe reduction and an easier purification process to enable efficient use of a bioreductant and with a potential to apply for a scale up process for graphene synthesis.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the financial and infrastructural support from Shiv Nadar Foundation. SZ and MM are supported by fellowships and SKM work was funded through the Opportunities for Undergraduate Research (OUR) scheme from Shiv Nadar Institution of Eminence. BL acknowledges the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) grant (35/10/2022-NANO/ BMS).

References

- 1 B. Dunn, H. Kamath and J.-M. Tarascon, Science, 2011, 334, 928–935.
- 2 Z. W. Seh, J. Kibsgaard, C. F. Dickens, I. Chorkendorff,
- J. K. Nørskov and T. F. Jaramillo, Science, 2017, 355, eaad4998.
- 3 P. Simon and Y. Gogotsi, Nat. Mater., 2008, 7, 845–854.
- 4 S. Zafar, A. Thomas, S. N. Mahapatra, N. Karmodak, H. S. Arora and B. Lochab, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2023, 11, 21263–21271.
- 5 S. Zafar, M. Sharma, K. Shai Mp, N. Karmodak, S. K. Singh and B. Lochab, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2024, DOI: [10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4TA01656D) [D4TA01656D](https://doi.org/10.1039/D4TA01656D).
- 6 R. Kötz and M. Carlen, Electrochim. Acta, 2000, 45, 2483– 2498.
- 7 H.-P. Cong, X.-C. Ren, P. Wang and S.-H. Yu, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 1185–1191.
- 8 F. Ahmad, M. Zahid, H. Jamil, M. A. Khan, S. Atiq, M. Bibi, K. Shahbaz, M. Adnan, M. Danish, F. Rasheed, H. Tahseen, M. J. Shabbir, M. Bilal and A. Samreen, J. Energy Storage, 2023, 72, 108731. PSC Sustainability
 References
 Representation Access Articles. 2024. November 2024. The November 2024. Non-Electron Access Articles. Non-Electron Access Articles. Non-Electron Access Articles. Non-Electron Access Arti
	- 9 T. Chen and L. Dai, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 10756–10775.
	- 10 N. Xiao, H. Tan, J. Zhu, L. Tan, X. Rui, X. Dong and Q. Yan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 9656–9662.
	- 11 C. Wang, H. Chen, W. Dong, J. Ge, W. Lu, X. Wu, L. Guo and L. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 1202–1204.
	- 12 V. B. Mbayachi, E. Ndayiragije, T. Sammani, S. Taj, E. R. Mbuta and A. U. khan, Results Chem., 2021, 3, 100163.
	- 13 C. K. Chua and M. Pumera, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 291– 312.
	- 14 V. Agarwal and P. B. Zetterlund, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 405, 127018.
	- 15 P. Zaccagnini and A. Lamberti, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2022, 120, 100501.
	- 16 A. Ghosh, S. Kaur, G. Verma, C. Dolle, R. Azmi, S. Heissler, Y. M. Eggeler, K. Mondal, D. Mager, A. Gupta, J. G. Korvink, D.-Y. Wang, A. Sharma and M. Islam, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2024, 16, 40313–40325.
	- 17 V. S. Abhilash and P. Meshram, Carbon Lett., 2022, 32, 653– 669.
	- 18 P. R. Wallace, Phys. Rev., 1947, 71, 622.
	- 19 S. Park, J. An, J. R. Potts, A. Velamakanni, S. Murali and R. S. Ruoff, Carbon, 2011, 49, 3019–3023.
	- 20 S. Pei, J. Zhao, J. Du, W. Ren and H.-M. Cheng, Carbon, 2010, 48, 4466–4474.
	- 21 G. Wang, J. Yang, J. Park, X. Gou, B. Wang, H. Liu and J. Yao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 8192–8195.
	- 22 A. E. D. Mahmoud, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2020, 253, 123336.
	- 23 H. J. Shin, K. K. Kim, A. Benayad, S. M. Yoon, H. K. Park, I. S. Jung, M. H. Jin, H. K. Jeong, J. M. Kim and J. Y. Choi, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2009, 19, 1987–1992.
	- 24 X. Li, H. Wang, J. T. Robinson, H. Sanchez, G. Diankov and H. Dai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15939–15944.
	- 25 M. J. Fernández-Merino, L. Guardia, J. Paredes, S. Villar-Rodil, P. Solís-Fernández, A. Martínez-Alonso and J. Tascón, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, 114, 6426-6432.
- 26 X. Fan, W. Peng, Y. Li, X. Li, S. Wang, G. Zhang and F. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2008, 20, 4490–4493.
- 27 J. Li, G. Xiao, C. Chen, R. Li and D. Yan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 1481–1487.
- 28 Z. Gan, T. Wang, C. Zhai, J. Ma, R. Cao and Y. Wang, ACS Appl. Electron. Mater., 2023, 5, 1148–1155.
- 29 M. Moreno, A. Sánchez Arribas, S. Royano, Y. Izquierdo and M. Chicharro, FlatChem, 2024, 44, 100605.
- 30 C. Zhu, S. Guo, Y. Fang and S. Dong, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 2429–2437.
- 31 Y. Wang, Z. Shi and J. Yin, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 1127–1133.
- 32 T. Kuila, S. Bose, P. Khanra, A. K. Mishra, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Carbon, 2012, 50, 914–921.
- 33 Q. Yu, J. Jiang, L. Jiang, Q. Yang and N. Yan, Nano Res., 2021, 14, 3724–3743.
- 34 G. B. Besinella, J. E. Padilha, F. B. Scheufele, L. J. Gasparrini, C. E. Borba and H. J. Alves, Adv. Mater., 2021, 2, 403–412.
- 35 D. E. Glass and G. K. Surya Prakash, Electroanalysis, 2018, 30, 1938–1945.
- 36 C.-J. Shih, S. Lin, R. Sharma, M. S. Strano and D. Blankschtein, Langmuir, 2012, 28, 235–241.
- 37 B. Badhani, N. Sharma and R. Kakkar, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 27540–27557.
- 38 X. Wang, S. Huang, L. Zhu, X. Tian, S. Li and H. Tang, Carbon, 2014, 69, 101–112.
- 39 S. X. Xu C, A. Ji, L. Shi, C. Zhou and Y. Cui, PLoS One, 2015, 19, 0144842.
- 40 M. F. Abdullah, R. Zakaria and S. H. S. Zein, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 34510–34518.
- 41 A. Mathkar, D. Tozier, P. Cox, P. Ong, C. Galande, K. Balakrishnan, A. Leela Mohana Reddy and P. M. Ajayan, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2012, 3, 986–991.
- 42 H. Guo, X. Wang, Q. Qian, F. Wang and X. Xia, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 2653–2659.
- 43 M. Aunkor, I. Mahbubul, R. Saidur and H. Metselaar, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 27807–27828.
- 44 A. J. Glover, M. Cai, K. R. Overdeep, D. E. Kranbuehl and H. C. Schniepp, Macromolecules, 2011, 44, 9821–9829.
- 45 A. C. Ferrari and J. Robertson, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2000, 61, 14095.
- 46 J. Gao, F. Liu, Y. Liu, N. Ma, Z. Wang and X. Zhang, Chem. Mater., 2010, 22, 2213–2218.
- 47 S. Pei and H.-M. Cheng, Carbon, 2012, 50, 3210–3228.
- 48 O. C. Compton, B. Jain, D. A. Dikin, A. Abouimrane, K. Amine and S. T. Nguyen, ACS Nano, 2011, 5, 4380–4391.
- 49 A. E. D. Mahmoud, A. Stolle and M. Stelter, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 6358–6369.
- 50 S. Zafar, S. K. Singh and B. Lochab, Mater. Adv., 2023, 4, 2425–2436.
- 51 R. Hadi, K. Rahimpour, E. Payami and R. Teimuri-Mofrad, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2020, 34, e5946.
- 52 X. W. Wang, Q. Q. Zhu, X. E. Wang, H. C. Zhang, J. J. Zhang and L. F. Wang, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 675, 195–200.
- 53 K. S. Lau, R. T. Ginting, S. T. Tan, S. X. Chin, S. Zakaria and C. H. Chia, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2019, 30, 19182– 19188.

- 54 T. N. Vinuth Raj, P. A. Hoskeri, H. B. Muralidhara, C. R. Manjunatha, K. Yogesh Kumar and M. S. Raghu, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2020, 858, 113830. Paper

St T. N. Vinniplane, P. A. Itolsen, H. B. Manildham, J. Akarcha, Steat Mannacha to 1. Analog and Creative Commons Article.

C. R. A. Itolsen, C. A. Itolsen, M. A. Vinniplane, V. Vinniplane, V. New Licence. *Inc.* Vi
	- 55 G. Yu, L. Hu, N. Liu, H. Wang, M. Vosgueritchian, Y. Yang, Y. Cui and Z. Bao, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 4438-4442.
	- 56 M. Handayani, Y. Mulyaningsih, M. Aulia Anggoro, A. Abbas, I. Setiawan, F. Triawan, N. Darsono, Y. Nugraha Thaha,

I. Kartika, G. Ketut Sunnardianto, I. Anshori and G. Lisak, Mater. Lett., 2022, 314, 131846.

- 57 R. I. J. Jaidev, A. K. Mishra and S. Ramaprabhu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2011, 21, 17601–17605.
- 58 W. Raza, F. Ali, N. Raza, Y. Luo, K.-H. Kim, J. Yang, S. Kumar, A. Mehmood and E. E. Kwon, Nano Energy, 2018, 52, 441– 473.

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry RSC Sustainability, 2025, 3, 365-375 | 375