View Article Online

Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Materials for optical, magnetic and electronic devices

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: J. Ye, T. Xu, L. rmane, L. Lapinskis, A. Šutka and J. Tan, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2025, DOI: 10.1039/D4TC05325G.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/materials-c

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.

Functionalized PDMS for Regulating Triboelectric Output_D **f**_{10.1039/D4TC05325G} Nanogenerators: A Study of Charge Transfer Mechanisms

Jiahao Ye,¹ Tianhuai Xu, ¹ Liva Germane,² Linards Lapcinskis,² Andris Šutka,² and Jin-Chong Tan^{1,*}

¹Multifunctional Materials & Composites (MMC) Laboratory, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PJ, United Kingdom.

²Institute of Materials and Surface Engineering, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Technology, Riga Technical University, 1048 Riga, Latvia.

*Corresponding author's e-mail: jin-chong.tan@eng.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) is one of the most widely used materials in triboelectric nanogenerators (TENGs) due to its remarkable flexibility and robustness, yet its triboelectric output often limits practical applications. In this study, we presented a method for tuning the triboelectric properties of PDMS through surface functionalization using self-assembled monolayers of siloxane-based molecules. Our results demonstrate that the functionalized PDMS films exhibit distinct charge donating or withdrawing behaviours, confirmed by molecular simulations and experimental characterizations. Notably, trimethylsiloxyphenylmethacrylate (TMSPMA) functionalized PDMS achieved the highest voltage of 189 ± 6 V and current output of $6.75 \pm 0.26 \mu$ A, leading to a 2-fold increase in peak power density compared with the standard PDMS. Moreover, to elucidate the charge transfer mechanisms between the functionalized PDMS and indium tin oxide (ITO) electrode, nanoanalytical techniques such as nano-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (nano-FTIR) and Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM) were employed to evaluate the surface chemical and electrical properties at the local scale. This research not only enhances the understanding of polymer/metal contact electrification but also opens avenues for optimizing TENG efficiency through targeted surface functionalization strategies.

Keywords: Triboelectric nanogenerators; Polydimethylsiloxane; Surface functionalization; Selfassembled monolayers

1. Introduction

Triboelectric Nanogenerator (TENG) is an emerging technology that converts mechanical energy into electrical energy based on contact triboelectrification and electrostatic induction. With its great

advantages of scalability, simple fabrication, and flexibility, extensive research has been conducted icle Online towards commercial applications [1,2]. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), a silicone polymer with excellent mechanical resilience and electron-withdrawing ability, has become one of the most widely used polymer materials in the research pertaining to TENG devices [3]. As a soft elastomer material, PDMS exhibits several advantages during TENG device manufacturing including ease of processing, low cost, good transparency, and potential for surface functionalization [4,5]. Various applications of PDMS-based TENG have been developed in biomechanical energy harvesting [6,7], vital sign monitoring [8,9], robotic sensors [10,11], and Internet of Things (IoT) [12]. However, the energy conversion efficiency of prepared TENG devices is still limiting real-world applications. For example, a PDMS film generates a triboelectric output of 232 V and 6 μ A while contacting with a copper electrode, as reported by Xu et al [4]. To overcome the current bottleneck in the triboelectric output of TENG devices, many approaches have been made to improve the triboelectric performance of PDMSbased TENG devices including ion injection [13,14], surface micro-patterning design [15,16], and incorporating high dielectric constant fillers [17,18]. For example, by the combination of using ion injection through an antistatic gun and surface microstructure using cilia, Seo et al. significantly improved the triboelectric performance of a single electrode PDMS-based TENG [13]. In 2017, Rasel and Park fabricated microstructured PDMS using sandpaper to enlarge the contact area during triboelectrification, producing a peak-to-peak open-circuit voltage of up of 103 V against the human skin [19]. Moreover, Shi et al. modulated a PDMS-TENG by incorporating BaTiO₃ and Ag nanoparticles, improved the dielectric constant and the charge-trapping ability of the nanocomposites, yielding a maximum output voltage and current of 88 V and 8.3 µA [20]. While these approaches contribute to performance improvements, a comprehensive understanding of the underlying charge transfer mechanism in PDMS remains elusive. The triboelectrification process involves complex surface interactions between materials, and the basic origin of the charge transfer is still being debated. The three proposed theories, including electron transfer, ion transfer, and material transfer, have been observed with experimental and computational studies under various cases [21-25], but a need for more refined characterization techniques is becoming evident to study these mechanisms.

Herein, we report a method to functionalize the PDMS surface with various siloxane groups to examine the effect of surface functionalization on the triboelectric output performance. Our previous findings have proven the improved charge generation properties by altering the combination of functionalized surfaces under a dielectric-to-dielectric system [26]. In this research, we investigated the actual performance of a TENG device under a dielectric-to-metal condition by measuring the open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current output. The neat PDMS materials are prepared and then functionalized with three different types of self-assembled monolayers (SAM) with different electrondonating/withdrawing behaviour. Our result indicates that the triboelectric properties of PDMS can be tuned through surface functionalization. Moreover, using nanospectroscopy techniques, we observed This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscript

variations in the work function of functionalized PDMS surfaces and evidence of bond cleavage and icle Online charged materials transfer to the ITO surface, elucidating the underlying charge transfer mechanisms. The functionalization approach and discussion of mechanisms introduced in this study aim to link nanoscale characterizations with fundamental triboelectric principles, providing guidelines to advance TENG design for higher output performance.

2. Methods

2.1 Fabrication of functionalized PDMS

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) elastomer and curing agent was obtained from Dow Corning (Sylgard 184). The PDMS samples were prepared by mixing the precursor and curing agent at a mass ratio of 10:1. The resulting mixture was poured onto an ITO-coated glass substrate and spun at 2500 rpm for 10 seconds. After curing the PDMS samples at 80 °C for 3 h, the obtained PDMS samples were cut into squares, each with an area of 2.5×2.5 cm² and a thickness of 100 µm. The PDMS samples were then plasma treated by oxygen to attach hydroxyl groups on the surface. Three different types of functionalized groups, (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES), 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (TMSPMA) and vinyltrimethoxysilane (VTMS) was dissolved in ethanol respectively at a concentration of 20 g L⁻¹. The prepared PDMS was immersed in prepared solutions for 1 h and dried in ambient air for 30 min to yield functionalized PDMS.

2.2 Electrical Performance Measurements

The triboelectric output of the as-prepared functionalized PDMS was measured under contactseparation mode. A permanent electromagnetic shaker (Brüel & Kjær LDS V201) powered by a voltage-amplified arbitrary function generator (GW Instek AFG-2105) was used to generate the periodic contact-separation motion. The ITO-contacted PDMS was then coupled with another ITO surface as the counter electrode. The TENGs were contacted for 30 minutes to reach stability. The standard electrical output was tested under impact driven by a 2 Hz square wave at a separation distance of 4 mm. The average speed of the moving electrode during contact-separation was 0.4 m s⁻¹. The contact force was monitored by a loadcell (RS PRO) connected to the sample holder. The instantaneous force at contact was maintained at 50 N by the controlled separation gap and shaker driving voltage. The voltage output of samples was measured using a digital oscilloscope (PicoScope 5444B) equipped with a 100 M Ω high voltage probe (Rigol RP1300H). The current output was measured by an electrometer (Keithley 6514).

2.3 Materials Characterizations

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscrip

A scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to reveal the surface morphology of the prepared icle Online materials. The Fourier-transform infrared spectra (FTIR) were recorded by a Nicolet iS10 FTIR spectrometer equipped with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) module. The atomic force microscopic (AFM) surface height topography and the nano-FTIR spectra via infrared nanospectroscopy were determined through a scattering-type scanning nearfield optical microscope (Neaspec s-SNOM) [27]. The nano-FTIR spectra were taken at 20 nm resolution, combining the spectra of two laser sources with ranges from 700 to 1400 cm⁻¹ and 1000 to 1600 cm⁻¹. An average of 11 individual interferograms were recorded for each spectrum with a spectral resolution of 12 cm⁻¹ and an integration time of 10.2 ms. The far-IR spectra (spectral range 150-650 cm⁻¹) were recorded at the multimode IR imaging and microspectroscopy (MIRIAM) Beamline B22 at the Diamond Light Source synchrotron. A Bruker Vertex 80v FTIR spectrometer equipped with an ATR accessory (Bruker Optics) was used to perform the measurement. The detector was equipped with a liquid helium cooled Si-Bolometer. Post-measurement, the obtained data was processed using the OPUS 7.2 software. The Kelvin-probe force microscopy (KPFM) data were recorded using an Asylum Research Cypher ES with an ASYELEC-01-R2 conductive tip under SKPM mode. The scan area was set to 1 μ m × 1 μ m, with a scan resolution of 128×128 pixels and a scan rate of 2.44 Hz to minimize drift. The KPFM results were processed using Gwyddion software [28], where the color scale and range were adjusted, and the average surface potential was calculated. The pull-off test was conducted in a nanoindenter (iMicro KLA Tencor). The test employed a cylindrical flat punch with a nominal diameter of 52 μ m. Initially, the flat punch was lifted 2 μ m above the sample surface. Then the tip approaches the surface at a speed of 100 nm s⁻¹, with surface detection facilitated by monitoring the phase signal. After contact, the punch applied a load at a rate of 0.01 mN s⁻¹ until reaching a maximum load of 0.1 mN. The tip was held at this peak load for 2 seconds. Then the tip was unloaded at the same rate and retracted 5 μ m from the surface. The pull-off stress was calculated by dividing the measured pull-off force by the nominal contact area. The work of adhesion was calculated by integrating the area under the load-depth curve. A total of 12 tests were performed on a 5×5 mm² sample area.

2.4 Density functional theory (DFT) calculations

DFT calculations were performed to investigate the electronic properties of SAM molecules for surface functionalization. The molecular structures were first geometrically optimized utilizing the B3LYP functional combined with the 6-31G basis set [29,30] using Gaussian 09 [31]. The electrostatic potential maps were then generated to visualize the charge distribution across the molecules.

3. Results

3.1 Materials

Figure 1 outlines the sample preparation stages in the surface functionalization of PDMS. The prismatice Online PDMS samples are first prepared by spin coating on ITO-coated glass followed by 3 hours of curing. The samples are then plasma treated and immersed in several siloxane-based molecules to attach certain functional groups on the PDMS surface. The surface-functionalized PDMS films show similar surface topography AFM, as shown in Figure S1, with all functionalized PDMS showing surface roughness less than 1.2 nm, confirming the flatness of PDMS during contact. Figure 2 shows the schematics of organic molecules that can be covalently linked to the PDMS backbone, including APTES, VTMS, and TMSPMA. Despite their structural similarity, these molecules were selected for their distinct functional properties. The relative electronic characteristics of these molecules are confirmed through *ab initio* density functional theory (DFT) calculations, where their electrostatic potential distributions are shown in Figure 2. The siloxane groups in these molecules facilitate bonding with the PDMS backbone, while the distinctive parts of each molecule offer unique electronic properties to the overall structure leading to different chemical polarities. In general, the aminopropyl group in APTES offers a strong electrondonating property through the amine group within the molecule, whereas VTMS has a vinyl group that is relatively neutral due to the localized electron density around the C=C bond. On the other hand, the methacrylate bond in TMSPMA possesses a strong electron-withdrawing property resulting from the electronegative oxygen atoms in the carbonyl and ester groups, showing the most deficit in electrostatic potential [32].

The successful surface functionalization of PDMS with various functional groups has been confirmed through ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. Figure 3a displays the mid-infrared absorption bands for the different PDMS samples. All PDMS samples show characteristic vibrational bands, including CH₃ rocking mode at 789 cm⁻¹, Si-O-Si stretching mode at 1000-1100 cm⁻¹, and Si-C stretching mode at 1257 cm⁻¹ [33]. In addition, the APTES functionalized PDMS shows additional bands at 1561 and 1484 cm⁻¹, representing the N-H bending modes. The TMSPMA-PDMS also shows the C=O stretching mode at 1721 cm⁻¹. The VTMS-PDMS displays less apparent changes in spectra, with a slight signal at 1722 cm⁻¹ for C=C stretching, as shown in Figure 32. The nano-FTIR spectra were also taken to confirm the obtained FTIR results, as displayed in Figure 3b. The nearfield result is well-aligned with ATR-FTIR findings from bulk samples. In the far-IR region as shown in Figure S3, all functionalized PDMS exhibit similar Terahertz absorption bands, with a dominant peak observed at around 400 cm⁻¹ (~12 THz) for the Si-O-Si backbone bending and 285 cm⁻¹ (~8.5 THz) for Si-C stretching.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscrip

3.2 Electrical Performance

The triboelectric outputs of prepared PDMS samples were examined by cyclic contact-separation motion against an ITO surface, acting as the counter tribo-positive material. The experimental setup for the measurement is shown in Figure S4. The maximum force at contact was maintained at 50 N for all measurements, monitored by a load cell, as shown in Figure S5. Regarding the open-circuit voltage

output, the standard PDMS material reaches a maximum voltage of 136 V and peak-to-peak voltage of 136 V and peak voltage of 136 V and peak-to-peak voltage of 136 V and peak voltage of 136 V

196 V, as shown in Figure 4a. In contrast, APTES-PDMS and VTMS-PDMS both show reduced voltage output, with peak-to-peak voltages of only 34% that of the neat PDMS. On the other hand, TMSPMA-PDMS, functionalized with a more electronegative functional group, demonstrates a 1.42 times improvement in maximum voltage and 2.25 times improvement in maximum current, showing the highest voltage and current output of 189 ± 6 V and 6.75 ± 0.26 μ A, derived from 40 contact-separation cycles. Although APTES-PDMS and VTMS-PDMS show similar voltage output in value, their output profile differs significantly. When looking at a single spike of electric signal generated during contact and separation in Figure 4b, all PDMS materials, except for APTES-PDMS, show a positive voltage during contact followed by a negative voltage during separation. Typically, PDMS material is considered an excellent tribo-negative material, which means it withdraws and holds negative charges on its surface in a triboelectric pair. While the PDMS and ITO surfaces are in contact, the surface of PDMS gets negatively charged by taking away the free electrons on the conductive ITO surface. However, an inverted phenomenon was observed for the case of APTES-PDMS, under the premise that the electrical connections during data collection are the same for all samples, indicating that the APTES-PDMS has become a more tribo-positive material relative to the ITO. The APTES-PDMS donates electrons to ITO during contact and retrieves during separation, resulting in the reversed voltage profile exhibited in Figure 4b. The strong electron-donating effect of the amine group in APTES resulted in changes in the original surface property of PDMS. Although VTMS-PDMS also reduces the triboelectric output due to the neutral surface alkene groups, the reduction did not alter its relative position in the triboelectric series compared to ITO.

Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access

A similar trend is observed in the closed-circuit current output as shown in Figure 4c. APTES-PDMS shows the lowest current output, followed by VTMS-PDMS, neat PDMS, and TMSPMA-PDMS. Although APTES-PDMS and VTMS-PDMS have similar voltage output, the current for APTES-PDMS is much lower. While the output voltage reflects the potential difference between the contacting materials, the measured current compensates the induced charges on electrodes. As ITO is a conductive material abundant with free charge carriers, it has limited ability to hold or transfer more electrons from the APTES-PDMS side, resulting in the low current output of APTES-PDMS. When examining the individual signal peaks in Figure 4d, APTES-PDMS has the only reversed output peak shape compared to other membranes, confirming our findings in voltage measurements. It is widely accepted that the surface property of a material plays a vital role in its triboelectric performance. While modifying the material surface with various functional groups, the work function of the material compared to the electrode is altered, leading to different charge transfer phenomena. These triboelectric performance results align with our DFT predictions, as shown in Figure 1c, highlighting the role of surface functionalization in tuning charge transfer characteristics. Summarizing the relative electron-donating and withdrawing propensities of PDMS materials during contact, a triboelectric series can be

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.

established for materials studied in this work, as shown in Figure 4e. Among these, APTES-PDMS Asicle Online only material that exhibits stronger electron-donating behaviour compared to conductive ITO, placing it as the most tribo-positive material in the series. On the other hand, through functionalization, TMSPMA-PDMS with enhanced withdrawing ability ranks furthest to the right within the series.

The peak power density of prepared materials was measured and calculated by connecting the TENG devices to loads with varying resistances. The closed-circuit voltage was measured across the resistors, and the peak power density was calculated using $P_d = V^2/(RA)$. As summarized in Figure 4f, the TMSPMA-modified PDMS exhibits the highest power density of 393 mW m⁻², which has doubled the power output of the neat PDMS attributed to the higher voltage and current output of the former, whereas APTES-PDMS shows the lowest power output. It is worth noticing that all these materials show their highest power density at around 3 M Ω , except for APTES-PDMS, which peaks at 10 M Ω . This optimum resistance at the highest power density denotes the internal resistance of the device [34]. The high internal impedance of the APTES-PDMS device can be explained by the high electron transfer resistance relationships for functionalized PDMS are shown in Figure S6. The alternating charge transferred during contact-separation can be rectified into direct current and harvested by capacitors for real-world applications. Figure S7 shows the charging curves for capacitors ranging from 0.1, 0.47, 1, and 10 µF capacitances. Comparing the charging rate for a 0.1 µF capacitor, TMSPMA-PDMS achieved the fastest charging speed, reaching a voltage of 7.3 V in 50 s, as shown in Figure 4g.

3.3 Charge Transfer Mechanism

The charge transfer mechanism of prepared PDMS-based TENGs is revealed and discussed based on results from various nano-scale characterization techniques. Among the three charge transfer mechanisms, the ion transfer model explains charge transfer by the formation of a water layer on the surface. This model normally applies to ionic and hydrophilic polymers. However, in our case, PDMS has high hydrophobicity where the water layer and amount of charge transfer through ions can be neglected since surface ionization reaction is unlikely to happen between the hydrophobic solid surfaces [35]. We therefore mainly elucidate the role of electron transfer and mass transfer mechanisms during the mechanical interaction between functionalized PDMS and ITO surfaces.

From the electron transfer perspective, electrons are transferred from a material with lower work function to higher, and the amount of charge transfer is proportional to the difference in the work function of two materials. In this study, the work function of functionalized PDMS (Φ_{sample}) was indirectly measured by KPFM through the measurement of contact potential difference (V_{CPD}):

$$\Phi_{\text{sample}} = \Phi_{\text{tip}} - e \cdot V_{\text{CPD}} \tag{1}$$

where Φ_{tip} is the work function of the KPFM probe tip and e is the charge amount carried by an electrovatice Online DOI: 10.10397041C05325G

According to Equation 1, a higher measured contact potential difference corresponds to a lower surface work function. To measure the contact potential difference of PDMS samples, pristine polymers were cut into squares of 5 mm × 5 mm and stabilized for two days after sample preparation to ensure no accumulated charge on the surface. Figure 5a demonstrates the average $V_{\rm CPD}$ values and surface potential distribution maps of functionalized PDMS samples measured under stabilized condition. The functionalized PDMS samples exhibit a relatively homogeneous surface potential, with APTES-PDMS showing the highest V_{CPD} and, therefore, the lowest work function, while TMSPMA-PDMS showed the lowest V_{CPD} and highest work function, consistent with the trend in previously summarized triboelectric series in Figure 4e. The work function of a material is the minimum thermodynamic energy required to remove an electron from a solid to a point just outside the solid surface [36]. Electrons will be transferred from the material with a lower work function to higher in order to maintain Fermi level balance, resulting in the material with lower work function positively charged after contact [37]. This behaviour is illustrated in the surface state model to explain the electron transfer phenomenon as proposed in Figure 5b. Here, the energy levels of functionalized PDMS are ranked according to the measured V_{CPD} values in comparison to the counter material ITO. While the APTES-PDMS has the lowest work function, its Fermi level exceeds the energy level of ITO, thereby transferring electrons during contact. Conversely, when PDMS surface is functionalized with TMSPMA, the work function increases, resulting in a lower Fermi level. As a result, this increased energy gap between TMSPMA-PDMS and the ITO electrode, compared to neat PDMS, thereby driving more electrons to hop from ITO surface to TMSPMA-PDMS and enhancing charge generation within a TENG device.

On the other hand, evidence of material transfer has been observed *via* nano-FTIR analysis and KPFM scans. Mass transfer or material transfer model proposes that the charge transfer between two materials is due to the transfer of small fragment materials that carry a certain amount of charge that adhere to another surface during contact. As a soft polymer material with relatively low Young's modulus and high surface adhesion, PDMS is more likely to transfer materials during contact from the high contact area and higher density of *van der Waals* intermolecular bonds [38]. To examine the mass transfer between PDMS film and ITO electrode, pristine materials were clamped together using spring clamps under 6.9 N to ensure conformal surface contact and eliminate potential contamination effects. Then the materials were separated and evaluated under AFM integrated nano-FTIR spectroscopy at a 20-nm spatial resolution [27]. The surface topography of the contacted ITO surface from AFM shows increased surface roughness with particles of different sizes. Figure 6a shows the observation of several nano-sized particles on the ITO surface. By scanning the nano-FTIR spectra across the particles, characteristics peaks at 1265 cm⁻¹ and 1091 cm⁻¹ representing Si-C stretching and Si-O-Si stretching were observed on the particles which confirmed the presence of PDMS. The IR absorption peaks are only observable near the particles but not on the smooth ITO surface, demonstrating the transfer of

Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access

PDMS residues during contact. In addition to nano-sized PDMS particles, larger transferred fragmentaticle online up to 10 µm, are also observed as shown in Figure S8. In this case, the absorption peaks are detectable even far away from the particle, indicating a layer of PDMS being transferred to ITO surface not only of the large particle but also with cleavage of bonds. The same observation is found for TMSPMA-PDMS, as shown in Figure S9. Comparing the relative peak intensity of 1265 cm⁻¹ and 1091 cm⁻¹, the Si-O-Si peak shows a lower peak intensity at the ITO surface, compared with bulk TMSPMA-PDMS. This could be an indication of Si-O bond breaking due to the physical contact, that the chemical bond breakage on the backbone of functionalized PDMS leads to the transfer of small material fragments across the contact interface [4]. These fragments carry charges due to chemical bond breaking, which cause the transfer of charges while contacting with the counter material.

The KPFM results further support the material transfer mechanism. In Figure 7a, we detected rounded PDMS residue on the ITO surface immediately after contact, showing a significantly more negative surface potential than the pristine ITO surface. The 3D topography and potential distribution of PDMS residues revealed sizes from 2 µm down to the nanoscale, as shown in Figure 7b. The surface charge of ITO initially after contact shows a highly positive value due to the withdrawal of electrons from the conductive surface, then gradually drops as it stabilizes and discharges under ambient conditions. However, it can be observed that the PDMS residue retains a much lower surface potential compared to ITO surface, as shown in Figure 7c, indicating that these charged tribo-negative counterpart fragments transfer to the surface may contribute importantly to charge transfer during contact. In addition to the observed transferred polymer fragments on the electrode surface, further experiment has revealed the correlation between the surface adhesion properties and triboelectric output of materials, as shown in Figure 8. Detailed experimental methods and results are presented in Figures S10 and S11. TMSPMA-PDMS shows the highest pull-off stress and work of adhesion of 0.11 MPa and 374.4 pJ, respectively, relative to the other functionalized PDMS, indicating a more adhesive surface and a higher rate of acceleration during separation. The high pull-off stress and work of adhesion promote more bond cleavage, leading to more material and charge transfer. The functionalization of PDMS alters the surface mechanical properties, further contributing to improved charge transfer efficiency. Together, these observations highlight the critical role of surface adhesion and material transfer in optimizing the triboelectric performance.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we introduced a surface functionalization method to tune the surface triboelectric properties of PDMS by self-assembled monolayers of APTES, VTMS and TMSPMA. The functionalized PDMS shows different relative positions on the triboelectric series due to the introduction of surface chemical bonds, validated through molecular simulations and experimental

characterizations. The TMSPMA functionalized PDMS shows the highest voltage and current output field online of 189 \pm 6 V and 6.75 \pm 0.26 μ A, increasing the peak power output density by 2 times compared with the neat PDMS. Moreover, advanced nanoanalytical techniques, including nano-FTIR and KPFM, were employed to investigate the charge transfer mechanisms between the functionalized PDMS and an ITO counter electrode. Our findings indicate that the surface functionalization approach varies the surface work function of PDMS, potentially serves as the driving force for the charge transfer against ITO electrode. In addition, evidence of material transfer at the nanoscale is observed through surface topographical changes and chemical composition variations, which also significantly contributes to charge transfer. Future studies can leverage these insights in studying the mechanisms under polymer/metal contact electrification and improving the triboelectric performance through strategic surface modification strategies.

Data Availability

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.

Supporting Information

ATR-FTIR, far-IR, nano-FTIR, AFM and KPFM characterization data. Voltage, current, and power output data. Schematic diagrams of experimental setups and device working mechanisms.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the EPSRC award (TEGMOF EP/Z534146/1) and the ERC Consolidator Grant (PROMOFS grant agreement 771575). We acknowledge the Diamond Light Source for the award of beamtime SM36374, and for the technical support and scientific discussion with Dr. Gianfelice Cinque during the far-IR measurements on Beamline B22 MIRIAM. Liva Germane acknowledges the Academic career PhD (doctoral) grant, ID 1031, project ID Nr. 5.2.1.1.i.0/2/24/I/CFLA/003.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jiahao Ye: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing – Original draft preparation, Writing – review & editing. **Tianhuai Xu**: Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Liva Germane**: Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition. **Linards**

Lapcinskis: Methodology, Investigation. **Andris Šutka**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervisionicle Online Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. **Jin-Chong Tan**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.

[1] J. Zhu, M. Zhu, Q. Shi, F. Wen, L. Liu, B. Dong, A. Haroun, Y. Yang, P. Vachon, X. Guo, T. He, C. Lee, Progress in TENG technology—A journey from energy harvesting to nanoenergy and nanosystem, EcoMat 2 (2020) e12058. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eom2.12058</u>.

[2] D. Choi, Y. Lee, Z.-H. Lin, S. Cho, M. Kim, C. K. Ao, S. Soh, C. Sohn, C. K. Jeong, J. Lee, M. Lee, S. Lee, J. Ryu, P. Parashar, Y. Cho, J. Ahn, I.-D. Kim, F. Jiang, P. S. Lee, G. Khandelwal, S.-J. Kim, H. S. Kim, H.-C. Song, M. Kim, J. Nah, W. Kim, H. G. Menge, Y. T. Park, W. Xu, J. Hao, H. Park, J.-H. Lee, D.-M. Lee, S.-W. Kim, J. Y. Park, H. Zhang, Y. Zi, R. Guo, J. Cheng, Z. Yang, Y. Xie, S. Lee, J. Chung, I.-K. Oh, J.-S. Kim, T. Cheng, Q. Gao, G. Cheng, G. Gu, M. Shim, J. Jung, C. Yun, C. Zhang, G. Liu, Y. Chen, S. Kim, X. Chen, J. Hu, X. Pu, Z. H. Guo, X. Wang, J. Chen, X. Xiao, X. Xie, M. Jarin, H. Zhang, Y.-C. Lai, T. He, H. Kim, I. Park, J. Ahn, N. D. Huynh, Y. Yang, Z. L. Wang, J. M. Baik, D. Choi, Recent Advances in Triboelectric Nanogenerators: From Technological Progress to Commercial Applications, ACS Nano 17 (2023) 11087-11219. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c12458.

[3] R. Zhang, H. Olin, Material choices for triboelectric nanogenerators: A critical review, EcoMat 2 (2020) 12062. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eom2.12062</u>.

[4] T. Xu, J. Ye, J.-C. Tan, Unravelling the Ageing Effects of PDMS-Based Triboelectric Nanogenerators, Adv. Mater. Interfaces (2024) 2400094. https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.202400094.

[5] G.-Z. Li, G.-G. Wang, D.-M. Ye, X.-W. Zhang, Z.-Q. Lin, H.-L. Zhou, F. Li, B.-L. Wang, J.-C. Han, High-Performance Transparent and Flexible Triboelectric Nanogenerators Based on PDMS-PTFE Composite Films, Adv. Electron. Mater. 5 (2019) 1800846. https://doi.org/10.1002/aelm.201800846.

[6] W. He, M. Sohn, R. Ma, D. J. Kang, Flexible single-electrode triboelectric nanogenerators with MXene/PDMS composite film for biomechanical motion sensors, Nano Energy 78 (2020) 105383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2020.105383</u>.

[7] Y. Yang, H. Zhang, Z.-H. Lin, Y. S. Zhou, Q. Jing, Y. Su, J. Yang, J. Chen, C. Hu, Z. L. Wang, Human Skin Based Triboelectric Nanogenerators for Harvesting Biomechanical Energy and as Self-Powered Active Tactile Sensor System, ACS Nano 7 (2013) 9213-9222. https://doi.org/10.1021/nn403838y.

[8] A. Khan, M. Rashid, G. Grabher, G. Hossain, Autonomous Triboelectric Smart Textile Sensor for Vital Sign Monitoring, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 16 (2024) 31807-31816. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.4c04689.

[9] Y. Su, G. Chen, C. Chen, Q. Gong, G. Xie, M. Yao, H. Tai, Y. Jiang, J. Chen, Self-Powered Respiration Monitoring Enabled By a Triboelectric Nanogenerator, Adv. Mater. 33 (2021) 2101262. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202101262</u>.

[10] S. Liu, Y. Li, W. Guo, X. Huang, L. Xu, Y.-C. Lai, C. Zhang, H. Wu, Triboelectric nanogenerators enabled sensing and actuation for robotics, Nano Energy 65 (2019) 104005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104005. Page 13 of 24

[11] L. Zheng, S. Dong, J. Nie, S. Li, Z. Ren, X. Ma, X. Chen, H. Li, Z. L. Wang, Dual View Article Online Stimulus Smart Actuator and Robot Hand Based on a Vapor-Responsive PDMS Film and Triboelectric Nanogenerator, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 (2019) 42504-42511. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b15574.
[12] K. V. Viiov, H. John, K. J. Saji, Self-powered ultra-sensitive millijoule impact sensor.

[12] K. V. Vijoy, H. John, K. J. Saji, Self-powered ultra-sensitive millijoule impact sensor using room temperature cured PDMS based triboelectric nanogenerator, Microelectron. Eng. 251 (2022) 111664. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mee.2021.111664</u>.

[13] J. Seo, S. Hajra, M. Sahu, H. J. Kim, Effect of cilia microstructure and ion injection upon single-electrode triboelectric nanogenerator for effective energy harvesting, Mater. Lett. 304 (2021) 130674. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2021.130674</u>.

[14] S. Wang, Y. Xie, S. Niu, L. Lin, C. Liu, Y. S. Zhou, Z. L. Wang, Maximum Surface Charge Density for Triboelectric Nanogenerators Achieved by Ionized-Air Injection: Methodology and Theoretical Understanding, Adv. Mater. 26 (2014) 6720-6728. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201402491.

[15] P. Vasandani, B. Gattu, J. Wu, Z.-H. Mao, W. Jia, M. Sun, Triboelectric Nanogenerator Using Microdome-Patterned PDMS as a Wearable Respiratory Energy Harvester, Adv. Mater. Technol. 2 (2017) 1700014. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.201700014</u>.

[16] P. Zhang, L. Deng, H. Zhang, J. He, X. Fan, Y. Ma, Enhanced Performance of Triboelectric Nanogenerator with Micro-Rhombic Patterned PDMS for Self-Powered Wearable Sensing, Adv. Mater. Interfaces 9 (2022) 2201265. https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.202201265.

[17] J. Chen, H. Guo, X. He, G. Liu, Y. Xi, H. Shi, C. Hu, Enhancing Performance of Triboelectric Nanogenerator by Filling High Dielectric Nanoparticles into Sponge PDMS Film, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8 (2016) 736-44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b09907</u>.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscrip

[18] M. Lai, L. Cheng, Y. Xi, Y. Wu, C. Hu, H. Guo, B. Du, G. Liu, Q. Liu, R. Liu, Enhancing the performance of NaNbO3 triboelectric nanogenerators by dielectric modulation and electronegative modification, J. Phys. D-Appl. Phys. 51 (2018) 015303. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6463/aa9a6c.

[19] M. S. U. Rasel, J.-Y. Park, A sandpaper assisted micro-structured polydimethylsiloxane fabrication for human skin based triboelectric energy harvesting application, Appl. Energy 206 (2017) 150-158. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.07.109</u>.

[20] K. Shi, H. Zou, B. Sun, P. Jiang, J. He, X. Huang, Dielectric Modulated Cellulose Paper/PDMS-Based Triboelectric Nanogenerators for Wireless Transmission and Electropolymerization Applications, Adv. Funct. Mater. 30 (2020) 1904536. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201904536.

[21] W.-G. Kim, D.-W. Kim, I.-W. Tcho, J.-K. Kim, M.-S. Kim, Y.-K. Choi, Triboelectric Nanogenerator: Structure, Mechanism, and Applications, ACS Nano 15 (2021) 258-287. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c09803.

[22] H. T. Baytekin, A. Z. Patashinski, M. Branicki, B. Baytekin, S. Soh, B. A. Grzybowski, The Mosaic of Surface Charge in Contact Electrification, Science 333 (2011) 308-312. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201512.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscrip

[23] J. Lowell, A. C. Rose-Innes, Contact electrification, Adv. Phys. 29 (1980) 947-1023 View Article Online https://doi.org/10.1080/00018738000101466.

[24] J. Wu, X. Wang, H. Li, F. Wang, W. Yang, Y. Hu, Insights into the mechanism of metalpolymer contact electrification for triboelectric nanogenerator via first-principles investigations, Nano Energy 48 (2018) 607-616. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2018.04.025</u>.

[25] S. Pence, V. J. Novotny, A. F. Diaz, Effect of Surface Moisture on Contact Charge of Polymers Containing Ions, Langmuir 10 (1994) 592-596. https://doi.org/10.1021/la00014a042.

[26] L. Ģērmane, L. Lapčinskis, M. Iesalnieks, A. Šutka, Surface engineering of PDMS for improved triboelectrification, Mater. Adv. 4 (2023) 875-880. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2MA01015A.

[27] A. F. Möslein, M. Gutiérrez, B. Cohen, J.-C. Tan, Near-Field Infrared Nanospectroscopy Reveals Guest Confinement in Metal–Organic Framework Single Crystals, Nano Lett. 20 (2020) 7446-7454. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c02839</u>.

[28] D. Nečas, P. Klapetek, Gwyddion: an open-source software for SPM data analysis, Open Phys. 10 (2012) 181-188. <u>https://doi:10.2478/s11534-011-0096-2</u>.

[29] A. D. Becke, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior, Phys. Rev. A 38 (1988) 3098. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098.

[30] J. E. Del Bene, W. B. Person, K. Szczepaniak, Properties of Hydrogen-Bonded Complexes Obtained from the B3LYP Functional with 6-31G (d, p) and 6-31+ G (d, p) Basis Sets: Comparison with MP2/6-31+ G (d, p) Results and Experimental Data, J. Phys. Chem. 99 (1995) 10705-10707. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/j100027a005</u>.

[31] M. Frisch, Gaussian 09, Revision D. 01/Gaussian, (2009)

[32] H. Kuroda, S. Nakatsuchi, N. Kitao, T. Nakagawa, Radical polymerization of methacrylates having acetylenic moiety activated by electron-withdrawing group as a reactive functional group, React. Funct. Polym. 66 (2006) 229-238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.2005.07.027.

[33] J. Lee, J. Kim, H. Kim, Y. M. Bae, K.-H. Lee, H. J. Cho, Effect of thermal treatment on the chemical resistance of polydimethylsiloxane for microfluidic devices, J. Micromech. Microeng. 23 (2013) 035007. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/0960-1317/23/3/035007</u>.

[34] Z. You, S. Wang, Z. Li, Y. Zou, T. Lu, F. Wang, B. Hu, X. Wang, L. Li, W. Fang, Y. Liu, High current output direct-current triboelectric nanogenerator based on organic semiconductor heterojunction, Nano Energy 91 (2022) 106667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2021.106667.

[35] S. Lin, L. Xu, A. Chi Wang, Z. L. Wang, Quantifying electron-transfer in liquid-solid contact electrification and the formation of electric double-layer, Nat. Commun. 11 (2020) 399. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14278-9</u>.

[36] H. Zou, L. Guo, H. Xue, Y. Zhang, X. Shen, X. Liu, P. Wang, X. He, G. Dai_DB: Jian View Article Online H. Zheng, B. Zhang, C. Xu, Z. L. Wang, Quantifying and understanding the triboelectric series of inorganic non-metallic materials, Nat. Commun. 11 (2020) 2093. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15926-1.

[37] X. Ma, S. Li, S. Dong, J. Nie, M. Iwamoto, S. Lin, L. Zheng, X. Chen, Regulating the output performance of triboelectric nanogenerator by using P(VDF-TrFE) Langmuir monolayers, Nano Energy 66 (2019) 104090. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104090</u>.

[38] A. Sutka, K. Malnieks, L. Lapcinskis, M. Timusk, K. Kalnins, A. Kovalovs, J. Bitenieks, M. Knite, D. Stevens, J. Grunlan, Contact electrification between identical polymers as the basis for triboelectric/flexoelectric materials, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 22 (2020) 13299-13305. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cp01947j</u>.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscript





16

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

(cc)) BY

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.



Figure 2. Molecular structures of the functional groups and electrostatic potential maps predicted by DFT calculations for (a) APTES, (b) VTMS, and (c) TMSPMA. Unit is kcal/mol.



Figure 3. (a) ATR-FTIR and (b) nano-FTIR spectra of surface-functionalized PDMS.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

βΥ

3

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.



Figure 4. (a) Open-circuit voltage profile and (b) a single-spike voltage signal of PDMS-based TENG. (c) Short-circuit current profile and (d) a single spike current signal of PDMS-based TENG. (e) Triboelectric series summarizing the relative triboelectric properties of functionalized PDMS and ITO electrode in this study. (f) Peak power densities of different PDMS-based TENG over a range of load resistances. (g) Voltage profile across a 0.1 μ F capacitor while charging by different PDMS-based TENG.



Figure 5. (a) KPFM surface potential maps and average V_{CPD} values of different functionalized PDMS after stabilization. (b) Schematic diagram of contact electrification mechanism elucidated by the electron transfer between PDMS and ITO due to different work functions. E_{vac} , vacuum level; E_X , Fermi level of the functionalized PDMS; ϕ_D , work function of functionalized PDMS; ϕ_{ITO} , work function of the ITO electrode. The relative position of PDMS samples in the energy diagram is determined by the measured V_{CPD} values, where $E_X = E_{vac} - (\phi_{tip} - e \cdot V_{CPD_X})$.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

(cc)) BY

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:52:38 PM.



Figure 6. (a) AFM topography and (b) nearfield IR absorption image of nanoscale PDMS residues detected on the ITO surface after contact. (c) Nano-FTIR linescan spectra corresponding to the points marked on the AFM image.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscrip



Figure 7. (a) AFM height topography and KPFM surface potential of a PDMS residue on the ITO surface after contact. (b) 3D maps of the height profile topography with the KPFM surface potential superimposed with corresponding colour scales of PDMS contacted ITO surface. (c) Surface potential profile across the PDMS residue on ITO surface at different times after contact.



Figure 8. Comparison of the pull-off stress and work of adhesion for the pristine and functionalized PDMS samples. Work of adhesion values were obtained using a cylindrical flat punch with a nominal diameter of 52 μ m. Mean and standard deviation values were derived from 12 measurements shown in Figure S11.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscri

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscript

Data Availability Statements

for

Functionalized PDMS for Regulating Triboelectric Output of Nanogenerators: A Study of Charge Transfer Mechanisms

• The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.