

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

 1
 Cathepsin B-Sensitive Cholesteryl Hemisuccinate-Gemcitabine Prodrug Nanoparticles:

 2
 Enhanced Cellular Uptake and Intracellular Drug Controlled Release

3

4

Yanyun Xu<sup>§</sup>, Jianqi Geng<sup>+</sup>, Ping An<sup>§</sup>, Yan Xu<sup>§</sup>, Jin Huang<sup>§+</sup>, Wei Lu<sup>§</sup>, Jiahui Yu<sup>§1</sup>

5 Abstract

Gemcitabine [2', 2'-difluoro-2'-deoxycytidine (dFdC)], firstline treatment for pancreatic 6 cancer in clinic, is a cytotoxic nucleoside analogue. Nucleoside transporters are required in the 7 transport of gemcitabine into cells since it is a hydrophilic compound. Actually, there are 8 significant drawbacks for the application of gemcitabine in clinic, including short half-life and 9 serious side effects. In order to overcome the mentioned drawbacks, a novel prodrug, cholesteryl 10 hemisuccinate-gemcitabine (CHSdFdC), was synthesized through covalently coupling the amino 11 group of gemcitabine with carboxylic group of cholesteryl hemisuccinate. The amphiphilic 12 prodrug self-assembled spontaneously as nanoparticles in aqueous media confirmed by 13 transmission electron microscope (TEM). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement revealed 14 the mean particle size is approximately 200 nm in aqueous media. The CHSdFdC nanoparticles 15 displayed accumulative controlled drug release in simulated lysosome condition (pH 5.0 NaAc 16 buffer solution contained cathepsin B), the amount of drug release reached up to 80 % within 10 h. 17 However, there was almost no drug release in pH 7.4 PBS and pH 5.0 NaAc buffer solutions 18 without cathepsin B. All these results indicated the intracellular controlled drug release manner of 19 CHSdFdC nanoparticles. The controlled release of dFdC from CHSdFdC nanoparticles related 20 closely to cleavage of amide bond by cathepsin B. The CHSdFdC nanoparticles exhibited 21

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Tel. /fax: +86 21 6223 7026. E-mail address: jhyu@sist.ecnu.edu.cn (Jiahui Yu)

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

increased ability of inhibiting the cells growth compared with gemcitabine *in vitro*. Meanwhile,
CHSdFdC nanoparticles exhibited enhanced cellular uptake ability against Bxpc-3 cells, and the
amount of CHSdFdC was about 15 folds of gemcitabine during the 2.5 h incubation. All these
results showed the CHSdFdC nanoparticles prodrug has great potential in the treatment of
pancreatic cancer.

6

# 7 **1 Introduction**

Gemcitabine [2', 2'-difluoro-2'-deoxycytidine (dFdC)] has been proved to be a potent 8 cytotoxic nucleoside analogue demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of various solid tumors, 9 including colon, lung, pancreatic, breast, bladder and ovarian cancers <sup>[1-3]</sup>. In order to achieve 10 therapeutic effect, gemcitabine must be transported into cells. Membrane proteins called 11 nucleoside transporters are required in the transport of gemcitabine because it is a hydrophilic 12 compound. The main transporter types include hENT<sub>1</sub>, hENT<sub>2</sub>, hCNT<sub>1</sub> and hCNT<sub>3</sub><sup>[4]</sup>. Nucleoside 13 transporter deficiency would make it difficult for gemcitabine to be transported into cells. In 14 addition, as a traditional chemotherapeutic drug, gemcitabine is difficult to accumulate at tumor 15 tissue selectively, which lead to undesired side effects and inadequate drug concentrations 16 reaching tumor, despite it is a primary drug for cancer treatment<sup>[5, 6, 7, 8]</sup>. In this paper, in order to 17 make it easier for gemcitabine to enter cells, especially nucleoside transporter deficiency cells, the 18 cholestery-hemisuccinate-gemcitabine conjugate was fabricated into nanoparticles to change the 19 approach that gemcitabine enter cells. As a result, gemcitabine would enter cells via endocytosis 20 rather than transport by nucleoside transporters. In addition, nanodrug delivery systerm could use 21 22 enhanced permeability and retention (EPR)(Fig.1) effect to promote the drugs targeting tumor tissue selectively, leading to potentially enhanced antitumor effect and decreased side effects<sup>[5,</sup> 23

<sup>9-14].</sup> Numerous nanodrug or nanaodrug candidates have been approved for clinical applications or 1 under clinical trials at different stages <sup>[13, 15, 16]</sup>. After being transported into cells, a part of 2 gemcitabine is deaminized by cytidine deaminase into inactive uracil derivative (dFdU) 3 intracellularly, hence resulting in a short half-life <sup>[17]</sup>. Acylation of N<sup>4</sup>-amino group of the 4 pyrimidine ring of dFdC would protect the amino group from being rapidly degraded by cytidine 5 deaminase. Taking the strategy of nanodrug delivery system, Couvreur's group covalently 6 coupled gemcitabine with squalenic acid, and the resultant 4-(N)-tris-nor-squalenoyl-gemcitabine 7 (SOdFdC) prodrug self-assembled into nanoparticles, which were shown to overcome 8 gemcitabine resistance in murine leukemia cells (i.e., L1210 10K)<sup>[18]</sup>, human leukemia cells (i.e., 9 CEM/ARAC8C)<sup>[18]</sup>, and human pancreatic cancer cells (i.e., Panc-1)<sup>[19]</sup>. It was concluded that 10 SQdFdC nanoparticles enabled the partial circumvention of three well-known resistance 11 mechanisms to gemcitabine, including the deficiency of nucleoside transporters, insufficient 12 activity of deoxycitidine kinase (dCK), and inactivation by deaminases <sup>[20]</sup>. Zhengrong Cui *et al.* 13 have reported that 4-(N)-stearoyl gemcitabine (GemC18), a stearic acid amide derivative of 14 gemcitabine, could effectively inhibit the growth of gemcitabine resistance TC-1-GR tumors in 15 mice, and in contrast, the molar equivalent dose of gemcitabine hydrochloride did not show any 16 activity against the growth of the TC-1-GR tumors<sup>[21]</sup>. 17



**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

18

19

Fig.1 Illustration of EPR effect and intracellular controlled release of dFdC from CHSdFdC

Cholesterol is an indispensable substance in the formation of cell membranes. As the basic

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

component of membrane, cholesterol accounts for over 20 % of plasma membrane lipids. 2 3 Meanwhile, cholesterol is one of the important components of the liposome membranes. It has been found that cholesterol can maintain the fluidity of membrane <sup>[22]</sup>. As a component of the 4 biofilm, cholesterol exist excellent biocompatibility <sup>[23]</sup>. Because of all these properties, 5 cholesterol is important to membrane fusion, which is an essential procedure for endocytosis <sup>[24,</sup> 6 <sup>25]</sup>.Then, it is expected that the anti-tumor drug modified with cholesterol will display enhanced 7 ability of cellular uptake to increase their therapeutic effect and decrease the side effects. 8 In this study, cholesteryl hemisuccinate was used to modify gemcitabine to give CHSdFdC 9 prodrug. The prodrug could self-assemble into nanoparticles in aqueous media. The properties of 10 the nanoparticles were investigated, such as critical micelle concentration (CMC), mean particle 11 size and size distribution, zeta potential, morphology and colloidal stability. The process of drug 12 release from the nanoparticles and cellular uptake by Bxpc-3 cells in vitro were investigated in 13 detail. Meanwhile, we assayed the ability of inhibiting the growth of Bxpc-3 cells of the prodrug 14 in vitro. The nanoparticles have the capability to realize intracellular controlled release of 15 gemcitabine and reduce side effects because amide bond of the prodrug nanoparticles can be 16 degraded by cathepsin B, an enzyme exists in lysosome. 17

18

1

#### 19 **2 Experimental**

#### 20 2.1 Materials and cells

Cholesterol was purchased from J&K Chemical Ltd.. Gemcitabine hydrochloride was
purchased from Shang Hai PuYi Chemical Co., Ltd.. Gemcitabine base was purchased from
Shanghai Demo Medical Tech Co., Ltd.. EDCI and DMAP was purchased from Energy Chemical..

- Other chemicals were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., DCM, DMF and 1
- 2 THF were dried and redistilled before use.

3 The Bxpc-3 cell line is a kind of human pancreatic cancer cell line, which was purchased from the Institute of Biochemistry & cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Science. 4

2.2 Characterization of compounds 5

- <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avarice TM 400 NMR spectrometer. The 6
- 7 Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) were obtained with a Nicolet Nexus 670 spectrometer.
- The samples were pressed into pellets with KBr. 8

#### 2.3 Synthesis of cholesteryl hemisuccinate-gemcitabine (CHSdFdC) 9



10 11

Scheme.1 Synthesis scheme of cholesteryl hemisuccinate-gemcitabine (CHSdFdC)

12	Succinic anhydride (1.2 mmol) was added to stirred solution of cholesterol (1.0 mmol) in
13	toluene. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h, cooled to room temperature and filtered. The
14	precipitate was collected and recrystallized with ethanol for twice, the cholesteryl hemisuccinate
15	was obtained (yield: 82.8 %). IR (neat, cm <sup>-1</sup> ) 3442 (-OH), 2943-2860 (-CH2-), 1712 (-C=O), 1176
16	(C-O-C). <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ : 0.68 (3 H, s, 20-H ), 0.8~ 2.4 ( 28 H, 1-H <sub>2</sub> , 3-H <sub>2</sub> , 6-H <sub>2</sub> ,
17	8-H <sub>2</sub> , 9-H <sub>1</sub> , 10-H <sub>1</sub> , 11-H <sub>1</sub> , 13-H <sub>2</sub> , 14-H <sub>2</sub> , 15-H <sub>2</sub> , 16-H <sub>2</sub> , 17-H <sub>1</sub> , 21-H <sub>1</sub> , 22-H <sub>2</sub> , 24-H <sub>2</sub> , 25-H <sub>2</sub> , 26-H <sub>1</sub> ),
18	0.87 ( 6H, d, J=6.4Hz, 27-H <sub>3</sub> , 28-H <sub>3</sub> ), 0.92 (3H, d, J=6Hz, 23-H <sub>3</sub> ), 1.02 ( 3H, s, 19-H <sub>3</sub> ), 2.61
19	( 2H, m, COCH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.68 (2H, m, CH <sub>2</sub> CO ), 4.64 ( 1H, m, 2-H <sub>1</sub> ), 5.37 (1H, m, 7-H <sub>1</sub> )
20	Triethylamine (1.4 mmol) was added to stirred solution of cholesteryl hemisuccinate (1.2

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

mmol) in anhydrous THF (3 mL), under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to -15 °C, and the 1 solution of isobutyl chloroformate (1.2 mmol) in anhydrous THF (3 mL) was added dropwise. 2 3 The mixture was stirred at -15  $^{\circ}$ C for 15 min and the solution of gemcitabine hydrochloride (1.2 mmol) and triethylamine (1.4 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) was added dropwise to the 4 mixture at the same temperature, then the mixture was stirred for another 0.5 h at -15 °C. After 5 being stirred for 72 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated. Aqueous 6 sodium hydrogen carbonate was added and the mixture was extracted with DCM (3×50 mL). The 7 combined extracts were washed with water, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The crude 8 product was purified by chromatography on silica gel eluting with 1 % to 5 % methanol in 9 dichloromethane to give cholesteryl hemisuccinate-gemcitabine as amorphous white solid 10 (yield:32%). IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3500 (-CO-NH-), 3000 (-C=C-), 1665 (-CO-NH-), 1400 (-CO-NH-), 11 1065 (C-O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400M, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 0.65 (3H, s, 45-H<sub>3</sub>), 0.85 (6H, dd, 52-H<sub>3</sub>, 53-H<sub>3</sub>), 12 0.90 (3H, d, 48-H<sub>3</sub>), 0.96 (3H, s, 44-H<sub>3</sub>), 0.8-2.4 (28H, 28-H<sub>2</sub>, 31-H<sub>2</sub>, 32-H<sub>2</sub>, 34-H<sub>2</sub>, 35-H<sub>1</sub>, 36-H<sub>1</sub>, 13 37-H<sub>1</sub>, 39-H<sub>2</sub>, 40-H<sub>2</sub>, 41-H<sub>2</sub>, 42-H<sub>2</sub>, 43-H<sub>1</sub>, 46-H<sub>1</sub>, 47-H<sub>2</sub>, 49-H<sub>2</sub>, 50-H<sub>2</sub>, 51-H<sub>1</sub>), 2.56(2H, m, 14 COCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.69 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.66 (1H, m, 6-H<sub>1</sub>), 3.80 (1H, d, 10-H<sub>1</sub>), 3.89 (1H, d, 10-H<sub>1</sub>), 15 4.19 (1H, m, 11-H<sub>1</sub>), 4.45 (1H, m, 27-H<sub>1</sub>), 5.28 (1H, t, 33-H<sub>1</sub>), 5.34 (1H, d, 8-H<sub>1</sub>), 6.18 (1H, t, 16 12-H<sub>1</sub>), 6.31 (1H, d, 9-H<sub>1</sub>), 7.24 (1H, d, 15-H<sub>1</sub>), 8.24 (1H, d, 14-H<sub>1</sub>), 11.08 (1H, s, 20-H<sub>1</sub>). 17

#### 18 **2.4** Nanoparticle fabrication and the critical micelle concentration

The CHSdFdC (5 mg) was dissolved in 10 mL THF, and the solution was added dropwise into 10 mL ultrapure MilliQ® water with constant stirring at 500 rpm. After stirring, the solution was loaded into a dialysis tube (MWCO 1000) and dialyzed against 12 L (4 L×3) deionized water for 24 h.

23

The critical micelle concentration (CMC) was determined using pyrene as a fluorescence

probe <sup>[26]</sup>. The concentration of CHSdFdC varied from  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  to 0.1 mg/mL with a fixed pyrene 1 concentration of  $6 \times 10^{-7}$  mol/L. The fluorescence spectra were measured on an F-4500 2 3 fluorenscence spectrophotometer (Hitchi F-4500) with an excitation wavelength of 335 nm. The  $I_{373}$  / $I_{384}$  ratio of the fluorescence intensity in the emission spectra of pyrene was analyzed for the 4 calculation of the CMC. The experiment was performed in triplet. The mean and corresponding 5 6 standard deviations (mean  $\pm$  SD) are shown in the results.

7

### 2.5 Formulation and characterization of CHSdFdC nanoparticles

CHSdFdC nanoparticles were prepared by nanoprecipitation. Briefly, CHSdFdC (5 mg) was 8 dissolved in THF (5 mL) and the solution was added dropwise into 10 mL ultrapure MilliQ® 9 water under constant stirring at 500 rpm. The form of CHSdFdC nanoparticles occurred 10 spontaneously. THF was completely evaporated using a rotary evaporator at 37 °C to obtain an 11 aqueous suspension of pure CHSdFdC nanoparticles. The nanoparticles suspension has been 12 analyzed using RP-HPLC to verify the actual concentration of CHSdFdC in the final product. 13

The mean particle size, size distribution, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential were 14 determined using dynamic light scattering (DLS) (ZetasizerNano ZS, Malvern Instruments, UK). 15 The measurements were made after dilution of the nanoparticles suspension with ultrapure 16 MilliQ® water. 17

The morphology of the nanoparticles was observed by transmission electron microscopy 18 (TEM) (JM-2100, Japanese). A drop of aqueous nanoparticles suspension was deposited onto a 19 300 mesh copper grid coated with a thin carbon film. The grids were dried at room temperature 20 and observed by TEM. 21

#### 2.6 Colloidal stability of nanoparticles 22

The colloidal stability of nanoparticles was investigated by measuring variation of mean 23

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

particle size of the nanoparticles. The nanoparticles were diluted with PBS to maintain the final concentration of CHSdFdC was 2mg/mL and stored at 4  $^{\circ}$ C for 28 days. Meanwhile, the nanoparticles were diluted with cell culture medium (RPMI 1640 (Gibco BRI., Paris, France)) to maintain the final concentration of CHSdFdC was 2mg/mL and incubated at 37  $^{\circ}$ C for 10 days. The experiment was performed in triplet. The mean and corresponding standard deviations (mean ±SD) are shown in the results.

#### 7 **2.7 Cell line and culture**

8 Human pancreatic cancer cell line Bxpc-3 was purchased from the Institute of Biochemistry 9 & cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Science. Bxpc-3 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco 10 BRL. Paris, France) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS, HvClone, Logan UT) and 11 1 % penicillin and streptomycin. The cell line was incubated at 37  $^{\circ}$ C in humidified 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> 12 atmosphere. When a cell confluence of 90 % was reached, they were routinely trypsinized and 13 subcultured.

#### 14 **2.8 Drug release from the CHSdFdC nanoparticles**

Release of gemcitabine from the CHSdFdC nanoparticles in an aqueous buffer solution at 15 different pH values (pH 5.0, pH 7.4) was measured by RP-HPLC with an Agilent 1200 (Agilent 16 Technologies INc., Shanghai Branch) using a Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 column (5  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm  $\times$ 17 250 mm) at 30 °C. The CHSdFdC (1 mg/mL in DMSO), 60 μL, was dispersed in 2 mL of three 18 different media including PBS (pH 7.4), sodium acetate buffer solution (pH 5.0), sodium acetate 19 buffer solution (pH 5.0) contained 60 µL cathepsin B (U-activity unit=10 U/mL). The samples 20 were kept in a THZ-C isothermal shaker at 37 °C and 150 rpm. At the predetermined time point, 21 22 100 µL of the sample solution was withdrawn, and same amount of acetonitrile was added immediately. The accumulative drug release was measured by RP-HPLC using methanol as the 23

mobile phase. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1 mL/min. The Agilent 1200 Uv/vis detector 1 was set at 254 nm. The release percentage of dFdC was calculated from the ratio of peaks area 2 3 assigned to free dFdC and CHSdFdC. The experiment was performed in triplet. The mean and corresponding standard deviations (mean  $\pm$  SD) are shown in the results. The cathepsin B is a 4 kind of lysosomal enzyme which does not exist in human blood <sup>[27, 28]</sup>. It is reported that the pH 5 value of lysosome is about 5.0<sup>[29]</sup>. What we expect is that the prodrug is stable when it circulates 6 7 in human blood whereas it can be degraded with presence of cathepsin B to release controlled release of dFdC from CHSdFdC prodrug. In the paper, the PBS with pH 7.4 was used to simulate 8 the pH value of human blood, pH 5.0 buffer solution with cathepsin B was used to simulate the 9 condition of lysosome, pH 5.0 buffer solution without cathepsin B was used to clarify that 10 cathepsin B is an indispersable trigger in the controlled drug release. 11

### 12 **2.9** *In vitro* ability of inhibiting the growth of Bxpc-3 cells assay

The ability of inhibiting the growth of tumor cells of CHSdFdC nanoparticles was 13 investigated and compared with dFdC as positive control by MTT [3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 14 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide] assay against Bxpc-3 cell line. Briefly, 4000 cells per well were 15 incubated in 100 µL of complete culture medium (RPMI 1640 (Gibco BRL. Paris, France) 16 supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS, HvClone, Logan UT) and 1 % penicillin and 17 streptomycin ) in 96-well plates for 24 h. The cells were then exposed to a series of concentrations 18 of CHSdFdC nanoparticles, free dFdC or free CHS in 100 µL fresh complete culture medium for 19 72 h. The drug concentration in the case of CHSdFdC nanoparticles is equivalent to dFdC 20 concentration. At the end of the incubation period, 20 µL of MTT solution (5 mg/mL) in PBS was 21 22 added to each well. The culture medium was gently replaced by 100µL of dimethylsulfoxide in order to dissolve the formazan crystals after 4 h incubation. The optical density (OD) was 23

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscrip** 

1	measured at 570 nm with an automatic BIO-TEK microplate reader (Powerwave XS, USA), and
2	the cell viability was calculated through the following equation:
3	Cell viability (%) = (OD <sub>sample</sub> /OD <sub>control</sub> ) ×100 %. (1)
4	The OD $_{\text{sample}}$ represents an OD value from a well that treated with samples, and OD $_{\text{control}}$ comes
5	from a well that treated with cell culture medium only. Each experiment was performed in
6	sextuplet. The mean and corresponding standard deviations (mean $\pm$ SD) are shown in the results.
7	2.10 Cellular uptake
8	The cellular uptake of CHSdFdC nanoparticles was quantitatively measured by RP-HPLC.
9	The Bxpc-3 cells were seeded in 6-wells plate at a density of $2.5 \times 10^5$ cells/well in 2 mL of
10	culture medium and incubated for 24 h. The original cell culture medium was replaced with 2
11	mL fresh culture medium contained dFdC and CHSdFdC (dFdC: 0.1 mg/mL). The cells were
12	cultured for another 0.5 h, 1.5 h and 2.5 h, respectively. At the predetermined time point, the cell
13	culture medium was discarded, and 2 mL of 1 % SDS was added to make cell membrane lytic
14	after the wells were washed with cold PBS for three times carefully. The cell lysate was
15	dissolved in 200 $\mu$ L mixture of methanol and acetonitrile (v: v=1:1) after freeze drying. The
16	concentrations of dFdC and CHSdFdC were measured by RP-HPLC. Experiments were carried
17	in triplicates. Means and corresponding standard deviations (mean $\pm$ SD) were shown as results.
18	2.11 Statistical data analysis
19	Statistical data analysis was performed using Student's t-test.
20	
21	3 Results and discussion
22	3.1 Synthesis of cholesteryl hemisuccinate-gemcitabine (CHSdFdC)
23	Cholesteryl hemisuccinate was synthesized through esterification reaction between
	10

#### Page 11 of 22

#### **RSC** Advances

cholesterol and succinic anhydride. During the reaction, DMAP was used as catalysis. The 1 synthesis scheme of cholesteryl hemisuccinate is shown in Scheme.1. The structure of the product 2 3 was confirmed by NMR, and the detailed data of the chemical shifts are displayed in part 2.3. The structure was confirmed from the appearance of the peaks at 2.61 and 2.68 ppm which belong to 4 succinic anhydride, 0.68, 0.87, 0.92, 1.02, 4.64, 5.37 ppm which belong to cholesterol. The ratio 5 of succinic acid to cholesterol was equal to 1:1, which was confirmed by calculation of the 6 7 integral ratios of the protons at 5.37 ppm (multiplet) assigned to 7-H signal of cholesterol and 2.61 ppm (multiplet) assigned to the methylene protons signal of succinic acid. In order to further 8 confirm the structure of the product, Fourier transform infrared spectra were recorded. The FT-IR 9 spectra data showed a new absorption appearance located at 1712 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to carboxyl group 10 and 3442 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to hydroxyl group. 11

The CHSdFdC was synthesized through covalently coupling the amino group of gemcitabine 12 on pyridine ring and the carboxyl group of cholesteryl hemisuccinate. The synthesis scheme is 13 shown in Fig.2. The structure was confirmed by NMR, and the detailed data of the shifts are 14 displayed in part 2.3. The structure was confirmed from the appearance of the peaks at 11.08 ppm, 15 which belong to amide bond and the disappearance of the peaks at 7.41 ppm which belong to the 16 amino group of gemcitabine. The integral ratios of the protons at 11.08 ppm (single) assigned to 17 amide proton signal and 0.65 ppm (single) assigned to the methyl protons signal of cholesteryl 18 hemisuccinate were 1:3, indicating that the ratio of gemcitabine and cholesteryl hemisuccinate in 19 the CHSdFdC was equal to 1:1. In order to further confirm the structure of CHSdFdC, Fourier 20 transform infrared spectra were recorded. The FT-IR spectra data showed a new absorption 21 appearance located at 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the amide bond. 22

23 **3.2 CMC measurement of CHSdFdC** 

The CHSdFdC formed nanoparticles in aqueous media due to its amphiphilic structure. CMC 1 was measured by fluorospectrophotometer with pyrene as a probe. The plot of the intensity ratio 2  $I_{373}/I_{384}$  of the pyrene emission spectra against the logarithm of the CHSdFdC concentration is 3 shown in Fig.3. The CMC value can be determined at the CHSdFdC concentration of onset of the 4  $I_{373}/I_{384}$  ratio decrease. When the concentration of CHSdFdC reaches the CMC, there is a sudden 5 change of  $I_{373}/I_{384}$  in the fluorescence spectra due to the transfer of pyrene from a polar 6 environment to a non-polar environment caused by the formation of nanoparticles. The CMC of 7 CHSdFdC is 0.001 mg/mL, as shown in Fig.2. The CMC of CHSdFdC was very low, indicating it 8 is rather stable against dilution. 9



10

11

12

Fig.2 Intensity ratio  $I_{373}/I_{384}$  of the pyrene emission fluorescence spectra as a function of the logarithm concentration of the CHSdFdC (means ±SD, n=3)

13 **3.3 Characterization of the nanoparticles** 

Particle size is an important factor that affects their *in vivo* performance and pharmacokinetics for nanoparticles. Tumors, unlike most healthy tissues, possess a leaky vasculature that allows the passage of colloidal particles with size in the range of 50-200 nm <sup>[30-32]</sup>. In this research, the mean particle size and size distribution, zeta potential were measured by DLS in aqueous media at room temperature. The mean particle size was 200 nm, as shown in Fig.3A.

The main hydrodynamic diameter of the CHSdFdC nanoparticles was between 40 and 500 nm. This demonstrated that the nanoparticles were able to pass through the large pores of the tumor blood vessel to target the tumor tissue. The nanoparticles showed negative potential at around -0.06 mV in the measurement of zeta potential, suggesting potential capacity for prolonging the circulation time in blood because nanoparticles with positive surface charges are inclined to agglomerate due to interaction with serum protein in human blood <sup>[33]</sup>.

The morphology of the nanoparticles was observed by transmission electron microscopy
(TEM), as shown in Fig.3B and Fig.3C. The mean particle size was approximately 200 nm in a
dehydrated state. The size measured by TEM was very close to that measured by DLS in aqueous
media.





**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

#### 1 3.4 Colloidal stability of CHSdFdC nanoparticles

The colloidal stability of the nanoparticles was investigated by measuring the variation of 2 mean particle size. The nanoparticles were diluted with PBS and stored at 4 °C for 28 days. This 3 is the storage condition for CHSdFdC nanoparticles suspension. Meanwhile, the nanoparticles 4 were diluted with cell culture medium (RPMI 1640 (Gibco BRI., Paris, France)) and incubated at 5 37 °C for 10 days. This condition was used to simulate the human plasma, in order to assay the 6 stability of CHSdFdC nanoparticles when it circulates in human plasma. It demonstrated that the 7 CHSdFdC nanoparticles are stable at 4 °C in PBS as well as at 37 °C in cell culture medium 8 (RPMI 1640 (Gibco BRI., Paris, France)), with no significant variation of the mean particle size 9 was observed, as shown in Fig.4A and Fig.4B. 10





Fig.4 (A) Variation of the mean particle size of CHSdFdC nanoparticles at 4  $^{\circ}$ C in PBS (means ±SD, n=3) (B) Variation of the mean particle size of CHSdFdC nanoparticles at 37  $^{\circ}$ C in cell culture medium (means ±SD, n=3)

#### 17 **3.5 Drug release from the CHSdFdC nanoparticles**

The amide bond could be degraded by cathepsin B which exists in lysosome. Thus, there is possibility for dFdC to release from the CHSdFdC nanoparticles at the presence of cathepsin B.

As shown in Fig.5, the release of dFdC was very slow under weakly acid condition (pH 5.0 NaAc 1 buffer solution) or neutral pH condition (pH 7.4 PBS), the amounts were 0.64 % and 1.84 % 2 3 within 10 h, respectively. On the other hand, the release of dFdC was much faster under the weakly acid condition (pH 5.0 NaAc buffer solution) in the presence of cathepsin B, the 4 accumulative amount of drug release reached up to 80 % within 10 h. These results demonstrated 5 6 the CHSdFdC nanoparticles had great potential to realize intracellular release of dFdC.







9



 $(\text{means} \pm \text{SD}, n=3)$ 

#### 3.6 In vitro ability of inhibiting growth of Bxpc-3 cells assay 10

The in vitro ability of inhibiting growth of tumor cells of the CHSdFdC nanoparticles was 11 evaluated with a human pancreatic cancer cell line Bxpc-3 via MTT assay. Representative 12 concentration-growth inhibition curves showed the effects of treatment with free dFdC and 13 CHSdFdC nanoparticles on the growth of Bxpc-3 cells after 72 h. As shown in Fig.7, both free 14 dFdC and CHSdFdC nanoparticles inhibited cell growth in a dose-dependent manner, whereas the 15 latter was more toxic than the former at the same concentration. It was thought that the increased 16 cytotoxicity of the CHSdFdC resulted from two reasons .On one hand, CHSdFdC nanoparticles 17 possess enhanced ability of cellular uptake, which make it easier to enter tumor cells. This was 18 confirmed by the cellular uptake experiment. On the other hand, the released CHS, due to the 19

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

degradation of CHSdFdC nonaparticles prodrug by cathepsin B, also demonstrated ability of
inhibiting the growth of Bxpc-3cells, especially at high concentration as shown in Fig.6. It has
been reported that CHS could incorporate into cell membrane to inhibit cell proliferation <sup>[34]</sup>. Only
when the concentration of CHS reaches a certain high level, the cytotoxicity could be observed.
And the result in this paper is consistent with the reported result <sup>[34]</sup>.

6



#### 7

8

#### Fig.6 Cell viability of free dFdC, CHSdFdC nanoparticle and CHS (means ±SD, n=6, \*

9

P<0.01, \*\* P<0.05)

## 10 **3.7 Cellular uptake**

In order to confirm the hypothesis that the ability of cellular uptake of CHSdFdC 11 nanoparticles is enhanced than dFdC, the amount of cellular uptake of CHSdFdC nanoparticles 12 was measured by RP-HPLC, in the measurement, dFdC was used as control. As shown in Fig.7, 13 the cellular uptake amount of CHSdFdC nanoparticles varied apparently while that of dFdC 14 varied little, which is 15 folds of dFdC at the same culture period of 2.5 h. The conclusion was 15 drawn that CHSdFdC nanoparticles could penetrate the cells much more easily than free dFdC, 16 which improved the efficacy of inhibiting growth of tumor cells. The result in this paper showed 17 that the CHSdFdC nanoparticles could be internalized much more easily than free dFdC, this 18 result is related to the different uptake mechanism of dFdC and CHSdFdC nanoparticles.But the 19

1 cytotoxic activity of the drug and the prodrug are similar, this result is due to the incomplete

- 2 cleavage of CHSdFdC to release dFdCwhich actually demonstrate the effect of inhibiting cells
- 3 growth.



# 4 5

Fig.7 Cellular uptake of dFdC and CHSdFdC (means ±SD, n=3)

#### 6 Conclusions

The amphiphilic prodrug, CHSdFdC, which can self-assemble in aqueous media 7 8 spontaneously to form nanoparticles, was synthesized. The mean particle size of the nanoparticles is about 200 nm and the zeta potential is -0.06 mV. The nanoparticles are relatively stable at 9 storage condition and stimulate human plasma. Compared with free gemcitabine, the 10 nanoparticles exhibited increased ability of cellular uptake and inhibiting the growth of Bxpc-3 11 cells in vitro. Moreover, the CHSdFdC nanoparticles prodrug displayed intracellular controlled 12 drug release of gemcitabine from the nanoparticles. The nanoparticles provide a new approach to 13 14 deliver gemcitabine to cancer cells. Generally speaking, the CHSdFdC has a great potential as prodrug for pancreatic cancer and other tumor therapy. 15

#### 16 Acknowledgements

The research work was supported by the International Science & Technology Cooperation Program of China, Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2013DFG32340), Shanghai Municipality Commission for Special Project of Nanometer Science and Technology

- 1 (11nm0506000), and the EU-FP 7 project (MARINA 263215).
- 2

#### 3 Notes and references

- 4 § Institute of Drug Discovery and Development, Shanghai Engineering Research Center of
- 5 Molecular Therapeutics and New Drug Development, East China Normal University, Shanghai
- 6 200062, PR China
- 7 + College of Chemical Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, PR
- 8 China
- 9 Corresponding authors. E-mail: jhyu@sist.ecnu.edu.cn (Prof. Jiahui Yu); Tel. /fax: +86 21 6223
- 10 7026

#### 1 **References**

- [1]Lund, B., Hansen, O. P., Theilade, K., Hansen, M., Neijt, J. P., *J. natl. Cancer Inst.*, 1994, 86,
  1530-1533.
- 4 [2] Anderson, H., Lund, B., bach, F., Thatcher, N., Walling, J., Hansen, H. H., J. Clin. Oncol.,

5 1994, **12**, 1821-1826.

- 6 [3] Catimel, G., Vermorken, J. B., Clavel, M., et al., Ann. Oncol., 1994, 5, 543-547.
- 7 [4] J.R. Mackey, R. S. Mani, M. Selner, D. Mowles, J. D. Young, J. S. Bett, C.R. Crawford, C. E.
- 8 Cass, *Cancer Res.* 1998, **58**, 4349-4357.
- 9 [5] D.Peer, J. M. Karp, S. Hong, O. C. Farokhzad, R. Margalit, R. Langer, Nat Nanotechnol.,
- 10 2007, **2**, 751-760.
- 11 [6] F. M. Kievit, M. Zhang, Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 217-247.
- 12 [7]M. Ding, J. Li, X. He, N. Song, H. Tan, Y. Zhang, L. Zhou, Q. Gu, H. Deng, Q. Fu, Adv. Mater.,
- 13 2012, **24**, 3639-3645.
- 14 [8] M. T. Basel, T. B. Shrestha, D. L. Troyer, S. H. Bossmann, ACS Nano., 2011, 5, 2162-2175.
- 15 [9] T.M.Allen, P.R.Cullis, *Science.*, 2004, **303**, 1818-1822.
- 16 [10] M. Ferrari, *Nat. Rev. Cancer.*, 2005, **5**, 161-171.
- 17 [11] M. E. Davis, Z. Chen, D. M. Shin, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2008, 7, 771-782.
- 18 [12] E. K. Chow, X. Q. Zhang, M. Chen, R. Lam, E. Robinson, H. Huang, D. Schaffer, E. Osawa,
- 19 A. Goga, D. Ho, *Sci. Transl. Med.*, 2011, **3**, 73-93.
- [13] J. A. Barreto, W. O. Malley, M. Kubeil, B. Graham, H. Stephan, L. Spiccia, *Adv. Mater.*,
  2011, 23, 18-40.
- [14] A. Schroeder, D. A. Hever, M. M. Winslow, J.E. Dahlman, G. W. Pratt, R. Langer, T. Jacks, D.
- 23 G., Anderson, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2012, **12**, 39-50.

- 1 [15] R.K. Jain, T. Stylianopoulos, *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.*, 2010, 7, 653-664.
- 2 [16] W. J. Gradishar, S. Tjulandin, N. Davidson, H. Shaw, N. Desai, P. Bhar, M. Hawkins, J. O'
- 3 Shaughnessy, J. Clin. Oncol., 2005, 23, 7794-7803
- 4 [17] T. Neff, C. A. Blau, *Exp. Hematol.*, 1996, **24**, 1340-1346
- 5 [18] Reddy L H, Dubernet C, Mouelhi S L, Marque P E, Desmaele D, Couvreur P, *J. controlled*6 *Release*, 2007, **124**, 20-27.
- 7 [19] Rejiba S, Reddy L H, Bigand C, Parmentier C, Couvreur P, hajri A, *Nanomedicine*, 2011, 7,
  8 841-849.
- 9 [20] Allain V, Bourgaux C, Couvreur P, *Nucleic Acid Res.*, 2012, **40**, 1891-1903.
- 10 [21] Woon-Gye Chung, Michael A. Sandoval, Brian R. Sloat, DharmikaS.P.Lansakara P,
- 11 Zhengrong Cui, J. Controlled. Release, 2012, **157**, 132-140.
- 12 [22] Ira Tabas, J. Clin. Invest., 2002, **110**, 905-911.
- 13 [23] X.Tan et al., *Anal. Biochem.*, 2005, **337**, 111-120.
- 14 [24] Z. Chen, R.P. Rand, *Elasticity Biophysical Journal*, 1997, **73**, 267-276.
- 15 [25] Margaret C, Kielian, Ari Helenius, J. Virol., 1984, **52**, 281-283
- 16 [26] R. Duncan, L. W. Seymour, K. B. O'Hare, et al., J. Controlled Release, 1992, 19, 331-346.
- 17 [27] J.S. Mort, D.J. Buttle, Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol., 1997, 29,715-720
- 18 [28] O. Vasiljeva, B. Turk, *Biochimie*, 2008, **90**, 380-386
- 19 [29] Shoji Ohkuma, Brian Poole, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1978, **75**, 3327-3331
- 20 [30] F. Yuan, M. Leunig, S. K. Huang, D. A. Berk, *Cancer Rev.*, 1994, 54, 3352-3356.
- 21 [31] R. K. Jain, Adv.Drug Deliv. Rev., 1997, 26, 71-90.
- 22 [32] N. Z. Wu, D. Da, T. L. Rudoll, D. Needham, et al., *Cancer Res.*, 1993, **53**, 3765-3770.
- 23 [33] X. Zhang, F. Du, J. Huang, *Colloids Surf. B* 2012, **100**, 155-162

- 1 [34] Z. Djuric, L.K. Heilbrun, S. Lababidi, C.K. Everett-Bauer, M.W. Fariss, Cancer Lett. 1997,
- 2 **111**, 133-139



Cholesteryl hemisuccinate-gemcitabine prodrug: enhanced cellular uptake and intracellular drug controlled release