

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Multiple photosynthetic reaction centres of porphyrinic polypeptide-Li⁺@C₆₀ supramolecular complexes†

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Kei Ohkubo,^{*ab} Tetsuya Hasegawa,^a Régis Rein,^c Nathalie Solladié^{*c} and Shunichi Fukuzumi^{*abd}

Received 00th August 2015,
Accepted 00th xxxx 2015

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Multiple photosynthetic reaction centres have successfully been constructed using strong supramolecular complexes of free base porphyrin polypeptides with lithium ion-encapsulated C₆₀ (Li⁺@C₆₀) as compared with those of C₆₀. Efficient energy migration and electron transfer occurs in the supramolecular complexes.

Extensive efforts have so far been devoted to mimic light harvesting and charge separation processes in natural photosynthesis.¹⁻¹⁰ Multiporphyrin arrays have been employed as light-harvesting units.¹¹⁻¹⁷ Light-harvesting and charge-separation units have been combined by coordination bonds between metalloporphyrins and electron acceptor moieties bearing Lewis base ligands.¹⁷⁻²² Thus, metal centres have been required for construction of supramolecular complexes between porphyrins acting as light harvesting units and electron acceptors containing Lewis base ligands for coordination to the metal centers.¹⁷⁻²² As such there has been only few report on supramolecular complexes of free base porphyrin arrays and electron acceptors without Lewis base ligands, in which the binding is rather weak.²³ We report herein construction of supramolecular complexes of free base porphyrin polypeptides (P(H₂P)_n; n = 4, 8) with lithium ion-encapsulated C₆₀ (Li⁺@C₆₀)^{24,25} (Fig. 1), in which binding is much stronger than the cases of C₆₀ in benzonitrile (PhCN). The photodynamics were studied by femtosecond and nanosecond laser-induced transient absorption and fluorescence lifetime measurements.

Free base porphyrin polypeptides (P(H₂P)_n) were synthesised and characterised as reported previously.^{18,26} Upon mixing a PhCN solution of Li⁺@C₆₀ with that of P(H₂P)₈, the intensity of the Soret band decreased with increasing concentration of Li⁺@C₆₀ (Fig. 2a). A Soret band was slightly red-shifted from 425 to 427 nm by the addition of Li⁺@C₆₀ to the solution. From the net absorption change at 425 nm in which the absorption due to Li⁺@C₆₀ was subtracted, a linear Benesi-Hildebrand plot²⁷ was obtained as shown in Fig. 2b, indicating that each porphyrin unit of P(H₂P)₈ forms a 1:1 supramolecular charge-transfer complex

with Li⁺@C₆₀ independently with approximately the same binding constant of the unit of M⁻¹. The binding constant at 298 K was determined from the intercept and the slope in Fig. 2b to be 2.1 × 10⁴ M⁻¹, which is significantly larger than that of C₆₀ (5.3 × 10³ M⁻¹).^{28,29} The stronger binding of Li⁺@C₆₀ as compared with C₆₀ may result from the stronger electron acceptor ability of Li⁺@C₆₀, which facilitates the charge-transfer interaction as reported for the stronger charge-transfer binding of Li⁺@C₆₀ with corranulere.³⁰ Similarly the binding constant of the supramolecular complex of P(H₂P)₄ was determined from the spectral titration to be 6.2 × 10³ M⁻¹ (Fig. S1 in ESI†). In the case of P(H₂P)₂ and P(H₂P)₁, however, the spectral change was too small to be able to determine the binding constants accurately (Figs. S2, S3 in ESI†). The binding constants are summarised in Table 1. Thus, multiporphyrins may facilitate charge-transfer interactions through encapsulation of Li⁺@C₆₀ by multiple porphyrins.




Fig. 1 Supramolecular formation of peptide porphyrin oligomers with Li⁺@C₆₀. Ar = 3,5-di-*tert*-butylphenyl.




Fig. 2 (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of P(H₂P)₈ in the presence of various concentrations of Li⁺@C₆₀ in PhCN at 298 K; (b) Absorption profile at 425 nm. (c) Benesi-Hildebrand plot for determination of association constant.

Table 1 Association constant (K , M⁻¹) of P(H₂P)_{*n*} with Li⁺@C₆₀ and C₆₀ in PhCN determined from UV-vis absorption and fluorescence spectral titration

Porphyrin	Li ⁺ @C ₆₀		C ₆₀ ^b	
	UV-vis	FL	UV-vis	FL
P(H ₂ P) ₁	— ^a	1.1 × 10 ⁴	— ^a	— ^a
P(H ₂ P) ₂	— ^a	1.1 × 10 ⁴	— ^a	— ^a
P(H ₂ P) ₄	6.2 × 10 ³	1.6 × 10 ⁴	2.7 × 10 ³ ^b	9.5 × 10 ^{3b}
P(H ₂ P) ₈	2.1 × 10 ⁴	8.5 × 10 ⁴	5.3 × 10 ³ ^b	2.2 × 10 ^{4b}

^a Too small to determine accurately. ^b Taken from ref. 28.

Fluorescence of P(H₂P)₈ was quenched by addition of a PhCN solution of Li⁺@C₆₀ as shown in Fig. 3a. A Benesi-Hildebrand plot for the fluorescence quenching (Fig. 3b) afforded the apparent binding constant of the supramolecular complex of P(H₂P)₈ with Li⁺@C₆₀ to be 8.5 × 10⁴ M⁻¹, which is significantly larger than the value determined from the absorption spectral titration (2.1 × 10⁴ M⁻¹) (Fig. 2). Similarly the apparent binding constant of P(H₂P)₄ with Li⁺@C₆₀ was determined from the fluorescence quenching to be 1.6 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ (Fig. S4 in ESI[†]), which is also larger than the value determined from the absorption spectral titration (Table 1). Such larger apparent binding constants determined from the fluorescence quenching than those determined from the absorption spectral titration indicate that energy migration occurs between the singlet excited state of H₂P (¹H₂P^{*}) and adjacent H₂P in P(H₂P)_{*n*} (*n* = 4, 8).

The energy migration rate constant was determined by the fluorescence lifetime measurements of P(H₂P)₈ with Li⁺@C₆₀. The fluorescence of ¹P(H₂P)₈^{*} exhibited a single exponential decay with the lifetime of 11 ns. In the presence of Li⁺@C₆₀, the decay of ¹P(H₂P)₈^{*} is well analysed by three exponentials with lifetimes of 100 ps, 3.0 ns and 11 ns. The fast component corresponds to the lifetime of ¹H₂P^{*} in the supramolecular complex with Li⁺@C₆₀. The 95% of the fast component agrees

with that predicted by the binding constant determined from the absorption spectral titration. The third component has the same lifetime as that without Li⁺@C₆₀, corresponding to the fluorescence lifetime of ¹(H₂P)₈^{*}. The second component corresponds to energy migration between ¹H₂P^{*} and the adjacent H₂P. The 5% of the sum of the first and second component agrees with that predicted from the binding constant determined from the fluorescence quenching.

Femtosecond laser-induced transient absorption spectra of P(H₂P)₈ were measured in the absence and presence of Li⁺@C₆₀ (Fig. 4 and S10 in ESI[†]). In each case, only the singlet-singlet absorption of ¹P(H₂P)₈^{*} was observed, because H₂P was exclusively excited at the excitation wavelength (425 nm) in Fig. 4. The decay of ¹P(H₂P)₈^{*} exhibits a fast component with a lifetime of 6 ps. A similar fast component was observed for ¹P(H₂P)₄^{*} and ¹P(H₂P)₂^{*} (Fig. S11 in ESI[†]). In the case of P(H₂P)₁, however, no fast component was observed (Fig. S6c in ESI). The ratio of the fast component increased with increasing the number of H₂P in P(H₂P)_{*n*}. The 96% of the fast component of P(H₂P)₈ decreased with decreasing the laser intensity (Fig. 4b). These results indicate that the fast component results from the singlet-singlet annihilation of two ¹H₂P^{*} moieties in P(H₂P)_{*n*} (*n* = 4 and 8).

In the presence of Li⁺@C₆₀ (20 μM), the decay of ¹P(H₂P)₈^{*} exhibited three exponentials composed of lifetimes of 6 ps, 90 ps and 2 ns. The first fast component resulted from the singlet-singlet annihilation as observed without Li⁺@C₆₀. The second component (90 ps) may result from electron transfer from ¹H₂P^{*} to Li⁺@C₆₀ in the supramolecular complex. Because the transient absorption due to the charge-separated state (H₂P⁺⁺ and Li⁺@C₆₀⁻) was not observed in Fig. 4a, where only the singlet-singlet absorption of ¹H₂P^{*} is seen, back electron transfer may be much faster than the forward electron transfer. The third component may correspond to the energy migration between ¹H₂P^{*} and the adjacent H₂P, because the lifetime agrees with the lifetime of energy migration in the fluorescence lifetime measurements. In the presence of large concentration of Li⁺@C₆₀ (120 μM), the component of electron transfer ¹H₂P^{*} to Li⁺@C₆₀ in the supramolecular complex increased. The three components decay was also observed for P(H₂P)₄ and P(H₂P)₂ with Li⁺@C₆₀ (Figs. S11 and S12 in ESI[†]). In the case of P(H₂P)₁, only single exponential decay with 11 ns lifetime was




Fig. 3 (a) Fluorescence spectra of P(H₂P)₈ in the presence of various concentrations of Li⁺@C₆₀ in PhCN at 298 K; (b) Fluorescence profile at 655 nm. Inset: Benesi-Hildebrand plot for determination of association constant.




Fig. 4 (a) Transient absorption spectra of $P(H_2P)_8$ (5.2×10^{-6} M) with $Li^+@C_{60}$ (2.0×10^{-5} M) in deaerated PhCN observed after femtosecond laser flash excitation. Excitation wavelength: 425 nm. (b) Decay time profile at 580 nm with a three-exponential decay curve fitting.

observed in the absence and presence of $Li^+@C_{60}$ because the binding of $Li^+@C_{60}$ to $P(H_2P)_1$ was negligible.

Nanosecond laser-induced transient absorption spectra of $P(H_2P)_8$ with $Li^+@C_{60}$ (40 μ M) at the excitation wavelength of 532 nm are shown in Fig. 5, where the transient absorption band at 730 nm due to the triplet excited state of $Li^+@C_{60}$ (${}^3Li^+@C_{60}^*$) is observed together with the absorption band at 1035 nm due to $Li^+@C_{60}^{\cdot-}$.²⁵ The decay of the absorption at 730 nm coincides with the appearance of $Li^+@C_{60}^{\cdot-}$ (Fig. S13 in ESI[†]). Thus, electron transfer from $P(H_2P)_8$ to ${}^3Li^+@C_{60}^*$ occurs to produce the triplet charge-separated (CS) state of $P(H_2P)_8^{\cdot+}$ and $Li^+@C_{60}^{\cdot-}$. The transient absorption due to $P(H_2P)_8^{\cdot+}$ is overlapped with that of ${}^3Li^+@C_{60}^*$ in the 600–700 nm region. The photoexcitation of 532 nm resulted in the excitation of $Li^+@C_{60}$ leading to the formation of ${}^3Li^+@C_{60}^*$ via intersystem crossing. The decay of the absorbance at 1035 nm




Fig. 5 Transient absorption spectra of $P(H_2P)_8$ (2.6×10^{-6} M) with $Li^+@C_{60}$ (4.0×10^{-5} M) in deaerated PhCN observed after nanosecond laser flash excitation taken at 8 (black), 32 (blue), 96 (sky blue) and 200 μ s (gray). Excitation wavelength: 532 nm. (b) Decay time profile at 1035 nm with the different laser pulse intensities (2–5 mJ pulse⁻¹). (c) First-order plots.

due to $Li^+@C_{60}^{\cdot-}$ obeyed first-order kinetics with the lifetime of 210 μ s (Fig. 5b). Thus, back electron transfer from $Li^+@C_{60}^{\cdot-}$ to $P(H_2P)_8^{\cdot+}$ occurs in the supramolecular complex. The lifetime is long because of the spin-forbidden back electron transfer in the triplet CS state.


Similarly the triplet CS states derived from ${}^3Li^+@C_{60}^*$ were observed for $P(H_2P)_n$ ($n = 4, 2, 1$) with $Li^+@C_{60}$ (Figs. S14–16 in ESI[†]). The rate constants of electron transfer from ${}^1H_2P^*$ to $Li^+@C_{60}$ and the energy migration in the supramolecular complexes of $P(H_2P)_n$ with $Li^+@C_{60}$ are summarised in Table 2 together with the lifetimes of the triplet CS states. The CS lifetime increases with increasing the number of H_2P in $P(H_2P)_n$. Such an increase in the CS lifetime may result from the charge migration between $H_2P^{\cdot+}$ and the adjacent H_2P in $P(H_2P)_n^{\cdot+}$.

The energy diagram of photoexcitation of $P(H_2P)_n$ with $Li^+@C_{60}$ is summarized in Scheme 1. The energies of the excited state of porphyrin and $Li^+@C_{60}$ were determined by UV-vis, fluorescence and phosphorescence spectral measurements. The energy of the CS state was determined to be 0.82 eV in PhCN from the one-electron oxidation potential of porphyrin (+0.96 V vs. SCE) and reduction potential of $Li^+@C_{60}$ (+0.14 V vs. SCE) by the electrochemical measurements (DPV; see Fig. S17 in ESI[†]). Femtosecond laser excitation of the supramolecular complex of $P(H_2P)_8$ with $Li^+@C_{60}$ at 425 nm resulted in electron transfer from H_2P to the bound $Li^+@C_{60}$ to produce the singlet CS state and energy transfer from ${}^1H_2P^*$ to the adjacent H_2P , followed by electron transfer to $Li^+@C_{60}$, when it is bound H_2P . The singlet CS state decays rapidly to the ground state. Nanosecond laser excitation at 532 nm resulted in formation of singlet excited state of excess $Li^+@C_{60}$, followed by intersystem crossing to produce ${}^3Li^+@C_{60}^*$, to which intermolecular electron transfer occurs

Table 2 Rate constants of intra- and intermolecular photoinduced electron transfer (k_{ET} and k_{et}) and lifetimes ($\tau(CS)$) and quantum yields ($\Phi(CS)$) of charge-separated state

Porphyrin	$P(H_2P)_1$	$P(H_2P)_2$	$P(H_2P)_4$	$P(H_2P)_8$
k_{ET}, s^{-1}	7.7×10^5	6.7×10^4	9.5×10^4	1.5×10^5
$k_{et}, M^{-1} s^{-1}$	1.7×10^9	1.8×10^9	8.0×10^8	1.5×10^8
$\tau(CS), \mu s$	— ^a	— ^a	160	210
$\Phi(CS)$	0.70	0.34	0.16	0.13

^a Bimolecular decay in the radical ion pair



Scheme 1 Reaction course of photoinduced charge separation and charge recombination in the supramolecular complex between $P(H_2P)_8$ and $Li^+@C_{60}$ with the values of energy levels

from H₂P to produce the triplet CS state with a long lifetime because of the spin-forbidden back electron transfer to the ground state.

In conclusion, free base porphyrin polypeptides (P(H₂P)_n; n = 4 and 8) form supramolecular complexes with Li⁺@C₆₀ in PhCN, in which the binding is much stronger than C₆₀. Efficient energy migration occurs between porphyrins in P(H₂P)_n. Although the lifetimes of the singlet CS states were too short to be detected, the triplet CS states derived from ³Li⁺@C₆₀ had long lifetimes because of the spin-forbidden back electron transfer. The triplet CS lifetime becomes longer with increasing the number of H₂P due to the charge migration among porphyrins. The present study provides valuable insight into the energy and electron transfer in the multiple reaction centres.

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid (nos. 26620154 and 26288037 to K.O.) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT); ALCA and SENTAN projects from JST, Japan (to S.F.).

Notes and references

^a Department of Material and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, ALCA and SENTAN, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. E-mail: ookubo@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp; fukuzumi@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp.

^b Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea.

^d Groupe de Synthèse de Systèmes Porphyriniques (G2SP), Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS, BP 44099 205 route de Narbonne 31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France. E-mail: nathalie.solladié@lcc-toulouse.fr


^e Faculty of Science and Technology, Meijo University, ALCA and SENTAN, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Nagoya, Aichi 468-8502, Japan

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and spectral data. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- (a) *Anoxygenic Photosynthetic Bacteria*, ed. R. E. Blankenship, M. T. Madigan and C. E. Bauer, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1995; (b) *Molecular Level Artificial Photosynthetic Materials*, ed. G. J. Meyer, Wiley, New York, 1997.
- (a) R. A. Wheeler, in *Introduction to the Molecular Bioenergetics of Electron, Proton, and Energy Transfer*, ACS Symposium Ser., 2004, Vol. 883, 1-6; (b) W. Leibl and P. Mathis, in *Electron Transfer in Photosynthesis. Series on Photoconversion of Solar Energy*, 2004, Vol. 2, 117.
- D. Gust, T. A. Moore and A. L. Moore, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1890.
- (a) G. Bottari, G. de la Torre, D. M. Guldi and T. Torres, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6768; (b) V. Sgobba and D. M. Guldi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 165.
- M. R. Wasielewski, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1910.
- S. Fukuzumi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 2283.
- (a) F. D'Souza and O. Ito, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4913; (b) F. D'Souza, and O. Ito, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 1410.
- S. Fukuzumi, K. Saito, K. Ohkubo, T. Khoury, Y. Kashiwagi, M. A. Absalom, S. Gadde, F. D'Souza, Y. Araki, O. Ito and M. J. Crossley, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 7980.
- (a) T. Kojima, T. Honda, K. Ohkubo, M. Shiro, T. Kusukawa, Fukuda, N. Kobayashi and S. Fukuzumi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6712; (b) S. Fukuzumi, T. Honda, K. Ohkubo and T. Kojima, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 3880; (c) T. Kojima, K. Hanabusa, K. Ohkubo, M. Shiro and S. Fukuzumi, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 3646.
- S. Fukuzumi, in *Functional Organic Materials*, ed. T. J. J. Müller and U. H. F. Bunz, Wiley-VCH, 2007, pp. 465–510.
- (a) T. Tanaka and A. Osuka, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 943; (b) H.-W. Jiang, T. Tanaka, H. Mori, K. H. Park, D. Kim and A. Osuka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2219.
- (a) N. Aratani, D. Kim, A. Osuka, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1922; (b) J. Yang, M.-C. Yoon, H. Yoo, P. Kim and D. Kim, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 4808.
- (a) T. Miyatake and H. Tamiaki, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 2593; (b) S. Sengupta and F. Würthner, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2498; (c) T. S. Balaban, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 612.
- H.-J. Son, S. Jin, S. Patwardhan, S. J. Wezenberg, N. C. Jeong, M. So, C. E. Wilmer, A. A. Sarjeant, G. C. Schatz, R. Q. Snurr, O. K. Farha, G. P. Wiederrecht and J. T. Hupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 862.
- (a) I. Cohen-Ofri, M. van Gestel, J. Grzyb, A. Brandis, I. Pinkas, W. Lubitz and D. Noy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 9526; (b) J. L. R. Anderson, C. T. Armstrong, G. Kodali, B. R. Lichtenstein, D. W. Watkins, J. A. Mancini, A. L. Boyle, T. A. Farid, M. P. Crump, C. C. Moser and P. L. Dutton, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 507–514; (c) F. A. Tezcan, B. R. Crane, J. R. Winkler and H. B. Gray, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2001, **98**, 5002; (d) T. Koshiyama, M. Shirai, T. Hikage, H. Tabe, K. Tanaka, S. Kitagawa and T. Ueno, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 4849.
- (a) R. A. Miller, N. Stephanopoulos, J. M. McFarland, A. S. Rosko, P. L. Geissler and M. B. Francis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 6068; (b) L. S. Witus and M. B. Francis, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 774.
- (a) Y. S. Nam, T. Shin, H. Park, A. P. Magyar, K. Choi, G. Fantner, K. A. Nelson and A. M. Belcher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 1462; (b) M. Endo, M. Fujitsuka and T. Majima, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 8660.
- (a) S. Fukuzumi and K. Ohkubo, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 4575; (b) S. Fukuzumi, K. Ohkubo and T. Suenobu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 1455.
- S. Fukuzumi, K. Saito, K. Ohkubo, V. Troiani, H. Qiu, S. Gadde, F. D'Souza and N. Solladié, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 17019.
- (a) A. Takai, C. P. Gros, R. Guillard and S. Fukuzumi, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 3110–3122; (b) A. Takai, C. P. Gros, J.-M. Barbe and S. Fukuzumi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 12160; (c) A. Takai, C. P. Gros, J.-M. Barbe and S. Fukuzumi, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 3420.
- S. Fukuzumi, K. Saito, K. Ohkubo, T. Khoury, Y. Kashiwagi, M. A. Absalom, S. Gadde, F. D'Souza, Y. Araki, O. Ito and M. J. Crossley, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 7980.
- (a) Y. Pareek and M. Ravikanth, *RCS Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 7851; (b) V. S. Nair, Y. Pareek, V. Karunakaran, M. Ravikanth and A. Ajayaghosh, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 10149.
- (a) M. Tanaka, K. Ohkubo, C. P. Gross, R. Guillard and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14625; (b) A. Takai, M. Chkounda, A. Eggenspieler, C. P. Gros, M. Lachkar, J.-M. Barbe and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 4477.
- S. Aoyagi, E. Nishibori, H. Sawa, K. Sugimoto, M. Takata, Y. Miyata, R. Kitaura, H. Shinohara, H. Okada, T. Sakai, Y. Ono, K. Kawachi, K. Yokoo, S. Ono, K. Omote, Y. Kasama, S. Ishikawa, T. Komuro and H. Tobita, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 678.
- (a) Y. Kawashima, K. Ohkubo and S. Fukuzumi, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2015, **10**, 44; (b) S. Fukuzumi, K. Ohkubo, Y. Kawashima, D. S. Kim, J. S. Park, A. Jana, V. M. Lynch, D. Kim and J. L. Sessler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15938.
- (a) N. Solladié, A. Hamel and M. Gross, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 6075; (b) F. Aziat, R. Rein, J. Peon, E. Rivera and N. Solladié, *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines*, 2008, **12**, 1232.
- H. Benesi and J. Hildebrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1949, **71**, 2703.
- T. Hasobe, K. Saito, P. V. Kamat, V. Troiani, H. Qiu, N. Solladié, K. S. Kim, J. K. Park, D. Kim, F. D'Souza and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, **17**, 4160.
- The characterization of highly ordered structure as shown in Fig. 1 was carried out by microscopic measurements of thin film.²⁸
- M. Yamada, K. Ohkubo, M. Shionoya and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **135**, 13240.

Journal Name

TOC

**Short Abstract for TOC:**

Multiple photosynthetic reaction centres have been constructed using supramolecular complexes of multiporphyrinic peptides with lithium ion encapsulated fullerene to afford long-lived charge-separated states.