RSC Advances

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

www.rsc.org/advances

Zn-based ionic liquids as highly efficient catalysts for chemical fixation of carbon dioxide to epoxides

Mengshuai Liu,[b] Fangxiao Wang,[b] Lei Shi,[b] Lin Liang,[c] and Jianmin Sun[*ab]

[a] State Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150080, China

[b] The Academy of Fundamental and Interdisciplinary Science, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150080, China

[c] School of Life Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150080, China

Abstract: The novel Zn-based task-specific ionic liquids (Zn-TSILs) catalysts were developed for the coupling of carbon dioxide and epoxides to form cyclic carbonates under mild reaction conditions without using additional organic solvents and cocatalyst. Due to synergistic effects of the cation and anion in this catalytic system, excellent yields and selectivities to cyclic carbonates were achieved with high TOF values up to 794 h^{-1}. Among the catalysts investigated, OH-containing Zn-TSILs showed better activity than COOH-containing Zn-TSILs, and $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$ was found to be the best. Additionally, the influences of CO_2 pressure and catalyst concentration were also investigated over $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$. Meanwhile, the rate constants as well as the activation energies for the cycloaddition reaction catalyzed by Zn-TSIL and TSIL were comparatively determined. The activation energy was calculated to be 34.1 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ for bare TSIL catalyst, while the Zn-TSIL reduced the activation energy value by 14.7 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$. Moreover, the Zn-TSIL was easily recyclable without significant loss of activity, representing the exceptionally promising candidate for the effective fixation of CO_2 to epoxides.

Keywords: Carbon dioxide; Zn-based ionic liquids; Cyclic carbonate; Kinetics; Mechanism

[*] Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 451 86403715.

 E-mail address: sunjm@hit.edu.cn (J.M. Sun).

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide is a special spotlight as a renewable C1 feedstock, because it is ubiquitous and readily available, either from decomposition of organic matter or artificial production by human activities. Conversion of CO_2 into industrially useful chemicals has dual significances in view of both environmental protection and sustainable chemistry.[1] One of the most promising strategies in this area is the synthesis of cyclic carbonates via the cycloaddition of epoxides and CO_2 (Scheme 1), especially the synthesis of propylene carbonate (PC) and ethylene carbonate (EC). As the formation of cyclic carbonates was green for 100 % atom efficiency and cyclic carbonates have found applications not only as polar aprotic solvents, electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries, and as intermediates in the manufacture of fine chemicals,[2–4] but also used as constituents of oils and paints and as raw materials in the synthesis of polycarbonates[5,6] and polyurethanes[7,8] as shown in Scheme 2.

Scheme 1 Cycloaddition reaction of CO_2 with epoxides.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of polyurethanes *via* cyclic carbonates.[7]

However, carbon dioxide is the most oxidized state of carbon, it has inherent thermodynamic stability and kinetic inertness.[9] Therefore, catalysts are needed to reduce the activation energy of any reaction in which CO_2 is involved. Accordingly, a wide range of catalysts have been explored for the synthesis of cyclic carbonates, including metal oxides,[10,11] alkali metal salts,[12,13] quaternary ammonium salts,[14] phosphonium salts,[15] Schiff base[16–18] and transition metal complex.[19] However, in

many cases, additive and/or cocatalyst as well as organic solvent are needed. In addition, unsatisfactory activity, harsh reaction conditions and inexhaustive separation of products from the catalysts are still drawbacks that need to be overcome. Hence, the design of novel, solvent/cocatalyst-free catalyst with enhanced activity still remains to be developed toward effective conversion of CO_2.[20]

More recently, ionic liquids (ILs) have attracted rising interest and CO_2 cycloaddition to epoxides has been effectively carried out in ILs, which possess unique characteristics such as thermal and chemical stability, negligible vapor pressure, selective solubility towards organic and inorganic materials, and high reusability of the catalysts.[21] In 2001, Peng and Deng[22] firstly reported the quantitative conversion of propylene oxide (PO) to PC catalyzed by 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium ($BmimBF_4$) ionic liquid. Thereafter, series of imidazolium salts,[23–25] quaternary ammonium salts,[26] quaternary phosphonium salts,[27] pyridinium salts,[28] gunidinium salts,[29] polymeric[30,31] and other immobilized ILs[32,33] were developed as catalysts for the cycloaddition of CO_2 into epoxides. Among these, Kim's group found imidazolium zinc tetrahalides showed surprisingly high activities for the coupling reaction of CO_2 and ethylene oxide or propylene oxide to produce corresponding cyclic carbonates and the highest TOF were up to 3545 h^{-1} for 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide-$ZnBr_2$ at 100 °C and 3.5 MPa.[34] Sun[35] and Zhou et al.[36] have reported hydroxyl- (HFILs) /carboxyl-functionalized ionic liquids (CFILs) could coordinate with the oxygen atom of epoxides and thus led to activation of epoxide. Furthermore, theoretical study also indicated the formation of hydrogen bonds between catalyst and epoxide could accelerate the ring-opening reaction of the epoxide, enhancing the activity significantly.[37] However, the catalytic activity was unsatisfactory and needed to be further improved. Besides, previous literatures also reported zinc halides could coordinate with the oxygen atom to activate epoxide by forming the zinc-epoxide adduct.[15,38,39] Herein, we designed novel Zn-based task-specific ionic liquids composed of $ZnBr_2$ and hydroxyl/or carboxyl group-functionalized ILs, which are expected to be excellent catalyst for the CO_2 cycloaddition reaction. The Zn-based task-specific ionic liquids (Zn-TSILs) were

facigly obtained from the reaction of $ZnBr_2$ with HFILs/or CFILs. The catalytic activities were examined for CO_2 coupling with PO as well as other epoxides, especially the less active epoxides such as styrene oxide and cyclohexene oxide. And systematic investigations on the effects of CO_2 pressure and catalyst concentration on the target reaction were conducted. Additionally, the contrast calculations for the activation energies were provided over HFIL and Zn-HFIL catalysts. And a plausible reaction mechanism was proposed based on the experimental results.

2. Experimental

2.1. General information

Carbon dioxide with purity of 99.99% was purchased from Harbin Qinghua Industrial gases Co., Ltd.. PO, sodium hydride (60%), imidazole (99%), N-methylimidazole(99%), n-butyl bromide (≥99%), 2-bromoethanol (96%), bromoacetic acid (98%), tetrahydrofuran (extra dry, >99%) were purchased from Aladdin Chemical Co.. $ZnBr_2$ (CP) was purchased from Adamas Reagent Co., Ltd.. All other chemicals were purchased from Beijing InnoChem Science & Technology Co., Ltd. with analytic grades and used without further purification.

FT-IR spectra were measured on a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR Spectrometer. ^{1}HNMR spectra were detected on Bruker 400 spectrometer in D_2O (4.79 ppm) at ambient temperature. GC analyses were performed on Agilent GC-7890A equipped with capillary column (Agilent 19091J-413) using a flame ionization detector.

2.2. Synthesis of Zn-based task-specific ionic liquids

Four ILs composed of $ZnBr_3^-$ anion and different cations were prepared according to Scheme 3. The detailed synthesis procedures were described in Supporting Information and characterization results were as follows.

[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Mim]ZnBr$_3$: Yield: 97%; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, D_2O): δ = 8.78 (s, 1H), 7.54 (m, 1H), 7.48 (m, 1H), 4.51–4.21 (m, 2H), 3.99–3.94 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H). FT-IR: 3497, 3152, 2955, 1619, 1567, 1384, 1165, 1061, 826, 748, 643 cm^{-1}.

[(CH$_2$COOH)Mim]ZnBr$_3$: Yield: 93%; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, D_2O): δ = 8.66 (s, 1H),

7.43–7.22 (m, 2H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H). FT-IR: 3400, 3134, 2617, 1747, 1593, 1171, 1082, 974, 834, 749, 623 cm^{-1}.

[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$: Yield: 95%; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, D$_2$O): δ = 8.78 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.11 (m, 2H), 4.35–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.18 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.95–3.84 (m, 2H), 1.92–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.19 (m, 2H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). FT-IR: 3449, 3142, 2959, 2871, 1937, 1614, 1562, 1458, 1161, 1057, 947, 832, 749 cm^{-1}.

[(CH$_2$COOH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$: Yield: 90%; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, D$_2$O): δ = 8.75(s, 1H), 7.54–7.33 (m, 2H), 4.71 (s, 2H), 4.16 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.93–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.13 (m, 2H), 0.84 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). FT-IR: 3432, 2959, 2285, 1746, 1616, 1572, 1168, 1081, 838, 751, 621 cm^{-1}.

[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Mim]ZnBr$_3$: R = CH$_3$, X = CH$_2$OH
[(CH$_2$COOH)Mim]ZnBr$_3$: R = CH$_3$, X = COOH
[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$: R = C$_4$H$_9$, X = CH$_2$OH
[(CH$_2$COOH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$: R = C$_4$H$_9$, X = COOH

Scheme 3 Synthesis process of Zn-based task-specific ionic liquids.

2.3. General procedure for PC synthesis from PO and CO$_2$

The cycloaddition reaction of PO and CO$_2$ was conducted in 50 mL high pressure stainless-steel reactor that equipped with a magnetic stirring bar. In a typical run, the reactor was purged with CO$_2$ to evacuate air, and then Zn-TSIL catalyst (0.035 mmol, 0.12 mol% of PO) and PO (34.5 mmol) were added successively, then the reactor was heated using an oil bath. At fixed reaction temperature such as 120 °C, CO$_2$ was introduced into the reactor and the pressure was adjusted to 2.0 MPa. The autoclave was heated at that temperature for a designated period of time. After the reaction was completed, the reactor was cooled to 0 °C in ice-water bath, and the remaining CO$_2$ was released. The product was extracted by ethyl acetate and analyzed on GC. And

Zn-TSILs could be separated by centrifugalization, washed with ethyl acetate (3 × 5 mL), dried under vacuum then reused directly for next run.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Catalyst screening for the cycloaddition of CO_2 to PO

The activities of different catalysts were tested on the reaction of PO and CO_2, and the corresponding results were summarized in Table 1. Almost no reaction occurred in the absence of any catalyst or only $ZnBr_2$ catalyst (entries 1–2). Although the hydroxyl-(HFILs) and carboxyl-functionalized ionic liquids (CFILs) showed higher catalytic activity (entries 7–10) compared with non-functionalized ionic liquids such as [Emim]Br (1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bromine) and [Bmim]Br (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromine) (entries 3–4), but the activities were still unsatisfactory. By the way, almost no reactivity was observed in the presence of $BrCH_2CH_2OH$ or $BrCH_2COOH$ (entries 5–6). However, the Zn-based task-specific ionic liquids realized the obvious enhancements in catalytic activity in comparison with the former non Zn-based ILs, indicating the necessary cooperative effects between Zn and IL, which stabilized intermediates through hydrogen bond and Zn-O bond for accelerating the reaction (entries 11–14). Notably, the $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$ catalyst showed the best activity and PO conversion approached 93% with 92% PC yield under cocatalyst-solvent free conditions of 120 °C and 2.0 MPa CO_2 for 1.0 h (entry 13), and the high turnover frequency (TOF) value was up to 794 h^{-1}. The catalytic activity decreased in the order of $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3 > [(CH_2CH_2OH)Mim]ZnBr_3 > [(CH_2COOH)Bim]ZnBr_3 > [(CH_2COOH)Mim]ZnBr_3$. As hydrogen-bond donors, the –OH and –COOH played the same roles of interacting with epoxides to polarize the C-O ring bond and facilitate the nucleophilic attack by the halide anion for subsequent ring opening. While the OH-containing Zn-TSILs showed better activities than COOH-containing Zn-TSILs (entries 11, 13 *vs*. entries 12, 14), which were accordant with the effects of HFILs and CFILs (entries 7, 9 *vs*. entries 8, 10). This different activities presumably were attributed to the carbonyl oxygen in COOH-containing Zn-TSILs forming

intramolecular hydrogen bond, thus competing with the hydrogen bonds formed between Zn-TSILs and PO, thereby lowered the product yield.[24] Besides that, it was detected that slight increase of PC yield was resulted by increasing the alkyl chain length of the imidazolium cation with the same functional groups, due to higher solubility of CO_2 and PO in the IL phase.[23] Compared with the imidazolium zinc tetrahalides catalyst reported by Kim et al.,[34] the same reaction temperature of 100 ^{o}C was conducted and the PC yield could reach 86% with TOF of 742 h^{-1} under lower reaction pressure of 2.0 MPa (entry 15) for 1.0 h, proving that $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$ possessed excellent catalytic performance.

For a potential application in a green and economic process, the recyclability of catalyst plays an important role. Fig. S1 in Supporting Information displayed the recyclability of $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$ at 120 °C and 2.0 MPa CO_2 pressure. No significant decrease in PC yields was observed after four recycles (entry 16), and in the 5th reuse, the catalyst still exhibited high PO conversion and PC yield with reaction time of 1.5 h (entry 17). Further reuse of the spent catalyst could still achieve a high PO conversion and PC yield but at the expense of a little longer reaction time because of the washing loss of IL catalyst, demonstrating a very feasible and reusable catalyst for the CO_2 cycloaddition reaction.

Table 1 Effect of various catalysts on cycloaddition reaction of PO and CO_2^{a}

Entry	Catalyst	Conversion (%)^b	Yield (%)^b	TOF (h^{-1})^c
1	None	trace	trace	–
2	$ZnBr_2$	trace	trace	–
3	[Emim]Br	33	32	276
4	[Bmim]Br	35	35	302
5	$BrCH_2CH_2OH$	trace	trace	–
6	$BrCH_2COOH$	trace	trace	–

7	[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Mim]Br	54	53	457
8	[(CH$_2$COOH)Mim]Br	49	48	414
9	[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]Br	55	54	466
10	[(CH$_2$COOH)Bim]Br	53	51	440
11	[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Mim]ZnBr$_3$	90	89	768
12	[(CH$_2$COOH)Mim]ZnBr$_3$	83	81	699
13	[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$	93	92	794
14	[(CH$_2$COOH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$	85	83	716
15[d]	[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$	86	86	742
16[e]	[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$	91	90	776
17[f]	[(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$	92	91	523

[a] Reaction conditions: PO 34.5 mmol, Cat. 0.04 mmol, T = 120 °C, P (CO$_2$) = 2.0 MPa, t = 1.0 h. [b] Based on GC analysis. [c] Moles of PC produced per mole of catalyst per hour. [d] T = 100 °C. [e] The fourth run. [f] The fifth run, t = 1.5 h.

3.2 Effects of reaction parameters

The influence of CO$_2$ pressure on the PC yield was investigated at 120 °C for 1.0 h with [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$ catalyst. As shown in Fig. 1, the reaction pressure had a significant effect on PC yield. With the rise of CO$_2$ pressure in the low-pressure region of 0.5-1.5 MPa, the PC yield increased rapidly, CO$_2$ pressure showed a moderate increase for PC yield in the range of 1.5-2.5 MPa, and PC yield was almost constant in the range of 2.5-3.0 MPa, but the PC yield decreased initially when the CO$_2$ pressure was above 3.0 MPa. To our delight, there were no obvious changes in PC selectivity. A similar influence of CO$_2$ pressure on catalytic activity was observed in other catalytic systems in the literatures.[15,40,41] The reason could be ascribed to the phase behavior of the CO$_2$-PO system. There appeared two phases involving CO$_2$-rich gas phase and PO-rich liquid phase in the system under experimental conditions, and

the reaction mainly took place in the liquid phase which dispersed the catalyst. The concentration of CO_2 in the liquid phase rose remarkably with increasing pressure when the reaction was carried out in the low-pressure region, thus promoting the reaction significantly. But a too high CO_2 pressure would decrease PC yield because of the lower concentration of PO in the liquid phase since more PO was extracted into the vapor phase. So 2.5 MPa was chosen as the most suitable pressure for PC synthesis.


Fig. 1 The effect of CO_2 pressure on the synthesis of PC. Conditions: PO 34.5 mmol, [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$ 0.04 mmol, T = 120 °C, t = 1.0 h.

Additionally, the effects of the catalyst concentration on the reaction were investigated with molar percentage of [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$ to PO at 0.05-0.30 mol% (Fig. 2). It was worth mentioning that only 0.05 mol% amount of catalyst showed good catalytic performance at 85% PC yield. PC yield increased smoothly from 85% to around 98% with catalyst concentration increasing from 0.05 to 0.25 mol%, and no obvious changes in PC selectivities. Further increase in the catalyst concentration caused a slight decrease in PC yield, presumably due to side reactions such as isomerization of PO as shown in Scheme 4. As a consequence, 0.25 mol% was chosen as the most suitable catalyst concentration.

Fig. 2 Dependence of catalyst concentration on the synthesis of PC. Conditions: PO 34.5 mmol, T = 120 °C, P (CO$_2$) = 2.5 MPa, t = 1.0 h.

Scheme 4 Possible side reactions of PO.

3.3 The activation energy and kinetic equation for PC synthesis

In order to illustrate the unique feature of ZnBr$_2$, the synthesis of PC using respective [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]Br and [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$ catalyst were undertaken as a function of temperature and the contrast calculations of the activation energies were provided. The kinetic parameters were studied over a temperature range from 90 to 130 °C and the rate laws for this process were fitted to Eq. (1).[20,42,43]

$$Rate = k[epoxide]^a[CO_2]^b[Cat]^c \tag{1}$$

in which, k represents the rate constant, [epoxide], [CO$_2$], [Cat] is propylene oxide, carbon dioxide and catalyst concentration, respectively; a, b, c is the reaction order of corresponding substrates.

As the reaction carried out in a constant volume reactor, CO$_2$ was present in large

excess, and the concentrations of CO_2 and catalyst would be effectively constant during the reaction, then Eq. (1) could be simplified to Eq. (2):

$$Rate = k_{obs}[epoxide]^a, \text{ where } k_{obs} = k[CO_2]^b[Cat]^c \tag{2}$$

In the event, to assume the reaction was followed first-order kinetics, and the observed pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_{obs}) could be calculated by Eq. (3):

$$Rate = \frac{-d[epoxide]}{dt} = k_{obs}[epoxide] \tag{3}$$

Integrating Eq. (3) as a function of time yielded Eq. (4)

$$-\ln[epoxide] = k_{obs}t \tag{4}$$

Where t is time and k_{obs} is the observed pseudo-first-order rate constant for PO conversion.

After CO_2 was introduced in the reaction system containing $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]Br$ or $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$ catalyst at different temperatures, the PO concentrations decreased with reaction time as shown in Fig. S2 and Fig. S3. The k_{obs} could be determined from the slope of the natural logarithm of remaining PO concentration versus time at different temperatures based on Eq. (4). The plots were linear as shown in Fig. S4. The kinetic equations, correlation coefficient R and observed pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_{obs}) were listed in Table S1.

According to the Arrhenius equation, the activation energies with respect to the CO_2 cycloaddition to PO catalyzed by $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]Br$ and $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$ could be calculated as shown in Fig. 3 and the derived data were summarized in Table 2.

Fig. 3 Arrhenius plots for the CO_2 cycloaddition to PO catalyzed by [(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]Br (■) and [(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]$ZnBr_3$ (●). Conditions: PO 34.5 mmol, Cat. 0.25 mol%, P (CO_2) = 2.5 MPa, and the average and standard deviation of lnk_{obs}, obtained from the three experiments at each temperature.

Table 2 The kinetic parameters of CO_2 cycloaddition to PO over different catalyst[a]

| Catalyst	Kinetic parameters		
	Ea (kJ·mol^{-1})	A (min^{-1})	R^2
[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]Br	34.1	867.8	0.9733
[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]$ZnBr_3$	19.4	20.4	0.9495

[a] The values of kinetic parameters obtained from the linear Arrhenius plot of lnk_{obs} against 1/T.

The activation energies were 34.1 kJ·mol^{-1} and 19.4 kJ·mol^{-1} for [(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]Br and [(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]$ZnBr_3$, respectively, indicating that $ZnBr_2$ played the main role in reducing the activation energy. This was specifically attributable to the synergistic effects from zinc halides as the Lewis acid to form the zinc-epoxide adduct cooperated with hydroxy groups and bromide anion to facilitate the ring-opening reaction of PO, which was the rate-limiting step of CO_2 cycloaddition to PO.

3.4 Catalytic activity towards CO_2 cycloaddition to other epoxides

In order to show the potential and general applicability of the novel Zn-TSILs catalysts, the coupling reaction of CO_2 with various epoxides were extended over [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$ in Table 3. [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$ was an efficient catalyst for the conversions of a variety of substrates to the corresponding cyclic carbonates with high yields and selectivities. It was worth mentioning that although the catalytic reaction was impeded with the increase of the steric hindrance from side chain of substrate, the activities of styrene oxide and cyclohexene oxide were still excellent in longer reaction time at 120 °C and 2.5 MPa CO_2 pressure (entries 4 and 6). Especially for cyclohexene oxide, much longer reaction time was needed to complete the conversion due to the higher hindrance originated from the two rings, which obstructed the nucleophilic attack of Br$^-$, causing the decrease of ring-opening rate.[27,44] Hence, the extension to various epoxides reflected the outstanding efficiency of the Zn-TSILs catalysts.

Table 3 Coupling reaction of CO_2 with various epoxides catalyzed by [(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)Bim]ZnBr$_3$[a]

Entry	Epoxide	Product	T (°C)	t (h)	Reaction results[b]	
					Y_{PC} (%)	S_{PC} (%)
1			90	4.0	96	≥99
2			120	2.0	99	≥99
3			120	2.0	97	≥99

4			120	4.0	94	≥98
5			120	12.0	68	≥99
6			120	16.0	85	≥98

[a] Reaction conditions: Epoxide 34.5 mmol, Cat. 0.25 mol%, P (CO_2) = 2.5 MPa. [b] Y_{PC}: PC yield; S_{PC}: PC selectivity; all based on GC analysis.

3.5 Plausible reaction mechanism

In order to gain a deeper insight into the reaction mechanism, FT-IR spectra were employed to identify the possible intermediate under CO_2 pressure during the reaction proceeding. As shown in Fig. 4, after the reaction of CO_2 with [(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]$ZnBr_3$ catalyst, there appeared a new band at 1789 cm^{-1} (Fig. 4A), which corresponded to the new asymmetric (C=O) vibration of carbamate salt, presumably implying the activation of CO_2 by the alkaline nitrogen from [(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]$ZnBr_3$.[45,46] And similarly, tracking the change and reaction degree during the process, absorption peak at 1790 cm^{-1} ascribed to the carbonyl group of the PC product increased gradually along with the reaction time (Fig. 4B), proving the efficient proceeding of the cycloaddition reaction of PO and CO_2.

-14-

Fig. 4 (A) FT-IR spectra monitor the interaction between catalyst and CO_2, reaction conditions: n (cat.) = 0.08 mmol, P (CO_2) = 2.5 MPa, T = 120 °C, t = 30 min; (B) FT-IR monitor the PC synthesis at various reaction time, reaction conditions: PO 34.5 mmol, Cat. 0.25 mol%, P (CO_2) = 2.5 MPa, T = 120 °C.

Based on the above results and previous literature,[47] we proposed the plausible mechanism for the CO_2 cycloaddition to epoxides in the presence of Zn mediated hydroxyl-functionalized ionic liquids (Scheme 5). It has been proved that epoxide could be activated by zinc halides through the formation of zinc-epoxide adduct.[15,38,39] Meanwhile, the hydroxy groups in $(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim^+$ coordinated with the oxygen of PO through hydrogen bond, also resulting in the activation of PO molecule (Step 1). And simultaneously, the bromide anion as Lewis base nucleophilicly attacked on the less sterically hindered β-carbon atom of epoxide, facilitating the ring-opening of PO

(Step 2). The cooperative interactions between cation and anion stabilized intermediate oxyanion (I) before the insertion of CO_2. In parallel, the tertiary nitrogen from IL cation reacted reversibly with CO_2 to form carbamate salt (II) as the activated species of CO_2.[45,46,48] Thereafter, the intermediate (I) made nucleophilic attack on the carbamate salt (II) producing the new alkyl carbonate compound (III) (Step 3), which eventually afforded the cyclic carbonate PC and regenerated the catalyst by the subsequent intramolecular ring-closure (Step 4). Hence, the synergetic effects from hydrogen bond donor (–OH), ionic liquid cation, and $ZnBr_3^-$anion in this catalytic system promoted the reaction smoothly.

Scheme 5 Plausible mechanism for the cycloaddition of CO_2 and PO catalyzed by [(CH₂CH₂OH)Bim]ZnBr₃.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the novel Zn-based task-specific ionic liquids (Zn-TSILs) were developed and exhibited highly catalytic activity toward the cycloaddition of CO_2 with various epoxides without using additional cocatalyst and solvent. Due to the

synergetic effects of hydrogen bond donors (–OH), ionic liquid cation, and $ZnBr_3^-$ anion in this catalytic system, excellent yields of carbonates and high TOF value up to 794 h^{-1} were achieved in the presence of Zn-TSILs and the catalyst could be reused at least four times without significant loss of reactivity. Further, comparative studies showed that $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]ZnBr_3$ catalyst reduced the activation energy by 14.7 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ compared with $[(CH_2CH_2OH)Bim]Br$ catalyst, displaying the unique feature of $ZnBr_2$. The facile synthesis and good catalytic property of Zn-TSILs make them good candidates for further developments and applications in sustainable processes concerned with CO_2 fixation.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] M. E. Wilhelm, M. H. Anthofer, M. Cokoja, I. E. Markovits, W. A. Herrmann and F. E. Kühn, *ChemSusChem*., 2014, **7**, 1357–1360.

[2] T. Sakakura, J. C. Choi and H. Yasuda, *Chem. Rev*., 2007,**107**, 2365−2387.

[3] M. Aresta and A. Dibenedetto, *Dalton Trans*., 2007, **28**, 2975–2992.

[4] S. Fukuoka, M. Kawamura, K. Komiya, M. Tojo, H. Hachiya, K. Hasegawa, M. Aminaka, H. Okamoto, I. Fukawa and S. Konno, *Green Chem*., 2003, **5**, 497–507.

[5] T. Sakakura and K. Kohno, *Chem. Commun*., 2009, **11**, 1312–1330.

[6] M. Mikkelsen, M. Jørgensen and F.C. Krebs, *Energy Environ. Sci*., 2010, **3**, 43–81.

[7] M. North, R. Pasquale and C. Young, *Green Chem*., 2010, **12**, 1514–1539.

[8] R. Zevenhoven, S. Eloneva and S. Teir, *Catal. Today*, 2006, **115**, 73–79.

[9] Z. Z. Yang, Y. N. Zhao, L. N. He, J. Gao and Z. S. Yin, *Green Chem*., 2012, **14**, 519–527.

[10] B. M. Bhanage, S. Fujita, Y. Ikushima and M. Arai, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, 2001, **219**, 259–266.

[11] M. Aresta, A. Dibenedetto, L. Gianfrate and C. Pastore, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2003, **204–205**, 245–252.

[12] P. Ramidi, P. Munshi, Y. Gartia, S. Pulla, A. S. Biris, A. Paul and A. Ghosh, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, **512**, 273–277.

[13] J. L. Song, B. B. Zhang, P. Zhang, J. Ma, J. L. Liu, H. L. Fan, T. Jiang and B. X. Han, *Catal. Today,* 2012, **183**, 130–135.

[14] Y. Tsutsumi, K. Yamakawa, M. Yoshida, T. Ema and T. Sakai, *Org. Lett.*, 2010,**12**, 5728–5731.

[15] S. S. Wu, X. W. Zhang, W. L. Dai, S. F. Yin, W. S. Li, Y. Q. Ren and C. T. Au, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, 2008, **341**, 106–111.

[16] S. Supasitmongkol and P. Styring, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **3**, 1961–1972.

[17] L. C. Aluja, M. Djoufak, A. Aghmiz, R. Rivas, L. Christ and A. M. Bultó, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2014, **381**, 161–170.

[18] A. Decortes, A. M. Castilla and A. W. Kleij, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 9822–9837.

[19] D. W. Tian, B. Y. Liu, L. Zhang, X. Y. Wang, W. Zhang, L. N. Han and D. W. Park, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2012, **18**, 1332–1338.

[20] B. Chatelet, L. Joucla, J. P. Dutasta, A. Martinez, K. C. Szeto and V. Dufaud, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 5348−5351.

[21] D. S. Zhao, M. S. Liu, J. Zhang, J. P. Li and P. B. Ren, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2013, **221**, 99–104.

[22] J. J. Peng and Y. Q. Deng, *New J. Chem.*, 2001, **25**, 639–641.

[23] H. Kawanami, A. Sasaki, K. Matsui and Y. Ikushima, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, **7**, 896–897.

[24] M. H. Anthofer, M. E. Wilhelm, M. Cokoja, I. E. Markovits, A. Pöthig, J. Mink, W. A. Herrmann and F. E. Kühn, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 1749–1758.

[25] J. Q. Wang, W. G. Cheng, J. Sun, T. Y. Shi, X. P. Zhang and S. J. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 2360–2367.

[26] J. M. Sun, S. Fujita, B. M. Bhanage and M. Arai, *Catal. Today*, 2004, **93–95**, 383–388.

[27] W. L. Dai, B. Jin, S. L. Luo, X. B. Luo, X. M. Tu and C. T. Au, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, 2014, **470**, 183–188.

[28] W. L. Wong, P. H. Chan, Z. Y. Zhou, K. H. Lee, K. C. Cheung and K. Y. Wong, *ChemSusChem.*, 2008, **1**, 67–70.

[29] S. Foltran, J. Alsarraf, F. Robert, Y. Landais, E. Cloutet, H. Cramail and T. Tassaing, C*atal. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **3**, 1046–1055.

[30] S. G. Esfahani, H. B. Song, E. Păunescu, F. D. Bobbink, H. Z. Liu, Z.F. Fei, G. Laurenczy, M. Bagherzadeh, N. Yan and P. J. Dyson, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 1584–1589.

[31] Z. Z Yang, Y. F. Zhao, G. P. Ji, H. Y. Zhang, B. Yu, X. Gao and Z. M. Liu, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 3724–3728.

[32] D. W. Kim, K. A. Park, M. J. Kim, D. H. Kang, J. G. Yang and D. W. Park, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, 2014, **473**, 31–40.

[33] P. Agrigento, S. M. Al-Amsyar, B. Sorée, M. Taherimehr, M. Gruttadauria, C. Aprile and P. P. Pescarmona, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 1598–1607.

[34] H. S. Kim, J. J. Kim, H. Kim and H. G. Jang, *J. Catal.*, 2003, **220**, 44–46.

[35] J. Sun, S. J. Zhang, W. G. Cheng and J. Y. Ren, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 3588–3591.

[36] Y. X. Zhou, S. Q. Hu, X. M. Ma, S. G. Liang, T. Jiang and B. X. Han, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2008, **284**, 52–57.

[37] J. Q. Wang, J. Sun, W. G. Cheng, K. Dong, X. P. Zhang and S. J. Zhang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 11021–11026.

[38] L. F. Xiao, D. W. Lv and W. Wu, *Catal. Lett.*, 2011, **141**, 1838–1844.

[39] J. K. Lee, Y. J. Kim, Y. S. Choi, H. Lee, J. S. Lee, J. Hong, E.K. Jeong, H.S. Kim and M. Cheong, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2012, **111–112**, 621–627.

[40] Y. Y. Zhang, S. F. Yin, S. L. Luo and C. T. Au, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 3951−3957.

[41] Y. Xie, Z. F. Zhang, T. Jiang, J. L. He, B. X. Han, T. B. Wu and K. L. Ding, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7255–7258.

[42] S. Supasitmongkol and P. Styring, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 1622–1630.

[43] M. North and R. Pasquale, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 2946–2948.

[44] R. C. Luo, X. T. Zhou, W. Y. Zhang, Z. X. Liang, J. Jiang and H. B. Ji, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 4179–4189.

[45] K. M. K. Yu, I. Curcic, J. Gabriel, H. Morganstewart and S. C. Tsang, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 3863–3872.

[46] F. Adam and M. S. Batagarawa, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, 2013, **454**, 164–171.

[47] C. J. Whiteoak, N. Kielland, V. Laserna, F. C. Gómez, E. Martin, E.C. Escudero-Adán, C. Bo

and A.W. Kleij, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 2264–2275.

[48] J. O. Gómez, O. G. J. Aberasturi, C. R. López, J. N. Mestre, B. M. Madurga and M. Belsué, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2011, **175**, 505–511.