

PCCP

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

High-Pressure Phase Transition of Cesium Chloride and Cesium Bromide

Shubo Wei,^a Chunye Zhu,^a Qian Li,^a Yuanyuan Zhou,^a Quan Li^{*ba} and Yanming Ma^{ac}

^aState Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials and ^bCollege of Materials Science and Engineering, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China

^cBeijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 10084, China

Abstract

The high-symmetry cubic cesium chloride (CsCl) structure with space group of $Pm-3m$ ($Z = 1$) is one of the prototypical AB-type compounds, which is shared with cesium halides and many binary metallic alloys. The studying of high-pressure evolutions of CsCl phase is of fundamental importance in helping to understand structural sequence and principles for crystallography. Here, we have systematically investigated the high-pressure structural transition of cesium halides up to 200 GPa using an effective CALYPSO algorithm. Strikingly, we have predicted several thermodynamically favored high-pressure phases for cesium chloride and cesium bromide (CsBr). Further electronic calculations indicate that CsCl and CsBr become metallic via band-gap closure at strong compression. The current predictions have broad implications for other AB-type compounds that likely harbor similar novel high-pressure behavior.

Keywords: High-pressure, Phase transition, First-principles calculations, Band-gap, Metallization

I. Introduction

Cesium halides are the simplest and most representative ionic solids, which have always been the subject of extensive theoretical and experimental studies.¹⁻¹⁷ Cesium halides adopt a prototypical cesium chloride (CsCl) structure with two-atom per primitive cubic lattice. Using energy-dispersive X-ray diffraction (XRD), a tetragonal phase of CsCl was observed at a pressure of 65 ± 5 GPa (the detailed crystal information is unsettled),¹² while cesium bromide (CsBr) was found to undergo a second-order phase transition to the tetragonal CuAu-I structure at 53 GPa.¹⁵ Subsequently, experimental XRD measurement proposed that CsBr changed from simple cubic phase to tetragonal phase at pressure about 53 GPa.¹⁶ However, subsequent first-principles calculations suggested a cubic-to-orthorhombic transition for CsX (X = Cl, Br and I) occurring at high pressure.⁶ Recently, the structural sequence of $Pm-3m \rightarrow Pmma \rightarrow Pnma$ for CsI has been identified using evolutionary methodology and first principles calculations.⁸ CsI metallizes at 115 GPa and becomes a superconductor above 180 GPa.⁸ Although these experimental and theoretical studies have been devoted to understanding the high-pressure transition of CsCl and CsBr, the full high-pressure structural information is still far from being clear and well established. Previous experimental and theoretical attempts have mostly used empirical approaches in selecting and modifying known crystal structures to fit the experimental data or simple comparison of the enthalpies for CsCl and CsBr. The proposed structures with X substituting for Y atoms for CsCl and CsBr are based on the knowledge of known chemically related AB-type structures; however, there is a possibility that some unknown structures are energetically stable instead. These structural uncertainties have impeded in-depth understanding and further exploration of structural sequence and principles for crystallography. It is greatly desirable to explore the phase transitions and the corresponding electronic properties for CsCl and CsBr under high pressure.

Here, we present extensive structure searches to uncover the high-pressure structures of CsCl and CsBr using the developed CALYPSO method,¹⁸⁻²¹ which is very successful in predicting the high-pressure phase of materials.²²⁻²⁹ Our work

shows that CsCl and CsBr have the simple $Pm-3m$ structure at ambient pressure and undergo complicated transitions to low symmetric phases at high pressure. We have identified two thermodynamically stable orthorhombic $Pmma$ and $Pbam$ phases for CsCl and an orthorhombic $Pmma$ phase for CsBr. The current $Pmma$ phase of CsBr is energetically much superior to the previously proposed CuAu-I-type ($P4/mmm$) structure.¹⁵ The present work establishes the comprehensive understanding of the high-pressure evolutions of the structural properties of CsCl and CsBr. Our findings represent a significant step toward the understanding of the behavior of AB-type compounds at extreme conditions.

II. Calculation methods

We carried out a structural search using a global minimization of free energy surfaces based on the CALYPSO (Crystal structure AnaLYsis by Particle Swarm Optimization) methodology and the first-principles calculations.¹⁸⁻²¹ The remarkable feature of this methodology is the capability of predicting the stable structure with only the knowledge of the chemical composition at given external conditions (for example, pressure). The underlying *ab initio* structural relaxations and electronic calculations have been carried out using density functional theory within the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (PBE-GGA)³⁰ exchange correlation as implemented in the VASP (Vienna *ab initio* simulation package) code.³¹ The projector augmented wave method (PAW) has been adopted, with $5s^25p^56s^1$, $3s^23p^5$ and $4s^24p^5$ treated as valence electrons for Cs, Cl and Br, respectively. The cutoff energy of 400 eV for the expansion of the wave function into plane waves and fine Monkhorst–Pack k meshes of 0.025 \AA^{-1} have been chosen to ensure that all the enthalpy calculations are well converged. The accuracy of the total energies obtained within the framework of density functional theory is in many cases sufficient to predict the stability of structures. The phonon calculations have been carried out by using a supercell approach as implemented in the PHONOPY code.³² This method uses the forces obtained by the Hellmann-Feynman theorem calculated from the optimized $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercell. The Reflex Tools of Materials Studio code

was used to simulate the X-ray diffraction data.³³

III. Results and discussions

Structural predictions for CsCl and CsBr have been performed with CALYPSO methodology¹⁸⁻²¹ using simulation sizes with 1-4 formula per primitive cell at 0, 60, 80, 100, 120 and 200 GPa. According to our simulations, at 0 GPa, both of the CsCl and CsBr adopt a cubic cesium chloride structure with space group $Pm-3m$, which is in good agreement with the experimentally known information.^{3,4,10,12,15} $Pm-3m$ structure adopts a two-atom primitive cubic lattice, where both atoms have eightfold coordination. The chloride atoms lie upon the lattice points at the edges of the cube, while the cesium atoms lie in the holes in the center of the cubes. For CsCl, the optimized lattice parameter of $Pm-3m$ phase at 0 GPa is $a = 4.209 \text{ \AA}$, which is in good agreement with the experimental data of $a = 4.123 \text{ \AA}$.¹⁰ This difference of 2.086% is owing to PBE-GGA exchange correlation which tends to overestimates lattice parameters. For CsBr, the optimized structural parameter in $Pm-3m$ structure is $a = 4.391 \text{ \AA}$, and there is about 2.235% larger than experimental data of $a = 4.295 \text{ \AA}$.¹⁰




Fig. 1 The crystal structure of orthorhombic *Pmma* CsCl (a), *Pbam* CsCl (b), *Pmma* CsBr (c) and tetragonal *P4/mmm* CsBr (d).

Table 1 Lattice Parameters and Atomic Coordinates for CsCl and CsBr.

Phase	Lattice parameters (Å)	Atoms	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>
<i>Pmma</i> CsCl	<i>a</i> = 4.491	Cs(2 <i>e</i>)	0.25	0.0	0.751
	100 GPa	Cl(2 <i>f</i>)	0.25	0.5	0.251
	<i>c</i> = 4.492				
<i>Pbam</i> CsCl	<i>a</i> = 4.327	Cs(4 <i>g</i>)	0.811	0.861	0.0
	200 GPa	Cl(4 <i>h</i>)	0.192	0.391	0.5
	<i>c</i> = 2.796				
<i>Pmma</i> CsBr	<i>a</i> = 4.731	Cs(2 <i>e</i>)	0.25	0.0	0.804
	100 GPa	Br(2 <i>f</i>)	0.25	0.5	0.307
	<i>c</i> = 4.851				




Fig. 2 The simulated power X-ray diffraction ($\lambda = 0.407$ Å and the diffraction data is powder diffraction and not single crystal) for *Pmma* CsCl at 80 GPa (a), *Pbam* CsCl at 185 GPa (b), *Pmma* CsBr at 80 GPa (c) and *P4/mmm* CsBr at 80 GPa (d).




Fig. 3 (a) Enthalpies (related to the $Pm-3m$ phase) of $P4/mmm$, $Cmmm$, $Pmma$ and $Pbam$ structures for CsCl as a function of pressures. (b) Enthalpies (related to the $Pm-3m$ phase) of $P4/mmm$, $Cmmm$, $Pmma$, $Pbam$ and $Pnma$ structures of CsBr as a function of pressures.

Here, two orthorhombic structures of CsCl with $Pmma$ and $Pbam$ symmetry are found under high-pressure, as shown in Fig. 1. Both $Pmma$ and $Pbam$ structures can be also viewed as a distorted CsCl structure. The lattice parameters and atomic coordinates derived from structural optimization are listed in Table 1. Fig. 2 shows the simulated X-ray diffraction data of these structures, indicating their structures are different from each other. The enthalpy curves for the current predicted CsCl structures are shown in Fig. 3a. It can be seen clearly that CsCl undergoes a phase transition from the cubic CsCl phase to the orthorhombic $Pmma$ phase at 73.5 GPa. The current predicted transition pressure point (~ 73 GPa) is in excellent agreement with 65 ± 5 GPa obtained from earlier experiments¹². The volume ratio of $V/V_0 = 0.474$ (V_0 being the equilibrium volume) is close to experimental date of 0.51 at transition pressure.¹² Comparing with cubic $Pm-3m$ structure, a striking feature of this modification is that Cs and Cl atoms are packed in a distortion structure which results in breaking the symmetry to form orthorhombic $Pmma$ phase. $Pmma$ structure is stable in a wide pressure region of 73.5-123.6 GPa. Above that, CsCl undergoes further transition and transforms to the orthorhombic $Pbam$ phase (Fig. 1b), which becomes favorable and remains to be stable up to at least 200 GPa (Fig. 3a). Therefore, the high-pressure transition phase order is $Pm-3m \rightarrow Pmma \rightarrow Pbam$ for CsCl from the thermodynamics. Moreover, we have compared the enthalpies of these

two new orthorhombic phases of CsCl with the $P4/mmm$ and $Pnma$ structures, which were raised previously for CsBr and CsI, respectively.^{8,15} The calculated enthalpy differences confirm that $Pnma$ phase is lower than $P4/mmm$ and $Pnma$ phases. The enthalpy curve of $Pnma$ is not shown due to its high enthalpy. In fact, the $Pnma$ structure should be viewed as a symmetry-breaking structure ($a \neq c$) in the orthorhombic subgroups of the tetragonal $P4/mmm$ structure. Noticeably, the lattice




Fig. 4 The pressure evolution of the lattice parameters of CsCl (a) and CsBr (b). The pressure evolution of the z coordinates of CsCl (c) and CsBr (d).

parameter $a = 4.491 \text{ \AA}$ of $Pnma$ CsCl is extremely close to $c = 4.492 \text{ \AA}$ and the deviations from the ideal z coordinates for both Cs and Cl (0.75 and 0.25, respectively) are very small (Table 1). The group-subgroup relationships indicate that $Pnma$ structure could be transformed into $Cmmm$ or $P4/mmm$ phases, however $Cmmm$ and $P4/mmm$ phases do not possess thermodynamic stability in the entire pressure range (Fig. 3a). And, when the b lattice parameter is multiplied by a square root of 2, the resulting number 4.496 \AA is very close to the a and c parameters. This indicates that

the calculated structure is highly pseudo-symmetric. In addition, the space groups *Pmma* and *Pbam* for CsCl at 100 GPa and 200 GPa, respectively, are related with each other. Assuming the lattice parameters and the atomic positions of the Cs and Cl atoms in space group *Pbam*, a structure in space group *Pmma* using a group-subgroup relationship could be obtained with $a = 4.327 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 2.796 \text{ \AA}$, and $c = 4.289 \text{ \AA}$. The new atomic positions are, Cs (*2e*) (0.25, 0, 0.278) and Cl (*2f*) (0.75, 0.5, 0.218), are very close to those for these atoms at 100 GPa (Table 1). It is clearly the case of a highly pseudo-symmetric structure that exists at high pressures, i.e., the structure in space group *Pbam* is a superstructure of the phase in *Pmma*. From the pressure evolution of the lattice parameters and z coordinates of CsCl (Fig. 4), the deviations of a with c parameters and z coordinates with the ideal z coordinates of *Pmma* CsCl are small due to its highly pseudo-symmetric feature. The lattice dynamics calculations with no imaginary phonon frequencies support the dynamic stability of *Pmma* and *Pbam* structures over the pressure range studied here (Fig. 5).




Fig. 5 Calculated phonon spectrum of *Pmma* CsCl at 74 and 123 GPa (a), *Pbam* CsCl at 124 and 200 GPa (b) and *Pmma* CsBr at 62 and 200 GPa (c). Calculated electronic band plot along high-symmetry directions of *Pmma* CsCl at 123 GPa (d), *Pbam* CsCl at 170 GPa (e) and *Pmma* CsBr at 160 GPa (f).

From the enthalpy curves of CsBr for various structures (Fig. 3b), the transformation from *Pm-3m* to *Pmma* structure (Fig. 1c and Table 1) is predicted at 60 GPa. Our calculations show *Pmma* remains to be stable up to at least 200 GPa. Earlier experiments suggested that CsBr undergoes a tetragonal *P4/mmm* distortion at 53 GPa.¹⁵ However, we can see that the enthalpy of our predicted *Pmma* structure is 13.5 meV per CsBr lower than that of *P4/mmm* structure at 70 GPa (Fig. 3b), indicating

$P4/mmm$ structure is thermodynamic unstable. Moreover, $Pmma$ phase is also more stable than $Pbam$ CsCl, $Pnma$ CsI and $Cmmm$ structures (Fig. 3b). As shown in Fig. 2, the simulated XRDs with different diffraction peaks also support the current orthorhombic $Pmma$ phase is geometrically different from the previously proposed tetragonal $P4/mmm$ structure. No imaginary frequencies are observed throughout the whole Brillouin Zone, indicating that this $Pmma$ phase of CsBr at the studied pressure region is dynamically stable (Fig. 5c).




Fig. 6 Pressure dependence of theoretical band gaps for $Pbam$ CsCl (a) and $Pmma$ CsBr (b). Electronic density of states for $Pbam$ CsCl at 170 GPa (c) and $Pmma$ CsBr at 160 GPa (d).

Previous calculations suggested that CsI becomes metallic via band-gap closure at strong compression (>100 GPa).⁸ Thus, it is intriguing to determine whether CsCl and CsBr will become metallic in the same way. The calculated electronic band structures of $Pmma$ CsCl at 123 GPa (Fig. 5d) show it is a narrow band gap semiconductor with a direct band-gap of 0.82 eV at Γ point. As shown in Fig. 6, our calculated electronic band structures show that the band gaps of CsCl and CsBr are sensitive to the change of external pressure (decreasing volume). As the pressure increases, the sizes of the

band gaps have negative pressure dependence. Interestingly, CsCl becomes metallic via band-gap closure at ~ 170 GPa (Fig. 5e). The metallization of *Pbam* CsCl occurs via direct band-gap closure at the Γ point, which is similar to that of *Pnma* CsI (via indirect band-gap closure along the Z- Γ and Γ -Y directions).⁸ Similarly, *Pmma* CsBr becomes metallic via direct band-gap closure at the Γ point (Fig. 5 and 6) at ~ 150 GPa. Pressure induces CsX to exhibit increasingly shorter interatomic distances, which is accompanied by an increase in the bandwidth, especially those near the Fermi-surface, thus leading to the metallic nature of CsX. It should be mentioned that the superconducting behaviors in CsI have been extensively explored through experimental measurement and theoretical calculations.^{8,34,35} It is suggested that the formation of several electrons and hole Fermi-surface pockets in CsI is due to a dramatic increase of the electron donation from Γ^- to Cs^+ , thus leading to more electrons to be involved in the EPC which is responsible for superconducting and the larger electron-phonon coupling potential, thereby contributing to the increase in T_c .⁸ Thus, those metallic CsCl and CsBr phases would also undergo superconducting transition; however, the studies of superconducting T_c are beyond the scope of this work.

IV. Conclusions

Using CALYPSO method for crystal structure prediction combined with first-principles calculations, we have investigated the high-pressure crystal structures and established the corresponding phase boundaries for the prototypical AB-type compounds of CsCl and CsBr. Two orthorhombic phases of CsCl with the space group of *Pmma* and *Pbam* and an orthorhombic *Pmma* phase for CsBr are predicted to be energetically much superior to the previously proposed tetragonal CuAu-I-type structure. *Pbam* CsCl and *Pmma* CsBr become metallic with band-gap narrowing due to the expansion of the bandwidth induced by pressure, analogous to what was previously reported on CsI. This work presents significant concerning the fundamental structural properties of the simplest and most representative ionic solids material with implications for an entire family of similar materials.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the China 973 Program (2011CB808200), the Natural Science Foundation of China under Nos. 11274136, 11025418, 51202084, 11104104 and 91022029, the 2012 Changjiang Scholars Program of China, Changjiang Scholar and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT1132). Parts of the calculations were performed in the High Performance Computing Center (HPCC) of Jilin University.

Author information**Corresponding Authors**

*(Q. Li) Tel: +86-431-85167557, E-mail: liquan777@jlu.edu.cn.

References

- 1 E. Madelung, *Phys. Z.*, 1918, **19**, 524.
- 2 P. O. Ewald, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)*, 1921, **64**, 253.
- 3 T-L. Huang and A. L. Ruoff, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1984, **29**, 1112.
- 4 T-L. Huang, K. E. Brister and A. L. Ruoff, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1984, **30**, 2968.
- 5 Q. Williams and R. Jeanloz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1987, **59**, 1132.
- 6 M. B. Nardelli, S. Baroni and P. Giannozzi, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1995, **51**, 8060.
- 7 H. Pattyn, M. M. Abd-Elmeguid, S. Bukshpan, K. Milants and J. Verheyden, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1995, **51**, 10357.
- 8 Y. Xu, J. S. Tse, A. R. Oganov, T. Cui, H. Wang, Y. Ma and G. Zou, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2009, **79**, 144110.
- 9 V. Sharma, S. Tiwari and B. L. Ahuja, *Radi. Phys. and Chem.*, 2010, **79**, 678-686.
- 10 E. Henry, *Academic Press.*, 1984, 29-31.
- 11 E. Knittle and R. Jeanloz, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 1985, **46**, 1179.
- 12 K. E. Brister, Y. K. Vohra and A. L. Ruoff, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1985, **31**, 4657.
- 13 Y. K. Vohra, K. E. Brister, S. T. Weir, S. J. Duclos and A. L. Ruoff, *Science*, 1986, **231**, 1136.
- 14 E. Knittle and R. Jeanloz, *Science* 1984, **223**, 53-56.
- 15 E. Knittle, A. Rudy and R. Jeanloz, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1985, **31**, 588.
- 16 L. Wang, L. Chen, F. Li, H. Gu, L. Zhou and R. Che, *Chinese Phys. Lett.*, 1998, **15**, 284.
- 17 S. Satpathy, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1986, **33**, 8706.
- 18 Y. Wang, J. Lv, L. Zhu and Y. Ma, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2012, **183**, 2063–2070. *CALYPSO Code is Free for Academic Use. Please Register at <http://www.calypso.cn>.*
- 19 Y. Wang, J. Lv, L. Zhu and Y. Ma, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2010, **82**, 094116.
- 20 Y. Wang, M. Miao, J. Lv, L. Zhu, H. Liu and Y. Ma, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **137**, 224108.
- 21 J. Lv, Y. Wang, L. Zhu and Y. Ma, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **137**, 084104.
- 22 F. Peng, M. Miao, H. Wang, Q. Li and Y. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 18599.
- 23 H. Wang, J. S. Tse, K. Tanaka, T. Iitaka and Y. Ma, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2012, **109**, 6463.
- 24 L. Zhu, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, G. Zou, H. K. Mao and Y. Ma, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2012, **109**, 751.
- 25 Q. Li, D. Zhou, W. Zheng, Y. Ma and C. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **110**, 136403.
- 26 C. Lu, M. Miao, Y. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 14167.
- 27 Q. Li, H. Liu, D. Zhou, W. Zheng, Z. Wu and Y. Ma, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 13081.
- 28 S. Lu, Y. Wang, H. Liu, M. Miao and Y. Ma, *Nature Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3666.
- 29 L. Zhu, H. Liu, C. J. Pickard, G. Zou and Y. Ma, *Nature Chem.*, 2014, doi: 10.1038/nchem.1925.
- 30 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865.
- 31 G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, **54**, 11169.
- 32 A. Togo, F. Oba and I. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2008, **78**, 134106.
- 33 *Module, Forcite. "Material Studio 6.0." Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA (2011).*
- 34 M. I. Eremets, K. Shimizu, T. C. Kobayashi and K. Amaya, *Science*, 1998, **281**, 1333.
- 35 M. I. Eremets, K. Shimizu, T. C. Kobayashi and K. Amaya, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 1998, **10**, 11519.