# **Catalysis**  Science & **Technology**

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/catalysis

### **Graphical Abstract**



The heating caused polymer to melt and expand and fill into the

surrounding gaps among the alloy powder

Catalysis Science & Technology

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

## **COMMUNICATION**

## **Polymer-Supported Catalysts for Clean Preparation of n-Butanol**

**Haibin Jiang,***a,b* **Shuliang Lu,** *<sup>b</sup>* **Xiaohong Zhang,** *<sup>b</sup>* **Hui Peng,** *<sup>b</sup>* **Wei Dai\*** *b*  **and Jinliang Qiao\*** *a,b*

*Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX*  **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x** 

- <sup>5</sup>**A new type of Raney metal catalyst supported by polymer was developed for clean Preparation of n-butanol. Unlike traditional supported catalysts, the newly-developedalkalescent polyamide 6 (PA6) supported Raney nickel catalyst provided a 100.0% conversion of n-butyraldehyde**  <sup>10</sup>**without producing any detectable n-butyl ether, the main byproduct in industry. The significantly enhanced catalyst selectivity of the polymer-supported Raney metal catalyst was attributed to the elimination of the acid-catalyzed side reaction associated with Raney metals and traditional catalyst supports, such as Al2O<sup>3</sup> and SiO<sup>2</sup>** 15 **. By eliminating acidcatalyzed side reactions, therefore, green chemistry could be achieved through cutting down resources and energy consumption in chemical reactions. Furthermore, the**
- **preparation and recycle of the polymer-supported catalysts are also much more eco-friendly than those traditional Al2O<sup>3</sup>** <sup>20</sup>**- /SiO<sup>2</sup> -supported catalysts. The methodology developed in this study to use alkalescent polymers as the catalyst support**
- **could be leveraged to the whole catalyst family, including a series of important Raney metal catalysts (***e.g.***, Raney nickel,**  <sup>25</sup>**Raney cobalt, Raney copper) used routinely in the chemical industry.**

Chemical industry has made irreplaceable contributions to the world, but has also caused negative impacts on our environment and consumed a huge amount of resources and energy. Catalyst, <sup>30</sup>as the soul of chemical industry, plays a key role in reducing these negative effects for chemical industry. It is well recognized that environmental pollution, and consumption of resources and energy can be reduced significantly by improving the catalyst selectivity to minimize side reactions. It is well known that 90% <sup>35</sup>of chemical reactions in chemical industry are based on heterogeneous catalytic processes<sup>1</sup>, and the majority of the industrial catalysts are supported catalysts<sup>2</sup>. While  $Al_2O_3$ <sup>3-11</sup> and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>12-17</sup> are the two major catalyst supports, they both have faint

- acidity, which often lead to side reactions, such as hydrogenation <sup>40</sup>reaction of n-butyraldehyde to n-butanol, hydrogenation reaction of adiponitrile to  $1,6$ -hexanediamine<sup>18</sup> and hydrogenation reactions of alkenes and alkynes<sup>19</sup>. Without a revolutionary change in the catalyst support, the side reactions would be difficult to significantly reduce or even eliminate. In order to
- <sup>45</sup>reduce the negative effects caused by the weak acidity of the conventional catalyst supports  $(e.g., Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , many investigations have been carried out to neutralize the acid of

catalyst supports by, for example, adding alkaline agents into conventional supports or reactants  $19-26$ . However, side reactions <sup>50</sup>caused by the support acidity cannot be completely avoided as mixing at molecular level is hardly achieved in these cases. Besides, the addition of alkalescent agents into reactants often lead to an increased cost, difficult operation, more byproducts, and possibly a more complicated separation process.

<sup>55</sup>On the other hand, polymer materials with different structures possess excellent processibility, recyclability and surface properties. Therefore, polymer materials could be ideal alternatives for replacing current catalyst supports to meet different specific demands of catalysts for different chemical <sup>60</sup>reactions. More importantly, polymer materials could be chemically functionalized to adjust the alkalinity or acidity at molecular level to minimize side reactions. As such, polymer could be an optimum catalyst support for clean chemical reaction as long as the specific surface area of final catalyst could be large <sup>65</sup>enough. Fortunately, Raney metals with large specific surface area could be suitable component for polymer-supported catalyst.

In this work, polymer-supported Raney Ni was studied for the clean preparation of n-butanol, which, with a worldwide consumption of more than 3 million tons per year, is widely used  $70$  as a solvent and a raw material for other chemicals<sup>27</sup>. Commercially, n-butanol is mainly from hydrogenation of nbutyraldehyde (obtained from the oxo reaction of propylene) in the presence of a hydrogenation catalyst<sup>28</sup>. The most widely used commercial hydrogenation catalyst is  $Al_2O_3$ -supported Ni 75 catalyst. Reaction (1) shows the hydrogenation reaction of nbutyraldehyde to n-butanol whereas reaction (2) represents the main side reaction that generates n-butyl ether. In order to separate n-butyl ether from n-butanol, a large amount of energy is required because an azeotrope is formed. For the reduction of <sup>80</sup>pollution, and energy and resource consumption in n-butanol production, it is important to eliminate this side reaction. It is well known that reaction (2) is an acid-catalyzed reaction and the yield of n-butyl ether increases with increasing the acid strength of the catalyst  $29, 30$ . Use of a non-acid support is expected to <sup>85</sup>reduce or even eliminate the side reaction associated with the acidity of catalyst support.



In this study, a neutral polymer, Polypropylene (PP), was selected as the first polymer support for Raney Ni catalyst. Compared with the traditional  $Al_2O_3$ -supported Ni catalyst, the preparation of the PP-supported catalyst is relatively simple and <sup>5</sup>energy efficient. In this experiment, PP granules (F280M,

- Sinopec Maomin Company) were buried in a scattered manner into a full mould of Ni-Al alloy powder (48 wt. % Ni). The mould was then compressed tightly (2MPa) and heated up to 200℃. The heating caused PP to melt and expand and fill into the
- 10 surrounding gaps among the alloy powder. In other words, Ni-Al alloy powders were embedded into the surface of the expanded PP particle (see Figure 1a). Thereafter, the mould was cooled down leading to the formation of the special granule (Ni-Al/PP), in which the Ni-Al alloy particles were embedded into PP granule
- <sup>15</sup>surface, as shown in Figure 1b. After sieving out Ni-Al/PP granules from the excess Ni-Al alloy powder, PP-supported Raney Ni catalyst (Raney Ni/PP) was obtained by alkaline leaching of the Ni-Al/PP.





For comparison, maleic anhydride grafted PP (MAHPP) supported Raney Ni catalyst (Raney Ni/MAHPP) and  $Al_2O_3$ -30 supported Ni catalyst  $(20 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ Ni/Al}_2\text{O}_3)$  were also prepared. MAHPP (GPM200AL, with 1 wt. % MAH) was purchased from Ningbo Nengzhiguang Company, and Raney Ni/MAHPP catalyst was prepared through the same procedures as those for the Raney  $Ni/PP$  catalyst.  $Ni/Al_2O_3$  catalyst was prepared according to the 35 commercial impregnation method, using  $Al_2O_3$  and  $Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O$  solution, dried at 120 $\Box$  for 12 h, calcined at 360 $\Box$ for 4 h and reduced at 400℃ for 8 h. The catalytic reaction was performed in a 14 mm (internal diameter) tube microreactor made of stainless steel under a pressure of 4.0MPa and at a temperature

<sup>40</sup>ranging from 100 to 140℃. The amount of catalyst used was 20ml. The flow of n-butyraldehyde was controlled by a microsyringe pump with a flow rate of 30 ml*/*h. The product was analyzed by gas chromatography equipped with a flame ionization detector (Agilent 7890, DB-WAX, FID). The residual <sup>45</sup>n-butyraldehyde content and n-butyl ether content were determined with an external standard to indicate the activity and selectivity of the catalysts.

The numerical results from the hydrogenation of nbutyraldehyde at 100-140℃ with three different catalysts, Raney  $50$  Ni/PP catalyst, Raney Ni/MAHPP catalyst, and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, are listed in Table 1. It can be seen from the table that n-butyl ether content over the Raney Ni/PP catalyst is nearly one order of magnitude lower than that of both the Raney Ni/MAHPP catalyst and  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalyst at the same temperature, indicating an <sup>55</sup>excellent selectivity for the Raney Ni/PP catalyst. More specifically, the n-butyl ether content is 0.053 wt% for the Raney Ni/PP catalyst at 100% conversion while the corresponding nbutyl ether content increased significantly to 0.632 and 0.159 wt%, respectively, for the Raney Ni/MAHPP and  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>60</sup>catalysts under the same condition. Clearly, therefore, the neutral support PP did effectively reduce the acid-catalyzed side reaction with respect to those acidic supports (*i.e.*, MAHPP and  $Al_2O_3$ ). However, the Raney Ni/PP catalyst didn't eliminate n-butyl ether byproduct completely, though the fraction of the byproduct is <sup>65</sup>very small. To understand why a small fraction of the byproduct (n-butyl ether) is still produced by the Raney Ni/PP catalyst with a neutral support, we further carried out an element analysis with X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS). The element analysis results are given in Table 2, which shows the presence of  $A<sub>1</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>70</sup>in the Raney Ni/PP catalyst. This is notion consistent with the literature report that Raney Ni usually contains a small fraction of  $Al_2O_3$  because of the incomplete leaching of Al in Ni-Al alloy<sup>31</sup>. Therefore, it is the  $Al_2O_3$  in Raney Ni that was responsible for the

small fraction of n-butyl ether produced by Ni/PP catalyst with a <sup>75</sup>neutral polymer support.

Table 1. Hydrogenation of n-butyraldehyde with different catalysts over 100-140℃

Catalyst	$T(-)$	Conversion (%)	n-butyl ether (wt. %)
	100	99.99	0.013
	110	100	0.053
Raney Ni/PP	120	100	0.095
	140	100	0.499
	100	99.99	0.300
Raney	110	100	0.632
Ni/MAHPP	120	100	1.049
	140	100	1.843
	100	100	0.159
<b>Ni/Al2O3</b>	110	100	0.292
	120	100	0.677
	140	100	1.706
Raney Ni/PA	100	99.99	0.000

110	100	0.000	
120	100	0.015	
140	100	0.016	

Table 2. Element analysis results from the Raney Ni/PP, Raney  $Ni/PA$  and  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalyst surfaces by XPS



In order to further reduce the acid-catalyzed side reaction, an <sup>5</sup>alkalescent polymer, polyamide 6 (PA6, BL2340-H, Sinopec Baling Company), with lone pair electrons at the N atom for every repeating unit (Figure 2) was selected as a new support to replace PP. The Raney Ni/PA catalyst was prepared through the same procedures as the Raney Ni/PP catalyst except that the <sup>10</sup> compressing temperature was increased to 250℃.



Figure 2. Chain structure of PA6

The experimental results from the Raney Ni/PA catalyst are listed also in Table 1. As can be seen, the n-butyl ether content in <sup>15</sup>final product generated by Raney Ni/PA catalyst is undetectable at 100 and 110℃ whilst remaining very low (0.015 and 0.016 wt%) even at 120 and 140℃, respectively. It is worth to note that the n-butyl ether content in the final product from the Raney Ni/PA catalyst at 120℃ and above is close to one order of

- <sup>20</sup>magnitude lower than that for the Raney Ni/PP catalyst and nearly two orders of magnitude lower than that for both the Raney Ni/MAHPP and  $Ni/Al_2O_3$  catalysts. Of particular significance, Table 1 shows that clean preparation of n-butanol with a 100% conversion and undetectable n-butyl ether can be <sup>25</sup>achieved with the PA-supported Raney Ni catalyst at a relatively
- low temperature (110℃).

To understand why the PA6 support can reduce the side reaction caused by the  $Al_2O_3$  in the Raney Ni, we consider two possibilities: 1) the acidity of  $Al_2O_3$  in the Raney Ni was <sup>30</sup>neutralized by the basic PA6; and 2) there was no adsorption of n-butanol by the acidic  $Al_2O_3$  associated with the Raney Ni in the Raney Ni/PA catalyst because the N atom in the PA6 support has

- a higher affinity to n-butanol than the Al atom in  $Al_2O_3$ . However, our XPS measurements confirmed that the basic N atom in the
- 35 PA6 cannot affect the acidity of Al atom because of the relatively large "intermolecular distance". Indeed, the XPS Al 2s peaks of the Raney Ni/PA and  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalysts were located at 74.03 and 73.93 eV, respectively, which are almost the same within the experimental error and indicate no charge-transfer (base-acid
- <sup>40</sup>neutralization) interaction between the basic PA6 and acidic  $Al_2O_3$  in the Raney Ni. On the other hand, it is well known that the adsorption ability of a catalyst support to reactants and

products can largely affect the catalytic reactivity  $^{18, 23}$ . The PA6 support possesses strong adsorption ability to n-butanol since the

- <sup>45</sup>N atoms in PA6 can form hydrogen bonds with the -OH groups in n-butanol. Therefore, the following process might have occurred. Once produced from n-butyraldehyde catalyzed by Ni metal, nbutanol was selectively adsorbed by N atoms in the PA6, rather than the acidic Al atoms in the Raney Ni. Unlike the acidic Al
- $50$  atoms in  $Al_2O_3$ , the basic N atoms in the PA6 support cannot catalyze n-butanol to n-butyl ether. For the Raney Ni/MAHPP catalyst, maleic anhydride in the MAHPP support can also selectively adsorb n-butanol over  $Al_2O_3$ . However, the acidic maleic anhydride can effectively catalyze n-butanol to n-butyl <sup>55</sup>ether, leading to the formation of even more n-butyl ether by the Raney Ni/MAHPP catalyst with respect to the  $Ni/Al_2O_3$  catalyst (Table 1). Clearly, therefore, it is the interplay of the alkalinity and strong adsorption ability to n-butanol intrinsically associated with the N atoms in the PA support that makes the clean <sup>60</sup>preparation of n-butanol possible by the Raney Ni/PA catalyst. The relationship between the alkalinity or acidity of the catalyst support and the byproduct content (n-butyl ether) could be summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. The relationship between the property of catalyst support <sup>65</sup>and the byproduct content

	$\sim$ and the $\sigma$ , product content								
		Inorganic support	Organic support	Organic support with acid or alkaline group which can adsorb n-butanol					
	Support with alkalinity or acidity	$Al_2O_3$ (acidity)	PP (neutral)	PP-q-MAH (acidity)	PA <sub>6</sub> (alkalinity)				
	n-Butyl ether content	High	Low	Very high	Very low to undetectable				

We have performed SEM imaging to further elaborate the difference between the Raney Ni/PA catalyst and  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ catalyst. As can be seen in Figure 3, they showed quite different surface morphologies and different porosity. The BET specific  $\pi$  surface area of the Raney Ni/PA catalyst is only 4.5 m<sup>2</sup>/g, much lower than that of the  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalyst, which is usually in several tens to hundreds  $m^2/g$ . At the first glance, it seems strange to see that the more active polymer-supported catalyst has a lower specific surface area than that of the  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalyst. Unlike the  $\frac{1}{25}$  Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, however, most of the surface area of the Raney Ni/PA catalyst is covered by active Ni component, as indicated by XPS data in Table 2. Table 2 shows that the Ni content of the Raney Ni/PA catalyst surface is more than three times higher than that of the  $Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalyst surface.



Figure 3. SEM images of (a) the Ni/PA catalyst and (b) the Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst.

We have also investigated the long-term activity and 85 selectivity of the Raney Ni/PA catalyst over a temperature range of 100-140℃. Figure 4 shows an almost constant catalytic activity and n-butyl ether content over 220h and 100-140℃, indicating an excellent performance in terms of both activity and selectivity for Raney Ni/PA catalyst over long-term operation.



<sup>5</sup>Figure 4. Long-term catalytic test for the Raney Ni/PA catalyst under a pressure of 4.0 MPa at different temperatures over 100- 140℃; red stepwise lines represent the temperature sequence used for the test.

#### **Conclusions**

- <sup>10</sup>A new type of catalyst, Raney Ni supported by polyamide 6(*i.e.*, Raney Ni/PA), with a low specific surface area but a high activity has been developed, which led to clean preparation of n-butanol by using the alkalescent polymer support to enhance the selectivity of catalysts and to reduce or even eliminate side
- 15 reactions caused by the acidity of traditional catalyst supports. The polymer support can provide more eco-friendly catalyst preparation and recycling processes than those of traditional  $Al_2O_3$  and  $SiO_2$  supports. Polymer supported catalysts do not need calcinations at high temperatures and hydrogen reduction as
- $_{20}$  traditional  $Al_2O_3$ -supported catalysts need; therefore, energy and hydrogen consumption can also be reduced. Furthermore, the recycling of polymer supported catalysts need only calcining in air to get rid of polymer and the remaining metal alloys could be reused directly. In contrast, the recycling of the traditional
- <sup>25</sup>supported catalysts needs to use nitro-hydrochloric acid, which produces a lot of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  and the acid-solublized metals are difficult to be separated. As a series of important Raney metal catalysts, including but not limited to Raney nickel, Raney cobalt, and Raney copper, are routinely used in our chemical industry, we
- <sup>30</sup>believe that the use of polymers as catalyst supports can be leveraged to the whole catalyst family to achieve green chemistry by eliminating side reactions and cutting down resources and energy consumption caused by the side reaction in chemical industries.
- 35

#### **Notes and references**

- <sup>a</sup> College of Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing University of *Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China*
- *b SINOPEC Beijing Research Institute of Chemical Industry, Beijing*  <sup>40</sup>*100013, China*

*\*Correspondence to: Jinliang Qiao, qiaojl.bjhy@sinopec.com; Wei Dai, daiw.bjhy@sinopec.com* 

- 1. C. Copéret, M. Chabanas, R. Petroff Saint‐Arroman and J. M. Basset, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2003, **42**, 156-181.
- <sup>45</sup>2. M. Tada, S. Muratsugu, M. Kinoshita, T. Sasaki and Y. Iwasawa, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2009, **132**, 713-724.
- 3. H. M. Torres Galvis, J. H. Bitter, C. B. Khare, M. Ruitenbeek, A. I. Dugulan and K. P. de Jong, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 835-838.
- 4. J. L. Lu and P. C. Stair, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2010, **49**, 2547-2551. <sup>50</sup>5. J. K. Edwards and G. J. Hutchings, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2008, **47**, 9192-9198.
	- 6. A. M. Buchbinder, N. A. Ray, J. Lu, R. P. Van Duyne, P. C. Stair, E. Weitz and F. M. Geiger, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2011, **133**, 17816-17823.
- 7. M. W. Small, S. I. Sanchez, L. D. Menard, J. H. Kang, A. I. Frenkel <sup>55</sup>and R. G. Nuzzo, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2011, **133**, 3582-3591.
	- 8. C. Hu, T. Peng, X. Hu, Y. Nie, X. Zhou, J. Qu and H. He, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2009, **132**, 857-862.
- 9. L. Espinosa-Alonso, M. G. O'Brien, S. D. M. Jacques, A. M. Beale, K. P. d. Jong, P. Barnes and B. M. Weckhuysen, *J Am Chem Soc*, <sup>60</sup>2009, **131**, 16932-16938.
- 10. L. Espinosa-Alonso, A. A. Lysova, P. d. Peinder, K. P. d. Jong, I. V. Koptyug and B. M. Weckhuysen, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2009, **131**, 6525- 6534.
- 11. S. Bhattacharjee, D. M. Dotzauer and M. L. Bruening, *J Am Chem*  <sup>65</sup>*Soc*, 2009, **131**, 3601-3610.
- 12. C.-J. Jia, M. Schwickardi, C. Weidenthaler, W. Schmidt, S. Korhonen, B. M. Weckhuysen and F. Schüth, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2011, **133**, 11279-11288.
- 13. K.-i. Shimizu, R. Sato and A. Satsuma, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2009, <sup>70</sup>**48**, 3982-3986.
- 14. A. Salameh, A. Baudouin, J.-M. Basset and C. Copéret, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2008, **47**, 2117-2120.
- 15. E. Y. Ko, E. D. Park, H. C. Lee, D. Lee and S. Kim, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2007, **46**, 734-737.
- <sup>75</sup>16. A. T. Bell, *Science*, 2003, **299**, 1688-1691.
- 17. H. Lang, R. A. May, B. L. Iversen and B. D. Chandler, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2003, **125**, 14832-14836.
- 18. M. Serra, P. Salagre, Y. Cesteros, F. Medina and J. E. Sueiras, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2004, **272**, 353-362.
- <sup>80</sup>19. W. Long, N. A. Brunelli, S. A. Didas, E. W. Ping and C. W. Jones, *ACS Catalysis*, 2013, **3**, 1700-1708.
	- 20. *US Pat.*, 4503273, 1985.
- 21. *US Pat.*, 2013165703, 2013.
- 22. H. A. Dirkse, P. W. Lednor and P. C. Versloot, *Journal of the*  <sup>85</sup>*Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, 1982, 814-815.
- 23. S. Hu, M. Xue, H. Chen and J. Shen, *Chem Eng J*, 2010, **162**, 371- 379.
- 24. F. Mariño, M. Boveri, G. Baronetti and M. Laborde, *Int J Hydrogen Energ*, 2001, **26**, 665-668.
- <sup>90</sup>25. *WO Pat.*, 2013005748, 2013.
	- 26. L. J. I. Coleman, W. Epling, R. R. Hudgins and E. Croiset, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2009, **363**, 52-63.
- 27. Y. Liu and H. Wei, *Chemical Industry and Engineering Progress*, 2010, **29**, 970-975.
- <sup>95</sup>28. G. D. Clayton and F. E. Clayton, *Patty's industrial hygiene and toxicology: Toxicology*, 4th edn., John Wiley & Sons Inc, New York, 1994.
	- 29. J. K. Kim, J. H. Choi, J. H. Song, J. Yi and I. K. Song, *Catal Commun*, 2012, **27**, 5-8.
- <sup>100</sup>30. J. H. Choi, J. K. Kim, D. R. Park, S. Park, J. Yi and I. K. Song, *Catal Commun*, 2011, **14**, 48-51.
	- 31. P. Fouilloux, *Appl Catal*, 1983, **8**, 1-42.