

# RSC Advances




This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Crystal structure of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$

This research addresses the combination of high conductivity and excellent electrochemical stability in lithium solid electrolytes by halide doping.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

ARTICLE TYPE

# A Facile Strategy to Achieve High Conduction and Excellent Chemical Stability of Lithium Solid Electrolytes

Shufeng Song, Jia Lu, Feng Zheng, Hai M. Duong and Li Lu\*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Lithium solid electrolytes have shown their potential for high-energy density batteries. Use of solid electrolytes will also be able to overcome safety issues associated with conventional carbonated-based electrolytes. However, to achieve the combination of high ionic conductivity and excellent electrochemical stability in lithium solid electrolytes still remains a major challenge. Herein we report a facile strategy to achieve high conduction and excellent electrochemical stability by the substitution of Cl for O based on the concept of bottleneck size and binding energy. The ionic conductivities of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  and  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  are  $1.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$  and  $3.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$  at 27 °C, respectively, which is 13 orders of magnitude higher than that of the pure  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ , and 1 order of magnitude higher than that of the pristine  $\text{Li}_{10.5}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{O}_{12}$ . The electrochemical stability with metallic lithium is up to 9 V vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$ , one of the solid electrolytes with most wide electrochemical window. This research also addresses the crystal structure, lithium ions migration mechanism, and battery performance.

## Introduction

Although the lithium-ion batteries have now widely used in many electronic products, however, safety, energy density, and service life are still issues, which continue to plague the lithium-ion batteries for the potential of mass market of electric vehicles and large-scale energy storage.<sup>1</sup> There are two ways to increase the energy density, one of which is to increase specific capacity and another one to increase voltage potentials of the cathodes which are limited by current liquid electrolyte. Applying solid electrolytes to lithium batteries will eliminate the conventional voltage limitation (4.5 V vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$ ) of currently used carbonated-based electrolytes and open up the possibility of high-voltage operation with a 5V-class cathode materials.<sup>2</sup> On the other hand, metallic lithium batteries exhibit the highest theoretical energy densities. Among many possible systems, Li-S and Li-O<sub>2</sub> batteries are very attractive as the candidates for next-generation high-energy densities batteries. The use of solid electrolytes could potentially suppress the formation of lithium dendrites, that are caused by uneven current distributions at the metal-electrolyte interface during cycling.<sup>3</sup>

A number of works reported new solid electrolytes, such as sulfide-based superionic conductors.<sup>4</sup> Recently, a breakthrough has been achieved in inorganic sulfide-based electrolyte with extremely high room temperature conductivity of  $10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ .<sup>5, 6</sup> Generally, sulfides have higher ionic conductivity than oxides but lower air and moisture stability than oxides. The hypersensitivity of the sulfides to air and moisture requires sophisticatedly and tedious treatment procedures under dry inert gas atmosphere, which increase their processing cost.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, the sulfides suffer inferior electrochemical stability due to reacting continuously with Li anode.<sup>8</sup> In an effort to overcome inferior electrochemical stability, the lithium phosphorous oxynitride

(LPON) electrolyte developed at Oak Ridge National Laboratories (ORNL) exemplifies the extremely good stability with Li metal anode. The ORNL group reports thin film batteries employing the LPON electrolyte,  $\text{LiCoO}_2$  cathode, and Li metal anode are capable of over 20,000 charge/discharge cycles with 0.001% capacity loss per cycle. Unfortunately LPON has relatively poor ionic conductivity of ca.  $2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ .<sup>9</sup>

Although the advantages of non-flammable solid electrolytes are widely acknowledged, their low ionic conductivities or low chemical and electrochemical stabilities prevent them from practical applications. It is known that the ionic conductivity is given by:

$$\sigma = Ze n \mu \quad (1)$$

where,  $Ze$  is the ionic charge,  $n$  is the carrier concentration and  $\mu$  is the mobility of the ion. The mobility of the ions mainly depends on the activation energy for ion conduction.<sup>10</sup> To migrate from one site to next available one, the lithium ions have to pass through a bottleneck consisting of four oxygen ions. The bottleneck size is the predominant factor of the activation energy.<sup>11</sup> As predicted by Inaguma et al.<sup>12</sup>, the size of the bottleneck mostly influences the activation energy of the ionic conduction by varying the repulsion potential between oxygen and lithium at the bottleneck site. Ion mobility is physically governed by the bottleneck size and chemically by the binding energy between mobile ions and the network anions. The suitable bottleneck size should be favor of ions conduction, while the covalent bonding between the mobile ion and network anion should be weak as possible.<sup>13</sup> The present paper reports a facile method to improve ionic conductivity of oxides while maintaining their chemical stability with air and moisture and electrochemical stability with Li metal anode. To demonstrate the concept discussed above, the solid solutions of  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  and  $\text{Li}_4\text{Si}/\text{GeO}_4$  are selected as prototype material by considering their good stability, while the halide elements (F, Cl, Br) are selected

to partly substitute O ions to adjust the bottleneck size and binding energy, in order to improve the ionic conductivity, considering the higher electronegativity of F than O, and larger ionic radii of Cl and Br than O. Two issues will be addressed in this work: (1) Is substitution of halide elements for oxygen valid strategy to enhance the ionic conductivity of oxides? (2) Dose the halide-oxides have the expected electrochemical stability with Li metal? This research also addresses the crystal structure, lithium ions migration mechanism, and battery performance of the halide-oxides materials.

## Experimental

### Synthesis of halide-substituted solid solutions of $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ and $\text{Li}_4\text{Si/GeO}_4$ [ $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si/Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$ ( $0.05 \leq x \leq 1.0$ )]

The  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si/Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  ( $0.05 \leq x \leq 1.0$ ) was prepared by melt-casting method. All the raw materials were received from Sigma, and the stoichiometric amounts of  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$  (99%, with 5wt.% excess to compensate for the loss of lithium during melting),  $\text{SiO}_2$  (99%),  $\text{GeO}_2$  (99.999%),  $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$  (98.5%),  $\text{LiCl}$  (99%),  $\text{LiF}$  (99%), and  $\text{LiBr}$  (99%) were weighed and ball-milled using zirconia balls for about 2 h in industrious ethanol in air. The mixed materials were pre-calcined at 700 °C for 2 h, subsequently, the calcined powder was melted at 1200-1250 °C, the sheet with thickness of about 1 mm was obtained after casting the melt using a rolling machine. For some specific samples, the F and Br were added to study their effects on ionic conductivity. Although the materials are not air-sensitive, to avoid possible interference from absorbed moisture, all the casted sheets were immediately transferred into an argon-filled glove box.

### Electrochemical characterization

For the ionic conductivity measurements, the sheets were cut to small pellet and the conducting silver paste was painted on both sides of the small sheet and sealed in a Swagelok cell. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out using a Solartron 1260+1287 System, applying 100 mV in the frequency range 1 MHz-10 Hz, without any pressure applied. Activation energy was measured at the temperature range from 27 °C to 110 °C.


Cyclic voltammogram (CV) of the samples made of  $\text{Ag} \backslash \text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si/Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  sheet/Li was measured using a linear sweep voltammetry between a voltage range from -0.5 V to 9 V vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$  at a 1 mV  $\text{s}^{-1}$  scan rate.

Full cells using the  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si/Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  as the electrolytes,  $0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.7\text{LiMn}_{1.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_4$  as the cathode and lithium metal as the anode were assembled in 2025 coin cells. The cathode powder was mixed with super P conductive carbon (TIMCAL Ltd.) and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, Sigma) at a weight ratio of 8:1:1 in an N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP, Sigma) solvent to form uniform slurries and then coated on Al foils. To reduce interfacial impedance between the cathodes and electrolytes, a very little drop of 1M  $\text{LiPF}_6$  in EC : DEC (1:1:1 by weight) as a buffer between solid electrolyte and cathode layer. Charge/discharge test was performed at a potential range between 2.0 and 5.0 V vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$  at 0.1C rate.

### Structural characterization

X-ray diffraction (Shimadzu XRD-6000 and 7000 Cu-K $\alpha$ ) was employed to detect crystallographic structure of the samples in air with no seal. Lattice constants and structures were analyzed by Rietveld refinement of the powder XRD data using GSAS software. The structure was drawn by VESTA software.

## Results and discussion




**Figure 1.** Typical Nyquist plots of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  (square symbol) and  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  (circle symbol) measured at 27 °C.

Figure 1 represents typical Nyquist plots of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  (square symbol) and  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  (circle symbol) measured at 27 °C. It shows a depressed rather than ideal semicircle, inclined rather than vertical capacitive tail. The appearance of the tail at low frequencies in the case of ionically blocking electrodes is an indication that the pellet is ionically conducting in nature.<sup>14</sup> Close examination of the Nyquist plot, there is only one semicircle, suggesting that the grain and grain boundary resistance be unable separated. The total conductivity of the pellet at 27 °C is calculated by the equation:

$$\sigma_t = \frac{1}{R_t} \cdot \frac{d}{S} \quad (2)$$

where  $R_t$ ,  $d$ ,  $S$  are the total resistance, the thickness of sample, the electrode area, respectively. For the sample ( $d=1.0$  mm,  $S=28.3$   $\text{mm}^2$ ), the total conductivity of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  at 27 °C is calculated to be  $1.03 \times 10^{-5}$   $\text{S cm}^{-1}$ , which is 13 orders of magnitude higher than that of the pure  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  ( $10^{-18}$   $\text{S cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>15</sup>, and 1 order of magnitude higher than that of the pristine  $\text{Li}_{10.5}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{O}_{12}$  ( $10^{-6}$   $\text{S cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>4,16</sup>, and the room-temperature conductivity of its counterpart  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  is much higher with  $3.7 \times 10^{-5}$   $\text{S cm}^{-1}$ .




**Figure 2.** Arrhenius plots of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}/\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  in the range between 27 °C and 110 °C.

Figure 2 shows the Arrhenius plots of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}/\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  in the range between 27 °C and 110 °C. The activation energies for two types of solid electrolytes were calculated using the Arrhenius equation:

$$\sigma = A \exp(-E_a / kT) \quad (3)$$


where  $A$  represents the pre-exponential factor,  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant, and  $T$  is the absolute temperature. The activation energy  $E_{\text{Si}}$  ( $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$ ) and  $E_{\text{Ge}}$  ( $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$ ) for the conduction was calculated to be 0.44 eV and 0.39 eV, respectively, which is much lower than that of the pristine  $\text{Li}_{10.5}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{O}_{12}$  ( $\approx 0.53$  eV).<sup>16</sup>



**Figure 3.** Effect of halide substitution on the room-temperature conductivity of  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}/\text{F}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  ( $0.05 \leq x \leq 1.0$ ).


Figure 3 shows the effect of halide substitution on the room-temperature conductivity of  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}/\text{F}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  ( $0.05 \leq x \leq 1.0$ ). The square symbol displays the conductivity variation with substitution of Cl for O. A maximum conductivity  $\sigma_{\text{Li}} \approx 1.03 \times 10^{-5}$  S  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  was found for  $x=0.08$ . The solid circle symbol displays the conductivity variation with substitution of F for O. The maximum conductivity  $\sigma_{\text{Li}} \approx 7.6 \times 10^{-6}$  S  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  was

lower than their Cl counterpart, while the conductivities of  $\text{Li}_{10.4}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.05}\text{F}_{0.05}\text{O}_{11.9}$  and  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.25}\text{F}_{0.25}\text{O}_{11.5}$  (triangular symbol) are comparable with their Cl counterpart  $\text{Li}_{10.4}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.1}\text{O}_{11.9}$  and  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$ . Whereas, the conductivities of  $\text{Li}_{10.4}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.05}\text{Br}_{0.05}\text{O}_{11.9}$  is much lower ( $\approx 7.4 \times 10^{-6}$  S  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , not shown in this paper).



**Figure 4.** The plots of room-temperature conductivity and activation energy versus Cl content.

It is worth noting that the activation energy versus Cl content displays an inverted peak with the conductivity (Figure 4). The lowest activation energy observed in the composition of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  that had the highest ionic conductivity.




**Figure 5.** The current-potential curves of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}/\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$ .

A high conductivity is necessary but not a sufficient property to make an electrolyte to be useful in practical terms. A wide electrochemical window is also an essential parameter to ensure good performance in rechargeable lithium batteries. The electrochemical stability window has been evaluated by running a cyclic voltammogram of cells, in which Li and Ag serve as reference/counter and working electrodes, respectively. Figure 5 displays the current-potential curve of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}/\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$ . First, the potential was swept from

open voltage (OCV) to -0.5 V (cathodic sweep) and then from -0.5 V to 9 V (anodic sweep). The cathodic current corresponding to lithium deposition, and the anodic current corresponding to lithium dissolution. No obvious current was observed except the ones due to the lithium deposition and dissolution, indicating that the  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}/\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  electrolytes have a wide electrochemical window up to 9 V vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$ .  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}/\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  would be an alternative for stable protective solid electrolyte interphase and buffer film taking into account their excellent electrochemical stability with lithium metal and promising ionic conductivity.

All XRD patterns of  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  ( $0.05 \leq x \leq 0.5$ ) (Figure S1) show that the matrix of Cl substitution is in the solid solution with structure of  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  (Powder Diffraction File 15-0760, Space group Pnma). The orthorhombic structure of  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  widely used as a host for preparing lithium solid electrolytes. In particular, the LPON, LISICON and thio-LISICON electrolyte materials based on  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  structure have been well developed.<sup>17-19</sup> Two different structures have been recognized in the system of solid solutions between  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  and  $\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$ : the  $\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$ -type phase and  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ -type phase with respective formulae of  $\text{Li}_{4-x}\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{P}_x\text{O}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 0.12$ ) and  $\text{Li}_{3+y}\text{Si}_y\text{P}_{1-y}\text{O}_4$  ( $0 \leq y \leq 0.42$ ).<sup>20</sup> In the  $\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$ -type phase, vacancies can be introduced into the normal  $\text{Li}^+$  sites in the  $\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$  structure, while in the  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ -type phase, interstitial  $\text{Li}^+$  can be introduced into the  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  structure. In the system,  $x=y=0.5$ , it shows  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ -type phase judged from the XRD patterns (Figure S1), indicating an interstitial ion migration mechanism.


The crystal structure of Cl-substituted  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  ( $0.05 \leq x \leq 0.5$ ) was analyzed by the Rietveld method.<sup>21</sup> The structure model of orthorhombic  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  was adapted as the initial structure model with space group of Pnma (no. 62).<sup>22</sup> Typical observed, calculated, and difference patterns for Rietveld refinement of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$  was shown in Figure S2. Table S1 lists the crystallographic data and details of the structure refinement. The resultant R-values reached  $R_{\text{wp}}=0.0787$ ,  $R_{\text{p}}=0.0638$ . The Li1, Li2, Li3, P, Si, O1, O2, O3, Cl sites were the special positions of 8d, 4c, 8d, 4c, 4c, 8d, 4c, 4c, and 8d sites (the multiplicity and Wyckoff letter), respectively. The lattice constants were refined to be  $a=5.012(7)$  Å,  $b=6.116(6)$  Å,  $c=10.599(8)$  Å.



**Figure 6.** The lattice constants and volume of unit cell of pure

$\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  and Cl-substituted  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  solid solutions.





Figure 6 shows the lattice constants and volume of unit cell of pure  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  and Cl-substituted  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  solid solutions. The lattice constants and volume of unit cell of Cl-substituted  $\text{Li}_{10.5-x}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_x\text{O}_{12-x}$  solid solutions are much larger than the pure  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ ,<sup>22</sup> while the lattice constants and volume of unit cell vary very slightly when Cl concentration increases further, since the ionic radius of  $\text{Cl}^-$  (1.81 Å) is much larger than that of  $\text{O}^{2-}$  (1.4 Å).<sup>11</sup> There are no simple correlation between lattice constants and ionic radii.<sup>23</sup> Addressing the concept of bottleneck size and binding energy between mobile ions and the network anions, substitution of Cl for O increases the lattice constants and volume of unit cell, would enlarge the bottleneck size, then decrease the energy barriers (activation energy) and enhance the ionic conductivity. On the other hand, the ionicity of Li-Cl is smaller than that of Li-O due to the lower electronegativity of  $\text{Cl}^-$  (3.16) than  $\text{O}^{2-}$  (3.44). As the increase of Cl concentration, the  $\text{Li}^+$  cations would be rigidly bonded to the  $\text{Cl}^-$  anions, which behaves as an obstacle for the ions migration, and increases the activation energy and decreases the ionic conductivity. Hence there should be an optimized Cl concentration with considerably large bottleneck size for  $\text{Li}^+$  ions migration and relatively small binding energy between Li-O/Cl, which may lead to the minimum activation energy and maximum ionic conductivity (Cl=0.08). This has been demonstrated in Figure 4.




**Figure 7.** (a) Ball and stick drawing of unit cell of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$ . (b) Enlarged view of the selected P/SiO/Cl<sub>4</sub> polyhedra. Li1 ions: black spheres, Li2 ions: orange spheres, Li3 ions: blue spheres, P ions: pink spheres, Si ions: yellow spheres, O ions: red spheres, Cl ions: green spheres.

Figure 7. (a) illustrates the unit cell of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$ . In an ideal crystal of  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ , the Li ions are located at two different crystallographically sites indicated with Li1 and Li2, using the Wyckoff labels, 8d and 4c, respectively. The d site

accounts for 8 equivalent atomic sites, and the c site accounts for 4 equivalent atomic sites per unit cell. The O ions fully occupy three different sites designated as O1(8d), O2(4c) and O3(4c), and the P ions fully occupy the 4c sites. Since in an ideal crystal, all the lithium cations fully occupy their positions and are rigidly bonded to the framework, which creates no prerequisites for ion migration. In the real crystal of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$ , Si and P ions share the same 4c sites due to partial substitution of Si for P (Figure 7. (b)). Noted that one site can just accommodate one atom, the P and Si ions cannot appear at 4c site at the same time, designating as white and pink spheres for P ions, and white and yellow spheres for Si ions in Figure 7. (b), indicating that they are occupied by P or Si exclusively. Cl and O ions share the same 8d sites due to partial substitution of Cl for O (Figure 7. (b)), designating as white and green spheres for Cl ions, and white and red spheres for O1 ions in Figure 7. (b), indicating that they are occupied by Cl or O exclusively. It is difficult to determine the exact positions of Cl ions due to their minor content and volatilization during preparation procedure. In the present work, we refine the crystal structure according to O1 sites occupied by Cl ions, and getting a reasonable agreement factors Rwp and Rp. However, the case that other O sites were occupied by Cl ions is also possible. 1.33 interstitial Li ions were introduced into unit cell in the system of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$ . There are two crystallographically inequivalent Li interstitial sites I and II, and four sites equivalent to I site and eight sites equivalent to II site.<sup>24</sup> We firstly refine the crystal structure according to I site occupied by interstitial Li ions. However, it is unreasonable with the distance of 0.79Å between interstitial Li ions and the neighbor O ions (not shown in this paper). Therefore, we supposed that the 1.33 interstitial Li ions occupy the interstitial II sites, designating as Li3 in Figure 7 (white and blue spheres).





**Figure 8.** (a) Polyhedra drawing of supercell of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$  having dimension  $2\mathbf{a}\times 2\mathbf{b}\times 2\mathbf{c}$ . (b)~(d) Lithium ions diffusion path along  $\mathbf{a}\sim\mathbf{c}$  axis, (e) Projection of Li sites of supercell of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$  on the  $\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{c}$  plane, (f) Lithium ions diffusion path along  $\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{c}$  axes


Interstitial migration of the lithium ion were showing in dimension  $2\mathbf{a}\times 2\mathbf{b}\times 2\mathbf{c}$  supercells in Figure 8. The hypothesis of interstitial mechanism described here for ions diffusion within the type II voids suggest that possible mechanism for interstitial Li ion diffusion in all three crystallographic directions (Figure 8. (a)). We thus considered the possibly efficient diffusion path along the three crystallographic directions, by selecting shorter Li-Li distance to hop which indicating smaller migration barrier<sup>24</sup> tabulated in Table 1. Figure 8. (b) described diffusion of an interstitial Li ion Li3 (0.76472, 0.71260, 0.31232) to its equivalent site Li3 (0.26472, 0.71260, 0.31232) along  $\mathbf{a}$  axis. During the motion process, an interstitial Li ion Li3(8d) kicks out and replaces a neighboring Li1(8d) while the “kicked-out” Li1(8d) migrates to next neighboring Li3(8d) site, then kicks out Li1(8d) to take its equivalent site Li3 (0.26472, 0.71260, 0.31232). It takes four steps from one interstitial site to its equivalent site, and the shortest Li-Li distance is 1.76 Å, and the largest Li-Li distance is 2.37 Å. The diffusion path along  $\mathbf{a}$  axis is (Figure 8. (b)):



The diffusion paths along  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  axis are similar with that along  $\mathbf{a}$  axis, both is zigzag motions. The diffusion path along  $\mathbf{b}$  axis is (Figure 8. (c)):



The diffusion path along  $\mathbf{c}$  axis is (Figure 8 (d)):





The proposed diffusion paths show a slight anisotropy along the three crystallographic directions. Based on the diffusion steps from one interstitial site to its equivalent site and the Li-Li distance, it can be deduced that the diffusion path along  $\mathbf{b}$  axis is the most efficient and major motion path of this solid solution. Figure 8. (e) shows the projection of Li sites of supercell of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$  on the  $\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{c}$  plane, which can visually deliver


a zigzag diffusion path along  $\mathbf{b}$  axis. Figure 8. (f) shows the 3D zigzag network of lithium ions diffusion path along  $\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{c}$  axes. The diffusion along  $\mathbf{b}$  axis combined with the diffusion along  $\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{c}$  axes.

**Table 1** Interstitial migration step of  $\text{Li}_{10}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.5}\text{O}_{11.5}$  solid solution

Net direction	Step	Net distance / Å
<b>a</b>	Li3(0.76472, 0.71260, 0.31232)	1.76, 2.37, 1.76, 2.37
	Li1(0.60328, 0.75030, 0.33631)	
	Li3 (0.51472, 0.78740, 0.43768)	
	Li1 (0.35328, 0.74970, 0.41369)	
	Li3 (0.26472, 0.71260, 0.31232)	
<b>b</b>	Li3(0.51472, 0.28740, 0.43768)	2.0, 2.0
	Li2 (0.66414, 0.37500, 0.47482)	
	Li3 (0.51472, 0.46260, 0.43768)	
<b>c</b>	Li3 (0.48528, 0.53740, 0.56232)	2.0, 2.82, 2.37, 2.0, 2.82, 2.37
	Li2 (0.33586, 0.62500, 0.52518)	
	Li1 (0.35328, 0.50030, 0.41369)	
	Li3 (0.26472, 0.53740, 0.31232)	
	Li2 (0.41414, 0.62500, 0.27518)	
	Li1 (0.39672, 0.50030, 0.16369)	
	Li3 (0.48528, 0.53740, 0.06232)	







**Figure 9.** (a) The charge-discharge curves of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  and (b)  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells at 0.1C rate. (c) Cycle performance of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells. (d) Cycle performance of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells.

The cathode material  $0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.7\text{LiMn}_{1.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_4$  which proves to be able to stably cycle in a wide potential window of 2.0-5.0 V has been adopted to evaluate the electrochemical performance of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  and  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$ . Figure 9. (a) & (b) show the charge-discharge curves of the hybrid electrolyte  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  and  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells at 0.1C rate. The charge and discharge capacity of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cell at the 1st cycle were  $114.5 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$  and  $114.1 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ , respectively, delivering almost 100% coulombic efficiency. The initial charge capacity of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cell was  $330.1 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ , much higher than the theoretical capacity of  $0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.7\text{LiMn}_{1.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_4$  ( $213 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ ), whereas the initial discharge capacity was  $133.2 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ . The charge and discharge capacities after 100 cycles are  $81.6 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$  and  $81.9 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ , respectively, with 100% coulombic efficiency for  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells (Figure 9. (c)). However, the discharge capacity was  $11.2 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$  for  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells after 23 cycles (Figure 9. (d)). The cycle performance of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells was much

better than that of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells.

## Conclusions

To achieving the combination of high ionic conductivity and excellent electrochemical stability in lithium solid electrolytes still remains a major challenge. A facile strategy was proposed to achieve high conduction and excellent electrochemical stability by the substitution of Cl for O based on the concept of bottleneck size and binding energy. New electrolytes,  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  and  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Ge}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$ , were synthesized that showed promising ionic conductivity  $1.03 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$  and  $3.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$  at  $27^\circ \text{C}$  respectively, 13 orders of magnitude higher than that of the pure  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ , and 1 order of magnitude higher than that of the pristine  $\text{Li}_{10.5}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{O}_{12}$ , and superior electrochemical stability with metallic lithium up to 9 V vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$ , one of the solid electrolytes with most wide electrochemical window. These series of new electrolytes are orthorhombic structure of  $\gamma\text{-Li}_3\text{PO}_4$  with interstitial 3D-zigzag ions migration mechanism along **b** axis. The charge and discharge capacity of  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cell at the 1st cycle were  $114.5 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$  and  $114.1 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ , respectively, with almost 100% coulombic efficiency. The coulombic efficiency was almost 100% after 100 cycles for  $\text{Li}_{10.42}\text{Si}_{1.5}\text{P}_{1.5}\text{Cl}_{0.08}\text{O}_{11.92}$  cells. This strategy holds promising potential to develop new solid electrolytes combined with high ionic conductivity and excellent electrochemical stability thus advance lithium ion battery technology by enabling the use of metallic lithium anode.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by SERC 2011 Public Sector Research Funding (PSF) Grant R-265-000-424-305.

## Notes and references

- Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore, 9Engineering Drive 1, Singapore 117576, Singapore. Fax: +65-67791459; Tel: +65-65162236; E-mail: luli@nus.edu.sg
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- J. B. Goodenough and Y. Kim, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 587.
  - Z. C. Zhang, L. B. Hu, H. Wu, W. Weng, M. Koh, P. C. Redfern, L. A. Curtiss and K. Amine, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 1806.
  - L. Suo, Y. S. Hu, H. Li, M. Armand and L. Q. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **4**, 1481.
  - R. Kanno and M. Maruyama, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2001, **148**, A742.
  - N. Kamaya, K. Homma, Y. Yamakawa, M. Hirayama, R. Kanno, M. Yonemura, T. Kamiyama, Y. Kato, S. Hama, K. Kawamoto and A. Mitsui, *Nat Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 682.
  - Y. Seino, T. Ota, K. Takada, A. Hayashi and M. Tatsumisago, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 627.
  - G. Sahu, Z. Lin, J. Li, Z. Liu, N. Dudney and C. D. Liang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 1053.
  - J. L. Schaefer, Y. Lu, S. Moganty, P. Agarwal, N. Jayaprakash and L. A. Archer, *Appl. Nanosci.*, 2012, **2**, 91.
  - W. C. West, J. F. Whitacre and J. R. Lim, *J. Power Sources*, 2004, **126**, 134.
  - Y. Inaguma and M. Itoh, *Solid State Ionics*, 1996, **86**, 257.
  - O. Bohnke, C. Bohnke, J. O. P. Ahmed, C. Lopez, H. Duroy, F. Berre and J. L. Fourquet, *Chem. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 1593.
  - Y. Inaguma, Y. Matsui, J. Yu, Y. J. Shan, T. Nakamura, M. Itoh, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 1997, **58(6)**, 843.
  - H. Y. Hong, *Mat. Res. Bul.*, 1978, **13**, 117.

- 
- 14 J. T. S. Irvine, D. C. Sinclair and A. R. West, *Adv. Mater.*, 1990, **2**, 132.
- 15 B. Wang, B. C. Chakoumakos, B. C. Sales, B. S. Kwak and J. B. Bates, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1995, **115**, 313.
- 5 16 Y. W. Hu, I. D. Raistrick and R. A. Huggins, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1977, **124**, 1240.
- 17 J. B. Bates, N. J. Dudney, G. R. Gruzalski, R. A. Zuhr, A. Choudhury, D. F. Luck and J. D. Robertson, *Solid State Ionics*, 1992, **647**, 53.
- 18 P. G. Bruce and A. R. West, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1983, **130**, 662.
- 10 19 R. Kanno, H. Kawamoto and Y. M. Irie, *Solid State Ionics*, 2000, **130**, 97.
- 20 A. Khorassani, G. Izquierdo and A. R. West, *Mat. Res. Bull.*, 1981, **16**, 1561.
- 21 H. Xie, J. A. Alonso, Y. T. Li, M. T. Fern and J. B. Goodenough,  
15 *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 3587.
- 22 W. Baur and H. T. Ohta, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1982, **44**, 50.
- 23 R. Ubic and G. Subodh, *J. Alloys. Compd.*, 2009, **488**, 374.
- 24 Y. A. Du and N. A. W. Holzwarth, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2007, **154**, A999.