Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/materialsA

Entry for table of contents

A poly(acrylic acid) coated sulphur cathode significantly improves the cyclability of Li/S battery because resulting gel blocks the out-diffusion of lithium polysulphide via hydrogenbonds.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

Poly(acrylic acid) gel as a polysulphide blocking layer for high performance lithium/sulphur battery

Sheng S. Zhang,**^a* **Dat T. Tran***^a* **and Zhengcheng Zhang***^b*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX ⁵ **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

Lithium/sulphur (Li/S) battery is the most promising electrochemical energy storage system beyond Liion, due to the high theoretical energy density and low cost of elemental sulphur. However, its development has been hindered by many problems in relation to the out-diffusion of dissolved lithium polysulphide (PS, Li₂S_n with 4≤n≤8), the parts of sulphur reduction intermediates. In this paper we

- ¹⁰ demonstrate a proof-of-concept for blocking the out-diffusion of the dissolved PS by employing a duallayer structural sulphur cathode with a porous poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) membrane coated on the top surface. Upon activation of the liquid electrolyte, the porous PAA membrane becomes a gel and resulting gel chemically blocks the out-diffusion of PS anions by forming hydrogen-bonds between the –COOH groups in the gelled PAA and the negatively charged PS anions. Verified visually by a potentostatic
- 15 polarization experiment at 1.7 V vs. Li/Li^+ , the out-diffusion of PS in an electrolyte-flooded Li/S cell is well blocked by the dual-layer structural sulphur cathode. As a result, the Li/S cell consisting of a duallayer structural sulphur cathode exhibits much improved capacity retention while still providing the similar specific capacity, as compared with the cell using the conventional sulphur cathode.

1. Introduction

- ²⁰ Considerable research interest has recently been in rechargeable lithium/sulphur (Li/S) battery because of the high theoretical energy density (\sim 2600 Wh kg⁻¹), low cost and earth abundance of elemental sulphur.¹⁻⁴ These merits can be true only when the material process is cheap and the cathode is loaded with a high
- 25 content of sulphur due to the low density of sulphur (2.07 g cm^{-3} at 0° C for the densest alpha-sulphur). By nature, sulphur and its reduction products are electrically insulating, which limits the utilization of sulphur active material and the rate capability of Li/S batteries. These drawbacks can be partially overcome by the
- ³⁰ high solubility of lithium polysulphides (PS, Li₂S_n with 4≤n≤8), the parts of sulphur reduction intermediates, in organic liquid electrolyte, which transfers the cathode reaction from on a solidsolid (i.e., carbon-sulphur species) interface into on a solid-liquid $(i.e., carbon-PS solution)$ interface.^{1,5} However, the dissolution of
- ³⁵ PS meanwhile raises many problems, including the loss of sulphur active material, low charging efficiency, high selfdischarge rate, short cycle life as well as severe Li corrosion, because the dissolved PS diffuse out of the cathode and migrate across the electrolyte/separator into the anode where the PS
- ⁴⁰ chemically react with metallic Li or electrochemically reduce into shorter chain PS. As a solution to these problems, the sulphurcarbon composites based on various types of porous carbon materials have been intensely investigated to suppress the outdiffusion of the dissolved PS.^{2-4,6,7} In these composites, the ⁴⁵ dissolved PS are confined within the pores/hollows of carbon

materials, and the interior walls of carbon pores/hollows serve as

the redox sites of the PS ,^{6,7} as illustrated by Fig. 1a. Beside the extra cost of material and process, the improvement by this approach is achieved in exchange of the energy density because ⁵⁰ the composite introduces large amount of electrochemically inactive carbon and only these liquid electrolytes absorbed within the pores/hollows of carbon are accessible for the dissolution of PS. In fact, most of the liquid electrolytes in the cathode are present in the pores between the particles. For the maximized ⁵⁵ dissolution of the PS, the dissolved PS should be confined throughout the cathode, other than only within the pores of carbon particles, as illustrated by Fig. 1b. This concept has been successfully demonstrated by a PS-blocking layer in two types of designs: (1) applying a porous carbon layer to the top surface of ⁶⁰ sulphur cathode for absorbing the dissolved PS and serving as the additional redox sites of the PS ,^{8,9} and (2) coating a cationselective gel polymer electrolyte (GPE) onto the sulphur cathode¹⁰⁻¹³ or the conventional separator¹⁴⁻¹⁷ for blocking the out-diffusion of the dissolved PS. Of the cation-selective GPEs, ⁶⁵ commercially available Nafion polymer has been particularly selected as the polymeric host because of its easiness in gelation by the organic liquid electrolyte and high ionic dissociation in the resulting gel.¹⁴ However, the high cost of Nafion polymer limits its large scale application in the Li/S batteries. Moreover, the ⁷⁰ strong acidity of Nafion polymer could potentially initiate the ring-opening polymerization of 1,3-dioxolane, an essential solvent for the Li/S battery electrolytes, if the H⁺-formed Nafion polymer is used.

Fig. 1 Schematic concept for a polysulphide-blocking layer. (a) Pristine cathode, and (b) Dual-layer structural cathode with a PAA gel as the PSblocking layer.

- ⁵ In order to retain the high energy density and low cost of Li/S batteries, in this work we developed a dual-layer structural sulphur cathode that has high sulphur loading $(2 \text{ mg cm}^2 \text{ with})$ 77 wt.% sulphur) and contains a porous poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) membrane on the top surface of the electrode. Such cathodes are
- ¹⁰ suitable for the scale-up of fabrication, and are in low cost for both of the raw materials and process. Due to the highly porous structure, the liquid electrolyte can fast penetrate through the PAA membrane into the bulk of the sulphur cathode in the process of electrolyte activation, and the PAA membrane closes
- ¹⁵ its pores upon the gelation by the liquid electrolyte. Unlike the Nafion polymer, PAA swells slightly and dissociates poorly in the organic liquid electrolyte. These features have made it suitable for a water-based binder of the sulphur cathode.^{18,19} However, the –COOH groups in the gelled PAA are able to form
- ²⁰ hydrogen bonds with the PS anions, as schematically indicated by Fig. 1b, which chemically captures the PS anions and blocks the out-diffusion of the PS anions. In this paper, we present a proofof-concept for the in-situ formed PAA gel as the PS-blocking layer in the liquid electrolyte Li/S battery.

²⁵ **2. Experimental**

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Prior to use, dimethyl ether (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) were dried over 4Å molecular sieves for a week, lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) and LiNO₃ were dried at 110 $^{\circ}$ C under

- ³⁰ vacuum for 10 h. A liquid electrolyte with a composition of 0.25 mol Kg^{-1} LiTFSI-0.25 mol Kg^{-1} LiNO₃ dissolved in a 1:1 (wt.) DME/DOL mixed solvent was prepared in an argon-filled glovebox having a dew point of -90 °C. A sulphur cathode consisting by weight of 77% sulphur, 10% Super-P carbon, 10%
- ³⁵ Ketjenblack EC-300JD carbon black and 3% binder was coated onto a carbon-coated aluminium foil by using poly(acrylonitrilemethyl methacrylate) (ANMMA, AN/MMA= 94:6, MW=100, 000, Polysciences, Inc.) as the binder and N-methyl pyrrolidinone as the solvent. On average, the cathode had a sulphur loading of 2
- μ_0 mg cm⁻². For making a dual-layer structural cathode, a 2 wt.% poly(acrylic acid) (PAA, MW=3,000,000) solution was prepared by dissolving PAA powder into a 1:1 (wt.) water/isopropanol mixture. Resultant PAA solution was coated onto the top of a conventional sulphur cathode using a 10 milli-inch doctor blade, ⁴⁵ which resulted in a highly porous membrane with a PAA loading

of 0.35 mg cm⁻². Before use, the cathode was dried at 60 °C under vacuum for 16 h.

Surface structure of the cathode was observed using a Quanta 200F scanning electron microscope (SEM), and electrochemical ⁵⁰ characterization of the cell was conducted on a Solartron SI 1287 Electrochemical Interface and a SI 1260 Impedance/Gain-Phase Analyzer. For potentostatic polarization test, the same slurry as used in the cathode was coated onto a Ni strip by a simple dipping process, and the resulting cathode was potentostatically 55 polarized at 1.7 V vs. Li/Li⁺ in an electrolyte-flooded cell. For cycling test, BR2335-type Li/S coin cell was assembled by using a Celgard 3401 membrane as the separator and activated with 20 µL liquid electrolyte. Before test, the cell was stored at 40 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h to allow the gelation of porous PAA membrane. Cyclic α voltammetry was carried out by scanning at 0.2 mV s⁻¹ from the cell's open-circuit potential (OCP), and ac impedance was measured at OCP in the frequency range from 0.01 to 100 kHz with an ac oscillation of 10 mV amplitude. The cell was galvanostatically cycled between 1.7 V and 2.8 V on a Maccor ⁶⁵ Series 4000 cycler.

3. Results and Discussion

Structure of sulphur cathode

Surface structure of the sulphur cathode is observed using SEM. Fig. 2 shows the SEM images of two types of sulphur cathodes. ⁷⁰ In the pristine cathode that contains 77 wt.% elemental sulphur (Fig. 2a), the large and irregular sulphur particles are partially surrounded by amorphous and highly porous carbon. In the PAAcoated cathode (Fig. 2b), however, most of sulphur particles and carbon are covered by a thin PAA membrane. Although this PAA ⁷⁵ membrane looks very dense in a detailed view (Fig. 2b, x2000), there are numerous cracks in view of the whole membrane (Fig. 2c, x50). A detailed view into the cracks indicates that the body of PAA membrane is highly porous (Fig. 2d, x2000). In the process of electrolyte activation, the cracks on the surface and the ⁸⁰ pores in the body of the membrane enable fast penetration of the liquid electrolyte throughout the cathode. A separate electrolyte penetration test shows no visible difference in the wettability between the pristine cathode and the PAA-coated cathode. Upon the activation of liquid electrolyte, the PAA membrane is gelled δ ss by storing the cell at 40 °C for a certain time period, which makes the closure of the cracks and pores in the membrane, forming a dense and uniform PAA gel. In addition, it is shown that the crack and porosity of the PAA membrane are controllable by adjusting the ratio of solvents in PAA solution and the rate of ⁹⁰ solvent evaporation. Therefore, the PAA-coated cathode offers a facile and low cost approach for in-situ making the PAA gel in the Li/S batteries.

Fig. 2 SEM images of (a) Pristine cathode (x2,000), (b) PAA-coated cathode (x2,000), (c) PAA-coated cathode (x50), and (d) Detailed view into cracks on PAA-coated cathode (x2,000).

⁵ **PAA gel as PS-blocking layer**

The –COOH groups in the gelled PAA are poorly dissociated due to the nature of weak acid. However, it is possible to form the hydrogen (H) bonds between the –COOH groups and PS anions because the PS has higher PKa than the carboxylic acids. These

- ¹⁰ H-bonds are able to chemically block the out-diffusion of the PS anions in the Li/S battery. To verify this concept, we conducted a potentostatic polarization experiment on an electrolyte-flooded Li/S cell. Fig. 3 indicates the current-time (I-t) response of two cells using the pristine cathode and PAA-coated cathode,
- ¹⁵ respectively. In the pristine cathode cell (Cell 1), the I-t response exhibits two distinct plateaus. That is, the upper current plateau reflects high reduction kinetics of sulphur and fast out-diffusion of resulting soluble Li_2S_n (n≥4), and the lower current plateau corresponds to the return (reduction) of the dissolved $Li₂S_n$ from
- ²⁰ the solution, as verified by the visual observation. Since the electrode is flooded in extreme excess liquid electrolyte, in this case the concentration of PS in the electrolyte solution is low so that the currents of the lower plateau are almost close to the background. Before polarization, the electrolyte solution is clear
- 25 and colorless (Photo a). As polarized to Point b, the brown Li_2S_n are diffused out of the cathode (Photo b). Whereas continuous polarization leads to slow decoloration of the PS solution (Photo c) because the out-diffused PS is reduced (returned) back from the solution. In contrast, in the PAA-coated cathode cell (Cell 2),
- ³⁰ the I-t response only shows a single region with the current monotonously declining against the polarization time. This is because the long-chain Li_2S_n formed in the first discharge stage is continuously reduced into short-chain PS without diffusing out of the cathode. This judgment is well supported by the visual
- ³⁵ observation (Photos a'-c'), which do not show any out-diffusion of the colorful PS. On the other hand, the blockage of the PS outdiffusion provides indirect evidence for the closure of the cracks and pores in the PAA membrane upon the formation of the PAA gel. It should be noted that direct evidence for the closure of
- ⁴⁰ cracks and pores is difficulty obtained from the cycled Li/S cell because after test the cathode and separator are tightly stuck together, which makes it impossible to separate them without

damaging of the cathode structure. The results above reveal that the PAA gel can act as an effective PS-blocking layer in the ⁴⁵ liquid electrolyte Li/S batteries.

Fig. 3 Current-time response (top) and photographs (bottom) of an electrolyte-flooded Li/S cell during a potentostatic polarization test at 1.7 V vs. Li/Li⁺, where the symbol with a letter indicates the position of ⁵⁰ photograph. (1) Pristine cathode, and (2) PAA-coated cathode.

Electrochemical characteristic of Li/S cell

Basic electrochemical characteristics of the Li/S cell employing the PAA-coated cathode are displayed in Fig. 4. It can be observed from Fig. 4a that when cycled at 0.2 mA cm⁻², the cell 55 has a 1012 mAh g^{-1} of initial specific capacity, which falls to 819 mAh g^{-1} in the second cycle, followed by very stable capacity retention. When cycled at 0.5 mA cm^{-2} , however, the specific capacity of the first discharge declines to 557 mAh g^{-1} and the capacity exhibits an obvious activation period, during which the ⁶⁰ specific capacity progressively increases until reaching a maximum value at the 16th cycle. The activation phenomena have been frequently observed from the Li/S batteries, $20-25$ and their appearance can be attributed to the insufficient utilization of insulating sulphur in discharge Stage 1, which corresponds to a ϵ s phase transition from the solid sulphur to liquid Li₂S₈ solution, due to the slow out-diffusion of the dissolved Li_2S_8 ^{23,24} In other words, the $Li₂S₈$ formed in Stage 1 is continuously reduced into less soluble or even insoluble Li_2S_n (n<4) before the sulphur particles are completely reduced into the highly soluble $Li₂S₈$. ⁷⁰ Affected by many factors such as the content and loading of sulphur in cathode, the electrolyte/sulphur ratio in cell, and discharge current rate, the activation period generally lasts longer with an increase in the discharge rate and the sulphur particle size. Since the resulting PAA gel blocks the out-diffusion of ⁷⁵ dissolved PS, the PAA-coated cathode shows more significant activation phenomenon, as compared with the pristine cathode.

Fig. 4 Typical electrochemical characteristics of Li/S cells with a PAAcoated sulphur cathode. (a) Discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency, (b) Voltage profile of the first cycle, in which the green curve shows a s continuous discharge at 0.2 mA cm⁻² following the end of discharge at 0.5 mA cm^2 , (c) Cyclic voltammogram following the end of discharge at 0.5 mA cm⁻², and (d) Impedance spectra at different states of discharge.

Our explanation to the activation phenomenon is well supported by the results of galvanostatic cycling, cyclic ¹⁰ voltammetry, and ac impedance measurements, respectively, as exhibited in Fig. 4b-d. As indicated by Fig. 4b, the initial capacity is highly dependent on the discharge current density, i.e., 1012 mAh g^{-1} at 0.2 mA cm⁻² and 557 mAh g^{-1} at 0.5 mA cm⁻². However, the cell is able to release additional 413 mAh g^{-1} of μ is capacity in a continuous discharge at 0.2 mA cm⁻² following the end of discharge at 0.5 mA cm^{-2} , resulting in a total capacity of 970 mAh g^{-1} , which is very close to that (1012 mAh g^{-1}) obtained at 0.2 mA cm^{-2} . On the other hand, the cyclic voltammogram

- (Fig. 4c) shows significant reduction currents between the OCP ²⁰ and 1.7 V in the first cycle following the end of discharge at 0.5 mA cm⁻². Beside the above, the ac-impedance measurement gives indirect evidence that the initial discharge at 0.5 mA cm⁻² is incomplete. It has been repeatedly determined that chargetransfer resistances at the charged state and the discharged state
- 25 are much higher than those in the midst of discharge.^{26,27} As shown in Fig. 4d, however, the cell's impedance at the end of discharge at 0.5 mA cm⁻² is smaller than those at the charged state and discharge state. The above results verify that the appearance of activation period in the Li/S batteries is due to the
- ³⁰ insufficient utilization of sulphur active material. In the present case, the dissolved Li_2S_n is confined within the cathode by the PAA gel layer, leading to an increase in the concentration and viscosity of PS around the carbon surface. As a result, the formed long-chain $Li₂S_n$ does not diffuse away from the carbon surface, ³⁵ instead is continuously reduced into shorter chain PS. Resultant
- $Li₂S₂$ and $Li₂S$ block the physical contact between the unreacted sulphur and carbon surface, leading to low utilization of the sulphur active material.

Advantage over pristine cathode

⁴⁰ Performances of the Li/S cells employing the pristine cathode and PAA-coated cathode are compared in Fig. 5. Basically, two cells exhibit similar specific capacities in a range of $740-760$ mAh g^{-1} when the cells are cycled at 0.5 mA $cm²$ and the capacities become stable as indicated by Fig. 5a. During the initial few ⁴⁵ cycles, the pristine cathode cell shows a steep decrease in the

specific capacity whereas the PAA-coated cathode cell shows an activation period, in which the former is due to the loss of sulphur active material as a result of the out-diffusion of the formed longchain $Li₂S₈$. After about 15 cycles, the specific capacities of two ⁵⁰ cells are changed in a similar pattern, namely starting with a slow increase followed by a linear decline. Fig. 5a shows that the pristine cathode cell remains a slow increase in the specific capacity until ~100 cycles whereas the PAA-coated cathode cell until ~300 cycles, which is three times longer than the pristine ⁵⁵ cathode cell. This observation is in a good agreement with our concept that the PAA gel blocks the out-diffusion of the dissolved PS. After 300 cycles for the PAA-coated cathode and ~100 cycles for the pristine cathode, the rate of capacity fading is accelerated. This is probably due to a decrease in the concentration of $LiNO₃$ ⁶⁰ as a result of the gradual consumption on the sulphur cathode and Li anode,^{5,26} a common phenomenon observed in the Li/S

Fig. 5 Comparison for Li/S cells with pristine cathode and PAA-coated 65 cathode, respectively. (a) Cycling performance at 0.5 mA $cm⁻²$, (b) Voltage profile recorded in the 10th cycle, and (c) Impedance spectrum at charged state.

Beside the cycling stability, the PAA-coated cathode is also superior in the cycling energy efficiency as suggested by Fig. 5b. ⁷⁰ In comparison with the pristine cathode cell, the PAA-coated cathode cell suffers smaller polarization, namely, it discharges at higher voltages while is charged at lower voltages. This result is surprising because extra PAA gel must add ohmic resistance into the cell, which normally increases the cell's polarization. In order ⁷⁵ to understand this unusual behaviour, we measured and compared the impedance spectra of these two cells at the charged state, as indicated in Fig. 5c. It can be seen from the inset of Fig. 5c that the PAA-coated cathode cell does have slightly higher bulk resistance as compared with the pristine cathode cell, being in ⁸⁰ consistence with the fact that the extra PAA gel layer increases ohmic resistance of the cell. However, the PAA-coated cathode cell has significantly smaller cell reaction resistance (R_{cr}) , here the R_{cr} is defined as the overall resistance of the electrode surface layer and charge-transfer process on the electrode-electrolyte ss interface.²⁸ The similar results also have been reported on the Li/S cell with a Nafion-coated sulphur cathode, in which the outdiffusion of the dissolved PS is hindered by the electrical repulsion of the negatively charged Nafion gel.¹¹ Therefore, the smaller polarization observed from the PAA-coated cathode cell can be reasonably attributed to the reduced R_{cr} as a result of the PAA gel blocking the out-diffusion of the dissolved PS.

4. Conclusions

- In summary, we demonstrated a proof-of-concept for a ⁵ poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) gel as the polysulphide-blocking layer for high performance Li/S batteries. In the PAA gel, the –COOH groups are poorly dissociated due to the nature of weak acid, however, they are able to form H-bonds with the polysulfide anions, which chemically blocks the diffusion of the polysulphide
- ¹⁰ anions out of the cathode. The blocking effect of the PAA gel on the out-diffusion of polysulphide anions has been experimentally verified by a potentostatic polarization test at 1.7 V vs. Li/Li⁺ and a galvanostatic cycling test at 0.5 mA cm^2 , respectively. It is shown that the PAA gel increases the cycle life of a Li/S cell
- ¹⁵ from 100 cycles to 300 cycles. The PAA gel can be in situ formed upon the activation of liquid electrolyte by employing a duallayer structural sulphur cathode that consists of a porous PAA membrane on the top surface. The porous PAA membrane can be easily applied to a conventional sulphur cathode by a simple
- ²⁰ solution coating process. The cracks and pores of the membrane can be conveniently controlled by the solvent composition and solvent evaporating rate. Due to the easiness for fabrication and the low cost for raw materials, the dual-layer structural sulphur cathode based on a porous PAA membrane offers a facile and
- ²⁵ low cost approach for improved performances of the liquid electrolyte Li/S batteries.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Drs. J. Read and C. Lundgren for their critical reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

³⁰ **Notes and references**

^a Electrochemistry Branch, RDRL-SED-C, Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Adelphi, MD 20783-1138, USA. Email: shengshui.zhang.civ@mail.mil or shengshui@gmail.com ^b Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National

- ³⁵ *Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4837, USA. Email: zzhang@anl.gov*
	- 1 S.S. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **231**, 153-162.
- 2 A. Manthiram, Y. Fu and Y.S. Su, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 1125-
- $1134.$
3 D.W. 3 D.W. Wang, Q. Zeng, G. Zhou, L. Yin, F. Li, H.M. Cheng, I.R. Gentle and G.Q.M. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 9382-9394.
- 4 G. Xu, B. Ding, J. Pan, P. Nie, L. Shen and X. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 12662-12676.
- ⁴⁵ 5 S.S. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **70**, 344-348.
- 6 N. Jayaprakash, J. Shen, S. S. Moganty, A. Corona and L. A. Archer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5904-5908.
- 7 C. Liang, N.J. Dudney and J.Y. Howe, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 4724-4730.
- ⁵⁰ 8 S.S. Zhang, and J. Read, *US Pat. Appl.*, 2013, US20130309572.
- 9 C. Zu, Y.S. Su, Y. Fu and A. Manthiram, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 2291-2297.
- 10 Y. Cao, X. Li, I. A. Aksay, J. Lemmon, Z. Nie, Z. Yang and J. Liu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 7660-7665.
- ⁵⁵ 11 Q.W. Tang, Z.Q. Shan, L. Wang, X. Qin, K.L. Zhu, J.H. Tian and X.S. Liu, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **246**, 253-259.
	- 12 Z. Liu, X.H. Zhang and C.S. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 5602- 5605.
- 13 S. J. Oh, J.K. Lee and W.Y. Yoon, *Chemsuschem.*, 2014, DOI: ⁶⁰ 10.1002/cssc.201402318.
- 14 Z. Jin, K. Xie, X. Hong, Z. Hu and X. Liu, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **218**, 163-167.
- 15 I. Bauer, S. Thieme, J. Brueckner, H. Althues and S. Kaskel, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **251**, 417-422.
- ⁶⁵ 16 J.Q. Huang, Q. Zhang, H.J. Peng, X.Y. Liu, W.Z. Qian and F. Wei, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 347-353.
	- 17 I. Bauer, S. Thieme, J. Brueckner, H. Althues and S. Kaskel, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **251**, 417-422.
	- 18 Z. Zhang, W. Bao, H. Lu, M. Jia, K. Xie, Y. Lai and J. Li, *ECS* ⁷⁰ *Electrochem. Lett.*, 2012, **1**, A34-A37.
	- 19 J.T. Lee, Y. Zhao, S. Thieme, H. Kim, M. Oschatz, L. Borchardt, A. Magasinski, W.I. Cho, S. Kaskel and G. Yushin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4573-4579.
	- 20 M. Hagen, S. Dörfler, H. Althues, J. Tübke, M. J. Hoffmann, S. ⁷⁵ Kaskel and K. Pinkwart, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **213**, 239-248.
	- 21 H. Schneider, A. Garsuch, A. Panchenko, O. Gronwald, N. Janssen and P. Novák, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **205**, 420-425.
	- 22 L. Xiao, Y. Cao, J. Xiao, B. Schwenzer, M. H. Engelhard, L.V. Saraf, Z. Nie, G. J. Exarhos and J. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 1176-1181.
- ⁸⁰ 23 S.S. Zhang, *Energies*, 2012, **5**, 5190-5197.
	- 24 C. Wang, W. Wan, J.T. Chen, H.H. Zhou, X.X. Zhang, L.X. Yuan and Y.H. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 1716-1723.
	- 25 S.S. Zhang and D.T. Tran, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 7383-7388.
- 26 S.S. Zhang, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2012, **159**, A920-A923.
- ⁸⁵ 27 S.S. Zhang, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2013, **31**, 10-12.
- 28 S.S. Zhang, D. Foster and J. Read, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **191**, 648- 652.