

# ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## COMMUNICATION

## Enhanced Performance of Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells based on TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanotube Membranes using Optimized Annealing Profile

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,  
Accepted 00th January 2012F. Mohammadpour,<sup>a</sup> M. Moradi,<sup>a</sup> K. Lee,<sup>b</sup> G. Cha,<sup>b</sup> S. So,<sup>b</sup> A. Kahnt,<sup>c</sup> D. M. Guldi,<sup>c</sup>  
M. Altomare<sup>b</sup> and P. Schmuki<sup>b,d,†</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Keywords: TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube membrane, anodization, dye-sensitized solar cell, rapid annealing, charge transport property

**We use free-standing TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube membranes that are transferred onto FTO slides in front-side illuminated dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs). We investigate the key parameters for solar cell arrangement of self-ordered anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube layers on the FTO substrate and namely the influence of the annealing procedure on the DSSC light conversion efficiency. The results show that using an optimal temperature annealing profile can significantly enhance the DSSC efficiency (in our case  $\eta = 9.8\%$ ), as it leads to a markedly lower density of trapping states in the tube oxide, and thus to strongly improved electron transport properties.**

TiO<sub>2</sub>-based dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have attracted much attention in the last decades mainly because of their low cost of fabrication and relatively high efficiency compared to devices based on other inorganic semiconductors. Cell efficiencies up to 12.3 % were reached with Grätzel-type solar cells by using dye-sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle (NP) films as photo-anode.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Except for an optimal light harvesting by the dye and injection of carriers into the TiO<sub>2</sub> scaffold, a key element for the cell efficiency is the transport of electrons through the photo-anode (*vs.* various recombination pathways). In this context, the charge carrier mobility and cell efficiency are inherently limited in a TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle (NP) film by the “random walk” electron transport through the network.<sup>[3-5]</sup> Therefore, one-dimensional (1D) architectures such as high-aspect ratio nanowire,<sup>[6,7]</sup> nanorod,<sup>[8,9]</sup> and nanotube<sup>[3,10-15]</sup> arrays have been investigated as photo-anodes for DSSCs, in expectation of a directional and thus faster electron transport. Anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube (NT) layers have attracted wide attention primarily due to their geometry but also due to their long term stability and facile fabrication. The process of growing tube arrays is based on a simple anodization of a piece of Ti metal under self-organizing electrochemical conditions.<sup>[16]</sup> In principle, the resulting aligned nanotubular oxide layers can directly be used in Grätzel type DSSCs adopting a so called “back-

side” illumination configuration, where the Ti metal represents the back contact to the dye-sensitized NT layer (see scheme S1(a) in the ESI).

Nevertheless, one would expect a front-side illumination configuration of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures (scheme S1(b) and (c)) to reach higher cell efficiencies compared to a back-side configuration, this because the photo-anode in this case can be irradiated through the FTO glass so that light losses due to light absorption in the electrolyte and in the Pt coating of the counter electrode are minimized.

In order to establish a front-side illumination configuration with anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> NT layers, either Ti metal is sputtered on optically transparent conductive substrate glass (FTO) and completely anodized until a transparent NT layer is formed,<sup>[17]</sup> or NT layers are detached from the Ti substrate as free-standing membranes and are transferred onto FTO slides. Up to now the latter approach, that is, the layer transfer, led to higher cell performance compared to sputtering Ti layers on FTO.<sup>[18-20]</sup>

A range of different strategies were developed for transferring and arranging tube membranes for their use in DSSCs. Not only tube length and various decoration techniques but also different geometries and configurations, such as open/closed tube bottom and tube bottom up/down (illustrated in scheme S1 in the ESI) were explored.<sup>[18,20-24]</sup> In all these works, as grown nanotubes are typically converted to anatase by suitable annealing. In spite of this, a thorough study of the annealing conditions of the tubes (including temperature ramping rates) is still missing. This is surprising as earlier reports have shown that the annealing rate can, for instance, drastically affect the tube wall morphology, the chemical properties<sup>[25]</sup> and the density of grain internal trapping states,<sup>[26,27]</sup> and thus could be expected to significantly affect the electron transport properties.

Therefore, the present work explores the feasibility to achieve higher efficiencies for front-side illuminated DSSCs, particularly by improving the electron transport properties of the tubes using

optimized annealing conditions (specifically addressing also temperature ramping).

In order to evaluate various solar cell configurations, we produced free-standing membranes with a number of transfer techniques, and we optimized the annealing treatment of the nanotube layers. Under optimized thermal treatment that implies, namely, a controlled heating rate, TiO<sub>2</sub> NT membranes in an optimal configuration exhibit improved intrinsic electronic properties and thus lead to photo-anodes for front-side illuminated DSSCs with significant increase in their efficiency.

TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube layers were formed by anodization of Ti foils at 60 V for 1 h in an ethylene glycol-based electrolyte (containing 0.15 M of NH<sub>4</sub>F and 3 vol.% of DI water). A re-anodization approach (see the ESI for additional details) was used to detach the nanotube layers from the Ti substrate. This allows nanotube membranes to be successfully lifted off and transferred as an intact layer onto TiO<sub>2</sub> NP-coated FTO glass.

Screening experiments were carried out by fabricating photo-anodes with 20 μm-thick free-standing tube membranes that were transferred on FTO slides in two different geometries, that are, tube-top-up and tube-top-down configurations, as illustrated in scheme S1(b) and (c). These photo-anodes were crystallized (see XRD data in Fig. S1) and then were used for fabricating DSSCs. The preliminary experiments (see results in Fig. S2) show that the tube-top-down configuration (Fig. 1(a)) of the photo-anode along with annealing temperature of 500 °C represent the experimental conditions leading to cells with higher efficiencies (data are discussed in the ESI).

Therefore, these conditions were adopted for all further experiments. Specifically, the crystallization of the tube membranes at different heating/cooling rates (10, 30 and 60 °C min<sup>-1</sup>) was investigated in detail. In every case, the XRD patterns of different photo-anodes (Fig. S1(a)) show the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube membranes to consist of pure anatase phase regardless of the heating/cooling rate.


From these layers, the DSSCs were fabricated as reported in the experimental section (see the ESI). A “reference cell” was also fabricated by using a 20 μm-thick TiO<sub>2</sub> NP layer as photo-anode instead of the detached nanotube membranes.

Fig. 1(b) shows the J-V curves of the cells and summarizes their photovoltaic characteristics measured under simulated AM 1.5 (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) front-side illumination. Clearly, the cell efficiency is strongly affected by the annealing conditions (ramp rate), that is, a significant enhancement of the photovoltaic performance is observed when increasing the heating rate from 10 to 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. In particular, a heating rate of 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup> leads to a significant improvement of the solar light conversion efficiency up to 9.79 %. All efficiency values reported here were obtained by measuring the cell efficiency under defined active area conditions (see detailed discussion in the ESI) and are in line with IPCE measurements (see Fig. S3 in the ESI).

A further increase of the heating rate up to 60 °C min<sup>-1</sup> leads to a decrease of the cell performance, although the cell efficiency is still markedly higher than measured for slow ramping conditions (e.g., 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>). The results in Fig. 1 (b) furthermore show that an optimized annealing of the tube membranes (e.g., heating rate of 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup>) led to cells that were more efficient than a DSSC assembled from a TiO<sub>2</sub> NP film (this photo-anode was annealed under the same conditions as adopted for crystallizing the membranes).

A factor that commonly strongly affects the photovoltaic performance is the specific dye-loading, that is, the larger the amount of dye loaded by chemisorption on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface, the higher is the light harvesting efficiency and thus the cell efficiency. However, data in Fig. 1(b) clearly show that the highest dye-loading

was measured for the TiO<sub>2</sub> NP-based photo-anode (this is in line with commonly observed lower BET areas for tube layers compared to nanoparticles). In other words, the dye-loading cannot be the key to explain the significantly higher efficiency of cells fabricated with rapidly-annealed tubes.



**Figure 1** - a) Cross-sectional SEM image of a photo-anode consisting of a 20 μm-thick TiO<sub>2</sub> NT membrane that was fabricated by the re-anodization approach and transferred in tube-top-down configuration on a FTO slide coated with a 2 μm-thick TiO<sub>2</sub> NP film. Inset: a sketch of the photo-anode configuration adopted for assembling the DSSCs; b) J-V curves and a summary of the photovoltaic characteristics of the different DSSCs measured under simulated AM 1.5 front-side illumination; c) IMPS measurements performed on the different DSSCs (the continuous lines are a guide to the eye).


Therefore, IMPS measurements were performed in order to assess the electron transport properties of the different dye-sensitized photo-anodes (Fig. 1(c)). Data in Fig. 1(c) show that significant improvement of the electron transport was obtained for DSSCs that were fabricated with membranes crystallized by rapid annealing (i.e., 30 and 60 °C min<sup>-1</sup>). Similar results were obtained also when performing IMPS measurements on the bare photo-anodes (i.e., without dye-sensitization), this confirming that rapid annealing of the tubes enables improved charge transport properties (see Fig. S4 in the ESI). Yet, it is worth noting that (i) the tubes annealed at 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup> showed markedly faster electron transport properties compared to those treated by an even faster ramping (i.e., 60 °C min<sup>-1</sup>), and (ii) the 20 μm-thick TiO<sub>2</sub> NP layer used for fabricating the reference cell always showed worse charge transport properties (i.e., slower electron transport and faster charge recombination) compared to the NT-based cells, this regardless of the annealing conditions.

The latter result, in particular, shows that the geometric features of the TiO<sub>2</sub> photo-anode largely affect the charge carrier mobility which in turn influences the cell efficiency. In other words, the electron transport across a TiO<sub>2</sub> photo-anode can largely benefit from the use of one-dimensional TiO<sub>2</sub> NT arrays in comparison to slower characteristics observed for NP layers.<sup>[3-5,10-12]</sup> By taking into consideration that the cell efficiency may strongly relate to the structure of the TiO<sub>2</sub> scaffold, a morphological evaluation of the crystalline photo-anodes annealed with different ramping rates was carried out by performing scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM) (see Fig. 2 and additional description in the ESI).

These investigations show that a clear separation of the inner and outer shells of the nanotubes and a corrugated structure of the walls (with clearly visible grain boundaries) are obtained when the tube membranes are annealed with a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. 2(a) and (b)). The separation of inner and outer shells could not be observed for rapid annealing and the annealing process merged the two shells instead, so that an apparently “single-walled” tubular



structure was formed upon crystallization, as shown in Fig. 2(c)-(f). These results are well in line with previous reports.<sup>[25,28,29]</sup>



**Figure 2** - High-magnification top view SEM images of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube membranes annealed in air at 500 °C by using heating rate of a) 10, c) 30 and e) 60 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. The images were taken at middle height along the length of the tubes after cracking the anodic layers. Insets: relative high-magnification cross-sectional SEM images of the nanotubes; TEM images of tubes annealed in air at 500 °C by using heating rate of b) 10, d) 30 and f) 60 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Insets: relative SAED patterns showing the typical reflections of TiO<sub>2</sub> anatase phase.


In order to elaborate the large difference in cell efficiencies and electron transport properties further we additionally investigated the differently annealed tubes by transient absorption spectroscopy based on femtosecond (fs) pump-probe experiments (see the ESI for experimental details).

The results are compiled in Fig. 3(a)-(d) (and Fig. S7(a)-(b)). In general, the spectra can be separated into three components (holes, trapped and free electrons – see ESI), being this well in line with the literature on TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[27,30-33]</sup> The fitting results, which provide the corresponding lifetime for holes, trapped- and free-electrons, are reported in the table in Fig. 3(e) (and Fig. S7(c)). Overall, clear differences of the lifetime values can be observed for the free-electrons (*i.e.*,  $\tau_3$ ). Precisely, the lifetime of free-electrons is markedly increased when the tube scaffolds were annealed with ramping of 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup> compared to 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. These results are well in line with relative solar cell efficiencies and IMPS data that show faster electron transport for rapidly annealed tubes.

A slight increase of free-electron lifetime was also observed by a further increase of the annealing rate up to 60 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S7(c)). However, for solar cells fabricated from these tubes, a slight drop of efficiency was observed compared to tubes annealed at 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, which is in agreement with the considerably (*in-situ*) slower electron transport (IMPS results) of the former.

Overall, the results of fs pump-probe spectroscopy and IMPS measurements show that a rapid annealing of anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes enables superior electronic properties that can be ascribed to a lower

density of trapping states.<sup>[26,27]</sup> Such enhanced electron transport of rapidly-annealed tubes may be related to the robust, crack-free and “single-walled” morphology. On the contrary, slow annealing led to tubes characterized by relatively large number of cracks and this feature may induce charge recombination and limit the electron lifetime, which in turn detrimentally affect the one-dimensional electron transport.



**Figure 3** - a) and c) Differential absorption spectra (visible and near-infrared) measured by femtosecond flash photolysis (258 nm) at different time delays of 1 ps (black), 10 ps (red), 100 ps (green), 1000 ps (blue), and 6500 ps (cyan); b) and d) Relative absorption time profiles of the spectra a) and c) at 550 nm (black), 800 nm (red) and 1200 nm (blue) corresponding to absorption of holes, trapped-electrons and free electron, respectively. Data in a), b), c) and d) were measured for *ca.* 3  $\mu$ m-thick TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube layers (grown on quartz slides) that were annealed at 500 °C with heating rate of a), b) 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and a), b) 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup>; e) data obtained by fitting the decay transient curves to three exponential functions (at 550, 800 and 1250 nm) in order to distinguish the contributions of the different transient species (*i.e.*, holes, trapped-electrons and free electron).

## Conclusions

Free-standing TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube membranes were fabricated to be used as photo-anodes in front-side illuminated DSSCs. We showed that several experimental factors strongly affect the solar cell efficiency. In particular, the heating rate used during the crystallization of the photo-anodes largely influenced the structural features of the tubes and their charge carrier transport properties which in turn affect, to a large extent, the solar light conversion efficiency of the device.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge ERC, DFG and the Erlangen DFG cluster of excellence for financial support. Helga Hildebrand is gratefully acknowledged for valuable technical help. Also the financial support from the Iran Ministry of Science, Research and Technology is gratefully acknowledged.

## Notes and references

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Shiraz, Shiraz 71454, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Material Science and Engineering, WW4-LKO, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Martensstrasse 7, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany

<sup>c</sup> University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Egerlandstrasse 3, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

† Corresponding author Email: schmuki@ww.uni-erlangen.de  
Tel.: +49-9131-852-7575, Fax: +49-9131-852-7582

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- 1 B. O'Regan, M. Grätzel, *Nature* 1991, **353**, 737.
- 2 A. Yella, H.-W. Lee, H. N. Tsao, C. Yi, A. K. Chandiran, Md. K. Nazeeruddin, E. W.-G. Diao, C.-Y. Yeh, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *Science* 2011, **334**, 629.
- 3 P. Roy, D. Kim, K. Lee, E. Spiecker, P. Schmuki, *Nanoscale* 2010, **2**, 45.
- 4 E. J. W. Crossland, N. Noel, V. Sivaram, T. Leijtens, J. A. Alexander-Webber, H. J. Snaith, *Nature* 2013, **495**, 215.
- 5 S. So, P. Schmuki, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, **52**, 7933.
- 6 Q. Shen, T. Sato, M. Hashimoto, C. Chen, T. Toyoda, *Thin solid films* 2006, **499**, 299.
- 7 M. Adachi, Y. Murata, J. Takao, J. Jiu, M. Sakamoto, F. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, **126**, 14943.
- 8 S. H. Kang, S.-H. Choi, M.-S. Kang, J.-Y. Kim, H.-S. Kim, T. Hyeon, Y.-E. Sung, *Adv. Mater.* 2008, **20**, 54.
- 9 S. Pavasupree, S. Ngamsinlapasathian, Y. Suzuki, S. Yushikawa, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 2006, **6**, 3685.
- 10 J. M. Macák, H. Tsuchiya, A. Ghicov, P. Schmuki, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2005, **7**, 1133.
- 11 A. Ghicov, S. P. Albu, R. Hahn, D. Kim, T. Stergiopoulos, J. Kunze, C.-A. Schiller, P. Falaras, P. Schmuki, *Chem. Asian J.* 2009, **4**, 520.
- 12 S. So, K. Lee, P. Schmuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, **134**, 11316.
- 13 K. Zhu, N. R. Neale, A. Miedaner, A. J. Frank, *Nano Lett.* 2007, **7**, 69.
- 14 J. R. Jennings, A. Ghicov, L. M. Peter, P. Schmuki, A. B. Walker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, **130**, 13364.
- 15 R. P. Lynch, A. Ghicov, P. Schmuki, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2010, **157**, G76.
- 16 P. Roy, S. Berger, P. Schmuki, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, **50**, 2904.
- 17 G. K. Mor, K. Shankar, M. Paulose, O. K. Varghese, C. A. Grimes, *Nano Lett.* 2006, **6**, 215.
- 18 Q. Chen, D. Xu, Large-Scale, *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 2009, **113**, 6310.
- 19 B.-X. Lei, J.-Y. Liao, R. Zhang, J. Wang, C.-Y. Su, D.-B. Kuang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2010, **114**, 15228.
- 20 M. Dubey, M. Shrestha, Y. Zhong, D. Galipeau, H. He, *Nanotechnol.* 2011, **22**, 285201-1.
- 21 K.-H. Chung, Md. Mahbubur Rahman, H.-S. Son, J.-J. Lee, *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2012, **2012**, 1.
- 22 J. Lin, J. Chen, X. Chen, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2011, **6**, 475.
- 23 L.-L. Li, Y.-J. Chen, H.-P. Wu, N. S. Wang, E. W.-G. Diao, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, **4**, 3420.
- 24 C.-J. Lin, W.-Y. Yuab, S.-H. Chien, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2010, **20**, 1073.
- 25 S. P. Albu, A. Ghicov, S. Aldabergenova, P. Drechsel, D. LeClere, G. E. Thompson, J. M. Macák, P. Schmuki, *Adv. Mater.* 2008, **20**, 4135.
- 26 C. Richter, C. A. Schmittenmaer, *Nat. Nanotech.* 2010, **5**, 769.
- 27 A. Kahnt, C. Oelsner, F. Werner, D. M. Guldi, S. P. Albu, R. Kirchgeorg, K. Lee, P. Schmuki, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2013, **102**, 233109-1.
- 28 H. Mirabolghasemi, N. Liu, K. Lee, P. Schmuki, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, **49**, 2067.
- 29 N. Liu, H. Mirabolghasemi, K. Lee, S. P. Albu, A. Tighineanu, M. Altomare, P. Schmuki, *Faraday Discuss.* 2013, **164**, 107.
- 30 A. Hagfeldt, M. Grätzel, *Chem. Rev.* 1995, **95**, 49.
- 31 X. Yang, N. Tamai, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2001, **3**, 3393.
- 32 T. Yoshihara, R. Katoh, A. Furube, Y. Tamaki, M. Murai, K. Hara, S. Murata, H. Arakawa, M. Tachiya, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, **108**, 3817.
- 33 Y. Tamaki, A. Furube, M. Murai, K. Hara, R. Katoh, M. Tachiya, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2007, **9**, 1453.