# Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

# Dalton Transactions **RSCPublishing**

## **ARTICLE**

**Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x** 

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

**www.rsc.org/** 

*a* Dpto. Química Inorgánica I, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [S1- S5: X ray diffraction pattern and scanning microscopy image of MnCO3 and MnO2. S6: X ray diffraction pattern of Mn-EG and Fe-EG. S7: a) Thermogravimetric analysis of Mn-EG and Fe-EG. b) x-ray diffraction pattern of Residue. S8: scanning microscopy image of Mn2-xFexO3. S9: EELS spectra for the SG sample: a) Mn L2,3 and b) Fe L2,3 edges. S10: Charge/discharge curves at rate of C/5 between 3-5V for LiMn1.5Fe0.5O4 prepare by different method. S11: Charge/discharge curves at a rate C/5 for LiMn1.5Fe0.5O4/Li2MnO3 composite cathodes].. See DOI: 10.1039/b0000000x/

#### **Introduction**

Nowadays, energy and environment are the two most challenging issues faced by our society. Batteries are particularly interesting portable power sources, commonly used in household and industrial applications such as energy storage and management  $1,2$ . Among various existing batteries, rechargeable lithium batteries have high advantages in the development of energy devices because of their high energy density, long life cycle, cost-effective, long lasting, and environmental sustainability  $3,4$ . Thus, lithium-ion batteries can be considered to be the most impressive success story of modern electrochemistry in the last two decades<sup>3</sup> .

The material for a cathode of a rechargeable battery should be capable of reversibly insert/deinsert Li ions at a large capacity and high potential, and should undergo minimal structural changes

# **Role of Morphology in the Performance of LiFe0.5Mn1.5O4 Spinel Cathodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries**

M.P. Pico *<sup>a</sup> ,* I. Álvarez-Serrano *<sup>a</sup>* , M. L. López *<sup>a</sup>*and M.L. Veiga *<sup>a</sup>*

Spinel oxides with composition  $Lim_{2-x}M_xO_4$  (*M*, a transition metal) are intensively studied due to their remarkable electrochemical properties. This paper deals with cathode materials based on the lithium iron manganese oxide  $LIFe_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4$  synthesized by different methods (sol-gel, in solution and hydrothermal) in order to obtain samples with various morphologies. SEM results show microspheres, composed of nanosized/submicrometer-sized subunits, microrods with a less porous surface, and finally nanoparticles that form micro-sized aggregates. The samples obtained by both solution and hydrothermal methods provided the best electrochemical behavior. In all cases the coulombic efficiency is around 90% and remains constant during the tested cycles. Specific capacities are practically maintained stable, between 95-98% of capacity retention, after series of cycles in samples formed by microspheres or micro-size aggregates. These values are notably higher than those obtained for the samples with particles of heterogeneous size (49 %). A  $\text{Lim}_{1.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_4/\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3$  composite has been prepared by solvothermal technique in order to increase the capacity and energy density. These cells show good cyclability at different current densities. All cells based on these  $\text{LiFe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$  cathodes recover their discharge capacity when the current density returns to C/10.

during this process for acceptable cycle performance. Among the most promising Li-insertion compounds, the manganese-spinel  $Li<sub>1</sub>$ .  $x$ Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is now used as 4.0 V positive-electrode material in rechargeable lithium batteries because of its abundance, low-cost and environment friendliness, although several drawbacks have impeded its general use. The major drawback of  $LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  phase is related to the high content of Jahn–Teller  $Mn<sup>3+</sup>$  ions, which dismute into  $Mn^{4+}$  and are highly soluble  $Mn^{2+}$ . As a consequence of this effect there is a 16 % elongation of the c-axis upon phase conversion, which causes fracturing and disconnection of particles, and results in rapid capacity fading upon cycling at room temperature. Removal of Li from the tetrahedral sites to form the delithiated phase,  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$ , is much more reversible and occurs above  $4 \text{ V}$  vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>, being cycling restricted to the  $4 \text{ V}$  region. <sup>6,7</sup>. But this problem can be partially solved through cationic substitution with different metal cations (e.g., Al, Ti, Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu) giving better

performance characteristics than  $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$  <sup>8-10</sup>. In particular, intensive efforts have been focused on the development of the spinel  $LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and variants as very promising cathode materials for high-energy lithium-ion batteries  $\frac{11}{1}$ . In comparison with LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, manganese remains essentially as  $Mn^{4+}$  in octahedral sites under normal cycling conditions, avoiding thus the Jahn–Teller distortion of  $Mn^{3+}$  ions. Different methods of synthesis  $12,13$  show that  $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_1\text{,}^{\text{}}\text{O}_4$  is formed together with impurities of  $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x\text{O}$ rocksalt-type, although its electrochemical characteristics of high discharge potential, excellent rate capability and good cyclability seem to be of great interest. Nevertheless, the high operating potential may require the use of specially designed electrolytic solutions, coatings on particle surfaces to reduce coulombic inefficiencies and extend life cycle. As it occurs with the  $LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ variants, dissolution of Mn is potentially a problem for life cycle.

On the other hand, in order to avoid the problem of nickel toxicity, the LiFe<sub>0.5</sub> $Mn_{1.5}O_4$  spinel is an excellent candidate due to its lower cost and lesser toxicity in comparison with similar transition metal materials. Moreover, preliminary electrochemical studies show excellent electrochemical properties of the  $\text{LiFe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$  spinel as a 5 V cathode material  $14$ ,  $15$ . In this paper, the preparation of  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  spinel by different methods to use them as cathode materials for Li-ion batteries is reported. Furthermore, these materials are characterized from a structural and electrochemical point of view, and the results obtained can be related to the samples morphology. To the best of our knowledge, such a detailed study of this material has not been developed before.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### **2.1. Synthesis**

The  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  spinel was synthesized by different methods in order to modulate the morphology of the samples obtained.

a) **Synthesis by "liquid mix" method:** Polycristalline powders of  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  were synthesized by a sol–gel method (SG hereafter), starting from the required amounts of  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$  (Merck),  $Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O$  (Merck) and  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O$  (Panreac) dissolved in deionized water. After that, nitric acid, citric acid monohydrate and ethylene glycol were added and the solution was heated and stirred. Dark brown solids were obtained after this process. A further thermal treatment at 400 °C during a week was applied giving rise to pure phases. Then, the sample was heated for a week at  $600^{\circ}$ C<sup>16</sup>.

The following methods consist in obtaining the precursor materials that will be used as a template.

b) **Synthesis by solution method (known in the literature as impregnation method <sup>17</sup>):** Modified versions of this impregnation method were used to prepare two different samples of shape-controlled MnCO<sub>3</sub> precursor, which were then calcinated to yield the textured  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  powders that were further treated with the lithium and iron nitrates to obtain two different spinel samples. On one hand, the precursor MnCO<sub>3</sub> was obtained by a previously reported precipitation method, in which minor modifications have been incorporated  $^{18}$ . In brief, MnSO<sub>4</sub> H<sub>2</sub>O and NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> were separately dissolved in distilled water. Then, ethanol and the  $NH_4HCO_3$  solution were added to the  $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ solution in sequence under stirring. The mixture was kept stirring for 1 h at room temperature and then centrifuged, washed with water and ethanol three times. The product

obtained was dried at 80  $^{\circ}$ C. X-Ray diffraction (XRD) pattern shown in Figure S1 confirms that the product is MnCO<sub>3</sub>. These particles have a spherical shape as it can be observed with scanning electron microscopy (Figure S2a). On the other hand, some amount of  $(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  was also added to the initial  $MnSO_4 H_2O$  solution and the precipitation was performed by maintaining the mixture at 50 °C for 9 h; in these conditions the MnCO<sub>3</sub> microspheres obtained were greater in size (Figure S2b).

Highly porous  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  microspheres were synthesized by thermal decomposition of the above described  $MnCO<sub>3</sub>$ microspheres at 400  $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h (Figures S3 and S4). These  $MnO_2$  samples were mixed with  $LiNO_3$  and  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O$  and heated at 700 °C for one week, yielding to the spinel-type samples labelled as Imp\_1 and Imp<sub>2</sub> hereafter. In this step,  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O$ are introduced into mesopores of the  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  microspheres by a simple impregnation method. The reactions that take place in this process have been already described by Zhou et al.  $27$ .

c) Template method (TM):

The aim of this method is to obtain  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  rods, as a precursor, by hydrothermal reactions.  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  rods were synthesized by a previously reported procedure . Analytical grade  $Mn(CH_3COO)_2$  4H<sub>2</sub>O (99.99% Aldrich),  $Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  ( $\geq$ 98% reagent grade, Sigma-Aldrich) and deionized water were used without further purification. In a typical synthesis,  $Mn(CH_3COO)_2$  4H<sub>2</sub>O and  $Na_2S_2O_8$ were dissolved at room temperature with a molar ratio of 1:1 in 80 mL of distilled water by magnetic stirring to form a homogeneous clear solution. This solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 120 °C for 12 h in an electric oven for the hydrothermal reaction. After the reaction, the final precipitated products were washed sequentially with deionized water and ethanol to remove the sulfate ions and other remnants by filtration. The powder obtained was subsequently dried at 100 °C for 12 h in air. The corresponding XRD pattern is shown in Figure S5, and it is the main characteristic of  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  rutile. These  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  rods were then used as a template. Thus, stoichometric amounts of  $MnO_2$  rods,  $LiNO_3$  and  $Fe(NO_3)_3.9H_2O$  were mixed and heated at  $700^{\circ}$ C for 24 h in alumina crucibles.

d) **Solvothermal Synthesis (SS):** The synthesis procedure is based on the method used by Hu et al.  $20$ . These authors described the preparation of  $CoMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  hierarchical microspheres assembled with porous nanosheets by a solvothermal synthesis followed by a thermal decomposition. The first step, in our case, consists in precipitating Mn and Fe alcoxide powders from ethanodiol solutions of the respective salts. Furthermore, the powder obtained is mixed with the stoichometric amount of  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$ and the following thermal decomposition leads to a crystalline spinel.

In a typical synthesis,  $Mn(CH_3COO)_2$  4H<sub>2</sub>O (99.99%) Aldrich) and  $Fe(CH_3COO)_2$  (95% Aldrich) in stoichiometric ratio were dissolved at room temperature in ethylene glycol (99 % Panreac), as well as polyethylene glycol 1000 (99 % Panreac). Magnetic stirring was applied to form a homogeneous clear solution. Ethylene glycol (EG) is an optimal solvent that presents good coordination ability, and serves as a ligand to form coordination complexes with several metallic cations upon heating <sup>20</sup>. The mixed solution was transferred into a 50 mL Teflonlined stainless steel autoclave and heated up to 200 °C for 12 h in an electric oven for the hydrothermal reaction. After the reaction, the final precipitated products were washed sequentially with deionized water and ethanol and the powder obtained was subsequently dried at 100 °C for 12 h in air. Just after this,  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$  (98% Merck) was added in a stoichometric proportion. The mixture was heated in a furnace at  $700^{\circ}$ C during 33 h.

The precursor phase formed in the solvothermal reaction was characterized by XRD. Figure S6 shows the crystallinity of the precursor, which is similar to that shown by Mn-EG<sup>21</sup> and Fe-EG<sup>22</sup>. The strong peak around  $2\theta = 10^{\circ}$  is characteristic of products formed during polyol-mediated processes  $20$ . In this case, it could correspond to the formation of metal glycolates or alkoxide derivatives by alcoholysis and coordination of ethylene glycol with the metal ions as  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(O)<sub>2</sub>Mn$  and  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(O)<sub>2</sub>Fe.$ 

Furthermore, the precursor was characterized by thermogravimetric analysis (TG), shown in Figure S7a, in which a weight loss around  $31(1)$ % is achieved at 600 °C under an oxygen atmosphere, that is typical of this kind of products <sup>21</sup>. The TG residue was characterized and the XRD pattern is shown in Figure S7b. All the diffraction peaks could be indexed on the basis of phase  $Mn_{2-x}Fe_xO_3$ (JCPDS nº 24-507).

In order to obtain the Mn and Fe content in this phase, a TG analysis under  $3He:2H_2$  atmosphere was carried out with a heating rate of  $3^{\circ}$ C·min<sup>-1</sup> up to 600 °C. The XRD pattern of the residue (not shown) allowed us to identify the final products of the reduction process and settle the reaction expressed bellow:

$$
Mn_{2-X}Fe_XO_3 \rightarrow (2-x)MnO + xFe + \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)O_2
$$

The experimental weight loss was around  $17(1)$ % and the deduced composition of this phase was  $Mn_{1,3}Fe<sub>0.7</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ . The corresponding Mn/Fe ratio is 1.9(4), which is lower than the theoretical value. Moreover, the Mn/Fe ratio determined by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis is 1.9(5) instead of 3, in good agreement with the TG results carried out under hydrogen atmosphere.

Figure S8 shows representative images obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of  $Mn_{2-x}Fe_xO_3$  after heating the precursor at 600 °C. These images reveal that the product is composed by a great deal of spherical particles with diameters ranging from 50 to 150 nm (Figure S8a). These particles seem to be agglomerates that give rise to different morphologies (Figure S8b). Nanosheets with thickness of around 50 nm and width of around 2 µm (Figures S8c and S8d) are also observed. Moreover, the surfaces of these particles are highly porous, similarly to those obtained by means of the impregnation method commented above.

Finally,  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was obtained by mixing stoichiometric amounts of  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$  and the metal-alcoxide precursor at 700  $^{\circ}$ C during 33 h. The sample prepared by this method will be labelled SS hereafter.

#### **2.2. Characterization**

All the samples obtained were identified by XRD at room temperature, and the data were refined using the Rietveld method and FULLPROF software <sup>23</sup>. The XRD patterns were recorded with a Philips X'PertMPD diffractometer with a PW 3050/00 goniometer,

using Ni filtered Cu Ka and 2 step size of  $0.05^{\circ}$  with a counting time of 12.5 s for each step. The goniometer was connected to a PC controlled by the commercial program PCAPD.

Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) experiments were performed using a JEOL JEM 3000F microscope operating at 300 kV, with an ENFINA spectrometer with an energy resolution of 1.3 eV. EELS spectra were acquired for the  $L_{2,3}$  edge of the transition metals.

Thermogravimetric  $(TG)$  data under  $O_2$  atmosphere were obtained by a Perkin Elmer Pyris thermogravimeter at 10 K min<sup>-1</sup> heating/cooling rate. TG analyses in reductive conditions were carried out under a  $H_2/He$  (300 mbar/200 mbar) atmosphere using a Cahn D-200 electrobalance. This device is equipped with a furnace and a two-channel register allowing simultaneously the recording of the weight loss and the temperatures.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were obtained with a JEOL JSM 6335F microscope. The porous structure of the samples was determined by  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption at -196 °C, performed in a SA 3100 surface area analyzer (Beckman Coulter). Samples were previously outgassed for at least 4 hours at  $100\degree C$ , before and after adsorption. From the  $N_2$  isotherm (P/P<sub>0</sub> = 0.98), the apparent surface area was determined applying the BET equation. The pore volume (Vp) and mean pore diameter (dp) were also obtained from the  $N_2$  isotherm data.

Electrochemical charge/discharge curves were measured using Swagelok<sup>®</sup> cells. Cathodes were prepared by mixing 80 wt% synthesized samples,  $15 \text{ wt\%}$  extra pure carbon and  $5 \text{ wt\%}$ polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF). The resulting electrodes had an active material loading of around 10-15 mg. The mixture was pressed to obtain a circular tablet. The electrolyte employed was ethylene carbonate (EC)–diethyl carbonate (DEC) (50/50) 1 M- $LiPF_6$  soaked up in a carbon microfiber disk. Lithium metal was the anode. Cells were packed under a purified Ar atmosphere.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1. Structural and microstructural studies**

The XRD patterns of  $Life_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4$  obtained with different synthesis methods are shown in Figure 1. All these patterns exhibit the characteristic diffraction lines of a single pure cubic spinel structure without any detectable impurity. In general, the samples are highly crystalline and no displacements in the respective 2θ values were observed. On the other hand, the narrowest peaks correspond to particles obtained by the sol-gel synthesis.



Figure. 2. Structural refinement for  $Lim_{1.5}Fe_{0.5}O_4$ : a) SG sample; b) Imp sample. Observed patterns are denoted by solid lines, and the calculated and difference plots are shown by dots. Reflection positions for spinel phases are included (bars)

The cell parameters obtained in the refinement do not practically vary with the synthesis method ranging from  $8.248(1)$  to  $8.237(2)$  Å for the SG and Imp samples, respectively.

The Mn/Fe ratio determined by EDX analysis is around 3 in all cases apart from SS sample, which perfectly agrees with the nominal composition  $\text{LiFe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ , within the experimental error, as it can be observed in Table 1. However, the sample prepared by SS method shows a Mn/Fe of 1.9, which is lower than the nominal one. Similar values are obtained by EDX for the SS sample heated at  $600\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and they are in agreement with those achieved by TG analysis under H2 :He atmosphere as it was commented before. Therefore, in the method SS, the sample composition can be considered as  $LiFe_{0.7}Mn_{1.3}O_4.$ 

Table 1. Mn/Fe ratio obtained by EDX and textural characteristic for all samples.

Sample	Mn/Fe ratio	$S_{\rm BET}$ $n^2 \cdot \sigma^{-1}$ m	$Dp$ (nm)	Vр (mL·g)
SG	2.7		4.3	0.04
Imp <sub>1</sub>	3.1		3.7	0.03
Imp <sub>2</sub>	3.0		4.3	0.03
<b>TM</b>	2.9		4.3	0.06
SS	19		4.7	0.03

EELS experiments were carried out in order to obtain complementary information about the oxidation states of Mn and Fe. Figure S9 gathers, as a representative example, the EELS spectra in both the  $L_{2,3}$  Mn and Fe edges (S9a and S9b, respectively) for the SG sample. It has been pointed out  $24$  that an estimation based on the ratio of the peaks intensities is adequate to estimate the Mn oxidation state, but the chemical shift method is more accurate for analyzing the oxidation state of iron.

Having in mind those typical values of the Fe  $L_{2,3}$  edge signals have been reported to be ca. 708/ 722 eV for  $Fe^{2+}$  and 711/724 eV for  $Fe^{3+}$  $24, 25$ , the trivalent oxidation state for Fe cations in the samples can be assumed. On the other hand, the  $L_2$ <sup>3</sup> ratios for the Mn window, roughly estimated from intensity increments, together with the observed shift, are consistent with mixed valence  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$ . Thus, EELS experiments allowed both to ascribe a trivalent oxidation state for Fe cations and to confirm the expected mixed valence, between +3 and +4, for Mn cations.

Recently, it has been pointed out that the specific surface area and the pore size are strongly related to the electrochemical behavior. It is due to this fact that the porosity facilitates the transport of Li ions and is critical to the rate capability  $^{26}$ . In order to study the porosity of these samples, their textural properties have been obtained by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K (Figure 3). BET surface area data have been calculated and are summarized in Table 1, together with the pore size distribution and pore volume of all the samples. As it can

#### **Page 5 of 12 Dalton Transactions**

be observed, S<sub>BET</sub> varies between 5 and 11, meanwhile Dp does it between 3.7 and 4.7 and Vp is around 0.03 in all samples. The SG sample exhibits the highest SBET and pore volume, and the SS the

highest pore diameter. Nevertheless, the encountered spans do not stand for significant differences concerning these textural parameters.



Figure 3. Textural characterization: a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms; b) pore size distribution

Figure 4 shows SEM images of  $Life_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4$  particles obtained under different conditions. The SEM results for the sample SG are shown in Figures 4a and 4b, where highly agglomerated crystalline particles, together with grains with heterogeneous sizes, can be appreciated. By contrast, a very different situation is found for samples obtained by impregnation methods. The lowestmagnification images (Figures 4c and 4e) reveal that the product is composed of uniform microspheres with diameters around 1 and 2 µm, depending on the impregnation method used, Imp\_1 and Imp\_2 respectively.



Figure 4. SEM images of  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  samples at different magnifications: a) and b) SG; c) and d) Imp\_1; e) and f) Imp\_2; g) and h)  $TM$ ; i), j) and k) SS samples



Figure 4 (cont). SEM images of  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  samples at different magnifications: a) and b) SG; c) and d) Imp\_1; e) and f) Imp\_2; g) and h) TM; i), j) and k) SS samples. Arrows in j) indicate closed sheets (see text)

Figures 4d and 4f show that the  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  microspheres have a rough surface, suggesting that the walls of these particles are highly porous and they are composed of nanosized/submicrometer-sized subunits. Moreover, from the broken part of a microsphere, the hollow nature of microspheres can be identified unambiguously (Figure 4.f). Interestingly, when the TM method is used, particles show rod morphology with uniform sizes between 2 and 2.5  $\mu$ m long and ∼0.4 µm width. Another important difference with the previous samples is that these microrods show a smoother surface and therefore the particles are less porous, as it can be easily appreciated in Figure 4.h.

Finally, Figures 4i-4k show the images of the samples prepared by the SS method, at lower and higher magnification. Figure 4i shows that this sample consists of nanoparticles that are agglomerated and form micro-sized aggregates with different morphologies. The main feature is the formation of pseudo-spheres with diameter near to 500 nm that results in closing circular sheets (see arrows in Figure 4.j). In the image of the highest magnification (Figure 4.k) the connection between nanoparticles can be observed.

Taking into account that the  $Life_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_4$  samples prepared by different methods exhibit very similar textural properties, presumably their electrochemical behaviour will be related with the morphology found for these materials.

#### **3.2. Electrochemical characterization**

In order to evaluate electrochemical properties, the samples with different morphologies were cycled at several charge/discharge rates over a potential window of 3–5 V.

Figure 5 shows the first charge/discharge voltage profiles for all samples at C/10. These cycles exhibit two plateaux, at 4 and 5 V, that can be related to  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+27}$  and  $Fe^{4+}/Fe^{3+}$  couples, <sup>28</sup>, respectively. The experimental capacities in the first cycle are shown in Table 2. None of the samples reaches the theoretical capacity value of the LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> electrode (148 mAh·<sup>g-1</sup>). In these samples only up to the half of deinserted lithium can be attributed to  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$  couple (only up to 0.5  $Mn^{3+}$  per formula unit is present in the samples) and the other half at least is due to  $Fe^{4+}/Fe^{3+}$  couple. In Table 2, it can be observed that a capacity value close to 70 mAh· $g^{-1}$ is reached only in SG and TM samples at the 4 V plateau. A different situation is obtained for the 5 V plateau. In all cases, values close to 20 mAh·g-1 have been achieved because a partial oxidation of  $Fe^{3+}$  has occurred. Actually, the process related to  $Fe^{4+}/Fe^{3+}$  redox couple takes place in a plateau centered to 5 V. Consequently, it is necessary to increase the voltage value at least until 5.3 V in order to oxidize completely the Fe content. This voltage is too high and electrolyte oxidation processes in the surface electrode/electrolyte could take place <sup>29</sup>. In this sense, the voltage window employed in this work was fixed in the 3-5 V range. Only in one case the voltage was increased with the aim of observing the role of  $Fe<sup>4+</sup>$  over the electrolyte/electrode surface.



Figure 5. The first discharge/charge cycles at C/10 for all samples

Table 2. Experimental specific capacities (deinsertion,  $Q_D$ , and insertion,  $Q_i$ ) and coulombic efficiency (100. $Q_i/Q_D$ ) for LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

<b>Sample</b>	Ųp $(mAh·g-1)$	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{D}}$ 4 V $(mAh·g-1)$	$Q_D$ 5 V $(mAh·g-1)$	$(mAh·g-1)$	$Q_i$ 4 V $(mAh·g-1)$	$Q_i$ 5 V $(mAh·g-1)$	Coulombic <b>Efficiency</b> (%)
SG	90	70	20	75	69		83
$Imp_1$	79	54	25	70	58	$\overline{1}$	89
$Imp_2$	72 ∸	48	24	69	57	- 12	



Figure 5 and Table 2 show that the amount of inserted  $Li<sup>+</sup>$  (i.e.  $Q<sub>i</sub>$ 4V) is practically the same for all the samples, except for the SS one (where the Mn/Fe ratio is the smallest) that gives the lowest values and shows a narrower plateau at 4 V. Table 2 also shows the coulombic efficiency for this first stage. The best results correspond to the Imp samples, where the amounts of Li deinserted in the 5 V process are the highest. These samples exhibit more homogenous sizes, and are constituted by micrometric particles formed by nanometric units (see figures 4c-f).

In order to relate the electrochemical behaviors with the respective morphologies, Figure 6 gathers the results of the cycle tests at C/10 for all the samples, together with the discharge capacity versus cycle number at various current densities. In the SG sample, the discharge capacity decreases quickly and a 50% of capacity is loss in the 10th cycle. This capacity fade is clearly related to the plateau at 4V, and therefore it can be attributed to the Mn dissolution in the electrolyte  $30$ . Moreover, the small plateau at 5 V quickly diminishes and in the

second cycle this plateau practically disappears. The sample prepared by the SG method consists of heterogeneous particles without a defined morphology (Figure 4a). A different situation can be observed with the remaining samples, for which the fading at 4 V operating is practically not observed and the decrease in the capacity is related to the 5 V plateau, which is progressively smaller as the cycle number increases. Eftekari et al.  $^{14,15}$  conclude that a decrease at 5 V is due to the direct reaction of organic species present in the electrolyte with the high-valent Fe over the electrolyte/electrode interface. In particular, when the electrochemical cell composed with  $\text{LiMn}_{1.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_4\text{-Imp}$  as cathode was cycled at higher rate, i.e. C/5 (see Fig. S10, supporting information), the highest voltage plateau almost disappears and, as a consequence, the capacity losses are very low  $(2\%$ , with sizes around 1  $\mu$ m) or even negligible (with sizes around  $2 \mu m$ ) in these spherical morphologies. It is interesting to note that the discharge capacity is recovered when the rate is diminished again (figure 6 c).

# Dalton Transactions **RSCPublishing**

**ARTICLE** 



Figure 6. Charge/discharge curves at a rate C/10 for LiMn<sub>1.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> prepared by different methods (left); and variations of the discharge capacity during various cycles when cycled between 5-3 V at different capacity rates (right). Capacity losses have been included in the graph

**Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE** 



Figure 6 (cont.) Charge/discharge curves at a rate  $C/10$  for LiMn<sub>1.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> prepared by different methods (left); and variations of the discharge capacity during various cycles when cycled between 5-3 V at different capacity rates (right). Capacity losses have been included in the graph

I In order to evaluate the differences between electrochemical behaviours of spherical and rod morphologies, charge-discharge profiles for  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-TM$  sample have been obtained.

Figure 6d (right) shows the discharge capacity versus cycle number for the electrodes with  $LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  cathode obtained by TM method (TM sample). It is interesting to observe that the discharge capacity only decreases slightly before 40 cycles at the lowest rates. If the cell is cycled at higher rates like C/1, the plateau of 5 V tends

to disappear and the capacity decreases drastically. This fact suggests that the insertion/deinsertion kinetic determines the extension of the plateau. It is worth mentioning that in this sample, the 5 V plateau at low rate is not affected influenced and even is finally recovered. (see Figure S10). However, the extension of this plateau is very low and we have increased the highest limit of voltage up to 5.3 V for this sample. The results of these electrochemical tests are shown in Figure 6e)

Although higher capacity values have been obtained when the voltage limit was 5 V, the capacity loss was also higher even at low rates. Therefore, we can conclude that electrolyte/electrode surface is modified by high-valent Fe. By comparing the electrochemical profiles for Imp and TM samples, it is clear that the first sample shows a greater extension of the highest voltage plateau. Therefore, the spherical particles built by other nanometric units seem to help the insertion/deinsertion kinetics.

We will highlight the results obtained for the samples prepared by the SS method where after the  $20<sup>th</sup>$  cyclation the capacity loss is only of 2%. (Figure 6f). Between the first and  $5<sup>th</sup>$  cycles the capacities increase and from here on losses are very small. This fact could be related to the possible existence of a small amount of  $Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>$ , not observed in the X-ray diffraction pattern, coherent with the low Mn:Fe ratio obtained for this sample (see Table 1). At this respect, it has been pointed out that in the  $LiMn<sub>1.5</sub>Ni<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  spinel and in Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>4</sub> layer composites cathodes the capacity and energy density gradually increase during 50 cycles with a change in the shape of the charge−discharge profiles <sup>31</sup> .

In order to corroborate this hypothesis in our system, a cathode made of  $Lim_{1.5}Fe_{0.5}O_4/Li_2MnO_3$  composite was prepared with the SS method (by adding an adequate excess of lithium nitrate). The XRD pattern for this composite is given in Figure 7 where the characteristic  $Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>$  peaks are strongly defined (as shown with asterisks in Figure 7).



Figure 7. X-Ray diffraction pattern of  $Lim_{1.5}Fe_{0.5}O_4/Li_2MnO_3$ composite. The maxima corresponding to  $Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>$  are marked with asterisks

Lee et al <sup>31</sup> by means of *ex situ* XRD data analyses stated that the entire  $Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>$  layered phase transforms irreversibly into a cubic spinel phase in the composite cathode during extended electrochemical cycling. The new spinel phase consists of Mn and Ni in the 4+ oxidation state and therefore such a spinel phase can only insert lithium (into the empty 16c octahedral sites) in the 3 V region without any plateau in the 4 or 4.7 V region. The electrochemical profile for the  $LiMn<sub>1.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>$  composite cathode at C/10 is depicted in Figure 8. The specific capacity slightly increased until  $5<sup>th</sup>$  cycle and from here on, a profile change is observed below 3.5 V, and thus the capacity continues to grow until the 20th cycle. During extended electrochemical cycling at different current densities (Figure S11), the 4 and 5 V plateaux are smaller. This fact could be interpreted taking into account that the (1  $x$ )LiMn<sub>1.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/xLi<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> composite changes into the lithium-rich spinel phase  $Li[Li_xFe_{(1-x)/2}Mn_{(3-x)/2}]O_4$ , in which a part of the 16d octahedral sites of the spinel phase are occupied by lithium ions <sup>32</sup> . Complementary electrochemical experiments on this composite phase in the voltage window between 5 to 2 V, should be of interest with the purpose to probe this idea in the future.



Figure 8 Charge/discharge curves at a rate C/10 for  $Lim_{1.5}Fe_{0.5}O_4/Li_2MnO_3$  composite cathode (left); and variations of the discharge capacity during various cycles when cycled between 5.3-3 V at different capacity rate (right).

## Dalton Transactions RSCPublishing

### **ARTICLE**

Finally, the coulombic efficiency is shown in Figure 9 for all the samples. The difference between discharge and charge capacity is around 90 %, and therefore the specific capacities reached are very similar in charge and discharge stages. As it can be observed, the coulombic efficiency remains constant along the tested cycles.

Regarding the single samples obtained, it is clear that microstructural morphology and electrochemical response are correlated. The synthesis of these spinel cathodes by soft chemistry processes leading to different precursors is revealed as an effective route to modulate the final electrochemical behaviour. The responses of the nano and microstructured samples synthesized in this work are improved compared to those of the SG phase, obtained by a more conventional sol-gel method. Though texture features as porosity seem not to affect appreciably the performance, other microstructural aspects do have a relevant role. Both hierarchical assembling and microstructure homogeneity influence the charge/discharge processes. In this sense, the samples composed of particles as nanostructured unities, with high uniformity in size and morphology (Imp\_1, Imp\_2), present an optimized response concerning cycling efficiency and capacity.



Figure 9. Coulombic efficiency vs cycle number at C/10

#### **Conclusions**

In this paper, a morphology-controlled synthesis of LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinels by different methods: sol-gel (SG), impregnation (Imp), template-hydrothermal (TM) and solvothermal (SS) is presented. The relationships among their textural, structural, morphological, and electrochemical properties are also reported. Although the textural characteristics are very similar for all the samples, different morphologies (microspheres, microrods and aggregates) and sizes are observed. Galvanostatic charge/discharge between 3 - 5 V at C/10 rate shows two plateaux at 4 and 5 V, related to the  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$ and  $Fe<sup>4+</sup>/Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  couples. Highest specific capacity values are obtained at voltage window up 5.3 V although the specific capacity loss became more important and the electrolyte/electrode surface is modified by high-valent Fe. Despite that, in all cases the coulombic

efficiency is around 90 % and remains constant along the tested cycles.

Stable specific capacities are achieved during various cycles in several samples, mainly in those obtained by impregnation, template and solvothermal synthesis. Thus, these samples show between 91 % to 98 % capacity retention after 20 cycles compared to 49 % retention for the sample obtained by the more conventional sol-gel method. Moreover, the cells show good rate capability with average discharge capacity of 65, 55, and 44 mAh·g<sup>-1</sup> at current densities of C/10, C/5, and C/2, respectively. While altering the current density back to  $C/10$ , a discharge capacity as high as 65 mAh  $g^{-1}$  could be recovered. Furthermore, a  $Limn_{1.5}Fe_{0.5}O_4/Li_2MnO_3$  composite has been prepared by solvothermal process in order to increase the capacity and energy density; satisfactory results have been obtained in this case. Again, when the current density returns to C/10 the discharge capacity is recovered by the cell.

#### **Glossary**

DEC: Diethyl carbonate dp: Pore diameter EC: Ethylene carbonate EDX: X-ray spectroscopy analysis EG: Ethylene glycol Imp: Impregnation QD: Experimental specific capacities of deinsertion Qi : Experimental specific capacities of insertion SEM: Scanning electron microscopy SG: sol–gel method SS: Solvothermal Synthesis TG: Thermogravimetric TM: template-hydrothermal Vp: Pore volume XRD: X-Ray diffraction

#### **Acknowledgements**

Authors are grateful to the CAI centers of the UCM (XRD and electron microscopy) and financial support from Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Grants MAT2010-20117

#### **References**

1 S. S. Zhang, J. Power Sources, 2006, **161**, 1385

2 S. S. Zhang, K. Xu and T.R. Jow, J. Power Sources, 2006, **160**, 1403

3 M. Michalska, L. Lipińska, R. Diduszko, M. Mazurkiewicz, A. Małolepszy, L. Stobinski and K. J. Kurzydłowski, Phys. Status Solidi, 2011, **C 8**, 2538

4 A. Iturrondobeitia, A. Goñi, V. Palomares, I. Gil de Muro, L. Lezama and T. Rojo, J. Power Sources, 2012, **216**, 482

5 J. M. Tarascon, Philos. T. R. Soc. A, 2010, **368**, 3227

- 6 L. Xiong, Y. Xu, T. Tao and J. B. Goodenough, J. Power Sources, 2012, **199**, 214
- 7 K. Ariyoshi, E. Iwata, M. Kuniyoshi, H. Wakabayashi and T. Ohzuku, Electrochem. Solid St, 2006, **9**, A557
- 8 R. Thirunakaran, A. Sivashanmugam, S. Gopukumar and R. Rajalakshmi, J. Power Sources, 2009, **187**, 565
- 9 J. Cho and B. Park, Electrochem. Solid St, 2000, **3**, 355
- 10 M. M. Thackeray, Prog. Solid State Ch, 1997, **25**, 1
- 11 S. Dou, J. Solid State Electr, 2013, **17**, 911
- 12 S. Patoux , L. Sannier, H. Lignier, Y. Reynier, C. Bourbon, S.
- Jouanneau, F. Le Cras and S. Martinet, Electrochim. Acta, 2008, **53**, 4137
- 13 J. E. Park, K. Kim, S. H. Park, E. S. Park, M. W. Pyeon and M. J.
- Lee, Int. J. Electrochem. Sc, 2013, **8**, 6220
- 14 A. Eftekhari, J. Power Sources, 2003, **124**, 182
- 15 A. Eftekhari, J. Power Sources, 2004, **132**, 240
- 16 A. Mas, M. L. López, I. Álvarez-Serrano, C. Pico and M. L. Veiga. Dalton T, 2013, **42**, 9990
- 17 L. Zhou, D. Zhao and X. W. Lou. Angew. Chem. Int. Edit, 2012, **51**, 239
- 18 J. Fei, Y. Cui, X. Yan, W. Qi, Y. Yang, K. Wang, Q. He and J. Li, Adv. Mater, 2008, **20**, 452
- 19 X. Wang and Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc,*.* 2002, **124**, 2880
- 20 L. Hu, H. Zhong, X. Zheng, Y. Huang, P. Zhang and Q. Chen, Sci. Rep, 2012, **2**, 986
- 21 D. Larcher, G. Sudant, R. Patrice and J. M. Tarascon, Chem. Mater, 2003, **15**, 3543
- 22 P. F. Fuls, L. Rodrigue and J. J. Fripiat, Clay. Clay. Miner, 1970, **18**, 53
- 23 J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Abstracts of the Satellite Meeting on Powder Diffraction of the XV Congress of the IUCr, Toulouse, France, 1990, pp. 127
- 24 H. Tan, J. Verbeeck, A. Abakumov and G. Van Tendeloo, Ultramicroscopy 2012, **116**, 24
- 25 A. Laurence, J Garvie, J. Thomas, J. Zega, P. Rez and P. R. Buseck, Am. Mineral, 2004, **9**, 1610
- 26 N. Kang, J. H. Park, J. Choi, J. Jin, J. Chun, I. G. Jung, J. Jeong, J. G. Park, S. M. Lee, H. J. Kim and S. U. Son, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed, 2012, **51**, 6626
- 27 J. B. Goodenough and Y. Kim, Chem. Mater, 2010, **22**, 587
- 28 T. Ohzuku, K. Ariyoshia, S. Takedaa and Y. Sakaib, Electrochim. Acta, 2001, **46**, 2327
- 29 H. Bouayad, Z. Wang, N. Dupré, R. Dedryvère, D. Foix, S. Franger, J. F. Martin, L. Boutafa, S. Patoux, D. Gonbeau and D. Guyomard, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, **118**, 4634
- 30 C. Marino, A. Darwiche, N. Dupre, H. Wilhelm, B. Lestriez, H. Martinez, R. Dedryvere, W. Zhang, F. Ghamouss, D. Lemordant and L. Monconduit, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, **117**, 19302
- 31 E. S. Lee, A. Huq, H. Y. Chang and A. Manthiram, Chem. Mater, 2012, **24**, 600
- 32 B. Xu, C. R. Fell, M. Chi and Y. S. Meng, Energy Environ. Sci, 2011, **4**, 2223