

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Single-Shot Titrations and Reaction Monitoring by Slice-Selective NMR Spectroscopy

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

T. Niklas, D. Stalke and M. John^aReceived 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A new method, based on slice-selective NMR spectroscopy of inhomogeneous mixtures, is introduced to perform NMR titrations and reaction monitoring in a single experiment. The method was applied to the titration of a lithium salt with 12-crown-4, and to the reaction of *n*BuLi with *N,N,N',N'',N'''*-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDTA).

NMR spectroscopy plays an increasingly important role in the elucidation of structural and dynamic features of inorganic, organic and biomolecular compounds and their interactions. For example, chemical shift titration is a powerful method to determine the stoichiometry and stability constants of complexes in coordination, supramolecular and medicinal chemistry.¹⁻³ In such an experiment, the chemical shift of a particular resonance attributed to one component (e. g. the metal, host or target) is monitored in a series of NMR spectra, while the concentration of the other component (e. g. the guest or ligand) is systematically varied. In a different approach, NMR is applied to investigate chemical reactions by monitoring resonances of substrates and products in a series of individual NMR spectra over time. The observation of fast reactions and short-lived intermediates^{4, 5} has become possible through custom build NMR hardware and techniques such as stopped flow^{6, 7} and rapid-injection NMR.⁸⁻¹²

In our research group slice-selective NMR spectroscopy has lately become an important technique to study diffusion of solvents and solutes into polymers.¹³ Using standard solution NMR instrumentation, slice-selection is accomplished by shaped radiofrequency pulses with variable frequency offsets in the presence of a magnetic field gradient along the axis of the NMR tube.¹³⁻¹⁷ For highly sensitive nuclei such as ¹H, ⁷Li, ¹⁹F or ³¹P, a series of typically ~20 conventional NMR spectra of individual horizontal (~1 mm) slices within the active sample volume (~2 cm) is obtained in less than 2 min. Here we propose a fast chemical shift titration method based on slice-selective NMR spectroscopy in combination with a concentration gradient of the ligand component rather than incrementing the concentration step-by-step. Alternatively, chemical reactions between two substrates diffusing towards each other may be monitored.

As a case study for the slice-selective titration method we investigated the complexation of a ⁷Li ion with 12-crown-4. For this purpose, 12-crown-4 (50 μL, 3.1 mmol, m.p. 16°C) was filled into a standard 5 mm NMR tube and cooled to 5°C. Then a solution of LiClO₄ (24 mg, 2.3 mmol) in acetonitrile-*d*₃ (0.45 ml) was layered on top of the solid ether. This procedure prevents initial mixing of both components prior to the measurements. Inside the NMR magnet (25 °C, standing tube) the ether melts and slowly diffuses into the LiClO₄ solution resulting in a smooth concentration gradient along the tube axis. Diffusion of LiClO₄ into the ether phase occurs likewise, but with little impact on the ⁷Li concentration due to the 9:1 volume ratio. Likewise, the impact of molecular diffusion during the gradient pulse is negligible. Approximately 3, 6 and 9 h after sample preparation slice-selective ¹H and ⁷Li NMR measurements were performed (see ref 13 and *Supplementary Information* for details).¹³




Figure 1. Slice-selective ⁷Li NMR spectra of LiClO₄ in acetonitrile-*d*₃ in the presence of a concentration gradient of 12-crown-4, 6 h after sample preparation. Generally, slices (1 mm thick, 1 mm distance each) are numbered from the top of the active volume to the bottom, with slice 10 located at the centre. The 12-crown-4/LiClO₄ concentration ratio is indicated on the right and increases from slice 1 to slice 19.

For each slice, absolute integrals of both the ⁷Li resonance of LiClO₄ and the ¹H resonance of 12-crown-4 were measured and

converted into concentrations using homogenous reference samples. This way, the ^7Li chemical shift observed in each slice can be assigned to a specific ether/lithium ratio.

Figure 1 shows the series of 19 slice-selective ^7Li NMR spectra recorded after 6 h (for spectra recorded after 3 and 9 h see *Supplementary Information*). Additional slice-selective ^1H spectra (see also *Supplementary Information*) confirm that 12-crown-4 diffuses from the bottom to the top and builds up a smooth gradient, while the LiClO_4 concentration is approximately constant. Slice 1 (at the top of the active volume) shows a narrow ^7Li resonance at -2.3 ppm, typical for Li^+ coordinated by four acetonitrile molecules. As the ether concentration increases, the ^7Li resonance is shifted downfield and reaches a maximum (-0.8 ppm) in slice 12, where the 12-crown-4 and LiClO_4 concentrations are approximately equal and thus the $[\text{Li}(12\text{-crown-4})]^+$ complex dominates.¹⁸ Note that the ^7Li resonances in slices 6 to 11 are increasingly broadened due to (rectangular weighted) summation over the ether concentration gradient within each slice. This can be overcome by further decreasing the slice-width. In general, a decrease in slice-width improves the resolution along the tube axis, but may lead to a sensitivity penalty which makes less intensive signals undetectable.

In the presence of an excess of 12-crown-4, the ^7Li resonance is then shifted again upfield until it reaches -1.2 ppm in slice 19 (7-fold excess, $[\text{Li}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]^+$). The resulting titration curve (see *Supplementary Information*) fully agrees with a previous report.^{18, 19}

The application of slice-selective NMR spectroscopy to reaction monitoring is demonstrated with the reaction between $n\text{BuLi}$ and PMDTA. Due to the high reactivity of organolithium reagents and to prevent rapid convection and/or diffusion out of the active sample volume, $n\text{BuLi}$ was first

diffused into a polystyrene gel matrix, and all steps of the preparation were carried out strictly under argon atmosphere. For this purpose, a solution of $n\text{BuLi}$ in n -hexane (0.4 ml, 1.9 M, 0.74 mmol) was concentrated *in vacuo* and toluene- d_8 (0.40 ml) was added. This solution was then transferred into a 5 mm NMR tube, and a cylindrical polystyrene stick (10×3.8 mm, crosslinked through 0.2 vol% divinylbenzene, for preparation see ref. [20]) was immersed in the solution approximately 1 cm above the bottom of the tube. After 7 days (the polystyrene stick had swollen to a length of ~ 3 cm) the supernatant $n\text{BuLi}$ /toluene solution above the polymer was removed and replaced by a solution of PMDTA (0.12 ml, 0.60 mmol) in toluene- d_8 (0.15 ml). Slice-selective ^1H and ^7Li NMR measurements started after ~ 3 h and went on for three days.

Figure 2 (bottom left) shows the slice-selective ^7Li NMR spectra three days after the addition of PMDTA to the polymer imbibed with $n\text{BuLi}$ (for spectra recorded after 3 h, 1 and 2 days, see *Supplementary Information*). Slices 19 and 18 at the bottom of the active volume still show a signal for unreacted $n\text{BuLi}$ at 2.3 ppm, in agreement with the absence of ^1H signals of PMDTA in these slices (see also *Supplementary Information*). On the other hand, in slices 1-12 a narrow resonance at 1.1 ppm is observed, which was assigned to the product of the reaction, lithiated PMDTA (see Figure 2 top). Satellite peaks in slices 6-12 arise from residual quadrupolar couplings (RQCs) due to partial orientation of molecules inside the stretched gel.²⁰ The size of the RQCs varies with the amount of strain and is not constant over the gel body unless the gel is equilibrated for a prolonged period of time.^{13, 15} Slices 1 to 5 are located outside the polymer and hence only a singlet is observed for lithiated PMDTA in this region.




Figure 2. Top: The reaction between $n\text{BuLi}$ and PMDTA yields lithiated PMDTA *via* the intermediate $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$. Bottom left: Slice-selective ^7Li NMR spectra (slices 1 to 19) of the reaction within a polystyrene gel, 3 days after the addition of PMDTA. Bottom right: Slice-selective ^1H NMR spectra (slices 11 to 19) of the same sample.

Increasingly broad signals in slices 17-13 with chemical shifts between 2.3 and 1.6 ppm mark the reaction front between PMDTA and $n\text{BuLi}$, at which multiple dynamic processes take place.²¹ Although the corresponding ^1H spectra are relatively crowded with signals of different reaction components as well as residual signals from the polymer, the isolated region of the

$n\text{BuLi}$ $\alpha\text{-CH}_2$ protons (-0.5 to -1 ppm) is quite informative (Figure 2 bottom right): The signal for the $n\text{BuLi}$ hexamer^{22, 23} at -0.92 ppm moves downfield and broadens from slice 19 to 13, presumably due to unspecific deaggregation and/or complexation of $n\text{BuLi}$ by PMDTA. These processes are fast to

intermediate on the NMR timescale such that only averaged chemical shifts are observed by ^1H and ^7Li NMR. From slice 12 onwards, the $\alpha\text{-CH}_2$ region is free of signals indicating that $n\text{BuLi}$ has completely reacted to butane.

The most remarkable feature in slices 18-13 is the appearance of an additional, unshifted ^1H resonance at -0.59 ppm with a maximum intensity in slice 15. This signal was assigned to the complex $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$ (see Figure 2 top), which seems to be remarkably stable and has been characterised by x-ray crystallography, ^1H NMR and quantum chemical calculations as an intermediate in the lithiation of PMDTA before.²¹ Further ^1H signals reported for $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$ were found in the crowded regions of the corresponding slices (see *Supplementary Information*).

The connection between the reaction progress and the appearance of the peak at -0.59 ppm can nicely be illustrated by integrating this peak and the remaining $n\text{BuLi}$ $\alpha\text{-CH}_2$ signal over the course of three days (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Relative integrals for the ^1H NMR signals at -0.59 ppm (green) and that of the $n\text{BuLi}$ $\alpha\text{-CH}_2$ protons (orange) as function of the slice number, 3 h, 1, 2 and 3 days after addition of PMDTA to $n\text{BuLi}$ inside the pre-swollen polystyrene gel. All signals high-field to -0.59 ppm were attributed to “ $n\text{BuLi}$ ”.

Figure 3 illustrates the motion of the reaction front, where the $n\text{BuLi}$ $\alpha\text{-CH}_2$ signal (orange) vanishes and the signal of the corresponding CH_2 -moiety within the $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$ complex (green) appears. The latter builds up and decays exclusively at the reaction front, indicating its intermediate character. While 3 h after the addition the front is relatively sharp with little formation of $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$, it becomes significantly blurred as it moves downwards with time. This is in accordance with what would be expected from diffusion in a gel.²⁴ The dip in the $n\text{BuLi}$ concentration which is initially observed in the centre of the gel most likely arises from slow and incomplete diffusion of $n\text{BuLi}$ into the polymer during sample preparation.¹³ This again underlines that the $n\text{BuLi}$ hexamer (MW = 383 g/mol) may be regarded as rather static compared to the PMDTA molecules (MW = 173 g/mol). No separate ^7Li NMR signal was found for $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$, most likely due to fast dynamic exchange with the signal of $n\text{BuLi}$. Likewise, no evidence was found for further breaking down of $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$ into smaller units representing

active species / intermediates during the lithiation of PMDTA. Note that a monomeric $n\text{BuLi}$ -PMDTA adduct has been proposed but its existence has not yet been experimentally confirmed.^{25, 26}

Conclusions

In the present study we could show that slice-selective NMR spectroscopy is a simple method to perform single-shot NMR titrations and *in situ* observation of reactions, using a routine NMR instrument. The “fast titration” was successfully tested in the complexation of a lithium salt by 12-crown-4, where it was able to reproduce a conventional ^7Li chemical shift titration curve. Reaction monitoring by slice-selective NMR was tested in the reaction between PMDTA and $n\text{BuLi}$, where the previously characterised intermediate $[(n\text{BuLi})_2\text{PMDTA}]_2$ could be identified. A stretched polystyrene gel was used as medium (i) to slow down the reaction and avoid convection, (ii) to immobilise one reactant ($n\text{BuLi}$) with respect to the other (PMDTA) and (iii) to principally enable also the observation of ^7Li RQCs as additional source of structural information. Polystyrene is chemically inert, tolerates highly reactive reagents²⁰, and is swollen by a broad range of solvents.²⁷ A further advantage of the gel method is the possibility to adjust the slope of the reaction front and hence to “zoom” into the interesting region. It should therefore be possible to apply slice-selective NMR to a broad range of reactions to obtain information about mechanisms as well as stoichiometry of complexes and products.

T. N. thanks the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for financial support.

Notes and references

^a Institut für Anorganische Chemie
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Tammannstraße 4
37077 Göttingen

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Detailed procedures, spectra, additional schemes]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1. M. J. Hynes, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1993, 311-312.
2. I. W. Wyman and D. H. Macartney, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, **6**, 1796-1801.
3. J. S. Renny, L. L. Tomasevich, E. H. Tallmadge and D. B. Collum, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 11998-12013.
4. A.-C. Pöppler, M. M. Meinholz, H. Faßhuber, A. Lange, M. John and D. Stalke, *Organometallics*, 2011, **31**, 42-45.
5. A.-C. Pöppler, M. Granitzka, R. Herbst-Irmer, Y.-S. Chen, B. B. Iversen, M. John, R. A. Mata and D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201406320.
6. P. J. Hore, S. L. Winder, C. H. Roberts and C. M. Dobson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 5049-5050.
7. M. D. Christianson, E. H. P. Tan and C. R. Landis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 11461-11463.

8. J. F. McGarrity and J. Prodoliet, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, **49**, 4465-4470.
9. J. F. McGarrity, C. A. Ogle, Z. Brich and H. R. Loosli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 1810-1815.
10. W. Bauer, M. Feigel, G. Mueller and P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 6033-6046.
11. S. H. Bertz, S. Cope, M. Murphy, C. A. Ogle and B. J. Taylor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 7208-7209.
12. S. E. Denmark, B. M. Eklov, P. J. Yao and M. D. Eastgate, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 11770-11787.
13. A.-C. Pöppler, S. Frischkorn, D. Stalke and M. John, *ChemPhysChem*, 2013, **14**, 3103-3107.
14. R. Freeman, *Concepts Magn. Reson. A*, 2011, **38A**, 1-6.
15. P. Trigo-Mouriño, C. Merle, M. R. M. Koos, B. Luy and R. R. Gil, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 7013-7019.
16. G. E. Wagner, P. Sakhaii, W. Bermel and K. Zangger, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 3155-3157.
17. B. Sathyamoorthy, D. M. Parish, G. T. Montelione, R. Xiao and T. Szyperski, *ChemPhysChem*, 2014, **15**, 1872-1879.
18. M. C. Masiker, C. L. Mayne, B. J. Boone, A. M. Orendt and E. M. Eyring, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2010, **48**, 94-100.
19. M. C. Masiker, C. L. Mayne and E. M. Eyring, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2006, **44**, 220-229.
20. A.-C. Pöppler, H. Keil, D. Stalke and M. John, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7843-7846.
21. C. Strohmam and V. H. Gessner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4566-4569.
22. D. Margerison and J. P. Newport, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1963, **59**, 2058-2063.
23. T. Kottke and D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1993, **32**, 580-582.
24. S. C. George and S. Thomas, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2001, **26**, 985-1017.
25. C. Strohmam, T. Seibel and K. Strohfeltd, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 4531-4533.
26. V. H. Gessner, C. Däschlein and C. Strohmam, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 3320-3334.
27. C. M. Thiele, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **2008**, 5673-5685.