Food & Function

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/foodfunction

Page 1 of 30 **Food & Function**

27 **Abstract**

45 PI3K/AKT; HO-1

46

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

Page 3 of 30 **Food & Function**

47 **Introduction**

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

- 92 prepared using sterile distilled water, filtered using a 0.22 µM sterile filter and then
- 93 diluted with DMEM without phenol red.

94

95 **Chemicals**

Page 5 of 30 Food & Function

- 97 (ferrozine), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT),
- 98 5,5'-dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic) acid (DTNB),
- 99 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethy-chroman-2-carboxylic acid (trolox), 6-OHDA, ascorbic
- 100 acid, (+)-catechin, cyanidin, epicatechin, ferrous sulfate heptahydrate,
- 101 Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent, reduced glutathione (GSH), glutathione peroxidase (GPx),
- 102 glutathione reductase (GR), homovanillic acid (HVA), horseradish peroxidase
- 103 (HRPase), malodialdehyde (MDA), naringin, nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (NBT),
- 104 quercetin, sodium carbonate, sodium molybdate, sodium nitrate, superoxide dismutase
- 105 (SOD), thiobarbituric acid (TBA), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), verbascoside, xanthine
- 106 and xanthine oxidase were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chem. Corp. (St. Louis,
- 107 MO, USA). Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and all HPLC-grade solvents were purchased
- 108 from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).
- 109

110 **Determination of phytoconstituents using a spectrophotometric reader**

- 111 The levels of all phytochemicals, including total phenol, phenylpropanoid,
- 112 flavonols and anthocyanidins, were assayed using a 96-well microtiter
- 113 spectrophotometric method. The method used to determine the total phenolic levels is
- 114 based on forming blue-colored products through a redox reaction with

115 Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent and measuring its absorbance at 725 nm. The total phenolic

- 116 concentration of the samples was expressed as mg of catechin equivalents per gram of
- 117 sample ¹⁷. The method used to determine total phenylpropanoid levels is based on
- 118 forming colored products using phenylpropanoid with the Arnow reagent (containing
- 119 5% (w/v) sodium nitrate and 5% sodium molybdate) and measuring its absorbance at
- 120 525 nm. The total phenylpropanoid concentration of the samples was expressed as mg

121 of verbascoside equivalents per g of sample.¹⁷ The method used to determine

122 flavonols and anthocyanidin levels is based on switching the absorbance wavelength

123 through different hydrogen chloride concentration and measuring its absorbance at

124 360 and 520 nm.¹⁸

125

126 **Determination of phytoconstituents using high performance liquid**

127 **chromatography (HPLC)**

128 Aqueous DF or PC extract was dissolved in distilled water and then filtered using a 129 0.22 µm filter. Stock solutions of the standards were prepared in methanol to the final 130 concentration 10 mg/mL. All standard and sample solutions were injected into 10 µL 131 in triplicate. The Shimadzu VP series HPLC and Shimadzu Class-VPTM 132 chromatography data systems were used. All chromatographic operations were 133 performed at 25 °C. The epicatechin and naringin chromatographic peaks were 134 confirmed by comparing their retention times and UV spectra. A LiChrospher® 135 RP-18e (250 \times 4 mm, 5 µm) column (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was used. 136 Certain separating conditions including the mobile phases and gradient program 137 conditions, followed the description by Liu et al.¹⁹

138

139 **Determination of ROS using scavenging activity assay** *in vitro*

140 The superoxide anion, H_2O_2 and hydroxyl radical scavenging activities were

141 determined as described previously.¹⁷ The superoxide anion scavenging activity is

142 based on the reaction between NBT and the superoxide anion produced from xanthine

- 143 and xanthine oxidase and was determined at 560 nm for 5-min kinetics using a
- 144 microplate reader (PowerWave_X, Bio-Tek instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA).
- 145 The results were expressed as the U of SOD equivalents per milligram of sample. The

Page 7 of 30 **Food & Function**

171 The results were calculated based on a standard curve obtained using trolox and

172 expressed as the relative trolox equivalent per gram of sample.¹⁷

173

174 *In vitro* **iron-chelating activity (ICA) assay**

- 175 This method is based on ferrozine- Fe^{+2} colored complex formation, and the
- 176 absorbance is measured at 562 nm. The percentage of inhibition of ferronize- Fe^{+2}

177 complex formation was calculated as we previously reported.¹⁷

178

179 **Inhibition of 6-OHDA autoxidation** *in vitro*

180 Autoxidation of 6-OHDA was followed spectrophotometrically by monitoring 181 *p*-quinone formation at 490 nm.²⁰ The assay was conducted in a cell free system under 182 conditions that correspond to cellular 6-OHDA treatments. Stock solutions of 183 6-OHDA (100 mM) were prepared in phosphate-buffered saline solution. The 184 experiment was initiated by adding 6-OHDA to yield the final concentration 50 μ M.

185 The absorbance at 490 nm was monitored for 3 min at 30-sec intervals at 37℃

186

187 **The protective effects of 6-OHDA-induced neuronal damage in B35 cells**

188 Rat B35 neuroblastoma cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10%

189 fetal bovine serum, 100 units/mL penicillin and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin in a

190 water-saturated atmosphere with 5% $CO₂$ at 37 °C. The experiments were performed

191 24 h after the cells were seeded in 96-well sterile clear-bottom plates or a 90-mm dish.

192 The cells were plated at an appropriate density according to the scale of each

- 193 experiment. The DF or PC extract was treated 1 h before 6-OHDA (50 µM) was
- 194 added. 6-OHDA (50 µM) was used after a 24-h exposure, as described below. B35
- 195 neuroblastoma cells were seeded in a 90-mm dish and incubated overnight in a

Page 9 of 30 **Food & Function**

219 **The lipid peroxidation assay in B35 cells**

Food & Function Page 10 of 30

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

241 **Statistical Analyses**

242 All results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The significant 243 differences were calculated using SPSS software with a one-way ANOVA followed 244 by Scheffe's test, and P values < 0.05 were considered significant.

269 scavenging activity of each gram of PC extract was equivalent to 9.43 U of SOD,

268 equivalent to 11.46 U of SOD, 927.57 µmol of trolox and 14.95 mg of quercetin. The

Food & Function Page 12 of 30

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

270 582.60 µmol of trolox and 4.40 mg of quercetin (**Table 2**). Next, their lipid 271 peroxidation-inhibiting effects were evaluated using the $Fe^{2+}/$ ascorbate method, for 272 which rat brain homogenate was used as the oxidizable biomolecule target. The *IC⁵⁰* 273 of DF extract against lipid peroxidation is 32.43 mg/mL and the *IC50* of PC extract is 274 117.99 mg/mL (**Table 2**). Furthermore, their iron-chelating and reducing power 275 activities were further investigated using 96-well microtiter spectrophotometric 276 methods (ICA and FRAP tests). The reducing power of each gram of DF extract is 277 equivalent to 1034.65 µmol of trolox and better than that of PC extract (each gram is 278 equivalent to 622.64 µmol trolox) (**Table 2**). However, the above extracts did not 279 have iron-chelating capacities at the concentrations used in the hydroxyl radical 280 scavenging and lipid peroxidation-inhibiting assays (data not shown).

281

282 **DF or PC extract inhibits 6-OHDA autoxidation** *in vitro*

283 The DF or PC extract inhibiting activity against *p*-quinone production from 284 6-OHDA autoxidation under cell-free physiological conditions was also investigated 285 through 96-well microtiter spectrophotometric methods. The reaction mixture (only 286 6-OHDA) absorbance at 490 nm was considered 100% of *p*-quinone produced from 287 6-OHDA. The DF extract at 25 - 250 µg/mL inhibited absorbance at 490 nm in a 288 concentration-dependent manner (P < 0.05, P < 0.001). The PC extract at only 50 - 289 250 µg/mL inhibited absorbance at 490 nm (P < 0.05, P < 0.01) (**Figure 2**)

290

291 **The protective effects of the DF extract against 6-OHDA-induced neuronal**

292 **damage in B35 neuroblastoma cells**

293 We further evaluated the protective effects of DF extract at 10 - 250 µg/mL against

294 6-OHDA-induced neuronal damage in B35 neuroblastoma cells using the MTT assay.

Page 13 of 30 Food & Function

303

304 **GSH cycle involvement in the protective effects of DF extract**

305 To clarify the protective mechanism of the DF extract against 6-OHDA-induced 306 neuronal damage in B35 neuroblastoma cells, we measured the intracellular 307 antioxidant defenses including the levels of GSH and related antioxidant enzymes 308 activities. The intracellular GSH levels as well as GPx and GR activities in B35 309 neuroblastoma cells treated with 50 μ M 6-OHDA for 24 h were lower (P < 0.01) 310 (**Table 3**). The level of MDA in B35 neuroblastoma cells treated with 50 µM 311 6-OHDA for 24 h was greater (P < 0.01) (**Table 3**). The DF extract at 100 µg/mL 312 partially restored the intracellular GSH levels, and partially reversed the decrease in 313 activities of GPx and GR by 50 μ M 6-OHDA in B35 neuroblastoma cells (P < 0.05) 314 (**Table 3**). The DF extract at 100 µg/mL also decreased the level of MDA, which was 315 increased by 6-OHDA (P < 0.05) (**Table 3**).

316

317 **Reversal of PI3K/AKT-, NQO-1- and HO-1-mediated damage through the**

318 **protective effects of DF extract**

Food & Function Page 14 of 30

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

333

334 **Discussion**

335 Gu-Sui-Bu is a common traditional Chinese medicine used to prevent 336 aging-associated disorders, including PD, for centuries. DF is a major resource for 337 Gu-Sui-Bu, and PC is an alternative resource in Taiwan. According to phytochemical 338 reports on DF,^{3, 4, 19} flavonoids and phenylpropanoids are major phytoconstituents of 339 DF. Our data indicate that the DF extract had higher levels of total phenolics and 340 flavonoids (approximately two times) compared with the PC extract. Next, we further 341 compared the DF and PC extract chromatograms and matched them with other reports.¹⁹ 342 The DF extract might contain phenolic acids, dihydroxychromone, 343 epicatechin and naringin. The PC extract might contain epicatechin and naringin.

Page 15 of 30 **Food & Function**

Food & Function Page 16 of 30

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

Page 17 of 30 **Food & Function**

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

436 **Conclusion**

437 In conclusion, DF extract had the higher phenolic levels, especially for

438 phenylpropanoids, and exhibited the higher radical scavenging potency compared to

- 439 PC extract. Its antioxidant activity can be correlated with its reducing power, which is
- 440 not due to iron chelation, and this antioxidant activity depends on the phenolic
- 441 antioxidants such as naringin and epicatechin.¹⁹ The DF extract has a protective effect
- 442 against 6-OHDA-induced neuronal damage *in vitro*. Naringin and epicatechin are its
- 443 major active compounds because naringin and epicatechin can protect against

Page 19 of 30 **Food & Function**

464

465 **Acknowledgments**

466 We thank the financial support of the National Sciences Council 467 NSC98-2320-B-039-041 MY3, NSC101-2320-B-039-051, NSC 468 101-2120-M-009-008 and NSC102-2320-B-039-037.

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

469

470 **References**

- 472 1. K. C. Wong, W. Y. Pang, X. L. Wang, S. K. Mok, W. P. Lai, H. K. Chow, P.
- 473 C. Leung, X. S. Yao and M. S. Wong, *Br J Nutr*, 2013, 110, 475-485.
- 474 2. X. F. Huang, S. J. Yuan and C. Yang, *Mol Med Rep*, 2012, 6, 547-552.
- 475 3. X. L. Wang, N. L. Wang, H. Gao, G. Zhang, L. Qin, M. S. Wong and X. S. 476 Yao, *Nat Prod Res*, 2010, 24, 1206-1213.
- 477 4. X. L. Wang, N. L. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Gao, W. Y. Pang, M. S. Wong, G.
- 478 Zhang, L. Qin and X. S. Yao, *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)*, 2008, 56, 46-51.
- 479 5. T. Y. Hung, T. L. Chen, M. H. Liao, W. P. Ho, D. Z. Liu, W. C. Chuang and 480 R. M. Chen, *J Ethnopharmacol*, 2010, 131, 70-77.
- 481 6. H. C. Chang, G. J. Huang, D. C. Agrawal, C. L. Kuo, C. R. Wu and H. S. 482 Tsay, *Botanical Studies*, 2007, 48, 397-406.
- 483 7. K. Gopinath, D. Prakash and G. Sudhandiran, *Neurochem Int*, 2011, 59, 484 1066-1073.
- 485 8. M. Golechha, U. Chaudhry, J. Bhatia, D. Saluja and D. S. Arya, *Biol Pharm* 486 *Bull*, 2011, 34, 360-365.
- 487 9. H. J. Kim, J. Y. Song, H. J. Park, H. K. Park, D. H. Yun and J. H. Chung, 488 *Korean J Physiol Pharmacol*, 2009, 13, 281-285.
- 489 10. E. Cuevas, D. Limon, F. Perez-Severiano, A. Diaz, L. Ortega, E. Zenteno and 490 J. Guevara, *Eur J Pharmacol*, 2009, 616, 122-127.
- 491 11. M. Valko, D. Leibfritz, J. Moncol, M. T. Cronin, M. Mazur and J. Telser, *Int J* 492 *Biochem Cell Biol*, 2007, 39, 44-84.
- 493 12. D. Harman, *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 2006, 1067, 10-21.

Page 21 of 30 Food & Function

- 494 13. D. A. Butterfield and R. Sultana, *Expert Rev Proteomics*, 2008, 5, 157-160.
- 495 14. S. Jana, A. K. Maiti, M. B. Bagh, K. Banerjee, A. Das, A. Roy and S. 496 Chakrabarti, *Brain Res*, 2007, 1139, 195-200.
- 497 15. R. Soto-Otero, E. Mendez-Alvarez, A. Hermida-Ameijeiras, A. M. 498 Munoz-Patino and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, *J Neurochem*, 2000, 74, 499 1605-1612.
- 500 16. X. L. Chen and C. Kunsch, *Curr Pharm Des*, 2004, 10, 879-891.
- 501 17. C. R. Wu, W. H. Lin, Y. C. Hseu, J. C. Lien, Y. T. Lin, T. P. Kuo and H. 502 Ching, *Food Chem*, 2011, 127, 564-571.
- 503 18. L. R. Fukumoto and G. Mazza, *J Agric Food Chem*, 2000, 48, 3597-3604.
- 504 19. H. Liu, S. Zou, Y. Qi, Y. Zhu, X. Li and B. Zhang, *J Nat Med*, 2012, 66, 505 413-419.
- 506 20. C. Sachs and G. Jonsson, *Biochem Pharmacol*, 1975, 24, 1-8.
- 507 21. H. Y. Ju, S. C. Chen, K. J. Wu, H. C. Kuo, Y. C. Hseu, H. Ching and C. R. 508 Wu, *Food Chem Toxicol*, 2012, 50, 492-502.
- 509 22. F. Zhu, Y. Z. Cai, M. Sun, J. Ke, D. Lu and H. Corke, *J Agric Food Chem*, 510 2009, 57, 6082-6089.
- 511 23. M. Cavia-Saiz, M. D. Busto, M. C. Pilar-Izquierdo, N. Ortega, M. 512 Perez-Mateos and P. Muniz, *J Sci Food Agric*, 2010, 90, 1238-1244.
- 513 24. P. Riederer, E. Sofic, W. D. Rausch, B. Schmidt, G. P. Reynolds, K. Jellinger 514 and M. B. Youdim, *J Neurochem*, 1989, 52, 515-520.
- 515 25. J. H. Chen, H. P. Ou, C. Y. Lin, F. J. Lin, C. R. Wu, S. W. Chang and C. W. 516 Tsai, *Chem Res Toxicol*, 2012, 25, 1893-1901.
- 517 26. H. J. Heo and C. Y. Lee, *J Agric Food Chem*, 2005, 53, 1445-1448.
- 518 27. Y. Liao and M. C. Hung, *Am J Transl Res*, 2010, 2, 19-42.
- 519 28. B. A. Hemmings and D. F. Restuccia, *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 2012, 520 4, a011189.
- 521 29. A. Jazwa and A. Cuadrado, *Curr Drug Targets*, 2010, 11, 1517-1531.
- 522 30. X. Hu, J. Wang and H. Jiang, *Int J Cardiol*, 2013, 167, 587-588.
- 523 31. Z. Jia, H. Zhu, H. P. Misra and Y. Li, *Brain Res*, 2008, 1197, 159-169.
- 524 32. S. H. Kwon, S. I. Hong, Y. H. Jung, M. J. Kim, S. Y. Kim, H. C. Kim, S. Y. 525 Lee and C. G. Jang, *Food Chem Toxicol*, 2012, 50, 797-807.
- 526 33. C. Deng, R. Tao, S. Z. Yu and H. Jin, *Mol Med Rep*, 2012, 5, 847-851.
- 527 34. Q. Zhang, J. Pi, C. G. Woods and M. E. Andersen, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 528 2010, 244, 84-97.
- 529 35. H. Oh, J. Hur, G. Park, H. G. Kim, Y. O. Kim and M. S. Oh, *Phytother Res*, 530 2013, 27, 1012-1017.
- 531 36. Y. P. Hwang and H. G. Jeong, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 2010, 242, 18-28.

532

Data were expressed as mean ± SD (*n*=3).

Table 2. ROS scavenging activities of aqueous extracts of Polydiaceae plants.

				IC_{50} of lipid	Reducing power
Samples	O_2 ' scavenging	H_2O_2 scavenging	OH scavenging	peroxidation (mg/	(μ mol of trolox / g)
	$(U \text{ of } SOD / mg)$	(umol of trolox / g)	(mg of quercetin / g)	mL)	
Drynaria fortunei	11.46 ± 0.31	927.57 ± 7.61	14.95 ± 0.31	32.43 ± 0.84	1034.65 ± 21.44
Pseudodrynaria coronans	9.43 ± 0.81	582.60 ± 9.49	4.40 ± 1.46	117.99 ± 10.38	622.64 ± 14.81

Data were expressed as mean ± SD (*n*=3).

Food & Function

µM 6-OHDA

B35 cells were treated with the above samples plus 50 µM 6-OHDA. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (*ⁿ* = 4). * *p* < 0.05, ** *^p* < 0.01 as compared to the 6-OHDA group.

Figure 1. HPLC chromatograms of *Drynaria fortunei* (DF) or *Pseudodrynaria coronans* (PC) extract at 280 nm. Trace: (A) Standard, (B) DF at 5 mg/mL, (C) PC at 10 mg/mL. 240x141mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Figure 2. Effect of *Drynaria fortunei* (DF) or *Pseudodrynaria coronans* (PC) extract on p-quinolone production from 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) autoxidation. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3). * p < 0.05, $** p < 0.01$, $*** p < 0.001$, compared with VEH group. 435x305mm (300 x 300 DPI)

(B) Morphology of B35 neuroblastoma cells

Figure 3. Effect of *Drynaria fortunei* (DF) extract on 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA)-induced toxicology in B35 cells. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). (A) Cell viability was measured by MTT assay. (B) Cell morphology was visualized by a phase-contrast microscope (100×). (B)-a, control; (B)-b, B35 cells exposed to 50 µM 6-OHDA; (B)-c, B35 cells treated with 100 µg/mL of DF extract plus 50 µM 6-OHDA; (B)d, B35 cells treated with 100 µg/mL of PC extract plus 50 µM 6-OHDA. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, compared with 6-OHDA/VEH group. 442x249mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Figure 5. The biological action of *Drynaria fortunei* (DF) as a potential antioxidant and protective plant against oxidative stress caused by 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA). Prohibition sign indicates that the inhibitory effect of *Drynaria fortunei*. 126x126mm (150 x 150 DPI)