RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

PAPER

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

A smart artificial glutathione peroxidase with temperature responsive activity constructed by host-guest interaction and self-assembly

Yanzhen Yin,a* Shufei Jiao^a , Chao Lang,^b Junqiu Liub*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX ⁵**DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

A smart supramolecular artificial glutathione peroxidase (**GPx**) with tunable catalytic activity was prepared based on host-guest interaction and a blending process. The functional guest molecules **ADA-Te** with catalytic center, **ADA-Arg** with binding site and the cyclodextrin-containing host polymers (**CD-PNIPAMs**) were first synthesized. The artificial glutathione peroxidase was constructed by host-guest

- ¹⁰interaction of **ADA-Te** and series of **CD-PNIPAMs** with different molecular weights. Through altering the molar ratio of building blocks (**CD-PNIPAM73**, **ADA-Te**, **ADA-Arg**), the optimum artificial GPx (**SGPxmax**) with vesicles structure was prepared via a blending process. Significantly, **SGPxmax** displayed a noticeable temperature responsive catalytic activity and exhibited the typical saturation kinetics behavior as a real enzyme catalyst. It was proved that the change of the self-assembled structure of
- SGP **x**_{max} during the temperature responsive process played a significant role in altering the temperature responsive catalytic behavior. The construction of **SGPxmax** not only overcomes the insurmountable disadvantages existed in traditional supramolecular artificial GPxs but also bodes well for development of other biologically related functional supromolecular biomaterials.

Introduction

²⁰Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are the byproducts of cellular metabolism. The surplus ROS can lead to many human oxidative stress-related diseases $1-3$. Generally, such oxidative stress-related diseases are controlled by the antioxidative defense system, especially by the antioxidative enzyme system. As a member of

- ²⁵the family of antioxidative enzymes, glutathione peroxidase (GPx, Ec.1.11.1.9) is an important selenium-containing enzyme, which catalyzes the reduction of hydroperoxides (ROOHs) using glutathione (GSH) as substrate^{4,5}. Owing to its biologically crucial role, considerable efforts have been devoted to produce
- ³⁰organoselenium/tellurium compounds that mimic the property of GPx in recent years⁶⁻¹². In our group, based on the understanding of the structure of native GPx, some artificial GPxs have been designed based on macromolecular scaffolds^{13-20,45}. Especially, considerable attentions have been drawn to artificial GPxs based 35 on supramolecular scaffolds^{9,10,17,18,21,22}.

Generally, molecular self-assembly behavior is a general phenomenon in nature, which plays a pivotal role in biological functions 23,24 . Being the alternative to the self-assembly structure in nature, versatile materials based on supramolecular chemistry ⁴⁰have emerged as fascinating scaffolds for many interdisciplinary $materials²⁵⁻³²$. Typically, supramolecular materials are extensively applied in the fields of protein supramolecurar complexes $33,34$, sensors³⁵⁻³⁷, controlled release systems³⁸⁻⁴¹, artificial enzymes^{18,21} as well as self-healing materials^{$42,43$}. The unique properties of ⁴⁵reversible dynamic assembly behavior and simplified

construction process are all exhibited in these versatile materials. Specially, these unique properties are benefited to the construction of highly efficient supramolecular artificial GPxs (**SGPxs**). Liu and coworkers pioneered in the construction of the ⁵⁰host **SGPx** with highly catalytic ability and strong substrate binding ability, which opened a new window for the preparation of artificial GPx based on supramolecular chemistry^{7,44}. Subsequently, by marrying the successfully host cyclodextrin GPx with versatile supramolecular building blocks, **SGPxs** based 55 on giant nanotube²¹, protein nanowire⁹, protein nanodisk¹⁰, supramolecular microgel 45 , hyperbranched supramolecular polymer¹⁸ and star-shaped pseudo-block copolymer¹⁹ were constructed. Similar to the traditional polymer scaffold for artificial enzyme, **SGPxs** were also proved to be endowed with ⁶⁰the advantages of the simplified construction process as well as the enriched catalytic center^{46,47}. Although supramolecular selfassembled materials were excellent scaffolds for artificial GPx, there were still two serious disadvantages in these **SGPxs**. On the one hand, most of them were just endowed with the catalytic ⁶⁵center of GPx, which was only one of the three catalytic factors functioned to maintain the high catalytic activity^{9,10,19}. It was a pity that the binding sites were usually absence, which could not accurately mimic the catalysis and recognition of native GPx. On the other hand, even if the binding sites and catalytic center were ⁷⁰both anchored into a few of **SGPxs**, the intelligent alteration of substrate binding ability and catalytic ability could not be achieved^{18,21}. It was known that only surplus ROS led to many

human oxidative stress-related diseases and appropriate amount of ROS commonly acted as signal molecules in the metabolism $1,3$.

The absence of adjustable and intelligent catalytic ability in **SGPx** has largely limited the further investigation and application of artificial GPx. Therefore, how to overcome these two disadvantages, design a novel **SGPx** with adjustable catalytic ⁵ability and bearing enriched catalytic factors (catalytic center and substrate binding sites) is still a great challenge.

Blending process is a versatile strategy exploited for the development of new polymeric materials with property profiles superior to those of the individual components^{20,48-50}. The unique

- 10 property of blending process can be employed to overcome the disadvantage of the absence of enriched catalytic factors. Moreover, in our previous reports, we also proved that the construction of smart artificial GPx with intelligent catalytic ability was achievable using traditional single chain block 15 copolymer and supramolecular microgel as scaffolds based on
- PNIPAM^{45,50}. Therefore, the application of the construction method from previous intelligent artificial GPx in the development of novel **SGPx** is desirable. It can overcome the disadvantage of absence of the intelligent catalytic ability in
- ²⁰ previous **SGPxs**. Additionally, the host-guest interaction between cyclodextrin and adamantine has been proved to be the efficient non-covalent interaction for the construction of supramolecular materials $42-51$,
- Herein, we designed a novel smart **SGPx** combining ²⁵PNIPAM scaffold, host-guest interaction self-assembly and blending process. Typically, as a novel smart artificial GPx, **SGPxmax** displayed a noticeable temperature responsive catalytic activity and the typical saturation kinetics behavior as a real enzyme catalyst. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first
- ³⁰example of the successful construction of smart artificial GPx based on host-guest interaction and a blending process. We anticipate that this artificial GPx not only bodes well for the exploration of intelligent antioxidant drugs but also highlights the development of host-guest self-assembled supramolecular ³⁵materials.

Experimental Section

Materials

Tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (TREN, Acros) was used as received. Tris(2-dimethylaminoethyl)amine (Me₆TREN) was synthesized 40 as described previously⁵². *N*-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM)

- (Aldrich) was recrystallized from hexane and toluene, and dried under a vacuum prior to use. Sodium borohydride, 1 adamantanecarbonyl chloride, and 3-bromo-1-propanol were purchased from Fluka and were used without further purification.
- 45 Cumene hydorperoxide (CUOOH), H_2O_2 , and 4nitrobenzenethiol (NBT) were purchased from J&K Scientific Ltd. 3-carboxyl-4-nitrobenzenethiol (TNB) was synthesized from 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) as described previously⁷. Acrylamide, L-Arginine, 1-adamantanecarbonyl chloride,
- ⁵⁰tellurium powder, β-cyclodextrin, phenyl methanol and 4-toluene sulfonyl chloride were purchased from Shanghai Reagent Co. Acryloyl chloride and propargyl alcohol were purchased from Anhui Wotu Reagent Co. 2-bromopropanoly bromide was purchased from Lancaster. Triethylamine and tetrahydrofuran
- ⁵⁵were rigorously dried with sodium. 1-[p-(phenyl-azo) phenoxyethyl]pyridinium bromide (**AZO**) was endowed from

liu's group (**AZO**: 1H NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO) δ (ppm) 9.17 (d, 2H), 8.66 (t, 1H), 8.21 (t, 2H), 7.90–7.81 (m, 4H), 7.60–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.13 (d, 2H), 5.10 (t, 2H), 4.65 (t, 2H).).

⁶⁰**Instrumentations**

The characterization of the structures of the compounds was performed with Bruker 300MHz spectrometer using a TMS proton signal as the internal standard. UV-vis spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu 2450 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer.

- ⁶⁵Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations were carried out on a JEOL JSM-6700F scanning electron microscope with primary electron energy of 3kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations were carried out on a JEOL JEM 3010 scanning electron microscope. The buffer pH values were
- ⁷⁰determined with a METTLER TOLEDO 320 pH meter. Dynamic light scattering experiments were performed at Malven ZETAS12-ERNANOSERIES instrument. Molecular weights and molecular weight distributions were determined by GPC using THF as eluent at a flow rate of 1.0mL/min.
- ⁷⁵The synthesis of **ADA-Te**, **ADA-Arg**, **CD-Br** were given in ESI. **CD-PNIPAMs** were synthesized according to the polymerization procedure reported by Masci et $al⁵³$. The detailed synthesis process was available in ESI.

Determination of the LCST

- ⁸⁰The determination of LCST was carried out according to the previous reported method⁵⁴. Typically, the optical transmissions of **CD-PNIPAM** solution (1 mg⋅mL⁻¹)</sup> at different temperatures were measured at 600 nm using a Shimadzu 2450 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. Sample cells were thermostated in a circulator
- 85 bath at different temperatures from 25 to 45°C prior to the measurements. The LCST was defined as the temperature of the 50% transmittance point during the first heating ramp.

Preparation of Te-CD-PNIPAM⁷³

The supramolecular building block **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** with 90 catalytic center of GPx was prepared based on **ADA-Te** and **CD-PNIPAM73**. Typically, the preparation process was like this: **ADA-Te** (8.16 mg, 0.02 mmol) was dissolved in 0.20 mL DMF. **CD-PNIPAM** $_{73}$ (196.3 mg, 0.02 mmol) was dissolved in 3.80 mL deionized water. Then, DMF solution of **ADA-Te** was slowly ⁹⁵ added into the solution of **CD-PNIPAM**₇₃ under sonication at 25°C. After the dropwise process was finished, the mixture solution was treated under continual sonication at 25°C for 20 min. Then, the solution was removed by a rotary evaporator and the product was dried under vacuum for 24 h at 45°C. Finally, the 100 dried product was dissolved in 10 mL PBS. And **Te-CD-**

PNIPAM73 with the concentration of 2 mM was obtained.

Preparation of Arg-CD-PNIPAM⁷³

The preparation process of **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** was similar to that of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** except that **ADA-Te** was replaced by ¹⁰⁵**ADA-Arg**. And **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** with the concentration of 2 mM was obtained.

Determination of GPx activity

The catalytic activity was assayed according to a modified method reported by Hilvert et $al¹²$. Typically, the reaction was 110 carried out at 36° C in a 1 mL quartz cuvette, 700 µL of phosphate

buffer (pH=7.0, 50 mM) and 100 μ L of $SGPx_{max}$ (10 μ M) were added, and then 100 µL of the TNB solution (1.5 mM) was added. The mixture in the quartz cuvette was pre-incubated at appropriate temperature for 3 min. Finally, the reaction was ⁵initiated by the addition of 100 µL of cumene hydroperoxide

(CUOOH) (2.5 mM), and the absorption decrease of TNB at 410 nm $(\epsilon_{410} = 13600 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 7.0)$ was monitored using a Shimadzu 2450 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. Appropriate control of the non-enzymatic reaction was performed and was 10 subtracted from the catalyzed reaction.

Results and Discussion

Construction of supramolecular building blocks for artificial GPx

- The crystal structure of bovine erythrocyte glutathione peroxidase 15 was reported by Epp et al in 1983⁴. And the catalytic site of GPx has been well studied. It was suggested that three important catalytic factors contributed to maintaining the efficient GPx catalytic activity: catalytic center, binding site, and hydrophobic cavity. Based on our previous studies, tellurium, arginine
- ²⁰derivative and cyclodextrin were selected as efficient alternative catalytic factors in artificial GPx^{14-20} . As shown in Table 1, the description of abbreviation in this work was illustrated. As displayed in Fig. 1, **ADA-Te** with a similar function of selenocysteine was prepared as a catalytic center. **ADA-Arg** was
- ²⁵responsible for the complexation of carboxyl groups of substrates as the binding site. Considering that ATRP was the efficient controlled polymerization for the synthesis of functional polymers^{55,56}, various cyclodextrin-containing host polymers **(CD-PNIPAMs)** with different molecular weights were
- ³⁰synthesized by ATRP (see Fig. 2). GPC measurement displayed that their degree of polymerization (DPs) were estimated to be 73, 130, 374, respectively (see ESI). And the corresponding **CD-PNIPAMs** were denoted as **CD-PNIPAM73**, **CD-PNIPAM130**, **CD-PNIPAM374**, respectively. Herein, **CD-Br** was the
- ³⁵macroinitiator and contributed to anchoring the cyclodextrin into **CD-PNIPAMs**. Typically, the cyclodextrin end group in **CD-PNIPAMs** served as two purposes: on the one hand, it acted as host molecule for including guest molecules (**ADA-Te** or **ADA-Arg**) in the host-guest complex; on the other hand, the ⁴⁰hydrophobic cavity of cyclodextrin in **SGPx** provided the
- hydrophobic microenvironment for the binding of hydrophobic substrates.

Fig. 1 The structures of the functional monomers **ADA-Te**, **ADA-Arg**, ⁴⁵**CD-Br** and substrates (NBT, TNB)

Table 1 Description of abbreviation in this work

Fig. 2 Synthesis of **CD-PNIPAM**

⁵⁰PNIPAM undergoes a reversible volume phase transition at near-physiological temperature, which is a thermally sensitive polymer with a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of $32^{\circ}C^{57-58}$. Therefore, during the temperature responsive process, the soluble block copolymer bearing PNIPAM block can change ⁵⁵to amphiphilic polymer when PNIPAM block shifts from being hydrophilic to hydrophobic. It provides the platform for the construction of versatile self-assembled materials^{16,59,60}. For **SGPx** in this work, the temperature responsive property of its PNIPAM scaffold plays an important role in the adjusting of 60 catalytic activity. Based on the functional building blocks (**CD-PNIPAM73, ADA-Te, ADA-Arg**), **SGPx** was constructed and illustrated in Scheme 1. Firstly, base on the host-guest interaction between adamantane (guest molecule in **ADA-Te** or **ADA-Arg**) and cyclodextrin (host molecule in **CD-PNIPAM**), the 65 supramolecular building blocks (Te-CD-PNIPAM₇₃, Arg-CD-**PNIPAM**⁷³) with GPx catalytic factors were prepared and given in Scheme 1 **section 1**. Secondly, **SGPxmax** was constructed by a blending process (see Scheme 1 **section 2**). Finally, the reversible

temperature responsive property of **SGPxmax** was illustrated in ⁷⁰Scheme 1**section 3**.

Scheme 1A graphical representation of **SGPx**: **section 1**) the construction of supramolecular building blocks (**Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73**); **section 2**) the construction of **SGPx** by a blending process; and **section 3**) the reversible temperature responsive behavior of **SGPx**

⁵To prove the formation of host-guest complex in **SGPx**, NMR assay was carried out using **AZO** as an indicator. It is known that the host-guest complex between adamantane and cyclodextrin is remarkable stable. And the host-guest interaction between **AZO** and cyclodextrin is relative weak. Therefore, the graphical 10 representation of the assumed competitive complex mechanism was given in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2 A graphical representation of the competitive complex ¹⁵mechanism using **AZO** as an indicator

To confirm this hypothesis, three groups of H NMR spectra were characterized and illustrated in Fig. 3. Among three groups of ¹H NMR spectra, **complex 1** was the proton signals of aromatic rings in the binary system of **AZO+CD-PNIPAM73**, ²⁰**complex 2** was the proton signals of aromatic rings in the ternary

- system of **AZO+ Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, and **complex 3** was the aromatic rings in the ternary system of **AZO+Arg-CD-PNIPAM** $_{73}$ in D₂O. Comparing **complex 1** with **complex 2** or **complex 3**, we noticed that proton signals of c_1 , a_1 , b_1 , h_1 in
- ²⁵**complex 1** shifted to a low field, which suggested that these protons were exposed to water moderately. This phenomenon might be derived from the better solubility of **AZO** when it was included in the hydrophobic cavity of cyclodextrin. We also found that proton signals of d_1 and g_1 in **complex 1** shifted to a
- \mathfrak{so} high field (proton signals of e_1 and f_1 were buried by the protons

the hydrophobic cavity of cyclodextrin and the proton signals were shielded. These results were in line with the previous investigation of the formation mechanism of host-guest complex 35 between **AZO** and functional cyclodextrin^{45,61}. Additionally, compared with the proton signals of d_2 and g_2 (or d_3 and g_3) in **complex 2** or **complex 3**, these proton signalsin **complex 1** were broad peaks, which also proved that these protons were included in the hydrophobic cavity of cyclodextrin. Similar result was also 40 reported previously⁶². The investigation mentioned above suggested that **AZO** was included in the cavity of cyclodextrin in **complex 1** and was not included in the cavity of cyclodextrin in **complex 2** or **complex 3**. It also proved that the cavity of cyclodextrin in **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** or **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** was ⁴⁵occupied by adamantane in **ADA-Te** or **ADA-Arg**. Equally, it indirectly confirmed that host-guest complex in **SGPx** was formed.

of polymer), which suggested that these protons were included in

Fig. 3 ¹H NMR spectra of **complex 1**) the proton signals of aromatic 50 rings in the binary system of **AZO+CD-PNIPAM**₇₃, **complex 2**) proton signals of aromatic rings in the ternary system of **AZO+ Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **complex 3**) aromatic rings in the ternary system of **AZO+** $Arg-CD-PNIPAM₇₃$ in $D₂O$.

Moreover, the formation of host-guest complex in **SGPx** was further confirmed by the changes of optical transmittance of various supramolecular building blocks (**CD-PNIPAM73**, **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**). As Fig. 4 displayed, the ⁵temperature dependence of optical transmittance of various supramolecular building blocks was given. Typically, The LCST of **CD-PNIPAM73**, **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM⁷³** were 34.4°C, 33.6.°C, 32.8°C, respectively. Compared with the LCST of **CD-PNIPAM73**, the LCST of **Arg-CD-PNIPAM⁷³** (34.5°C) was higher and the LCST of **Te-CD-PNIPAM⁷³** ¹⁰ (33.4°C) was lower. It was reported that the LCST of PINPAM could be affected by the anchored functional groups with different hydrophilic-hydrophobic property⁶³. Herein, the phenomenon in this work was in good agreement with the

¹⁵previous report. It also proved that host-guest complex in **SGPx** was indeed formed.

Fig. 4 Temperature dependence of optical transmittance at 600 nm obtained for pH 7.0, 50 mM PBS of (a) **CD-PNIPAM73**, (b) **CD-**²⁰**PNIPAM130**, (c) **CD-PNIPAM374**, (d) **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73**, (e) **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** at concentration of 1 mg/ml

Optimizing the structure of smart artificial GPx

It is known that the slight change of the structure will result in dramatic change in catalytic activity for a native enzyme. Herein, ²⁵both the polymer structure in **SGPx** and the match degree of the catalytic factors in **SGPx** played important roles in influencing

the catalytic activity. In the first place, as the cyclodextrin-containing host polymer (**CD-PNIPAM**) was used as scaffold for artificial GPx, it was ³⁰necessary to reveal the influence of polymer structure on the catalytic activity of artificial GPx. Accordingly, three kinds of cyclodextrin-containing host polymers with different molecular weights (**CD-PNIPAM73**, **CD-PNIPAM130**, **CD-PNIPAM374**) were synthesized by ATRP. Combining **CD-PNIPAMs** with

- 35 **ADA-Te**, three kinds of host-guest artificial GPxs (**Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM130**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM374**) were constructed. Usually, the twine of polymer chain in PNIPAM was more serious when the molecular weight of PNIPAM was larger. Especially, during the temperature responsive process when the
- ⁴⁰temperature was above LCST, the twine of polymer chain in PNIPAM was further enhanced. Therefore, we anticipated that the corresponding catalytic activities of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM130**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM374** could be affected by their different twisting polymer structures related to their different ⁴⁵molecular weights.

To confirm this supposition and investigate the catalytic activities of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM130**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM₃₇₄**, the temperature for the evaluating of catalytic

activity was firstly determined by the following way. As Fig. 4 **a**, ⁵⁰**b**, **c** shown, the optical transmittance trend to be a fixed value when the temperature was above 36°C, which proved that the efficient self-assembled aggregation was formed during the temperature responsive process. Considering that self-assembly structure largely affected the catalytic activity of artificial GPx^{50} , ⁵⁵the analysis of the catalytic activities was carried out at 36°C. For evaluating the catalytic behavior of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM130**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM374**, the catalytic activity for the reduction of cumene hydorperoxide (CUOOH) by 3-carboxyl-4 nitrobenzenethiol (TNB) was evaluated at 36°C according to the 60 modified method reported by Hilvert et al¹² using TNB as a GSH alternative (see Fig. 5). The relative activities were summarized in Table 2. From Table 2, we found that the catalytic rates of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM130**, **Te-CD-PNIPAM374** were 2.02 μ M⋅min⁻¹, 1.51 μ M⋅min⁻¹, 1.41 μ M⋅min⁻¹, respectively. The 65 catalytic rates decreased with the increasing molecular weights. This trend might be caused by their different temperature responsive properties related to their different molecular weights. Herein, the investigation of dynamic light scattering (Fig. 6 **a**, **b**, **c**) revealed that the hydrodynamic diameters was larger when the ⁷⁰molecular weight was larger. Correspondingly, it meant that the aggregated morphology of **Te-CD-PNIPAM374** was larger and the twine structure was much more serious. Under this condition, the catalytic center anchored in **Te-CD-PNIPAM374** was easily buried in its twine structure when the temperature was above its ⁷⁵LCST. This phenomenon might be responsible for the lower catalytic rate of **Te-CD-PNIPAM374** and the higher catalytic rate of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**. Thus, **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was selected as the optimum host building block for the subsequently construction of **SGPxmax.**

Fig. 6 A) Hydrodynamic diameters of **CD-PNIPAM73**(a), **CD-**⁸⁵**PNIPAM130**(b), and **CD-PNIPAM374**(c) at 36°C determined using a Malvern ZETAS12-ERNANOSERIES instrument; B)Hydrodynamic diameters of **SGPxmax** at varying temperature (25°C(d); 32°C(e); 36°C(f); $40^{\circ}C(g)$

a

 $^{\circ}$ The concentration of catalyst was 1 μ M. And the initial rate of reaction ⁵was corrected for the spontaneous oxidation.

Subsequently, as the match degree of the catalytic factors in **SGPx** might play an important role in influencing the catalytic activity, the construction of optimum supramolecular artificial GPx (**SGPxmax**) was accomplished by a blending process. A 10 graphical representation of the construction of $SGPx_{\text{max}}$ was illustrated in Scheme 1 **section 2**. Herein, **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73**, and **CD-PNIPAM73** were functioned as the building blocks for **SGPxmax**, which endowed **SGPxmax** with the catalytic center, binding site, and hydrophobic cavity, 15 respectively. Considering that the catalytic activity was crucial important for a successful artificial GPx, the data of the catalytic activities were employed as the effective values to reflect the optimization of artificial GPx by a blending process. On the one hand, by the blending of building blocks (**Te-CD-PNIPAM73** and 20 Arg-CD-PNIPAM₇₃), Arg-Te-CD-PNIPAM₇₃ modified with

catalytic center and binding site was constructed. As shown in Fig. 7 A, the component of **Arg-Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was

optimized by plotting the catalytic rate against the molar ratio of **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** to **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**. It was noticeable μ ²⁵ that the catalytic rate of $\text{Arg-CD-PNIPAM}_{73}$ increased to some extent with the molar ratio going up. And it reached the highest value (see Table 2, **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73max**=9.36 µM⋅min–1) when the molar ratio was 6:1. However, the catalytic rate decreased when the molar ratio increased further. For **Arg-CD-**³⁰ PNIPAM₇₃, the better match between catalytic center and binding site would result in the stronger substrate binding ability and higher catalytic activity. Thus, the highest catalytic activity was obtained when the best match was achieved with the molar ratio was 6:1. However, the enhanced binding ability could bind ³⁵much more substrates in a distribution away from the catalytic center and made the completion of catalytic cycle in an inefficient way. Therefore, the decreased catalytic rate was observed when the molar ratio was increased further. Similar results were also reported in our previous work 50 . On the other hand, using the 40 same protocol as mentioned above, the component of **CD-Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was optimized by plotting the catalytic rate against the molar ratio of *CD-PNIPAM73 to Te-CD-PNIPAM⁷³* (see Fig. 7 B). And the highest catalytic rate (see Table 2, **CD-Te-CD-PNIPAM_{73max}**=8.51 μ M⋅min⁻¹) was achieved when the 45 molar ratio of **CD-PNIPAM** $_{73}$ to **Te-CD-PNIPAM** $_{73}$ was 5:1. Finally, the optimum **SGPx** was achieved using a blending

process based on **Te-CD-PNIPAM73**, **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73**, and **CD-PNIPAM73**. As displayed in Fig. 8, the molar ratio of **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** to **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was fixed to 6:1 and the 50 molar ratio of **CD-PNIPAM**₇₃ to **Te-CD-PNIPAM**₇₃ was altered. Ultimately, the best match of catalytic factors was achieved by altering the molar ratio of three functional supramolecular polymers. The optimum **SGPx** (see Table 2, **SGPxmax**=18.75 μ M⋅min⁻¹) with the highest catalytic rate was successfully 55 constructed when the molar ratio was 6:6:1. Now, we can draw a conclusion that the construction of **SGPxmax** was achievable through altering the molar ratio of three functional supramolecular polymers by a blending process.

Considering that detailed information of aggregated behavior 60 of SGPx_{max} was important to reveal its catalytic mechanism, its detailed aggregated behavior was investigated based on dynamic light scattering, SEM, TEM and the temperature dependence of optical transmittance. As shown in Fig. 6 B, the hydrodynamic diameters of **SGPxmax** in aqueous solutions were typically 7 nm when the temperature was lower than the LCST of **SGPxmax** ⁶⁵ (curve **d**, curve **e**). Nevertheless, the corresponding hydrodynamic diameters shifted to larger than 100 nm when the temperature was higher than the LCST of SGPx_{max} (curve **f**, curve **g**). Considering that the hydrophilic PNIPAM block was 70 changed to hydrophobic in different degree at corresponding temperature, the changes of the diameters of **SGPxmax** might be derived from the different assembled structures that were formed at corresponding temperatures. Similar phenomenon was also reported in our previous reports^{16,50}. Furthermore, the actual 75 morphology of SGPx_{max} at 36 °C was observed by SEM in Fig. 9. We could clearly see that the presence of spherical nanoparticles of 80-100 nm in diameters. As SEM shown, the dimensions of these nanoparticles in the dry state were reasonably smaller than those detected by a Zetasizer Nano ⁸⁰instrument at the same temperature, since the Zetasizer Nano

instrument provided the average hydrophobic diameter of nanoparticles in solution which contained the contribution from the swollen corona. Moreover, from the TEM image in Fig. 10, we found that the nanopariticles were hollow vesicle-like ⁵nanoparticles with a thinner region surrounded by a thicker region and clearly confirmed the boundary between the thinner and the thicker ones. Similar behavior has been reported by Chen and coworkers⁶⁴. Additionally, as Fig. 11 shown, the temperature dependence of optical transmittance of **SGPxmax** was given. Its ¹⁰LCST was 34.4°C

Fig. 7 Plots of catalytic rates v_0 against molar ratio of functional copolymer: (A), **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** to **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** (The concentration of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was 1 µM. The concentrations of ¹⁵**Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** were 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 µM, respectively); (B) **CD-PNIPAM73** to **Te-CD-PNIPAM73.** (The concentration of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was 1 µM. The concentrations of **CD-PNIPAM₇₃** were 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 μ M, respectively)

 $Fig. 8$ Plots of catalytic rates v_0 against molar ratio of functional copolymer: (a) **CD-PNIPAM73** to **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** (the ratio of **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** to **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was fixed to 6:1). (The concentration of **Te-CD-PNIPAM73** was 1 µM. The concentrations of **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** was 6 µM. The concentrations of **CD-PNIPAM⁷³** 25 were 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 μ M, respectively)

Fig. 9 SEM image for **SGPxmax**.

Fig. 10 TEM image for **SGPxmax**

Fig. 11 Temperature dependence of optical transmittance at 600 nm obtained for pH 7.0, 50 mM PBS of **SGPxmax** at concentration of 1 mg/ml.

Catalytic behavior of SGPxmax

30

³⁵ The catalytic activity of SGPx_{max} was investigated using TNB as a GSH alternative. Normally, the reaction between CUOOH and TNB was very slow under the spontaneous oxidation condition. To evaluate the effluence of the catalytic activity by supramolecular scaffold, the control experiments were carried out. 40 Typically, the catalytic activity of **ADA-Arg, ADA-Te, CD-**

- **PNIPAM73 and Arg-CD-PNIPAM⁷³** were determined using the similar assay method of other artificial enzyme (see Table 2). From Table 2, it was concluded that the reaction between CUOOH and TNB under the catalytic oxidation condition were
- ⁴⁵relative lower. However, a remarkable rate enhancement $(SGPx_{max} = 18.75 \mu M \cdot min^{-1})$ was observed under the identical condition when **SGPxmax** was added. This observation not only proved that the catalytic activities derived from the supramolecular scaffold were slight but also suggested that the 50 remarkable catalytic activity can be obtained by assembly and blend of various catalytic element (catalytic center and binding site). Additionally, seen from Fig. 12, the saturation kinetic of **SGPxmax** for the peroxidase reaction was studied at the individual

concentrations of CUOOH, which indicated that **SGPxmax** exhibited typical saturation kinetics and acted as a real catalyst for peroxidase reaction. In the TNB assay system, the apparent kinetic parameters were obtained: $V_{ma} = 85.62$ μM⋅min⁻¹, μ _{*k*cat}^{app}=85.62 min⁻¹, $K_{m\text{CUOOH}}$ =907.53 μ M, k_{cat} ^{app}/ $K_{m\text{CUOOH}}$ $=9.43\times10^{4} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, and the turnover number per catalytic center tellurium was calculated to be 86 min^{-1} .

Fig. 12 Plots of initial rates at different concentrations of CUOOH in the 10 presence of **SGPx**_{max}. The initial concentration of TNB was fixed to 0.15 mM, The concentrations of CUOOH were 0.05, 0.10, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5 and 5 mM, respectively

- For an efficient artificial enzyme, the strong substrates binding ability was one of the most important characteristics. For ¹⁵native GPx, the binding site (formed between two arginines and carboxylic group of GSH) and the hydrophobic cavity (composed of some hydrophobic amino residues) played important roles in binding substrates and maintaining the efficient catalytic activity. For **SGPxmax** constructed in this work, similar binding site and
- ²⁰hydrophobic cavity were also incorporated. Herein, the binding site was derived from the arginine residue in **ADA-Arg**. And the cavity of cyclodextrin in **CD-PNIPAM73** could effectively recognize aromatic thiol substrates being similar to the hydrophobic cavity in native GPx. Consequently, the best match
- ²⁵degree of catalytic factors (catalytic center, binding site, and hydrophobic cavity) might endow **SGPxmax** with strong and special substrates binding ability. Equally, it would also play an important role in maintaining the efficient catalytic activity.

³⁰**Fig. 13** UV spectra with varying concentration of **SGPxmax** in water; the concentration of TNB was 68 µM, and from **a** to **f** the concentrations of **SGPxmax** were 0, 0.2, 0.4,1, 1.5, and 4 mM, respectively.

To confirm the interaction between the substrate TNB and **SGPxmax**, UV spectrum were employed to check the substrate 35 binding ability. It was known that the maximum absorbance wavelength of TNB was 410 nm in aqueous solution (pH=7.0),

and it would appear as a red shift when it was in a hydrophobic microenvironment. Fig. 13 illustrated the UV spectra of the assayed mixtures with various amounts of **SGPxmax**. As expected, ⁴⁰a red shift of maximum absorbance wavelength was observed, which indicated that a strong hydrophobic interaction existed between the aryl moiety of TNB and the binding sites of **SGPxmax**. In other words, **SGPxmax** could exhibit the strong substrates binding ability during the GPx catalytic process. To further confirm the substrate binding ability of **SGPxmax** ⁴⁵, the catalytic rates were measured in different assay systems (shown in Fig. 14): CUOOH, TNB assay system (Fig. 14 A), v_0 = 18.75 μ M·min⁻¹; CUOOH, NBT assay system (Fig. 14 B), v_0 =8.12 μ M·min⁻¹; H₂O₂, TNB assay system (Fig. 14 C), v_0 =4.63 ⁵⁰ μM·min⁻¹; H₂O₂, NBT assay system (Fig. 14 D), v_0 =1.26 μ M⋅min⁻¹. It was found that much lower catalytic rate was observed when NBT was used as substrate (B<A or D<C). The difference between NBT and TNB was that NBT was a smaller molecule in size than TNB owing to the lack of a carboxyl 55 function group in NBT. Considering that the similar assay condition (only substrates TNB and NBT were different) was used in two assay systems, the dramatic change in the catalytic activities should be mainly derived from the electrostatic interaction that was formed between the carboxyl in TNB and ω arginine in $SGPx_{max}$. Furthermore, the catalytic rates were measured under same condition except the different ROOHs $(CUOOH$ and H_2O_2) were used. The difference between $CUOOH$ and H_2O_2 was that CUOOH was a more hydrophobic substrate than H_2O_2 owing to the presence of the hydrophobic cumenyl ⁶⁵group in CUOOH. Usually, the rate constants of the spontaneous reaction between hydroperoxide and thiol vary in magnitude in the order $k(H_2O_2) > k(CUOOH)^7$. However, by comparing the assay system A and C (or B and D), it was noticeable that the catalytic activity of **SGPxmax** exhibited a significant enhancement π ⁰ when CUOOH as a substrate instead of H_2O_2 . This difference in catalytic activities was mainly because that the hydrophobic microenvironment in **SGPxmax** enabled the hydrophobic substrate CUOOH to approach **SGPxmax** easier and complete the catalytic cycle more preferentially.

⁷⁵Consequently, based the above experiments, we could conclude that **SGPxmax** was endowed with strong substrate binding ability through both electrostatic interaction and hydrophobic interaction, which was derived from **Arg-CD-PNIPAM73** and **CD-PNIPAM73** respectively. And the stronger ⁸⁰substrate binding ability further resulted in higher catalytic rates

Fig. 14 The catalytic rates (v_0) for the reduction of hydroperoxides (250) μ M) by TNB and NBT (150 μ M) in the presence of **SGPx**_{max} at pH 7.0 (50 mM PBS) and 36°C. (A) CUOOH, TNB; (B) CUOOH, NBT; (C)

8 | RSC Advances, [year], [vol], 00–00 **This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]**

$H₂O₂$, TNB; (D) $H₂O₂$, NBT.

Temperature responsive catalytic behavior of SGPxmax

The temperature responsive property of **SGPxmax** was investigated by assaying the catalytic activities in TNB assay ⁵system using CUOOH as a substrate at various temperatures from 25°C to 45°C. To our knowledge, for the majority of temperatureactivated reactions, the reaction rates would be enhanced with rising temperature according to Arrhenius equation. However, it was different for **SGPxmax**. Herein, a thermal-responsible

10 catalytic activity curve was obtained by plotting the catalytic rate against temperature (Fig. 15).

Fig. 15 Plots of the catalytic rate of SGPx_{max} (1.00 μ M) versus temperature during the catalytic reduction of CUOOH (0.25 mM) by TNB (0.15 mM) .

It was observed that the catalytic activity increased slowly with the increasing temperature when the temperature was lower than 33°C, and it increased remarkably with the temperature increasing from 33°C to 36°C. Furthermore, when the 20 temperature increased higher above 36°C, the catalytic activity increased slowly again. Benefited from the presence of PNIPAM scaffold, **SGPx max** exhibited the typical temperature responsive behavior. In the section *optimizing the structure of smart artificial GPx* mentioned above, the detailed information of

- ²⁵aggregated behavior of **SGPxmax** during the temperature responsive process was investigated. We speculated that the temperature responsive catalytic activity of **SGPxmax** was endowed by the change of the self-assembled structure of **SGPxmax** during the temperature responsive process. To explicate
- ³⁰the temperature responsive catalytic mechanism, the hydrodynamic diameters of the self-assembled structures of **SGPxmax** were assayed at various temperatures and the temperature dependence of optical transmittance of **SGPx max** was measured with the increasing temperature. A graphical
- 35 representation of the temperature responsive catalytic mechanism was illustrated in Scheme 1 **section 3**

Typically, PNIAPM exhibited the lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of about 32°C. A great deal of hydrogen bonds between amide group and surrounding water molecules

- ⁴⁰were formed when the temperature was lower than its LCST, which enabled the PNIPAM block totally dissolve in water. However, the hydrophilic PNIAM block changed to hydrophobic block to different degree when different amounts of hydrogen bonds were cleaved during the temperature responsive process.
- 45 Usually, accompanied with break of hydrogen bonds, the self-

assembled structures were formed as the hydrophobic PNIAM block aggregating together in water. And such phenomenon was also observed in the temperature responsive process of **SGPxmax** (its LCST was 34.4°C). As displayed in Fig. 11, the temperature 50 dependence of optical transmittance of SGPx_{max} was measured at various temperatures from 25°C to 45°C. It was noticeable that the optical transmittance of **SGPxmax** was nearly 100% below 33°C, which indicated that **SGPxmax** was dissolved in water and the PNIAPM block was hydrophilic. Moreover, as shown in Fig.

- 556 B **d** and **e**, the hydrodynamic diameters of $SGPx_{max}$ were smaller than 10 nm when the temperature was lower than its LCST, which also indicated that **SGPxmax** was hydrophilic and was dissolved in water. This observation was in close agreement with the analysis of the temperature dependences of optical 60 transmittance of $SGPx_{max}$. Herein, for $SGPx_{max}$, the catalytic factors were incorporated into the supramolecular blocks. Therefore, the supramolecular blocks accompanied with catalytic
- factors were distributed in a randomly manner in water when the temperature was lower than its LCST. And the good match of the ⁶⁵catalytic factors could not be easily achieved, which further resulted in a lower catalytic activity.

Subsequently, the sharp decrease in optical transmittance was observed in Fig. 11 when the temperature was higher than 33°C. The optical transmittance of **SGPxmax** became much lower with ⁷⁰the increasing temperature, which indicated that the PNIPAM block of **SGPxmax** became hydrophobic due to the cleavage of hydrogen bonds. Meanwhile, the self-assembled structures were formed as the shift of PNIPAM in **SGPxmax** form hydrophilic to hydrophobic. From Fig. 6 B **f** and **g**, the increased hydrodynamic τ ₅ diameters of $SGPx_{max}$ (larger than 100 nm) were observed when the temperature was higher than its LCST, which was in agreement with the conclusion from the changes of optical transmittance. Combing the detailed information of aggregated behavior from Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, it was concluded that self-⁸⁰assembled vesicles were formed when the PNIPAM block of **SGPxmax** changed from hydrophilic to hydrophobic. It is well known that a minor change of the the structure of the enzyme will result in a dramatic change in activity for naturally occurring enzymes. Herein, the remarkable changes of aggregated behavior

- ⁸⁵of **SGPxmax** also resulted in a dramatic change in catalytic activity. As shown in Fig. 15, the curve of thermal-responsible catalytic activity was obtained by plotting the catalytic rate against temperature. The catalytic rate increased remarkably with the temperature increasing from 33°C to 36°C. Under these ⁹⁰ conditions, the PNIPAM block of **SGPx**_{max} was hydrophobic and the self-assembled vesicles were formed. Consequently, the catalytic factors in **SGPxmax** were concentrated in the vesicle, which resulted in the distance among the catalytic factors in vesicle was much closer. Accordingly, the better match of the
- 95 catalytic factors could be easily achieved. Then, it enabled the catalytic cycle completed in an efficient way. Thus, as the better match of the catalytic factors were achieved with the temperature increasing from 33°C to 36°C, the largely enhancement of the catalytic activity was observed.

100 However, the catalytic activities increased slowly again when the temperature increased higher above 36°C. It was apparent that the optical transmittance of **SGPxmax** was stable when the temperature was above 36°C (see Fig. 11), which meant the stable vesicles have aggregated under these conditions. As the cyclodextrin was anchored into **CD-PNIPAMs** as the end group, the catalytic factors were presented as the hydrophilic block at the end of functional building blocks (**CD-PNIPAM73**, **Te-CD-**

- **PNIPAM73**, **Arg-CD-PNIPAM⁷³** ⁵). Therefore, as illustrated in Scheme 1 **section 3**, these catalytic factors might be assembled on the surface of the aggregated vesicles as their hydrophilicity. Once the vesicle was formed, the distance of the catalytic factors would be slightly changed and the match degree of the catalytic
- 10 factor changed to the steady state even if the temperature was further increased. Therefore, the substrates binding ability was also slightly changed and the catalytic activity was increased more slowly. Additionally, as shown in Fig. 6 B, the hydrodynamic diameter of **SGPxmax** was further increased at the
- ¹⁵higher temperature (**g**>**f**). It might be caused by the reason that the larger aggregated structure could be assembled by universal vesicles at higher temperature as the hydrophobicity of the PNIPAM became stronger, which was also reported in previous reported¹⁴. Under this condition, some catalytic factors might be
- ²⁰buried in the scaffold of the larger aggregated structure, which would play a role in the slowly increasing of catalytic activity to some extent.

Now we can draw a conclusion that the change of the selfassembled structure of **SGPxmax** during the temperature

²⁵responsive process plays a significant role in the altering of the temperature responsive catalytic activity.

Conclusions

In this work, a novel smart artificial GPx (**SGPx**) was prepared via host-guest interaction and a blending process for the first time.

- ³⁰Herein, the functional guest molecules (**ADA-Te** and **ADA-Arg**) and the cyclodextrin-containing host polymers (**CD-PNIPAMs**) were synthesized. And the optimum host building block (**CD-PNIPAM73**) was selected. Significantly, the optimum supramolecular artificial GPx (**SGPxmax**) with vesicles structure ³⁵was achieved through altering the molar ratio of building blocks
- (**CD-PNIPAM73**, **ADA-Te**, **ADA-Arg**). The catalytic rates of **SGPxmax** displayed a noticeable temperature responsive characteristic and **SGPxmax** exhibited the typical saturation kinetics behavior as a real enzyme catalyst. It was proved that the
- change of the self-assembled structure of **SGPx max** ⁴⁰during the temperature responsive process played a significant role in altering the temperature responsive catalytic behavior. We anticipate that this study would not only overcome the insurmountable disadvantages existed in traditional
- ⁴⁵supramolecular artificial GPx but also open up a new field in designing other smart antioxidative artificial enzyme. And we also hope this prepared process could highlight the preparation of other biologically related functional supramolecular materials.

Acknowledgments

⁵⁰This research was supported by financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 51303088, 51203082, 21234004), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi Province (No. 2013GXNSFBA019043), the Natural Science Foundation of Education Bureau of Guangxi Province (No. 2013YB254).

⁵⁵**Notes and references**

- a: School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qinzhou University, No.89, Xihuan Nanlu, Qinzhou 535000, People's Republic of China. E-mail:yinyanzhen2013@163.com; Fax: +86–0777–2860226
- ⁶⁰b: State Key Laboratory of Supramolecular Structure and Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China E-mail:junqiuliu@jlu.edu.cn Fax: +8643185193421;
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [The synthesis ⁶⁵of **ADA-Te, ADA-Arg, CD-Br and CD-PNIPAM**]. See DOI: 10.1039/b0000000x/
	- 1. H. Sies, ''Oxidative Stress: Introductory Remarks'', in *Oxidative Stress*; Sies, H., Ed.; Academic Press:London ,1985, p. 1.
- ⁷⁰2. H. Sies, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1986, **25**, 1058-1071.
- 3. H. Sies, *Experimental physiology*, 1997, **82**, 291-295.
- 4. O. Epp, R. Ladenstein and A. Wendel, *Eur. J. Biochem.* , 1983, **133**, 51-69.
- 5. L. Flohé, G. Loschen, W. A. Günzler and E. Eichele, *Hoppe-Seyler's* ⁷⁵*Z Physiol. Chem.*, 1972, **353**, 987-999.
- 6. T. G. Back and Z. Moussa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 2003, **125**, 13455- 13460.
- 7. Z. Y. Dong, J. Q. Liu, S. Z. Mao, X. Huang, B. Yang, X. J. Ren, G. M. Luo and J. C. Shen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 2004, **126**, 16395-16404.
- ⁸⁰8. L. Engman, D. Stern, I. A. Cotgreave and C. M. Andersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 1992, **114**, 9737-9743.
- 9. C. X. Hou, J. X. Li, L. L. Zhao, W. Zhang, Q. Luo, Z. Y. Dong, J. Y. Xu and J. Q. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* , 2013, **52**, 5590-5593.
- 10. C. X. Hou, Q. Luo, J. Q. Liu, L. Miao, C. Q. Zhang, Y. Z. Gao, X. Y. ⁸⁵Zhang, J. Y. Xu, Z. Y. Dong and J. Q. Liu, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 8692- 8701.
	- 11. G. Mugesh and H. B. Singh, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* , 2000, **29**, 347-357.
	- 12. Z. P. Wu and D. Hilvert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 1990, **112**, 5647-5648.
- 13. Z. Y. Dong, Y. G. Wang, Y. Z. Yin and J. Q. Liu, *Curr. Opin.* ⁹⁰*Colloid. In. ,* 2011, **16**, 451-458.
	- 14. X. Huang, Y. Z. Yin, X. Jiang, Y. Tang, J. Y. Xu, J. Q. Liu and J. C. Shen, *Macromol. Biosci.* , 2009, **9**, 1202-1210.
- 15. X. Huang, Y. Z. Yin, Y. Liu, X. L. Bai, Z. M. Zhang, J. Y. Xu, J. C. Shen and J. Q. Liu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* , 2009, **25**, 657-660.
- ⁹⁵16. X. Huang, Y. Z. Yin, Y. Tang, X. L. Bai, Z. M. Zhang, J. Y. Xu, J. Q. Liu and J. C. Shen, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **5**, 1905-1911.
	- 17. S. J. Yu, X. Huang, L. Miao, J. Y. Zhu, Y. Z. Yin, Q. Luo, J. Y. Xu, J. C. Shen and J. Q. Liu, *Bioorg. Chem.* , 2010, **38**, 159-164.
- 18. S. J. Yu, W. Zhang, J. Y. Zhu, Y. Z. Yin, H. Y. Jin, L. P. Zhou, Q. ¹⁰⁰Luo, J. Y. Xu and J. Q. Liu, *Macromol. Biosci.* , 2011, **11**, 821-827.
	- 19. S. J. Yu, Y. Z. Yin, J. Y. Zhu, X. Huang, Q. Luo, J. Y. Xu, J. C. Shen and J. Q. Liu, *Soft Matter*, 2010, **6**, 5342-5350.
	- 20. Y. Z. Yin, X. Huang, C. Y. Lv, L. Wang, S. J. Yu, Q. Luo, J. Y. Xu and J. Q. Liu, *Macromol. Biosci.* , 2010, **10**, 1505-1516.
- ¹⁰⁵21. Y. Tang, L. P. Zhou, J. X. Li, Q. Luo, X. Huang, P. Wu, Y. G. Wang, J. Y. Xu, J. C. Shen and J. Q. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* , 2010, **49**, 3920-3924.
	- 22. H. Y. Wang, L. Wang, X. G. Wang, J. Y. Xu, Q. Luo and J. Q. Liu, *New J. Chem.* , 2011, **35**, 2632-2638.
- ¹¹⁰23. A. Klug, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1983, **22**, 565-582.
	- 24. J. M. Lehn, *Science*, 2002, **295**, 2400-2403.
	- 25. C. Casati, P. Franchi, R. Pievo, E. Mezzina and M. Lucarini, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 2012, **134**, 19108–19117.
- 26. J. M. Lehn, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* , 2007, **36**, 151-160.
- ¹¹⁵27. J. M. Lehn, *Chem-Eur. J.*, 1999, **5**, 2455-2463.
	- 28. T. Ogoshi, Y. Takashima, H. Yamaguchi and A. Harada, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 2007, **129**, 4878-4879.
	- 29. H. Yang, H. Chen and Y. B. Tan, *RSC. Adv.* 2013, **3**, 3031-3037.
- 30. R. Bappaditya, B. Partha and K. N. Arun, *RSC. Adv.* 2014, **4**, 1708- 120 1734.
	- 31. D. L. Wang, X. Y. Huan, L. J. Zhu, J. Y. Liu, F. Qiu, D. Y. Yan and X. Y. Zhu, *RSC. Adv.* 2012, **2**, 11953-11962.
	- 32. C. C. Neikirk, J. W. Chung and R. D. Priestley, *RSC. Adv.* 2013, **3**, 16686-16696.
- 33. Y. Bai, Q. Luo, W. Zhang, L. Miao, J. Xu, H. Li and J. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 2013, **135**, 10966-10969.
- 34. T. Kubori, Y. Matsushima, D. Nakamura, J. Uralil, M. Lara-Tejero, A. Sukhan, J. E. Galán and S. I. Aizawa, *Science*, 1998, **280**, 602- ⁵605.
- 35. P. Díez, R. Villalonga, M. L. Villalonga and J. M. Pingarrón, *J. Colloid. Interface. Sci.* , 2012, **386**, 181-188.
- 36. S. M. Reddy, Q. T. Phan, H. E. Sharif, L. Govada, D. Stevenson and N. E. Chayen, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**, 3959-3965.
- ¹⁰37. L. Tan, Y. Liu, W. Ha, L. S. Ding, S. L. Peng, S. Zhang and B. J. Li, *Soft Matter* 2012, **8**, 4746-4749.
	- 38. J. Li, X. Li, X. Ni, X. Wang, H. Li and K. W. Leong, *Biomaterials*, 2006, **27**, 4132-4140.
- 39. J. Yu, H. Fan, J. Huang and J. Chen, *Soft Matter*, 2011, **7**, 7386.
- ¹⁵40. M. J. Robb, L. A. Connal, B. F. Lee, N. A. Lynd and C. J. Hawker, *Polym. Chem.* , 2012, **3**, 1618-1628.
	- 41. B. Karagoz, L. Esser, H. T. Duong, J. S. Basuki, C. Boyer and T. P. Davis, *Polym. Chem.* , 2014, **5**, 350-355.
- 42. A. Harada, R. Kobayashi, Y. Takashima, A. Hashidzume and H. ²⁰Yamaguchi, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **3**, 34-37.
	- 43. M. Nakahata, Y. Takashima, H. Yamaguchi and A. Harada, *Nat Commun*, 2011, **2**, 511.
	- 44. J. Q. Liu, G. M. Luo, S. J. Gao, K. Zhang, X. F. Chen and J. C. Shen, *Chem. Commun.* , 1999, 199-200.
- ²⁵45. Y. Z. Yin, S. F. Jiao, C. Lang, J. Q. Liu, *Soft Matter*, 2014, DOI:10.1039/C3SM53117A
	- 46. Z. Y. Dong, Q. Luo and J. Q. Liu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* , 2012, **41**, 7890- 7908.
- 47. Y. Z. Yin, Z. Y. Dong, Q. Luo and J. Q. Liu, *Prog. Polym. Sci.* , ³⁰2012, **37**, 1476-1509.
- 48. L. Yu, K. Dean and L. Li, *Prog. Polym. Sci.* , 2006, **31**, 576-602.
- 49. E. S. Gil, D. J. Frankowski, M. K. Bowman, A. O. Gozen, S. M. Hudson and R. J. Spontak, *Biomacromolecules*, 2006, **7**, 728-735.
- 50. Y. Z. Yin, L. Wang, H. Y. Jin, C. Y. Lv, S. J. Yu, X. Huang, Q. Luo, ³⁵J. Y. Xu and J. Q. Liu, *Soft Matter*, 2011, **7**, 2521-2529.
- 51. C. Koopmans and H. Ritter, *Macromolecules*, 2008, **41**, 7418-7422.
- 52. M. Ciampolini and N. Nardi, *Inorg. Chem.* , 1966, **5**, 41-44.
- 53. G. Masci, L. Giacomelli and V. Crescenzi, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* , 2004, **25**, 559-564.
- ⁴⁰54. T. M. Eggenhuisen, C. R. Becer, M. W. Fijten, R. Eckardt, R. Hoogenboom and U. S. Schubert, *Macromolecules*, 2008, **41**, 5132- 5140.
	- 55. J. Wang and K. Matyjaszewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* , 1995, **117**, 5614- 5615.
- ⁴⁵56. K. Matyjaszewski and J. Xia, *Chem. Rev.* , 2001, **101**, 2921-2990.
- 57. D. G. Lessard, M. Ousalem and X. X. Zhu, *Can. J. Chem.* , 2001, **79**, 1870-1874.
- 58. Y. Xia, X. Yin, N. A. D. Burke and H. D. H. Stöver, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**, 5937-5943.
- ⁵⁰59. C. Alexander and K. M. Shakesheff, *Adv. Mater.* , 2006, **18**, 3321- 3328.
	- 60. T. Rossow, S. Bayer, R. Albrecht, C. C. Tzschucke and S. Seiffert, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* , 2013, **34**, 1401-1407.
- 61. P. Wu, R. Xiao, C. Zhang, L. Zhou, Q. Luo, J. Xu and J. Liu, *Catal.* ⁵⁵*Lett.* , 2010, **138**, 62-67.
- 62. X. Liao, G. Chen, X. Liu, W. Chen, F. Chen and M. Jiang, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2010, **122**, 4511-4515.
- 63. H. Ringsdorf, J. Venzmer and F. Winnik, *Macromolecules*, 1991, **24**, 1678-1686.
- ⁶⁰64. M. Hua, T. Kaneko, X. Liu, M. Q. Chen and M. Akashi, *Polym. J.* , 2005, **37**, 59-64.

A smart supramolecular artificial glutathione peroxidase (**GPx**) with tunable catalytic activity was prepared based on host-guest interaction and a blending process. The change of the self-assembled structure of **SGPxmax** during the temperature responsive process played a significant role in altering the temperature responsive catalytic behavior.

