

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Coordination-Induced Spin-State-Switch (CISSS) in water

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

 Marcel Dommaschk^a, Florian Gutzeit^a, Susann Boretius^b, Rainer Haag^c and Rainer Herges^a

 Received 00th January 2012,
 Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

We present a non-ionic water soluble porphyrin that does not exhibit measurable aggregation even at high concentrations in water. The spin state of the corresponding nickel(II) complex changes from completely diamagnetic (low-spin) to paramagnetic (high-spin) upon addition of a strong axial ligand. This leads to a strongly reduced NMR relaxation time of the water protons even at low concentrations of the complex.

Ni-porphyrins are of strong interest for spin switching in solution.¹⁻⁶ Square planar complexes are diamagnetic (low-spin, $S=0$) while square pyramidal and square bipyramidal (distorted octahedral) complexes are paramagnetic (high-spin, $S=1$). The transition between the two spin states was coined Coordination Induced Spin-State-Switch (CISSS). Until now this process was limited to organic solutions because porphyrins with the required electronic properties are not soluble in water. We here present the first dendronized porphyrin which undergoes a CISSS in water.

Most of the known water soluble porphyrins bear solubilizing groups in *meso* position. They are either anionic (Ph-SO₃⁻ (TPPS),^{7,8} Ph-COO⁻ (TPPC)⁹⁻¹², or cationic (Ph-NMe₃⁺ (TAPP),^{13,14} Py-Me⁺ (*o*-, *m*-, *p*-TMPyP)^{8,15,16} or tetrafluoro-Ph-NMe₃⁺ (TAPPF₁₆)^{17,18} or they are equipped with neutral hydrophilic groups. None of the corresponding ionic Ni-porphyrins provides the required electronic environment for a CISSS (Fig. 1). Ni(II) complexes of TPPS, TPPC, and TAPP do not coordinate even strong axial ligands in water because they are too electron rich, whereas *o*-, *m*-, *p*-TMPyP and TAPPF₁₆ are so electron deficient that even water is coordinating.¹⁷⁻²⁰ So far there is no Ni-porphyrin known that is completely diamagnetic in water (no coordination of water as axial ligand) but still sufficiently reactive to bind stronger ligands such as piperidine or 1-methylimidazole.




Fig. 1 Ni-tetraphenylporphyrin TPP and some water soluble derivatives. a) Electron rich porphyrins which do not bind axial ligands in water (diamagnetic). b) Electron deficient porphyrins which bind water (partially paramagnetic in water).

Non-ionic water soluble porphyrins are gaining interest because they exhibit advantages in photooxygenation and photodynamic therapy (PDT).^{21,22} Water solubility was achieved by substitution with ethylene glycols,^{23,24} carbohydrates,²⁵⁻²⁸ and polyhydroxyamides.²⁹ GRIESBECK et al. synthesized water soluble TPP derivatives decorated with polyols.³⁰ Our approach is based on a different kind of polyols namely the dendritic glycerol. It has been shown that these groups are suitable for water solubilisation of organic compounds.³¹⁻³³ In addition it has been demonstrated that oligoglycerol dendrons can provide sufficient shielding to prevent aggregation of planar perylene dyes molecules and enhance their quantum yields to almost 100%.^{34,35}

We here report on the functionalisation of the established porphyrins TPPF₂₀ (**1**)³⁶ and Ni-TPPF₂₀ (**2**)⁵ with the second generation glycerol (G[2.0]-OH), and we present the properties of the corresponding water soluble porphyrins. TPPF₂₀ (**1**) instead of TPP was chosen as starting material because it is substantially more electron deficient which is necessary to achieve axial coordination. Moreover, it is known that amines, alcoholates and thiolates can be introduced to the *para*-phenyl position by nucleophilic aromatic substitution which is a simple and efficient way of functionalisation.^{17,18,25,27,28} The second generation glycerol (G[2.0]-OH) was synthesised according to a procedure of HAAG et al. as described previously.³² The reaction

scheme of the functionalisation procedure is shown in Fig. 2. Deprotection of the 32 alcohol functions was achieved quantitatively with acetic acid (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 Syntheses of the glycerol functionalised porphyrins **3** and **4**. Experimental details are given in the ESI.

In contrast to many other water soluble porphyrins^{8,14,28,37} the glycerol functionalised derivatives **3** and **4** do not exhibit aggregation or excimer formation which is probably due to the large steric hindrance of the polyols.^{34,35} Solutions of **3** and **4** perfectly follow the Lambert-Beer law up to a concentration of 50 μM . NMR experiments do not show any changes in line shape suggesting that there is no aggregation even at concentrations of 0.8 mM (see ESI). Compound **4** in water exhibits a Soret band at 409 nm which is indicative of a diamagnetic low-spin state. Addition of piperidine gives rise to a new band at 428 nm due to axial coordination and the associated spin state switch to the high-spin triplet state (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3 UV-Vis spectra (top) of titration series of piperidine to Ni-porphyrin **4** (4.05 μM , 20 °C) in water. Association constants for addition of one piperidine ligand ($K_1 = 0.48 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$), and the association of a second piperidine ligand ($K_2 = 14.7 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$) were determined from the UV-visible data (see ESI). The green arrows correspond to increasing absorption upon increasing piperidine concentration.

The titration experiment reveals very low values for the association constants (K_1 , K_2) (Fig. 4, for details see ESI) compared to the values for Ni-TPPF₂₀ (**2**) obtained in organic solution by similar experiments.³⁻⁵ Water is an adverse solvent for axial coordination because it reduces the donor strength of ligands by hydrogen

bonding. Nevertheless the obtained association constants for piperidine are higher than for many alkyl- and aryl substituted Ni-porphyrins in organic solution.³⁸⁻⁴² Except for very strong donor ligands, and very electron poor porphyrins, K_1 is known to be much smaller than K_2 .^{17,41,42} Spin change occurs upon binding of the first axial ligand which in turn activates the second axial binding site. Usually, the predominant species, therefore, is the 2:1, square bipyramidal complex. This is in agreement with our findings. K_2 is approximately 30 times larger than K_1 (see ESI).




Fig. 4 Formation of the square pyramidal (**4·Pip**) and the square bipyramidal complexes (**4·Pip**₂).

Both magnetic species exhibit different ¹H NMR spectra, and their relative ratio can be quantified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Ligand exchange is fast on the NMR time scale, and an averaged shift of high-spin and low-spin species is observed at room temperature. Particularly the chemical shift of the pyrrole protons is an excellent indicator of the ratio of high and low-spin Ni(II) in solution.²⁻⁵ In pure complex **4** these protons resonate at 9.1 ppm, which is typical for a completely diamagnetic Ni-porphyrin. Upon addition of piperidine (~1000 eq), the corresponding peak is shifted downfield to 52.7 ppm which is the chemical shift of the pure triplet Ni-porphyrin. Hence, the molecule has almost completely switched to the high-spin state.




Fig. 5 NMR spectra (D₂O, 500 MHz, T = 300 K) of Ni-porphyrin **4** (0.8 mM) before (blue) and after (green) addition of piperidine.

Paramagnetic metal ions are known to decrease the proton relaxation time of surrounding water molecules.⁴³ Gd³⁺ complexes (7 unpaired electrons), therefore, are widely used as contrast agents in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).⁴⁴ Ni-porphyrin **4** in water is diamagnetic and inactive as a MRI contrast agent which is shown by 7 T MR images (note that nickel salts such as NiCl₂·6H₂O are paramagnetic). Upon addition of piperidine as a strong axial ligand the complex changes to the paramagnetic state ($S=1$) and contrast is turned on (Fig. 6). In a 2 mM solution in water the relaxation rate thereby rises from 0.71 s⁻¹ (water + 20 % piperidine) to 1.96 s⁻¹ (factor ~2.8). The relaxivity (effectiveness in reducing the relaxation time of water protons, r_1) of the paramagnetic complex **4·Pip**₂ (0.63 mM⁻¹s⁻¹) is slightly lower than r_1 of Ni²⁺ salts (aquo complex: 0.78 mM⁻¹s⁻¹) but much higher than for other nickel complexes (e.g. EDTA complex: 0.11 mM⁻¹s⁻¹). The MR images demonstrate that porphyrins such as **4**

could be viewed as a first step towards the development of responsive contrast agents.^{45,46}




Fig. 6 MR images of 4 different solutions: 1. **4** (2 mM in water), 2. water, 3. water + 20 % piperidine and 4. **4** (2 mM in water + 20 % piperidine). For details see supporting information.

Conclusions

A neutral, water soluble, oligoglycerol dendron substituted Ni-porphyrin was synthesised whose spin state was switched from completely diamagnetic (low-spin) to paramagnetic (high-spin) by addition of piperidine. Both, the Ni-porphyrin and the free base are easily accessible in a two-step procedure from commercially available starting materials. No aggregation or excimer formation was observed even at high concentrations in water. The hydrophilic Ni-porphyrin complex is an excellent candidate for spin switching in water. The longitudinal relaxivity r_1 of the paramagnetic state which is unusually high for a $S=1$ complex ($0.63 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) and the spin switching mechanism could provide a basis for responsive contrast agents for MRI. The metal-free porphyrin should be suitable for applications such as photooxidation or photodynamic therapy in physiological environments.

Notes and references

^a Otto-Diels-Institute of Organic Chemistry, Christian Albrechts Universität zu Kiel, Otto Hahn Platz 4, 24118 Kiel. E-mail: rherges@uni-kiel.de

^b Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Christian Albrechts Universität zu Kiel, Arnold Heller Str. 3, 24105 Kiel

^c Institute of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustr. 3, 14195 Berlin.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- S. Venkataramani, U. Jana, M. Dommaschk, F. D. Sönnichsen, F. Tuczek and R. Herges, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 445–448.
- R. Herges, *Nachr. Chem.*, 2011, **59**, 817–821.
- S. Thies, H. Sell, C. Schütt, C. Bornholdt, C. Näther, F. Tuczek and R. Herges, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 16243–16250.
- S. Thies, H. Sell, C. Bornholdt, C. Schütt, F. Köhler, F. Tuczek and R. Herges, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 16358–16368.
- S. Thies, C. Bornholdt, F. Köhler, F. D. Sönnichsen, C. Näther, F. Tuczek and R. Herges, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 10074–10083.
- F. Matino, G. Schull, U. Jana, F. Köhler, R. Berndt and R. Herges, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 6780–6782.
- E. B. Fleischer, J. M. Palmer, T. S. Srivastava and A. Chatterjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1971, **93**, 3162–3167.
- R. F. Pasternack, P. R. Huber, P. Boyd, G. Engasser, L. Francesconi, E. Gibbs, P. Fasella, G. Cerio Ventura and L. d. Hinds, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 4511–4517.
- J. Turay, P. Hambright and N. Datta-Gupta, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1978, **40**, 1687–1688.
- F. R. Longo, M. G. Finarelli and J. B. Kim, *J. Heterocyc. Chem.*, 1969, **6**, 927–931.
- N. Datta-Gupta and T. J. Bardos, *J. Heterocyc. Chem.*, 1966, **3**, 495–502.

- T. Fujimoto, H. Umekawa and N. Nishino, *Chem. Lett.*, 1992, **21**, 37–40.
- M. Krishnamurthy, *Indian J. Chem.*, 1977, **15B**, 964.
- K. Kano, M. Takei and S. Hashimoto, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1990, **94**, 2181–2187.
- P. Hambright and E. B. Fleischer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1970, **9**, 1757–1761.
- R. Fiel, J. Howard, E. Mark and N. Gupta, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 1979, **6**, 3093–3118.
- T. La, R. A. Richards, R. S. Lu, R. Bau and G. M. Miskelly, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 5632–5640.
- T. La, R. Richards and G. M. Miskelly, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 3159–3163.
- M. Ravikant, D. Reddy and T. K. Chandrashekar, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1991, 2103–2108.
- K. Büttje and K. Nakamoto, *Inorg. Chim. Ac.*, 1990, **167**, 97–108.
- M. Ethirajan, Y. Chen, P. Joshi and R. K. Pandey, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 340–362.
- R. Bonnett, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1995, **24**, 19–33.
- P. Mineo, E. Scamporrino and D. Vitalini, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2002, **23**, 681–687.
- V. Villari, P. Mineo, E. Scamporrino and N. Micali, *Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **409**, 23–31.
- J. a. P. Tomé, M. G. Neves, A. C. Tomé, J. A. Cavaleiro, A. F. Mendonça, I. N. Pegado, R. Duarte and M. L. Valdeira, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2005, **13**, 3878–3888.
- A. G. Griesbeck, M. A. Miranda and J. Uhlig, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2011, **10**, 1431–1435.
- D. Samaroo, M. Vinodu, X. Chen and C. M. Drain, *J. Comb. Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 998–1011.
- D. Oulmi, P. Maillard, C. Vever-Bizet, M. Momenteau and D. Brault, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 1998, **67**, 511–518.
- J. E. Bradshaw, K. A. Gillogly, L. J. Wilson, K. Kumar, X. Wan, M. F. Tweedle, G. Hernandez and R. G. Bryant, *Inorg. Chim. Ac.*, 1998, **275–276**, 106–116.
- A. G. Griesbeck, M. Schäfer and J. Uhlig, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 2104–2108.
- C. Kordel, C. S. Popeney and R. Haag, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6584–6586.
- M. Wyszogrodzka and R. Haag, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 9202–9214.
- M. Wyszogrodzka, K. Möws, S. Kamlage, J. Wodzinska, B. Plietker and R. Haag, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **2008**, 53–63.
- T. Heek, C. Fasting, C. Rest, X. Zhang, F. Würthner and R. Haag, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1884–1886.
- T. Heek, F. Würthner and R. Haag, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 10911–10921.
- G. R. Geier III and J. S. Lindsey, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 11435–11444.
- R. R. Das, R. F. Pasternack and R. A. Plane, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 3312–3316.
- B. D. McLees and W. S. Caughey, *Biochemistry*, 1968, **7**, 642–652.
- S. J. Cole, G. C. Curthoys, E. A. Magnusson and J. N. Phillips, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, **11**, 1024–1028.
- F. A. Walker, E. Hui and J. M. Walker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 2390–2397.
- D. Kim, Y. O. Su and T. G. Spiro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 3988–3993.
- Y. Song, R. E. Haddad, S.-L. Jia, S. Hok, M. M. Olmstead, D. J. Nurco, N. E. Schore, J. Zhang, J.-G. Ma, K. M. Smith, S. Gazeau, J. Pécaut, J.-C. Marchon, C. J. Medforth and J. A. Shelnutt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 1179–1192.
- R. B. Lauffer, *Chem. Rev.*, 1987, **87**, 901–927.
- H. Gries, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2002, **221**, 1–24.
- G. Angelowski and I. Mamedov, *Curr. Inorg. Chem*, 2011, **1**, 76–90.
- C. Tu, E. A. Osborne and A. Y. Louie, *Ann. Biomed. Eng.*, 2011, **39**, 1335–1348.