

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

An enzyme-free potentiometric glucose sensor based on a difunctional diboronic acid for molecular recognition and potentiometric transduction

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012 Hongduo Chen,^{a, b, d} Long Li,^{b, c, d} Huimin Guo,^{*a} Xuewei Wang^{b, c} and Wei Qin^{*b}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

On the basis of the unusual anionic potential response of a diboronic acid and its ability to specifically recognize glucose, a highly selective enzyme-free potentiometric glucose sensor was developed.

Glucose is a basic necessity of living organisms. The normal human blood glucose levels for a fasting test are within a narrow range of about 4.4 to 6.1 mmol/L (79.2 to 110 mg/dL). An abnormal glucose level is a warning signal of metabolic disorders and can be regarded as an important marker for various diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and obesity.¹ In the past decades, many efforts have been devoted to developing enzyme sensors for blood glucose detection using various readout strategies such as SPR,² fluorometric,³ amperometry⁴ and potentiometry.⁵ However, the instabilities originating from the intrinsic variable features of the enzymes may prevent these enzyme-based sensors from being widely applied.^{6,7} It has been reported that the activities of glucose oxidase widely used in the glucose sensor construction can be easily affected by the environmental conditions such as temperature, pH and humidity.⁸ Therefore, enzyme-free sensors are highly desired for glucose monitoring.

The high-affinity and reversible bindings of boronic acids to *cis*-1,2- or 1,3-diols to form five- or six-membered cyclic boronate esters have attracted much attention in recent years.^{9,10} Boronic acids have been employed for many saccharide sensors based on UV-vis absorption,¹¹ fluorescence,¹² plasmonics,¹³ SPR¹⁴ and potentiometry.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ It should be noted that monoboronic acids bind more strongly to fructose than to glucose.¹⁸ In contrast to monoboronic acids, a pair of boronic acid groups in one structure display a higher binding constant to glucose than to other monosaccharides via formation of a 1:1 stoichiometry boronate ester complex.¹⁹ Many researches have been done on designing and synthesizing diboronic acid (DBA) derivatives with the capacities to bind glucose selectively, and fluorescence methods are usually employed.²⁰⁻²³ It should be noted that in most of these methods the boronic acid groups are only used for molecular recognition, while

signal transduction is implemented by other groups modified onto them.

RSCPublishing

Potentiometry with polymeric liquid membrane electrodes (PLMEs) represents a powerful electrochemical approach for trace analysis, due to its reliability, simplicity and low cost.²⁴ Recently, our group reported the potential responses of a class of monoboronic acid compounds on quaternary ammonium salt-doped PLMEs and applied such unusual potential responses to saccharides detection.¹⁷ However, the approach cannot be used for glucose detection owing to the above mentioned stronger binding affinities of monoboronic acids to fructose.¹⁸ To achieve a higher binding affinity to glucose than to its stereoisomers, two boronic acid groups (i.e., DBA) can be arranged face to face in one structure.²⁵ In this paper, a PLME for highly selective enzyme-free glucose detection based on a DBA is described. The DBA probe is used not only for molecular recognition but also for potentiometric transduction.



Scheme 1 Response mechanism of the proposed enzyme-free potentiometric glucose sensor.

The proposed response mechanism is illustrated in Scheme 1.¹⁷ The lipophilic and water "coordinated" DBA²⁶ can be extracted spontaneously from the aqueous phase into the polymeric membrane phase according to the partition equilibrium, where the dissociation of the DBA leaves the nucleophilic OH⁻ coordinating to the central boron atom to form a sp³-hybridized tetrahedral anion. The quaternary ammonium cations assist this process by forming ion pairs with the tetrahedral boron anions. In this case, hydrophilic H⁺

and its counterion X⁻ can be co-extracted into the aqueous phase from the organic polymeric membrane phase. The anionic potential response to the DBA may be due to the local pH change in the membrane. After introducing glucose into the aqueous solution, the formed hydrophilic 1:1 stoichiometry boronate ester complex (an anion) shifts the partition and dissociation equilibriums of the DBA in the two immiscible phases to the opposite direction, and therefore a cationic potential response can be observed. In this work, both the glucose recognition and signal transduction are implemented by one diboronic acid group, which could dramatically simplify the probe preparation process.



Scheme 2 Synthesis path of the DBA. Reagents and conditions: (i) MeOH; (ii) MeOH, NaBH₄. See the ESI for details.

The DBA ([methylene bis(3,1-phenyleneiminomethylene-2,1phenylene)]diboronic acid) was synthesized according to Scheme 2 and its ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR were shown in Fig. S1[†] and Fig. S2[†], respectively. The DBA can induce significant anionic potential responses on the PLME, which is in agreement with our previous report (see the ESI, Fig. S3[†]).¹⁷ It should be noted that the DBA $(pKa = 10.88 \pm 0.02)$ is dominantly nonionic (>99%) at pH 8.0, and this confirms our proposed response mechanism based on a neutral



Fig. 1 (A) Potential (ΔE)-concentration curves of glucose (\bullet) and fructose (\circ) obtained by the TDDA+CI-doped PLME in 50 mM phosphate buffer with pH values of 6.0 (a), 7.4 (b), 8.0 (c) and 9.0 (d) in the presence of 10⁻⁵ M DBA. (B) Ratios of the potential responses to the DBA at different concentrations on the TDDA⁺Cl⁻-doped PLME with the presence (ΔE) and absence of 1 mM glucose (ΔE_0) in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). Numbers 1-5: the DBA concentrations of 1, 5, 10, 50 and 100 µM, respectively. Each error bar represents one standard deviation for three measurements.

boronic acid.¹⁷

The sensitivity of a boronic acid based glucose sensor is pHdependent.²⁷ As shown in Fig. 1A, good selectivity and linearity can be obtained at pH 8.0 and the potential change to glucose is about 20 mV higher than that to fructose. The pH-dependent behaviors of the DBA based glucose sensor may be due to the fact that the sample pH determines the equilibrium between the neutral trigonal and anionic tetrahedral forms of the boronic acid groups, which induces a significant effect on binding to glucose.^{18,27} The concentration of the DBA also affects the sensitivity of the proposed potentiometric glucose sensor. Fig. 1B shows the ratios of the potential responses in the presence and absence of glucose at different concentrations of the DBA probe. It can be seen that the highest sensitivity can be obtained by using 10⁻⁵ M DBA.



Fig. 2 Potential responses to glucose at different concentrations on the TDDA+CI-doped PLME in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). Inset shows the calibration curve. Each error bar represents one standard deviation for three measurements



Fig. 3 Regenerability of the TDDA+CI-doped PLME for measuring the DBA (10-5 M) in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). After each measurement, a 2×3 minprocedure of washing with a 10 mM aqueous HCI solution containing 10% (v/v) ethanol was used to regenerate the electrode.

Under the optimized conditions, potential responses to glucose at different concentrations on the TDDA⁺Cl⁻doped PLME were measured. As shown in Fig. 2, glucose induces a potential reversal

which is due to the fact that the boronate ester complex generated from the esterification reaction between the DBA and glucose is less lipophilic than the parent DBA, and thus cannot be extracted easily into the polymeric membrane phase. The potential difference (ΔE) between the baseline and the potential measured at 5 minutes after glucose addition was used for quantification. The potential response is proportional to the logarithm of the concentration of glucose measured in the range of 1-100 mM ($\Delta E = 61.1 \times \log C + 192.4$, R² = 0.995, E in mV, C in M) (see the inset in Fig. 2). The detection limit is 0.2 mM (3σ), and the relative standard deviation (RSD) for 5 mM glucose is 2.7% (n = 3). It should be noted that the sensitivity of this method is much lower in comparison with those of the previous boronic acid-based potentiometric glucose sensors.^{5,15,16} Moreover, the electrode can be regenerated by washing with a 10 mM aqueous HCl solution containing 10% (v/v) ethanol and reused with a high reproducibility (Fig. 3).



Fig. 4 Potential (ΔE)-concentration curves for three saccharides obtained by the TDDA⁺Cl⁻-doped PLME in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). Each error bar represents one standard deviation for three measurements.



Fig. 5 Potential responses to glucose in the presence of 0.1 mM fructose or galactose on the TDDA⁺Cl⁻-doped PLME in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). Each error bar represents one standard deviation for three measurements.

The selective response to glucose over other saccharides is crucial for clinical analysis, since many saccharides are also present in blood, such as fructose and galactose (< 0.1 mM).^{28,29} In order to evaluate the selectivity of this sensor, potential responses to glucose, fructose and galactose were tested, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4,

these three monosaccharides induce a potential reversal in the following order: glucose > fructose > galactose. These results are consistent with the binding affinities of the DBA to these three saccharides (the stability constants for glucose, fructose, and galactose are 140, 55, and 26 dm³ mol⁻¹, respectively).²⁵ The enhanced binding affinity of the DBA to glucose is due to the formation of a 1:1 cyclic complex, whereas other saccharides tend to form the 2:1 diboronic acid ester complexes.²⁵ Moreover, the presence of these saccharides (0.1 mM) has little influence on the potential response of glucose (Fig. 5). To evaluate the reliability of this glucose sensor, some coexisting anions such as NO₃⁻, SCN⁻ and Cl⁻ ions which may interfere with the detection of glucose were tested.^{30,31} As illustrated in Fig. S4[†], although small potential changes can be induced after additions of these ions, they would not interfere with the glucose detection.

Conclusions

In summary, a facile and enzyme-free potentiometric glucose sensor based on a difunctional diboronic acid probe has been proposed. This is the first glucose sensor where the diboronic acid groups are used for both molecule recognition and potentiometric transduction. With the advantages of excellent sensitivity, high selectivity and simplicity, this proposed enzyme-free potentiometric sensor is promising for application to blood glucose detection.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Instrument Developing Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (YZ201161), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21475148, 21103015), and the Taishan Scholar Program of Shandong Province.

Notes and references

^{*a*} State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, College of Chemistry, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China.

^b Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research (YIC), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS); Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes, YICCAS, Yantai, Shandong 264003, P. R. China.

^c University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China.

- ^d These authors contribute equally to this article.
- ^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 535 2109156; E-mail address: wqin@yic.ac.cn.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and additional figures. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- A. F. B. Kernohan, C. G. Perry and M. Small, *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.*, 2003, 41, 1239-1245.
- 2 H. Nakamura, Y. Mogi, T. Akimoto, K. Naemura, T. Kato, K. Yano and I. Karube, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2008, 24, 455-460.
- 3 L. H. Cao, J. Ye, L. L. Tong and B. Tang, *Chem-Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 9633-9640.

- 4 K. Besteman, J. O. Lee, F. G. M. Wiertz, H. A. Heering and C. Dekker, *Nano. Letters*, 2003, **3**, 727-730.
- 5 E. Shoji and M. S. Freund, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12486-12493.
- 6 R. Wilson and A. P. F. Turner, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 1992, 7, 165-185.
- 7 S. Park, H. Boo and T. D. Chung, Anal. Chim. Acta., 2006, 556, 46-57.
- 8 C. Xia and W. Ning, Electrochem. Commun., 2010, 12, 1581-1584.
- 9 M. D. Phillips and T. D. James, J. Fluoresc., 2004, 14, 549-559.
- 10 X. Wu, Z. Li, X.-X. Chen, J. S. Fossey, T. D. James and Y.-B. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 8032-8048.
- 11 R. Badugu, J. R. Lakowicz and C. D. Geddes, *Dyes Pigments*, 2006, 68, 159-163.
- 12 Y.-J. Huang, W.-J. Ouyang, X. Wu, Z. Li, J. S. Fossey, T. D. James and Y.-B. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1700-1703.
- 13 K. Aslan, J. Zhang, J. R. Lakowicz and C. D. Geddes, J. Fluoresc., 2004, 14, 391-400.
- 14 J. M. De Guzman, S. A. Soper and R. L. McCarley, Anal. Chem., 2010, 82, 8970-8977.
- 15 J. Li, L. Liu, P. Wang and J. Zheng, *Electrochim Acta*, 2014, **121**, 369-375.
- 16 H. Ciftci, U. Tamer, M. Sen Teker and N. O. Pekmez, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **90**, 358-365.
- 17 X. W. Wang, D. F. Yue, E. G. Lv, L. Wu and W. Qin, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 1927-1931.
- 18 R. Nishiyabu, Y. Kubo, T. D. James and J. S. Fossey, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 1124-1150.
- 19 K. Tsukagoshi and S. Shinkai, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 4089-4091.
- 20 Z. Q. Guo, I. Shin and J. Yoon, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 5956-4967.
- 21 W. Yang, H. He and D. G. Drueckhammer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2001, **40**, 1714-1718.
- 22 S. Arimori, M. L. Bell, C. S. Oh, K. A. Frimat and T. D. James, J. Chem. Soc-Perk. T. 1, 2002, 803-808.
- 23 T. D. James, P. Linnane and S. Shinkai, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 281-288.
- 24 E. Bakker and E. Pretsch, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 2007, 46, 5660-5668.
- 25 S. Arimori, L. I. Bosch, C. J. Ward and T. D. James, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 911-913.
- 26 T. D. James, in *Boronic Acids*, ed. D. G. Hall, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2nd edn., 2005, vol. 2, ch 12, pp. 441-479.
- 27 G. Springsteen and B. H. Wang, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 5291-5300.
- 28 C. M. Donmoyer, J. Ejiofor, D. B. Lacy, S. S. Chen and O. P. McGuinness, Am. J. Physiolo-Endoc. M., 2001, 280, E703-E711.
- 29 A. M. Horgan, A. J. Marshall, S. J. Kew, K. E. S. Dean, C. D. Creasey and S. Kabilan, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2006, **21**, 1838-1845.
- 30 C. E. Nwankire, D.-S. S. Chan, J. Gaughran, R. Burger, R. Gorkin, III and J. Ducree, *Sensors*, 2013, **13**, 11336-11349.
- 31 K. Tsuge, M. Kataoka and Y. Seto, J. Health. Sci., 2000, 46, 343-350.

Page 4 of 5



Based on a diboronic acid for specific recognition of glucose, an enzyme-free potentiometric glucose sensor was developed.