

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Table of Content (TOC) for Graphics

Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of Ionic Solvation of Individual Ions of Imidazolium Based Ionic Liquids in Significant Solution Systems by Conductance and FT-IR Spectroscopy



Deepak Ekka and Mahendra Nath Roy*

Exploration of significant interaction of eco-friendly RT-ILs in some liquid systems having wide range of industrial application

Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of Ionic Solvation of Individual Ions of Imidazolium Based Ionic Liquids in Significant Solution Systems by Conductance and FT-IR Spectroscopy

Deepak Ekka and Mahendra Nath Roy*

Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal, Darjeeling-734013, India

Abstract

Analysis of molecular interactions quantitatively by precise measurement of conductance (Λ) and qualitatively by the FT-IR spectroscopy, in the solution systems between some imidazolium based ionic liquids ([emim]NO₃, [emim]CH₃SO₃, [emim]Tos) and non-aqueous solvents (acetonitrile, methanol, nitromethane, methylamine solution) have been reported at 298.15K. Fuoss conductance equation (1978) and Fuoss-Kraus theory, for ion-pair and triple-ion formations respectively have been used for analysing the conductance data. Using the appropriate division of the limiting molar conductivity value of [Bu₄NBPh₄] as "reference electrolyte", the limiting ionic conductances (λ_o^{\pm}) for individual ions have been calculated and reported. Dipole-dipole interaction, hydrogen bonding formation, structural aspect, and configurational theory are the driving forces, have been employed for discussion of the studied solvents have been undertaken and the solvation phenomenon is manifested by the change of these band intensities in the presence of ionic liquids.

Keywords: Solvation consequence, conductance, diffusion coefficient, ionic mobility, FT-IR stretching frequency

Author information: *corresponding author's e-mail: *mahendraroy2002@yahoo.co.in* Tel: +91-353-2776381, Fax: +91 353 2699001

1. Introduction

Ionic liquids (ILs) have been actively tested as innovative non volatile compounds and they are used in many academic and industrial research areas. Most of the ionic liquids are good examples of neoteric solvents (new types of solvents, or older materials that have finding new applications as solvents). Some of them are comparatively environmental friendly (or eco-friendly) because they are found to be less hazardous for human body as well as less toxic for living organisms.¹ Room-temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) are salts in liquid state with relatively low melting points (below 100°C) that usually consist of an organic cation or anion and a counter-ion. Generally, an ideal electrolyte should have high ionic conductivity (>10⁻⁴ S cm⁻¹), fast ion mobility (>10⁻¹⁴ m² V⁻¹ s⁻¹), large electrochemical potential windows (>1 V), and low volatility. RTILs exhibit most of these properties and characteristics. Recently, ionic liquids (ILs) have been well thought-out as the innovative compound, owing to their inimitable inherent characteristics, such as negligible vapour pressure, large liquid range, ability of dissolving a variety of chemicals, non-volatility, high thermal stability, large electrochemical window and their potential as 'designer solvents' and 'green' replacements for volatile organic solvents.² They have been intensively investigated for various applications such as recyclable solvents for organic reactions and separation processes,³ lubricating fluids,⁴ heat transfer fluids for processing biomass and electrically conductive liquids as electrochemical device in the field of electrochemistry (batteries and solar cells)^{5, 6} and so forth. By modifying the cations and anions, the physical properties (such as the melting point, viscosity, density, hydrophobicity, or hydrophilicity) of ionic liquids can be customized.⁷ In the modern technology, industry, and also in academic research field, the application of the ILs is frequently increases. The applications are well understood by studying the ionic solvation or ion-association occurring in the solution systems.

ILs can be classified into two groups: protic ILs (PILs) and aprotic ILs (AILs).⁸ Generally, PILs are synthesized with equimolar amounts of a Brønsted acid and a Brønsted base.⁹ Aprotic ionic liquids (AILs) contain substituents other than a proton (typically an alkyl group) at the site occupied by the labile proton in an analogous protic ionic liquid. They are a class of ILs based on organic cations is characterized by a low melting point associated with the difficulty of packing large irregular cations with small anions. AILs have high mobility and ion concentration, these properties portrait them suitable for application as advanced electrolytes.¹⁰ The selected ionic liquids are one of the AILs. They are also

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

appearing as promising materials for aqueous batteries, fuel cells, double-layer capacitors, solar cells, or actuators etc.¹¹

There are several imidazolium, pyridinium, ammonium and phosphonium based cation ionic liquids commercially available. Ionic liquids based on imidazolium cations have been demonstrated to exhibit AILs and have greater thermal stability than above mentioned other cationic based ionic liquids and are commercially available in large quantity.¹²

The ionic liquids with functional groups or polar anions (here, NO_3^- , $CH_3SO_3^-$, and Tos^-) are able for interacting with polar solvents. Given their structure and diversity of functionality, they are capable of most types of interactions (i.e., hydrogen bonding, ion-dipole, dipole-dipole, van der Waals forces etc).^{13,14}

A number of works in conductimetric^{15,16} and related study of potential electrolytes in non-aqueous common solvents have been equipped for their use in high-energy batteries¹⁷ and for furthermore understanding organic reaction mechanisms.^{18,19} Ionic level of association of the individual ions of the electrolytes in studied solution systems depends on the mode of solvation of the ions, which in turn depends on the characteristics of the solvent or solvent mixtures. The solvent properties such as viscosity and the relative permittivity have been taken into consideration as these properties are help in determining the extent of ion-solvent interaction as well as the ion association occurring in the solution systems. On the other hand the non-aqueous solution systems have also been of massive importance²⁰ to the industrialist, technologist and theoretician, to examine the nature, mode and magnitude of ion-ion and ion-solvent interactions, as many chemical processes occur in the non-aqueous solution systems.

As the literature review divulge that very scant work have been accomplished in studied binary solution systems and keeping in mind the vast application in various field of the studied systems; in continuation of the present study on electrical conductance, an endeavour has been made to explore the nature of ion-solvation of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium based ionic liquids {1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium nitrate [emim]NO₃, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium methanesulfonate [emim]CH₃SO₃, and 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tosylate [emim]Tos (**Scheme 1**) in non-aqueous polar solvents, acetonitrile (CH₃CN), methanol (CH₃OH), nitromethane (CH₃NO₂), and methylamine solution (CH₃NH₂). The solvation phenomena are evident by the shifting of the vibrational intensity of characteristic bands (functional group) of the studied solvents in FT-IR spectroscopy due to the presence of the ionic liquids in the solution.



Scheme 1: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium based ionic liquids; (where Y= NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻)

2. Experimental

2.1 Source and purity of Materials

The RTILs selected for the present work puriss grade was procured from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany and was used as purchased. The mass fraction purity of [emim]NO₃, [emim]CH₃SO₃, and[emim]Tos} was \geq 0.99, 0.98, and 0.98 respectively.

All the solvents were of spectroscopic grade and procured from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany and were used as procured. The purities of acetonitrile, methanol, nitromethane, and methylamine solution were 99.8%, \geq 99.8%, \geq 98.5%, and \geq 40% wt. in water respectively. The purity of the solvents were checked by measuring their density, viscosity and conductivity values. Results, as shown in Table 1 were in good agreement with the literature values.²¹

2.2 Apparatus and Procedure

Prior of the start of the experimental work we have been precisely checked, the solubility of the selected ionic liquids; the selected room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) were liberally soluble in all proportion of the solvents. All the mother solutions of the electrolytes (ILs) in studied solvents were prepared by mass (weighed by Mettler Toledo AG-285 with uncertainty 0.0003g). For the conductance data the working solutions, were obtained by mass dilution of the prepared mother solutions.

The specific conductance data have been measured by means of a Systronics-308 conductivity bridge of accuracy $\pm 0.01\%$, using a dip-type immersion conductivity cell, CD-10 having a cell constant of approximately (0.1 ± 0.001) cm⁻¹. The measurements have been made in a thermostated water bath maintained at the temperature $T = (298.15 \pm 0.01)$ K. The cell was calibrated by the method proposed by Lind et al.²² and cell constant was measured based on a 0.01 M aqueous KCl solution. The conductance data have been reported at a frequency of 1 kHz and the accuracy was $\pm 0.3\%$. During all the measurements, uncertainty of temperatures was ± 0.01 K.

5

The densities (ρ) of the solvents were measured by means of vibrating u-tube Anton Paar digital density meter (DMA 4500M) with a precision of ±0.00005g cm⁻³ maintained at ±0.01K of the desired temperature. It was calibrated by triply-distilled water and passing dry air.

The viscosities (η) were measured using a Brookfield DV-III Ultra Programmable Rheometer with fitted spindle size-42. The viscosities were obtained using the following equation

$\eta = (100 / RPM) \times TK \times torque \times SMC$

where *RPM*, *TK* (0.09373) and *SMC* (0.327) are the speed, viscometer torque constant and spindle multiplier constant, respectively. The instrument was calibrated against the standard viscosity samples supplied with the instrument, water and aqueous CaCl₂ solutions.²³ The temperature was maintained to within \pm 0.01°C using Brookfield Digital TC-500 thermostat bath. The viscosities were measured with an accuracy of \pm 1 %. Each measurement reported herein is an average of triplicate reading with a precision of 0.3 %.

Infrared spectra have been recorded in 8300 FT-IR spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The details of the instrument have already been previously described.²⁴ The concentration of the studied solutions used in the IR study is 0.05M.

3. Results and Discussion

3. 1 Ion-pair formation from electrical conductance

In comparison with the literature data, the experimentally measured physicochemical properties of the pure solvents at 298.15 K are reported in Table 1, where appropriate corrections has been made by the specific conductance of the solvents at desired temperature and apt precaution has been taken during the measurement.

The experimental specific conductance (κ , μ S cm⁻¹) of the electrolytes (ILs) solutions in chosen solvents were measured by Systronics-308 Conductivity Bridge. The measurement have been made within a molar concentration range of $1.13 \times 10^{-4} - 1.04 \times 10^{-3}$ (M) at the experimental temp. Using the specific conductance data, the molar conductances (Λ) for all studied solution systems (ionic liquid + solvent) have been calculated by the appropriate equation.²⁵

The determined molar conductances (Λ) values of the solutions of studied ionic liquids in different chosen solvents (IL+solvent) at the corresponding molar concentrations (*c*) were represented in Table 2. The linear variation of the molar conductance data against

the square root of concentration (Λ versus \sqrt{c}) have been found for the range of higher to moderate relative permittivity for the solvents acetonitrie ($\varepsilon_r = 35.95$), methanol ($\varepsilon_r = 32.70$), and nitromethane ($\varepsilon_r = 35.87$) and depicted in Figure 1. The extrapolation of $\sqrt{c} = 0$ (i.e; at the infinite dilution), the starting limiting molar conductance for the electrolytes. However, the non-linearity in Figure 2 has been found in the conductance curves vs square root of concentration, in case of the low relative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r \langle 10 \rangle$) solvent (methylamine solution, $\varepsilon_r = 9.10$). The Fuoss conductance equation (1978)^{26,27} has been used for analyzing the linear variation conductance data in higher or moderate relative permittivity solvents. For a given set of conductivity values (c_j , Λ_j , j = 1, ..., n), for each of the electrolyte in the solvents, the three adaptable parameters, the limiting molar conductance (Λ_o), the association constant (K_A), and the distance of closest approach of ions (R) are derived from the following set of equations

$$A = PA_o[(1+R_X) + E_L] \tag{1}$$

$$P=1-\alpha(1-\gamma) \tag{2}$$

$$\gamma = 1 - K_A c \gamma^2 f^2 \tag{3}$$

$$-\ln f = \beta \kappa / 2(1 + \kappa R) \tag{4}$$

$$\beta = e^2 / \left(\varepsilon_r k_B T\right) \tag{5}$$

$$K_{A} = K_{R} / (1 - \alpha) = K_{R} / (1 + K_{S})$$
(6)

where R_X is the relaxation field effect, E_L is the electrophoretic counter current, k^{-1} is the radius of the ion atmosphere, ε_r is the relative permittivity of the solvent mixture, *e* is the electron charge, c is the molarity of the solution, $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, $K_{\rm A}$ is the overall paring constant, $K_{\rm S}$ is the association constant of the contact-pairs, $K_{\rm R}$ is the association constant of the solvent-separated pairs, γ is the fraction of solute present as unpaired ion, α is the fraction of contact pairs, *f* is the activity coefficient, *T* is the absolute temperature and β is twice the Bjerrum distance. The computations were performed using a program suggested by Fuoss.²⁷ The introductory values of the limiting molar conductance Λ_{0} , for the iteration procedure were obtained from Shedlovsky extrapolation of the conductance data. Input for the is the program set $(c_j, \Lambda_j, j = 1,...n), n, \varepsilon, \eta, T$, initial values of Λ_0 , and an instruction to cover a preselected range of *R* values.

The best values for the observable parameters have been taken those one, when the equations is best fitted to the experimental data corresponding to minimum standard

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

RSC Advances

deviation δ for a sequence of predetermined *R* values. The standard deviation δ was calculated by the following equation

$$\delta^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\Lambda_{j}(cal) - \Lambda_{j}(obs) \right]^{2} / (n-m)$$
(7)

where *n* is the number of experimental points and *m* is the number of fitting parameters. The conductance data were evaluated by fixing the distance of closest approach *R* of ions fitting two parameters (i.e.: m = 2). No significant minima were found in the curve of δ versus *R* for the studied ionic liquids (ILs) in the solvents (acetonitrile, methanol, nitromethane), whereas the *R* values were arbitrarily preset at the centre to centre distance of solvent-separated ion pair. Thus, the theoretical values of *R* are assumed to be *R* = (a + d); where $a = (r_+ + r_-)$ is the sum of the crystallographic radii of the cation (r_+) and anion (r_-) and *d* is the solvent effect parameter, which is the average distance corresponding to the side of a cell occupied by a solvent molecule. The average distance, *d* is given by²⁸

$$d(\text{\AA}) = 1.183 (M / \rho)^{1/3}$$
 (8)

where *M* and ρ is the molar mass and density of the solvent respectively.

The programmable values of Λ_o , K_A , and R obtained by this procedure for all the studied ionic liquids in selected solvents are represented in Table 3. Perusal of Table 3 discloses that the observed limiting molar conductances (Λ_o) for each of the electrolytes (ILs) gradually decrease from acetonitrile to nitromethane among the studied solvents. Thus the observed trend of the Λ_o values is;

$$\Lambda_0(CH_3CN) \rangle \Lambda_0(CH_3OH) \rangle \Lambda_0(CH_3NO_2)$$

The observed trend of Λ_o is found to be opposite with the trend of viscosity of the solvents. As expected, limiting molar conductance values should decreases when the viscosity of the solvents increases, because the ionic mobility is diminished in viscous medium. Interestingly, it is seen from Figure 3, a linear relationship exists between the limiting molar conductivities for each of ILs and the reciprocal of the viscosity $(1/\eta)$ (or the fluidity, η^{-1}) for the solvents. From the Table 1 and Table 3, we have seen that the association constant (K_A) as well as the log(K_A) values were linearly vary with the viscosity values of the solvents; which also shows that the viscous medium diminished fluidity of the ion, and this is obviously due to the association of the ions as well as electrolyte by the solvent molecules in the solution systems. This suggests that the fluidity (η^{-1}) of the

8

solvents played a predominant role in the limiting molar conductivities, in addition to the association of the ILs. The similar observation has been observed by Huiyong Wang and co-workers.²⁹

From the willpower of ion-association constants (K_A) of the studied ILs, some characteristics may be concluded from the present results. We have examined the consequence of the solvent properties on the ion-association behaviour of the selected ILs. It is interesting to note from Table 3 that the association constant (K_A) of the ionic liquids (ILs) is just inverse of the limiting molar conductance, (i.e.; $K_A \propto \frac{1}{\Lambda_0}$). The magnitudes of

the K_A are lower in acetonitrile and higher in case of nitromethane for each of the electrolyte, which is in following order;

$$K_A(CH_3NO_2) > K_A(CH_3OH) > K_A(CH_3CN)$$

The theoretical view, literature inspection and experimental results show that the association constant (K_A) represent the ion-solvent interaction or ion-association. The interactions between the electrolytes (as well as ions) and solvent molecules in the binary solutions, are increases from acetonitrile to nitromethane among the chosen solvents, leading to a lower conductance of ionic liquids. In comparison between the Table 1 and 3, we can see that the association constant values follow the same trend as viscosity value of the solvents. Thus the viscosity values also support the above facts, i.e. the electrolytes (ILs) in the lower viscous solvent, the Λ_{1} value should increase or mobility of the ion should increase. But the fact is not in line with the relative permittivity (ε_r) of the solvents. Although the decreasing trend of viscosity for the solvents suggests concomitant increase in limiting molar conductances 30,31 for the electrolytes. The trend suggest the solvents viscosity (η_o) is predominance over the relative permittivity (ε_r), in effecting both of the electrolytic conductance and association constant of the electrolytes (ILs) under the studied solution media. This also suggests that the solvent effect for ion-association of ILs is similar to that of typical electrolytes, and electrostatic interaction between the cation and anion is mainly responsible for their association. The data in Table 3 allow us to evaluate the ion-association ability of anions of the ILs with comparison of K_A values for [emim]NO₃, [emim]CH₃SO₃, and [emim]Tos in chosen solvents. It is clear that the anion species dependence of the association constants for the common imidazolium based ionic liquids, is of the following order:

$$Tos^{-} > CH_3SO_3^{-} > NO_3^{-}$$

This trend suggests that the Tos⁻ anion significantly enhance the ion-solvent interaction relative to CH_3SO_3 ⁻ and NO_3 ⁻ in the molecular solvents, which concordat the similar shape observed experimentally. Therefore, it is apt to state that the net result of good alliance between the intrinsic interactions of cations with anions and the ion-solvation.

The Walden product $(\Lambda_o \eta)$ (product of the limiting molar conductance and solvent viscosity) and it is a constant under normal condition, is the another characteristic function for discussion and validating the trend obtained in limiting molar conductance (Λ_o) and ion-association and given in Table 3. From Table 3 and Figure 4, the falling trend of Walden product from acetonitrile to nitromethane, is excellent agreement with the concomitant raise in the solvents viscosity and falling limiting molar conductance of the electrolytes (ILs) in the studied solvents. This is warranted as the Walden product of an ion or solute is inversely proportional to the effective solvated radius (r_{eff}) of the ion or solute in a particular solvent ³² and have been estimated from the following equation;

$$\Lambda_0 \eta = \frac{1}{6\Pi r_{eff}T} \tag{9}$$

The variation of the Walden product reflects on the degree of solvation.¹² It is difficult to interpret quantitatively the variation of the Walden product with solvent composition. However, the part of a set of information arise from the results that the electrostatic ion-solvent interactions or ion-associations is stronger in these cases. The variation with solvent composition the solvation phenomenon can be explained by the following aspect:

(i) Preference of solvation of the electrolytes (ILs) for solvent molecules. Taking into consideration of the conductance and association constant value of ionic liquids in deferent solvents, we can say that the studied ILs mostly prefer the nitromethane compared to the other two solvents and the order of preference of solvation by the ILs in the chosen solvents is as follows:

nitromethane > methanol > acetonitrile

- (ii) With considering the structural aspect of the solvents,
 - (a) In case of acetonitrile (CH₃CN), the interaction present between negatively charged nitrogen atom of acetonitrile and positively charged N atom of [emim]⁺ moiety, shown in (I) of Scheme 2, i.e: the interaction occurs through the ion-dipole interaction. Dimerization of acetonitrile, in CH₃C⁺N⁻ most of the positive charge is on the nitrile carbon, *i.e.*, "inside" the molecule, evidence that

acetonitrile tends to associate and forming the antiparallel dimer³³ represent in **Scheme 3**. The existence of antiparallel dimerization in acetonitrile or interaction of the acetonitrile molecules itself (solvent-solvent interaction), leads to the formation of less ion-solvent interaction with the ionic liquids.

- (b) The interaction of the ionic liquids is present in case of methanol are shown in (II), where the interaction is more intense due to the presence of more negative oxygen atom in molecular structure of methanol, making it stronger interaction with ILs either it interact with electrostatic, ion-dipole interaction between negatively charge oxygen atom and the positively charged nitrogen atom of the imidazole centre of the [emim]⁺ moiety or H-bond interaction of methanol and NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻.
- (c) In case of nitromethane, where three nucleophilic centres are present (two electronegative oxygen atoms and one nitrogen), show in (III); gives the more number of interacting centre to interact with the studied ionic liquids. Thus in the solution of ILs+nitromethane, the nitromethane strongly interact with more number of attacking centres with the ionic liquids through H-bond/ion-dipole interaction etc. Hence gives the highest value of ion-association constant as well as higher aggregation.

The schematic representation of plausible ion-solvent interaction, for the particular ion in the studied solvents (i.e; ILs+solvents), in view of various derived parameters is depicted in **Scheme 2**.

To investigate the role of the individual ions of the ILs in the ion-solvation phenomenon, we have to split the limiting molar conductance values into their ionic contributions. The ionic conductances λ_0^{\pm} for the [emim]⁺ cation and NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos-anion in different solvents, were calculated using tetrabutylammonium tetraphenylborate (Bu₄NBPh₄) as a 'reference electrolyte' using the following scheme as suggested by B. Das et al.³⁴ We have premeditated the ionic limiting molar conductances λ_0^{\pm} , in studied solvents by interpolation of conductance data from the literature³⁵ using cubic spline fitting. The determined ionic conductance values are given in Table 4. Perusal of Table 4 illustrates that the degree of ionic conductance is higher for [emim]⁺ ion than that of the NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻, which suggested the greater share in the ionic association come out from the anions (NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻) than the common imidazolium cation [emim]⁺. The prime clue for the

most part estimation of ionic contribution in the conductance came out from the Stokes' law, which provide the valuable inkling about limiting ionic Walden product. The law states that the limiting ionic Walden product ($\lambda_0 {}^{+}\eta$), (the product of the limiting ionic conductance and solvent viscosity) for any singly charged, spherical ion is a function of the ionic radius (crystallographic radius) and thus under normal condition, is a constant. The contribution of anions (NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻) for the common cation [emim]⁺, in both the limiting ionic conductance ($\lambda_0 {}^{\pm}$), and limiting ionic Walden product ($\lambda_0 {}^{\pm}\eta$) are shown in Figure 5. From the Figure 5, it has been seen that both the $\lambda_0 {}^{\pm}$, and $\lambda_0 {}^{\pm}\eta$, are decreases from acetonitrile to nitromethane for each of the studied ionic liquids (ILs), which also implied that ion-solvent interaction of ions increases from acetonitrile to niromethane. For a particular solvent the order of limiting ionic conductance of anions are as follow:

$NO_{3}^{-} > CH_{3}SO_{3}^{-} > Tos^{-}$

This indicates the greater shape of Tos⁻ ion, in the interaction with solvent molecules than other two ions. Since the intrinsic radius of a given anion is constant in the different solvents, a smaller value of the limiting molar conductivity of an anion in a solvent suggests enhanced solvation of this anion in that medium. Therefore, it may be possible to state that the degree of solvation of the anions in the solvents is as following order: nitromethane > methanol > acetonitrile.

The stronger solvation of the anions in nitromethane is believed to be attributable to the stronger hydrogen-bonding interaction between the anions and the nitromethane molecules relative to methanol, whereas the difference in the degree of solvation of the anions in acetonitrile is attributed to the difference in dipole moment of the solvents. The ion–dipole interactions decrease with an increase of the intrinsic radius of the anions because of their much decreased charge densities. This result is supported by the molecular dynamic simulation result that in hydrogen bonding solvents; ILs was mainly solvated by the hydrogen bonding interactions between the anion and the solvent molecules.³⁶ Alternatively, the complete salvation response of coumarin 153 has been determined by Maroncelli et.at.,³⁷ in a variety of ionic liquids by combining femtosecond broad-band fluorescence upconversion and time-correlated single photon counting measurements.

The λ_0^{\pm} values were in turn utilized for the calculation of Stokes' radii (r_s) according to the classical expression³⁸

$$r_{\rm S} = \frac{F^2}{6\pi N_A \lambda_o^{\pm} r_c} \tag{10}$$

where the symbols are usual meanings. The limiting ionic Walden products $(\lambda_0^{\pm}\eta)$, Stokes' radii (r_s) , and crystallographic radii (r_c) are presented in Table 4. The trends in Walden products $(\Lambda_{\rho}\eta)$ and ionic Walden products $(\lambda_{0}^{\pm}\eta)$ for the selected electrolytes (ILs) in the chosen solvents are depicted in Table 3 and 4 and in Figure 4 and 5 respectively. It shows that both the Walden products ($\Lambda_0 \eta$) and ionic Walden products ($\lambda_0^{\pm} \eta$) for the ionic liquids (ILs) approximately linear variation from acetonitrile to nitromethane. For the employed ions i.e; $[emim]^+$, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, and Tos⁻ ions, the determined Stokes' radii (r_s) are higher than their crystallographic radii (r_c) ; this suggests that the ion are comparatively more solvated due to its intrinsic surface charge density. These results also support the fact of higher association of the ions by the solvent molecules in the experimental solutions. The distance parameter R, shown in Table 3, is the least distance that two free ions can approach before they merge into an ion-pair in the studied madia. The marked characteristic behaviours in the association constant (K_A) values, which generally increase from acetonitrile to nitromethane; indicates that the thermal motion of the ions were probably destroy in the solvents. However, from the Table 3 and Figure 6, as increasing trend of K_A values, ion-association for the electrolytes (ILs) increases from acetonitrile to nitromethane among the studied solvents.

The Gibbs energy changes is also a key parameter to interpretation of the nature of ionpair formation, if we inspect the ΔG^{0} , we can be able to clearly forecasts the propensity for ion-pair formation taking place in the solution systems. The association constant (*K*_A) values have been utilized to calculating the Gibbs energy change ΔG^{0} , by the following relationship³⁹ and are arranged in Table 3.

$$\Delta G^o = - \operatorname{RT} \ln K_{\mathrm{A}} \tag{11}$$

It has been found the negative values of ΔG° for all the electrolytes in solvents, and the observed results can be explicated in view of the participation of definite interaction in the ion-association phenomena. It is observed from the Table 3 and Figure 6 that the values of the Gibbs free energy are negative, entire all over the solutions and the negativity increases from acetonitrile to nitromethane for each electrolyte. The increasing negativity in the value of ΔG° of ionic liquids leads to the more feasible in the ion-solvent interaction. This result indicates the extent of solvation of selected ionic liquids by the following order:

 $CH_3NO_2 > CH_3OH > CH_3CN$

There have another distinguishing factor, which is *A*-coefficient. The significance of the term had since then been realized due to the development Debye-Hückel theory⁴⁰ of interionic attractions in 1923. The *A*-coefficient depends on the ion-ion interactions (self interaction of the employed ions in solution) and can be calculated from interionic attraction theory as given by the Falkenhagen and Vernon⁴¹ equation:

$$A_{Theo} = \frac{0.2577\Lambda_o}{\eta_o(\varepsilon_r T)^{0.5}\lambda_+^o\lambda_-^o} \left| 1 - 0.6863 \left(\frac{\lambda_+^o\lambda_-^o}{\Lambda_o}\right)^2 \right|$$
(12)

where the symbols have their usual significance. *A*-coefficient has been calculated from conductivity measurements and given in the Table 5. From the table it is observed that the value of *A*-coefficient is negative and very small, shows that the existence of the ion-ion interactions is negligible, as compared to the ion-solvent interactions for all the selected ionic liquids in the studied solvents. Consequently, it is very clear to declare that the ion-solvent interactions dominant over the ion-ion interactions; the similar shape has been established in our previous paper,¹⁶ by Banik and Roy,¹⁹ and Wang and co-worker.²⁹

These interactions result in the orientation of the solvent molecules towards the effective ions. The greater number of the solvent molecules oriented sequentially around the effective ion, increases the ion-solvation. The number of solvent molecules that are involved in the solvation is called solvation number (n_s). Solvation region can be classified as primary and secondary solvation regions. Here we are concerned with the primary solvation region. The primary solvation number is defined as the number of solvent molecules which surrender their own translational freedom and remain with the molecule, tightly bound, as it moves around, or the number of solvent molecules which are aligned in the force field of the ion as well as electrolyte (ionic liquid).

If the limiting conductance of the ions (λ_0^{\pm}) of charge Z_i is known, the effective radius of the solvated ion can be determined from Stokes' law and the volume of the solvation shell is given by the equation.

$$V_{S} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right) \left(r_{S}^{3} - r_{C}^{3}\right)$$
(13)

where r_c and r_s is the crystallographic and Stokes' radius of the ions. The solvation number n_s would then be obtained from

$$n_S = \frac{V_S}{V_0} \tag{14}$$

where V_o is the volume of the solvent molecules. The solvation number n_s is given in the Table 5. From the table we have seen that the order of the solvation number is methanol > nitromethane > acetonitrile; suggested that the number of solvent molecules which are aligned in the force field is increases, which leads to the increasing trend of ion-solvent interaction. The observation is concurrent with the finding by Rob Atkin et. al.⁴² The key difference between IL interfacial structure and classical molecular liquid solvation layers is that many ILs possess bulk order has been studied by Atkin et. al.⁴² whereas conventional solvents may have preferred organization of adjacent molecules. In ILs this order can be propagated over much greater distances, often in the form of a disordered sponge (L_3 phase) structure,⁴³ where the ions form a network of polar and non-polar domains due to electrostatic and solvophobic clustering of like molecular groups.

Using the solvent viscosity and the estimated Stokes' radii, the diffusion coefficient (D_{\pm}) of the ions can be determined by the Stokes-Einstein relation:

$$D_{\pm} = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta_o r_s} \tag{15}$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann's constant, *T* is the temperature.

The diffusion coefficient (D_{\pm}) in turn utilized to obtained the ionic mobility (i) for the individual ions using the following equation

$$i_{\pm} = \frac{Z_{\pm}F}{R_g T} D_{\pm} \tag{16}$$

where z_{\pm} , *F*, R_g , *T* and D_{\pm} is the ionic charge, Faraday constant, universal gas constant, temperature and diffusion co-efficient respectively. Diffusion co-efficient (D_{\pm}) and ionic mobility (i_{\pm}) for the individual ions [emim]⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, and Tos⁻ in the studied solvents is given in Table 6. Scrutiny of Table 6 revels that the contribution of diffusion coefficient of the anions (NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻) is less than the cation [emim]⁺ in all the studied solvents, which indicates that [emim]⁺ ions diffuses more through the solvents. If we see for the each of ions in solvents, we observed that the diffusion coefficient decrease from acetonitrile to nitromethane. As indicated in Table 6, the employed [emim]⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, and Tos⁻ ions showing the greater diffusion in acetonirile. It seems to be reasonable to expect that detailed analyses of the sort described by Maroncelli et. al.,⁴⁴ taking into account the specifics of the electron transfer processes involved as well as the underestimation of

diffusion coefficients by Stokes_Einstein predictions, would provide satisfactory explanations for what appear to be widely variable and sometimes unexpectedly high reaction rates reported for diffusion-limited reactions in ionic liquids. They are also found the contribution to large values of k_q/k_D is the fact that the Stokes-Einstein relationship, $D_{SE} = k_B T/6\pi\eta R$, assumed in deriving the simple Smoluchowski prediction for $k_D = k_B T/6\pi\eta$, significantly underestimates diffusion coefficients in ionic liquids. This effect was suggested by the small effective hydrodynamic radii required to fit the quenching data to the model and confirmed by direct measurements of the diffusion of DMA in all of the solvents studied. From the both observation by we and Maroncelli,⁴⁴ it has been found that $D_{\pm} \propto \eta_0^{-1}$.

Table 6, also shows that the mobility of anions (NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, and Tos⁻) is lower than $[\text{emim}]^+$ in all the investigated solvents, indicating greater share of conductance by $[\text{emim}]^+$ ions mentioned earlier in conductance measurement. The observation indicates the diffusion coefficient (D_{\pm}) is directly proportional to the ionic mobility (i_{\pm}) and these are the driving force to conduct electricity by ILs or ions in solutions. From the same table we have seen that the diffusion as well as mobility the ions decrease from acetonitrile to nitromethane, and the deceasing order in ionic mobility of the anions are as follow:

$NO_{3}^{-} > CH_{3}SO_{3}^{-} > Tos^{-}$

The results are reciprocal to the density and viscosity of the solvents.⁴⁵ Lower the diffusivity and mobility is obviously higher the ion-solvent interaction or ion-solvation, which is evident from the association constant values, and reported in Table 3. A graphical comparison of diffusion coefficient (D_{\pm}) and ionic mobility (i_{\pm}), for anions (NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, and Tos⁻) is given in Figure 7. These findings may find applications in the modulation of the conductance performance of the ILs by using solvents.

3.2 Triple-ion formation from electrical conductance

The graphical representation of molar conductance corresponding to the square root of concentration (Λ vs \sqrt{c}) of the selected ionic liquids in methylamine solution (CH₃NH₂) have been presented Figure 2. The figure show that the electrolytes (IL) follows the same sketch, i.e. the conductance value gradually decreases with increasing concentration, reach a minima and then increases. Due to the deviation of the conductimetric curves from linearity in case of ionic liquids (ILs) in methylamine solution ($\varepsilon_r = 9.10$), the conductance data have been analyzed to determine the limiting molar conductance and triple ion conductance by the classical Fuoss-Kraus theory of triple-ion formation^{28, 39} in the form

16

$$\Lambda g(c)\sqrt{c} = \frac{\Lambda_0}{\sqrt{K_p}} + \frac{\Lambda_0^T K_T}{\sqrt{K_p}} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_0}\right)c$$
(17)

where g(c) is a factor that lumps together all the intrinsic interaction terms and is defined by

$$g(c) = \frac{\exp\{-2.303\,\beta'(c\Lambda)^{0.5}/\Lambda_0^{0.5}\}}{\{1 - S(c\Lambda)^{0.5}/\Lambda_0^{1.5}\}(1 - \Lambda/\Lambda_0)^{0.5}}$$
(18)

$$\beta' = 1.8247 \times 10^6 / (\varepsilon_r T)^{1.5}$$
(19)

$$S = \alpha \Lambda_0 + \beta = \frac{0.8204 \times 10^6}{(\varepsilon_r T)^{1.5}} \Lambda_0 + \frac{82.501}{\eta(\varepsilon_r T)^{0.5}}$$
(20)

In all the above usable equations, the Λ_0^{T} term signify the sum of the molar conductance of the simple ions at infinite dilution, the Λ_0^{T} is the sum of the conductance value of the two possible formation of triple-ions [(emim)₂]⁺[Y] and [emim][Y₂]⁻ for the imidazolium ionic liquids, [emim][Y] (where Y= NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻). Where the constants as $K_P \approx K_A$ and K_T are implies that the ion-pair and triple-ion formation constants respectively and *S* is the limiting Onsager coefficient. On employing the applicability of the equation (17), the symmetrical approximation of the two possible formation of triple-ions constants, $K_{T1} = [(emim)_2]^+[Y]/{[(emim)^+][emim][Y]}$ and $K_{T2} = [emim][Y_2]^- /{[Y^-][emim][Y]}$ equal to each other has been assumed as $K_{T1} = K_{T2} = K_T^{46}$. The Λ_0 values for the studied electrolytes in methylamine solution have been determined using the scheme as recommended by Krumgalz in 1983.⁴⁷ The values of Λ_0^T have been calculated with the help of Λ_0 values by fitting the triple-ion conductance equal to $2/3 \Lambda_0$.⁴⁸ Thus the ratio Λ_0^T / Λ_0 was set equal to 0.667 during linear regression analysis of equation (17).

The obtained limiting molar conductance of simple ion (Λ_0), limiting molar conductance of triple ion (Λ_0^T), slope and intercept of equation (17) for selected ionic liquids (ILs) in methylamine solution at 298.15 K are represented in Table 7. The intercept and slope have been obtained by employing the linear regression analysis of equation (17) for the electrolytes with an average regression constant, R² = 0.9989. Thereafter these values utilized to calculation of other derived parameters such as K_P and K_T and listed in Table 8. Read-through of Table 8 demonstrates that the degree of ion-pair constant K_P is larger than that of the triple-ion formation constant K_T , which indicates the major portion of the electrolytes (ILs) exists as ion-pair with a minor portion as triple-ion. This is due to

the fact that the electrolytes is exist as ion-pair with a major portion in with the interaction of water molecules and as triple-ion with a minor portion interacting with methylamine molecules of methylamine solution (40% wt. methylamine +60% wt. water). The propensity of triple ion formation with respect to ion-pair, can also be judged from the ratio of K_T/K_P and the values of $\log(K_T/K_P)$; which are shown in Table 8. The ratios and logarithm values recommend that strong ion-association between the ions and solvent molecules are owing to the coulombic interactions in addition to covalent forces in the solution. The observed grades are in excellent accord with those obtained by Hazra et al.⁴⁹ We know that the electrostatic ionic interactions are very large due to the higher force field effect, for very low relative permittivity solvents, i.e., $\varepsilon_r < 10$. Therefore the formed ionpairs were attracted by the free movable cations or anions present in the solution medium; as the distance of the closest approach of the ions becomes minimum, these results in the formation of triple-ions, which acquires the charge of the respective ions, attracted from the solution bulk^{35,39} i.e.;

$M^+ + A^- \leftrightarrow M^+ \cdots A^- \leftrightarrow MA$	(ion-pair)
$MA + M^+ \leftrightarrow MAM^+$	(triple-ion)
$MA + A^{-} \leftrightarrow MAA^{-}$	(triple-ion)

where the symbols M⁺ and A⁻ are implies for the [emim]⁺ and Y⁻ (where Y= NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻) ions respectively. The effect of ternary association⁵⁰ thus clearly explained the non-linearity of the conductimetric curve. According to the consequence of this ternary association, some formative non-conducting species MA, removed from solution and replaces by triple-ions which increase the conductance values evident by non-linearity observed in conductance curves for the ionic liquids in methylamine solution. The pictographic depiction of triple-ion formations for the selected ionic liquids (ILs) in methylamine solution has been depicted in **Scheme 4**.

Additionally, the ion-pair and triple-ion concentrations, C_P and C_T , respectively, at the minimum molar concentration of the salt solution have also been calculated using the K_P and K_T value by following set of equations⁴⁸

$$\alpha = 1 / \left(K_P^{1/2} \cdot C^{1/2} \right) \tag{21}$$

$$\alpha_T = \left(K_T / K_P^{1/2}\right) C^{1/2} \tag{22}$$

$$C_P = C (1 - \alpha - 3\alpha_T) \tag{23}$$

$$C_T = \left(K_T / K_P^{1/2}\right) C^{3/2} \tag{24}$$

At this point, the fraction of ion-pairs (α) and triple-ions (α_T), present in the salt-solutions are shown in Table 9. The calculated values of C_P and C_T are also presented in Table 9. From the appraisal of comparison of the C_P and C_T values, it's found that the C_P is higher with respect to C_T ; which indicates that the major portion of ions are present as ion-pair even at high concentration and a small fraction exist as triple-ion. The conductance value decreases with increasing concentration, reach a minimum is called A_{min} , and the concentration at which the conductance value reach minimum is termed as C_{min} ; after the C_{min} , fraction of the triple-ions in the solution increases with the increasing concentration, in the studied solution media {[emim][Y]+methylamine solution}.

Using the K_P values, the interionic distance parameter a_{IP} has been calculated with the aid of the Bjerrum's theory of ionic association⁵⁰ in the form

$$K_{P} = \frac{4\pi N_{A}}{1000} \left[\frac{e^{2}}{\varepsilon_{r} KT} \right]^{3} Q(b)$$
(25)

$$Q(b) = \int_{2}^{b} y^{-4} \exp(y) \, dy \tag{26}$$

$$b = \frac{e^2}{a_{IP}\varepsilon_r KT}$$
(27)

The $a_{\rm IP}$ values obtained are given in Table 10. The Q(b) and b values have been calculated by the literature procedure.⁵¹ The Table reveals the $a_{\rm IP}$ values for all the ionic liquids (ILs) lies within the range the actual ionic sizes (or crystallographic radii) varied by (3.33 to 4.49) Å. This may be due to easy penetration by the anions (NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻) to some extent into the void spaces between the alkyl chain of the [emim]⁺, as suggested by Abbott and Schiffrin.⁵² Again, the $a_{\rm IP}$ values have found within the crystallographic radii (r_c), suggesting probable contact ion-pair formation in solution.³⁰ This will cause a decrease in the degree of freedom for the ions in the ion-pair formation, which results in their loss of configurational entropy of the contact-pair. Generally, $K_{\rm P}$ values do not change significantly for imidazolium ionic liquids with the alkyl chain consisting of less carbon atoms. The small changes in the $K_{\rm P}$ may thus be related to entropic contributions.

The interionic distance a_{TI} for the triple ion can be calculated using the expressions³⁵

$$K_{T} = \frac{2\pi N_{A} a_{IP}^{3}}{1000} I(b_{3})$$
(28)

$$b_3 = \frac{e^2}{a_{TI}\varepsilon_r KT}$$
(29)

 $I(b_3)$ is a double integral tabulated in the literature³⁹ for a range of values of b_3 . Since $I(b_3)$ is a function of a_{TI} , the a_{TI} values have been calculated by an iterative computer program. From Table 10, the a_{TI} values for the ionic liquids (ILs) are greater than the corresponding a_{IP} values but are much less than the expected theoretical value $1.5a_{IP}$. This is probably due to repulsive forces between the two anions or cations in the triple ions $[Bu_4P(MS)_2]^-$ and $[(R_4N)_2+MS]$ as suggested by Hazra et al.⁴⁹ These values also suggested the small fraction exist as triple-ion formation compared to the ion-pair.

3.3 FT-IR Spectroscopic Study

With the help of FT-IR spectroscopy the molecular interaction existing between the ionic liquid and the solvents have been presented qualitatively and used as supportive evidence for the study of bond breaking and bond formation (electrostatic bond) due to the ion-solvent and solvent-solvent interactions. The IR spectra of the pure solvents as well as the solutions of {[emim][Y]+Solvents} have been studied and the stretching frequencies of the functional groups and mixed with ionic liquids are given in Table 11 and Figure S1-S4 within the range of wave number 400-4000 cm⁻¹.

The IR spectra of acetonitrile show a sharp peak at $v_0 = 2253.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ which is attributed to the C=N stretching vibration range (2210-2260 cm⁻¹). When the ionic liquids (ILs) is added to acetonitrile (i.e; [emim][Y]+CH₃CN), the peak shifts to $v_s = 2278.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 2291.2 cm⁻¹, and 2307.6 cm⁻¹ for [emim]NO₃, [emim]CH₃SO₃, and [emim]Tos respectively. This is due to the disruption of dipole-dipole interaction present in acetonitrile³³ leading to the formation of ion-dipole interaction between [emim]⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻ ions with C=N bond leading to the shift in the C=N stretching frequency. Negligible change in stretching frequency of C-H bond (3.6 cm⁻¹, 4.2 cm⁻¹, 4.6 cm⁻¹) of CH₃CN, shows that C-H bond does not contribute in interaction.

In case of methanol, a broad peak is obtained at $v_0 = 3384.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ which is attributed to hydrogen bonded (H-bond) O-H stretching vibration (within the range 3200-3600 cm⁻¹). But when the IR spectra of {[emim][Y]+CH₃OH} solutions was taken, the broad peak are shifted to $v_s = 3418.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 3430.8 cm⁻¹, 3447.1 cm⁻¹. This indicates that the H-bonding existing between the methanol molecule⁵³ is disrupted by the addition of the ionic liquids [emim][Y]. This is due to the interaction of ions ([emim]⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻) of the studied ionic liquids with the –OH gr of CH₃OH leading to the shift in the O–H bond

stretching frequency. Negligible change in stretching frequency of C-H bond (2.7 cm⁻¹, 3.4 cm⁻¹, 4.1 cm⁻¹) of CH₃OH shows negligible contribution C-H group.

In case of nitromethane, two bands are obtained in the regions $v_0 = 1564.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 1362.9 cm⁻¹, former for the asymmetric stretching vibration (v_{as}) of N-O (1500-1570 cm⁻¹) and the latter for symmetric stretching vibration (v_s) of N-O (1300-1370 cm⁻¹) respectively shown in Table 11. When we added ionic liquids in nitromethane, the asymmetric stretching vibration (v_{as}) of N-O shift to 1604.7 cm⁻¹, 1619.9 cm⁻¹, 1634.0 cm⁻¹ and symmetric stretching vibration (v_{as}) shift to 1401.9 cm⁻¹, 1411.7 cm⁻¹, 1430.5 cm⁻¹, for the ionic liquids [emim]NO₃, [emim]CH₃SO₃, and [emim]Tos respectively. The shift in the bands is due to the rupture of the H-bonding interaction present in the CH₃NO₂ molecules.⁵⁴ The ionic liquids also interact via ion-dipole interaction with the solvent molecules. The C-H stretching of the -CH₃ group in CH₃NO₂ occurs at 2961.4 cm⁻¹ attributing to asymmetric vibration (v_{as}) and 2872.9 cm⁻¹ attributing to symmetric stretching frequency of C-H bond in CH₃NO₂, when the ionic liquids (ILs) is added to it, shows the interaction is negligible between C-H and ions of ionic liquids (ILs).

The higher interaction is seen between [emim][Y] (Y= NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻) and methylamine solution as evident from the values of the K_A obtained from the conductivity study as described above. Here the peaks for hydroxyl -OH, C-N, and C-H bonds of methylamine solution were at 3446.3 cm⁻¹, 1283.4 (1000-1360 cm⁻¹), and 2914.9 (2850-2950 cm⁻¹) respectively. The peak is shifts to 3492.6 cm⁻¹, 3503.1 cm⁻¹ and 3521.0 cm⁻¹ for –OH group, respectively when [emim]NO₃, [emim]CH₃SO₃, and [emim]Tos is added to methylamine solution. The shifting figure has been given in the Table 11. This is due to the disruption of weak H-bonding interaction present in CH₃NH₂⁵⁵ and H₂O molecules of methylamine solution and formation of ion-dipole interaction with the [emim]⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻ ions. In the aqueous solutions of amines are basic; and the –NH groups less interact because the protons attached to nitrogen are less acidic than the H₂O. In methylamine solution (*p*K_b=3.36) the unshared pair of electrons on nitrogen forms a new covalent bond with hydrogen and displaces hydroxyl ion.



It should be recognized at this point that the presence of ILs, leads to the generation of considerable intensity increases and that increases is due to occurrence in association of CH₃NH₃⁺, –OH and additional [emim]⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, Tos⁻ ions. Figure S4 summarizes results for the –OH intensity increases for all the electrolytes in the methylamine solution. The shifting of the C-N and C-H bonds in the (ILs+solvents) mixture is negligible.

The overall schematic representation of the interaction or ion-solvation occurring in studied solution systems {[emim][Y]+Solvent} have been represented in **Scheme 5** in view of the observed derived parameters.

4. Conclusions

The extensive qualitative and quantitative analysis on the ion-solvation phenomenon of the typical 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium based ionic liquids {[emim][Y]; where Y= NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻} in industrially important non-aqueous polar solvents acetonitrile (CH₃CN), methanol (CH₃OH), nitromethane (CH₃NO₂), methylamine solution (CH₃NH₂) with the help of conductimetric and FTIR measurements. Precise examination on all the observed conductimetric consequence, it has been obvious that studied ionic liquids (ILs) exist as ion-pair in acetonitrile, methanol, nitromethane, and as triple-ion in methylamine solution. The ionic size, the charge distribution of the anions (NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻) for the common cation ([emim]⁺), and structural aspects, i.e: functional group of the solvents, are the key factor in formation of ion-pair and triple-ion. The decreasing tendency of the transport properties as fluidity, diffusion coefficient (D_{\pm}) and ionic mobility (i_{\pm}) from acetonitrile to nitromethane for ions {[emim]⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻ and Tos⁻}, suggests the greater extent of ion-solvent interaction or ion-association in nitromethane than the other two studied solvents. For a particular solvent the order of ion-association of anions for the common cation [emim]⁺ are as follow:

$NO_{3} > CH_{3}SO_{3} > Tos^{-}$

In all the chosen solvents, the selected ionic liquids interact with hydrogen bonding and ion-dipole interactions as evident from the FT-IR spectroscopic studies.

After all, it is very clear to state that the ion-solvent interactions dominant over the ionion interactions. All the derived parameters determined by analyzing different valid equations enhancement with experimental data uphold the same climax as discussed and explained in this text, which challenging the distinctiveness of the effort and such

information is particularly pertinent to the design of the appropriate (IL+molecular solvent) binary system for a diligent application.

Content of Supporting Information

1. Figure S1-S4.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Departmental Special Assistance Scheme under the University Grants Commission, New Delhi (No.540/6/DRS/2007, SAP-1), India and Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal for financial support and instrumental facilities in order to continue this research work.

One of the authors, Prof. M. N. Roy is thankful to University Grant Commission, New Delhi, Government of India for being awarded one time grant under Basic Scientific Research via the grant-in-Aid No. F.4-10/2010 (BSR) regarding his active service for augmenting of research facilities to facilitate further research work.

References

- R. J. Bernot, E. E. Kennedy and G. A. Lamberti, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2005, 24, 1759– 1765.
- 2 T. Welton, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 2071-2084.
- 3 N. V. Plechkova and K. R.Seddon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 123-150.
- 4 C. Ye, W. Liu, Y. Chen and L. Yu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2244-2245.
- 5 F. Endres and S. Zein El Abedin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **8**, 2101-2116.
- 6 P. Wang, S. M. Zakeeruddin, J. E. Moser and M. Grätzel, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 13280-13285.
- 7 J. Dupont, R. F. de Souza and P. A. Z. Suarez, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 3667-3692.
- 8 T. L. Greaves and C. J. Drummond, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 206-237.
- 9 T. L. Greaves, A. Weerawardena, C. Fong, I. Krodkiewska and C. J. Drummond, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 22479-22487.
- 10 A. Fernicola, B. Scrosati and H. Ohno, *Ionics*, 2006, **12**, 95-102.
- 11 L. Timperman and M. Anouti, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 3170-3178
- 12 S. Zhang, N. Sun, X. He, X. Lu and X. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 2006, 35, 1475-1517.

- 13 J. M. Crosthwaite, S. N. V. K. Aki, E. J. Maginn and J. F. Brennecke, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, 108, 5113-5119.
- 14 C. Cadena, J. L. Anthony, J. K. Shah, T. I. Morrow, J. F. Brennecke and E. J. Maginn, *J. Am Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 5300-5308.
- 15 C. G. Janz and R. P. T. Tomkins, *Non-aqueous Electrolytes Handbook*, Academic Press, New York, 1973, Vol. 2.
- 16 D. Ekka and M.N. Roy, J. Phys. Chem B, 2012, **116**, 11687-11694.
- 17 D. Aurbach, Non-aqueous Electrochemistry, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, 1999.
- 18 J. A. Krom, J. T. Petty and A. Streitwieser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, **115**, 8024-8030.
- 19 I. Banik and M.N. Roy, J. Chem. Thermodynamics, 2013, 63, 52-59.
- 20 O. Popvych and R. P. T. Tomkins, *Nonaqueous Solution Chemistry*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1981, Ch 4.
- 21 A. K. Covington and T. Dickinson, *Physical chemistry of organic solvent systems*, Plenum, New York, 1973.
- 22 J. E. Lind Jr, J. J. Zwolenik, R. M. Fuoss, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 1557-1559.
- 23 I. M. Abdulagatov and N. D. Azizov, *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 2006, **240**, 204-219.
- 24 A. Sinha, A. Bhattacharjee and M. N. Roy, J. Disp. Sc. and Techn., 2009, **30**, 1003-1007.
- 25 F. I. El-Dossoki, J. Mol. Liquids, 2010, **151**, 1-8.
- 26 R. M. Fuoss, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., 1978, 75, 16-20.
- 27 R. M. Fuoss, J. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82, 2427-2440.
- 28 R. M. Fuoss and F. Accascina, *Electrolytic Conductance*, Interscience, New York, 1959.
- 29 H. Wang, J. Wang, S. Zhang, Y. Pei and K. Zhuo, *ChemPhysChem*, 2009, **10**, 2516-2523.
- 30 M. Bester-Rogac, J. Hunger, A. Stoppa and R. Buchner, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2011, **56**, 1261-1267.
- 31 J. O. Bockris and A. N. Reddy, *Modern Electrochemistry*, 2nd Ed., Plenum Press, New York, 1998, p 552.
- 32 J. I. Bhat and P. Bindu, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 1995, 72, 783-790.
- 33 R. Yamdagni and P. Kebarle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94(9), 2940-2943.
- 34 J. M. Chakraborty and B. Das, Z. Phys. Chem., 2004, 218, 219-230.
- 35 R. M. Fuoss and E. Hirsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 1013-1017.
- 36 C. G. Hanke, N. A. Atamas and R. M. Lyndcn-Bell, *Green Chem.*, 2002, 4, 107–111.

- 37 (a) X.-X. Zhang, M. Liang, N. P. Ernsting, and M. Maroncelli, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2013, **117**, 4291-4304.; (b) M. Maroncelli, X.-X. Zhang, M. Liang, D. Roy and N. P. Ernsting, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2012, **154**, 409–424.
- R. A. Robinson and R. H. Stokes, *Electrolyte Solutions*, Butterworth, London, 1959, Ch 6, p 130.
- 39 R. M. Fuoss and C. A. Kraus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1933, 55, 2387-2399.
- 40 P. Debye and E. Hückel, *Phys. Z.*, 1923, **24**, 185-206.
- 41 H. Falkenhagen and E. L. Vernon, *Phil. Mag.*, 1983, **14**, 537-565.
- 42 R. Hayes, G. G. Warr and Rob Atkin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 1709–1723.
- (a) R. Atkin and G. G. Warr, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 4164. (b) R. Atkin, D. Wakeham,
 R. Hayes, S. Imberti and G. G. Warr, *Bulk and Interfacial Nanostructure in Ionic Liquids, Congress on Ionic Liquids III*, 2009, Cairns.
- 44 M. Liang, A. Kaintz, G. A. Baker and M. Maroncelli, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2012, **116**, 1370–1384.
- 45 M. N. Roy, R. Dewan, D. Ekka and I. Banik, *Thermochimica Acta*, 2013, **559**, 46-51.
- 46 Y. Harada, M. Salamon and S. Petrucci, J. Phys. Chem., 1985, 89, 2006-2010.
- 47 B. S. Krumgalz, J. Chem. Soc, Faraday Trans. I., 1983, 79, 571-587.
- 48 M. Delsignore, H. Farber and S. Petrucci, J. Phys. Chem., 1985, 89, 4968-4973.
- 49 D. Nandi, M. N. Roy and D. K. Hazra, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 1993, 70, 305-311.
- 50 A. Sinha and M. N. Roy, *Phys. Chem. Liq.*, 2007, **45**, 67-77.
- 51 R. M. Fuoss and C. A. Krauss, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1933, 55, 1019-1028.
- 52 A. P. Abbott and D. J. Schiffrin, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans., 1990, 86, 1453-1459.
- 53 M. Pagliai, G. Cardini, R. Righini and V. Schettino, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **119**, 6655-6662.
- 54 Li, J. Zhao and F.F. Jing, *J. Comp. Chem.*, 2003, **24**, 345-252.
- 55 E. M. Cabaleiro-Lago and M. A. Ríos, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **112**, 2155-2163.
- 56 N. Saha and B. Das, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 1997, 42, 227-229.
- 57 Catalogue of the product from Sigma Aldrich.
- 58 R. A. Stairs, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 1980, 25, 379-379.
- 59 C. V. V. Ramana, A. B. V. Kiran Kumar and M. K. Moodley, *Journal of Chemistry*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/687106.

Tables:

Table 1. Values of density (ρ), viscosity (η) and relative permittivity (ε_r) of studied solvents at *T* = 298.15 K^{*a*}

Solvente	ρ×10	⁻³ (kg m ⁻³)	<i>η</i> (r	nPa s)	C
Solvents	Expt	Lit	Expt	Lit	٤r
CH ₃ CN	0.77668	0.77667 ⁵⁶	0.35	0.344656	35.95 ²¹
CH ₃ OH	0.78661	0.7866021	0.55	0.545^{21}	32.7021
CH_3NO_2	1.13015	1.1301522	0.62	0.61422	35.8722
CH_3NH_2	0.89703	0.8970057	0.18	0.17858	9.10^{b}

^{*a*}standard uncertainties *u* are: $u(\rho) = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ kg m⁻³, $u(\eta) = 0.02$ mPa s, and u(T) = 0.01 K. ^{*b*}Assume and extrapolation of the values of the Ref. [59]

Table 2. Molar conductance (Λ) and the corresponding concentration (*c*) of the studied ILs in different solvents at *T* = 298.15 K^{*a*}

<i>c</i> ×10 ⁴	$\Lambda \times 10^4$	$c \times 10^{4}$	$\Lambda \times 10^4$	<i>c</i> ×10 ⁴	$\Lambda \times 10^4$	<i>c</i> ×10 ⁴	$\Lambda \times 10^4$
(mol dm-3)	(S m ² mol ⁻¹)	(mol dm ⁻³)	(S m ² mol ⁻¹)	(mol dm ⁻³)	(S m ² mol ⁻¹)	(mol dm ⁻³)	(S m ² mol ⁻¹)
			[emin	n]NO ₃			
CHa	3CN	CH ₃	ОН	CH ₃	NO ₂	CH ₃	NH ₂
10.39	154.51	7.26	82.53	6.53	76.82	1.13	16.30
19.05	151.33	12.11	80.42	13.48	73.11	2.08	14.17
26.37	149.08	17.22	79.21	19.60	71.43	2.88	12.67
32.65	147.32	22.80	77.82	24.94	68.81	3.56	11.50
38.09	145.91	29.16	76.14	29.61	67.42	4.15	10.75
44.09	144.43	35.40	74.72	33.73	66.30	4.67	10.25
50.84	143.04	41.81	73.21	37.39	65.23	5.13	9.91
59.86	141.22	47.06	72.43	43.59	63.72	5.90	9.35
68.57	139.41	53.73	71.22	52.81	62.01	6.80	8.80
77.42	137.61	63.52	69.71	59.34	60.84	7.84	8.52
84.98	136.34	75.00	68.04	66.20	59.42	8.90	8.61
92.72	135.23	85.93	66.72	77.13	58.33	9.86	9.06

[emim]CH ₃ SO ₃							
CH	I ₃ CN	CH	30H	CHa	NO ₂	CH	3NH ₂
9.62	148.93	6.76	74.04	8.01	65.53	1.25	14.03
17.64	145.26	11.29	71.72	12.89	63.64	2.08	12.00
24.42	141.97	17.14	69.43	19.01	61.72	2.79	10.89
30.24	140.24	22.75	67.61	24.50	59.81	3.45	9.86
35.28	138.27	27.35	66.51	29.16	58.43	4.07	9.28
43.58	135.85	32.95	65.44	34.81	57.02	4.72	8.63
50.13	134.12	39.82	63.52	41.60	55.21	5.57	8.05
57.72	132.07	47.06	61.43	49.42	53.44	6.35	7.73
65.12	130.64	55.80	60.04	58.83	51.57	7.06	7.64
72.76	128.84	65.45	58.82	68.89	49.73	7.85	7.65
80.63	127.01	75.17	57.43	76.74	47.52	8.70	7.81
86.93	125.64	84.46	54.41	82.08	46.73	9.68	8.30
			[em	im]Tos			
CH	I ₃ CN	CH	30H	CHa	NO ₂	CH	3NH ₂
9.62	116.22	7.84	59.50	8.70	51.04	1.18	11.70
17.64	109.32	14.38	57.11	14.98	48.81	1.94	9.91
24.42	106.14	19.91	54.92	21.16	47.12	2.63	8.57
30.24	103.56	24.65	53.30	28.62	44.93	3.33	7.80
35.28	101.67	28.76	52.22	34.57	43.82	3.94	7.35
43.58	98.40	32.36	51.08	41.06	42.84	4.62	6.71
50.13	96.57	38.35	50.03	48.67	40.51	5.39	6.65
55.43	95.20	43.14	48.45	54.75	39.23	6.23	6.40
61.73	93.61	48.77	48.06	61.89	38.04	6.94	6.58
68.03	92.02	55.47	46.32	68.95	36.82	7.78	6.80
74.70	90.67	62.32	45.64	77.30	36.01	8.59	6.87
80.63	89.71	66.68	44.74	85.17	34.03	9.60	7.53

^{*a*}standard uncertainties *u* are: $u(c) = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $u(\Lambda) = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ S m}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$, and u(T)

= 0.01 K

Table 3. Limiting molar conductivity (Λ_0), the association constant (K_A), the distance of closest approach of ions (R), standard deviations δ of experimental Λ , Walden product ($\Lambda_0\eta$) and Gibbs energy change (ΔG^0) of ILs in different studied solvents at T= 298.15 K

Colorante	$\Lambda_{\rm o} \times 10^4$	K _A	$R \qquad \qquad \Lambda_0 \eta \times 10^4$		$\Lambda_{ m o}\eta imes 10^4$	$L_{2} = (\mathcal{U}_{1})$	$arDelta G^0$
Solvents	(S m ² mol ⁻¹)	(dm ³ mol ⁻¹)	(Å)	o (S m² mol-1 mPa		LOG(K _A)	(kJ mol ⁻¹)
[emim]N	D ₃						
CH ₃ CN	162.17	28.6	8.26	0.18	55.85	3.35	-0.83
CH ₃ OH	87.53	59.0	7.89	0.21	47.66	4.08	-1.01
CH_3NO_2	82.34	98.5	8.29	0.27	50.56	4.59	-1.14
[emim]CH	H_3SO_3						
CH ₃ CN	157.35	42.9	9.10	0.18	54.19	3.76	-0.93
CH₃OH	79.78	96.2	8.73	0.22	43.44	4.57	-1.13
CH_3NO_2	73.23	128.1	9.13	0.31	44.96	4.85	-1.20
[emim]To	DS						
CH ₃ CN	125.62	90.8	9.43	0.14	43.26	4.51	-1.12
CH ₃ OH	66.17	135.2	9.06	0.27	36.03	4.91	-1.21
CH_3NO_2	58.85	169.7	9.46	0.33	36.13	5.13	-1.27

Table 4. Limiting ionic conductance (λ_o^{\pm}) , ionic Walden product $(\lambda_o^{\pm}\eta)$, Stokes' radii (r_s) and crystallographic radii (r_c) of imidazolium based ionic liquids in different studied solvents at T = 298.15 K

Solvonto	λ_{o}^{\pm} ×	×10 ⁴	$\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle o}^{\scriptscriptstyle \pm}\eta$	×10 ⁴	r	s	1	с		۴.
Solvents	(S m ²	mol ⁻¹)	(S m ² mo	l ⁻¹ mPa s)	(Å	Å)	(/	Å)	l	/±
	[emim]+	NO ₃ -	[emim]+	NO ₃ -	[emim]+	NO ₃ -	[emim]+	NO ₃ -	[emim]+	NO ₃ -
CH ₃ CN	97.20	64.97	33.48	22.37	2.45	3.66	1.33	1.99	0.599	0.401
CH ₃ OH	52.47	35.06	28.57	19.09	2.87	4.29	1.33	1.99	0.599	0.401
CH_3NO_2	49.35	32.99	30.30	20.25	2.70	4.05	1.33	1.99	0.599	0.401
	[emim]+	CH ₃ SO ₃ -	[emim]+	CH ₃ SO ₃ -	[emim]+	CH ₃ SO ₃ -	[emim]+	CH ₃ SO ₃ -	[emim]+	CH ₃ SO ₃ -
CH ₃ CN	107.04	50.31	36.87	17.33	2.22	4.73	1.33	2.83	0.680	0.320
CH ₃ OH	54.27	25.51	29.55	13.89	2.77	5.90	1.33	2.83	0.680	0.320
CH_3NO_2	49.82	23.41	30.59	14.38	2.68	5.70	1.33	2.83	0.680	0.320
	[emim]+	Tos	[emim]+	Tos-	[emim]+	Tos	[emim]+	Tos	[emim]+	Tos
CH ₃ CN	88.41	37.21	30.45	12.82	2.69	6.39	1.33	3.16	0.704	0.296
CH ₃ OH	46.57	19.60	25.36	10.67	3.23	7.68	1.33	3.16	0.704	0.296
CH_3NO_2	41.42	17.43	25.43	10.70	3.22	7.66	1.33	3.16	0.704	0.296

Table 5. A-coefficient and solvation number (n_s) of ionic liquids in different studiedsolvents at 298.15K

	A-coefficient		A-coefficient		A-coefficient	
Solvents	/(mPas $K^{1/2}$	ns	/(mPas $K^{1/2}$	ns	/(mPas $K^{1/2}$	ns
	S m ² mol ⁻¹) ⁻¹		S m ² mol ⁻¹) ⁻¹		S m ² mol ⁻¹) ⁻¹	
	[emim]N(D ₃	[emim]CH	3 SO 3	[emim]T	OS
CH ₃ CN	-0.19	5.23	-0.17	6.67	-0.13	7.28
CH₃OH	-0.07	9.03	-0.06	8.06	-0.05	13.34
CH_3NO_2	-0.05	7.40	-0.04	7.17	-0.03	13.22

Solvents	$D_{\pm} \cdot 10^{15}$	′(m ² s ⁻¹)	$i_{\pm} \cdot 10^{14} / (m^2 \text{ s}^{-1})$		
Solvents			vol	t ⁻¹)	
	[emim]+	NO ₃ -	[emim]+	NO ₃ -	
CH ₃ CN	2.59	1.73	10.07	6.74	
CH ₃ OH	1.40	0.93	5.43	3.63	
CH ₃ NO ₂	1.32	0.88	5.13	3.42	
	[emim]+	CH ₃ SO ₃ -	[emim]+	CH ₃ SO ₃ -	
CH ₃ CN	2.85	1.34	11.11	5.21	
CH₃OH	1.45	0.68	5.63	2.64	
CH_3NO_2	1.33	0.62	5.16	2.43	
	[emim]+	Tos-	[emim]+	Tos-	
CH_3CN	2.35	0.99	9.17	3.86	
CH ₃ OH	1.24	0.52	4.83	2.03	
CH_3NO_2	1.10	0.46	4.30	1.81	

Table 6. Diffusion coefficient (D_{\pm}) and ionic mobility (i_{\pm}) of ionic liquids in different studied solvents at 298.15K

Table 7. The calculated limiting molar conductance of ion-pair (Λ_0), limiting molar conductance of triple-ion (Λ_0^T), slope and intercept of equation (17) of ionic liquids in methylamine solution (CH₃NH₂) at *T* = 298.15 K

Ionic liquids	$\Lambda_0 \times 10^4$ (S m ² mol ⁻¹)	$\frac{\Lambda_0^T \times 10^4}{(\text{S m}^2)}$	Slope	Intercept
		mol ⁻¹)		
[emim]NO ₃	53.78	35.87	36.17	0.18
[emim]CH ₃ SO ₃	44.17	29.47	39.44	0.16
[emim]Tos	30.39	20.27	61.68	0.12

	$c_{\rm min} \times 10^4$	$\log c_{\min}$	K _P ×10 ⁻³	K _T ×10 ⁻²	$(K_T/K_P) \times 10^4$	log(K _T /K _P)
lonic liquids	(mol dm ⁻³)		(m ³ mol ⁻¹)	(m ³ mol ⁻¹)		
[emim]NO ₃	7.84	-3.11	84.23	2.93	34.74	-2.46
[emim]CH ₃ SO ₃	7.06	-3.15	78.25	2.80	47.85	-2.32
[emim]Tos	6.23	-3.21	63.80	2.53	120.48	-1.92

Table 9. Salt concentration (c_{min}) at the minimum conductivity (A_{min}), the ion-pair fraction (α), triple-ion fraction (α_T), ion-pair concentration (C_P) and triple-ion concentration (C_T) of ionic liquids in methylamine solution (CH₃NH₂) at *T* = 298.15 K

Ionic liquide	$c_{min} \times 10^4$	$\Lambda_{\rm min} \times 10^4$	$\alpha \times 10^2$	$\alpha_{\rm T} \times 10^2$	$C_{\rm P} \times 10^5$	$C_{\rm T} \times 10^{5}$
ionic nquius	(mol dm ⁻³)				(mol dm ⁻³)	(mol dm ⁻³)
[emim]NO ₃	7.84	8.52	12.30	2.82	75.44	2.22
[emim]CH ₃ SO ₃	7.06	7.64	13.46	3.56	68.59	2.51
[emim]Tos	6.23	6.40	15.87	7.59	66.55	4.73

Table 10. Interionic distance parameter for ion-pair (a_{IP}) and for triple-ion (a_{TI}) in methylamine solution (CH₃NH₂) at *T* = 298.15 K

Ionic liquids	a _{IP} /Å	a _{TI} /Å	1.5 <i>a</i> 1P/Å
[emim]NO ₃	3.44	4.01	5.16
[emim]CH ₃ SO ₃	3.48	3.98	5.22
[emim]Tos	3.50	3.96	5.24

Table 11. Stretching frequencies of the functional groups present in the pure solvent and change of frequency after addition of ILs in the solvents.

	Stretching frequencies				
Solvents	Functional	Pure Solvent	[emim]NO ₃	[emim]CH ₃ SO ₃	[emim]Tos
	Group	$(v_0 \text{ cm}^{-1})$	(v _{IL} cm ⁻¹)	(v _{IL} cm ⁻¹)	(v _{IL} cm ⁻¹)
CH ₃ CN	C≡N	2253.8	2278.9	2291.2	2307.6
	C-H	2915.7	2919.3	2919.9	2920.3
CH ₃ OH	0-Н	3384.7	3418.5	3430.8	3447.1
	C-H	2916.6	2919.3	2920.0	2920.7
CH_3NO_2	N-0	1564.1 (v _{as})	1604.7 (v _{as})	1619.9 (v _{as})	1634.0 (v _{as})
		1362.9 (v _s)	1401.9 (v _s)	1411.7 (ν _s)	1430.5 (v _s)
	C-H	2961.4 (v _{as})	2963.5 (v _{as})	2964.3 (v _{as})	2964.9(vas)
		2872.9 (v _s)	2874.0 (vs)	2874.8 (v _s)	2875.4 (v _s)
CH_3NH_2	-OH	3446.3	3492.6	3503.1	3521.0
solution	C-N	1283.4	1285.5	1286.7	1287.9
	C-H	2914.9	2917.2	2919.0	2920.3

Figure Captions:

Figure 1: Plot of molar conductance (Λ) and the square root of molar concentration (\sqrt{c}) for [emim]NO₃ in CH₃CN (\blacklozenge), CH₃OH (\blacksquare), CH₃NO₂ (\blacktriangle), for [emim]CH₃SO₃ in CH₃CN (\diamondsuit), CH₃OH (\Box), CH₃NO₂ (Δ), for [emim]Tos in CH₃CN (\blacklozenge), CH₃OH (\circ), CH₃NO₂ (\times) respectively at *T* = 298.15K

Figure 2: Plot of molar conductance (Λ) and the square root of molar concentration (\sqrt{c}) of [emim]NO₃ (\blacklozenge), [emim]CH₃SO₃ (\blacktriangle), [emim]Tos (\bullet) in CH₃NH₂ respectively at *T* = 298.15K

Figure 3: Plot of limiting molar conductance (Λ_o) for [emim]NO₃ (\blacklozenge), [emim]CH₃SO₃ (\blacktriangle), [emim]Tos (\bullet) and fluidity (η^{-1}) of the solvents CH₃CN, CH₃OH, CH₃NO₂ respectively at *T* = 298.15K

Figure 4: Plot of limiting molar conductance (Λ_o) for [emim]NO₃ (\blacklozenge), [emim]CH₃SO₃ (\blacktriangle), [emim]Tos (\bullet) and Walden Product ($\Lambda_0\eta$) for [emim]NO₃ (\diamondsuit), [emim]CH₃SO₃ (\bigtriangleup), [emim]Tos (\circ) in CH₃CN, CH₃OH, CH₃NO₂ respectively at *T* = 298.15K

Figure 5: Plot of limiting ionic conductance (λ_o^{\pm}) for NO₃⁻ (\blacklozenge), CH₃SO₃⁻ (\blacktriangle), Tos⁻ (\blacklozenge) and ionic Walden Product $(\lambda_o^{\pm}\eta)$ for NO₃⁻ (\diamondsuit), CH₃SO₃⁻ (\bigtriangleup), Tos⁻ (\circ) in CH₃CN, CH₃OH, CH₃NO₂ respectively at *T* = 298.15K

Figure 6: Plot of association constant (*K*_A) for [emim]NO₃ (\blacklozenge), [emim]CH₃SO₃ (\blacktriangle), [emim]Tos (\bullet) and Gibbs energy change (ΔG°) for [emim]NO₃ (\diamond), [emim]CH₃SO₃ (Δ), [emim]Tos (\circ) in CH₃CN, CH₃OH, CH₃NO₂ respectively at *T* = 298.15K

Figure 7: Plot of diffusion coefficient (D_{\pm}) for for NO₃⁻ (\blacklozenge), CH₃SO₃⁻ (\blacktriangle), Tos⁻ (\blacklozenge) and ionic mobility (i_{\pm}) for NO₃⁻ (\diamondsuit), CH₃SO₃⁻ (\bigtriangleup), Tos⁻ (\circ) in CH₃CN, CH₃OH, CH₃NO₂ respectively at *T* = 298.15K

Figures:



Figure 1



Figure 2



RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript



Scheme Legends:

Scheme 2: The schematic representation of ion-solvation, for the particular ion in the studied solutions {[emim][Y]+solvents}

Scheme 3: Antiparallel dimerization of acetonitrile (CH₃CN)

Scheme 4: The pictorial representation of triple-ion formations for the electrolyte (IL), as an example in methylamine solution

Scheme 5: Schematic representation of the interaction or ion-solvation occurring in studied solution systems {[emim][Y]+Solvent} have been represented in **Scheme 5** in view of the observed derived parameters.

Schemes:



Scheme 2



Scheme 3



Scheme 4



Scheme 5 Table of Content (TOC) for Graphics



Exploration of significant interactions of eco-friendly RTILs in some liquid systems, having wide range of application