# Dalton Transactions

## Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

# **Graphical abstract**

Palygorskite supported  $Y_2O_3$ :(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>) nanocomposites show simultaneous fluorescence and catalytic activities



# Journal Name

### PAPER

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2014, Accepted 00th January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

# Fluorescence and room temperature activity of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>)/palygorskite nanocomposite<sup>†</sup>

nanocomposite might have a potential application in the environmental fields.

The fluorescence and room temperature activity of palygorskite supported  $Y_2O_3$ :(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>)

nanocomposite were investigated to design a fluorescence indicated catalyst. The effects of

Au<sup>3+</sup> doping on the structure and surface properties of host material were systematically

characterized. The fluorescence intensity of  $Y_2O_3$ :Eu<sup>3+</sup> was affected by Au<sup>3+</sup> doping, which was related to the crystallinity of  $Y_2O_3$ . Excessive Au<sup>3+</sup> were segregated to the host surface and

reduced to metallic Au. The local symmetry of  $Eu^{3+}$  was reduced by  $Au^{3+}$  doping, which benefited the energy transfer between  $Eu^{3+}$  and  $Au^{3+}$ . Energy absorbed by  $Eu^{3+}$  was transferred from  $Au^{3+}$  to metallic Au where electrons were produced. These electrons were absorbed by  $O_2$ to change into  $O_2^{-}$ , which were the oxidant of *ortho*-dichlorobenzene (*o*-DCB). The variation of fluorescence intensity upon catalytic reaction was observed. The room temperature catalytic activity of the nanocomposite under UV irradiation was revealed. The as-synthesized

Xi He and Huaming Yang<sup>\*</sup>

Page 2 of 8

**RSCPublishing** 

#### 1. Introduction

What if the fluorescence of a composite varies as catalytic reaction goes on? This composite might have interesting applications. For example, it can be an environmental indicator when exposed to harmful pollutants. Its color or fluorescence intensity changes as a result of triggering catalytic reaction. It also can be "nightlights" for cities or highways providing guidance for drivers and purifying vehicle exhaust gas simultaneously.

Gold has been regarded as a novel catalyst in the last decades since the chemical properties of gold nanoparticles and salts in small amounts can differ from those of bulk gold.<sup>1</sup> Quantum size effect in the electronic structure caused by electron confinement to a restricted volume appears to be responsible for the unexpected catalytic properties of Au,<sup>2</sup> which has been applied in many fields.<sup>3-10</sup> Meanwhile, nanosized gold is also used to optimize the fluorescence/optical property through surface plasmon resonances.<sup>11-</sup> <sup>15</sup> There seems to be some kind of connection hide in catalysis and fluorescence, particularly in the gold-containing system. However, to the best of our knowledge, the connection has never been revealed before, let alone the variation of fluorescence upon catalytic reaction.

Department of Inorganic Materials, School of Minerals Processing and Bioengineering, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China

\*Corresponding author, email: hmyang@csu.edu.cn, Tel.: +86-731-88830549, Fax: +86-731-88710804.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: DOI: 10.1039/ x0xx00000x Gold catalysis is energy-consuming because heating is favorable to obtain a good activity.<sup>16-20</sup> The connection between fluorescence and catalysis can be established if energy transfer between them is realized. Energy transfer is affected by the interaction between the donor and the acceptor sites/species.<sup>21</sup> Therefore, energy transfer can be affected by doping since it varies the interaction in particles or ions.

 $Y_2O_3$  is a well-accepted fluorescence substrate. It possesses a cubic bixbyite structure (space group *Ia*3) and two distinct crystallographic sites available for rare earth ion substitution.<sup>22,23</sup> Take  $Y_2O_3$ :Eu<sup>3+</sup> for example. The intensity ratio of  ${}^5D_0{}^{-7}F_2$  to  ${}^5D_0{}^{-7}F_1$  is closely related to the local environment of Eu<sup>3+</sup>, which can be used as an indicator to reflect the change of local environment. The larger the intensity ratio, the lower the local symmetry.<sup>24,25</sup> Lowering local symmetry benefits energy transfer.<sup>26-28</sup> Therefore, energy transfer between Eu<sup>3+</sup> and dopant might be realized if the local symmetry of Eu<sup>3+</sup> is reduced. Meanwhile, this reducing can be easily reflected by the intensity ratio of  ${}^5D_0{}^{-7}F_2$  to  ${}^5D_0{}^{-7}F_1$ . Au<sup>3+</sup> appears to be a proper candidate as its radii is similar to Y<sup>3+</sup> but smaller than Eu<sup>3+</sup>, and the low temperature activity of gold nanocomposite for organic pollutant has been suggested in our previous work.<sup>29</sup>

 $Y_2O_3:(Eu^{3+},Au^{3+})/palygorskite, a fluorescence indicated gold$ nanocomposite, was reported herein. The connection betweenfluorescence and room temperature activity was fully investigated.The structure, surface, morphology, fluorescence and catalysis of thenanocomposite were characterized. All the evidence showed that $<math>Au^{3+}$  was successfully doped into  $Y_2O_3$ . Metallic Au was only formed on the surface of Au-5. Energy absorbed by  $Eu^{3+}$  was transferred from  $Au^{3+}$  to surface metallic Au where  $O_2^-$  was produced. The room temperature activity and the variation of fluorescence intensity upon catalytic reaction suggested that energy absorbed in fluorescence could be used in catalysis. The connection between fluorescence and catalysis might spark a novel way for the development of novel catalysts and catalytic equipment.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1 Materials

Palygorskite (Pal) was purchased from Xuyi, China. Ethanol (AR), HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (AR) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (AR) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.  $Y(NO_3)_3$ ·6H<sub>2</sub>O (4N) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (4N) was purchased from Xiya Reagent. Oleic acid (AR) was purchased from Kermel Reagent.

#### 2.2 Synthesis of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>)/Pal

Pal was dispersed in an ethanol-oleic acid solution and stirred at 60°C for 4 h. The volume ratio of ethanol to oleic acid was 40:1. The mixture was treated with sonication for 15 min before it was mixed with the ions solution.  $Y(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ ,  $Eu(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  and  $HAuCl_4 \cdot 4H_2O$  were dissolved in deionized water with magnetic stirring (Fig. 1). Oleic acid was added to produce a water-oil system. After being stirred at 25°C for 2 h, pretreated Pal mixture was added and further stirred for 8 h. Alkali (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 0.2 mol/L) was dropped at a rate of 100 ml/h to produce  $Y_2O_3:(Eu^{3+},Au^{3+})/Pal$  precursor. The precursor was washed twice before drying and calcination. The molar ratio of  $Y^{3+}$  to  $Eu^{3+}$  was 20:1, while the dosage of  $Au^{3+}$  varied with Au-*x*. *X* means the dosage of  $HAuCl_4 \cdot 4H_2O$ , whose concentration was 0.5 g/L ( $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$  mol/L). *X*=1 means 1 ml HAuCl\_4 \cdot 4H\_2O was added.



**Fig. 1** Illustration for the synthesis of  $Y_2O_3$ :( $Eu^{3+}$ , $Au^{3+}$ )/Pal. Samples with different  $Au^{3+}$  content show different fluorescence intensities. Numbers marked in red spheres means the dosage of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O. The PL intensity of  $Au^{3+}$  doped  $Y_2O_3$ : $Eu^{3+}$  is in the order of Au-1=Au-2<Au-5<Au-4<Au-3, which was also reflected by the color of spheres (the deeper the red, the stronger the intensity).

#### 2.3 Materials characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using a DX-2700 diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR 8120 spectrometer using the dried KBr disk technique, over the range 4000~400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific K-Alpha 1063 equipped with a hemispherical electron analyzer and an Al Ka X-ray radiation source. The pressure in the analysis chamber was below 10<sup>-9</sup> Torr during the analysis. The XPS binding energy (BE) was internally referenced to the C 1s peak (BE=284.8 eV). The scan parameters for Au 4f were 0.05 eV and 25 eV pass energy, while those for the other elements were 0.4 eV and 50 eV pass energy. The sample suspension pretreated with sonication for 15 min was dropped on a copper alloy stub for morphological analysis and composition characterization by a JSM-6360 LV scanning electron microscopy (SEM) equipped with an Oxford EDAX X-ray fluorescent energy-dispersion spectrum (EDS) analyzer. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) were conducted using a JEM 2100F microscope operated at 200 KeV. The fluorescence spectra were recorded on an F-4500 Fluorescence Spectrophotometer using a 450 W Xe lamp as the excitation source. The PL spectra of all the samples were obtained from the same way. Sample (2.0 g) was pressed  $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ N})$  into disk with diameter of 10 mm. All these disks (one sample, three disks) were characterized with the

same parameters. Finally, the mean values were used for comparison. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a UV-2450 UV-Vis spectro-photometer equipped with 10 mm quartz cell.

The content of Au<sup>3+</sup> was very low and the effects of Au<sup>3+</sup> doping might be interfaced by palygorskite, therefore samples were studied without palygorskite (except in SEM and TEM characterization) to better understand how the properties of  $Y_2O_3$ :Eu<sup>3+</sup> were affected by Au<sup>3+</sup> doping.

#### 2.4 Catalytic activity measurement

Room temperature catalytic reaction was conducted in a self-design reactor showed in Fig. S1. The feeding flow rate to the reactor was set at 70 ml/min, and *o*-DCB concentration was maintained at 600 ppm by a mass flow controller. 50 mg powders were dispersed on a glass carrier (the area loaded with samples was 5 cm  $\times$  2.5 cm), which was fixed in the center of quartz reactor. These glass carriers (loaded with samples) were dried at 60°C for 12 h before catalytic test. All the work reported in this paper was done with a low-pressure mercury lamp, which was rated at 6 W power consumption with 2 W of UV output at 254 nm. The inlet and outlet gases were analyzed by an on-line GC-4000A equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID).

#### 3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of Au<sup>3+</sup> doping on Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup>

ARTICLE

Successful doping of Au<sup>3+</sup> into Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was suggested in XRD (Fig. 2a). All the patterns were Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PDF#41-1105). Differences among patterns were not obvious as the content of gold was so limited. However, results calculated from XRD showed that the distances of planes decreased as gold dosage increased (Table S1). The radii of  $Y^{3+}$ , Eu<sup>3+</sup> and Au<sup>3+</sup> were 0.89, 0.96 and 0.85 Å respectively.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, the decreasing in interplanar distances suggested that  $Au^{3+}$  had incorporated in the crystal lattice of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The FWHM of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> decreased from Au-1 to Au-3 but increased from Au-3 to Au-5 (Table S2). Doping with big radii ions led to the deformation of host crystal structure.<sup>31</sup> However, this deformation might be reduced when ions with smaller radii were doped at the same time. This reducing would come to a limited extent when Au<sup>3+</sup>/Eu<sup>3+</sup> reached a proper ratio. Therefore, further doping with Au3+ ions would break the balance between Eu<sup>3+</sup> and Au<sup>3+</sup>. The crystallite size and strain of Au doped samples were listed in Table S3, it is obvious that the effect of strain on the peak shape can be ignored. The structure change of Pal was shown in Fig. S2.

FTIR spectra showed that the surface of  $Y_2O_3$  was partly modified in Au-5 (Fig. 2c). Band at 563 cm<sup>-1</sup> was the characteristic vibration of Y-O.<sup>32,33</sup> Bands at 869 and 1068 cm<sup>-1</sup> were the

deformation and symmetric stretching model of CO, which were also observed in hydroxyl-carbonate Y2O3 precursor.34 Band at 1486 cm-1 suggested the existence of  $CO_3^{2-32,33,35}$  Band at 1394 cm<sup>-1</sup> belonged to the stretching vibration of CO3<sup>-</sup> in Y(OH)CO3,<sup>34,36</sup> which was caused by adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> from air atmosphere. The big band ranged from 2800 to 3700 cm<sup>-1</sup> was the stretching vibration of physically adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>37</sup> The FTIR spectra from Au-1 to Au-4 were almost the same. However, the stretching vibration of physically adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>O in Au-5 was greatly reduced. Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reacted with H<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature in the following hydrolysis process:  $Y_2O_3$  + physisorbed water  $\rightarrow Y_2O_3$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O (strongly adsorbed on the surface layer)  $\rightarrow$  Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (H<sub>2</sub>O bulk species) or YOOH  $\rightarrow$  Y(OH)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>38</sup> YOOH or Y(OH)<sub>3</sub> could further react with CO<sub>2</sub> from air to form hydroxyl-carbonate species. Weakening bands of 869, 1068, 1486, 1394 cm<sup>-1</sup> and adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>O indicated that the surface of Au-5 was inert to H<sub>2</sub>O or CO<sub>2</sub>. Excessive Au<sup>3+</sup> in Au-5 might be segregated to the surface in the form of Au<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, metallic Au was formed on the surface of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> because Au<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was easily decomposed to metallic Au<sup>39,40</sup> and high temperature was necessary in producing  $Y_2O_3:Eu^{3+}$  (Fig. S3).



in (a, b,c) were normalized.

#### 3.2 Existence form of Au species

XPS was employed to certify Au species in Au-5 (Fig. 2d). The binding energies at 84.0 and 87.7 eV corresponded to metallic Au with Au  $4f_{7/2}$  and Au  $4f_{5/2}$  in the Au 4f spectra (Fig. 2d), respectively, which coincided with the results reported previously.<sup>39-42</sup> However, the binding energies demonstrating the existence of Au<sup>3+</sup> were not observed in Au-5 and the other four Au doped samples (Fig. S4),<sup>43-45</sup> indicating that Au<sup>3+</sup> was buried in the interior of the samples. The

fitting of O 1s (Fig. 2e) region with three peaks indicated that three kinds of oxygen species existed in the near surface domain of  $Y_2O_3$ . The O 1s binding energy at 528.4 eV was lower than that of crystal lattice oxygen.<sup>38,46</sup> The oxygen was associated with reduced yttrium centers (Fig. 2f) because  $Y^{3+}$  could get electrons from Au.<sup>47,48</sup> Binding energy at 531.2 eV was attributed to hydroxyl groups on the surface of nanoparticles, and binding energy at 533.3 eV belonged to

ARTICLE

oxygen of adsorbed water.<sup>46,49</sup> Binding energy at 155.7 eV was assigned to reduced yttrium, while those at 157.4 and 159.5 eV were attributed to  $Y_2O_3$ .<sup>48,50-52</sup> However, lower binding energies of O 1s and Y 3d were not observed in  $Y_2O_3$ :Eu<sup>3+</sup> (Fig. S5).

Fig. 3 shows the morphologies of Au-5. Interplanar distances of 3.04, 3.05 and 3.06 Å belonged to  $Y_2O_3$  (PDF#41-1105), while that of 3.20 and 2.01 Å were from Au<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PDF#43-1039) and metallic Au (PDF#04-0784), respectively. More interplanar distances were shown in Fig. S6. Interplanar distances of  $Y_2O_3$  were reduced by Au<sup>3+</sup> doping as some of these values were smaller than standard values. Obvious contrast between the lattice fringes of metallic Au and  $Y_2O_3$  could be observed if they were not in the same level. However, the lattice fringes of both were apparent. Therefore, metallic Au particles with diameter of 5 nm were coated on  $Y_2O_3$  like a layer. SEM images of  $Y_2O_3$ :(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>)/Pal were shown in Fig. S7 and Fig. S8. The dispersion of  $Y_2O_3$ :(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>) was improved when Pal was used as the support.



Fig. 3 (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of Au-5 sample.

#### 3.3 Effect of Au doping on the local environment of Eu<sup>3+</sup>

Fluorescence spectra not only showed excitation level of activators but also reflected their local environment. Fig. 4a shows the emission spectra of  $Y_2O_3$ :(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>) excited at 254 nm. The green emission at 535 nm belonged to  ${}^5D_1{}^{-7}F_1$  (the emission of  ${}^5D_1{}^{-7}F_1$  is dominant compared with other emissions of  ${}^5D_1$  which are too weak to detect).<sup>53</sup> Emission at 580 nm corresponded to  ${}^5D_0{}^{-7}F_0$ , emissions between 586-600 nm belonged to  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{1}$ .<sup>54,55</sup> The strongest emission at 612 nm corresponded to  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$ .<sup>24,56,57</sup> Emissions at 650 and 707 nm were from  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{3}$  and  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{4}$ , respectively.<sup>54</sup> The intensity of  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$  increased from Au-1 to Au-3 then decreased from Au-3 to Au-5. The enhancement of fluorescence intensity was due to the increasing of crystallinity.<sup>58</sup>

The ratio of  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$  to  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{1}$  was closely related to the local environment of Eu<sup>3+,53</sup> The larger the ratio, the lower the local symmetry.<sup>24,25</sup> The ratio of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:(Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Au<sup>3+</sup>) was bigger than that of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup> (Table 1), indicating that the local symmetry was reduced by Au<sup>3+</sup> doping. The  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$  transition was electric dipole forbidden in crystal sites that have inversion symmetry, which means that Eu<sup>3+</sup> at  $C_2$  site contributes to  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$  transition. However, Eu<sup>3+</sup> at  $C_2$  and  $C_{3i}$  sites both contribute to the  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{1}$  transition. The ratio of  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$ to  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{1}$  increased from Au-1 to Au-5 (Table 1). High ratio in Au<sup>3+</sup> doped samples means some of Eu<sup>3+</sup> in  $C_{3i}$  sites were substituted by Au<sup>3+</sup>. Therefore, substituted Eu<sup>3+</sup> was pushed to  $C_2$  sites, and more  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$  transition was observed. The local symmetry was reduced as Eu<sup>3+</sup> was pushed from  $C_{3i}$  to  $C_2$  sites, and energy transfer was promoted.



Fig. 4 Room temperature fluorescence of different samples.

	Sample	Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> :Eu <sup>3+</sup>	Au-1	Au-2	Au-3	Au-4	Au-5
	Ratio	4.47	6.63	6.81		6.85	6.95
Ĩ					5- 7-		

Note: The ratio of Au-3 is failed to obtain as the  ${}^{5}D_{0}{}^{-7}F_{2}$  intensity exceeds the detection limit of the equipment, however, the trend caused by Au<sup>3+</sup> doping is still obvious.

#### 3.4 Room temperature activity of Au-5

The possibility of Au-5 as a catalyst was proposed based on the analysis mentioned above. Interestingly, Au-5 showed good catalytic activity for o-DCB at room temperature (Fig. 5). Meanwhile, the fluorescence intensity variation upon catalytic reaction grabbed our greatest attention. To the best of our knowledge, this phenomenon has not been reported before. Energy was transferred from Eu<sup>3+</sup> to surface metallic Au as surface plasmon resonances of Au was not obvious (Fig. S9) and metal ions/atom pairs could transfer energy.<sup>59</sup> Schematic diagram of Eu<sup>3+</sup>, Au<sup>3+</sup> co-doping and energy transfer was depicted in Fig. 6. Energy absorbed by Eu<sup>3+</sup> under UV irradiation was transferred from Au<sup>3+</sup> to surface metallic Au where O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> were produced, similar to reported results.60 Therefore, o-DCB was completely decomposed under the attack of O<sub>2</sub>. The formation of  $O_2^-$  was also proved in degradation of methylene blue (Fig. S10), and energy transfer in Au-5 was also suggested by the resistance experiment (Fig. S11).

Defects in the host matrix acted as non-radiative recombination centers that reduce the fluorescence intensity.<sup>61</sup> Metallic Au could cut or weaken the Y-O bond to benefit the escape of oxygen, providing opportunities to generate oxygen vacancies and release

free electrons.<sup>29</sup> Therefore, the fluorescence intensity decreased as catalytic reaction goes on. However, the fluorescence intensity would partly recover when *o*-DCB was exhausted and completely recover when Au-5 was calcined in sufficient oxygen atmosphere. Moreover, the fluorescence intensity maintained the same when the concentration of *o*-DCB was zero.



**Fig. 5** Catalytic test and fluorescence intensity variation upon catalytic reaction of Au-5. Photographs were taken at every 1 h by a digital camera with focusing on the center of the glass carrier.



**Fig. 6** Schematic diagram of  $Eu^{3+}$ ,  $Au^{3+}$  co-doping and energy transfer. Balls marked in green, red, yellow and blue are  $Y^{3+}$ ,  $Eu^{3+}$ ,  $Au^{3+}$  and  $O^{2-}$  respectively.  $C_{3i}$  sites locate inside of octahedral which are surrounded by  $C_2$  sites. The red and yellow octahedral means the  $C_{3i}$  sites are occupied by  $Eu^{3+}$  and  $Au^{3+}$ , respectively. A shows the  $Y_2O_3$  lattice without doping. B is  $Eu^{3+}$  doped  $Y_2O_3$ ,  $Eu^{3+}$  would occupy  $C_2$  or  $C_{3i}$  sites and give red emission under UV irradiation. However,  $Eu^{3+}$  would be pushed from  $C_{3i}$  to  $C_2$  sites when  $Au^{3+}$  are added, which would reduce the local symmetry of  $Eu^{3+}$ . The reducing of local symmetry benefits energy transfer between  $Eu^{3+}$  and  $Au^{3+}$ . Therefore, the energy absorbed by  $Eu^{3+}$  is partially transferred from  $Au^{3+}$  to surface metallic Au where the catalytic reaction is triggered.

#### 4. Conclusions

 $Y_2O_3:(Eu^{3+},Au^{3+})/Pal$  was successfully synthesized via a coprecipitation method. The fluorescence variation of  $Y_2O_3:Eu^{3+}$  and the room temperature activity of Au-5 were caused by Au<sup>3+</sup> doping. The local symmetry of  $Eu^{3+}$  was reduced by  $Au^{3+}$  doping, which benefited energy transfer. Absorbed energy was transferred from  $Eu^{3+}$  to  $Au^{3+}$  and to surface metallic Au where electrons were produced. O<sub>2</sub> absorbed these electrons to change into O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, which were the active species of oxidation. The highlight of this work is ARTICLE

that the hidden connection between fluorescence and catalysis for gold was revealed. Moreover, the fluorescence intensity varies upon catalytic reaction, the as-synthesized nanocomposite might have potential application in the field of environmental catalysis.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (51225403), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20120162110079), the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Fund for Innovative Research Groups (201302) and Hunan Provincial Innovation Foundation for Postgraduates (CX2012B122).

#### Notes and references

- 1 G.J. Hutchings, Catal. Today, 2005, 100, 55-61.
- 2 H.-G. Boyen, G. Kästle, F. Weigl, B. Koslowski, C. Dietrich, P. Ziemann, J.P. Spatz, S. Riethmüller, C. Hartmann, M. Möller, G. Schmid, M.G. Garnier and P. Oelhafen, *Science*, 2002, 297, 1533-1536.
- 3 M. Rodríguez-Castillo, D. Laurencin, F. Tielens, A. van der Lee, S. Clément, Y. Guari and S. Richeter, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 43, 5978-5982.
- 4 R. Liu, J. Liu, W. Kong, H. Huang, X. Han, X. Zhang, Y. Liu and Z. Kang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4DT00630E.
- 5 D.-M. Tang, L. Chang, J.-W. Yu, L.-L. Zhang, P.-X. Hou, J.-C. Li, F. Li, Y. Bando, D. Golberg and H.-M. Cheng, *ACS Nano*, 2014, 8, 292-301.
- 6 S. Li, H. Zhu, Z. Qin, G. Wang, Y. Zhang, Z. Wu, Z. Li, G. Chen, W. Dong, Z. Wu, L. Zheng, J. Zhang, T. Hu and J. Wang, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2014, **144**, 498-506.
- 7 P.J. Chueh, R.-Y. Liang, Y.-H. Lee, Z.-M. Zeng and S.-M. Chuang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2014, **264**, 303-312.
- 8 J. Carreras, G. Gopakumar, L. Gu, A. Gimeno, P. Linowski, J. Petuškova, W. Thiel and M. Alcarazo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 135, 18815-18823.
- 9 F. Menegazzo, M. Signoretto, F. Pinna, M. Manzoli, V. Aina, G. Gerrato and F. Boccuzzi, *J. Catal.*, 2014, **309**, 241-247.
- 10 S. Xiang, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Sheng, S. Zhou and Z. Yang, *Dalton Trans.*, DOI: 10.1039/C4DT00882K.
- 11 C.W. Cheng, E.J. Sie, B. Liu, C.H.A. Huan, T.C. Sum, H.D. Sun and H.J. Fan, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **96**, 071107.
- 12 J.B. González-Díaz, A. García-Martín, J.M. García-Martín, A. Cebollada, G. Armelles, B. Sepúlveda, Y. Alaverdyan and M. Käll, Small, 2008, 4, 202-205.
- 13 M.S. Kim, D.H. Park, E.H. Cho, K.H. Kim, Q.-H. Park, H. Song, D.-C. Kim, J. Kim and J. Joo, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 1329-1334.
- 14 T. Tozawa, Chem. Commun., 2004, 1904-1905.
- 15 J. Lee, A.O. Govorov, J. Dulka and N.A. Kotov, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, **4**, 2323-2330.
- 16 A. Corma and H. Garcia, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 2096-2126.
- 17 A. Corma and P. Serna, *Science*, 2006, **313**, 332-334.
- 18 M.D. Hughes, Y.-J. Xu, P. Jenkins, P. McMorn, P. Landon, D.I. Enache, A.F. Carley, G.A. Attard, G.J. Hutchings, F. King, E.H.

Stitt, P. Johnston, K. Griffin and C.J. Kiely, *Nature*, 2005, **437**, 1132-1135.

- 19 Q. Fu, H. Saltsburg and M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, *Science*, 2003, **301**, 935-938.
- 20 M. Yang, L.F. Allard and M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 3768-3771.
- 21 J. Bang, H. Yang and P.H. Holloway, J. Chem. Phys., 2005, 123, 084709.
- 22 F. Vetrone, J.-C. Boyer, J.A. Capobianco, A. Speghini and M. Bettinelli, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 2737-2743.
- 23 S. Chandra, F.L. Deepak, J.B. Gruber and D.K. Sardar, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 874-880.
- 24 A.P. Jadhav, A. Pawar, C.W. Kim, H.G. Cha, U. Pal and Y.S. Kang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 16652-16657.
- 25 T. Myint, R. Gunawidjaja and H. Eilers, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 1687-1693.
- 26 W. Choe, Y.-H. Kiang, Z. Xu and S. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, 1999, 11, 1776-1783.
- 27 Y.V. Suleimanov and A.A. Buchachenko, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 8959-8967.
- 28 T. Ansbacher, H.K. Srivastava, T. Stein, R. Baer, M. Merkx and A. Shurki, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 4109-4117.
- 29 X. He and H. Yang, J. Mol. Catal. A, 2013, **379**, 219-224.
- 30 R.D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr., 1976, A32, 751-767.
- 31 B. Cheng, Z. Zhang, H. Liu, Z. Han, Y. Xiao and S. Lei, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 7821-7826.
- 32 L. Muresan, E.-J. Popovici, R. Grecu and L.B. Tudoran, J. *Alloys Compd.*, 2009, **471**, 421-427.
- 33 M.K. Devaraju, S. Yin and T. Sato, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 4698-4704.
- 34 M.I. Martinez-Rubio, T.G. Ireland, G.R. Fern, J. Silver and M.J. Snowden, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 7145-7149.
- 35 F. Vetrone, J.C. Boyer, J.A. Capobianco, A. Speghini and M. Bettinelli, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 1107-1112.
- 36 C.A. Traina and J. Schwartz, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 9158-9161.
- 37 G. Pan, H. Song, X. Bai, L. Fan, H. Yu, Q. Dai, B. Dong, R. Qin, S. Li, S. Lu, X. Ren and H. Zhao, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, 111, 12472-12477.
- 38 Y. Kuroda, H. Hamano, T. Mori, Y. Yoshikawa and M. Nagao, *Langmuir*, 2000, 16, 6937-6947.
- 39 H. Tsai, E. Hu, K. Perng, M. Chen, J.-C. Wu and Y.-S. Chang, *Surf. Sci.*,2003, 537, L447-L450.
- 40 L.K. Ono and B.R. Cuenya, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, **112**, 4676-4686.
- 41 J. Torres, C.C. Perry, S.J. Bransfield and D.H. Fairbrother, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2002, **106**, 6265-6272.
- 42 Yu. Mikhlin, M. Likhatski, Ye. Tomashevich, A. Romanchenko, S. Erenburg and S. Trubina, J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 2010, 177, 24-29.
- 43 E.D. Park and J.S. Lee, J. Catal., 1999, 186, 1-11.
- 44 F.-W. Chang, H.-Y. Yu, L.Selva Roselin, H.-C. Yang and T.-C. Ou, *Appl. Catal. A*, 2006, **302**, 157-167.
- 45 C. Zhou, J. Yu, Y. Qin and J. Zheng, *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 4228-4233.

- 46 V. Bondarenka, S. Grebinskij, S. Kaciulis, G. Mattogno, S. Mickevicius, H. Tvardauskas, V. Volkov and G. Zakharova, J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 2001, 120, 131-135.
- 47 Y. Zhang and R.J. Puddephatt, *Chem. Mater.*, 1999, **11**, 148-153.
- 48 W. Yao, X. Zheng, Y. Guo, W. Zhan, Y. Guo and G. Lu, J. Mol. Catal. A, 2011, 342-343, 30-34.
- 49 X. Zhang, H. Yang and A. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 16271-16297.
- 50 W.O. Gordon, B.M. Tissue and J.R. Morris, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 3233-3240.
- 51 W. Yao, Y. Guo, X. Liu, Y. Guo, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang and G. Lu, *Catal. Lett.*, 2007, **119**, 185-190.
- 52 H.-X. Zhong, Y.-L. Ma, X.-F. Cao, X.-T. Chen and Z.-L. Xue, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 3461-3466.
- 53 X. Bai, H. Song, L. Yu, L. Yang, Z. Liu, G. Pan, S. Lu, X. Ren, Y. Lei and L. Fan, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 15236-15242.
- 54 H. Wang, M. Yu, C. Lin, X. Liu and J. Lin, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 11223-111230.
- 55 N. Zhang, X. Liu, R. Yi, R. Shi, G. Gao and G. Qiu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 17788-17795.
- 56 J.A. Nelson, E.L. Brant and M.J. Wagner, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, 15, 688-693.
- 57 X. Li, Q. Li, Z. Xia, L. Wang, W. Yan, J. Wang and R.I. Boughton, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2006, **6**, 2193-2196.
- 58 A.K. Parchur and R.S. Ningthoujam, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 10859-10868.
- 59 C. Strohhöfer and A. Polman, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **81**, 1414-1416.
- H. Zhu, X. Chen, Z. Zheng, X. Ke, E. Jaatinen, J. Zhao, C. Guo,
  T. Xie and D. Wang, *Chem. Comm.*, 2009, 7524-7526.
- 61 K. Toshikiyo, M. Tokunaga, S. Takeoka, M. Fujii and S. Hayashi, J. Appl. Phys., 2001, **89**, 4917-4920.

Page 8 of 8