# Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/methods

2

3 4 5

# Analytical Methods

# PAPER

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

# A turn-on upconversion fluorescence resonance energy transfer biosensor for ultrasensitive endonuclease detection

Li-Jiao Huang, Xue Tian, Jin-Tao Yi, Ru-Qin Yu and Xia Chu\*

**Analytical Methods** 

A facile one-step approach was proposed to make hydrophilic and DNA-functionalizable upconversion nanoparticles through ligand exchange at the liquid–liquid interface, and designed an ultrasensitive and selective biosensor for nuclease assay and its inhibitors assay based on FRET from the DNA-functionalizable UCNPs to graphene oxide. A high sensitivity exhibited with a detectable minimum concentration of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  units mL<sup>-1</sup> S1 nuclease, which was more sensitive than the developed approaches.

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

## www.rsc.org/methods

#### 1 Introduction

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) is a classic homogeneous assay technique that on the strength of transfer donors' nonradiative energy to acceptors that are close to each other (normally 1-10 nm), via dipole-dipole interactions. In coupled with the high sensitivity of fluorescence, FRET has been recognized as a magnificent sensitive method and has been widely used in bioassays. Currently, various fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between emerging nanomaterials and biomolecular recognition units has shown great potential for the development of novel clinic techniques.1-2 However, traditional diagnostic downconversion nanomaterials and organic dyes commonly used as donors in the FRET process usually excited using ultraviolet or blue light, which tends to give rise to strong background fluorescence from the endogenous chromophores in biological or environmental samples, thus limiting their applications in complex biological samples.<sup>3</sup>

Lanthanide-doped upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs), which are capable of emitting strong visible fluorescence under the excitation of near-infrared (NIR) light (typically ca. 980 nm), have attracted considerable attention. These UCNPs have shown significant advantages over the traditional downconversion nanomaterials due to their superior optical and chemical features, such as improved quantum yield, minimal photobleaching, reenforced light penetration depth in tissue, and low toxicity.<sup>4</sup> More importantly, under the excitation of NIR light, the effect of autofluorescence from complex samples and scattering light becomes negligible. These merits make UCNPs an ideal candidate as the fluorescence probe. Up to now, many research works have been reported to detect ions,<sup>5</sup> small molecules,<sup>6-7</sup> proteins,<sup>8-9</sup> and nucleic acids<sup>10-11</sup> based on UCNP fluorescence probes. To develop the UCNPs-based biosensors, a major challenge is to make water-dispersible, biocompatible and functionalizable UCNPs, because they are normally prepared in organic solvents and capped with hydrophobic ligands.<sup>12</sup> Many approaches such as one-step solvothermal synthesis,<sup>13-14</sup> silica coating<sup>15</sup> and phospholipid coating<sup>16</sup> have been developed to solve this problem. Our group has also prepared phospholipidmodified UCNPs for the detection of phospholipase D<sup>17</sup> and human immunodeficiency virus antibody<sup>18</sup>. Recently, Lu's group has reported an approach to prepare DNAfunctionalized UCNPs based on ligand exchange process,<sup>19</sup> which not only converts hydrophobic UCNPs into waterdispersible and biocompatible ones, but also avoids the extra steps of bioconjugations using cross-linkers.

**RSCPublishing** 

Here we developed water-dispersible and DNA-functionalized UCNPs based on a facile one-step ligand exchange approach, and designed a biosensor based on FRET from the DNA-functionalizable UCNPs to the graphene oxide (GO), a highly efficient energy transfer acceptor.<sup>20-21</sup> To demonstrate the utility of this strategy, we chose endonucleases assay as the model system. Endonucleases play a vital role in a variety of biological processes involving replication, recombination, DNA repair, molecular cloning, genotying, and mapping.<sup>22-23</sup> Moreover, the assay of endonuclease activity and inhibitors is of high importance in the fields ranging from biotechnology to pharmacology. Due to their intrinsic biological importance and use in a wide range of applications, development of sensitive methods for nuclease activity assay is essential in the fields of molecular biology, biomedicine, nanoscience, and biosensing.

#### 2 Experimental

#### 2.1 Reagents and Apparatus

Rare-earth chlorides used in this work including YCl<sub>3</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O, YbCl<sub>3</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O Tm(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>3</sub>•xH<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>4</sub>F, NaOH, oleic acid, 1-

59 60 **Analytical Methods** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

42

59 60 Paper

octadecene and thrombin were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (U.S.A.). Cyclohexane and ethanol were of analytical grade and were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). ATP and the purified DNA oligonucleotide (5' Phosphate-CGCAAAAAAGAGAGAGTAA-3') used in this work were obtained from Shanghai Sangon Biological Science & Technology Company (Shanghai, China). BSA and S1 nuclease (100 units  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. The S1 nuclease buffer (20 mM NaAc, 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, pH 4.5) was used to dilute S1 nuclease and enzymatic digestion reaction. Exonuclease III (*E. coli*) and Bst polymerase were purchased from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA, USA). All the solutions were prepared using ultrapure water (>18.25 MΩ) produced by a Millipore Milli-Q water purification system (Billerica, MA, USA).

The upconversion fluorescence measurements were carried out on a 16 17 FluoroMax-4 Spectrofluorometer (HORIBA Jobin Yvon, Inc., NJ, 18 USA) equipped with an external 980 nm diode CW laser 19 (Changchun New Industries Optoelectronics Tech. Co., Ltd.) as the 20 excitation source instead of the internal excitation source. The 21 fluorescence emission spectra were collected from 300 nm to 550 22 nm at room temperature with a 980 nm excitation wavelength. The 23 morphologies of the nanoparticles were obtained using a JEOL JEM-24 2100 transmission electron microscope (TEM). Dilute colloid 25 solutions of the OA-coated UCNPs dispersed in cyclohexane and 26 DNA-modified UCNPs dispersed in water were drop-cast on thin, 27 carbon formvar-coated copper grids respectively. The X-ray 28 diffraction (XRD) pattern of OA-coated UCNPs was performed on a 29 Rigaku D/Max-Ra x-ray diffractometer using a Cu target radiation 30 source ( $\lambda$ =0.14428 nm). The hydrodynamic size distribution and zeta 31 potential distribution of the DNA-modified UCNPs were determined 32 using a Malvern Zetasizer (Nano-ZS, USA). Fourier-transform 33 infrared (FT-IR) spectrum analysis was performed with a Nicolet 34 4700 Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer (Thermo 35 Electron Co., USA) by using the KBr method. X-ray photoelectron 36 spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were performed with a  $180^{\circ}$  double 37 focal hemisphere analyzer-128 channel detector, using an 38 unmonochromated Al Ka X-ray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 39 UK). The UV-vis absorption spectrum was recorded on a UV-2450 40 UV-vis spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). 41

#### 2. 2 Synthesis of oleic acid-coated NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Yb,Tm@NaYF<sub>4</sub> UCNPs

43 Water-insoluble acid-coated NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Yb,Tm@NaYF<sub>4</sub> oleic 44 nanoparticles (OA-UCNPs) were synthesized according to the 45 method described by literature with slight modification.<sup>24</sup> 46 NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Yb,Tm core nanoparticles were first synthesized. Briefly, 47 YCl<sub>3</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.695 mmol), YbCl<sub>3</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.30 mmol), and 48  $TmCl_3•6H_2O$  (0.005 mmol) (1 mmol, Y: Yb: Tm =69.5%: 30%: 49 50 0.5%) were added to a 50 mL three-necked flask containing oleic acid (OA) (8 mL) and 1-octadecene (15 mL). The reaction mixture 51 52 was heated to 100 °C under vacuum with stirring for 30 min to 53 remove residual water and oxygen and then heated to 160 °C for 54 another 30 min to form a homogeneous solution and then cooled 55 down to room temperature. Then, 10 mL of methanol solution 56 containing NaOH (2.5 mmol) and NH<sub>4</sub>F (4 mmol) was added slowly 57 and the resultant solution was stirred for an additional 30 min at 58 50 °C. The reaction mixture was heated to 70 °C under vacuum to remove methanol and then was rapidly heated to 300 °C under stirring and kept at this temperature for 1 h under Ar protection and then cooled down to room temperature. The resulting nanoparticles were precipitated by the addition of ethanol, collected by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 5 min, washed several times with ethanol and the resulting NaYF4:Yb,Tm core nanoparticles were Synthesis of NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Yb,Tm@NaYF<sub>4</sub> core-shell obtained. nanoparticles was performed in a similar manner except varying the amount of Y<sup>3+</sup> ions. YCl<sub>3</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mmol) was added to a 50 mL three-necked flask containing oleic acid (OA) (8 mL) and 1octadecene (15 mL). The reaction mixture was heated to 100  $^{\circ}$ C under vacuum with stirring for 30 min to remove residual water and oxygen and then heated to 160 °C for another 30 min to form a homogeneous solution, then cooled down to room temperature. NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Yb,Tm core nanoparticles in 2 mL of cyclohexane were added along with a 5 mL methanol solution of NH<sub>4</sub>F (2.5 mmol) and NaOH (4 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 30 min, and then the reaction temperature was increased to 80 °C to remove the methanol and cyclohexane. Then the solution was heated to 300 °C under an argon flow for 1 h and cooled down to room temperature. The resulting nanoparticles were precipitated by adding ethanol, collected by centrifugation, washed with ethanol for several times, and dried under vacuum for further experiments.

#### 2.3 Preparation of DNA-Modified UCNPs

The preparation of DNA-modified UCNPs was carried out according to the previously reported paper.<sup>19</sup> A water solution (2 mL) containing 0.6 nmol DNA oligonucleotides was slowly added into the OA-coated UCNPs (1 mg) in 1 mL of cyclohexane, and the solution was vigorously stirred for 18 h. Afterward, the UCNPs could be clearly transferred into the water layer from the cyclohexane layer through the ligand exchange at the liquid–liquid interface. The water solution was then transferred to a microtube. After vigorously sonication, excess DNAs was removed from UCNPs by centrifugation at 18000 rpm for 16 min and washed several times with ultrapure water. The obtained DNA-modified UCNPs were finally suspended in 0.8 mL of ultrapure water and stored at 4  $^{\circ}$ C for further experiments. The concentration of the DNA-modified UCNPs was calculated as ~ 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2. 4 Preparation of graphene oxide (GO)

GO was prepared according to the method as described in our previous work with some modification.<sup>25</sup> It was prepared by a modified Hummers method using graphite powder as starting material, the GO suspension (~2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was sonicated in an ice-bath using a probe-type sonicator under a power of 40 W for 4 h (work 2 s, rest 4 s). The resulting suspension was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 min, and then discarded the sediments.

#### 2. 5 Assay of S1 nuclease activity and inhibition

For measurement of S1 nuclease activity, 20  $\mu$ L aliquot of reagent solution containing DNA-functionalizable UCNPs (50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> of final concentration) and S1 nuclease of various concentrations was used to perform the enzymatic digestion reaction. The mixture was first incubated at 37 °C for 20 minutes, then GO (final concentration of 80  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) and ultrapure water was added to the mixture and further incubated at 37 °C for 60 min. The upconversion fluorescence spectra of the final mixture were measured using a FluoroMax-4 Spectrofluorometer (HORIBA Jobin Yvon, Inc., NJ, USA) equipped

σ

vtical Methods Accepted

with an external 980 nm diode CW laser (Changchun New Industries Optoelectronics Tech. Co., Ltd.) as the excitation source instead of the internal excitation source.

For the inhibition experiments, the inhibitor ATP of various concentrations was first introduced into the solution containing DNA-functionalizable UCNPs, and then S1 nuclease was added. All other procedures were the same as the aforementioned assay of S1 nuclease activity.

#### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Design of Strategy

**Analytical Methods** 

The principle of the upconversion FRET-based biosensor was shown in Scheme 1. The hydrophobic OA-coated UCNPs were first converted into water-dispersible DNA-functionalized UCNPs by using the 5'-end phosphate-modified DNA oligonucleotides through the interaction between the negatively charged phosphates of the DNA with surface lanthanide ions. When adding GO, DNAfunctionalizable UCNPs could adsorb on the surface of GO via  $\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking interactions and hydrophobic interactions. The upconversion fluorescence could be completely quenched through energy-transfer or electron-transfer processes. In contrast, if S1 nuclease is introduced into the system, DNA was cleaved into monoor short- oligonucleotides fragments. Therefore the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking and hydrophobic interactions would be weakened, which kept the UCNPs far away from the GO surface, resulting in the decrease in quenching efficiency and the recovery of upconversion fluorescence.



Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of the upconversion FRET-based biosensor for S1 nuclease detection.

#### 3.2 Characterization of UCNPs

Highly efficient upconverting NaYF<sub>4</sub>:Yb,Tm@NaYF<sub>4</sub> core-shell nanoparticles were synthesized using oleic acid (OA) as the stabilizing agent. The size and morphology of the OA-capped UCNPs were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The TEM image showed that these nanocrystals displayed uniform hexagonal plate-like morphology with mean sizes of approximately 58 nm (Fig. 1A). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis (Fig. 1C) indicated that the peak positions and intensities of the nanocrystals agreed well with the calculated values of the pure hexagonal-phase nanocrystals (JCPDS no. 28-1192).

To transfer the hydrophobic OA-coated UCNPs into the aqueous solution, the phosphate-modified DNA oligonucleotides were

conjugated onto the UCNP surfaces by ligand exchange at the liquid-liquid interface. The TEM image of the resulting DNAmodified UCNPs indicated that they remained monodisperse without obvious change in size, shape and crystallinity after modification with DNA (Fig. 1B). High-resolution TEM investigation (Fig. 1B, inset) confirmed a uniform, approximately 2 nm thick, hydrophilic DNA layer around the surface. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements indicated that the DNA-modified UCNPs were welldispersed in water, with a mean hydrodynamic diameter of about 98 nm (Figure S1 in Supplementary Materials). In comparison with OA-coated UCNPs dispersed in cyclohexane (ca. 72 nm), this increase of approximately 26 nm in diameter was in agreement with the layer of the DNA stretch in the water. UV-vis absorption spectroscopy also demonstrated the conjugation of DNA oligonucleotides with UCNPs (Figure S2 in Supplementary Materials). The zeta potential of the resulting DNA-modified UCNPs was -9.6 mV (Figure S3 in Supplementary Materials). In addition, the assembly of the DNA oligonucleotides on the UCNP surface was further confirmed by FT-IR (Figure S4 in Supplementary Materials). Compared with the spectrum of OAcoated UCNPs, new peaks at 1400 and 1082 cm<sup>-1</sup> appearing on the DNA-modified UCNPs, were ascribed to the stretching vibrations of the glycosidic bond and phosphate diester bond in DNA, indicating that the DNA oligonucleotides had been assembled successfully on the UCNP surface. Furthermore, the upconversion fluorescence spectrum of DNA-modified UCNPs in water was similar to that of the OA-coated UCNPs in cyclohexane with a slight decrease owing to the surface quenching effect of water molecules (Fig. 1D). The DNA-modified UCNPs showed excellent water solubility with longterm stability in water and resistance to aggregation over several weeks (Fig. 1D, inset). Upon continuous excitation at 980 nm, the fluorescence of the DNA-modified UCNPs in water appeared blue (Fig. 1D, inset). These results strongly indicated that the characteristic upconversion property of the nanoparticles was unaffected by the DNA coating.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60

## Page 4 of 5

# 3.3 Quenching Effect of GO on DNA-modified UCNP Fluorescence

The typical TEM image of as-prepared GO (Fig. 2A) revealed that the GO sheet had occasional folds, wrinkles, and rolled edges. The strong fluorescence of DNA-functionalizable UCNPs was sufficiently quenched (~95%) after incubation with GO (Fig. 2C), which overlapped with the absorption spectrum of GO. The TEM image shown in Fig. 2B also confirmed the formation of DNA-UCNPs-GO complexes. The effect of GO concentration on the fluorescence quenching was investigated. As shown in Figure S5 in Supplementary Materials, the upconversion fluorescence of DNA-functionalizable UCNPs was gradually quenched with increased amounts of GO, and the quenching efficiency reached a plateau when the GO concentration was higher than 80 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2D). So, the GO concentration of 80 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> was selected for the subsequent experiments. In addition, the incubation time of NDA-UCNPs with GO was also studied (Figure S6 in Supplementary Materials), and an incubation time of 60 min was used in the subsequent experiments.



**Fig. 2** TEM images of (A) as-prepared GO and (B) DNA-functionalizable UCNPs-GO complexes in water. (C) Fluorescence spectra of DNA-modified UCNPs before (red curve) and after incubation with GO (black curve), and the absorption spectrum of GO (blue curve). (D) Plot of fluorescence quenching efficiency versus GO concentration.

#### 3.4 Detection S1 nuclease activity

The detection of S1 nuclease was then performed. As shown in Figure S7 in Supplementary Materials, the incubation of S1 nuclease and DNA-functionalizable UCNPs indeed resulted in the fluorescence increase. In contrast, when Exo III and thrombin were added instead of S1 nuclease, no obvious fluorescence increases were observed under the identical conditions, indicating that S1 nuclease cleaved DNA into mono- or short- oligonucleotides fragments could decrease the adsorption of DNA-UCNPs on the GO surface. The effect of interaction time between DNA-UCNPs and S1 nuclease on the fluorescence intensity was investigated, and a reaction time of 20 min was selected (Figure S8 in Supplementary Materials). Under the optimal conditions, the upconversion fluorescence intensity increased with the increasing S1 nuclease concentration from  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  units mL<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3A), and the relative fluorescence intensity was linearly related to

the S1 nuclease concentration (correlation coefficient  $R^2 = 0.995$ ) in the range from  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-2}$  units mL<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3B), and the standard deviation was obtained from three repeated experiments. Besides, it should be also noted that  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  units mL<sup>-1</sup> S1 nuclease could be sensitively detected, with an obvious fluorescence signal. Our upconversion FRET nanosystem based method exhibited higher sensitivity in comparison with the developed methods (Table S1 in Supplementary Materials). Simultaneously, compared with other types of enzymes and proteins, the proposed upconversion FRET biosensor was specific for nuclease (Figure S9 in Supplementary Materials).



**Fig. 3** (A) Upconversion fluorescence spectra of the biosensor with varying concentrations of S1 nuclease(0,  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $5 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ ,  $2 \times 10^{-2}$ ,  $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $3.5 \times 10^{-2}$  units mL<sup>-1</sup>). (B) Linear relationship between the fluorescence relative intensity and the  $1 \times 10^{-2}$ , concentrations of antibody within the range of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-2}$  units mL<sup>-1</sup>. The error bars represented the standard deviations of three independent experiments.

#### 3.5 Detection of the RPMI 1640 cell medium samples

To investigate the ability of the upconversion biosensor to overcome the interference from background fluorescence and scattering light, the recovery experiment was performed in 5% (v/v) RPMI 1640 cell medium samples, the results were shown in Table 1. The recoveries were from 96% to 101% with RSD around 6%, which are acceptable for quantitative assays performed in biological samples.

 
 Table 1
 Analytical results of the S1 nuclease in RPMI 1640 cell medium samples using the upconversion biosensor.

added(units mL <sup>-1</sup> )	found(units mL <sup>-1</sup> )	recovery	RSD(n=3)
$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$9.62 \times 10^{-4}$	96%	5.8%
$1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.81 \times 10^{-2}$	98%	4.9%
$2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.53 \times 10^{-2}$	101%	5.4%

#### 3.6 S1 nuclease activity inhibition evaluation

As an enzymatic reaction can be weakened or prohibited by its enzyme inhibitor, some enzyme inhibitors have been utilized as drugs for disease therapy or as tools for adjusting the reaction rate in molecular engineering experiments. ATP, a known S1 nuclease inhibitor, was selected here to investigate its inhibition effect on the endonuclease through the proposed detection method.<sup>26-27</sup> As shown in Figure S10 in Supplementary Materials, the fluorescence intensity changes of the upconversion FRET biosensor when the system adding different amounts of ATP. The result clearly showed that the activity of S1 nuclease became weaker with the increase in inhibitor concentration. This result obtained was in fair agreement with the fact that ATP has been reported to one of the inhibitors of endonuclease S1. Therefore, our proposed method showed great

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

47

48

49

58 59 60 Analytical Methods

performance not only in the assay of endonucleases activity but also in screening of endonucleases inhibitors.

## 4 Conclusion

In summary, we developed a facile one-step approach to make water-dispersible DNA-modified UCNPs through ligand exchange at the liquid-liquid interface, and designed a biosensor based on FRET from the DNA-functionalizable UCNPs to graphene oxide, a novel ultrasensitive label-free method for nuclease assay and its inhibitors assay was proposed and verified. Our upconversion FRET nanosystem based method has several advantages: first, our proposed strategy belongs to the fluorescence turn-on model, which reduces the possibility of a false positive signal and improves the detection sensitivity. In addition, the NIR-excitation technique offers non-autofluorescence assays, because of the low background signal by NIR excitation, the proposed method has a lower detection limit than UV excitation, as the fluorescence report element, the high photostability of UCNPs can ensure ideal signal output. Furthermore, it avoids the extra step of bioconjugations using cross-linkers and directly converts hydrophobic UCNPs into biocompatible and waterdispersible ones. Finally, our method can also be used to investigate the S1 nuclease inhibitor and employed for nuclease inhibitor screening, the assay presented here was ultrasensitive and reliable. This innovative approach provides a successful paradigm in exploring fascinating properties of upconversion FRET complexes and a new opportunity for extending their applications in a wide range of fields, such as biology, biomedicine, and more bio/chemo sensing.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21275045), NCET-11-0121 and Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 12JJ1004).

## Notes and references

State Key Laboratory for Chemo/biosensing and Chemometrics, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, PR China. Tel.: +86 731 88821916; Fax: +86 731 88821916.

- E-mail address: xiachu@hnu.edu.cn, rqyu@hnu.edu.cn
- <sup>†</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and additional Figures. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- B. Y. Wu, H. F. Wang, J. T. Chen and X. P. Yan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 686-68.
- 44 2 S. J. Wu, N. Duan, X. Y. Ma, Y. Xia, Y. Yu, Z. P. Wang and H. X.
  45 Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 4866-4868.
  46 3 B. Gill, M. Zavats and J. Willner, *Angaw. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47
  - 3 R. Gill, M. Zayats and I. Willner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7602-7625.
  - 4 M. Haase and H. Sch äfer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 5808– 5829.
- 50 5 L. N. Sun, H. S. Peng, M. I. J. Stich, D. Achatz and O. S. Wolfbeis, 51 *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **33**, 5000–5002.
- 52 6 H. S. Mader and O. S. Wolfbeis, *Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **82**, 5002–5004.
- 55 7 C. H. Liu, Z. Wang, H. X. Jia and Z. P. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 4661–4663.
- 55 100 4005.
  56 8 Y. H. Wang, L. Bao, Z. H. Liu and D. W. Pang, Anal. Chem., 2011, 83, 8130–8137.
  - 9 Y. H. Wang, P. Shen, C. Y. Li, Y. Y. Wang and Z. H. Liu, Anal.

Chem., 2012, 84, 1466–1473.

- P. Zhang, S. Rogelj, K. Nguyen and D. Wheeler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 12410–12411.
- 11 L. Y. Wang and Y. D. Li, Chem. Commun., 2006, 24, 2557–2559.
- 12 F. Wang, R. R. Deng, J. Wang, Q. X. Wang, Y. Han, H. M. Zhu, X. Y. Chen and X. G. Liu, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 968–973.
- 13 F. Wang and X. G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 5642–5643.
- H. Schäfer, P. Ptacek, K. Kömper and M. Haase, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, 19, 1396–1400.
- 15 Z. Q. Li, Y. Zhang and S. Jiang, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 4765–4769.
- 16 L. L. Li, R. B. Zhang, L. L. Yin, K. Z. Zheng, W. P. Qin, P. R. Selvin and Y. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 1–6.
- 17 Y. Cen, Y. M. Wu, X. J. Kong, S. Wu, R. Q. Yu and X. Chu, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 7119–7127.
- 18 Y. M. Wu, Y. Cen, L. J. Huang, R. Q. Yu and X. Chu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 4759–4762.
- 19 L. L. Li, P. Wu, K. Hwang and Y. Lu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 2411–2414.
- 20 Y. Wang, Z. H. Li, D. H. Hu, C. T. Lin, J. H. Li and Y. H. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 9274-9276.
- 21 H. B. Wang, Q. Zhang, X. Chu, T. T. Chen, J. Ge and R. Q. Yu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 83, 67276-7282.
- 22 N. D. F. Grindley, K. L. Whiteson and P. A. Rice, Annu. Rev. Biochem., 2006, 75, 567–605.
- 23 M. R. Lieber, Bioessays., 1997, 19, 233-240.
- 24 B. Yin, J. C. Boyer, D. Habaut, N. R. Branda, Y. Zhao. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 16558–16561.
- 25 H. Wang, Q. Zhang, X. Chu, T. Chen, J. Ge and R. Yu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 7065-7069.
- 26 P. Wrede and A. Rich, Nucleic Acids Res., 1979, 7, 1457–1467.
- 27 R. Cao, B. Li, Y. Zhang and Z. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12301–12303.