RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

An efficient ligand free chemoselective transfer hydrogenation of the olefinic bonds by palladium nanoparticles in aqueous reaction medium

50

Dattatraya B. Bagal^a and Bhalchandra M. Bhanage,*^a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A highly efficient ligand free catalytic system was developed for chemoselective transfer hydrogenation of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds by palladium nanoparticles (PdNPs) in aqueous reaction medium. The developed methodology offers ¹⁰ chemoselective 1,4-reduction of various α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds such as carbonyls, amides, esters and nitrile with excellent chemoselectivity and effective catalyst recyclability.

Continuously growing interest in the hydrogenation reaction is ¹⁵ dictated by a wide range of application in fine chemicals, agrochemicals and various valuable materials.¹⁻³ A selective hydrogenation of the olefinic bond of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds to the saturated carbonyl compounds is challenging task since saturated carbonyl compounds are widely used as ²⁰ intermediates for the synthesis of various biologically active compounds.⁴ In contrast, the selective reduction of the carbonyl group of α,β -unsaturated carbonyls to allylic alcohols have been achieved with relative ease.⁵ In particular, conventional hydrogenation reaction uses hazardous molecular hydrogen and

²⁵ requires sophisticated instrument (autoclave), whereas transfer hydrogenation⁶ process circumvents use of hydrogen gas and autoclave hence is easy to execute.

Not surprisingly, hydrogenation is one of the most broadly studied area of the organometallic chemistry. Various transition ³⁰ metal complexes such as palladium,⁷ iridium,⁸ rhodium,⁹ ruthenium,¹⁰ and other metal complexes¹¹ were used for chemoselective hydrogenation of olefinic double bond of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds. More recently use of palladium/magnesium-lanthanum mixed oxide catalysts were ³⁵ reported by Kantam and co-workers for chemoselective reduction of olefinic bond using molecular hydrogen.¹² Burri and co-workers explored palladium nanoparticles immobilized on APTES functionalized SBA-15 for hydrogenation reaction using molecular hydrogen.¹³ Moreover, Zhang et al. used pincer Pd ⁴⁰ complexes for transfer hydrogenation of α , β -unsaturated

carbonyls with alcohol as a hydrogen source. ¹⁴

^a Department of Chemistry, Institute of Chemical Technology, Matunga, Mumbai- 400 019, India. Fax: +91- 22 2414 5614; Tel: +91- 22 3361

bm.bhanage@ictmumbai.edu.in (B. M. Bhanage).

f Chemical Technology, Matunga, 2 2414 5614; Tel: + 91- 22 3361 *g carbonyl compounds cata*

The synthesis of palladium nanoparticles (PdNPs) were carried

of expensive metal and ligands, use of molecular hydrogen, low chemoselectivity, and use of hazardous organic solvents. Furthermore, the majority of earlier reported protocols are homogeneous which creates difficulty in recycling the precious ⁵⁵ metal catalyst thus limits their applications. In view of this, development of improved methodology with greener and economical catalytic system which facilitates the reuse of expensive metal catalyst which can be efficiently catalyse chemoselective transfer hydrogenation of α , β -unsaturated ⁶⁰ carbonyls is still challenging. Nowadays, synthesis of metal nanoparticles (NPs) have received great attention due to their potential application in

However, the reported methods have disadvantages such as use

received great attention due to their potential application in catalysis.¹⁵ The high volume to mass ratio reveal high surface area results into high catalytic activity and hence required less ⁶⁵ catalyst concentration compared to bulk. In addition to this, nanocatalysts could be reused for further reactions. Also nanoparticles show high selectivity at high conversion rate under mild reaction conditions.¹⁶ In continuation to the application of nanocatalyst in various organic transformations, the use of ⁷⁰ palladium nanoparticles (PdNPs) in catalysis has fascinated much interest.¹⁷ In this regard, an efforts were taken to utilize PdNPs for chemoselective transfer hydrogenation reaction.



ester, amide, nitrile

upto 100 % selectivity

Scheme 1 Chemoselective transfer hydrogenation of α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds

Continuing the exploration of the facile protocol for the hydrogenation reactions with transition metal catalysts and being interested in transfer hydrogenation reactions,¹⁸ we have tested ⁸⁰ the feasibility of PdNPs for the reduction of the α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds. Herein, we report highly chemoselective ligand free protocol for transfer hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds catalysed by PdNPs in aqueous reaction media.

^{45 1111/2222;} E-mail: bm.bhanage@gmail.com;

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

⁸⁵ Results and discussion

out using PdCl₂ as a precursor, citric acid as a greener reducing agent and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) as a capping agent by concentrated solar energy in the aqueous reaction medium (see the ESI[†]).¹⁹ The characterization of prepared Pd (0) nanoparticles ⁵ was carried out by using various analytical techniques such as field emission gun-scanning electron microscopy (FEG-SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and electron dispersive X-ray spectral (EDAX) analysis (Fig. 1-3). The size and morphology of synthesized PdNPs were determined by FEG-

¹⁰ SEM and TEM analysis (Fig. 1 and 2). The Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) image confirmed that the obtained particles are in the nano region with uniform size ranging from 25 nm to 40 nm. The EDS spectrum shows that the prepared PdNPs contains palladium metal only (Fig. 3).



Figure 1 FEG-SEM image of synthesized palladium nanoparticles.

15

20



Figure 2 TEM image of synthesized palladium nanoparticles.



Figure 3 EDS pattern of synthesized Palladium nanoparticles

The prepared palladium nanoparticles solution was then diluted up to 100 mL with deionised water to make concentration of 1 mol % and used as a stock solution for the transfer hydrogenation of α , β -unsaturated carbonyls.

In order to optimize the reaction conditions, initial studies were conducted using PdNPs as a choice of catalyst and HCOONa as a hydrogen source at reflux reaction temperature for chemoselective transfer hydrogenation of the benzylideneacetophenone as a model reaction. A series of an experiments were performed to optimize various reaction parameters such as effect of hydrogen donor, reaction temperature, time and the results obtained are summarized in Table 1.

Initially, we screened the effect of various hydrogen donors on ³⁵ transfer hydrogenation reaction (Table 1, entries 1-4), it was observed that 3 mmol of HCOONa gave 99 % conversion with excellent chemoselectivity of the desired product (Table 1, entry 1), while HCOOK and HCOONH₄ (Table 1, entries 2-3) gave slightly lower conversion, a mixture of HCOOH+Et₃N (1:1) ⁴⁰ (Table 1, entry 4) as a hydrogen source provided 95 % conversion of the starting material. We found that using 1.5 mmol of HCOONa provided 63 % conversion with 97 % selectivity of the desired product (Table 2, entry 5), while further increase in the amount of HCOONa, the selectivity decreases slightly with ⁴⁵ further reduction of carbonyl group (Table 1, entry 6).

 $\label{eq:table_table_table} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1} \ \text{Effect of reaction parameters on transfer hydrogenation of} \\ \text{benzylideneacetophenone}^a \end{array}$

Ph	O Ph -	PdNP H ₂ source, H ₂ O, temp.	Ph	O Ph 2a	+ Ph	OH Ph 3a	
Entry	H_2	H_2 source	Temp	Time	Conv. ^b	Selectivity	
	source	(mmol)	(°C)	(n)	(%)	(2a:3a)	
Effect of hydrogen donors							
1	HCOONa	3	100	8	99	99:1	
2	HCOOK	3	100	8	78	98:2	
3	HCOONH ₄	3	100	8	67	97:3	
4	HCOOH+	3	100	8	95	98:2	
	Et ₃ N						
5	HCOONa	1.5	100	8	63	97:3	
6	HCOONa	5	100	8	99	93:7	
Effect of temperature							
7	HCOONa	3	25	8	36	99:1	
8	HCOONa	3	50	8	57	99:1	
9	HCOONa	3	70	8	78	99:1	
Effect of time							
10	HCOONa	3	100	4	53	99:1	
11	HCOONa	3	100	6	87	99:1	

^aReaction conditions: benzylideneacetophenone (1 mmol), PdNPs (1 mol % stock solution in 5 mL deionised water), temperature (100 °C). ^bConversion based on GC analysis. ^cSelectivity based on GCMS analysis.

Nextly, we examined the effect of temperature on reaction outcome; reactions were carried out at different temperatures ranging from room temperature to reflux (Table 1, entries 1, 7-9). It was observed that at 25 °C the yield of the desired product was low (36%) whereas, with increasing reaction temperature 55 gradually from room temperature to reflux temperature, 99% conversion with 99% selectivity of the desired product was

	0		l carbonyl compound	ds ^a	ОН
			$\rightarrow R^{-}R^{1}$	+ R	
1a	ĸ	Hcooka, H₂O, 100 ℃.	2a	3	a
Entry	S	ubstrate	Product	Conve- rsion ^b (%)	Selecti- vity ^c (2a:3a)
1	\bigcirc	O Ph	Ph	99	99:1
2	\bigcirc			99	98:2
3	Meo	Ph	MeO Ph	99	98:2
4	\bigcirc	OMe	O OME	99	97:3
5	cı C	Ph	CI Ph	91	92:08
6		° Contraction of the second se	° C	87	89:11
7	\bigcirc	\sim		97	98:2
8	S	O Ph	O Ph	94	97:3
9		OPh	Ph O Ph	95	98:2
10	, , ,			98	97:2
11			°	99	97:2
12	\bigcirc	OEt	OEt	84	100:0
13	\bigcirc	о	ОН	89	93:7
14	\bigcirc	O NH2	NH ₂	79	100:0
15	\bigcirc	сn °	CN o	98	100:0
16 ^d	~/	Ph	Ph	99	98:2

aReaction conditions: substrate (1.0 mmol), PdNPs (1 mol % in 5 mL 5 deionised water), HCOONa (3 mmol), temperature (100 °C), time (8 h). ^bConversion based on GC analysis. 'Selectivity based on GCMS analysis. ^dRequired 6 mmol of HCOONa.

observed within 8 h (Table 1, entries 10-11). Hence, the best ¹⁰ optimised reaction conditions for chemoselective transfer hydrogenation of 1 mmol of benzylideneacetophenone were: PdNP: 1 mol % (5 mL stock solution in deionised water), HCOONa: 3 mmol, temperature: 100 °C, time: 8 h.

With these optimized reaction conditions in hand, we 15 examined the reaction scope for the chemoselective reduction of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds (Table 2). Introduction of the electron donating substituents on the aromatic ring of the model benzylideneacetophenone did not have any influence on the reaction course providing good yields with excellent 20 selectivity towards olefinic bond (Table 2, entries 1-4) whereas, employing electron withdrawing groups such as chloro on aromatic ring gave good conversion of the desired product with slightly lower selectivity (Table 2, entries 5-6). We have not observed dehalogenation of these substrates under the optimised 25 reaction condition. Furthermore, Benzylacetone was also provided excellent conversion and selectivity towards desired product (Table 2, entry 7). It is noteworthy to mention that heterocyclic enones such as furan and thiophene in the arene ring showed excellent yield and selectivity of the desired product 30 (Table 2, entries 8-9).

Developed protocol was successfully applied to aliphatic cyclic enones like 5,5-dimethylcyclohex-2-enone and cyclohexenone providing desired yield and selectivity (Table 2, entries 10-11). Further, substrate scope extension were ³⁵ demonstrated on employing various functional groups such as esters, aldehydes, amides and α,β -unsaturated nitrile for chemoselective hydrogenation of olefinic bond (Table 2, entries 12-15). It is notable that aromatic enoate, amide and nitrile provided excellent yield of corresponding products with 100 % ⁴⁰ selectivity. Furthermore, the multiple bonds were also selectively reduced to the corresponding 1,4 adduct by adding excess of hydrogen source (Table 2, entry 16).

Our next step led us to engage PdNPs for recyclability study since nanoparticles offer reuse of precious palladium metal. It ⁴⁵ was observed that PdNPs were successfully recycled up to five conjugative cycles giving moderate to excellent conversion and chemoselectivity of the desired product. Further we have checked the morphology of reused (4th run) palladium nanoparticles by FEG-SEM analysis and we observed that the morphology of ⁵⁰ PdNPs remains same (FEG-SEM image of 4th run, see the ESI[†]).



Figure 4 Recyclability of PdNPs in aqueous medium

Reaction conditions: benzylideneacetophenone (1 mmol), HCOONa (3 mmol), PdNPs (1 mol % in 5 mL deionised water), temperature (100 °C). 55 Conversion and selectivity determined by GC and GC-MS analysis.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a highly chemoselective ligand free catalytic system for transfer hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds by PdNPs in aqueous reaction

- s medium. The palladium nanoparticles offered reduction of wide variety of α ,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds such as carbonyls, esters, amides and nitriles with good to excellent conversion and chemoselectivity. The PdNPs were effectively recycled up to five consecutive cycles with appreciable
- ¹⁰ conversion and selectivity. The use of PdNPs as a highly efficient catalyst for 1,4-reduction of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compound under transfer hydrogenation condition in aqueous media adds credit towards advance of greener methodology for hydrogenation reaction.

15 Experimental Section

Experimental procedure for chemoselective conjugate reduction of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl

In a 5 mL stock solution of 1 mol % PdNPs were suspended in water, 1 mmol of corresponding α , β -unsaturated carbonyl

- $_{20}$ compound and 3 mmol of HCOONa were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 8 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored using thin layer chromatography (TLC) and GC analysis (Perkin Elmer, Clarus 400) (BP-10 GC column, 30 m \times 0.32 mm ID, film thickness 0.25 mm). On completion, the
- $_{25}$ products were extracted with ethyl acetate, dried over $\rm Na_2SO_4$ and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The obtained crude product was then purified by column chromatography using silica gel, (100-200 mesh size,) with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (PE-EtOAc, 95:05) as eluent to afford pure product. All the
- ³⁰ products are well known in literature and were confirmed by ¹H NMR (Varian Mercury, 400 MHz NMR Spectrometer), ¹³C NMR spectra (101 MHz), GC-MS (Shimadzu GC-MS QP 2010) (Rtx-17, 30 m × 25mmID, film thickness 0.25 μ m df) (column flow-2 mL/min, 80 °C to 240 °C at 10 °C/min. rise.) and IR (Perkin-³⁵ Elmer FT-IR) spectroscopic techniques.
- 35 Elmer F1-IR) spectroscopic technique

Recyclability study

The reaction was carried out as mentioned above in typical experimental procedure. After completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the product

⁴⁰ was extracted in ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer containing PdNPs was then used for further catalyst recyclability experiment and it was observed that the PdNPs could be reused up to five consecutive cycles affording good conversion with appreciable chemoselectivity.

45 Acknowledgment

The authors (D. B. Bagal) express gratitude towards University Grant Commission, India (UGC-SAP) for providing Research Fellowship.

Notes and references

⁵⁰ 1 E. Keinan and N. Greenspoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7314;
 H. Lee, M. An, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 2775.

- G. Neri, L. Mercadante, A. Visco and S. Galvagno, *Catal. Lett.* 1994, 29, 379.
- 3 (a) G.Neri, L.Mercadante, A. Visco and S. Galvagno, Catal. Lett.,
- ⁵ 1994, **29**, 379; (b) H. Lee and M. An, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 2775; (c) A. Stolle, T. Gallert, C. Schmo⁻ger and B. Ondruschka, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 2112.
- 4 J. A. VanAllan, G. A. Reynolds and T. H. Regan, J. Org. Chem., 1967, **32**, 1897.
- ⁶⁰ 5 (a) M.-M. Wang, L. He, Y.-M. Liu, Y. Cao, H.-Y. He and K.-N. Fan, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 602; (b) E. J. Corey and C. J. Helal, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, **36**, 9153; (c) T. Ohkuma, H. Ooka, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995, **117**, 10417; (d) T. Ohkuma, H. Ikehira, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *Synlett* 1997, 467; (e)
- T. Ohkuma, M. Koizumi, H. Doucet, T. Pham, M. Kozawa, K. Murata, E. Katayama, T. Yokozawa, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, **120**, 13529; (f) K. Mashima, T. Akutagawa, X. Zhang, H. Takaya, T. Taketomi, H. Kumobayashi and S. Akutagawa, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1992, **428**, 213; (g) P. D. de Koning, M. Jackson and I. C. Lennon, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2006, **10**, 1054.
- (a) K. Ahlford, J. Lind, L. Maeler and H. Adolfsson, *Green Chem.*, 2008, 10, 832; (b) A. M. Kalsin, T. A. Peganova, V. V. Novikov, A. I. Zhamoytina, L. Gonsalvi, and M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, 20, 846; (c) A. Zoran, Y. Sasson, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 1984, 26, 321; (d) R. A. W. Johnstone and A. H. Wilby, *Chem. Rev.* 1985, 85, 129; (e) G.
- Brieger and T. J. Nestrick, *Chemical Reviews*. 1974, 74, 567.
 7 (a) A. Sharma, V. Kumar and A. K. Sinha, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, 348, 354; (b) E. Keinan and N. Greenspoon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1086, 108, 7314; (a) H. Otsuka, E. Shijakawa and T. Hayashi, *Chem.*
- 1986, 108, 7314; (c) H. Otsuka, E. Shirakawa and T. Hayashi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 1819; (d) M. L. Kantam, T. Parsharamulu and S. V. Manorama, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2012, 365, 115; (e) Z. Baan, Z. Finta, G. Keglevich and I. Hermecz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, 46, 6203; (f) A. mori, Y. Miyakawa, E. Ohashi and T. Haga, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 3279.
- 85 8 (a) Y. Himeda, N. Onozawa-Komatsuzaki, S. Miyazawa, H. Sugihara, T. Hirose and K. Kasuga, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 11076;
 (b) Wei-Jing Lu, Yun-Wei Chen and Xue-Long Hou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 10133; (c) S. Sakaguchi, T. Yamaga and Y. Ishii, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, 66, 4710; (d) J. Blum, Y. Sasson and S. Iflah, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1972, 13, 1015.
- 9 (a) X. Li, L. Li, Y. Tang, L. Zhong, L. Cun, J. Zhu, J. Liao and J. Deng, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2981; (b) Z. Baan, Z. Finta, G. Keglevich and I. Hermecz, Green Chem., 2009, 11, 1937.
- 10 (a) Y. Sasson and J. Blum, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1971, 12, 2167; (b) Y.
 Sasson and J. Blum, *J. Org. Chem.* 1975, 40, 1887; (c) G. Descotes and D. Sinou, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1976, 17, 4083; (d) T. Doi, T. Fukuyama, J. Horiguchi, T. Okamura and I. Ryu, *Synlett.* 2006, 721; (e) C. Mebi, R. Nair and B. Frost, *J. Organometallics*, 2007, 26, 429.
- 11 (a) A. Kosal and B. Ashfeld, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 44; (b) E. Keinan
 and D. Perez, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2576; (c) D. Hays, M.Scholl and G. Fu, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 6751.
- 12 M. L. Kantam, R. Kishore, J. Yadav, M. Sudhakar and A. Venugopal, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, **354**, 663.
- S. Ganji, S. Mutyala, C. K. P. Neeli, K. Seetha, R. Rao and D. R.
 Burri, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 3, 11533.
 - 14 B. Ding, Z. Zhang, Y. Liu, M. Sugiya, T. Imamoto and W. Zhang, Ogr. Lett., 2013, 15, 3690.
 - 15 (a) S. Fujii, S. Matsuzawa, Y. Nakamura, A. Ohtaka and T. Teratani, Langmuir, 2010, 26, 6230; (b) M. Moreno, J. Francisco, J. Jasinski Distribution of the second se
- and F. Zamborini, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4389; (c) V.
 Budarin, J. Clark, R. Luque, D. Macquarrie and R. White, Green Chem., 2008,10, 382; (d) S. Kundu, K. Wang, S. Lau and H. Liang, J. Nanopart. Res. 2010, 12, 2799.
- 16 (a) N. Yan, C. Xiao and Y. Kou, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 254,
 115 1179; (b) D. Astruc, F. Lu and J. R. Aranzaes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 7852.

(a) Y. Huang, Z. Zheng, T. Liu, J. Lü, Z. Lin, H. Li and R. Cao, *Catal. Commun.* 2011, 14, 27; (b) Y. Huang, S. Gao, T. Liu, J. Lu, X. Lin, H. Li and R. Cao, *ChemPlusChem*, 2012, 77, 106; (c) Y. Huang, Z. Lin and R. Cao, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 12706; (d) Y. Huang, S. Liu, Z. Lin, W. Li, X. Li and R. Cao, *J. Catal.* 2012, 292, 111; (e) D. Ganapathy and G. Sekar, *Catal. Commun.*, 2013, 39, 50; (f) J.

÷		
5	 Durand, E. Teuma, F.Malbose, Y. Kihu and M. Gomez, Catal. Commun. 2008, 9, 273; (g) J. Athilakshmi, S. Ramanathan, D. K. Chand, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5286; (h) S. Gao, N. Zhao, M. Shu and S. Che, Appl. Catal. A Gen. 2010, 388, 196; (i) H. Li, L. Wang, M. Yang and Y. Qi, Catal. Commun. 2012, 17, 179; (j) P. K. Mandali and D. K. Chand, Catal. Commun. 2013, 31, 16; (k) M. Beller, H. Fischer, K. Kuhlein, C.P. Reisinger and W.A. Herrmann, J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 520, 257; (l) J. Huang, T. Jiang, B. Han, H. Gao, Y. Chang, G. Zhao and W. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2003, 1654. 	 (a) M. D. Bhor, M. J. Bhanushali, N. S. Nandurkar and B. M. Bhanage, <i>Catal. Commun.</i>, 2007, 8, 2064; (b) D. B. Bagal, R. A. Watile, M. V. Khedkar, K. P. Dhake and B. M. Bhanage, <i>Catal. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 354; (c) D. B. Bagal, Z. S. Qureshi, K. P. Dhake, S. R. Khan and B. M. Bhanage, <i>Green Chem.</i>, 2011, 13, 1490. 19 A. B. Patil, S. R. Lanke, K. M. Deshmukh, A. B. Pandit, B. M. Bhanage, <i>Materials Letters</i>, 2012, 79, 1.
10		
16		
15		
20		
25		
30		
35		
40		
45		
50		
55		
60		
65		

70