
ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

A semiconducting microporous framework of Cd₆Ag₄(SPh)₁₆ clusters interlinked using rigid and conjugated bipyridines

Chao Xu, ab Niklas Hedin, bhua-Tian Shia and Qian-Feng Zhang a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX


5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Ternary supertetrahedral chalcogenolate clusters were bipyridines into a semiconducting framework with properties qualitatively different from those of the original clusters. Both the 10 framework and the clusters were effective photocatalysts, and degraded rapidly the dye rhodamine B.

Supertetrahedral chalcogenide and chalcogenolate clusters (SCCs) have intriguing structures and size-dependent 15 semiconducting and photocatalytic properties. 1-2 Their tetrahedral structure and multiple coordination sites allow them to assemble into extended and porous semiconductors with properties that are different from those of the individual clusters and related bulk materials. SCCs can be organized into extended frameworks via 20 corner sharing, terminal coordination with extra metal ions, or organic linkers.^{3–7} Porous zeolite-like chalcogenides have been synthesized from SCCs by corner-sharing S²⁻ groups. They were shown to act as both photocatalysts and hosts for hydrogen generation from water.⁸ An open metal-ion-coordinated 25 framework of {Sn[Zn₄Sn₄S₁₇]} ⁶⁻ is a reported example of an ionexchange material. 9 SCCs interlinked by multifunctional organic linkers are a class of metal-organic framework (MOF). 10-11 Feng et al. co-assembled imidazoles and In-(Cd)-S SCCs into microporous compounds with relatively high capacities to adsorb 30 CO₂. 12 We present here a ternary chalcogenolate cluster of Cd₆Ag₄(SPh)₁₆(DMF)₄ (1) (DMF: dimethylformamide) and its three-dimensional microporous assembly $\{[Cd_6Ag_4(SPh)_{16}](bpe)_2\}$ (2) interlinked with rigid **bpe** (trans-1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene).

The discrete Cd₆Ag₄(SPh)₁₆(DMF)₄ cluster 1 was synthesized by reacting Cd(SPh)₂ and AgNO₃ in a solution of DMF. The organic groups surrounding the clusters stabilized the structure and increased their solubility. Cluster 1 was soluble in DMF, slightly soluble in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and insoluble in 40 other common solvents. Further reactions of cluster 1 most probably ligated its terminal Cd atoms with the nitrogen donors of the **bpe** linker in DMF to afford the microporous framework 2. Large crystals of both the cluster 1 and the microporous framework 2 crystallized under the slow evaporation of DMF and 45 were structurally determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Cluster 1 showed a supertetrahedral (T3) structure with ten metal centers (six Cd, four Ag) coordinated with four terminal DMF, six μ_2 -SPh, and four μ_3 -SPh ligands. The μ_3 -SPh ligand has not

previously been observed in SCCs. Balancing the charge of the 50 neutral cluster suggests the presence of six Cd(II) and four Ag(I). The composition was confirmed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, which gave a Cd:Ag:S atomic ratio of 6.4:4:16.2 (Fig. S1). Cd(II) and Ag(I) are isoelectronic; therefore, their relative positions within the clusters cannot be determined by X-55 ray diffraction. Their positions were instead inferred by applying Pauling's electrostatic rule. 13 Each μ₃-SPh site within the cluster gave a bond valence of 1 when surrounded by two Ag(I) and one Cd(II). Therefore, the four Ag atoms were present in the core of the cluster in three possible arrangements (Fig. S2). The Cd 60 atoms were located in the two residual internal sites and the four terminal metal sites. This arrangement of metal atoms in the cluster was consistent with those of several other heterometallic SCCs that have metal atoms/ions of low valence deep within their



65 Fig. 1 (a) Molecular and (b) core structures of cluster 1 $Cd_6Ag_4(SPh)_{16}(DMF)_4$ showing one possible arrangement of silver atoms. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Green, Cd; pink, Ag; yellow, -SPh; red, oxygen; blue, nitrogen; grey, carbon. (c) Non-interpenetrated visualization of the framework 2 {[Cd₆Ag₄(SPh)₁₆](bpe)₂} based on 70 clusters 1 interlinked by trans-1,2-bis-(4-pyridyl)ethylene (bpe). (d) Actual five-fold interpenetration that occurred in the three-dimensional frameworks of the semiconducting microporous framework 2 based on the clusters and the bpe linkers.

ChemComm Page 2 of 3

structures. structure of the crystallized cluster **1** was solved with one possible arrangement for the inner Cd and Ag atoms (Fig. 1a and b). The microporous framework **2** crystallized in the tetragonal space group I4(1)/a. In the structure of the microporous framework **2**, each cluster **1** was ligated four times via coordination of the terminal Cd atoms by the nitrogen donors of the rigid **bpe** ligands. The microporous framework **2** had a three-dimensional structure with a diamond topology that belonged to the *dia* net. Fig. 1d shows five interpenetrated single frameworks within two layers in **2**. Such interpenetrated arrangements are common in MOFs and covalent organic frameworks, particularly those with diamond topologies. Ol. 18-19

Interpenetration generates small pores that are suitable for enhanced CO_2 adsorption from dilute gas mixtures. The large voids of 20×24 Å in a hypothetical non-interpenetrated version of the framework of **2** became occupied almost fully by the interpenetrations, leaving only very small pores. The porosity was determined by measuring CO_2 adsorption. The microporous framework **2** could adsorb CO_2 at 11.95 cm³ g⁻¹; its specific surface area (S_{BET}) was moderate (186 m² g⁻¹ at 1 atm and 273 K). These values are comparable with the somewhat related compound SCIF-3. An ultramicroporous network of 6–8 Å pores was calculated for **2** using density functional theory and the CO_2 adsorption data (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 $\mathrm{CO_2}$ adsorption isotherm of the microporous semiconducting framework 2 {[Cd₆Ag₄(SPh)₁₆] (bpe)₂}_n, recorded at 273 K. The inset shows the pore size distribution of 2 derived using density functional theory and the $\mathrm{CO_2}$ adsorption isotherm.

Heterometallic clusters are also promising precursors for the preparation of ternary nanocrystals such as CuInS₂ and CuInSe₂, which are efficient materials for solar cells. ¹¹ Interestingly, hybrid crystals of CdS–Ag formed upon the thermal decomposition of cluster 1 at 500 °C in a N₂ atmosphere. (A diffraction pattern is shown in Fig. S3.) The cluster was thermally stable, showing a decomposition temperature of 320 °C; the microporous framework 2 was less stable due to the **bpe** (Fig. S6). Selective control of the decomposition might allow cluster 1 to produce a ternary Cd_xAg_{2-2x}S compound. ²² Further study of the decomposition of clusters such as 1 might yield new means to prepare hybrid or ternary semiconductors.

The microporous framework **2** showed much greater absorption in the visible range during solid-state UV/vis

spectroscopy than did either starting material, Cd(SPh)₂ or cluster 45 1 (Fig. 3). The red shift is interpreted as a combined effect that arose from the proximity of the clusters and their interlinking by bpe. The microporous framework showed broad absorption at wavelengths of 200-600 nm, with a maximum at 305 nm and a shoulder peak at 420 nm. The high-energy absorption peak was 50 assigned to the ternary clusters and the charge transfer from SPhto Cd²⁺ or Ag⁺; the lower-energy shoulder peak was assigned to charge transfer between the conjugated bpe linkers and the clusters. The shoulder absorption peak was reduced in intensity when the framework was dispersed in DMF (not shown). The 55 spectrum of the precursor Cd(SPh)2 was typical for a semiconducting material with a polymeric structure of [Cd₄(SPh)₈]_n. Its absorption onset at 352 nm (3.52 eV) was consistent with the work of Liu et al.²³ The absorption spectrum of cluster 1 with its ten metal centers was red-shifted to higher 60 wavelengths compared with the spectrum of the precursor (absorption onset 390 nm, 3.18 ev). The red shift was expected and rationalized by quantum confinement effects.²⁴ The value of the absorption onset for cluster 1 was similar to that of a related compound $[Cd_{10}S_4(SPh)_{12}]$ with the same number of d^{10} centers.²⁵






Fig. 3 Solid-state UV/vis absorption spectra of staring material $Cd(SPh)_2$ (dashed), cluster $\mathbf{1} [Cd_6Ag_4(SPh)_{16}(DMF)_4]$ (\blacksquare), and microporous framework $\mathbf{2} \{[Cd_6Ag_4(SPh)_{16}] (bpe)_2\}_n$ (\square).

Given the wide bandgap and the strong visible absorption 70 shown by cluster 1 and microporous framework 2, both are expected to be active photocatalysts. Fig. 4 compares the removal of aqueous Rhodamine B (RhB) after illumination. The clusters 1 reduced the dye concentration by 95% after 180 min illumination. An aqueous dispersion of the microporous framework 2 was an 75 effective photocatalyst; it reduced the concentration of RhB by 95% after 90 min illumination. The improved photocatalytic activity of the microporous framework might be attributable to its hybrid structure and broad visible absorption, which facilitate the efficient use of incoming energy for the degradation. The 80 photocatalytic performance of both 1 and 2 are comparable to some good photocatalysts for degradation of RhB, such as nanosized Bi₂WO₆.²⁶ Films of the microporous framework might be better photocatalysts than the dispersed sample due to their further enhanced visible absorption. A blank experiment without 85 any catalyst showed no degradation. The characteristic adsorption band for RhB at 555 nm was chosen for observation of the

photocatalytic degradation. The intensity of this band was reduced during successive illumination in the presence of catalysts, and the red solution quickly faded to pale pink (Fig. 4). The degradation of RhB can be explained by either the ⁵ destruction of its conjugated structure or by deethylation.²⁷ The wavelength of the intensity maximum (λ_{max}) was slightly blueshifted (from 555 to 529 nm) upon successive illumination, indicating the destruction of the conjugated structure of RhB.





10 Fig. 4 (a) Decay of RhB concentration calculated from UV/vis absorbance at 555 nm, photocatalyzed by (■) cluster 1 - [Cd6Ag4(SPh)₁₆(DMF)₄], (•) microporous framework 2 - {[Cd₆Ag₄(SPh)₁₆](bpe)₂}n, and also (\blacktriangle) without catalyst; (b) UV/vis spectra and color images showing the decomposition of aqueous Rhodamine B (4.2×10⁻⁵ M) photocatalyzed by 15 the microporous framework 2.

To conclude, a ternary chalcogenolate cluster with a supertetrahedral structure was synthesized and assembled into a solid, porous framework with diamond topology and five-fold interpenetration. The rigid linker bpe aided the formation of a 20 three-dimensional, porous structure, and likely contributed to the emergence of new properties in the framework, leading to a significant red shift of the absorption of the porous framework. Both the cluster 1 and the microporous framework 2 displayed wide band gaps, and were effective photocatalysts under 25 illumination by visible light. We expect that similar clusters and linkers can be crystallized from solutions of DMF into potentially useful structures such as semiconducting films.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (90922008), the Berzelii Center EXSELENT supported 30 by VR and VINNOVA, and the Swedish Energy Agency. We also thank Bin Qian for optical spectra and Dr. Jie Su for crystallographic discussions.

Notes and references

^aInstitution of Molecular Engineering and Applied Chemistry, Anhui 35 University of Technology, Ma'anshan, Anhui, 243002, P. R. China, Tel./fax:(+)86-555-2312041, E-mail: zhangqf@ahut.edu.cn of ^bDepartment Chemistry. Materials and Environmental Arrhenius BerzeliiCenter EXSELENT on Porous Materials, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Laboratory,

- 40 Sweden.Tel.:(+)46-8-162417. E-mail: niklas.hedin@mmk.su.se † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Synthesis and photocatalysis details, XRD patterns, X-ray crystallography data (CIF), additional tables and figures]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/
- 1 H. Li, A. Laine, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, Science, 1999, 283, 1145-1147.
- 2 P. Feng, X. Bu, N. Zheng, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 293-303.
- 3 H. Li, J. Kim, T. L. Groy, M. O'Keefe, O. M. Yaghi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 4867-4868
- M. Yaghi, Z. Sun, D. A. Richardson, T. L. Groy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **1994**, *116*, 807-808.
- 5 P. Vaqueiro, M. L. Romero, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 9630-9631.
- 6 N. Zheng, X. Bu, J. Lauda, P. Feng, Chem. Mater., 2006, 18, 4307-4311.
- J. Xie, X. Bu, N. Zheng, P. Feng, Chem. Commun., 2005, 4916-4918.
- N. Zheng, X. Bu, H. Vu, P. Feng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 5299-5303
- 9 M. J. Manos, R. G. Iyer, E. Quarez, J. H. Liao, M. G. Kanatzidis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3552-3555.
- 10 M. Eddaoudi, D. B. Moler, H. Li, B. Chen, T. M. Reineke, M. O'Keefe, O. M. Yaghi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 319-330.
- 11 S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura, S. Noro, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2334-2375
- 12 T. Wu, R. Khazhakyan, L. Wang, X. Bu, S.-T Zheng, V. Chau, P. Feng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 2536-2539.
- 65 13 L. Pauling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1929, 51, 1010-1026.
- 14 S. Dehnen, M. K. Brandmayer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 6618-
- 15 W. Su, X. Huang, J. Li, H. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12944-12945
- 70 16 D. F. Back, G. N. M. De Oliveira, R. A. Burrow, E. E. Castellano, U. Abram, E. S. Lang, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 2356-2358.
 - M. O'Keeffe, M. A. Peskov, S. J. Ramsden, O. M. Yaghi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 1782-1789.
- 18 B. Kesanli, Y. Cui, M. R. Smith, E. W. Bittner, B. C. Bockrath, W. Lin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 72-75.
- 19 F. J. Uribe-Romo, J. R. Hunt, H. Furukawa, C. Klöck, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 4570-4571.
- 20 J.-R. Li, R. J. Kuppler, H.-C. Zhou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1477-1504
- 80 21 S. L. Castro, S. G. Bailey, R. P. Raffaelle, K. K. Banger, A. F. Hepp, Chem. Mater., 2003, 15, 3142-3147.
 - 22 J. Chaturvedi, S. Singh, S. Bhattacharya, H. Nöth, Inorg. Chem., 2011, 50, 10056-10069.
- 23 Y. Liu, F. Boey, L. L. Lao, H. Zhang, X. Liu, Q. Zhang, Chem. Asian J., 2011, 6, 1004-1006
- 24 V. N. Soloviev, A. Eichhöfer, D. Fenske, U. Banin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2001**, 123, 2354-2364.
- 25 M. Bendova, M. Puchberger, U. Schubert, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2010, 21 3299-3306
- 90 26 H. Fu, C. Pan, W. Yao, Y. Zhu, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 22432-22439
 - 27 P. Lei, C. Chen, J. Yang, W. Ma, J. Zhao, L. Zang, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2005, 39, 8466-8474.