

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Highly Porous Conjugated Polymers for Selective Oxidation of Organic Sulfides with Visible Light

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Zi Jun Wang, Saman Ghasimi, Katharina Landfester and Kai A. I. Zhang*

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

High surface area porous conjugated polymers were synthesized via high internal phase emulsion polymerization technique and micropore engineering as efficient heterogeneous photocatalysts for highly selective oxidation of organic sulfides into sulfoxides under visible light.

Conjugated porous polymers, combining photoactive π -electron backbone and porous surface properties, have been recently introduced as heterogeneous photocatalysts for the organic synthesis under irradiation of visible light.¹⁻⁵ Polymers obtained via high internal phase emulsion polymerization (polyHIPE) is a relatively new technique for the preparation of highly porous materials with versatile applications such as tissue engineering scaffolds,⁶ enzyme immobilization,^{7, 8} gas storage,⁹ and separation media.¹⁰ Giving the micrometer-sized pore structures, which is highly suitable for mass transfer, polyHIPEs are also used as catalyst support.¹¹ Only a few reports on the synthesis of photocatalysts by HIPE polymerization were published.^{12, 13} Recently, the synthesis of the first examples of fully conjugated polyHIPEs was reported, these materials showed surface areas of 35-50 m²g⁻¹ and could be used as highly efficient and reusable heterogeneous photosensitizer for the singlet oxygen generation under visible light.¹³ Combining the interconnected porosity of polyHIPEs and the conjugated framework throughout the polymer network, conjugated polyHIPEs hold great promise in heterogeneous photocatalysis with visible light. Because conjugated polyHIPEs act as photocatalysts with a stable inherent porous skeleton, the use of additional support materials such as silica gels¹⁴ and zeolites¹⁵ can be eliminated. Classical polyHIPEs have rather low surface areas (up to 50 m²g⁻¹).^{16, 17} It was later shown that the surface area of polyHIPEs can be tuned by varying the cross-linker content or by hypercrosslinking the system via chemical functionalization.¹⁸⁻²¹ Nonetheless, these methods are not suitable for conjugated polyHIPEs to be used as photocatalysts since the

chemical composition of the conjugated polyHIPEs is of vital importance to their photochemical properties and photocatalytic performance.

Organic sulfides are key intermediates in pharmaceutical or other fine chemical industries.^{22, 23} Selective oxidation of sulfides to the corresponding sulfoxides is traditionally achieved with stoichiometric amounts of organic or inorganic oxidants, which usually generates huge amount of toxic byproducts or heavy metal wastes.^{24, 25} Recent developments of catalysts based on organometallic complexes have been attempted to alleviate this problem but they still generally require substantial amounts of additional oxidants, such as H₂O₂ at elevated temperatures,^{26, 27} urea hydroperoxide,²⁸ and isobutyraldehyde.²⁹ Thus, conjugated polyHIPEs have the clear advantage of serving as green, effective, chemoselective and recyclable photocatalysts that require only visible light and air. Not only can the conjugated polyHIPEs be easily removed and recycled after use, they can also be readily incorporated into continuous flow systems, which offer facile automation, precise control over reaction parameters and predictable scale-up.¹³


Herein, we report on design and preparation of high surface area conjugated porous polymers via high internal phase emulsion polymerization and micropore surface engineering. By incorporation and removal of cleavable *tert*-butyl carboxylate (Boc) functional group as spacer into the polymer network, the surface area can be increased up to eight times, gaining extra porosity. The photocatalytic activity of the polymers for highly selective oxidation of organic sulfides into the desired mono-oxidized sulfoxides under visible light irradiation was also described. Furthermore, the influence of surface area and energetic level on the photocatalytic performance was investigated by introducing a well-studied electron acceptor, the benzothiadiazole (BT) unit into the polymer backbone.

The porous polymers were synthesized via Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction (Scheme 1). The detailed synthetic pathway and the characterization of the monomers and polymers are described in the ESI.† The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the Boc containing polymers showed a significant weight lost at 250 °C under N₂, indicating the thermal cleavage of the Boc group inside the porous polymers (Figure S12 in the ESI). The FTIR spectra confirmed the disappearance of the typical signals for >C=O groups at 1750 cm⁻¹ (Figure S7 – S9). The solid-state ¹³C/MAS NMR

Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, D-55128 Mainz, Germany, E-mail: kai.zhang@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Monomer synthesis, FT-IR, fluorescence spectra, TGA, ¹H, ¹³C, solid state ¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectra, N₂ sorption isotherms and pore size and distribution, theoretical calculations and additional SEM and TEM Images. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

showed a significant decrease of chemical shifts at $\delta = 30$ and 80 and 155 ppm, which are assigned to the $-\text{CH}_3$, *quart.*-C and $>\text{C}=\text{O}$ groups of the Boc moiety. The signals between 100 and 160 ppm remained, which are assigned to the aromatic rings in the polymer backbones (Figure S14 – S19). This indicates that under the thermal treatment the aromatic structure stays intact.



Scheme 1. Idealized structures and surface area control via micropore engineering of conjugated porous polymers. Reaction conditions: (i) $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$, Span 80, toluene/ H_2O (1/9), 80°C, overnight. (ii) 250 °C, 12 h under vacuum.

The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas of all three polymers increased significantly after the thermal removal of Boc group. In particular, they range from 198 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ for B-(NPh_2)₃, 230 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ for B- CB_3 and 53 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ for B- CB_2 -BT, exhibiting an increasing factor of 4, 8 and 2 times from B-(Boc- NPh_2)₃ with 45 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$, B-(Boc- CB_3) with 27 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$, and B-(Boc- CB_2)-BT with 26 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively (Figure S20 and S21). Likewise, the total pore volumes of the porous polymers also increased, the micropore diameter exhibited ca. 1.5 nm via this micropore modification method. The data are listed in Table S1 of the ESI.




Figure 1. SEM image of the conjugated polyHIPEs before and after removal of Boc group. (a) B-(Boc- NPh_2)₃, (b) B-(NPh_2)₃, (c) B-(Boc- CB_3), (d) B- CB_3 , (e) B-(Boc- CB_2)-BT, and (f) B- CB_2 -BT. Scale bar: 2 μm .

In Figure 1, SEM images of the two series of polyHIPEs are displayed. The polyHIPE series after the Boc cleavage appeared in very similar morphology as the series containing Boc, showing interconnected pore structures. The cavities of the polyHIPEs range from 10 μm to 20 μm in size whereas the interconnected pores have diameters of about 1 μm . To note is that the removal of Boc group via heat treatment did not affect the stability of the macrostructure, the porous skeleton remained intact. A significant amount of micropores ($d = \sim 1.5$ nm) appeared according to the pore size distribution measurement after the Boc cleavage.

The UV/Vis diffusive reflectance spectra (DRS) of the polymers were slightly bathochromically shifted after removing the Boc moiety (Figure 2b), compared to the ones containing Boc (Figure 2a). This shift could be caused by the thermal annealing effect of the conjugated polymer backbone, leading to more ordered π - π -stacking and therefore slightly lower energy levels.




Figure 2. (a) UV/Vis DR spectra of B-(Boc- NPh_2)₃, B-(Boc- CB_3), and B-(Boc- CB_2)-BT containing Boc group. (b) UV/Vis DR spectra of B-(NPh_2)₃, B- CB_3 , and B-(CB_2)-BT. (c) Illustrated setup of the glass column photoreactor in a continuous flow system, the porous polymers are inserted into the glass column.

By introducing the strong electron acceptor BT unit into the polymer backbone, a bathochromic shift of ca. 55 nm occurred, indicating lower HOMO and LUMO levels and narrower band gaps. Similarly, the photoluminescence (PL) spectra of B-(Boc- CB_2)-BT and B- CB_2 -BT are largely bathochromically shifted compared to B-(Boc- CB_3) and B- CB_3 (Figure S10 and S11 in the ESI). An extra fluorescence band at about 520 nm occurred for both BT containing polymers. This emerged large Stokes-shift reflects a stronger π - π^* -transition of the electrons under excitation. The NPh-based polymers B-(Boc- NPh_2)₃ and B-(NPh_2)₃ exhibited the largest optical HOMO-LUMO band gaps of 3.31 and 3.03 eV, followed by the B-(Boc- CB_3) with 3.02 and B- CB_3 with 2.83 eV. The lowest band gaps were exhibited by B-(Boc- CB_2)-BT with 2.47 and B- CB_2 -BT with 2.31, respectively (Table 1).

To investigate the electronic properties, theoretical HOMO-LUMO levels of the repeating units was calculated. The data are listed in Table S3 and the electronic structures are displayed in Figure S24 and S25 in the ESI. Similar to the optical band gaps, the NPh-containing units exhibited the highest HOMO-LUMO gap, followed by the CB-based ones. And the BT-containing units showed clearly lower band gaps. Contrastingly, all repeating units exhibited similar HOMO level ranging from -5.40 to -5.90 eV. However, the LUMO levels of the BT-containing B-(Boc- CB_2)-BT and B- CB_2 -BT of ca. -3.10 eV were significantly lower than the NPh- and CB-based polymers (-1.10 to -1.60 eV). A better electron transition can be expected.

The photocatalytic activity of the conjugated porous polymers as heterogeneous photocatalyst under visible light was investigated with the photooxidation of thioanisole at room temperature in continuous flow system as displayed in Figure 2c. As shown in Table 1, an almost quantitative selectivity (>99%) of the photooxidation of thioanisole into the desired mono-oxidized

product methyl phenyl sulfoxide was obtained. PolyHIPEs containing the CB moiety showed higher conversion than those with NPh₂ moieties, and the polymers containing a strong electron acceptor, BT unit, exhibited the best photocatalytic efficiency. This corresponds with the lower HOMO-LUMO band gaps, in particular, the low LUMO levels according to the theoretical calculation.

Table 1. Photocatalytic oxidation of thioanisole using conjugated polyHIPEs as heterogeneous photocatalysts under visible light: (a) thioanisole, (b) methyl phenyl sulfoxide and (c) methyl phenyl sulfone, and the optical and electronic properties.

Entry	PolyHIPEs	t/(h)	Conv. (%)	Selectivity (%)		Band Gap/opt. (eV)
				b	c	
1	B-(Boc-NPh ₂) ₃	48	38	>99	<1	3.31
2	B-(NPh ₂) ₃	48	33	>99	<1	3.03
3	B-(Boc-CB) ₃	48	91	>99	<1	3.02
4	B-CB ₃	48	93	>99	<1	2.83
5	B-(Boc-CB) ₂ -BT	24	99	95	5	2.47
6	B-CB ₂ -BT	24	98	99	<1	2.31
blank ¹	-	24	-	-	-	-
blank ²	B-(Boc-CB) ₂ -BT	24	-	-	-	-

¹:no polymer, but with light irradiation. ²:with B-(Boc-CB)₂-BT as photocatalyst, but no light irradiation. * Reaction condition: 10 mg of polyHIPE, flow rate of sulfides in acetonitrile: 1 mL min⁻¹, RT, air.

To note, the series of the polyHIPEs without the Boc moieties, B-(NPh₂)₃, B-CB₃, and B-CB₂-BT, with higher BET surface areas did not distinguish themselves as more efficient photocatalysts than their counterparts with Boc. This can be attributed to the micropores, which after the removal of the Boc moieties were still too small (*d* = 1.5 nm) to allow efficient mass diffusion of the reactants. This finding further proves that in heterogeneous catalysis, it rather depends on the larger pores (> 1 μm) that play the vital role in providing accessible surface sites for interfacial reactions than the micropores (< 2 nm).³⁰⁻³² B-(Boc-CB)₂-BT and B-CB₂-BT exhibited almost quantitative conversions within 24 h in acetonitrile (entries 5 and 6 in Table 1) with 95% and 99% selectivity, respectively. Likely, the reaction time (24 h) might be too long for B-(Boc-CB)₂-BT as active photocatalyst, an overoxidation of 5% occurred.

The photocatalytic experiment suggests that the π-electron features in the conjugated polymer backbone should play a more crucial role for the photocatalytic feasibility than surface area. This further proved a previous study on a series of conjugated porous polymers as photosensitizer, which showed that the polymers containing strong electron acceptor units such as BT could generate singlet oxygen in a more efficient manner.¹³ And most importantly, low LUMO levels are mandatory.

Five additional repeating experiments of the photooxidation of thioanisole were carried out using B-(Boc-CB)₂-BT under the same reaction conditions (Table S2 in the ESI†). The desired mono-oxidised product was obtained in an almost quantitative manner, demonstrating the stability and reusability of the polyHIPE. The oxidation of sulfides is likely mediated by photochemically generated singlet oxygen, which should be similar to a previous

study.³³ Blank experiments were also carried out as control (Table 1). The same reaction was tested by using the polyHIPE as photocatalyst, but without light irradiation; or without the polyHIPE, but with light irradiation. No oxidized product was obtained in both experiments, indicating both components are indispensable.

For the determination of the general applicability of the selective photooxidation of organic sulfides, a number of various thioanisole derivatives were examined under the same reaction conditions. B-(Boc-CB)₂-BT was used in all reactions due to its high photocatalytic activity. The data are listed in Table 2. We tested different phenyl sulfides bearing electron-withdrawing (entries 7 - 9) or electron-donating substituents (entries 10 and 11) on the phenyl ring as substrates for the oxidation reaction. All reactions exhibited high selectivity of the mono-oxidated sulfoxides. The conversion corresponded to the electron-withdrawing ability of the substituents (-Cl < -Br < -F). In case of the electron-donating substituents (entry 10 and 11), the conversion followed the opposite order of the electron affinity (-CH₃ < -OCH₃). Allyl phenyl sulfide with a considerable selectivity of 88% (entry 12) and ethyl phenyl sulfide (entry 13) with >99% were employed to demonstrate the chemoselectivity of the photooxidation reaction.

Table 2: Selective oxidation of various sulfides using B-(Boc-CB)₂-BT as photocatalyst under visible light irradiation.*

Entry	t/(h)	Conv. (%)	Selectivity (%)	
7	24	98	>99	<1
8	24	45	>99	<1
9	24	33	>99	<1
10	24	35	96	4
11	24	50	98	2
12	24	39	88	12
13	24	84	>99	<1

* Reaction condition: 10 mg of B-(Boc-CB)₂-BT, flow rate of sulfides in acetonitrile: 1 mL min⁻¹, RT, air.

In conclusion, we reported a novel method to synthesize high surface area conjugated porous polymers with unique electronic properties via high internal phase emulsion polymerization and micropore surface engineering. The *tert*-Butyl carboxylate (Boc) group was introduced as spacer into the micropores of the cross-linked polymer networks. The BET surface of the porous polymers can be increased by a factor of eight in the best cases. They exhibit porosity with pore size ranging from micrometer to nanometers. The photocatalytic activity of the conjugated porous polymers was demonstrated via photooxidation of organic sulfides into sulfoxides under visible light, achieving almost quantitative conversion and selectivity in the best cases. It was to note, that high surface areas did not play a crucial role with respect to the photocatalytic efficiency. Nanometer sized

pores of ca. 1.5 nm are indeed insufficient for reactants to diffuse in. The more important factor was the electronic structure, i.e. donor and acceptor combination of the polymer backbone, with the best photocatalytic activity being shown by the polymer containing benzothiadiazole unit as a strong acceptor. This is in consonance with the lower optical HOMO-LUMO band gap values of the BT containing polymers, which was caused by the significantly low LUMO levels of the polymers. Nevertheless, conjugated porous polyHIPEs still offer a new class of stable visible light-driven heterogeneous catalyst systems. By incorporation of the insoluble polymers into a glass column reactor, the product can be obtained continuously without separation from the catalysts.

The Max Planck Society is acknowledged for financial support.

Notes and References

- L. Chen, Y. Honsho, S. Seki and D. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 6742-6748.
- A. I. Cooper, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1291-1295.
- Y. Chen, J. Zhang, M. Zhang and X. Wang, *Chem Sci*, 2013, **4**, 3244-3248.
- X. C. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 76.
- F. Vilela, K. Zhang and M. Antonietti, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 7819-7832.
- M. Bokhari, R. J. Carnachan, N. R. Cameron and S. A. Przyborski, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2007, **354**, 1095-1100.
- N. Dizge, B. Keskinler and A. Tanriseven, *Colloid Surface B*, 2008, **66**, 34-38.
- S. J. Pierre, J. C. Thies, A. Dureault, N. R. Cameron, J. C. M. van Hest, N. Carette, T. Michon and R. Weberskirch, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 1822-1826.
- F. Su, C. L. Bray, B. Tan and A. I. Cooper, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2663-2666.
- E. H. Mert, H. Yildirim, A. T. Üzümcü and H. Kavas, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2013, **73**, 175-181.
- M. Ottens, G. Leene, A. A. C. M. Beenackers, N. Cameron and D. C. Sherrington, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2000, **39**, 259-266.
- W. L. Li, W. J. Zhang, X. Q. Dong, L. S. Yan, R. G. Qi, W. C. Wang, Z. G. Xie and X. B. Jing, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 17445-17448.
- K. Zhang, Z. Vobecka, K. Tauer, M. Antonietti and F. Vilela, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 11158-11160.
- P. Saint-Cricq, T. Pigot, L. Nicole, C. Sanchez and S. Lacombe, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 5281-5283.
- A. Sanjuan, G. Aguirre, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, *Appl Catal B-Environ*, 1998, **15**, 247-257.
- I. Pulko and P. Krajnc, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2012, **33**, 1731-1746.
- S. D. Kimmins and N. R. Cameron, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 211-225.
- A. Barbetta, M. Dentini, L. Leandri, G. Ferraris, A. Coletta and M. Bernabei, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2009, **69**, 724-736.
- M. G. Schwab, I. Senkowska, M. Rose, N. Klein, M. Koch, J. Pahnke, G. Jonschker, B. Schmitz, M. Hirscher and S. Kaskel, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **5**, 1055-1059.
- I. Pulko, J. Wall, P. Krajnc and N. R. Cameron, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 2350-2354.
- U. Sevšek, J. Brus, K. Jeřábek and P. Krajnc, *Polymer*, 2014, **55**, 410-415.
- A. Padwa, W. H. Bullock and A. D. Dyszlewski, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1990, **55**, 955-964.
- I. Fernandez and N. Khiar, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 3651-3705.
- J.-E. Bäckvall, *Modern oxidation methods*, 2nd, completely rev. and enlarged edn., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2010.
- K. Kaczorowska, Z. Kolarska, K. Mitka and P. Kowalski, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 8315-8327.
- J. Perles, M. Iglesias, C. Ruiz-Valero and N. Snejko, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2004, **14**, 2683-2689.
- J. Perles, M. Iglesias, M. A. Martin-Luengo, M. A. Monge, C. Ruiz-Valero and N. Snejko, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 5837-5842.
- D. N. Dybtsev, A. L. Nuzhdin, H. Chun, K. P. Bryliakov, E. P. Talsi, V. P. Fedin and K. Kim, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2006, **45**, 916-920.
- L. Chen, Y. Yang and D. L. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 9138-9143.
- F. Z. Su, S. C. Mathew, G. Lipner, X. Z. Fu, M. Antonietti, S. Blechert and X. C. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 16299-16301.
- X. C. Wang, K. Maeda, X. F. Chen, K. Takanabe, K. Domen, Y. D. Hou, X. Z. Fu and M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 1680-1681.
- Y. Chen, J. S. Zhang, M. W. Zhang and X. C. Wang, *Chem Sci*, 2013, **4**, 3244-3248.
- K. Zhang, D. Kopetzki, P. H. Seeberger, M. Antonietti and F. Vilela, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 1432-1436.