

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation by Cu^{II} Complexes with Branched Peptides

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

József S. Pap,^{a,*} Łukasz Szyrwiel,^{b,c,*} Dávid Srankó,^a Zsolt Kerner,^a Bartosz Setner,^b Zbigniew Szewczuk,^b Wiesław Malinka^d

Received 00th January 2012,

Accepted 00th January 2012


DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Two mononuclear Cu^{II} complexes with tetrapeptides incorporating a L-2,3-diaminopropionic acid (dap) branching unit are reported to undergo PCET and catalyse water oxidation. C-Terminal His extension of dap (L = 2GH) instead of Gly (L = 3G) lowers the p*K_a* for Cu^{III}H₂L (9.36 vs. 9.98) and improves the TOF at pH 11 (24 vs. 53 s⁻¹).

Reactions requiring the synchronous transfer of multiple protons and electrons become energetically viable under mild conditions through the catalytic promotion of proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) mechanisms that help circumvent high-energy intermediates.¹ Splitting water into its elements, which attracts growing attention as a prospective renewable tool to generate H₂ as energy carrier,²⁻⁴ ranks among reactions where PCET is of critical importance. Water oxidation catalysts (WOCs) can improve the efficiency of the oxidative half-reaction: 2H₂O → O₂ + 4H⁺ + 4e⁻, which has long been considered the bottleneck of the water splitting process. Bioinspired, homogeneous WOCs (Fe,⁵ Co,⁶ Ru,⁷ or Ir⁸), although inherently less robust than heterogeneous catalysts,⁹ represent a meaningful source of mechanistic insight into the multiple proton and electron-transfer events associated with O₂ formation. A growing number of studies conclude that PCET helps to stabilise high-valent M=O or M–O* intermediates by preventing charge accumulation upon oxidation and, as a consequence these intermediates can complete the O–O bond formation step.¹⁰ Cu has rich oxygen chemistry,¹¹ yet, homogeneous WOCs involving this metal appeared only recently, when a surprisingly robust Cu^{II} complex with 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy, TOF ~100 s⁻¹ at pH 13)¹² and subsequently, with triglycylglycine (H₄GGGG, or H-gly-gly-gly-gly-OH, TOF = 33 s⁻¹ at pH 11, Scheme 1)¹³ were reported as water oxidation electrocatalysts. Modification of Cu^{II}(bpy)(OH)₂ by using 6,6'-dihydroxy-bpy demonstrated the potential of tuning such systems toward better efficiency (e.g., reduced overpotential) by aiding proton channelling.¹⁴ The modularity of

peptides opens even more options to affect the catalytic properties from the ligand side. Experimental information is presented below in support of water oxidation electrocatalysis at elevated pH in the presence of Cu complexes with two different dap-based peptides: H-Gly-Dap(H-Gly)-Gly-NH₂ (3G) and H-Gly-Dap(H-Gly)-His-NH₂ (2GH) (Scheme 1). These peptides were reported to form stable 1:1 Cu^{II} complexes that are distinguished by their ligand set and stability at basic pH resulting from the equatorially coordinated C-terminal His residue in 2GH.¹⁵



Scheme 1. View of the dominant forms of Cu-peptide complexes at basic pH. Red squares represent available sites for H₂O coordination as fifth ligand.

According to potentiometry, the predominant form of the 1:1 Cu^{II} complex above pH 7 (L = 3G) or above pH 8 (L = 2GH) is Cu^{II}H₂L (**1** and **2**, respectively) alike. Parallel X-Band EPR, UV/VIS and CD spectroscopic changes with increasing pH suggested a {NH₂,2N⁻,NH₂} equatorial ligand set for **1**.¹⁵ Based on analogous examples,^{13,16} a further deprotonation step resulting in Cu^{II}H₃L (**1**-H⁺) in case of **1** has been associated with proton loss from an axially coordinated water molecule. In **2**, Cu^{II} is bound by a {NH₂,2N⁻,N_{im}} equatorial set, involving the C-terminal histidine residue. A similar 5,5,6 chelate pattern was also suggested for the Cu^{II} complex of the linear H-Gly-Gly-His-OH.¹⁷ No further deprotonation of **2** was detected in potentiometry up to pH 11. Cu-peptides bearing a 4N donor set are known for allowing reversible Cu^{III/II} redox couples at potentials inversely related to

the number of deprotonated amidic N donors: $\{\text{NH}_2, 3\text{N}^-\}$ (0.41 V vs. Ag/AgCl)¹⁸ < $\{\text{N}_{\text{im}}, 3\text{N}^-\}$ (0.66 V vs. Ag/AgCl)¹⁹ < $\{\text{N}_{\text{im}}, \text{NH}_2, 2\text{N}^-\}$ (0.77 V vs. Ag/AgCl).²⁰ Electrochemical characterization of **1** and **2** was carried out by square-wave voltammetry (SWV, see ESI for experimentals). In Fig. 1 SWV plots are shown as a function of pH for **2** (for **1** see Fig. S1, for data see Tables S1 and S2). The E_{net} ²¹ observed by $|I_{\text{for}}/I_{\text{net}}|$ of ca. 1 (a landmark for reversibility, see insert of Figs. 1 and S1) can be directly associated with the formal potential for the Cu^{III/II} oxidation.^{22,23}




Figure 1. Variation of SWV with pH in a Cu:2GH = 0.9:1 solution. Conditions: [Cu] = 0.2 mM, 0.1 M NaClO₄, pH set with NaOH, 25°C, under Ar, P_H = 25 mV, f = 12.5 Hz, S_H = 0.2 mV. The insert shows the $|I_{\text{for}}/I_{\text{net}}|$ ratio. Concomitant voltammograms are shifted by ~5 mV for better visuals.

In Fig. 2 the E_{net} ($\sim E^\circ$) data points are plotted against pH generating a Pourbaix diagram for the Cu^{III/II} process of **1** and **2**. The inverse variation of the potential with pH suggests PCET, however, nonlinearity indicates equilibrium species in different protonation states that affect E° .





Figure 2. (a) Cu^{III/II} E_{net} (E°) values plotted against pH (**1**: open circles, **2**: black circles). Dashed curves represent a nonlinear regression fit to eqns. (2), $R^2 = 0.996$ and (3), $R^2 = 0.994$, vertical lines denote $\text{p}K_{\text{ox}}$ values. $\text{p}K_{\text{red/ox}}$ and E° values from best fit are listed in Table 1.

If we suppose that the PCET process is described by eq. (1),



, where Cu^{III}H₃L corresponds to a Cu^{III}-OH species, the modified Nernst eqns. (2) and (3) explain the Pourbaix diagrams.²⁴ In eq. (2) one equilibrium is considered both for $\mathbf{1}^{\text{ox}}$ (K_{ox}) and **1** (K_{red}), while in eq. (3), only one equilibrium for $\mathbf{2}^{\text{ox}}$ (K_{ox}):

$$E_{\text{net}}(\mathbf{1}) = E^\circ(\mathbf{1}^{\text{ox}}\text{-H}^+, \text{H}^+/1, \text{pH}=0) + 0.0591 \log \frac{K_{\text{red}}K_{\text{ox}} + K_{\text{ox}}[\text{H}^+]}{K_{\text{ox}} + [\text{H}^+]} \quad (2)$$

$$E_{\text{net}}(\mathbf{2}) = E^\circ(\mathbf{2}^{\text{ox}}\text{-H}^+, \text{H}^+/2, \text{pH}=0) + 0.0591 \log \frac{K_{\text{ox}}[\text{H}^+]}{K_{\text{ox}} + [\text{H}^+]} \quad (3)$$

, where K_{red} is the acid dissociation constant of **1** to $\mathbf{1}\text{-H}^+$, K_{ox} is that for $\mathbf{1}^{\text{ox}}$ and $\mathbf{2}^{\text{ox}}$ to $\mathbf{1}^{\text{ox}}\text{-H}^+$ and $\mathbf{2}^{\text{ox}}\text{-H}^+$, respectively, $E^\circ(\mathbf{1}^{\text{ox}}\text{-H}^+, \text{H}^+/1, \text{pH}=0)$ and $E^\circ(\mathbf{2}^{\text{ox}}\text{-H}^+, \text{H}^+/2, \text{pH}=0)$ denote the formal potential of the process in eq. (1). Fit of eqns. (2) and (3) to the corresponding E_{net} data points yields the dashed lines in Fig. 2 and parameters as listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Formal potentials and $\text{p}K_{\text{red/ox}}$ values derived from the fit of eqns. (2) and (3) to experimental E_{net} vs. pH data for the Cu^{III/II} redox transition.

	eq. (2)	eq. (3)
$\text{p}K_{\text{red}}$	11.7±0.2	
E° vs. Ag/AgCl (V)	1.311±0.002	1.309±0.001
$\text{p}K_{\text{ox}}$	9.98±0.05	9.36±0.07

The $E^\circ(\mathbf{1}^{\text{ox}}/1)$ (0.72 V vs. Ag/AgCl) and $E^\circ(\mathbf{2}^{\text{ox}}/2)$ (0.76 V vs. Ag/AgCl) values can be also deduced from the fittings and compared to examples with a reported equatorial binding plane.^{13,18-20} The high values and the $E^\circ(\mathbf{1}^{\text{ox}}/1) < E^\circ(\mathbf{2}^{\text{ox}}/2)$ relation are in accordance with the suggested $\{\text{NH}_2, 2\text{N}^-, \text{NH}_2\}$ and $\{\text{NH}_2, 2\text{N}^-, \text{N}_{\text{im}}\}$ donor planes, respectively. The presence of $\mathbf{1}\text{-H}^+$ (e.g., Cu^{II}-OH) is in line with potentiometry.^{15,25}

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed on **1** and **2** in phosphate buffer (PB) at a glassy carbon working electrode (GCE). Upon increasing the pH to 11, a new electrocatalytic wave occurs (Fig. S2) with an onset potential over 1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl, similar to what was associated with water oxidation catalysis by [Cu^{II}(GGGG)(OH₂)]²⁻.¹³ The E_{cat} peak potential varies inversely with pH and the I_{cat} peak current becomes substantially larger. The possibility of a deposition process or decomposition of the complex was ruled out by using freshly polished GCE and successive cycling experiments (Fig. S3). Dioxygen evolution was confirmed over multiple cycles under Ar by detecting the O₂ reduction wave^{6,10,12} and comparing it to the corresponding wave under air (Fig. S4). The catalytic current changes linearly with the concentration of **1** and **2** (Fig. 3, Table S3), when scanned at 5 mVs⁻¹, but drastically drops at higher scan rates (ν) (Figs. S5 and S6) indicating that the rate determining step (r.d.s.) is a chemical process involving one complex molecule. Diffusion coefficients for the complexes among catalytic conditions were determined from the Randles-Sevcik equation (see Fig. S7) thus TOFs (k_{cat}) of 24 and 53 s⁻¹ could be calculated from the catalyst dependence plots (see Fig. 3 insert).²⁶

Controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) was performed at 1.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl with an indium-tin-oxide working electrode (ITO) in a 0.5 mM solution of **2**. The concentration of O₂ grew instantly at the start of CPE (Fig. S8). X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on ITO before and after CPE (Fig. S9) evidenced no Cu deposition (Figs. S10 and S11), and transferring the rinsed ITO into a fresh PB produced no O₂ during CPE.²⁷ Our observations imply that the catalyst is of molecular nature (note that the role of

a supported or colloidal material cannot be ruled out completely) and the introduction of a C-terminal His promotes catalysis in conjunction with the acidity of coordinated water in 2^{ox} .




Figure 3. Effect of complex concentration (**2**) on I_{cat} (pH 11, 0.15 M PB, 25°C, $v = 5$ mVs⁻¹, no catalyst – grey, 0.5 mM CuSO₄ – light blue). Inset: $-I_{cat}$ vs. [Cu] plots for **1** (grey) and **2** (black). TOF values were calculated from slopes as given.²⁶

Meyer proposed a mechanism for their Cu-peptide catalyst that starts with the $[Cu^{II}-OH_2]^{2+} \rightarrow [Cu^{III}-OH]^{2+} \rightarrow [Cu^{III}-O]^{2+}$ oxidation sequence via PCETs, and yields intermediate Cu^{II}-peroxide by the reaction of $[Cu^{III}-O]^{2+}$ with H₂O in a r.d.s. Catalytic enhancement and irreversibility of the second anodic wave were proposed to come from further oxidation of the peroxide, release of O₂ and re-entry into the catalytic cycle.¹³ Our very similar observations (PCET furnishing $1^{ox}(2^{ox})-H^+$ and linear change in I_{cat} with [Cu]) suggest that the catalytically enhanced wave (E_{cat}) following the Cu^{III/II} transition of **1(2)** (E°) should come from the oxidation of $1^{ox}(2^{ox})-H^+$ to $[(H_2L)Cu^{III}-O]^{+}$ that forms intermediate Cu^{II}-peroxide with H₂O in a r.d.s. Further steps can be figured analogously to Meyer's mechanism (Scheme S1). In this case the His in **2** can facilitate PCETs in the course of catalysis, possibly by means of π -interaction with protons (Scheme S1, caption) explaining the higher TOF and lower pK_{ox} for 2^{ox} . Surface anchoring of the complexes and synthesis of catalytic dendrimers based on dap are among future plans.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by MTA (János Bolyai Research Scholarship to J.S. Pap) and by Polish Foundation of Science within the POMOST program co-financed by the EU within European Regional Development Fund (POMOST/2012-5/9).

Notes and references

^aMTA Centre for Energy Research, Surface Chemistry and Catalysis Department, PO Box 49, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary

^bFaculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, ul. F. Joliot-Curie 14, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland

^cCNRS/UPPA, LCABIE, UMR5254, Helioparc, 2, av. Pr. Angot, F-64053 Pau, France

^dDepartment of Chemistry of Drugs, Wrocław Medical University, ul. Borowska 211, 50-552 Wrocław, Poland

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: experimentals, Figs. S1-S12, Tables S1-S3. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1 D. R. Weinberg, C. J. Gagliardi, J. F. Hull, C. F. Murphy, C. A. Kent, B. C. Westlake, A. Paul, D. H. Ess, D. G. McCafferty, T. J. Meyer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 4016.

- 2 T. R. Crook, D. K. Dogutan, S. Y. Reece, Y. Surendranath, T. S. Teets and D. G. Nocera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6474.
- 3 K. Rajeshwar, R. McConnel, S. Licht (Eds.), *Solar hydrogen generation – toward a renewable energy future*, 2008, New York, NY, Springer.
- 4 N. S. Lewis, D. G. Nocera, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2006, **103**, 15729.
- 5 J. L. Fillol, Z. Codola, I. Garcia-Bosch, L. Gomez, J. J. Pla and M. Costas, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 807.
- 6 D. J. Wasylenko, C. Ganesamoorthy, J. Borau-Garcia and C. P. Berlinguette, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 4249.
- 7 L. Duan, F. Bozoglian, S. Mandal, B. Stewart, T. Privalov, A. Llobet and L. Sun, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 418.
- 8 J. D. Blakemore, N. D. Schley, D. Balcells, J. F. Hull, G. W. Olack, C. D. Incarvito, O. Eisenstein, G. W. Brudvig, R. H. Crabtree, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **132**, 16017.
- 9 V. Artero and M. Fontecave, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 2338.
- 10 M. D. Kärkäs, O. Verho, E. V. Johnston and B. Akermark, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 11863.
- 11 K. D. Karlin and S. Itoh (Eds.), *Copper-Oxygen Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2011.
- 12 S. M. Barnett, K. I. Goldberg and J. M. Mayer, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 498.
- 13 M.-T. Zhang, Z. Chen, P. Kang and T. J. Meyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 2048.
- 14 T. Zhang, C. Wang, S. Liu, J.-L. Wang and W. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 273.
- 15 Ł. Szyrwił, Ł. Szczukowski, J. S. Pap, B. Setner, Z. Szewczuk and Z. Malinka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 7951.
- 16 L. M. P. Lima, D. Esteban-Gómez, R. Delgado, C. Platas-Iglesias and R. Tripier, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 6916.
- 17 N. I. Jakab, B. Gyuresik, T. Körtvélyesi, I. Vosekalna, J. Jensen and E. Larsen, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2007, **101**, 1376.
- 18 D. W. Margerum, K. L. Chellappa, F. P. Bossu and G. L. Burce, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, **97**, 6874.
- 19 C. Hureau, L. Charlet, P. Dorlet, F. Gonnet, L. Spadini, E. Anxolabéhère-Mallart and J.-J. Girerd, *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* 2006, **11**, 735.
- 20 C. Hureau, H. Eury, R. Guillot, C. Bijani, S. Sayen, P.-L. Solari, E. Guillon, P. Faller and P. Dorlet, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 10151.
- 21 Where I_{net} , the difference in the forward and reverse current upon opposite polarization pulse, e.g., $I_{for}-I_{rev} = I_{net}$, reaches maximum.
- 22 F. Scholz (Ed.), *Electroanalytical Methods*, 2010, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- 23 B. W. Berry, M. C. Martínez-Rivera, C. Tommos, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2010, **109**, 9739.
- 24 P. Wardman, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1989, **18**, 1637.
- 25 Inclusion of K_{red} into eq. (2) results in a significantly better fit to the data, however, its value being outside of the investigated pH range makes any quantitative conclusions elusive.
- 26 The TOF (k_{cat}) values were determined from the slope of linears fitted to $-I_{cat}$ vs. [Cu] data points shown in Fig. 3 insert, by applying equation: $I_{cat} (\mu A) = n_{cat}FA[Cu](k_{cat}D_{Cu})^{0.5}$, where $n_{cat} = 4$, F is the Faraday constant, $A = 0.071$ cm², [Cu] is the concentration of **1** or **2** (mM), and D_{Cu} is the diffusion coefficient of the complex in 0.15 M PB at pH 11, that is 2×10^{-6} cm²s⁻¹ for **1** and 1.5×10^{-6} cm²s⁻¹ for **2**.
- 27 Tests were run using suspensions made with CuSO₄ or Cu(CIO₄)₂ at pH 11, since Cu salts were reported to generate catalytic thin films at pH 10.8 (Z. Chen and T. J. Meyer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2013, **52**, 700). A substantial deposit consisting of CuO and Cu(OH)₂ was detected on ITO (Fig. S12), a feature missing from ITOs used in complex solutions (Fig. S11). After over 90 min. of CPE, the amount of O₂ detected by a fluorescent probe corresponded to TONs of 6.3 for **2** and 5.1 for **1**, with Faradaic efficiency (e.g., $100 \times (\det./\text{theor. O}_2)\%$) of 91% and 95%, respectively. CPE was performed in 0.5 mM solutions (6 mL) of **1** and **2** at 25°C, under air. The electric charges (Q) were 8.20 and 6.56 C, equivalent to 16 and 21.2 μmol O₂ ($Q/4F$). Detected O₂ was 15.3 and 19.3 μmol, respectively. The UV/VIS spectra before and after electrolysis showed ~25% (**1**, $\lambda_{max} = 527$ nm) and ~30% (**2**, $\lambda_{max} = 530$ nm) decrease in absorbance.