Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

The Synthesis of Core-shell MnO₂/3D-Ordered Hollow Carbon Sphere Composite and Its Superior Electrochemical Capability for Lithium Ion Battery

Zang Jun[‡], Chen Jia-jia[‡], Zhang Cheng-long, Qian Hang, Zheng Ming-sen^{*}, and Dong Quan-feng^{*}

s Received (in XXX, XXX) XthXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A hierarchical core-shell MnO₂/3D-ordered hollow carbon sphere composite was designed and synthesized by using the hollow carbon sphere (HCS) as carbon matrix. It exhibited an

¹⁰ excellent cycling and high current density performance with a stable and reversible capacity of 420 mAh g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ (based on the whole mass of composite) as a potential anode material for high power lithium ion batteries. The excellent performance of MnO₂/HCS composite should owe to the

15 synergistic effect of hierarchical architecture combined with nano-MnO₂ and porous structure of HCS.

Ongoing numerous research efforts are focused on searching for carbon alternatives in the hope of finding materials with both larger capacities and slightly more positive intercalation ²⁰ voltages compared to Li/Li⁺, so as to minimize any risks of Li dendrite formation at the end of fast recharging, which are

- associated with safety problems. Such an effort resulted in the emergence of transition metal oxides (TMOs) which have been considered as the most promising anode materials in 25 next-generation lithium ion batteries (LIBs).^{1,2} Among the
- TMOs, manganese oxides have attracted great attention due to their various crystalline structures with different physical and electrochemical properties.³⁻⁶ As an anode material, the theoretical capacity of MnO_2 with a moderate discharge
- ³⁰ potential (~0.4-0.5 V vs. Li/Li⁺) would be high up to 1233 mAh g⁻¹ (based on a 'conversion mechanism') which is almost the highest among all TMOs and more than 3 times larger compared with a commercial graphite anode (372 mAh g⁻¹).⁷⁻⁹ Meanwhile, it is resource-abundant and environmental
- ³⁵ friendliness.¹⁰ Actually, birnessite-type MnO₂ with high reversible capacity can be used as an anode electrode when the reversible conversion mechanism is enabled by the formation of nanometer-sized (<5 nm) Mn grains uniformly dispersed in a Li₂O matrix during the manganese oxide ⁴⁰ reduction reaction. ^{4,9} The nanometer-sized intact interface between Mn grains and Li₂O makes the reverse reaction kinetically favorable. Nevertheless, the developing of MnO₂ as an anode material for lithium ion batteries is still hindered by achieving a complete conversion reaction of MnO₂, the ⁴⁵ volume change and particles pulverization during lithiation/delithiation process.^{4,11}

It has been pointed out that decreasing the particle size to

nano-scale and combining with conductive matrix, such as carbon nanotubes and graphene nanosheets, are effective ways to improve the electrochemical performances of TMO anodes.¹²⁻¹⁴ The conductive matrix would not only benefit to a shorter lithium ion transportation path and convenient electron transfer, but also accommodation of volume expansion during battery cycling. Nevertheless, previous investigation on MnO₂ as an anode material demonstrated only a partial conversion reaction of MnO₂/carbon nanotubes (CNTs) composite, with a reversible capacity of 801 mAh g⁻¹ in the first 20 cycles at the current density of 100 mA g⁻¹.⁴ Until now, it is still a



challenge to fully release the capacity of MnO₂.

Fig. 1 SEM images a) and b) showing the morphologies of 3D ordered HCS, the inset picture in b) is the TEM image of 3D ordered HCS.

Herein, we firstly report an almost complete and highly reversible conversion reaction of MnO₂ with a 4e process by 65 utilizing 3D ordered hollow carbon spheres (HCS) as conductive matrix. 3D ordered HCS is usually considered as an excellent conductive framework due to its spherality, porosity and high specific surface area.^{15,16} By using PMMA emulsion as the template and resorcinol-formaldehyde as the 70 carbon precursor, the template was decomposed and then the precursor was carbonized into uniform structure of HCS after sintering at 800 °C for 1 h under N2 atmosphere. As shown in Fig. 1a and b, all hollow carbon spheres, forming a close packed structure, are uniform in size with an average diameter 75 of ~220 nm. The HRTEM micrograph (the inset picture of Fig. 1b) reveals that the carbon sphere shell is in a uniform thickness around 20 nm. The external surface of HCS is very smooth and clean, indicating no impurities were left over the carbonization process. The HCS exhibits a Type IV isotherm 80 with a Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of 922.56 $m^2 g^{-1}$ and a mono-mesoporous size of around 4 nm, derived

from the N_2 porosimetry using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method (see Fig. S1, ESI). $^{17}\,$

- Nano-structured MnO_2 was in-situ grown on the surface of HCS by a facile redox method, using P123 as a surfactant. ⁵ KMnO₄ was reduced by the external carbon layer of HCS and then nano-crystalline MnO_2 is uniformly grown in-situ on the
- 3D ordered HCS surfaces, following the reaction process: 4 MnO₄⁻ + 3 C + H₂O \rightarrow 4 MnO₂ + CO₃²⁻ + 2 HCO₃^{-.18} Composites with different MnO₂ ratio were obtained by
- ¹⁰ adjusting the molar ratio of KMnO₄ and HCS, determined by TG/DTA under a dry air atmosphere at a heating rate of 5° min⁻¹. The mass loading of MnO₂ is calculated to be 68%, 47% and 35%, respectively (see Fig. S2, ESI). XRD patterns of the HCS and MnO₂/HCS composites with different MnO₂ is loadings are shown in Fig. S3 (ESI). The XRD peaks at $2\theta=12^{\circ}$, 37° and 66° can be readily indexed to the (001), (111)
- and (020) planes of birnessite-type MnO_2 .⁴ With the increasing of molar of KMnO₄, the reduction extent of HCS

was increasing gradually and then the hierarchical structures 20 of MnO₂/HCS composites were damaged severely (Fig. 2a-d). For a low molar ratio of KMnO₄/HCS, MnO₂ could be uniformly grown on the surface of HCS. When KMnO₄ was excess, HCS in the composite was almost disappeared (Fig. 2d). This indicates that only in a reasonable molar ratio of 25 KMnO₄/HCS, a uniform morphology and stable structure of MnO₂/HCS composite can be obtained. As shown in Fig. 2b, core-shell structure of MnO₂/HCS-47% composite forms an interwoven 3D network structure with a size of ~100 nm in length and ~5 nm in width. The Mn and C element mappings ³⁰ (Fig. 2e-g) further confirm that nano-structure MnO₂ has an intact contact with the surface of HCS without significant fraction. The SAED pattern (see Fig. S4, ESI) also clearly demonstrated that the as-prepared MnO₂ was nanopolycrystalline which was in agreement with the result of the 35 broadened XRD peaks of MnO₂/HCS composites.



Fig. 2 The SEM images showing the different morphologies of MnO₂/HCS composites prepared by adjusting the molar ratio of KMnO₄/HCS: a) MnO₂/HCS-35% ; b) MnO₂/HCS-47%; c) MnO₂/HCS-68% and d) pure MnO₂; the inset picture in b) is the TEM image of MnO₂/HCS-47; e-g) STEM image and corresponding C and Mn elemental mappings of MnO₂/HCS-47%.

⁴⁰ When tested as anode materials for lithium ion batteries, the electrochemical performances of the MnO₂/HCS composites were greatly influenced by their morphologies and hierarchical structures. As a conductive carbon matrix, HCS also exhibits superior rate and cyclic capability. No obvious ⁴⁵ fading of the discharge capacity is observed during 100 cycles after activation for the first 3 cycles under a current density of 0.1 A g^{-1} (Fig. 3a). In addition, it could reserve a stable capacity of 116.7 mAh g^{-1} at 10 A g^{-1} and has the ability to regain almost 324.5 mAh g^{-1} when the current density returns ⁵⁰ to 0.1 A g^{-1} (see Fig. S5, ESI).



Fig. 3 a) The cycle performances of different mass loading of MnO₂/HCS composites; b) the charging-discharging curves of MnO₂/HCS-47% at different current densities; c) the comparative rate performances of HCS, MnO₂/HCS-47% composite and the capacities based on MnO₂ subtracting the capacity contribution of HCS from MnO₂/HCS-47% composite.

5

Inspired by the stable electrochemical performance of HCS during lithiation/delithiation process, nano-MnO₂ is in-situ ¹⁰ grown on ordered HCS surfaces, aiming at building novel composites with expected electrochemical properties. As shown in Fig. 3a, most of the MnO₂/HCS composites experience a marked fading in capacity during the initial cycles, which has been ascribed to the lithium ion ¹⁵ consumption in the decomposition of the electrolyte and formation of solid electrolyte interface (SEI) film. MnO₂/HCS-47% composite exhibits the best electrochemical performance among all the as-prepared products. It retains a stable capacity at 692.5 mAh g⁻¹ (based on the whole mass of ²⁰ MnO₂/HCS composite) during 100 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹ which is

about 81% in comparison with the initial reversible capacity. MnO₂/HCS-35% shows a stable cycle performance but with a low specific capacity due to its low MnO₂ loading while pure MnO₂ and MnO₂/HCS-68% deliver low capacities and suffer ²⁵ capacities degrading during cycling which are caused by the high active material mass loading and destroyed structure of HCS.

Meanwhile, the comparative studies of the voltage versus capacity profiles at different rates (Fig. 3b) show that 30 MnO₂/HCS-47% suffers a high rate discharging-charging testing with only small polarization. It could represent the typical electrochemical behavior whilst deliver a reversible capacity of 420 mAh g⁻¹ based on the whole mass of composite at the current density of 1 A g⁻¹, which is still more $_{35}$ than the theoretical capacity of graphite (372 mAh g⁻¹). Even increasing current density up to 5 A g⁻¹, it could also deliver a specific capacity of 180 mAh g⁻¹. If the capacity contribution of HCS is subtracted from MnO₂/HCS composite at the same current density (Fig. 3c, Fig. S5 and S6, ESI), MnO₂ could ⁴⁰ achieve a reversible stable capacity of 1107.5 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 A g⁻¹ within 100 cycles which is close to its theoretical of 1233 mAh g⁻¹, indicating that an almost complete conversion reaction of MnO₂ is achieved within lithiation/delithiation process. Nevertheless, rate performances of other MnO₂/HCS 45 composites could not exhibit such stable or high capacity retention during cycling (see Table S1, Fig. S7, ESI).

If the electrochemical reaction between MnO₂ and Li can be described as a reversible 'conversion mechanism', the formation of Mn/Li₂O should lead to a theoretical capacity of ⁵⁰ 1233 mAh g⁻¹. It is commonly believed that Li ion transport could be enhanced by the nano-structure while electron mobility is highly dependent on the interface of conductive matrix.¹⁹ Therefore, the superior electrochemical performance of MnO₂/HCS-47% composite should be attributed to the 55 synergistic effect of hierarchical architecture. As illustrated in Fig. 4a, the high porosity of HCS facilitates the penetration of electrolyte into nanopores while the high specific surface area can be in favor of increasing the electron transfer within its sphere structure. Meanwhile, HCS shows excellent structure 60 stability during the Li⁺ intercalation/deintercalation process, even suffering from a very high current density. After in-situ growing of MnO₂ on the ordered HCS surfaces, the Li⁺ diffusion and electron transfer at the interface of nano-scale MnO₂ and HCS can be improved greatly. As confirmed by the 65 EIS (Fig. 4b), HCS has the lowest charge transfer resistance (Rct) and the Rct of the MnO₂/HCS composites increases when the mass loading of MnO2 increases. Meanwhile, XPS result (Fig. 4d) shows the Mn 2p spectrum, the peaks of Mn 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 which are centered at 642.3 and 654.1 eV, 70 respectively, with a spin-energy separation of 11.8 eV, are in good agreement with reported data.^{3,20} At the end of first discharging of MnO₂/HCS-47%, XPS peaks of Li 1s centered at 54.7 eV confirms the formation of Li₂O. And the binding energies of Mn 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 decrease due to the reduction 75 of MnO₂ to metallic Mn (Fig. 4e and f).^{3,21} According to the results of XPS spectrum, MnO₂ can be reduced into Mn and Li₂O in the initial lithiation process. Considering the highly reversible charging-discharging capacities of 1107.5 mAh g⁻¹,

an almost complete conversion reaction of MnO₂ can be achieved in MnO₂/HCS-47%. This advantage of synergistic effect of hierarchical architecture can be further confirmed by the extremely long cycle performance under a high current 5 density (Fig. 4c). There is no obvious capacity fading during 500 cycles and retains a stable 420 mAh g⁻¹ specific reversible capacity when tested at 1 A g⁻¹. This excellent electrochemical reversibility and structural stability should ascribe to the unique hierarchical structure by withstanding the huge volume ¹⁰ changes during charging/discharging process.



Fig. 4 a) Illustration of the synergistic effect of hierarchical architecture of core-shell MnO₂/HCS composite; b) Comparative AC impedance studies of HCS, pure MnO₂ and different MnO₂ mass loading MnO₂/HCS composites; c) Long cycling and high rate testing of MnO₂/HCS-47% at 1 A g⁻¹, specific capacities were calculated based on the whole mass of MnO₂/HCS-47% composite; and XPS spectra of Mn element in MnO₂/HCS-47% composite before (d) and after (e) first discharge process. Formation of Li₂O (f) during the initial lithiation process has been confirmed using XPS.

Conclusions

In summary, we have prepared MnO_2/HCS composite with hierarchical hollow structure. A thin layer of poor crystallized birnessite-type MnO_2 can be homogenously in-situ grown on

- ²⁰ the external surface of HCS. The superior electrochemical performances should be attributed to the synergistic effect of hierarchical architecture. First, the conductive HCS matrix improves the ion and electron transportation in MnO₂ bulk phase while also decreases the resistance at the interface of
- $_{25}$ electrode/electrolyte. Secondly, the intact contact of nano MnO_2 with the surface of HCS provides good elasticity retention to retard the volume change during Li^+ insertion/extraction of MnO_2 bulk phase, thus facilitating the repetitive cycling. Last but not least, such unique structure of
- ³⁰ hollow core-shell MnO₂/carbon composites will probably have potential applications in electrochemical power source, sensors and other fields, such as the catalyst for lithium air battery.

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Key ³⁵ Project of NSFC (U1305246, 21321062), the Major Project funded by Xiamen city (3502Z20121002).

Notes and references

State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces, Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical

⁴⁰ Engineering, XiamenUniversity, Xiamen, Fujian, 361005, China Fax: +86-592-2185905; Tel: +86-592-2185905;

*Corresponding author e-mail: <u>afdong@xmu.edu.cn</u> and <u>mszheng@xmu.edu.cn</u>.

- †Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Detailed
- 45 experimental procedures. XRD、TGA、Rate performance and dischargecharge curves. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

[‡] These two authors, Jun Zang and Jia Jia Chen, contributed equally to this work.

- 1. P. Poizot, S. Laruelle, S. Grugeon, L. Dupont, and J.-M. Tarascon, *Nature*, 2000, **407**, 496.
- P. L. Taberna, S. Mitra, P. Poizot, P. Simon, and J. M. Tarascon, *Nat Mater*, 2006, 5, 567.
- 3. V. B. R. Boppana and F. Jiao, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 8973.
- 4. F. Y. Cheng, J. Chen, X. L. Gou, and P. W. Shen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, 55 17,2753.
 - S. Chen, J. Zhu, X. Wu, Q. Han, and X. Wang, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 2822.
 - M. Kundu, C. C. A. Ng, D. Y. Petrovykh, and L. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 8459.
- 60 7. A. L. M. Reddy, M. M. Shaijumon, S. R. Gowda, and P. M. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, 9, 1002.
 - C. X. Guo, M. Wang, T. Chen, X. W. Lou, and C. M. Li, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, 1, 736.
- 9. Y. Wang, Z. J. Han, S. F. Yu, R. R. Song, H. H. Song, K. (Ken) Ostrikov, and H. Y. Yang, *Carbon*, 2013, **64**, 230.
 - 10. J. Zhao, Z. Tao, J. Liang, and J. Chen, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2008, 8, 2799.
 - 11. M. S. Wu and P. C. Chiang, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 23279.
 - 12. M. V. Reddy, T. Yu, C. H. Sow, Z. X. Shen, C. T. Lim, G. V. Subba Rao, and B. V. R. Chowdari, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2007, **17**, 2792.
 - L. Shen, H. Li, E. Uchaker, X. Zhang, and G. Cao, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 5673.
- 14. L. Li, A.-R. O. Raji, and J. M. Tour, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 6298
- 15. Y. Li, T. Li, M. Yao, and S. Liu, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 10911.
- 75 16. F. Böttger-Hiller, P. Kempe, G. Cox, A. Panchenko, N. Janssen, A. Petzold, T. Thurn-Albrecht, L. Borchardt, M. Rose, S. Kaskel, C. Georgi, H. Lang, and S. Spange, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 6088.
- 17. A. Lu, A. Kiefer, W. Schmidt, and F. Schüth, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 0 100.
- 18. Z. Lei, J. Zhang, and X. S. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 22, 153.
- D. Wang, D. Choi, J. Li, Z. Yang, Z. Nie, R. Kou, D. Hu, C. Wang, L. V. Saraf, J. Zhang, I. A. Aksay, and J. Liu, *ACS Nano*, 2009, **3**, 907.
- 20. D. Liu, Q. Zhang, P. Xiao, B. B. Garcia, Q. Guo, R. Champion, and G. Cao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 1376.
- 21. M. C. Biesinger, B. P. Payne, A. P. Grosvenor, L. W. M. Lau, A. R. Gerson, and R. S. C. Smart, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, 257, 2717.

Journal of Materials Chemistry A Accepted Manuscrip