# **RSC Advances**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

## Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

# Attapulgite-doped electrospun poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanofibers enable enhanced osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells

Zhe Wang<sup>*a*</sup>, Yili Zhao<sup>*b*</sup>, Yu Luo<sup>*a*</sup>, Shige Wang<sup>*c*</sup>, Mingwu Shen<sup>*a*</sup>, Helena Tomás<sup>*d*</sup>, Meifang Zhu<sup>*c*</sup>, and <sup>5</sup> Xiangyang Shi<sup>\*acd</sup>

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The extracellular matrix mimicking property of electrospun polymer nanofibers affords their uses as an ideal scaffold material for differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs), which is important

- <sup>10</sup> for various tissue engineering applications. Here, we report the fabrication of electrospun poly(lactic-coglycolic acid) (PLGA) nanofibers incorporated with attapulgite (ATT) nanorods, a clay material for osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs. We show that the incorporation of ATT nanorods does not significantly change the uniform morphology and the hemocompatibility of the PLGA nanofibers; instead the surface hydrophilicity and cytocompatibility of the hybrid nanofibers are slightly improved after
- <sup>15</sup> doping with ATT. Alkaline phosphatase activity, osteocalcin secretion, calcium content, and von Kossa staining assays reveal that hMSCs are able to be differentiated to form osteoblast-like cells onto both PLGA and PLGA-ATT composite nanofibers in osteogenic medium. Most strikingly, the doped ATT within the PLGA nanofibers is able to induce the osteoblastic differentiation of hMSCs in growth medium without the inducing factor of dexamethasone. The fabricated organic/inorganic hybrid ATT-doped

20 PLGA nanofibers may find many applications in the field of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

### Introduction

Electrospinning is a simple and versatile nanofabrication technique that can be used to manufacture ultralong nanofibers with controllable diameters ranging from a few nanometers to <sup>25</sup> several micrometers.<sup>1, 2</sup> A wide variety of polymers such as polycaprolactone,<sup>3, 4</sup> polyvinyl pyrrolidone,<sup>5, 6</sup> polyurethane,<sup>7</sup> polyvinyl acetate,<sup>8</sup> and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)<sup>9</sup> can be electrospun to form nanofibers. The formed electrospun nanofibers have been applied in various applications, including bet net limited to concern <sup>10</sup>, <sup>11</sup>

<sup>30</sup> but not limited to sensors,<sup>10, 11</sup> supercapacitors,<sup>12, 13</sup> dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs),<sup>14, 15</sup> wound dressing,<sup>16</sup> drug delivery,<sup>17-21</sup> and tissue engineering.<sup>22, 23</sup>

To generate nanofibers with desirable mechanical durability and functionality, it is essential to incorporate inorganic <sup>35</sup> components within polymer nanofibers.<sup>17, 24-28</sup> For instance, halloysite nanotubes,<sup>17, 29, 30</sup> nanohydroxyapatite,<sup>26, 27</sup> and laponite<sup>25, 31</sup> have been incorporated within PLGA nanofibers for drug delivery and tissue engineering applications. In particular, it has been shown that the incorporation of these inorganic <sup>40</sup> components is able to not only improve the mechanical durability

of the nanofibers, but also facilitate the attachment and proliferation of cells.<sup>25, 27, 30</sup>

In our previous work, we have shown that laponite-doped electrospun PLGA nanofibers with extracellular matrix (ECM) <sup>45</sup> mimicking property are able to induce osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) in the absence of the inducing factor of dexamethasone (DEX).<sup>25</sup> The osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs is believed to be attributed to the presence of laponite that is a biodegradable nanodisk-shaped <sup>50</sup> layered synthetic aluminosilicate clay material.<sup>32</sup> It is generally known that a bioceramic such as a calcium magnesium silicate (akermanite) having silicon and/or magnesium elements is able to promote the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs.<sup>33</sup> Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that polymer nanofibers incorporated <sup>55</sup> with other clay materials containing silicon and/or magnesium elements may also be able to promote the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. Attapulgite (ATT) is a naturally occurring clay material, a kind

of magnesium aluminium phyllosilicate with formula (Mg, 60 Al)<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>(OH)·4(H<sub>2</sub>O). It has been reported that ATT/polymer composite materials display improved mechanical durability due to the presence of ATT.<sup>34-37</sup> For instance, Tian *et al.* prepared ATT-doped nylon 6 electrospun nanofibers and showed that in the presence of a small percentage (1% and 2%) of ATT, the 65 nanofibers displayed substantially improved mechanical durability.<sup>36</sup>

In this present study, we prepared electrospun ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers for osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs. The formed ATT-incorporated electrospun PLGA hybrid nanofibers 70 were characterized *via* different techniques. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), tensile tests, and water contact angle measurements were used to investigate the influence of the incorporated ATT on the morphology, mechanical properties, and

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Paper

surface hydrophilicity of the hybrid fibers, respectively. The cytocompatibility of the hybrid nanofibers was evaluated by cell viability assay and SEM morphology observation of hMSCs cultured onto the prepared fibrous scaffolds. The

- s hemocompatibility of the ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers was evaluated via hemolytic and anticoagulant assays. Finally, the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs cultured onto ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers was quantitatively investigated by measuring the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, osteocalcin secretion,
- <sup>10</sup> and cellular calcium content, and qualitatively evaluated by von Kossa staining of calcium phosphate crystals produced in culture. To our knowledge, this is the first report related to the use of ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers as a scaffolding material for osteogenic differentiation of stem cells.

#### 15 Experimental

#### Materials

PLGA ( $M_w = 81\ 000\ \text{g mol}^{-1}$ ) with a lactic acid/glycolic acid ratio of 50 : 50 was purchased from Jinan Daigang Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Jinan, China). ATT was from Mingguang Jianxi Dongfeng

- <sup>20</sup> Mine Products Factory (Mingguang, China). Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), tetrahydrofuran (THF), N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF), silver nitrate, and sodium thiosulfate were from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). hMSCs derived from umbilical cord blood and heparin stabilized
- <sup>25</sup> human blood were kindly provided by Shanghai First People's Hospital (Shanghai, China) and approved by the Ethical Committee of Shanghai First People's Hospital. Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS), phosphate buffer saline (PBS), penicillin, and streptomycin were
- <sup>30</sup> purchased from Gibco (Carlsbad, CA). 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) was from Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Services Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). DEX, beta-glycerophosphate (beta-GP), ascorbic acid, resazurin, p-nitrophenyl phosphate, and p-
- <sup>35</sup> nitrophenol standard were from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). CaCl<sub>2</sub>, NaOH, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and formaldehyde were from Merck (Whitehouse Station, NJ). Reporter lysis buffer was from Promega (Madison, WI). Intact human osteocalcin EIA kit was from Biomedical Technologies Inc. (Boston, MA). BCA Protein
- <sup>40</sup> Assay Kit was purchased from Shanghai Qianchen Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China). QuantiChrom Calcium Assay Kit was purchased from Bioassay Systems (Hayward, CA). Water used in all experiments was purified using a Milli-Q Plus 185 water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, <sup>45</sup> MA) with a resistivity higher than 18 MΩ-cm.
- 45 IVIA) with a resistivity higher than 18 IVI22 cm.

# Preparation of electrospun ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers

Following procedures described in our previous study,<sup>25-27, 31</sup> PLGA was dissolved in a mixed solvent of THF-DMF (v/v = 3 : 1) under magnetic stirring overnight to obtain a homogeneous

- <sup>50</sup> solution with a concentration of 25% (w/v). After that, ATT (1, 2, or 3 wt% relative to PLGA) was separately added into the above PLGA solution, followed by continuous stirring for 1 h to obtain a homogeneous solution mixed with different amounts of ATT.
- The electrospinning system was set up with a syringe pump <sup>55</sup> with a 10 mL syringe, a silicone hose, a stainless steel needle with an inner diameter of 0.8 mm, a high voltage power supply,

and a thin aluminum foil acting as a collector which was positioned horizontally and grounded. A clamp was used to connect the high voltage power supply with the needle. At a fixed 60 electrical potential of 20 kV, steel capillary was charged. The distance between the tip and the collector was set at 15 cm. The electrospinning solution was fed at a speed of 0.8 mL/h by the syringe pump, and the electrospinning process was carried out under ambient conditions. After electrospinning, aluminum foil 65 covered with the formed nanofibrous mat was taken off from the collector immediately and vacuum dried for at least 48 h to remove the residual organic solvent and moisture. Finally, the formed nanofibers were removed carefully from the aluminum foil and stored in a desiccator before use. Under these conditions, 70 electrospun PLGA, PLGA-1%ATT, PLGA-2%ATT, and PLGA-

# 3%ATT nanofibers were obtained. Characterization techniques

The morphology of ATT and ATT-doped PLGA composite nanofibers was observed using a Hitachi H-800 transmission <sup>75</sup> electron microscope (TEM, Tokyo, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The suspension of ATT (1 mg/mL) dispersed in THF-DMF was dropped onto a carbon-coated copper grid and air dried before TEM imaging. For the hybrid nanofiber samples, the ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers were directly electrospun onto <sup>80</sup> the carbon-coated copper grid and vacuum dried before TEM imaging. The morphology of the nanofibers was also observed

- using SEM (JEOL JSM-5600LV, Tokyo, Japan). Before SEM imaging, the samples were sputter-coated with a thin gold film with a thickness of 10 nm. The diameters of the nanofibers were
- 85 analyzed using the ImageJ 1.40G software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/download.html, National Institutes of Health, USA). For each sample, at least 200 nanofibers from different SEM images were randomly selected and analyzed. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a
- <sup>90</sup> TG209F1 system (NETZSCH Instruments Co., Ltd., Germany) at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under air atmosphere in a temperature range of 25-600 °C. The porosity, mechanical properties, and the surface hydrophilicity of the nanofibers were measured according to protocols described in our previous work.<sup>25</sup> Note that the bulk <sup>95</sup> density of ATT is 2.18 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

#### Cell culture and cytocompatibility evaluation

hMSCs were cultured in a humidified incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C using DMEM containing 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 g/mL streptomycin. MTT assay and SEM observation <sup>100</sup> were employed to evaluate the viability and morphology of the hMSCs cultured onto different nanofibers, respectively. Before cell seeding, glass cover slips, PLGA, and PLGA-ATT nanofibrous mats were placed in a 24-well tissue culture plate (TCP), fixed with stainless steel rings, sterilized with 75% <sup>105</sup> alcohol for 2 h, washed 3 times with PBS, and soaked in DMEM overnight. Then, hMSCs were seeded at a density of 2×10<sup>4</sup> cells/well for both the MTT assay and SEM observation. For comparison, TCPs and cover slips were used as controls. The protocols of MTT assay and SEM observation used in this study <sup>110</sup> are similar to those described in our previous work.<sup>31</sup>

The viability of hMSCs cultured onto different nanofiber scaffolds at an extended period of time was also measured through the resazurin reduction assay according to protocols **RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

<sup>2 |</sup> Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

described in the literature.<sup>25, 38</sup> In brief, hMSCs were seeded at a density of  $2 \times 10^4$  cells/well. At each predetermined time interval (1, 7, 14, and 21 days, respectively), medium in each well was replaced with a mixture of 100 µL resazurin solution (0.1 mg/mL

s in PBS) and 900  $\mu$ L complete DMEM. After additional incubation of the cells for 4 h, the fluorescence intensity was evaluated by a microplate reader (model Victor3 1420, PerkinElmer). Mean and standard deviation for the triplicate wells for each sample were reported.

#### 10 Hemocompatibility assays

The hemocompatibility of the formed PLGA or PLGA–ATT nanofibers was examined by both hemolysis and anticoagulant assays. For hemolysis assay, human blood stabilized with heparin was centrifuged and washed with PBS for 5 times according to <sup>15</sup> the procedures reported in the literature<sup>39</sup> to completely remove

- serum and get human red blood cells (HRBCs). The HRBCs were diluted 10 times with PBS. Nanofibrous samples (2 mg) were exposed to 1.0 mL HRBC suspension containing 0.2 mL diluted HRBCs suspension and 0.8 mL PBS. At the same time, 0.2 mL of
- <sup>20</sup> the diluted HRBC suspension was transferred to a Eppendorf tube pre-filled with 0.8 mL PBS (as negative control) or water (as positive control), respectively. The mixture was then incubated at 37 °C for 2 h, followed by centrifugation (10000 rpm, 2 min). The absorbance of the supernatant was recorded using a Lambda 25
- <sup>25</sup> UV-vis spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA). The hemolytic percentage (HP) was calculated by dividing the difference in absorbance (540 nm) between the sample and the negative control by the difference in absorbance (540 nm) between the positive and the negative control.<sup>40, 41</sup>
- The anticoagulant properties of the nanofiber samples were determined by a kinetic clotting time method as described in the literature.<sup>42-44</sup> In brief, the nanofibrous mats were cut into small pieces in a dimension of  $20 \times 20 \text{ mm}^2$  in triplicate for each time point and put into individual wells of a 12-well TCP. Cover slips
- $_{35}$  were used as control. Then, fresh human blood (20  $\mu L$ ) was dropped into each well. A CaCl\_2 solution (0.2 mol/L, 10  $\mu L$ ) was added to each blood drop and each sample was incubated at 37 °C for different time periods (5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 min, respectively). This was followed by addition of 5 mL of water to each well and
- <sup>40</sup> incubation at 37 °C for 5 min. A Lambda 25 UV-vis spectrophotometer was used to measure the absorbance of hemoglobin at 540 nm.

#### Osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs

- PLGA and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers were sterilized as <sup>45</sup> mentioned above before cell seeding. TCP was set as control. hMSCs were seeded at a density of  $2 \times 10^4$  cells per well with 1 mL of "growth medium" (DMEM containing 1% ascorbic acid (5 mg/mL in PBS) and 1% beta-GP solution (1 M in PBS)) without DEX or 1 mL of "osteogenic medium" (growth medium
- <sup>50</sup> containing  $10^{-7}$  M DEX) per well. All the cells were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and the medium was replaced every 3 days.

#### Protein content assay

BCA Protein Assay Kit was used to quantify the protein content <sup>55</sup> of each cell sample according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 14 and 21 days of culture onto different nanofibers, hMSCs cultured in a 24-well plate were rinsed 3 times with PBS. Reporter Lysis Buffer (400  $\mu$ L) was then added to each well and the cell lysis was carried out according to the manufacturer's <sup>60</sup> instruction. Before analysis the cell lysates were stored at -20 °C. To analyze the protein content, 20  $\mu$ l of each cell lysate or protein standards were transferred to a 96-well plate, followed by addition of 200  $\mu$ L BCA working reagent to each well and incubation of each sample at 37 °C for 1 h. The absorbance at 540

<sup>65</sup> nm for each well was recorded using a microplate reader (MK3, Thermo, USA). The protein content was calculated from a standard calibration curve.

# Alkaline phosphatase activity

Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was determined by <sup>70</sup> hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl phosphate as the substrate. Briefly, 200  $\mu$ L of ALP substrate was mixed with 20  $\mu$ L of each cell lysate described above and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in the dark. Then, NaOH (0.02 M, 10  $\mu$ L) was added to each well to stop the hydrolysis reaction. 220  $\mu$ L of ALP <sup>75</sup> substrate mixed with 10  $\mu$ L of 0.02 M NaOH was used as a blank control. The absorbance was read at 405 nm using a microplate reader (MK3, Thermo, USA) and the ALP content was calculated from a standard calibration curve.

#### Osteocalcin secretion assay

<sup>80</sup> Intact human osteocalcin EIA kit was used to measure the osteocalcin secretion of hMSCs on days 14 and 21. One day before the measurement, the medium was replaced with fresh growth medium or osteogenic medium without FBS. The next day, the medium was transferred to a clean Eppendorf tube, and

ss the osteocalcin content was monitored using the intact human osteocalcin EIA kit according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

#### Calcium content assay

QuantiChrom Calcium Assay Kit was used to measure the <sup>90</sup> calcium content according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 5 µL of diluted standards or cell lysate were transferred to a 96-well plate. Followed by addition of 200 µL working reagent and incubation for 3 min at room temperature, the optical density at 595 nm in each well was recorded using a microplate <sup>95</sup> reader (MK3, Thermo, USA). The calcium content was

# calculated from a standard calibration curve. Von Kossa staining of calcium deposition

Before von Kossa staining of calcium deposition formaldehyde in PBS for 2 h at 4 °C and then rinsed with 3.7%

<sup>100</sup> for 3 times to remove all traces of formaldehyde. The fixed cells were treated with 2.5% silver nitrate solution under the exposure to ultra-violet light for 60 min. The cells were then rinsed with water, treated with 5% sodium thiosulfate solution for 3 min, followed by rinsing with copious water. Finally, the cell samples
 <sup>105</sup> were taken out from the culture plates and photographed using a digital camera (Samsung EK-GC110).

#### Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA statistical method was used to evaluate the significance of the experimental data. A value of 0.05 was <sup>110</sup> selected as the significance level, and the data were indicated with (\*) for p < 0.05, (\*\*) for p < 0.01, and (\*\*\*) for p < 0.001, respectively.

#### **Results and discussion**

Fabrication of electrospun ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Page 4 of 19

Similar to our previous work related to the incorporation of halloysite nanotubes,<sup>17, 29, 30</sup> laponite nanodisks,<sup>25, 31</sup> nano-hydroxyapatite<sup>26, 27</sup> within PLGA nanofibers, we were able to incorporate ATT nanoclay within PLGA nanofibers (Figure 1).

- $_{5}$  ATT displays an apparent rod shape with a mean diameter of 22.7  $\pm$  4.6 nm and quite a uniform size distribution (Figure 1a). The existence of ATT within ATT-doped PLGA hybrid nanofibers was visually confirmed by TEM (Figure 1b), where individual ATT nanorods are coaxially aligned within the PLGA nanofibers.
- <sup>10</sup> In contrast, PLGA nanofibers without ATT incorporation do not display rod-shaped particles within the nanofibers (Figure 1c). TGA was used to further confirm the existence of the doped ATT within the hybrid nanofibers (Figure S1, Electronic Supplementary Information, ESI). Apparently, PLGA polymer
- <sup>15</sup> was burned out at a high temperature (above 400 °C) and the ATT residue was left out. The mass residues of PLGA-1%ATT, PLGA-2%ATT, and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers at 600 °C were measured to be 0.98%, 1.87%, and 3.17%, respectively, in agreement with the initial ATT molar feeding percentages.
- <sup>20</sup> The morphology of the PLGA and ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers was observed by SEM (Figure 2). In all cases, smooth and uniform nanofibers were able to be manufactured. It seems that the smooth and uniform fibrous morphology of PLGA nanofibers does not significantly change after the ATT
- <sup>25</sup> incorporation. However, the diameter of PLGA nanofibers (1308  $\pm 296$  nm, Figure 2a) decreased to  $909\pm185$  nm (Figure 2b), 560  $\pm 117$  nm (Figure 2c), and 483  $\pm 133$ nm (Figure 2d), respectively after doping with 1%, 2%, and 3% ATT. The fiber diameter decreased dramatically with the ATT incorporation level,
- <sup>30</sup> which is presumably due to the change of the electrospinning solution properties after mixing with ATT.<sup>45</sup> With the increase of the ATT incorporation level, the solution conductivity may increase due to the inherent ionic nature of ATT.<sup>46</sup> The decrease of the nanofiber diameter with the ATT incorporation level led to
- <sup>35</sup> a variation in the porosity of the nanofibrous mat. As shown in Table S1 (Supporting Information), after incorporation with 1-3% ATT, the porosity of the hybrid nanofibrous mat decreases to 66–67% when compared with PLGA fibrous mat without ATT (72%). This is likely attributed to the decreased fiber diameter after ATT
  <sup>40</sup> incorporation.

The surface hydrophilicity of nanofibers is important for them to interact with cells. The influence of ATT incorporation on the surface hydrophilicity of PLGA nanofibers was then investigated (Figure S2, ESI). It can be seen that the contact angle of PLGA

- <sup>45</sup> nanofibers  $(123.7\pm2.6^{\circ})$  decreases to  $120.2\pm3.7^{\circ}$ ,  $116.8\pm1.5^{\circ}$ , and  $113.5\pm2.1^{\circ}$ , respectively after incorporation with 1%, 2%, and 3% ATT (Table S1). This indicates that the hydrophilicity of the PLGA nanofibrous mat slightly increases with the doping amount of ATT, similar to the laponite-doped PLGA nanofibers
- <sup>50</sup> reported in our previous work.<sup>25</sup> Since the porosity of ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers remains approximately similar regardless of the ATT doping level (Table S1), the increased hydrophilicity of the PLGA mats with the ATT incorporation level should be ascribed to the hydrophilic nature of the ATT. The increased

55 hydrophilicity of the PLGA nanofibers after ATT incorporation may be beneficial to promote the infiltration of polar nutrient substances for improved cell attachment and proliferation.

Besides the changes of the fiber diameter, mat porosity, and

the surface hydrophilicity of the PLGA nanofibers after ATT <sup>60</sup> incorporation, the impact of ATT incorporation on the mechanical properties of the PLGA nanofibers was also explored. The strain–stress curves of the PLGA nanofibrous mats with or without ATT incorporation are shown in Figure S3 (ESI), and the mechanical parameters are given in Table 1. It is clear that the <sup>65</sup> breaking strength and Young's modulus increase after the ATT

- incorporation, in agreement with the literature data.<sup>17</sup> The improved breaking strength and Young's modulus is believed to be attributed to the load transfer from the PLGA matrix to the incorporated ATT nanorods. However, the failure strain
- <sup>70</sup> decreased after the incorporation with ATT, which is likely due to the increased brittleness of the fibers with the amount of ATT incorporation.

#### Cytocompatibility assay

For tissue engineering applications of the ATT-doped PLGA 75 nanofibers, it is essential to explore the impact of the ATT incorporation on the cytocompatibility of the PLGA nanofibers. As shown in Figure 3, it can be seen that the attachment of hMSCs cultured onto TCP, cover slips, and all fiber samples does not show any statistically significant difference after 8 h culture  $_{80}$  (p > 0.05). However, at the time point of 72 h, the absorbance values are much higher than those onto the corresponding materials at 8 h (p < 0.05), reflecting the proliferation of cells. Also, cell proliferation onto PLGA and all PLGA-ATT fibrous materials is much higher than that onto TCP (p < 0.05). This 85 suggests that the incorporation of ATT does not compromise the inherent cytocompatibility of PLGA nanofibers, in agreement with our previous work associated with laponite-doped PLGA nanofibers.<sup>25</sup> It should be pointed out that the viability of cells cultured onto PLGA and PLGA-ATT hybrid nanofibers 90 (regardless of the amount of the incorporated ATT) does not display significant differences (p > 0.5). This is likely due to the fact that the incorporated ATT does not significantly impact the surface properties of the PLGA nanofibers.

The cytocompatibility of ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers was <sup>95</sup> further confirmed by SEM observation of the morphology of hMSCs cultured onto the nanofiber scaffold for 8 h and 3 days, respectively (Figure 4). It can be seen that hMSCs could be better adhered and proliferated onto the PLGA and ATT-incorpated PLGA nanofibers, and the incorporation of ATT does not impact <sup>100</sup> the cytocompatibility of PLGA nanofibers, corroborating the quantitative MTT assay results.

Resazurin reduction assay was also used to test the impact of ATT incorporation within the PLGA nanofibers on the viability of hMSCs at an extended time period according to the literture.<sup>25, 38</sup> Figure S4 (ESI) shows the resazurin reduction assay data of hMSCs at different time points. Obviously, from day 1 to day 7, the fluorescence intensity has about 8 folds of increases, suggesting that hMSCs are able to rapidly grow and reproduce on TCP and PLGA-based nanofibers. Then, from day 7 to day 21, no significant difference in the fluorescence intensity is observed, which is likely ascribed to the saturation of cell growth on the fibrous scaffolds and TCPs. It is also clear that the metabolic activity of hMSCs cultured onto PLGA and all ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers does not show any significant difference when <sup>115</sup> compared to the TCP control, demonstrating that hMSCs are able to proliferate well on different nanofiber scaffolds and the ATT-

<sup>4 |</sup> Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

incorporation does not compromise the metabolic activity of the hMSCs. It should be noted that the metabolic activity of the hMSCs investigated here is different from that reported in our previous work.<sup>25</sup> This may be due to the fact that the hMSCs are <sup>5</sup> from different human tissues.

#### Hemocompatibility assays

For the applications of the hybrid nanofibers in therapeutic artificial tissue/organ substitutes in tissue engineering, one important concern is to check if the fibrous materials are <sup>10</sup> hemocompatibile. In this study, we investigated the hemocompatibility of PLGA and ATT-doped composite PLGA nanofibers with different doping levels *via* hemolysis assay and anticoagulant assay, respectively. As shown in the insets of Figure 5, after immersion of all fiber samples to the HRBC

- <sup>15</sup> suspension, no obvious hemolytic phenomenon can be observed except the positive control of water. The hemolytic effect of each material was further quantified by measuring the absorbance of the supernatant at 540 nm using UV-vis spectroscopy, which is associated to hemoglobin from the lysed HRBCs. Apparently,
- <sup>20</sup> significant difference (p < 0.001) in the absorbance at 540 nm between the experimental groups and the positive control group (the HRBCs exposed to water) can be seen. Furthermore, hemolysis percentages of the PLGA and all ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers (Table S2, Supporting Information) were calculated to
- 25 be less than the threshold value of 5%,<sup>47</sup> indicating that all the studied materials do not display appreciable hemolytic effect. The hemocompatibility of ATT decad PLCA peoplification uses
- The hemocompatibility of ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers was further confirmed by anticoagulant assay (Figure S5, ESI). In general, a higher OD value representing a higher hemoglobin
- $_{30}$  concentration suggests that the clotting behavior is less obvious. The OD values for the groups of PLGA and ATT-doped hybrid PLGA nanofibers are much higher than those for the control group of cover slips at each corresponding time point. In addition, there was no significant difference in the OD values (p > 0.05)
- <sup>35</sup> between the PLGA nanofibers and the ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers with different ATT doping levels at each corresponding time point. This may be due to the fact that the ATT nanorods are well doped within the PLGA nanofibers, and the surface property of the hybrid ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers
- <sup>40</sup> does not have apparent changes when compared with that of the PLGA nanofibers without doping. In contrast, under similar experimental conditions, cover slips show a significant clotting behavior. After incubation for 60 min, the absorbance of hemoglobin is 0.18, much lower than those of the fibrous samples
- <sup>45</sup> (the lowest value of 0.44 at 60 min for PLGA-2%ATT nanofibers). These results imply that all the PLGA nanofibrous mats with or without ATT doping display good anticoagulant property. Taken together with both the hemolysis and anticoagulation assay data, it is safe to conclude that the ATT-<sup>50</sup> doped PLGA nanofibers possess good hemocompatibility.

#### Osteogenic differentiation of stem cells

The good cytocompatibility and hemocompatibility of ATTdoped PLGA nanofibers stimulated us to use them as a scaffold material for osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs, which is very

<sup>55</sup> important for tissue engineering applications. We selected PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers as a model scaffold to investigate the role played by the doped ATT.

ALP activity of hMSCs cultured onto ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers was first explored to evaluate the osteogenic 60 differentiation of hMSCs. ALP produced by active osteoblasts is involved in the early initiation of mineralization of newly formed bone tissue and has been identified to be an important early marker of osteogenesis.48 The ALP activity of hMSCs was measured on day 14 and day 21, and the results are normalized by 65 the total protein content (Figure 6). It is clear that, the ALP activity of hMSCs cultured onto TCP, PLGA, and PLGA-3%ATT is low in both growth medium and osteogenic medium on day 14. On day 21, the hMSCs cultured onto different substrates in osteogenic medium supplemented with DEX have a 70 significantly higher ALP activity than those cultured onto the corresponding substrates on day 14 (p <0.05). Under similar experimental conditions, hMSCs cultured onto both PLGA and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers display a higher ALP activity than those cultured onto TCP. This suggests that the ECM mimicking 75 property of PLGA nanofibrous scaffolds with or without ATT enables the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs. In the presence of the inducing factor of DEX, PLGA and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers do not display any significant difference in terms of the ALP activity of the cultured hMSCs (p > 0.05).

- Significantly different from the ALP activity of hMSCs cultured in osteogenic medium, the ALP activity of hMSCs cultured in growth medium without DEX on day 21 is largely dependent on the type of the substrates. In contrast to the hMSCs cultured onto TCP and PLGA nanofibers that have increased so ALP activity on day 21 when compared to those on day 14, the PLGA-3%ATT nanofiber group shows a significantly higher ALP activity on day 21 than on day 14 (p < 0.05). More importantly, hMSCs cultured onto PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers show a significantly higher ALP activity than those cultured onto
- <sup>90</sup> TCP and PLGA nanofibers (day 21, p < 0.05). This demonstrated that PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers were able to regulate the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs in growth medium without the inducing factor of DEX, similar to the laponite-doped PLGA nanofibers reported in our previous work.<sup>25</sup>
- Osteocalcin is an important marker for late-stage osteogenic differentiation. The extracellular osteocalcin production after 14 and 21 days of culture was quantified to further confirm the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs cultured onto different nanofibrous scaffolds (Figure 7). In both growth and osteogenic
   medium, hMSCs cultured onto TCP, PLGA and PLGA-3%ATT produced quite a low amount of osteocalcin on day 14. On day 21, the osteocalcin production of hMSCs cultured in both growth medium and osteogenic medium was higher than that on day 14, indicating the progression of osteogenic differentiation. In growth medium, the osteocalcin produced by hMSCs cultured onto PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers was significantly higher than that onto PLGA nanofibers and TCP (p < 0.05). In contrast, no significant difference in the osteocalcin production (p >0.05) between hMSCs cultured onto TCP and PLGA nanofibers was observed.
- <sup>110</sup> This further confirmed the role played by the doped ATT that can regulate by itself the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs in growth medium.

In osteogenic medium, from day 14 to day 21, the osteocalcin production from hMSCs cultured onto TCP, PLGA and PLGA-115 3%ATT nanofibers showed a significant increase (p< 0.01), and

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

the TCP control had much higher osteocalcin secretion than the same control in growth medium without DEX. This demonstrates that DEX is able to induce the osteogenesis of hMSCs. Osteocalcin production from hMSCs cultured onto both PLGA

- <sup>5</sup> and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers was higher than that onto the TCP control, in agreement with the ALP activity assay data. The osteocalcin assay demonstrates that ATT doping within PLGA nanofibers is able to regulate the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs in the absence of osteogenic inducing factors.
- <sup>10</sup> Calcium is another important marker for osteoblast differentiation of hMSCs. The calcium content of hMSCs cultured onto different substrates was analyzed on day 14 and day 21 to confirm the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs (Figure 8). It can be seen that the hMSCs cultured with osteogenic medium
- <sup>15</sup> have relatively higher calcium content than that of the hMSCs cultured in growth medium without DEX under similar conditions (fiber substrate and time point). This tendency is more obvious on day 21, which is due to the inducing activity of the added DEX in the osteogenic medium. Importantly, hMSCs
- $_{20}$  cultured onto PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers in growth medium without DEX showed a significantly higher calcium content than those cultured onto ATT-free PLGA nanofibers and the TCP (day 21, p < 0.01). These results further suggest that PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers can induce osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs in  $_{25}$  growth medium without the inducing factor of DEX.
- Finally, the presence of calcium phosphate crystals, which is a late-stage marker of osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs,<sup>49</sup> was confirmed *via* von Kossa staining to qualitatively confirm the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs (Figure S6, ESI). Only the
- <sup>30</sup> image of hMSCs cultured onto PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers in growth medium is quite similar to those cultured onto ATT-free PLGA nanofibers and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers in osteogenic medium in terms of darkness. In contrast, hMSCs cultured onto ATT-free PLGA nanofibers in the growth medium do not display
- <sup>35</sup> similar darkness after von Kossa staining. Therefore, the von-Kossa staining assay qualitatively confirmed that PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers were able to regulate osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs in the absence of the inducing factor of DEX. Likewise, due to the strong inducing effect of DEX, the inducing effect of
- <sup>40</sup> the added ATT was not obvious from the results of von Kossa staining of hMSCs cultured onto the PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers in osteogenic medium (with DEX).

#### Conclusion

In summary, electrospun ATT-incorporated PLGA nanofibers <sup>45</sup> were fabricated and used as a scaffolding material for osteogenic differentiation of stem cells. Our results demonstrate that the ATT incorporation does not singnificantly change the uniform fibrous morphology and hemocompatibility of the PLGA nanofibers, instead leads to the reduction of the fiber diameter

- <sup>50</sup> and porosity, the improved surface hydrophilicity, and the enhanced mechanical properties of the PLGA nanofibers. MTT cell viability assay and SEM obervation of cell morphology reveal that the doped ATT within PLGA nanofibers is helpful to facilitate cell adhesion and proliferation of hMSCs when
- 55 compared to pure PLGA nanofibers. Importantly, the ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers were able to be used as a scaffolding material for osteoblast differentiation of hMSCs in both growth and

osteogenic medium. Our results show that the doping of ATT within the PLGA nanofibers enables osteoblast differentiation of 60 hMSCs in growth medium without the inducing factor of DEX. The prepared smooth and uniform ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers may be used in different tissue engineering applications.

#### Acknowledgements

This research is financially supported by the High-Tech Research

- 65 and Development Program of China (2012AA030309), the Program for Professor of Special Appointment (Eastern Scholar) at Shanghai Institutions of Higher Learning, and the FCT-Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (through the projects of PTDC/CTM-NAN/1748/2012, CQM pluriannual base funding of
- <sup>70</sup> PEst-OE/QUI/UI0674/2011-2013). X. S. gratefully acknowledges the University of Madeira and Santander bank for the Invited Chair in Nanotechnology.

#### Notes and references

- <sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology,
- 75 Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, People's Republic of China. Fax: 0086-21-67792306-804; Tel: 0086-21-67792656; E-mail: <u>xshi@dhu.edu.cn</u>
- <sup>b</sup> College of Textiles, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, People's Republic of China
- 80 <sup>c</sup> State Key Laboratory for Modification of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, People's Republic of China
  - <sup>d</sup> CQM- Centro de Química da Madeira, Universidade da Madeira, Campus da Penteada, 9000-390 Funchal, Portugal
- 85
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Additional experimental results.
- 1. D. Li and Y. N. Xia, Adv. Mater., 2004, 16, 1151-1170.
- 90 2. A. Greiner and J. H. Wendorff, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 5670-5703.
  - H. Yoshimoto, Y. M. Shin, H. Terai and J. P. Vacanti, *Biomaterials*, 2003, 24, 2077-2082.
  - K. H. Lee, H. Y. Kim, M. S. Khil, Y. M. Ra and D. R. Lee, *Polymer*, 2003, 44, 1287-1294.
- 5. D. Li, Y. L. Wang and Y. N. Xia, Nano Lett., 2003, 3, 1167-1171.
- Q. D. Tai, X. Z. Zhao and F. Yan, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 7366-7371.
- J. J. Stankus, J. J. Guan, K. Fujimoto and W. R. Wagner, *Biomaterials*, 2006, 27, 735-744.
  - S. H. Choi, G. Ankonina, D. Y. Youn, S. G. Oh, J. M. Hong, A. Rothschild and I. D. Kim, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 2623-2631.
  - W. J. Li, C. T. Laurencin, E. J. Caterson, R. S. Tuan and F. K. Ko, J. Biomed. Mater. Res., 2002, 60, 613-621.
- <sup>105</sup> 10. W. Wang, H. M. Huang, Z. Y. Li, H. N. Zhang, Y. Wang, W. Zheng and C. Wang, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2008, **91**, 3817-3819.
  - 11. B. Ding, M. R. Wang, J. Y. Yu and G. Sun, Sensors, 2009, 9, 1609-1624.
- 12. C. Kim, Y. O. Choi, W. J. Lee and K. S. Yang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2004, **50**, 883-887.
  - Y. J. Yang, E. H. Liu, L. M. Li, Z. Z. Huang, H. J. Shen and X. X. Xiang, J. Alloy. Compd, 2009, 487, 564-567.
  - K. Fujihara, A. Kumar, R. Jose, S. Ramakrishna and S. Uchida, Nanotechnology, 2007, 18, 365709.



<sup>6 |</sup> Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

10

75

- I. D. Kim, J. M. Hong, B. H. Lee, D. Y. Kim, E. K. Jeon, D. K. Choi and D. J. Yang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **91**, 163109.
- M. S. Khil, D. I. Cha, H. Y. Kim, I. S. Kim and N. Bhattarai, J. Biomed. Mater. Res., 2003, 67, 675-679.
- 5 17. R. L. Qi, R. Guo, M. W. Shen, X. Y. Cao, L. Q. Zhang, J. J. Xu, J. Y. Yu and X. Y. Shi, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 10622-10629.
- 18. J. Zeng, X. Y. Xu, X. S. Chen, Q. Z. Liang, X. C. Bian, L. X. Yang and X. B. Jing, *J. Control. Release*, 2003, 92, 227-231.
- 19. Y. K. Luu, K. Kim, B. S. Hsiao, B. Chu and M. Hadjiargyrou, J.
  - Control. Release, 2003, 89, 341-353.
- E. R. Kenawy, G. L. Bowlin, K. Mansfield, J. Layman, D. G. Simpson, E. H. Sanders and G. E. Wnek, *J. Control. Release*, 2002, 81, 57-64.
- 21. H. L. Jiang, Y. Q. Hu, Y. Li, P. C. Zhao, K. J. Zhu and W. L. Chen, J.
   *Control. Release*, 2005, 108, 237-243.
- 22. X. L. Xu, L. X. Yang, X. Y. Xu, X. Wang, X. S. Chen, Q. Z. Liang, J. Zeng and X. B. Jing, J. Control. Release, 2005, 108, 33-42.
- 23. H. X. Qi, P. Hu, J. Xu and A. J. Wang, *Biomacromolecules*, 2006, 7, 2327-2330.
- 20 24. S. Xiao, M. Shen, R. Guo, Q. Huang, S. Wang and X. Shi, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 5700-5708.
- 25. S. G. Wang, R. Castro, X. An, C. L. Song, Y. Luo, M. W. Shen, H. Tomás, M. F. Zhu and X. Y. Shi, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 23357-23367.
- 25 26. F. Y. Zheng, S. G. Wang, M. W. Shen, M. F. Zhu and X. Y. Shi, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, 4, 933-941.
  - 27. F. Y. Zheng, S. G. Wang, S. H. Wen, M. W. Shen, M. F. Zhu and X. Y. Shi, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**, 1402-1412.
- 28. S. Wang, Y. Zhao, M. Shen and X. Shi, *Ther. Deliv.*, 2012, **3**, 1155-1169.
- R. Qi, R. Guo, F. Zheng, H. Liu, J. Yu and X. Shi, *Colloid Surf. B-Biointerfaces*, 2013, **110**, 148-155.
- R. Qi, X. Cao, M. Shen, R. Guo, J. Yu and X. Shi, J. Biomater. Sci., Polym. Ed., 2012, 23, 299-313.
- 35 31. S. Wang, F. Zheng, Y. Huang, Y. Fang, M. Shen, M. Zhu and X. Shi, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 6393-6401.
  - 32. C. Wang, S. Wang, K. Li, Y. Ju, J. Li, Y. Zhang, J. Li, X. Liu, X. Shi and Q. Zhao, *PLoS ONE*, 2014, 9, e99585.
- 33. Y. Huang, X. G. Jin, X. L. Zhang, H. L. Sun, J. W. Tu, T. T. Tang, J.
   <sup>40</sup> Chang and K. R. Dai, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**, 5041-5048.
- 34. Q. L. Yuan, W. B. Lu and Y. K. Pan, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2010, 95, 1581-1587.
- W. J. Wang, A. Li, J. P. Zhang and A. Q. Wang, *Polym. Compos.*, 2007, 28, 397-404.
- 45 36. M. Tian, Y. Gao, Y. Liu, Y. L. Liao, R. W. Xu, N. E. Hedin and H. Fong, *Polymer*, 2007, **48**, 2720-2728.
  - J. P. Zhang, Q. Wang and A. Q. Wang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2007, 68, 367-374.
- 38. S. Perrot, H. Dutertre-Catella, C. Martin, P. Rat and J. M. Warnet, 50 *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2003, **72**, 122-129.
- H. X. Wu, G. Liu, Y. M. Zhuang, D. M. Wu, H. Q. Zhang, H. Yang, H. Hu and S. P. Yang, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**, 4867-4876.
- Q. J. He, J. M. Zhang, J. L. Shi, Z. Y. Zhu, L. X. Zhang, W. B. Bu, L. M. Guo and Y. Chen, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**, 1085-1092.
- 55 41. Y. S. Lin and C. L. Haynes, Chem. Mater., 2009, 21, 3979-3986.
- 42. Y. Imai and Y. Nose, J. Biomed. Mater. Res., 1972, 6, 165-172.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

- K. Y. Lee, W. S. Ha and W. H. Park, *Biomaterials*, 1995, 16, 1211-1216.
- 44. Z. X. Meng, W. Zheng, L. Li and Y. F. Zheng, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C-Mater. Biol. Appl.*, 2010, **30**, 1014-1021.
- 45. S. G. Wang, X. Y. Cao, M. W. Shen, R. Guo, I. Bányai and X. Y. Shi, Colloid Surf. B-Biointerfaces, 2012, 89, 254-264.
- G. Tian, Y. Kang, B. Mu and A. Wang, *Adsorpt. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, 32, 37-48.
- 65 47. Q. Z. Wang, X. G. Chen, Z. X. Li, S. Wang, C. S. Liu, X. H. Meng, C. G. Liu, Y. H. Lv and L. J. Yu, *J. Mater. Sci.-Mater. Med.*, 2008, 19, 1371-1377.
- C. Y. Wang, Y. R. Duan, B. Markovic, J. Barbara, C. R. Howlett, X. D. Zhang and H. Zreiqat, *Biomaterials*, 2004, 25, 2507-2514.
- 70 49. J.-S. Sun, W. H.-S. Chang, L.-T. Chen, Y.-C. Huang, L.-W. Juang and F.-H. Lin, *Biomaterials*, 2004, 25, 607-616.



Sample	Breaking strength (MPa)	Failure strain (%)	Young's modulus (MPa)
PLGA	6.50±0.47	151.0±18.9	164.16±1.42
PLGA-1%ATT	6.63±0.86	106.9±21.4	202.97±10.7
PLGA-2%ATT	6.83±1.15	82.3±16.6	219.57±7.89
PLGA-3%ATT	8.29±1.22	116.1±7.9	208.92±15.4

**Table 1.** Tensile properties of electrospun PLGA and composite PLGA nanofibers doped with ATT

8 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00–00

5

# **Figure captions**

**Figure 1.** TEM micrographs of ATT nanorods (a), PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers (b), and PLGA nanofibers without ATT doping (c). In (b), the arrows indicate the doped individual ATT nanorods.

Figure 2. SEM micrographs and diameter distribution histograms of PLGA (a), PLGA-1%ATT (b), PLGA-2%ATT (c), and PLGA-3%ATT (d) nanofibers.

**Figure 3.** MTT assay of the attachment and proliferation viability of hMSCs cultured onto cover slips, TCPs, and PLGA, PLGA-1%ATT, PLGA-2%ATT, and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibrous mats, respectively (mean $\pm$ SD, n = 3).

<sup>10</sup> **Figure 4.** SEM micrographs of hMSCs attached (a–d) and proliferated (e–h) onto the PLGA (a and e), PLGA-1%ATT (b and f), PLGA-2%ATT (c and g), and PLGA-3%ATT (d and h) nanofibrous mats after 8 h (a–d) and 3 days (e–h), respectively. Red arrows indicate the hMSCs.

**Figure 5.** UV-vis spectra of the HRBC suspensions treated with PLGA and ATT-doped PLGA nanofibers. The inset at the lower right corner shows an enlargement of the absorption features of the experimental groups. The inset at the upper left corner shows a photograph of HRBCs exposed to water, PBS, PLGA nanofibers (1), PLGA-1%ATT nanofibers (2), PLGA-2%ATT nanofibers (3), and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers (4) for 2 h, followed by centrifugation.

**Figure 6.** ALP activity (normalized for the protein content, n mol of transformed substrate per unit of time and per mass of protein) of hMSCs cultured onto TCP, PLGA, and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers in <sup>20</sup> growth medium (left part of the figure) and osteogenic medium (right part of the figure, labeled with "+DEX") at different culture times.

**Figure 7.** Osteocalcin secretion of hMSCs cultured onto TCP, PLGA, and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers in growth medium and osteogenic medium at different culture times.

Figure 8. Calcium content (normalized for the protein content) of hMSCs cultured onto TCP, PLGA,

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

and PLGA-3%ATT nanofibers in growth medium and osteogenic medium at different culture times.





This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00 | 11



Figure 2 Wang *et al*.



Figure 3 Wang *et al*.



Figure 4 Wang *et al*.



Figure 5 Wang *et al*.



Figure 6 Wang *et al*.



Figure 7 Wang *et al*.



Figure 8 Wang *et al*.

# Attapulgite-doped electrospun poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanofibers enable enhanced osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells

Zhe Wang<sup>a</sup>, Yili Zhao<sup>b</sup>, Yu Luo<sup>a</sup>, Shige Wang<sup>c</sup>, Mingwu Shen<sup>a</sup>, Helena Tomás<sup>d</sup>, Meifang Zhu<sup>c</sup>, and Xiangyang Shi\*<sup>acd</sup>



Attapulgite-doped electrospun poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanofibers enable enhanced osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells.