

# ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## COMMUNICATION

# Conjugates of Tetraphenylethene and Diketopyrrolopyrrole: Tuning the Emission Property with Phenyl Bridge

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th April 2014,  
Accepted 00th January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Xiao Yuan Shen,<sup>a#</sup> Yi Jia Wang,<sup>a#</sup> Haoke Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Anjun Qin,<sup>ab</sup> Jing Zhi Sun,<sup>\*a</sup> and Ben Zhong Tang<sup>\*abc</sup>

**Diketopyrrolopyrrole (ACQ-gen) and tetraphenylethenes (AIE-gen) are linked together with phenyl bridges. The derivatives show substantially enhanced and red-shifted emission in solid state.**


Great efforts have been devoted to the development of high-performance organic luminogens that can be used in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), bio- and chemo-sensors.<sup>1</sup> For a long time, it has been noted that lots of organic luminogenic molecules suffer from “aggregation-caused quenching” (ACQ) effect. That is, their aggregates or solids show drastic emission quenching due to the adverse intermolecular interactions.<sup>2</sup> This has seriously restricted their application in solid state. The discovery of “aggregation-induced emission” (AIE) opens an avenue to improving the fluorescence quantum yield of organic molecules in aggregated/solid states.<sup>3</sup> AIE active molecules thus have attracted considerable attentions in the fields of OLEDs, mechanochromic materials and bioimaging.<sup>4</sup>

Tetraphenylethene (TPE) and its derivatives are representatives of AIE active molecules, which possess prominent AIE property and enjoy the easiness to be synthesized.<sup>5</sup> TPE is also used as an AIE-generator (AIE-gen) to modify traditional luminogens such as triphenylamine, pyrene, and perylenebisimide and successfully converted them from ACQ to AIE molecules.<sup>6</sup> Diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) is another classical luminogen. DPP and its derivatives emit strong fluorescence in dilute solution and show excellent thermal and photo-stability. They play an active role in photovoltaic cells, field-effect transistors and fluorescent probes.<sup>7</sup> In consequence of the well-conjugated DPP core, however, they display typical ACQ effect. Thus, it is of significant to examine the availability of tuning the emission performance of DPP by rational modifications.


The strategy used here is to modify DPP core by linking two TPE moieties at its  $\alpha$ -positions directly (DTPE-DPP, **1**) and indirectly (DTPE-Dph-DPP, **2**) via phenyl groups. Considering that DPP is a typical electron acceptor, we also designed and synthesized other DPP derivatives, which were further modified with electron donors (D), i.e. *N,N*-dimethylamine groups on different phenyls of the TPE moieties (molecule **3** and its isomer **4**).

The molecular structures of **1** to **4** are shown in Chart 1 and their synthetic routes are shown in Schemes S1 to S5 in the Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI<sup>†</sup>). Also shown in Chart 1 is the molecular structure of the intermediate DBr-Dph-DPP, which is a crucial intermediate and used for property comparison with its derivatives. The experiment details and the data of the structure characterization are described in ESI<sup>†</sup>. The purity of the resultants and intermediates have been confirmed by elemental analysis, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and high resolution mass spectroscopic techniques (Fig. S1 to S8, ESI<sup>†</sup>. For short, ESI<sup>†</sup> will be omitted in below). Due to the *N*-term modified octyl chain, all of them have good solubility in common organic solvents. This allows their electronic structures to be evaluated with optical absorption, fluorescent emission and cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements in solution.

Chart 1 Molecular structures of the TPE-DPP conjugates



The results of CV measurements demonstrate that all of these DPP derivatives have a pair of redox waves under negative bias (Fig. S9), suggesting that the electron acceptor character of DPP core remains unchanged after the chemical modifications. Thermogravimetric analysis results indicate that the decomposition point for molecules DBr-DPh-DPP, **1**, **2**, and **3** is 318, 389, 419 and 407 °C, respectively (Fig. S10). These data indicate the TPE-modified DPP derivatives have improved thermal stability.



**Fig. 1.** Change in fluorescence (FL) intensity (A) and quantum efficiency ( $\Phi_F$ ) (B) of molecule **1** in THF/water mixtures with different water fractions ( $f_w$ ). Concentration: 10  $\mu$ M;  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 365 nm.


The property that we are most interested in is their fluorescent behaviors. As demonstrated by the spectra in Fig. 1, S11 and the data in Table S1, in tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution, molecule **1** emits yellow fluorescence ( $\lambda_{em} = 572$  nm) and the quantum efficiency ( $\Phi_F$ ) is 13.6%. When relative low fraction of water ( $f_w$ , by volume), a non-solvent to **1**, is introduced into the THF solution, the change in emission intensity is quite small. When  $f_w$  reaches to 50%, the emission intensity decreases evidently. When  $f_w$  is over 70%, the emission becomes much weaker and the changing of  $\Phi_F$  vs  $f_w$  is shown in Fig. 1B. The  $\Phi_F$  is only 1.27% at  $f_w$  of 95%. The above data indicate a typical ACQ behavior and the quenching factor ( $\Phi_q$ ) is calculated to be 90.7% (ESI<sup>†</sup>). The decrease of  $\Phi_F$  against the increasing of  $f_w$  can be ascribed to the formation of aggregates in the THF/water mixtures, which quenches the fluorescence via the intermolecular  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction. Besides the decrease in  $\Phi_F$ , the emission peak red-shifted from 572 to 585 nm with the increasing of  $f_w$  from 0 to 95%. The  $\Phi_F$  of the solid film of **1** is 11.8%, because in this case molecule **1** locates in a low polar environment without the surrounding of high polar water molecules (Table S1). These changes will be discussed below together with molecules **2** and **3**.

The fluorescent behaviors observed above for molecule **1** are quite different from our previous reports on TPE-modified pyrene, perylene-bisimide and triphenylamine derivatives, where the linking of TPE moieties onto these cores had converted them from ACQ to AIE active molecules (Chart S1).<sup>6,8</sup> It seems that the TPE-modification has no effect on the emission behaviors of DPP. To get understanding of this abnormal phenomenon, we carefully examined the electronic structure of molecule **1** and found that the two phenyl groups in TPEs, which are directly linked to DPP moiety had conjugated with DPP. In other words, there are merged into a new fluorogen. **1** should be considered as a diphenyl-DPP core (Dph-DPP) modified by two 1,2,2-triphenylethene groups but not a DPP core modified by two TPE moieties (cf. Chart S2, Fig. S12).

This is readily confirmed by examining the fluorescent behavior of DBr-Dph-DPP. As revealed by the data in Tables S1, S2 and Fig. S13, in dilute THF solution, DBr-Dph-DPP emits yellow-greenish fluorescence with a peak at 543 nm ( $\lambda_{em}$ ) and the  $\Phi_F$  is 95.2%. But  $\Phi_F$  falls to 0.95% in THF/water mixture at  $f_w$  of 95% and  $\Phi_q$  is calculated to be 99.0%. These data indicate that DBr-Dph-DPP is a typical ACQ molecule. The red-shift of the emission of **1** relative to DBr-Dph-DPP originates from the modification of Dph-DPP with two

segments of  $\alpha,\beta$ -triphenylethene. Meanwhile, the modification results in the decrease of  $\Phi_F$  from 95.2% for DBr-Dph-DPP to 13.6% for **1** (Table S2). This is ascribed to the intramolecular rotation of the phenyl groups, which partially exhaust the energy of the excited state. In the whole, it is obvious that molecule **1** is similar to DBr-Dph-DPP for their fluorescent behaviors.

The comparative study on fluorescent behaviors of DBr-Dph-DPP and **1** suggests that the direct linking TPE to DPP cannot convert DPP derivative from an ACQ to an AIE active molecule and obtain a DPP-based fluorescent material with high  $\Phi_F$  in solid state. The assimilation of DPP to TPE plays a key role. It is reasonable that the insertion of a spacer between DPP and TPE moieties may break the assimilation effect. Considering that a series of excellent works have shown experimentally and theoretically that the phenyl ring twisting plays key role in the organic photo/electronics.<sup>9,10</sup> Herein the electronic communication between DPP and TPE is crucial for tuning to the photo/electronic property of DPP with TPE, phenyl group, a  $\pi$ -conjugate building block is used as the spacer. The target molecule was derived by substitution the two bromide atoms on DBr-Dph-DPP with two TPE moieties (**2**, in Chart 1).




**Fig. 2.** Change in FL intensity (A) and  $\Phi_F$  (B) of molecule **2** in THF/water mixtures with different  $f_w$  values; the inset images show the corresponding FL photographs. Concentration: 10  $\mu$ M;  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 365 nm.

The phenyl bridge has evidently tuned the fluorescent behaviors as compared molecule **2** to **1** and DBr-Dph-DPP. Molecule **2** emits yellow fluorescence ( $\lambda_{em} = 568$  nm) with moderate efficiency ( $\Phi_F = 43.8\%$ ) in THF solution (Fig. 2, S13, S15, Tables S1 and S3). The red-shift of emission relative to DBr-Dph-DPP is associated with the segmental electronic conjugation between DPP and TPE moieties, as predicted by theoretical calculation (Fig. 3). In free state (e. g., in dilute solution), the dihedral angle between the bridge and the adjacent phenyl in TPE moiety is about 37°, suggesting the partial conjugation between the TPE and DPP moieties. In comparison with **1**, only a 4 nm difference in emission peak was recorded, indicating that the addition of the phenyl groups between DPP and two TPE moieties has not enlarged the effective conjugation length. Due to the steric repulsion, the phenyl bridge is not co-planar with DPP and TPE moieties (Fig. 3), thus the effects of TPE modification are abated and the properties of **2** are dominated by DPP moiety. This is also supported by the results of CV measurement (Fig. S9).

The  $\Phi_F$  values for **2** are different from **1** and DBr-Dph-DPP in both THF solutions and solid films. The  $\Phi_F$  change is ascribed to the intramolecular rotations, which can exhaust the energy of the excited state. For DBr-Dph-DPP, there are no extra rotational phenyls around the Dph-DPP core, it has the highest  $\Phi_F$  (95.2%). For **1**, the rotational phenyls are closely linked to Dph-DPP core, its  $\Phi_F$  steeply decreases to 13.6%. For **2**, the linkage between the Dph-DPP core and the rotational phenyls are separated by the phenyl bridge, and rotation-caused energy dissipation is inefficient. Thus, its  $\Phi_F$  is 43.8%, more than 3 times higher than that of molecule **1**. More importantly, the insertion of phenyl bridges between DPP and TPE moieties disturbs the intermolecular  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction in solid


state, the  $\Phi_F$  of **2** in cast film increases to 30.2%, the highest one among all molecules (Fig. S14, Tables S3 and S5).

In THF/water mixtures,  $\Phi_F$  of **2** decreases to 36.5% when  $f_w$  is 50%; whereas  $f_w \geq 60\%$ , the emission is heavily quenched and the  $\Phi_F$  drops to 2.2%. In the solvent mixture with 95% of water, the  $\Phi_F$  rises to 4.1%. Meanwhile, the emission peak shifts to 592 nm from 568 nm in THF. These feature changes are attributed to two effects; (1) the formation of molecular aggregates and (2) the change in environment polarity by increasing  $f_w$ .



**Fig. 3.** Energy level alignment and electron distribution of molecule **2** with different conformations. The dihedral angles between the bridge and the adjacent phenyls in TPE moiety are set to 15° (left) and 37° (right).

In aggregated states, on one hand, the molecules take a closer packing mode, which may reduce the dihedral angle between the bridge and the adjacent phenyl in TPE moiety. We carried out a calculation by setting the dihedral angle at 15°, and the result predicts a small energy gap (Fig. 3), corresponding to a red-shifted emission. On the other hand, polar environment is facile to the photoinduced charge transfer (PICT) in excited state, which helps the red-shift of the fluorescence spectrum for polar fluorogens. Increasing  $f_w$  is equivalent to enhancing the environmental polarity. As a result, the emission red-shifts accordingly. The slight emission enhancement in high  $f_w$  is due to the less polar local environment inside the molecular aggregates. Attachment of electron donor (D) groups onto the DPP core will enlarge the polarity and thereby enhance the PICT process, thus lead to further red-shifted emission. This will be validated and discussed below (Tables S1, S4 and S5).



**Fig. 4.** Change in FL intensity (A) and quantum efficiency (B) of **3** in THF/water mixtures with different  $f_w$  values; the inset images show the corresponding FL photographs. Concentration: 10  $\mu$ M;  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 365 nm.

To further demonstrate the pivot role of the phenyl bridge, we attached two *N,N*-dimethylamine groups onto the two TPE moieties of **2**. Consequently, molecules **3** and its isomer **4** were derived. **3** and **4** exhibit similar properties despite the *N,N*-dimethylamine groups had been attached to the phenyls on the opposite sides of the ethenyl of TPE moieties (Chart 1, Table S5, Fig. S15 to S18). Herein, we use **3** as the representative. The absorption and emission peaks of **3** in THF solution appear at 500 and 564 nm, which are nearly identical to the data of **2** (496 and 568 nm, respectively,

Tables S3 to S5), though two strong electron donors have been introduced into the molecule. In addition, changing solvent polarity from hexane to *N,N*-dimethylformamide, the wavelengths of the absorption and emission peaks undergo a small red-shift (Fig. S15-S19). Such a weak solvato-chromic effect is abnormal to the luminogens furnished with D and A groups.<sup>11</sup> A rational explanation is that the D-A effects of the two *N,N*-dimethyl-amines and DPP unit are partially blocked by the phenyl bridges.

If the above deduction is trustworthy, the conformation between TPE and DPP units should be quite twisted thereby the twisted intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) should be observed. In apolar hexane solvent, **3** shows efficient emission ( $\Phi_F = 59.6\%$ , Table S4); while in polar THF solvent,  $\Phi_F$  drops to 0.2%. This is a direct evidence of ICT, because the polar solvent is profitable to ICT process,<sup>11,12</sup> which results in the non-irradiative decay. This  $\Phi_F$  (0.2%) is much lower than that of DBr-Dph-DPP (95.2%) and **2** (43.8%) in THF solution. It can be attributed to the synergetic effect of the ICT process and the intramolecular rotations of phenyls on TPE moieties. Accordingly, the molecules could have higher fluorescent efficiency when they are in solid film. Indeed, the  $\Phi_F$  of cast film is measured to be 8.6%, about 43 times higher than that recorded in THF solution, because the restricted intramolecular rotation (RIR) of phenyls takes effect and the lower polar environment in aggregate is disadvantageous to ICT process.

We also monitored the fluorescent behaviors of **3** in THF/water mixtures with different  $f_w$  values (Fig. 4). When  $f_w < 50\%$ , the emission intensity monotonously decreases to negligible due to the ICT process. When  $f_w \geq 50\%$ , aggregates form in the system and the emission intensity boosts up due to the RIR and reduced ICT process. It is noticeable in Fig. 4A that the emission peak shows a blue-shift at lower  $f_w$  (0~50%, 564~557 nm) in solution and a red-shift at higher  $f_w$  (50~95%, 575~588 nm). The spectral shifts in the opposite directions imply the molecules take a more and less twisted conformation in solvent mixtures and in aggregates, respectively.

The D-A structure of bestows molecule **3** with strong dipole-dipole interaction, which may lead to the formation of ordered aggregates in mixture solvent. As shown in Fig. S20, at  $f_w = 50\%$ , ribbon-like structures in sizes of around 1 micrometer width and several tens to hundred micrometers length can be observed in the images of scanning electron microscope (SEM). The red confocal fluorescent image is also displayed in Fig. S20. At higher  $f_w$ , the aggregates show less ordered microstructure with smaller sizes. For DBr-Dph-DPP, **1** and **2**, no such well-ordered micro-structures can be observed under the same conditions (Fig. S21). Also shown in Fig. S20 and S21 are the confocal fluorescent images for the aggregates molecule **2** collected in THF/water mixture with  $f_w = 60\%$ . The moderate fluorescence efficiency and red emission imply their aggregates are useful candidates for bioimaging agents.

In summary, we have systematically investigated the fluorescent behaviors of the TPE-DPP conjugates by using rationally designed DPP derivatives, or molecules **1**, **2**, **3** and **4**. These molecules constructed by linking TPE (AIE-gen) and DPP (ACQ-gen) moieties with rotational phenyls demonstrate plentiful photo/electronic properties, including segmentally electronic conjugation between the two building blocks, partially quenched fluorescence in solution, limited solvatochromic effect in solvents with different polarity, red-shifted emission band, and fractionally enhanced emission in solid state. Together with the observation on the key role of the activation barrier for phenyl ring twisting on organic opto/electronics,<sup>9,10</sup> the present attempt, on one hand, disclosed instructive information about tuning the performance of organic luminogens by making subtle balance between AIE and ACQ units; and on the other hand, derived red-emitting molecules with good quantum efficiency and high stability.

This work was financially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (51273175), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2013CB834704).

### Notes and references

<sup>a</sup> MOE Key Laboratory of Macromolecule Synthesis and Functionalization, Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China.

<sup>b</sup> Guangdong Innovative Research Team, State Key Laboratory of Luminescent Materials and Devices, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Institute for Advanced Study, Institute of Molecular Functional Materials, and State Key Laboratory of Molecular Neuroscience, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong China.


† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: synthetic details; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, mass spectra, CV curves, UV-visible and PL spectra, and thermal gravimetric curves of the molecules; SEM and confocal FL images of the molecular aggregates. See DOI:10.1039/c000000x/

# X. Y. Shen and Y. J. Wang contributed equally to this work.

- (a) C. C. Wu, Y. T. Lin, K. T. Wong, R. T. Chen and Y. Y. Chien, *Adv. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 61; (b) K. C. Wu, P. J. Ku, C. S. Lin, H. T. Shih, F. I. Wu, M. J. Huang, J. J. Lin, I. C. Chen and C. H. Cheng, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 67.
- Fluorescence Sensors and Biosensors*, ed. R. B. Thompson, CRC Press, LLC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- (a) J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, **18**, 1740. (b) B. K. An, S. K. Kwon, S. D. Jung and S. Y. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 14410.
- (a) Y. N. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4332; (b) Y. N. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5361.
- Y. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, A. Qin, J. Liu, Z. Li, B. Z. Tang, J. Sun and H. S. Kwok, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2007, **91**, 11111.
- (a) Q. Zhao, S. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Mei, S. Chen, P. Lu, A. Qin, Y. Ma, J. Z. Sun and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 7387; (b) Z. Zhao, S. Chen, J. W. Y. Lam, P. Lu, Y. Zhong, K. S. Wong, H. S. Kwok and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 2221; (c) W. Z. Yuan, P. Lu, S. Chen, J. W. Y. Lam, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, H. S. Kwok, Y. Ma and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2159.
- (a) S. Qu and H. Tian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 3039; (b) Y. Qu, J. Hua and H. Tian, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 3320; (c) M. M. Wienk, M. Turbiez, J. Gilot, R. A. J. Janssen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2556; (d) B. C. Thompson, J. M. J. Fréchet, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 58.
- (a) Q. Zhao, K. Li, S. Chen, A. Qin, D. Ding, S. Zhang, Y. Liu, B. Liu, J. Z. Sun and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 15128; (b) Z. J. Zhao, P. Lu, J. W. Y. Lam, Z. M. Wang, C. Y. K. Chan, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams, Y. G. Ma, B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 672.
- (a) M. A. Reed, C. Zhou, C. J. Muller, T. P. Burgin, J. M. Tour, *Science*, 1997, **278**, 252; (b) W. B. Davis, W. A. Svec, M. A. Ratner, M. R. Wasielewski, *Nature* 1998, **396**, 60; (c) D. Segal, A. Nitzan, W. B. Davis, M. R. Wasielewski, M. A. Ratner, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2000, **104**, 3817.
- (a) S. Ranganathan, I. Steidel, F. Anariba, R. L. McCreery, *Nano Lett.*, 2001, **1**, 491; (b) F. Anariba and R. L. McCreery, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2002, **106**, 10355; (c) F. Anariba, J. K. Steach, and R. L. McCreery, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, **109**, 11163.
- (a) X. Y. Shen, Y. J. Wang, E. Zhao, W. Z. Yuan, P. Lu, A. Qin, Y. Ma, J. Z. Sun and B. Z. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 7334; (b) C. Reichardt, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 2319.
- (a) X. Y. Shen, W. Z. Yuan, Y. Liu, Q. Zhao, P. Lu, Y. Ma, I. D. Williams, A. Qin, J. Z. Sun, B. Z. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012, **116**, 10541; (b) J. Mei, J. Wang, A. Qin, H. Zhao, W. Z. Yuan, Z. Zhao, H. H. Y. Sung, C. Deng, S. Zhang, I. D. Williams, J. Z. Sun, B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 4290.


## COMMUNICATION

Table of content entry



Bridging DPP (ACQ-gen) and TPE (AIE-gen) with phenyls derived DPP-TPE conjugates displaying enhanced and red-shifted emission in solid state.

Table of content entry



Bridging DPP (ACQ-gen) and TPE (AIE-gen) with phenyls derived DPP-TPE conjugates displaying enhanced and red-shifted emission in solid state.