Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Chemical Science

Chemical Science

Edge article

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Functional modulation and directed assembly of an enzyme through designed non-natural posttranslation modification

Andrew M Hartley^a^{\$}, Athraa J Zaki^b^{\$}, Adam R McGarrity^a^{\$}, Cecile Robert-Ansart^a, Andriy V Moskalenko^b, Gareth F Jones^c, Monica F Craciun^c, Saverio Russo^c, Martin Elliott^b, J Emyr Macdonald^b & D. Dafydd Jones^a^{*}.

Post-translational modification (PTM) modulates and supplements protein functionality. In nature this high precision event requires specific motifs and/or associated modification machinery. To overcome the inherent complexity that hinders PTM's wider use, we have utilized a non-native biocompatible Click chemistry approach to site-specifically modify TEM β -lactamase that adds new functionality. *In silico* modelling was used to design TEM β -lactamase variants with the non-natural amino acid *p*-azido-L-phenylalanine (azF) placed at functionally strategic positions permitting residue-specific modification with alkyne adducts, exploiting strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition. Three designs were implemented so that the modification would: (i) inhibit TEM activity (Y105azF); (ii) restore activity compromised by the initial mutation (P174azF); (iii) facilitate assembly on pristine graphene (W165azF). A dibenzylcyclooctyne (DBCO) with amine functionality was enough to modulate enzymatic activity. Modification of TEM^{W165azF} with a DBCO-pyrene adduct had little effect on activity despite the modification site being close to a key catalytic residue but allowed directed assembly of the enzyme on graphene, potentially facilitating the construction of protein-gated carbon transistor systems.

Introduction

Post-translational modification (PTM) is central to biology by expanding and modulating the function of a large number of proteins¹. Many of these events essentially permanently covalently modify a protein, ranging from attachment of small moieties (e.g. methylation², cofactors³) to larger events such as proteolysis and glycosylation. Each of these factors can impact significantly on protein structure and function thus influencing and even enabling inherent protein activity. The presence of sequence and/or structural motifs in combination with of a wide variety of subsidiary machinery, mostly enzymes, is required to achieve exquisite specificity both in terms of the target protein and the spatial position in the modified target. The complexity of these systems can be a significant hindrance with respect to their transfer to new proteins where such PTMs are not inherent. Furthermore, PTM is largely restricted to a select chemical set preexisting in nature. Precise modification with non-natural adducts may be a more appropriate and useful means to expand and modulate protein function ^{4, 5}, including for use in non-biological contexts.

Covalent modification with non-natural adducts is traditionally achieved using chemistry inherent to the natural amino acid repertoire, mostly amine, carboxyl and thiol groups. The main problem is lack of specificity as such chemistry is normally distributed across the surface of a protein and is ubiquitous ir the proteome. A more general and powerful approach is the introduction of new chemically reactive handles not present in the native 20 amino acid set through the use of an expanded genetic code ⁶ (see ⁷⁻¹⁰ for recent reviews). The unique reactivity of a chosen non-natural amino acid (nAA) together with the ability to select both the target protein and the residue within the target means that specificity comparable to natural PTM events can be achieved ^{10, 11}. The use of highly specialized caged nAAs has been very effective in controlling activity 12-15 but is restricted to certain protein types and chemistry, and can add significant bulk to the amino acid side chain during the

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013

RSCPublishing

Page 2 of 6

production of the nascent polypeptide and its subsequent folded form.



Fig 1. Modification of TEM β-lactamase. The structure of TEM β-lactamase (top left) with the inhibitor imipenem (yellow sticks to emphasise the substrate binding pocket) highlights the residues targeted for replacement with azF (red spheres) together with the key catalytic residues (cyan sticks and the Ω -loop (green). The protein structures were generated using PyMol¹⁶. A representation of the SPAAC reaction using the activated alkyne present in the core DBCO moiety is shown (top right). The R groups attached to the DBCO used in this study are shown (bottom half).

The incorporation of phenyl azide chemistry into proteins through the use of *p*-azidophenylalanine (azF) 17 is an attractive alternative. As well as being only one atom bigger than the natural amino acid tyrosine and having been successfully incorporated into a wide variety of proteins ⁷⁻⁹, the phenyl azide moiety opens up different routes to non-natural PTM (nnPTM): photochemical transformations and Click chemistry adduct addition ⁹. The use of phenyl azide photochemistry to control protein activity has recently been demonstrated ¹⁸⁻²². Azidealkyne cycloaddition is fast becoming a useful approach for orthogonal biomolecule conjugation but has largely been used in a passive way, for example, to label proteins ^{7, 23-25}. Given the versatility in terms of the array of adducts available coupled with the inherent bioorthogonality and biocompatibility 26 , it is surprising Click Chemistry has not been used more extensively as a general direct modulator of protein activity. Additionally, useful adducts can be placed at strategic positions to expand and facilitate protein function in a manner akin to co-factors. This includes attachment of entities to facilitate interfacing and assembly with secondary systems or materials ²⁷⁻³¹. As in many natural biomolecular assemblies, a defined and optimal proteinmaterial interface is critical for maximal communication

between the individual elements. Interfacing proteins with carbon sp² materials such as graphene is gaining significant interest as it forms the basis for constructing hybrid biotransistors in which events at even the single protein molecule level can be used to gate conductance through graphene ^{27, 32}. Here, we show that the activity and assembly onto pristine graphene of the antibiotic resistance protein TEM β -lactamase ^{33, 34} can be directly controlled by Click chemistry. By genetically encoding phenyl azide chemistry at designed positions in TEM and using Cu-free biocompatible strain promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC; Fig 1)^{35, 36} different adducts can be attached (**1, 2** and **3**; Fig 1) that either reduce or restore activity on modification, and define assembly of TEM on graphene.

Results and discussion

The three main adducts with a core dibenzylcyclooctyne (DBCO) reactive handle (Fig 1) chosen have very distinct properties: 1 is an amine derivative of DBCO that has hydrogen donor and acceptor groups opening up the potential to form HI bonds between residues not normally close enough to each other in the protein structure; 2 is a large, planar and hydrophobic rhodamine dye (Texas Red) that has proved useful in labelling proteins for fluorescent imaging ²³ but could also act as an effective bulk spatial and steric blocking element if required; 3 is a pyrene derivative that will aid protein interfacing with extended carbon sp² materials (e.g. graphene) through π - π stacking ^{29, 37}. Both 1 and 2 are "off-the-shelf" products while 3 can be generated by a simple succinimidyl ester reaction. Thus, all 3 adducts can easily be accessed by the wider bioscience community without any synthetic chemistry knowledge.



Fig 2. Molecular design models of TEM azF variants. (A) Model of TEM^{Y105azF} (yellow) aligned with wt TEM β -lactamase (grey; PDB 1btl), with the active site S70 shown as stick representation. (B) Alignment of the TEM^{P174azF} (cyan) with wt TEM (grey; PDB 1btl). The region highlighted in red covers residues 173-175. (C) Interaction of AzF174 with neighbouring residues.

MODULATING ENZYME ACTIVITY. The *in silico* design process was performed using a combination of ROSETTA ³⁸ and molecular dynamics ³⁹. Y105, a partially surface exposed residue (~110 Å² \approx 50% relative surface exposure), was chosen

as a site for negative modulation through nnPTM due to its location close to the catalytically important SDN loop and its role in forming a partial lid over substrate binding cleft (Fig 1; Supp Fig 2). Y105 is thought to be especially important in defining the size of the substrate binding cleft (therefore substrate specificity)⁴⁰ and local dynamics of residues critical for activity⁴¹. *In silico* modelling predicted exchanging the hydroxyl group for an azide should not have a major effect on enzyme structure around the locality of residue 105 (Fig 2a). In fact ampicillin hydrolysis was slightly enhanced (higher k_{cal}) for TEM^{Y105azF} but had a slightly lower affinity (higher K_{M}) making overall catalytic efficiency similar to wt TEM (Fig 3 and Supp Table 1). TEM^{Y105azF} was receptive to modification by SPAAC as indicated by the estimated labelling efficiency of ~80% with **2**.



Fig 3. Enzyme kinetics of ampicillin hydrolysis by TEM β-lactamase variants preand post Click modification. (A) Catalytic efficiency of each variant before and after modification with 1 (+1) or 2 (+2) derived from K_M and k_{cat} (Supp Table 1). (B) The effect of modifying TEM^{P174azf} with 1 (Click 1) or 2 (Click 2) on Michaelis-Menten kinetics. (C) Modelled changes to backbone structure of TEM^{P174azf} (cyan) on modification with 1 (green) compared to wt TEM (grey) (top panel) and the configuration and local interactions (hydrogen bond in red) of 1 (bottom panel).

As predicted, TEM^{Y105azF} was largely inhibited on modification with either **1** or **2** (Fig 3) despite neither having any significant inherent inhibitory effect on wild-type TEM (Supp Table 1). Both catalysis and substrate binding were disrupted as evident by the decrease in k_{cat} and increase in K_M (Supp Table 1) for ampicillin resulting in an overall decrease in catalytic efficiency of ~70% and 85% when modified with **1** or **2** respectively compared to unmodified protein. This is similar to the most deactivating site-directed mutants of Y105⁴⁰. Modelling of the covalent complex (*vide infra*) between TEM^{Y105azF} and **1** suggested that modification blocks access at one end of the substrate binding site, with the amine of **1** forming a hydrogen bond with the backbone carbonyl group of G238 (Supp Fig 3). The enzyme kinetics suggests that simple steric blocking of substrate binding is unlikely to be the sole mechanism of action as catalysis and substrate binding are equally affected, similar to that observed for classical mixed inhibition models. The relatively small difference in catalytic efficiency of $\text{TEM}^{\text{Y105azF}}$ modified with either 1 or 2 indicates that the most significant effect is occurring close to the linkage site, as suggested by the covalent complex model (Supp Fig 3). However, the bulkier group of 2 is exerting a slightly greater effect with regards to k_{cat} rather than K_{M} (Fig 3 and Supp Table 1) suggesting that long range interactions made by the rhodamine dye moiety may be forcing the structure around residue 105 to adapt less catalytically proficient form. Currently, the separation of modified from unmodified protein has proved unsuccessful, so the observed activity may be contributed by unmodified protein only (estimated to be ~20%; vide supra). Therefore, the inhibition levels observed here represent the lowest currently achievable and we cannot rule out modified species are essentially inactive.

P174 is another largely exposed surface exposed residue (~80 $\text{\AA}^2 \approx 60\%$ relative surface accessibility) that is relatively distant from the active site (Fig 1). P174 however contributes towards one of the turns that comprise the Ω loop (Fig 1 and Supp Fig 4), a region critical for substrate binding, substrate specificity and catalysis 42, 43. It was hypothesized in the design process that mutating P174 to a non-cyclic amino acid would result in local conformational changes around the turn region. Molecular modelling supported this hypothesis with the structure of the turn slightly shifted compared to wt TEM (Fig 2b and Supp Fig 5). The side chain of azF174 lies approximately perpendicular to the native proline, with the azido moiety fitting into a shallow pocket and making a local polar interaction network with R42 and T265 (Fig 2c). These new interactions may act as the driver of local conformation changes associated with the P174azF mutation, which in turn alters the local bonding network that subtly shifts the conformation of both the active site and substrate binding residues, including S70 (Supp Fig 5). Based on the TEM^{P174azF} model it was further postulated that on modification with 1, the formation of the triazole link would break the interaction network with R42 and T265 so reconstituting the original loop structure and activating the protein. The amine group also has the potential to form new long range hydrogen bonds in spatially local regions (Fig 3 and Supp Fig 4).

As suggested by the model, replacement of P174 with azF results in a significant change in activity. The overall catalytic efficiency of TEM^{P174azF} was 60% lower compared to wt TEM (Fig 3). The major effect was on k_{cat} which was 3 fold lower compared to wt TEM (Supp Table 1), supporting the evidence from the model that the likely effect of azF incorporation at residue 174 is to disrupt interactions of residues associated with catalysis. This was offset by a slightly increased ampicillin affinity.

 $\text{TEM}^{\text{P174azF}}$ was accessible to Click modification, but efficiency was lower (estimated ~33% using the absorbance properties of **2**) compared to $\text{TEM}^{\text{Y105azF}}$. It is unknown how local protein

Page 4 of 6

ce Accepte

microenvironment dictates SPAAC efficiency but dynamics, relative exposure to aqueous solvent and the character of shallow "pockets" have been suggested as possible determinants²³. The molecular model suggests that interaction of the azide moiety with other residues, which may play a role through, for example, altering the relative populations of resonance structures sampled by the azide moiety $(R-N=N^+=N^-)$ $/ \text{R-N}^-\text{N}^+=\text{N}$), and/or its accessibility and available orientations to the incoming DBCO. The effect of the SPAAC nnPTM on the ability of TEMP174azF to hydrolyse ampicillin varied depending on the DBCO adduct. Modification with 2 exerted a similar but somewhat smaller inhibitory effect (~40% drop) as observed for TEM^{T105azF} (Fig 3 and Supp Table 1). Modification with 1 resulted in a significant increase in overall activity restoring apparent catalytic efficiency essentially to wild-type levels (Fig 3). This should be considered as a lower estimate of activation as ~70% of the protein may be unmodified (vide supra). With both adducts, the most significant contribution was the change in k_{cat} of TEM^{P174azF} compared to unmodified protein (Supp Table 1); modification with 1 increased k_{cat} by 210% while addition of 2 reduced k_{cat} by almost half.

To understand how 1 exerts its beneficial effect on TEM^{P174azF}, the nnPTM product was modelled. Using the $\text{TEM}^{\text{P174azF}}$ model as a starting point, the triazole linkage between azF and DBCO of 1 was generated in silico by parameterizing the azF-DBCO complex to calculate optimized geometries and the electrostatic potentials. The model was subjected to molecular dynamics for a total of 5 ns. The model of nnPTM product, termed TEM^{P174azF}+1 suggested that the general structure was closer to wt TEM than the original TEMP174azF model (Fig 3c and Supp Fig 5). The Ω -loop structure around residue 174 and the local bonding networks for $\text{TEM}^{P174azF}$ +1 were largely comparable with wt TEM. The orientation of residue 174 differs significantly to accommodate 1 (Supp Fig 5). The amine group of 1 bends back and appears to come within hydrogen bonding distance (~2.6Å) of the side-chain carbonyl group of the adjacent residue N175 (Fig 3c). The loss of the azide group on formation of the trizole removes the interactions with R43 and T238. However, the reason for 1 acting as an activator and 2 as an inhibitor may be down to the reduced bulk and ability of 1 to form local H-bonds.

PROTEIN-GRAPHENE INTERFACING. In some instances, it would be attractive to select a residue intimately associated with active site regions so that secondary non-related events can be coupled to activity without significant effect on function. Linking proteins to carbon sp² materials such as graphene is especially attractive; local charge and electrostatic changes in the protein can be used to "gate" the electronic properties of the sp² material ^{27, 44} so generating sensing systems with ultimate single molecule resolution. The pyrene moiety of **3** (Fig 1) is especially attractive as an interfacing agent as it allows defined coupling of a protein to the sp² material through π stacking ³⁷. This has been demonstrated previously using optimally placed cysteine residues as the reactive handle for attachment to pyrene-coated single walled carbon nanotubes ²⁷. However, this

4 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

required removal by mutagenesis of native cysteine residues. Here, we demonstrate that defined enzyme interfacing on a clean graphene face is feasible though the use of designed azF placement.

W165 is another partially surface exposed residue (~100 Å² \approx 50% relative surface accessibility) that fulfils the required criteria (Fig 1). It resides in the Ω -loop and splits two functionally important residues; E166 is a conserved catalytic residue involved in proton shuttling ³⁴ and R164 forms buried ionic interactions critical for stabilizing the Ω loop and thus the positioning of E166⁴⁵. The approach used to model TEM^{P174azF} modified with 1 was applied to the W165azF mutation to ascertain the potential position of the adduct group and its effect on structure (Fig 4a). Modelling suggested that the overall structure of the protein would be largely unperturbed and the adduct would point outwards into the solvent. Mutating W165 to azF did slightly reduce overall catalytic efficiency towards ampicillin (1.5 fold) but crucially, modification with 3 was feasible and had little effect on overall activity (Supp Fig 6).



Fig 4. AFM imaging of pyrene-modified TEM^{W165arF} on graphene. (A) Model of TEM^{W165arF} (magenta) modified with **1** aligned with the crystal structure of wt TEM (grey) with the residues either side of residue 165 and the catalytic S70 highlighted. The surface representation of TEM^{W165arF} modified with **1** (left panel) illustrates that the Click adduct points out and away from the protein. Repeated AFM imaging of the surface after the (B) sixth and (C) tenth scans shows that the proteins bind stably to the surface. The height analysis of a single TEM^{W165arF}.⁵ molecule, which is selected in C (highlighted as *) is shown as an inlay to C. Supp Movie 1 provides a full trace for the imaged area above over 10 scans.

By coupling **3** to TEM^{W165azF} prior to surface assembly we can directly interface the protein with graphene in a defined manner without surface coating with pyrene, as has been previously used ^{27, 46}. Intermittent-contact mode AFM (tapping mode) imaging indicated that TEM^{W165azF} modified with pyrene binds stably to graphene surfaces (Fig 4b and c; Supp Fig 7 & Supp Movie 1). The protein molecules are not significantly disturbed by multiple scans. The average apparent heights were 3 nm, which is close to the predicted height of the protein bound in

the designed orientation (\sim 3 nm; Supp Fig 7). The average apparent lateral dimension was larger than predicted (10 nm *versus* 5 nm), as a result of tip convolution effects. In comparison, wt TEM did not stably bind to the surface, with tip contamination commonly observed after multiple scans (Supp Fig 8).

Conclusions

Journal Name

SPAAC is a powerful approach for precise and designed protein PTM that supplement protein activity using a nonintrusive, non-caged reaction handle. We have demonstrated here that SPAAC can be used beyond simple passive labelling of proteins and can actively modulate activity through different mechanisms without the need to synthesize specialized molecules. It also facilitates the interfacing of proteins in a designed and defined manner with useful active materials such as graphene. This negates the need to remove inherent chemistry through mutagenesis allowing the focus to be on placing the reactive handle for optimal coupling between the protein and material. *In silico* modelling with both nAA and adducts can greatly aid the design process by which useful variants are generated and their affects rationalised, which will in turn lead to more accurate designs.

Experimental

IN SILICO PROTEIN MODELLING. A detailed description of the *in silico* modelling of TEM containing the azF mutation and modification with the DBCO-amine adduct (1) are provided in the Supporting Information. Briefly, geometry optimised structure files, force field parameters for azF and azF-DBCO amine adduct complexes were generated. The published crystal structure of TEM β -lactamase to 1.8Å resolution (PDB code 1BTL ⁴⁵) was used as a starting point for Monte Carlo simulations within the ROSETTA software package ³⁸. The lowest energy model was used as the starting point for molecular dynamics using GROMACS ³⁹. The modelling was run on the Raven cluster as part of the Advanced Research Computing @ Cardiff facility.

ENZYME ACTIVITY ASSAYS. The wt and mutant TEM variants were generated as outlined in the Supporting Information. The proteins were expressed in E. coli using a bespoke plasmid based on pBAD called pBADKAN, and purified as described in the Supporting Information. The TEM-dependent kinetics of ampicillin hydrolysis ($\varepsilon_{235} = 1500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) were determined spectrophotometrically using 1 cm path length QS quartz cuvette (Hellma). Hydrolysis assays were carried out in a 1 mL reaction volume. Purified enzyme was diluted to a final concentration of 250 ng/µL in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8 at room temperature. Reactions were started by addition of ampicillin and hydrolysis was measured by the decrease in absorbance at 235 nm. Ampicillin concentrations ranged from 50 µM to 800 µM. Kinetic parameters were calculated using initial rate of hydrolysis at each substrate concentration and then fitting to the Michaelis-Menten equation using GraphPad Prism. TEM β-lactamase activity using the colourmetric substrate nitrocefin was performed essentially as described previously 47, 48

CLICK MODIFICATION. SPAAC reactions were performed on pure protein using a five-fold molar excess of dibenzylcyclooctyne (DBCO) reagent to protein. DBCO-amine (1) and DBCO-Fluor 585 (2) were obtained from Click Chemistry Tools, while DBCO-pyrene was synthesized from DBCO-amine and 1-pyrenebutanoic acid, succinimidyl ester via nucleophilic substitution. Reactions were left overnight at room temperature in PBS. SPAAC reactions with 2 were analysed by SDS-PAGE and subsequent imaging of the fluorescent dye on a transilluminator. Labelling efficiencies were calculated as described in the Supporting Methods.

PROTEIN DEPOSITION ON GRAPHENE AND AFM IMAGING. Protein samples were deposited onto graphene on a copper foil substrate. Monolayer graphene was deposited on the copper substrate by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) using methane as carbon source. A detailed description of the growth procedure is provided in the Supporting Information. The foil pieces (~4 mm²) were immersed in PBS containing 1 nM protein and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Following incubation, the foil pieces were rinsed with high purity deionsied water and dried with N2 gas. AFM imaging was performed in using a Veeco Nanoscope IIa (Bruker) in tapping mode. The same area was scanned up to 10 times with a total of 6 different regions imaged to check the stability of the protein molecules on the surface of graphene. A video of the surface scans is provided as Supporting Information. Although the images show some drift, it is clear that the proteins are stably bound to the surface.

Acknowledgements

DDJ and ARM would like to thank the Advanced Research Computing @ Cardiff facility, especially Thomas Green for help with access and usage of the Raven cluster. DDJ, JEM and ME would like to thank the BBSRC (BB/H003746/1 and BB/M000249/1), EPSRC (EP/J015318/1) and Cardiff SynBio Initiative/SynBioCite for supporting this work. SR and MFC acknowledge financial support from EPSRC (EP/J000396/1, EP/K017160/1, EP/K010050/1, EP/G036101/1, EP/M001024/1, EPM002438/1). AMH was supported by a BBSRC studentship, AJZ by a Ministry of Higher Education in Kurdistan Region and Kurdistan Regional Government studentship and ARM by a Cardiff School of Biosciences personal studentship.

Notes and references

^a School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.

^b School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff, Wales UK.

^c Centre for Graphene Science, University of Exeter, Exeter, Devon, UK.

AMH, AJZ and ARM contributed equally to this work as indicated by \$.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Detailed experimental methods, Supplementary Figs 1 to 11 and Supplementary Tables 1 to 3]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

36.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

45.

46.

47.

48.

Page 6 of 6

- C. Walsh, Posttranslational modification of proteins : expanding nature's inventory, Roberts and Co. Publishers, Englewood, Colo., 2006.
- S. I. Grewal and J. C. Rice, *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 2004, 16, 230-238.
- 3. R. N. Perham, Ann Rev Biochem, 2000, 69, 961-1004.
- 4. K. Lang and J. W. Chin, ACS Chem Bio, 2014, 9, 16-20.
- 5. C. D. Spicer and B. G. Davis, *Nat Comm*, 2014, 5, 4740.
- 6. L. Wang, A. Brock, B. Herberich and P. Schultz, *Science*, 2001, 292, 498-500.
- 7. K. Lang and J. W. Chin, *Chem Rev*, 2014, 114, 4764-4806.
- 8. C. C. Liu and P. G. Schultz, *Ann Rev Biochem*, 2010, 79, 413-444.
- S. Reddington, P. Watson, P. Rizkallah, E. Tippmann and D. D. Jones, *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 2013, 41, 1177-1182.
- 10. W. H. Zhang, G. Otting and C. J. Jackson, *Curr Opin Struc Biol*, 2013, 23, 581-587.
- 11. C. H. Kim, J. Y. Axup and P. G. Schultz, *Curr Opin Chem Biol*, 2013, 17, 412-419.
- 12. A. Gautier, A. Deiters and J. W. Chin, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2011, 133, 2124-2127.
- A. Gautier, D. P. Nguyen, H. Lusic, W. An, A. Deiters and J. W. Chin, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2010, 132, 4086-4088.
- 14. J. Li, S. Jia and P. R. Chen, Nat Chem Biol, 2014, 10, 1003-1005.
- 15. N. Wu, A. Deiters, T. A. Cropp, D. King and P. G. Schultz, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2004, 126, 14306-14307.
- 16. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Schrödinger, LLC..
- J. Chin, S. Santoro, A. Martin, D. King, L. Wang and P. Schultz, J Am Chem Soc, 2002, 124, 9026-9027.
 J. L. Morris, S. C. Reddington, D. M. Murphy, D. D. Jones, J. A.
- J. L. MOLLS, S. C. KCOUINGTON, D. M. MUTPINY, D. D. JONES, J. A. Platts and E. M. Tippmann, Org Lett, 2013, 15, 728-731.
- S. C. Reddington, P. J. Rizkallah, P. D. Watson, R. Pearson, E. M. Tippmann and D. D. Jones, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2013, 52, 5974-5977.
- S. C. Reddington, A. J. Baldwin, R. Thompson, A. Brancale, E. M. Tippmann and D. D. Jones, *Chemical Science*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C4SC02827A.
- S. Zhu, M. Riou, C. A. Yao, S. Carvalho, P. C. Rodriguez, O. Bensaude, P. Paoletti and S. Ye, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 2014, 111, 6081-6086.
- 22. A. Grunbeck, T. Huber, P. Sachdev and T. P. Sakmar, *Biochemistry*, 2011, 50, 3411-3413.
- 23. S. C. Reddington, E. M. Tippmann and D. D. Jones, *Chem Comm*, 2012, 48, 8419-8421.
- J. Y. Axup, K. M. Bajjuri, M. Ritland, B. M. Hutchins, C. H. Kim, S. A. Kazane, R. Halder, J. S. Forsyth, A. F. Santidrian, K. Stafin, Y. Lu, H. Tran, A. J. Seller, S. L. Biroc, A. Szydlik, J. K. Pinkstaff, F. Tian, S. C. Sinha, B. Felding-Habermann, V. V. Smider and P. G. Schultz, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 2012, 109, 16101-16106.
- 25. C. S. McKay and M. G. Finn, *Chemistry & Biology*, 2014, 21, 1075-1101.
- 26. M. D. Best, Biochemistry, 2009, 48, 6571-6584.
- Y. Choi, I. S. Moody, P. C. Sims, S. R. Hunt, B. L. Corso, I. Perez, G. A. Weiss and P. G. Collins, *Science*, 2012, 335, 319-324.
- 28. J. Han and C. Gao, *Nano-Micro Letter*, 2010, 2, 213-226.
- 29. J. A. Mann, T. Alava, H. G. Craighead and W. R. Dichtel, Angewandte Chemie, 2013, 52, 3177-3180.
- E. A. Della Pia, M. Elliott, D. D. Jones and J. E. Macdonald, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 355-361.
- 31. E. A. Della Pia, J. E. Macdonald, M. Elliott and D. D. Jones, *Small*, 2012, 8, 2341-2344.
- Q. H. Wang, Z. Jin, K. K. Kim, A. J. Hilmer, G. L. Paulus, C. J. Shih, M. H. Ham, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Kong, P. Jarillo-Herrero and M. S. Strano, *Nat Chem*, 2012, 4, 724-732.
- 33. J. Petrosino, C. Cantu, 3rd and T. Palzkill, *Trends Microbiol*, 1998, 6, 323-327.
- A. Matagne, J. Lamotte-Brasseur and J. M. Frere, *Biochem J*, 1998, 330 (Pt 2), 581-598.
- 35. J. C. Jewett, E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2010, 132, 3688-3690.

- J. M. Baskin, J. A. Prescher, S. T. Laughlin, N. J. Agard, P. V. Chang, I. A. Miller, A. Lo, J. A. Codelli and C. R. Bertozzi, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 2007, 104, 16793-16797.
- R. J. Chen, Y. Zhang, D. Wang and H. Dai, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2001, 123, 3838-3839.
- K. T. Simons, R. Bonneau, I. Ruczinski and D. Baker, *Proteins*, 1999, Suppl 3, 171-176.
- S. Pronk, S. Pall, R. Schulz, P. Larsson, P. Bjelkmar, R. Apostolov, M. R. Shirts, J. C. Smith, P. M. Kasson, D. van der Spoel, B. Hess and E. Lindahl, *Bioinformatics*, 2013, 29, 845-854.
- N. Doucet, P. Y. De Wals and J. N. Pelletier, *J Biol Chem*, 2004, 279, 46295-46303.
- N. Doucet, P. Y. Savard, J. N. Pelletier and S. M. Gagne, *J Biol Chem*, 2007, 282, 21448-21459.
- W. Huang, J. Petrosino, M. Hirsch, P. S. Shenkin and T. Palzkill, *J Mol Biol*, 1996, 258, 688-703.
- J. F. Petrosino and T. Palzkill, *J Bacteriol*, 1996, 178, 1821-1828.
 K. Besteman, J. O. Lee, F. G. M. Wiertz, H. A. Heering and C. Dekker, *Nano Letters*, 2003, 3, 727-730.
 - C. Jelsch, L. Mourey, J. M. Masson and J. P. Samama, *Proteins*, 1993, 16, 364-383.
 - P. C. Sims, I. S. Moody, Y. Choi, C. Dong, M. Iftikhar, B. L. Corso, O. T. Gul, P. G. Collins and G. A. Weiss, *J Am Chem Soc* 2013, 135, 7861-7868.
 - D. D. Jones, Nucleic Acids Res, 2005, 33, e80.
 - A. M. Simm, A. J. Baldwin, K. Busse and D. D. Jones, *FEBS Lett*, 2007, 581, 3904-3908.