Chemical Society Reviews



Chem Soc Rev

Developing and Understanding Biofluid Vibrational Spectroscopy: A Critical Review

Journal:	Chemical Society Reviews
Manuscript ID	CS-REV-07-2015-000585.R1
Article Type:	Review Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Oct-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Baker, Matthew J; University of Strathclyde, WESTChem, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Technology and Innovation Centre Hussain, Shawn; University of Central Lancashire, Centre for Materials Science, Division of Chemistry; Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Pharmacy Lovergne, Lila; University of Strathclyde, c. WESTChem, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Technology and Innovation Centre; Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Department of Pharmacy Untereiner, Valérie; Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Department of Pharmacy Hughes, Caryn; University of Manchester, Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science LUKASZEWSKI, Roman; Dstl, Chemical Biological Radiological Division Thiéfin, Gérard; Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire de Reims, Service d'Hépato- Gastroentérologie; Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Department of Pharmacy Sockalingum, Ganesh; Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Department of Pharmacy
<u> </u>	

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts





CRITICAL REVIEW

- Received 00th January 20xx, 1
- 2 Accepted 00th January 20xx

www.rsc.org/chemsocrev

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x 3

PLEASE KEEP THIS PAGE BLANK

5

4

- ^b Equipe MéDIAN-Biophotonique et Technologies pour la Santé, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, CNRS UMR 7369-MEDyC, UFR de Pharmacie, 51 rue Cognacq-Jay, 51096 Reims Cedex, France. ⁶ Centre for Materials Science, Division of Chemistry, JB Firth Building, University of
- Central Lancashire, Preston, PR1 2HE, UK.
- ^d Plateforme en Imagerie Cellulaire et Tissulaire, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, 51 rue Cognacq-Jay, 51096 Reims Cedex, France.
- ^{e.} Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, University of Manchester, 131 Princess Street, Manchester, M1 7DN, UK.
- ^f Chemical Biological Radiological Division, DSTL Porton Down, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP4 0JQ, UK.
- ^{g.} Service d'Hépato-Gastroentérologie, CHU de Reims, Hôpital Robert Debré, 51092 Reims Cedex, France.
- * Corresponding authors: ganesh.sockalingum@univ-reims.fr;
- matthew.baker@strath.ac.uk Twitter: @ChemistryBaker
- \perp Both authors contributed equally to this work.
- [†] Both authors contributed to the work and project supervision equally.

^{a.} WESTChem, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Technology and Innovation Centre, 99 George Street, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G1 1RD, UK.

ARTICLE

6 Developing and Understanding Biofluid Vibrational Spectroscopy: A Critical Review

Matthew J. Baker^{a*+}, Shawn R. Hussain^{b,c⊥}, Lila Lovergne^{a,b⊥}, Valérie Untereiner^{b,d}, Caryn Hughes^e,
 Roman A. Lukaszewski^f, Gérard Thiéfin^{b,g}, and Ganesh D. Sockalingum^{b*†}

9

Vibrational spectroscopy can provide rapid, label-free, and objective analysis for the clinical domain. 10 Spectroscopic analysis of biofluids such as blood components (e.g. serum, plasma) and others in the 11 proximity of the diseased tissue or cell (e.g. bile, urine, sputum), offer non-invasive 12 diagnostic/monitoring possibilities for future healthcare that is capable of rapid diagnosis of diseases via 13 specific spectral markers or signatures. Biofluids offer an ideal diagnostic medium due to their ease and 14 15 low cost of collection and daily use in clinical biology. Due to the low risk and invasiveness of their collection they are widely welcomed by patients as a diagnostic medium. This review underscores recent 16 research within the field of biofluid spectroscopy and its use in myriad pathologies such as cancer and 17 infectious diseases. It highlights current progresses, advents, and pitfalls within the field and discusses 18 19 future spectroscopic clinical potentials for diagnostics. The requirements and issues surrounding clinical translation are also considered. 20

21

22 Keywords: Biospectroscopy, Biofluids, Infrared Spectroscopy, Raman Spectroscopy, Biomarkers, Cancer, Infectious Diseases,

23 Clinical Implementation, Multivariate Analysis

24 Introduction

25 Biophotonic techniques are widely used in research for developing new modalities 26 with the aim to improve patient healthcare via better diagnosis, prognosis, and surveillance. Vibrational spectroscopy holds such promises because the "molecular 27 fingerprint" that it provides represents a snapshot of the sample biomolecular 28 composition and variations therein can be exploited to identify different pathologies 29 ^{1, 2}. Its sensitivity to such variations makes it possible to probe pathophysiological 30 processes in cells and tissues as demonstrated by many reports for more than a 31 decade ³, leading to the concepts of "spectral cytopathology" and "spectral 32 histopathology"⁴⁻⁶. With the advances in spectroscopic/imaging technologies and 33 data processing techniques, cells and tissues can be analysed rapidly and non-34 invasively to identify disease-related abnormalities. Indeed, some promising studies 35 have reported the added value of vibrational spectroscopy to deliver an objective 36 diagnosis but they were performed on a limited number of patients⁷. In spite of these 37 advances in cell and tissue spectroscopy, the technique has not yet been able to 38 overcome the experimental research phase in order to be transferable from bench to 39 40 bedside. This is mainly due to the lack of standardisation and validation in large clinical trials and multicentre actions. Access to large sample sets with ethical 41 42 approval is also a limitation. We believe that spectroscopic diagnosis/prognosis via biofluids represents an interesting alternative to cells and tissues. Presently, there is 43 44 limited research representing high-powered clinical studies for biofluid spectroscopy, yet through the use of animal systems several studies from Naumann's group have 45 set the precedent for studies involving large sample numbers; instilling confidence in 46 the high sensitivity and specificity model outcomes by using several hundred animals 47 per study ⁸⁻¹⁰. 48

49 The quest for disease markers through "liquid biopsies" is a fast emerging field and 50 has only been recently explored by spectroscopic approaches. Blood components like serum and plasma are routinely used for blood testing as they contain biomarkers 51 that are useful for disease diagnostics. For example, in diseases like cancer, they are 52 53 known to be a rich source of information and represent readouts of the ongoing cellular and extracellular events ¹¹. Further, they are easily accessible and minimally 54 invasive for patients making large studies feasible. Other organ-specific biofluids in 55 the proximity of the diseased cells or tissues like bile, urine, sputum, and 56 cerebrospinal fluid are also of interest for diagnostic purposes. Recent trends tend to 57 58 indicate that the use of single or few biomarkers has fallen out in favour of multiple biomarkers ¹² and in this context the role of vibrational spectroscopic methods can be 59 determinant as the information provided contains information on global sample 60 61 biomolecular composition providing a chemical 'fingerprint' or 'signature' of the 62 sample. We will focus on the ability of vibrational spectroscopic analysis to illuminate 63 these disease signatures (disease pattern recognition) for diagnostic purposes as

64 opposed to the quantitative determination of specific macromolecules within the 65 biofluid ¹³⁻¹⁵.

This critical review, from both the spectroscopic and clinical points of view, considers 66 67 the issues encountered during translational research aimed at assessing the potentials of infrared and Raman approaches as rapid and label-free diagnostic 68 methods for biological fluids. In addition, the techniques can be adapted to a variety 69 of diseases and therefore represent a cost-effective investment for healthcare 70 systems. This approach could provide a dynamic diagnostic environment that will 71 72 enable rapid diagnostics leading to earlier treatment. In addition, the ability to 73 accurately and rapidly monitor disease will allow for closer patient follow-up and earlier change in treatment if needed. This would enable patients to access treatment 74 75 earlier with reductions in mortality and morbidity.

76

77 Vibrational Spectroscopy

Vibrational spectroscopy relates to the specific optical techniques of Infrared (IR) and Raman spectroscopy. These techniques probe intramolecular vibrations and rotations of the sample when irradiated with light ¹⁶. The light-matter relationship is underpinned by the electromagnetic theory postulated by Maxwell ¹⁷. Vibrational spectroscopy has been used for analysing a myriad of samples in chemical, physical and biological applications.

84 The Raman effect constitutes the spontaneous inelastic light scattering process of 85 photons following the interaction of a monochromatic radiation (e.g., laser source) 86 with the sample. During this interaction both elastic and inelastic scattering processes take place. A high proportion of the photons are elastically scattered with no change 87 in energy (so no molecular information), known as Rayleigh scattering ¹⁷. When 88 89 photons transfer energy to the molecules as vibrational energy, the energy loss of the 90 scattered photons corresponds to the vibrational energy levels of the molecules. This 91 is known as the Raman-Stokes scattering. The incident photons can in turn receive energy from vibrating molecules, and therefore their frequencies increase, described 92 93 as the Raman anti-Stokes scattering. Figure 1 shows the transitions involved during 94 these three processes. In spontaneous Raman, the Stokes scattering is generally used 95 due to its higher sensitivity.

96

97 Infrared spectroscopy (IR) is broadly defined as the study of absorption characteristics 98 arising from the molecular motion of materials due to atomic displacement ⁴ upon 99 intimate interaction with an infrared source ¹⁸. Depending on the modality of choice, 100 the radiation can be either transmitted, internally reflected, reflected, or transflected 101 (a combination of transmission and reflectance). During the light - matter interaction, 102 infrared light causes a molecule to enter in a higher vibrational state due to the 103 transfer of 'quanta' or 'packets' of energy at certain wavelengths dependent upon the

composition of the matter under analysis. Figure 1 illustrates the energy level 104 105 transition involved in the IR absorption process compared to Raman scattering showing that vibrational energy levels can be probed with both techniques using 106 different physical processes. These transitions result in a spectrum constituted of 107 peaks/bands that can be interpreted qualitatively (peak position) and quantitatively 108 109 (peak intensity/area, relative intensity). For IR spectroscopy the bands arise from a 110 change in the electric dipole moment of the molecules, whereas Raman is associated 111 with a change in the molecular polarisability.

112 Constituent chemical molecular bonds present many forms of vibrations which occur at different energies corresponding to different allowed transitions. IR and Raman 113 114 spectroscopies are complementary and provide a "fingerprint" or "signature" of the molecules contained within the sample depending on whether their bonds exhibit 115 116 Raman or IR activities. Certain vibrations that are allowed in Raman may be forbidden in IR and vice versa. For a full treatise of fundamental spectroscopy works, the 117 authors direct the reader to two reviews by Barth and Haris on IR spectroscopy ¹⁹ and 118 Long on Raman spectroscopy²⁰. 119

120

121 Biological and Biomedical Vibrational Spectroscopy

There is a continuing effort devoted to the exploration of new technologies that can 122 123 detect early signs of diseases and therefore significantly reduce mortality and 124 morbidity. This depends on the ability to detect biochemical/morphological changes 125 at an early stage of the disease or before the disease becomes symptomatic. Detection of biomarkers plays an important role in this exploration, and in the case of 126 cancer for example, they cover a broad range of biochemical entities, such as nucleic 127 128 acids, proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, small metabolites, and cytogenetic and 129 cytokinetic parameters, as well as entire circulating tumour cells found in body fluids. 130 They can be used for risk assessment, diagnosis, prognosis, and for the prediction of 131 treatment efficacy and toxicity and disease recurrence.

132

133 Over the last 20 years, there has been an exponential increase in the number of studies dedicated to identification of new cancer (Fig. 2a) and infectious disease (Fig. 134 135 2b) biomarkers, mainly because of the tremendous development of high throughput 136 molecular technologies and associated bioinformatics. However, among the huge 137 amount of candidate biomarkers, only a limited number have been validated for use 138 in medical practice. A recent paper states that in DNA and proteomic research, out of 1000 biomarkers discovered less than 100 have been validated for routine clinical 139 practice ²¹. 140

141

ARTICLE

Vibrational spectroscopy can contribute in bringing a new way for searching biomarkers, namely "spectral signatures" or "spectral biomarkers", which reflect the total biochemical composition of the studied sample as it has been employed for cell and tissue analysis since the pioneering work by Mantsch, Naumann and Diem, to list just a few.

147 Biological samples are frequently analysed via the transmission mode in the mid-IR region, where most molecules absorb and the molecular absorbance is proportional 148 to concentration, obeying Beer-Lambert's law for non-scattering samples. Mid-IR 149 absorption features between approximately 4000 and 400 cm⁻¹ (2.5 to 25 μ m). Figure 150 3 illustrates an example of an FTIR spectrum of a breast tissue with the assignment of 151 152 some important biomolecules. The spectrum can be divided into four regions where the main macromolecules absorb: -CH2 and -CH3 groups of fatty acids and proteins 153 (3050–2800 cm⁻¹); C=O stretching vibrations mainly from lipid esters (1800–1700 cm⁻¹) 154 ¹); C=O, N-H, C-N modes from Amide I and II protein bands $(1700-1500 \text{ cm}^{-1})$; 155 phosphate vibrations from nucleic acids (1225 and 1080 cm⁻¹); and carbohydrate 156 absorptions (1200-900 cm⁻¹). Libraries housing spectra from biological and 157 biochemical samples have been collected over the years. 158

159

Over the years, variants of IR spectroscopic technologies have been tested. A recent review highlights the use of IR techniques to probe the functionality of biological and biomimetic systems ²². Their applications to study biological and biomedical specimens have continuously increased ^{23, 24}. When used to analyse biofluids, the mid-IR or near-IR spectroscopies would be performed on drying samples to negate the overwhelming water band from obscuring spectra and to increase automation ²⁵.

166

Another method of obtaining an IR spectrum is when the sample is placed on a highly 167 reflecting surface, typically aluminium/teflon coated substrates or a glass slide with tin 168 oxide-based silver reflective coating called low e-slides (e.g., MirrIR). In this case the process 169 170 is termed transflection because the IR beam passes through the sample, is reflected off the 171 slide and passes again through the sample before detection. These substrates have very low cost but recently they have been shown to cause significant spectral intensity variations, due 172 to an electric free standing wave artefact (EFSW)^{26, 27} which could be misinterpreted as 173 174 composition variations while it is the sample thickness variation that is questionable. The fundamental question when using low e-slides is whether the spectral variations observed 175 due to the EFSW impact on the discriminant spectral differences. In case of thin samples 176 177 such as air-dried cellular monolayers, recent research by Cao et al. has shown that the same classification was obtained when performing transmission and transflection measurements 178 28 179

Attenuated total reflectance (ATR) FTIR spectroscopy is a promising modality for biological sample analysis. The guided IR beam propagates through a high refractive index crystal surface producing an evanescent standing wave that penetrates the sample by a few microns. However for proper use, several issues need to be
 considered, such as contact between the ATR crystal and the sample, the beam
 penetration depth and image distortion due to high refractive indices ^{25, 29}.

186

187 Despite its molecular specificity, FTIR spectroscopy suffers from some shortcomings 188 which limit its application to the measurement of biological samples and their dynamic behaviour. An important one is sensitivity, in particular in thin samples as a 189 result of the Beer-Lambert's law. Signal amplification can be achieved by the 190 plasmonic resonances of nano-scale metallic particles ³⁰, resulting in the phenomena 191 of surface-enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA)³¹, in analogy with surface-enhanced 192 Raman scattering (SERS) ³². Early SEIRA studies utilised metal island films ^{22, 31} and 193 dried samples, but today plasmonic chip-based technology enables the in situ 194 monitoring of protein and nanoparticle interactions in aqueous media, at high 195 196 sensitivity in real time ³³.

197 One method of choice for cell and tissue analysis has been IR microspectroscopy. The coupling of an FTIR spectrometer with a microscope has helped to perform 198 microanalysis and gain in spatial resolution \sim 15-20 μ m with a thermal source and \sim 5-199 200 10 µm with a synchrotron source using single element detectors. These systems are 201 limited by low sensitivities and time-consuming experiments (several hours) as they 202 remain a point by point acquisition. In the 1990's, the advent of imaging devices with 203 multi-element detectors combined with aperture less microscopes, high-tech 204 automation and faster computers, have drastically reduced the data acquisition times (few hours) with resolution going down to $\sim 2\mu$ m/pixel with liquid nitrogen cooled 205 206 focal plane array detectors. Many research groups have demonstrated the efficacy of employing this to a clinical setting on biopsy samples; minimising subjectivity and 207 increasing diagnostic accuracy⁴. In spite of these progresses, such instruments remain 208 209 research machines and are not adapted to be used as benchtop techniques for 210 routine analysis in a clinical setting.

The launching of new IR imaging devices incorporating high-intensity tunable quantum cascade lasers (QCL) could revolutionise the way clinical IR images are acquired ³⁴. High-throughput IR chemical imaging is now in its early days, and needs to be tested and validated. However, a gain of three orders of magnitude in acquisition time has recently been reported for large samples by Bhargava's group ³⁵. Combining signal enhancement from SEIRA and fast imaging using a QCL source with small bandwidths, a recent study claimed a ~ 200 fold gain in imaging time ³⁶.

The Raman shift covers the range between 0 and 4000 cm⁻¹. Raman spectroscopy can be used in the confocal mode and with the resonance and surface-enhanced modalities. Applications of Raman microspectroscopy for probing biological systems have been continuously expanding over the years along with IR spectroscopy ³⁷. Its high spatial resolution (~0.5 μ m with green lasers), compatibility with aqueous environment ^{38, 39}, and *in vivo* amenability ⁴⁰⁻⁴³ makes it a good candidate for biological and biomedical research. Akin

to FTIR, it also provides high content biomolecular information. Microspectroscopy with
 immersion measurements can be used to enhance signal to background ratio enabling
 higher quality data acquisition as demonstrated by Bonnier *et al.* 44.

Due to its advantages, label-free, high spectral specificity, limited water signal, and the fact that most biological molecules are Raman active, Raman has been deployed to *in vitro* cell and tissue studies, but now significant developments of *in vivo* work due to the compatibility with fibres, has enabled Raman endoscopy in a label-free manner and *in vivo* Raman probes/endoscopes have made direct tissue analysis possible ⁴⁵.

New fields of measurement and implementation possibilities have multiplied due to recent 232 hardware developments, improved sampling methods, and advances in the design of Raman 233 234 technology alongside developments and advances in multivariate data analysis. It has been possible to uncover subtle disease-related spectral changes and exploit them in classification 235 models. However, an important drawback of Raman spectroscopy is that the effect is 236 237 inherently weak as a very small proportion of incident photons are scattered (1 in 10^{8}) with a corresponding change in frequency ¹⁷. This together with the fact that to date most of the 238 commercial systems use dispersive configurations adds another limitation compared to fast 239 240 IR imaging systems, and makes Raman imaging of biological specimens a slower process. 241 These limitations can be partly circumvented with other Raman modalities based on Resonant Raman Scattering (RRS) and Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) to enable 242 gains in detection sensitivity ³⁷. In SERS technology, the use of functionalised metal 243 nanosurfaces has allowed optimising the enhancement to several orders of magnitude 244 depending on the metal substrate. Metal nanoparticle arrays and single nanoparticles have 245 been utilized for high-throughput detection ⁴⁶. SERS has been applied in different areas in 246 the chemical and biological fields ⁴⁷ and its very high sensitivity has allowed single molecule 247 detection ⁴⁸. Until recently, SERS was not widely applied to biomedical research because of 248 issues linked to complexity of the biological medium, biocompatibility, reproducibility, and 249 250 short shelf life. However, using silver and gold colloids as SERS substrates, Bonifacio et al. recently showed that repeatable spectra could be obtained from protein-free blood serum 251 and plasma 49. 252

Furthermore, non-linear Raman spectroscopy has been developed to be applied to biomedical analysis like Stimulated Raman Scattering (SRS) and Coherent Anti-Stokes Raman Scattering (CARS), for rapid image acquisition (one Raman band at a time) with higher sensitivities than spontaneous Raman ⁵⁰⁻⁵². For non-linear Raman, it is important to know which marker band(s) are useful, in analogy to the application of Discrete Frequency–IR (DF-IR) as enabled by the use of QCL sources.

Other areas of current interest for Raman spectroscopy are exploring the sampling depth and location of spectral information. For instance, seminal research conducted by Stone, Matousek and collaborators, demonstrated the principle of spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS) for subsurface analysis towards *in vivo* breast cancer ^{53, 54} and deep Raman measurements using liquid tissue phantoms to mimic non-invasive cancer screening

applications *in vivo* ⁵⁵. Through-tissue sensitivity was increased *via* SESORS measurements at
 several millimetres depth, i.e., combining SORS with nano-tagged SERS particles ⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹.

Building on the research described above, the field of biospectroscopy has continuously progressed and expanded to complex biological systems such as biofluids ⁶⁰ with a major focus on the development of a potential diagnostic/prognostic tool with remarkable scope and future clinical promises.

270

With the global disease burden set to rise, a more rapid, non-invasive, label-free, nondestructive, automatic and cost effective diagnostic technique like vibrational spectroscopy would revolutionise the clinical environment. Its utility as a biofluid diagnostic tool is heavily reliant on the principle that cellular and tissue dysfunction or irregularities affect the biochemical make-up of biofluids, manifesting as protein, carbohydrate, lipid, and nucleic acid subtle differences ¹⁶.

Over the last decade, developments in this field have been ongoing in order to fulfil these
objectives and ultimately leading to better diagnostics and time to results to improve patient
outcomes, offer more efficient public services, and reduce health costs.

280

281 **Biomarkers in body fluids**

282 According to the National Institutes of Health definition, a biomarker is "a 283 characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal 284 biologic processes, pathogenic processes or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention"⁶¹. In line with this definition, there is a large range of clinical situations 285 where the biomarkers are of paramount importance for the patient's management: 286 287 screening of patients at risk of the disease or with the disease at an early stage, differential diagnosis of the disease with other conditions, prognosis of the disease 288 289 independently of the treatment, prediction of the response to treatment, monitoring 290 of disease evolution (Fig. 4).

291

292 Molecular biomarkers may be detectable in tissues and biofluids. Figure 5 illustrates 293 the case for cancer where tissue biomarkers can be used for cytological or pathological assessment of the disease or for molecular or spectral imaging 294 295 techniques. The tumour is vascularised and markers are shed into the bloodstream. Another health issue is the early detection of biomarkers for the diagnosis of 296 297 infectious diseases coming either from the host or from the pathogen. From the initial 298 interaction onwards the majority of biomarkers available to measure are derived 299 from the host since pathogen numbers are very low and the host is able to utilize 300 components of both the innate and adaptive host response to drive an appropriate 301 response. In serious infection, when pathogens are able to overcome the early host 302 response to their presence, their numbers increase at an exponential rate resulting in significant mortality rates. In such cases, the relative concentration of microbial 303 304 biomarkers increase over time whilst biomarkers associated with the ongoing, yet

ARTICLE

ineffective, host response are still readily detectable (Fig. 6). Preliminary evidence has
 been produced which indicates that it is possible to identify the presence of an
 infectious organism through analysis of host biomarker signatures before patients
 become symptomatic ⁶². Thus, the concept of searching for such signatures in host
 biofluids pre-symptomatically appears as a promising avenue for exploration in order
 to enable early therapeutic intervention.

311

Regarding biofluids, blood and its constituents appear the most convenient for biomarker/biosignature detection given its ease of availability and the possibility to repeat the test as often as necessary to monitor disease progression or response to treatment.

316

Blood serum houses more than 20 000 different proteins. It perfuses all body organs 317 meaning it contains a large range of proteomes from surrounding tissues and cells, 318 making it the most complex biofluid ⁶³. The low molecular weight fraction serum 319 component of blood, known as the "peptidome" is information rich for diagnostic 320 purposes ¹¹. Other biofluids (bile, urine, sputum, pancreatic juice, and ascitic, pleural, 321 322 cerebrospinal fluids), in direct contact with the diseased tissue, are of great interest as media to detect biomarkers/biosignatures that are secreted or shedded locally. 323 324 These are expected to be present in higher concentration in these fluids than in the blood. In addition, their identification may be facilitated by a less complex molecular 325 composition of local biofluids compared with blood. Although some biofluids such as 326 327 urine share with blood samples ease of availability and repeatability, analysis of other 328 biofluids requires an invasive procedure, which limits their repeated use in the clinical 329 setting. An example is cerebrospinal fluid which requires a lumbar puncture for collection. 330

331

Whilst biomedical vibrational spectroscopy has been developed initially mainly for cell and tissue analysis, it has been also applied more recently to biofluids for biomarker discovery, generating a number of pilot studies with promising results as presented below. The challenge is now to translate the results of these exploratory studies to the routine clinical practice.

337

338 Biofluid Spectroscopy

The search for disease markers in biofluids *via* photonic approaches is a fast emerging field and has only been recently explored by vibrational spectroscopic approaches. Biofluids are easily accessible and minimally invasive for patients making large studies feasible. Like cells and tissues, biofluids exhibit vibrational spectra that have characteristic bands reflecting their biomolecular composition. Figure 7 compares the FTIR spectra of some dried biofluids (serum, plasma, and bile) obtained with a highthroughput module in the transmission mode. IR spectra of serum and plasma

present very close profiles with subtle differences that are difficult to depict visibly.This is explained by the fact that serum is essentially plasma with the clotting factors

of blood removed. The assignment of the main bands is provided in Table I.

The bile spectrum differs through a higher lipid and carbohydrate content and by relative intensity changes of the protein amide I/amide II bands ⁶⁴.

Raman spectroscopy gives complementary information to IR. Besides the main macromolecules like proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates, other modes originating from amino acids for example are active. The assignment of the main bands is indicated in figure 8 showing an example of a typical Raman serum spectrum taken from a dried drop.

356 357

Serum and plasma

At present, the majority of the biofluid spectroscopy research has focused on serum and plasma. This is most likely due to the prevalence of these types of samples within current biobank stocks or the fact that ethics are already established to collect these samples and all that is required is an addendum stating a separate use of the material.

Malignant diseases - Currently, in the field of oncology, most investigations are proof-363 of-principle studies showing the potentials of FTIR/Raman spectroscopy to identify 364 365 different types of cancer from serum samples with high degrees of accuracy. HT-FTIR spectroscopy in transmission mode was used to discriminate urinary bladder cancer 366 patients from patients with urinary tract infection with linear discriminant analysis 367 (LDA) or random forest (RF) classifiers ⁶⁵. Using blood serum, Backhaus et al. 368 distinguished between breast cancer and controls with a very high sensitivity and specificity 369 370 ⁶⁶. Chemometrics combining support vector machine (SVM) and leave-one-out cross validation was employed by Zhang et al. to separate cirrhotic patients with or without 371 hepatocellular carcinoma ⁶⁷. Equally important is the possibility to identify liver 372 fibrosis stages prior to the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, which are 373 374 crucial for the clinical management. A study by Scaglia et al. revealed that patients with extensive fibrosis (F3/F4 stages) could be distinguished from those with no 375 fibrosis (FO stage) on the basis of their FTIR serum spectra using a combination of 376 discriminant wavenumbers ⁶⁸. Studies using ATR-FTIR spectroscopy, coupled with 377 classification machine discriminated ovarian ⁶⁹ and endometrial cancers ⁷⁰. It also 378 allowed differentiating glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) from healthy control and low 379 grade gliomas and GBM versus healthy control ^{71, 72}. 380

Applications of Raman spectroscopy to the study of various biofluids from cancer patients are in continuous progress. Sahu *et al.* analysed serum samples and could differentiate oral cancer patients from controls ⁷³. More recently, they reported that Raman serum spectroscopy was capable to predict the probability of recurrence in this cancer ⁷⁴. Other studies have shown the potential of Raman spectroscopy for differentiating normal subjects from patients with breast ⁷⁵, colorectal ⁷⁶, or cervical ⁷⁷

ARTICLE

cancers. A proof-of-concept study using micro-Raman spectroscopy applied to the sera of 71 cirrhotic patients showed that it could be an alternative method for discriminating cirrhotic patients with and without hepatocellular carcinoma ⁷⁸. On the other hand, SERS of serum or plasma has also been shown as a promising tool for the diagnosis of various types of cancer such as nasopharyngeal ⁷⁹⁻⁸¹, digestive ^{80, 82-84}, and prostate cancers ⁸⁵.

- 393
- 394

Non-malignant diseases - Serum and plasma have been also employed to diagnose
 other diseases using biospectroscopy. For example, Raman serum data allowed to
 differentiate Alzheimer's disease from other dementia ⁸⁶ and Carmona *et al.* used
 plasma Raman spectral data to grade mild, moderate, and severe Alzheimer cases ⁸⁷.
 Via FTIR spectroscopy of plasma, Peuchant *et al.* have shown that patients with
 Alzheimer's disease could be well delineated from normal ageing subjects used as
 controls ⁸⁸.

402

403 Recent plasma data published by Lacombe *et al.* clearly showed that HT-FTIR 404 spectroscopy could be an interesting alternative technique in neonatal screening of 405 rare diseases such as classic galactosemia. Promising results indicated that 406 healthy/diabetic, healthy/galactosemic, and diabetic/galactosemic patients could be 407 discriminated with good sensitivity and specificity ⁸⁹.

Few large studies have been reported. An example is the study led by Petrich's group 408 409 showing the potential of mid-infrared spectroscopy in the triage of patients with acute chest pain ⁹⁰. This study included 1429 serum samples from 389 patients 410 reporting to two US hospitals (Massachusetts General and Latter Day Saints, Utah) 411 412 consisted of 104 suffering from acute myocardial infarction (AMI), 136 from unstable angina pectoris, and 149 from chest pain of other sources. FTIR measurements were 413 performed in the transflection mode. Using a threshold value generated from a 414 415 robust linear discriminant analysis, they achieved high sensitivity and specificity enabling triage of patients with AMI, those most at need within the Accident and 416 417 Emergency setting, compared to the other sources of chest pain. They hypothesise on the involvement of carbohydrates as discriminant features, possibly a glycation 418 419 reaction. Interestingly, their results were comparable to the performance of routine cardiac laboratory markers within the same study population. They conclude on the 420 potential of FTIR to aid the diagnostic procedure as early as within the first 6 hours 421 422 after the onset of chest pain.

Blood plasma from patients has been investigated with Raman spectroscopy as dried
drops to identify a reliable biomarker that can differentiate sepsis patients from those
with non-infectious systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Neugebauer *et al.*reported on the high sensitivity and specificity that can be achieved ⁹¹. The possibility

427 of separating the two groups of patients is crucial because a stratification of at risk 428 patients can be established for a rapid delivery of appropriate treatment.

Finally, following the results obtained in a model of infected cultured cells, SERS appears as a
 promising approach for malaria parasite detection from whole blood ⁹².

431 432

Other biofluids

Journal Name

Other biofluids non-invasively accessible (urine, saliva, sputum, tears) and invasively
accessible (bile, synovial fluid, cerebrospinal fluid, amniotic fluid) have been
investigated by vibrational spectroscopy for diagnostic purposes.

Somorjai et al. were able to distinguish urine samples from normal renal transplants 436 and rejected allografts, applying IR spectroscopy and a three-stage classification 437 strategy ⁹³. A Raman spectroscopic analysis combined with PCA and quadratic 438 439 discriminant analysis (QDA) performed on urine, has allowed identification of spectral 440 biomarkers predictive of complications and kidney failure in the urine of diabetic and hypertensive patients ⁹⁴. Finally, in the field of oncology, Del Mistro *et al.* reported 441 that SERS using Au nanoparticle substrates had the potential to detect in urine 442 spectral biomarkers of prostate cancer ⁹⁵. 443

Another approach by FTIR spectroscopy associated with LDA on saliva, has reported the correct classification of diabetic patients from healthy control ⁹⁶. SERS of saliva showed the ability to predict lung cancer by monitoring the decrease of proteins and nucleic acids with 80%, 78%, and 83% accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity respectively ⁹⁷. A preliminary study using SERS on saliva suggested the possibility of a quick detection of AIDS but these results obtained on a small number of patients deserve to be confirmed on a larger population ⁹⁸.

An exploratory study has shown that FTIR spectroscopy applied to sputum could be a useful approach for the diagnostic of the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ⁹⁹. Investigating the potential of human tears for the diagnosis of ocular diseases, Travo *et al.* have shown the discrimination of patients with keratoconus (degenerative disorder affecting the cornea) from healthy control and also between patients at an early or advanced stage of disease by HT-FTIR and PCA ¹⁰⁰. Additionally, Choi *et al.* report that SERS can be used for diagnosis of adenoviral conjunctivitis from tears ¹⁰¹.

Using HT-FTIR spectroscopy in association with support vector machine (SVM) classification and leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV), Untereiner *et al.* have shown that bile samples of patients with malignant biliary strictures were differentiated from those with benign biliary diseases ⁶⁴.

Eysel *et al.* using FTIR spectroscopy and LDA with LOOCV on synovial fluid, were able
to differentiate samples from joints affected by rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis,
spondyloarthropathies, and meniscal injuries ¹⁰². Also from synovial fluid samples, a
Raman spectroscopic study associated to a k-means analysis has shown discrimination
between patients with osteoarthritis of low or high severity ¹⁰³.

Liu *et al.* have investigated the amniotic fluid potential for fetal lung development assessments by IR spectroscopy. The lecithin/sphingomyelin (lung surfactants) and lung

surfactant/albumin ratio measurements by IR spectroscopy were quantitatively and qualitatively correlated to those obtained by thin-layer chromatography and fluorescence depolarization, two clinical methods used to determine fetal lung surfactant maturity in amniotic fluid ¹⁰⁴. Prenatal disorders from amniotic fluids have also been investigated by ATR-FTIR spectroscopy revealing spectral profile changes between amniotic fluids from pregnancies with fetal malformations, preterm delivery and healthy term pregnancies ¹⁰⁵.

- 474 Griebe *et al.* were able by FTIR spectroscopy to distinguish patients with Alzheimer's disease 475 from healthy controls using cerebrospinal fluid ¹⁰⁶.
- 476

477 **Translation**

With a few exceptions, all the mentioned proof-of-concept studies have been carried out on rather small populations and have shown promises for clinical utility and highlight the potential of vibrational spectroscopy for spectral diagnostics. To our knowledge, two major programmes for large scale clinical trials in remote settings are ongoing using hand-held FTIR modalities. The first campaign led by Wood *et al.* concerns the screening of population in Thailand for malarial diagnosis (http://monash.edu/news/show/infrared-light-puts-malaria-to-the-test).

A similar approach is being taken in the UK with the establishment of Glyconics Ltd. Glyconics is using sputum to diagnose Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disorder and are moving towards clinical validation of handheld ATR-FTIR on a subset of the UK population (http://www.glyconics.com/technology.asp).

489

These steps towards actual clinical environment testing is pushing the field to the forefront of the application and will illuminate the utility of these techniques as well as barriers to clinical implementation that need to be overcome.

493

494 **Multivariate Analysis**

495 It is becoming more and more evident that vibrational spectroscopy represents an 496 interesting approach to explore the diagnostic potentials of circulating biomarkers/biosignatures in various body fluids ⁶⁰. Along with the technological 497 development, the front-end sample preparation challenges and approaches, and the 498 499 data acquisition procedures, the pre-processing and post-processing of spectral data 500 are equally important for the deployment of various biofluid classes into diagnostics development. Vibrational spectroscopic data are inherently multivariate by nature 501 502 and their pre- and post-processing require multivariate data analysis approaches.

503

504 Different instruments from different manufacturers have different responses and 505 spectral distortions and backgrounds have to be taken into account via pre-processing 506 algorithms in order to compare data from different studies for example. The pre-507 processing should therefore be able to give accurate, robust and reliable data. These 508 considerations should also include how the sample is prepared and conditioned, the

optical substrate used, and the acquisition mode used in order to post-process 509 510 reliable data. The way the sample is dried or acquired (e.g. transmission or reflection) will also pre-empt the pre-processing procedures. For example, rapid drying of serum 511 512 can produce a granulating effect which then causes more scattering/dispersion 513 artefacts and a specific correction has to be implemented. It is clear that the pre-514 processing is not the same for infrared and Raman spectra of biofluids because the 515 physical phenomena involved are respectively absorption and scattering. In FT-IR 516 spectroscopy the use of an interferometer ensures an excellent intensity and 517 wavenumber calibration. In addition, a background signal is regularly recorded and 518 automatically subtracted to obtain the sample spectrum. For Raman, a day-to-day 519 calibration procedure needs to be implemented to correct for instrument response, 520 and to calibrate the wavenumber and intensity axes. Other experimental 521 considerations include the need to subtract substrate contributions and other 522 physical phenomena such as fluorescence and heating. Biofluid vibrational spectra are 523 therefore corrected, derived (or not), then normalized. As a general rule, it is also important to include prior to the pre-processing steps, a quality test to remove 524 525 spectra with a poor signal/noise ratio (threshold to be defined depending on the 526 sample nature) and a validated outlier removal routine before post-processing.

527

528 The post-processing step includes data mining and the construction of classifiers. Very 529 often, the spectral differences between normal and pathological states are very 530 subtle and the next step is to perform data mining, i.e., a process used to extract the 531 salient information from the spectral data. By using specific algorithms, patterns can 532 be found in large batches of data. Thus, such feature selection procedures can help to identify discriminant spectral features to discriminate between patient groups ⁶⁷. 533 534 However, it is important to note that data mining depends on effective data collection, the size of the datasets, and as well as their pre-processing. 535

536

537 To build classification models, several multivariate approaches have been used and as 538 of today there is no general consensus on which method is the best. In other research 539 fields, numerous linear and non-linear supervised algorithms have been evaluated and a combination of methods like SVM and PLS-DA has been shown to enhance the 540 sensitivity and specificity of the classifiers ¹⁰⁷. Generally, building the classifier should 541 include a calibration phase (training phase), an internal validation phase, and an 542 external validation phase (blind testing phase). One of the important issues 543 encountered is the size of the datasets used as a small dataset that does not 544 545 accurately describe the patient population can lead to under- or over-fitting and 546 impact the classifier outcome. For a classifier to be robust, it is important to have a 547 large number of class-representative patient samples. In addition, the external 548 validation requires a dataset that has not been used in the two previous steps of 549 calibration and internal validation (based upon patient spectra and not replicate

spectra from the same patient i.e. a spectrum from the same patient should not be in 550 551 the calibration/internal validation and external validation phases). The leave-n-out 552 cross validation method is often used for these models. It is important to note that all spectra from a given patient must be removed in this process in order to enable a 553 valid outcome. Considering all individual spectra, mean spectra or median spectra as 554 555 input datasets of the classifier should also be taken into consideration although it has been found that when spectra are highly reproducible and after applying a quality 556 control test plus an appropriate outlier removal, the results are comparable ⁶⁴. 557

558

The workflow in figure 9 illustrates the different steps, for both IR and Raman spectroscopies, starting from sample preparation to data pre- and post-processing and the building of classifiers for diagnostics. The issues dealing with pre-processing and post-processing procedures generally used are described in a more detailed manner in a dedicated review elsewhere in this special issue.

564

565 **Requirements for Clinical Implementation**

566 Over the last 20 years, the number of studies dedicated to identification of new biomarkers has increased exponentially, mainly because of the tremendous 567 568 development of high-throughput molecular technologies and associated bioinformatics. However, among the huge amount of candidate biomarkers, only a 569 limited number have been validated for use in medical practice ¹⁰⁸. The origin of this 570 discrepancy has been extensively analyzed in the field of proteomics and genomics. 571 572 Methodological flaws have been identified in the process of their identification and/or clinical validation and recommendations have been set forth to overcome 573 these inadequacies ¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹. Studies based on vibrational spectroscopy are subject to 574 575 the same problems. As for other high throughput technologies, the huge amount of 576 data generated by spectroscopic analysis exposes this analysis to a significant risk of 577 false positive findings. This risk should be minimized by rigorously controlling sample and patient related factors in the exploratory phase and by standardizing the 578 579 conditions of spectral acquisition, processing and analysis (preanalytic/analytic validity). Subsequently, the findings from pilot studies need to be confirmed in 580 independent large cohort of samples (clinical validity) and finally the benefit of using 581 the biomarker/biosignature in the clinical decision-making setting should be clearly 582 demonstrated as well as its favourable medico-economic profile. Only after this 583 584 process, a newly discovered biomarker can pretend to reach the routine clinical use 109-113 585

586

587 **Preanalytic/analytic validity**

588 In the preanalytic step, attention should be paid to validate sample-related factors 589 and patient-related factors. Standardization of specimen collection and storage is 590 crucial to reach experimental reproducibility not only in an individual laboratory but

also between different laboratories. In addition, investigators should be aware of the 591 risks of contamination during sample handling. In a recent SERS study ⁴⁹, EDTA, citrate 592 and Li-Heparin used as anticoagulants for plasma collection have been shown to 593 594 exhibit confounding peaks. When using filtered plasma (with a 3kDa cut-off), contrary to EDTA and citrate, Li-Heparin was filtered out and no longer interfered with the 595 596 spectral information. FTIR studies have shown that EDTA and citrate spectral 597 contributions can be circumvented using dialysed plasma. In contrast, no interference in FTIR spectra was observed when directly analysing plasma from Li-Heparin tubes 598 ¹¹⁴. Due to these limitations, serum is often preferred to plasma in spectroscopic 599 600 analysis. Factors related to patients are of paramount importance to limit the risk of 601 false positives. Inappropriate selection of case patients and control subjects is a common pitfall in spectroscopic studies as widely reported in other high throughput 602 technologies ^{108, 115}. When comparison groups are not matched for example for age, 603 604 sex and physical conditions such as hormonal status or pathologies other than the 605 disease of interest, results may be biased and differences identified between groups may be linked to these confounding factors rather than to the disease of interest ¹⁰⁹. 606 607 Analytic validity includes the technical aspects of the biomarker assessment. In the

608 field of vibrational spectroscopy, the interaction of light with biological molecules is 609 subject to a certain number of drawbacks which should be overcome to meet the 610 criteria of accuracy, reproducibility and robustness.

611

612 The most common protocol for spectral analysis of biofluids is the drying of drop deposits. A shortcoming of this method is the heterogeneous drop deposition 613 characterized by the well-known coffee-ring effect, due to the migration of 614 macromolecules towards the periphery of the drop ¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸. In order to clarify the 615 dynamics of such deposition, Esmonde-White et al. used both imaging and Raman 616 spectroscopy to demonstrate that substrate and fluid concentration have a profound 617 effect on dried drop morphology. They showed that the substrate did not affect the 618 619 chemical composition within the outer ring of the drop whereas the macromolecular concentration has an impact on the spatial distribution of proteins ¹¹⁹. Using HT-FTIR, 620 Lovergne et al. have recently confirmed the impact of serum dilution on the 621 deposition pattern as illustrated in figure 10¹²⁰. Without dilution, serum spectra were 622 saturated, due to the acquisition in the transmission sampling mode. The 3-fold 623 dilution was shown to be the most suitable for spectral analysis with a good 624 625 reproducibility and absorbance intensity. The signal/noise ratio was degraded with higher fold dilutions which precludes the analysis of molecules present at a low 626 627 concentration in the serum. The heterogeneous deposition of macromolecules in the 628 outer ring should be taken into account when using mode point spectroscopic assessment. It has been reported that this issue can be overcome by averaging 629 spectra taken at different points of the outer ring ¹²¹. Another possibility to avoid the 630 coffee-ring effect is to perform an analysis on a film composed of an array of reduced-631

size dry drops each formed from 200 pL of serum ¹²². The strict control of experimental parameters of drop deposition appears as a major prerequisite to obtain reproducible results ¹¹⁹. This may be obtained at best by an automated sampling approach as described by Ollesch *et al.* Using this approach, these authors have reported a higher reproducibility of spectral data compared to a non-automatic sampling ¹²².

638

639 ATR-FTIR spectroscopy has been shown to be an interesting approach for the analysis of biofluids as samples can be directly applied onto the ATR crystal without any 640 dilution. However, currently there is no automated device available so that spectral 641 acquisition is time consuming, about 9 times longer than with automated HT-FTIR 642 spectroscopy ¹²⁰. The lack of automation is a limiting factor for the transposition of 643 ATR-FTIR spectroscopy into a high-throughput clinical application ¹²³. This may also be 644 possible when using a high throughput source such as a QCL during a DF-IR approach. 645 646 However, for limited patient cases, in a hand-held mode it offers advantages of ease of use and ease of sample preparation with no modification/adulteration of the 647 sample. Identically, Raman spectroscopy is also of great interest for biofluid 648 spectroscopy particularly due to developments in hand held technology and 649 immersion Raman which could enable hand held analysis of "wet" serum, negating 650 651 the need for a drying step.

652

The technical standardization of spectral acquisition makes sense if reproducible results can be obtained in different laboratories. This external validation is essential on the way towards clinical validity. The inter-instrument transferability is also a challenge that needs to be faced. Finally, the need for automated instruments underline the necessity of a close collaboration between research scientists, clinical practitioners and industrial partners in order to optimize currently available products according to a specific biomedical purpose ¹.

660 Beside the need of standardized spectral acquisition, there is also a need to validate the design of pilot studies including the chemometric analysis. Proof-of-concept 661 662 studies raise the question of appropriate selection of case patients and controls as 663 discussed below and also the question of sample size. In contrast with classical 664 statistics, there is no simple method to calculate sample size in biospectroscopic studies. However, Beleites et al. have proposed in a recent report to use learning 665 curves to determine the appropriate sample size needed to build good classifiers with 666 specified performances ¹²⁴. When the number of patients is too limited to divide the 667 population in one training set and one independent validation set, cross validation 668 methods should be used to avoid the high risk of overfitting ¹²⁵. 669

670

671 Clinical validity

The next step after the phase of pre-analytic/analytic validation is to confirm the 672 673 diagnostic performance of the biomarker on an independent population of a large 674 number of patients. This means large multicenter randomized control trials where the 675 sensitivity and the specificity of the putative biomarker may be evaluated against the gold standard diagnostic/screening procedure. These studies, particularly the criteria 676 677 to include case patients and controls, should be carefully designed to demonstrate 678 whether the biomarker is applicable to its specific purpose which may be screening, differential diagnosis, prognosis, treatment response prediction or monitoring of a 679 disease (Fig. 4). 680

681

682 A common mistake is to validate a marker in the diagnostic setting of a disease and 683 then to extrapolate its performance to the screening context. Candidate biomarkers are tested in pilot studies performed in small numbers of patients with patent disease 684 already diagnosed using golden standard methods. It is crucial to validate the value of 685 686 these markers in the screening context i.e. for early diagnosis in large populations of patients at risk of the disease. The biomarker sensitivity and specificity in the 687 screening target population are usually much lower than in patients with patent 688 disease. In the context of population screening, high specificity is of paramount 689 importance to avoid false positive results, which means patients will be subject to 690 691 additional diagnostic procedures, potentially invasive and costly for the society. This underlines the necessity of selecting case patients and control subjects according to 692 the clinical setting where the biomarker is intended to be used ¹⁰⁹. 693

694

A methodology to avoid patient selection bias in screening studies has been proposed 695 by Pepe et al. ^{109, 111, 126}. In the so-called PRoBE study design, samples are collected 696 prospectively in a cohort of patients before the knowledge of the final diagnosis. 697 Once the outcome data becomes available and the diagnosis established, the sample 698 699 cohort can be used retrospectively by randomly selecting cases and controls. This 700 methodology is promoted by the research consortium "Early Detection Research Network" from the National Cancer Institute to establish specimen reference sets. It 701 has proved efficient for rapid evaluation of potential biomarkers ¹¹⁰. 702

703 704

Clinical utility

A crucial point in the process of biomarker validation before its adoption in routine 705 clinical practice is to demonstrate its clinical decision-making usefulness at an 706 acceptable cost for the society ¹⁰⁹. This means that the positive and negative 707 predictive values of the biomarker should be evaluated in the "real life" patient 708 709 population since these indicators are dependent on the prevalence of the disease of interest. The difference between clinical validity and clinical utility is illustrated by the 710 711 debate about the usefulness of Prostatic Specific Antigen (PSA)-based screening program. It is well established that PSA-based screening programs significantly 712

increase the detection of prostate cancer at an early stage ¹²⁷. However, there is also 713 714 evidence that PSA-based screening carries a high risk of over-diagnosis leading to overtreatment in a significant number of men with early cancer that will never 715 become symptomatic during their life time ¹²⁸. Whether the benefits of early 716 detection of asymptomatic prostate cancer outweigh the harms related to over-717 718 diagnosis and overtreatment is highly controversial. There is no consensus regarding the clinical relevance of a PSA-based screening program ¹²⁹. This emphasizes that, in 719 addition to its diagnostic performance, the biomarker clinical utility has to be 720 721 demonstrated before its clinical implementation. The clinical utility refers to the balance of benefits to harms and the medicoeconomic evaluation. For this purpose, a 722 723 validation study should be performed in a large number of unselected patients with clinical endpoints clearly defined to demonstrate the benefit of using a biomarker 724 including quality of life for the patient and socioeconomic aspects for the society ¹⁰⁹. 725

726

727 Conclusion

The difficulty in translating biomedical spectroscopy to the clinic is fundamentally 728 729 based in the fact that after over more than two decades of research, not enough has been done to fully understand the accuracy of these tests with appropriate 730 731 considerations applied to control groups and limitations of the clinical environment. In addition there is a need to perform large-scale studies to evaluate the 732 733 spectroscopic tests' efficacy within the clinic. These approaches would also enable a 734 "diplomatic mission" to enable this technology to be acceptable to the medical 735 community through a "hearts and minds" approach. The particular requirements and picture of a clinical spectrometer or suit of spectrometers including the spectroscopic 736 approach should be implemented for different clinical settings, its instrumental 737 738 requirements (e.g. detector sensitivity and source throughput), and how accurately it 739 can diagnose disease or perform treatment monitoring.

740

This review has highlighted the increased diagnostic sensitivity observed from the use 741 742 of biomedical vibrational spectroscopy to analyse biofluids. However, care should be taken for biofluid spectroscopy not to suffer from the identified pitfalls. As the field of 743 biofluid spectroscopy is further researched, a lot of commitment from different 744 stakeholders (researchers, clinicians, and instrument manufacturers) will be necessary 745 to demonstrate its real potential as a rapid, novel, and robust technology to pinpoint 746 747 "spectral biomarkers / signatures" that can be useful for diagnostic purposes and to predict clinical outcomes, with the promise that the test can be done periodically at 748 low cost for monitoring care. 749

750

The initiatives via current networks like the EPSRC CLIRSPEC (http://clirspec.org/), the
 Raman4Clinics European COST action (<u>http://www.raman4clinics.eu/raman4clinics-a-</u>
 <u>european-cost-action/</u>) and the 1st International Society for Clinical Spectroscopy

(CLIRSPEC) are currently gearing research, facilities and communities in the clinicalspectroscopy arena to achieve these objectives.

756 Acknowledgements

MJB acknowledges EPSRC, AHRC, Royal Society, Rosemere Cancer Foundation, Brain Tumour North West, Sydney Driscoll Neuroscience Foundation. The Defence and Science Technology Laboratory (Dstl, UK), the Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA, France), the Champagne-Ardenne Regional Council, are acknowledged for research funding and the URCA PICT Technological Platform for technical support.

762

Bands (cm ⁻¹)	Major assignments for plasma conte	765
3300	v(N-H) of proteins (amide A band)	766
3055-3090	v(=CH) of lipids	700
2950-2960	vas(CH ₃) of lipids	767
2920-2930	$v_{as}(CH_2)$ of lipids	768
2865-2880	$v_{s}(CH_{3})$ of lipids	769
2840-2860	$v_{s}(CH_{2})$ of lipids	770
1730-1760	v(C=O) of fatty acids	771
1660	v(C=O) of proteins (amide I band)	772
1550	δ (N-H) of proteins (amide II band)	773
1400	v(COO ⁻) of animo acids	774
1240	$v_{as}(P=O)$ of nucleic acids	775
1170-1120	v(C-O) and $v(C-O-C)$ of carbohydra	teg76

Table I: Assignment of the major absorption bands of a plasma FT-IR spectrum ⁸⁹ 763

764

		765
Bands (cm^{-1})	Major assignments for plasma conte	nts
3300	v(N-H) of proteins (amide A band)	766
3055-3090	v(=CH) of lipids	,
2950-2960	$v_{as}(CH_3)$ of lipids	767
2920-2930	$v_{as}(CH_2)$ of lipids	768
2865-2880	$v_{s}(CH_{3})$ of lipids	769
2840-2860	$v_{s}(CH_{2})$ of lipids	770
1730-1760	v(C=O) of fatty acids	771
1660	v(C=O) of proteins (amide I band)	772
1550	δ (N-H) of proteins (amide II band)	773
1400	$v(COO^{-})$ of animo acids	774
1240	$v_{as}(P=O)$ of nucleic acids	775
1170-1120	v(C-O) and $v(C-O-C)$ of carbohydra	teg76
1		

v: stretching vibrations, δ : bending vibrations, s: symmetric, 777

as: asymmetric. Taken from Lacombe et al., Analyst, 2015, 140, 228.

779 780

Fig. 1 Energy diagram showing transitions involved during infrared absorption, Rayleigh, 781 782 Raman Stokes and anti-Stokes scattering. This Jablonski diagram shows that the same vibrational states of a given molecule can be probed *via* two different routes; one directly 783 784 measures the absolute frequency (IR absorption) and the other measures the relative 785 frequency or Raman shift (Stokes and anti-Stokes). hv_0 = incident laser energy, hv_{vib} = 786 vibrational energy, $\Delta v = Raman shift$, $v_{vib} = vibrational frequencies$.

- 787 788 Fig. 2 Number of publications returned in PubMed when inputting the term "cancer
- 789 biomarker" (a) and "infection biomarkers" (b).
- 790

Fig.3 FTIR biological spectrum showing frequent biomolecular band assignments from 3,000-791 800 cm⁻¹, where v = stretching vibrations, δ = bending vibrations, s = symmetric vibrations 792 and as = asymmetric vibrations. Illustration taken from transmission spectra on human 793 breast ductal carcinoma, prepared on 1mm thick BaF₂ slides ⁴.The 3000-2800 cm⁻¹ region 794 originates mostly from lipids (CH, CH₂ and CH₃ stretching modes), but protein absorption of 795 the same modes also contribute to these absorption bands. 796

797

798 Fig. 4 Schematic of biomarker use in clinical practice.

- 800 Fig. 5: Example of potential tumour-site related biomarkers.
- 801

799

Fig. 6 The relative contribution of host and microbial derived biomarkers to enable 802 diagnosis of infection. 803

804

Fig. 7 Comparison between HT-FTIR spectra of different biofluids: serum (red curve), 805 806 plasma (blue curve), and bile (green curve). Spectra are background corrected and normalised. Note: Serum and bile were collected in dry tubes while for plasma 807 samples lithium heparin tubes were used. 808

Fig. 8 Typical Raman spectrum of dried serum drop with spectral assignments. Spectrum was
measured on a calcium fluoride window with a 785 nm laser excitation with an acquisition
time of 2x30 seconds.

812

- Fig. 9 Workflow of biofluid spectroscopy from substrate choice through sample preparation to spectral measurements and data analysis with diagnostic classifiers.
- 815
- Fig. 10 Analysis of dried serum drops and coffee ring effect with different dilutions: white light images (left) and chemical images constructed on amide I protein band (right).
- 818
- 819
- 820

821 **References**

822

822		
823		
824		
825	1.	H. J. Byrne, M. Baranska, G. J. Puppels, N. Stone, B. Wood, K. M. Gough, P. Lasch, P. Heraud,
826		J. Sulé-Suso and G. D. Sockalingum, Analyst, 2015, 140 , 2066-2073.
827	2.	M. Diem, P. R. Griffiths and J. M. Chalmers, Vibrational spectroscopy for medical diagnosis,
828		Wiley Chichester, 2008.
829	3.	M. Diem, M. Romeo, S. Boydston-White, M. Miljković and C. Matthäus, Analyst, 2004, 129,
830		880-885.
831	4.	M. J. Baker, J. Trevisan, P. Bassan, R. Bhargava, H. J. Butler, K. M. Dorling, P. R. Fielden, S. W.
832		Fogarty, N. J. Fullwood and K. A. Heys <i>, Nat Protoc,</i> 2014, 9 , 1771-1791.
833	5.	J. Nallala, MD. Diebold, C. Gobinet, O. Bouché, G. D. Sockalingum, O. Piot and M. Manfait,
834		Analyst, 2014, 139 , 4005-4015.
835	6.	K. Papamarkakis, B. Bird, J. M. Schubert, M. Miljković, R. Wein, K. Bedrossian, N. Laver and M.
836		Diem, <i>Lab Invest</i> , 2010, 90 , 589-598.
837	7.	C. Kendall, N. Stone, N. Shepherd, K. Geboes, B. Warren, R. Bennett and H. Barr, J pathol,
838		2003, 200 , 602-609.
839	8.	P. Lasch, M. Beekes, J. Schmitt and D. Naumann, Anal Bioanal Chem, 2007, 387, 1791-1800.
840	9.	P. Lasch, J. Schmitt, M. Beekes, T. Udelhoven, M. Eiden, H. Fabian, W. Petrich and D.
841		Naumann, <i>Anal Chem</i> , 2003, 75 , 6673-6678.
842	10.	J. Schmitt, M. Beekes, A. Brauer, T. Udelhoven, P. Lasch and D. Naumann, Anal Chem, 2002,
843		74 , 3865-3868.
844	11.	E. F. Petricoin, C. Belluco, R. P. Araujo and L. A. Liotta, Nat Rev Cancer, 2006, 6, 961-967.
845	12.	C. Pierrakos and JL. Vincent, Crit Care, 2010, 14, R15.
846	13.	D. Qi and A. J. Berger <i>, Appl Opt,</i> 2007, 46 , 1726-1734.
847	14.	J. M. Reyes-Goddard, H. Barr and N. Stone, Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther, 2005, 2, 223-233.
848	15.	D. Rohleder, W. Kiefer and W. Petrich, Analyst, 2004, 129, 906-911.
849	16.	R. A. Shaw, S. Low-Ying, A. Man, KZ. Liu, C. Mansfield, C. B. Rileg and M. Vijarnsorn,
850		Biomedical Vibrational Spectroscopy. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 2008, 79-103.
851	17.	C. N. Banwell and E. M. McCash, Fundamentals of molecular spectroscopy, McGraw-Hill
852		London, 1983.
853	18.	P. Dumas, G. D. Sockalingum and J. Sule-Suso, <i>Trends Biotechnol.</i> , 2007, 25 , 40-44.
854	19.	A. Barth and P. I. Haris, Biological and biomedical infrared spectroscopy, IOS press, 2009.
855	20.	D. Long, <i>J Raman Spectrosc</i> , 2008, 39 , 316-321.
856	21.	G. Poste, Nature, 2011, 469 , 156-157.
857	22.	K. Ataka, T. Kottke and J. Heberle, Angew Chem Int Ed Engl, 2010, 49 , 5416-5424.
858	23.	D. I. Ellis and R. Goodacre, Analyst, 2006, 131 , 875-885.
859	24.	C. Kendall, M. Isabelle, F. Bazant-Hegemark, J. Hutchings, L. Orr, J. Babrah, R. Baker and N.
860		Stone, Analyst, 2009, 134 , 1029-1045.
861	25.	S. G. Kazarian and K. Chan, Appl Spectrosc, 2010, 64 , 135A-152A.
862	26.	P. Bassan, A. Sachdeva, J. Lee and P. Gardner, <i>Analyst</i> , 2013, 138 , 4139-4146.
863	27.	J. Filik, M. D. Frogley, J. K. Pijanka, K. Wehbe and G. Cinque, Analyst, 2012, 137 , 853-861.
864	28.	J. Cao, E. S. Ng, D. McNaughton, E. G. Stanley, A. G. Elefanty, M. J. Tobin and P. Heraud,
865		Analyst, 2013, 138 , 4147-4160.
866	29.	B. Schrader, Infrared and Raman spectroscopy: methods and applications, John Wiley & Sons,
867		2008.
868	30.	L. Novotny and N. Van Hulst, Nat Photonics, 2011, 5, 83-90.
869	31.	M. Osawa, KI. Ataka, K. Yoshii and Y. Nishikawa, Appl Spectrosc, 1993, 47 , 1497-1502.
870	32.	M. Moskovits, <i>Rev mod phys</i> , 1985, 57 , 783.
871	33.	R. Adato and H. Altug. Nat communi, 2013, 4 .

871 33. R. Adato and H. Altug, *Nat communi*, 2013, **4**.

Chemical Society Reviews

872	34.	G. Clemens, B. Bird, M. Weida, J. Rowletteb and M. J. Bakera, Spectroscopy Europe, 2014, 26,
873		14-19.
874	35.	K. Yeh, S. Kenkel, JN. Liu and R. Bhargava, <i>Anal Chem</i> , 2014, 87 , 485-493.
875	36.	A. Hasenkampf, N. Kröger, A. Schönhals, W. Petrich and A. Pucci, <i>Opt Express</i> , 2015, 23,
876		5670-5680.
877	37.	F. S. Parker, in Applications of infrared, Raman, and resonance Raman spectroscopy in
878		biochemistry, ed. F. S. Parker, Springer, New York, Editon edn., 1983, pp. 315-347.
879	38.	F. Draux, P. Jeannesson, A. Beljebbar, A. Tfayli, N. Fourre, M. Manfait, J. Sulé-Suso and G. D.
880		Sockalingum, Analyst, 2009, 134 , 542-548.
881	39.	I. Notingher and L. L. Hench, Expert Rev Med Devices., 2006, 3, 215-234.
882	40.	T. Bakker Schut, M. Witjes, H. Sterenborg, O. Speelman, J. Roodenburg, E. Marple, H.
883		Bruining and G. Puppels, <i>Anal Chem</i> , 2000, 72 , 6010-6018.
884	41.	C. Fulljames, N. Stone, D. Bennett and H. Barr, Ital J Gastroenterol Hepatol, 1999, 31, 695-
885		704.
886	42.	A. Mahadevan-Jansen, M. F. Mitchell, N. Ramanujamf, U. Utzinger, U. Utzinger and R.
887		Richards-Kortumt, Photochem Photobiol, 1998, 68, 427-431.
888	43.	M. G. Shim, L. M. Wong Kee Song, N. E. Marcon and B. C. Wilson, Photochem Photobiol,
889		2000, 72 , 146-150.
890	44.	F. Bonnier, S. M. Ali, P. Knief, H. Lambkin, K. Flynn, V. McDonagh, C. Healy, T. Lee, F. M. Lyng
891		and H. J. Byrne, Vib Spectrosc, 2012, 61 , 124-132.
892	45.	H. Barr, C. Kendall, J. Hutchings, F. Bazant-Hegemark, N. Shepherd and N. Stone, The
893		Surgeon, 2011, 9 , 119-123.
894	46.	J. N. Anker, W. P. Hall, O. Lyandres, N. C. Shah, J. Zhao and R. P. Van Duyne, Nat Mater.,
895		2008, 7 , 442-453.
896	47.	D. Graham and R. Goodacre, Chem Soc Rev., 2008, 37 , 883-884.
897	48.	K. Kneipp, Y. Wang, H. Kneipp, L. T. Perelman, I. Itzkan, R. R. Dasari and M. S. Feld, Phys Rev
898		<i>Lett</i> , 1997, 78 , 1667.
899	49.	A. Bonifacio, S. Dalla Marta, R. Spizzo, S. Cervo, A. Steffan, A. Colombatti and V. Sergo, Anal
900		Bioanal Chem, 2014, 406 , 2355-2365.
901	50.	C. Krafft and J. Popp, Anal Bioanal Chem, 2015, 407, 699-717.
902	51.	I. W. Schie, C. Krafft and J. Popp, Analyst, 2015.
903	52.	M. Winterhalder and A. Zumbusch, Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2015.
904	53.	A. S. Haka, Z. Volynskaya, J. A. Gardecki, J. Nazemi, J. Lyons, D. Hicks, M. Fitzmaurice, R. R.
905		Dasari, J. P. Crowe and M. S. Feld, <i>Cancer Res</i> , 2006, 66 , 3317-3322.
906	54.	N. Stone and P. Matousek, <i>Cancer Res</i> , 2008, 68 , 4424-4430.
907	55.	M. Z. Vardaki, B. Gardner, N. Stone and P. Matousek, Analyst, 2015, 140, 5112-5119.
908	56.	M. D. Keller, E. Vargis, N. de Matos Granja, R. H. Wilson, MA. Mycek, M. C. Kelley and A.
909		Mahadevan-Jansen, Journal of Biomedical Optics, 2011, 16, 077006-077006-077008.
910	57.	P. Matousek and N. Stone, J Biophotonics, 2013, 6, 7-19.
911	58.	B. Sharma, K. Ma, M. R. Glucksberg and R. P. Van Duyne, J Am Chem Soc, 2013, 135, 17290-
912		17293.
913	59.	N. Stone, R. Baker, K. Rogers, A. W. Parker and P. Matousek, <i>Analyst</i> , 2007, 132 , 899-905.
914	60.	M. J. Baker, Special Issue: Photonic Biofluid Diagnostics, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co,
915		Weinheim, 2014.
916	61.	W. Colburn, V. G. DeGruttola, D. L. DeMets, G. J. Downing, D. F. Hoth, J. A. Oates, C. C. Peck,
917	~	R. T. Schooley, B. A. Spilker and J. Woodcock, <i>Clin Pharmacol Ther</i> , 2001, 69 , 89-95.
918	62.	R. A. Lukaszewski, A. M. Yates, M. C. Jackson, K. Swingler, J. M. Scherer, A. Simpson, P. Sadler,
919		P. McQuillan, R. W. Titball and T. J. Brooks, <i>Clin Vaccine Immunol</i> , 2008, 15 , 1089-1094.
920	63.	R. S. Tirumalai, K. C. Chan, D. A. Prieto, H. J. Issaq, T. P. Conrads and T. D. Veenstra, <i>Mol Cell</i>
921	<i></i>	Proteomics, 2003, 2 , 1096-1103.
922	64.	V. Untereiner, G. Dhruvananda Sockalingum, R. Garnotel, C. Gobinet, F. Ramaholimihaso, F.
923		Ehrhard, M. D. Diebold and G. Thiéfin, <i>J Biophotonics</i> , 2014, 7 , 241-253.

Chemical Society Reviews

Journal Name

ARTICLE

924 925	65.	J. Ollesch, M. Heinze, H. M. Heise, T. Behrens, T. Brüning and K. Gerwert, <i>J Biophotonics</i> , 2014, 7 , 210-221.
926 927	66.	J. Backhaus, R. Mueller, N. Formanski, N. Szlama, HG. Meerpohl, M. Eidt and P. Bugert, <i>Vib Spectrosc</i> , 2010, 52 , 173-177.
927 928	67.	X. Zhang, G. Thiéfin, C. Gobinet, V. Untereiner, I. Taleb, B. Bernard-Chabert, A. Heurgué, C.
929		Truntzer, P. Ducoroy and P. Hillon, Transl Res, 2013, 162, 279-286.
930	68.	E. Scaglia, G. D. Sockalingum, J. Schmitt, C. Gobinet, N. Schneider, M. Manfait and G. Thiéfin,
931		Anal Bioanal Chem, 2011, 401 , 2919-2925.
932	69.	G. L. Owens, K. Gajjar, J. Trevisan, S. W. Fogarty, S. E. Taylor, D. Gama-Rose, P. L. Martin-
933		Hirsch and F. L. Martin, J Biophotonics, 2014, 7, 200-209.
934	70.	K. Gajjar, L. D. Heppenstall, W. Pang, K. M. Ashton, J. Trevisan, I. I. Patel, V. Llabjani, H. F.
935		Stringfellow, P. L. Martin-Hirsch and T. Dawson, Anal Methods, 2013, 5, 89-102.
936	71.	J. R. Hands, P. Abel, K. Ashton, T. Dawson, C. Davis, R. W. Lea, A. J. McIntosh and M. J. Baker,
937		Anal Bioanal Chem, 2013, 405 , 7347-7355.
938	72.	J. R. Hands, K. M. Dorling, P. Abel, K. M. Ashton, A. Brodbelt, C. Davis, T. Dawson, M. D.
939		Jenkinson, R. W. Lea and C. Walker, J Biophotonics, 2014, 7, 189-199.
940	73.	A. Sahu, S. Sawant, H. Mamgain and C. M. Krishna, Analyst, 2013, 138 , 4161-4174.
941	74.	A. Sahu, N. Nandakumar, S. Sawant and C. M. Krishna, Analyst, 2015, 140, 2294-2301.
942	75.	J. Pichardo-Molina, C. Frausto-Reyes, O. Barbosa-García, R. Huerta-Franco, J. González-
943		Trujillo, C. Ramírez-Alvarado, G. Gutiérrez-Juárez and C. Medina-Gutiérrez, Lasers Med Sci,
944		2007, 22 , 229-236.
945	76.	X. Li, T. Yang and S. Li, Appl Opt, 2012, 51 , 5038-5043.
946	77.	J. L. González-Solís, J. C. Martínez-Espinosa, L. A. Torres-González, A. Aguilar-Lemarroy, L. F.
947		Jave-Suárez and P. Palomares-Anda, Lasers Med Sci, 2014, 29, 979-985.
948	78.	I. Taleb, G. Thiéfin, C. Gobinet, V. Untereiner, B. Bernard-Chabert, A. Heurgué, C. Truntzer, P.
949		Hillon, M. Manfait and P. Ducoroy, Analyst, 2013.
950	79.	S. Feng, R. Chen, J. Lin, J. Pan, G. Chen, Y. Li, M. Cheng, Z. Huang, J. Chen and H. Zeng, Biosens
951		Bioelectron, 2010, 25 , 2414-2419.
952	80.	S. Li, Y. Zhang, Q. Zeng, L. Li, Z. Guo, Z. Liu, H. Xiong and S. Liu, Laser Phys Lett, 2014, 11,
953		065603.
954	81.	D. Lin, J. Pan, H. Huang, G. Chen, S. Qiu, H. Shi, W. Chen, Y. Yu, S. Feng and R. Chen, Sci Rep,
955		2014, 4 .
956	82.	S. Feng, R. Chen, J. Lin, J. Pan, Y. Wu, Y. Li, J. Chen and H. Zeng, Biosens Bioelectron, 2011, 26,
957		3167-3174.
958	83.	H. Ito, H. Inoue, K. Hasegawa, Y. Hasegawa, T. Shimizu, S. Kimura, M. Onimaru, H. Ikeda and
959		Se. Kudo, Nanomedicine: Nanotechnol Biol Med, 2014, 10 , 599-608.
960	84.	D. Lin, S. Feng, J. Pan, Y. Chen, J. Lin, G. Chen, S. Xie, H. Zeng and R. Chen, Opt Express, 2011,
961		19 , 13565-13577.
962	85.	S. Li, Y. Zhang, J. Xu, L. Li, Q. Zeng, L. Lin, Z. Guo, Z. Liu, H. Xiong and S. Liu, Appl Phys Lett,
963		2014, 105 , 091104.
964	86.	E. Ryzhikova, O. Kazakov, L. Halamkova, D. Celmins, P. Malone, E. Molho, E. A. Zimmerman
965		and I. K. Lednev, <i>J Biophotonics</i> , 2014, 9999 .
966	87.	P. Carmona, M. Molina, M. Calero, F. Bermejo-Pareja, P. Martínez-Martín and A. Toledano, J
967		Alzheimers Dis, 2013, 34 , 911-920.
968	88.	E. Peuchant, S. Richard-Harston, I. Bourdel-Marchasson, JF. Dartigues, L. Letenneur, P.
969		Barberger-Gateau, S. Arnaud-Dabernat and JY. Daniel, <i>Transl Res</i> , 2008, 152 , 103-112.
970	89.	C. Lacombe, V. Untereiner, C. Gobinet, M. Zater, G. D. Sockalingum and R. Garnotel, Analyst,
971		2015, 140 , 2280-2286.
972	90.	W. Petrich, K. Lewandrowski, J. Muhlestein, M. Hammond, J. Januzzi, E. Lewandrowski, R.
973		Pearson, B. Dolenko, J. Früh and M. Haass, Analyst, 2009, 134 , 1092-1098.
974	91.	U. Neugebauer, S. Trenkmann, T. Bocklitz, D. Schmerler, M. Kiehntopf and J. Popp, Journal of
975		<i>biophotonics</i> , 2014, 7 , 232-240.

Page 27 of 38

Journal Name

Chemical Society Reviews

ARTICLE

070	0.2	N & Country D Callier M M D' and Tiller K D Develop and D D Mard Dk - Chara
976	92.	N. L. Garrett, R. Sekine, M. W. Dixon, L. Tilley, K. R. Bambery and B. R. Wood, <i>Phys Chem</i>
977		Chem Phys, 2015.
978	93.	R. Somorjai, B. Dolenko, A. Nikulin, P. Nickerson, D. Rush, A. Shaw, M. Glogowski, J. Rendell
979		and R. Deslauriers, Vib Spectrosc, 2002, 28, 97-102.
980	94.	J. A. M. Bispo, E. E. de Sousa Vieira, L. Silveira and A. B. Fernandes, J Biomed Opt, 2013, 18,
981		087004-087004.
982	95.	G. Del Mistro, S. Cervo, E. Mansutti, R. Spizzo, A. Colombatti, P. Belmonte, R. Zucconelli, A.
983		Steffan, V. Sergo and A. Bonifacio, Anal Bioanal Chem, 2015, 407, 3271-3275.
984	96.	D. A. Scott, D. E. Renaud, S. Krishnasamy, P. Meriç, N. Buduneli, Ş. Çetinkalp and KZ. Liu,
985		Diabetol Metab Syndr, 2010, 2 , 48.
986	97.	X. Li, T. Yang and J. Lin, <i>J Biomed Opt</i> , 2012, 17 , 0370031-0370035.
987	98.	W. Yan, H. Lin, L. Jinghua, Q. Dian, C. Anyu, J. Yi, G. Xun, L. Chunwei, H. Wen and W. Hong,
988		IEEE, 2008.
989	99.	S. Whiteman, Y. Yang, J. Jones and M. Spiteri, <i>Ther Adv Respir Dis</i> , 2008, 2 , 23-31.
990	100.	A. Travo, C. Paya, G. Déléris, J. Colin, B. Mortemousque and I. Forfar, Anal Bioanal Chem,
991		2014, 406 , 2367-2376.
992	101.	S. Choi, S. W. Moon, JH. Shin, HK. Park and KH. Jin, Anal Chem, 2014, 86 , 11093-11099.
993	102.	H. Eysel, M. Jackson, A. Nikulin, R. Somorjai, G. Thomson and H. Mantsch, <i>Biospectroscopy</i> ,
994		1997, 3 , 161-167.
995	103.	K. A. Esmonde-White, G. S. Mandair, F. Raaii, J. A. Jacobson, B. S. Miller, A. G. Urguhart, B. J.
996		Roessler and M. D. Morris, <i>J Biomed Opt</i> , 2009, 14 , 034013-034013-034018.
997	104.	KZ. Liu, T. C. Dembinski and H. H. Mantsch, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 1998, 178 , 234-241.
998	105.	G. Graça, A. S. Moreira, A. J. V. Correia, B. J. Goodfellow, A. S. Barros, I. F. Duarte, I. M.
999		Carreira, E. Galhano, C. Pita and M. do Céu Almeida, Anal Chim Acta, 2013, 764 , 24-31.
1000	106.	M. Griebe, M. Daffertshofer, M. Stroick, M. Syren, P. Ahmad-Nejad, M. Neumaier, J.
1001		Backhaus, M. G. Hennerici and M. Fatar, <i>Neurosci. lett.</i> , 2007, 420 , 29-33.
1002	107.	V. Gaydou, A. Lecellier, D. Toubas, J. Mounier, L. Castrec, G. Barbier, W. Ablain, M. Manfait
1003	2071	and G. Sockalingum, <i>Anal methods</i> , 2015, 7 , 766-778.
1004	108.	E. P. Diamandis, <i>J Natl Cancer Inst</i> , 2010, 102 , 1462-1467.
1005	109.	M. J. Duffy, C. M. Sturgeon, G. Sölétormos, V. Barak, R. Molina, D. F. Hayes, E. P. Diamandis
1006	1051	and P. M. Bossuyt, <i>Clin Chem</i> , 2015, 61 , 809-820.
1007	110.	Z. Feng, J. Kagan, M. Pepe, M. Thornquist, J. A. Rinaudo, J. Dahlgren, K. Krueger, Y. Zheng, C.
1008	110.	Patriotis and Y. Huang, <i>Clin Chem</i> , 2013, 59 , 68-74.
1009	111.	M. S. Pepe, R. Etzioni, Z. Feng, J. D. Potter, M. L. Thompson, M. Thornquist, M. Winget and Y.
1010		Yasui, J Natl Cancer Inst, 2001, 93 , 1054-1061.
1010	112.	N. L. Henry and D. F. Hayes, <i>Mol Oncol</i> , 2012, 6 , 140-146.
1011	113.	S. M. Teutsch, L. A. Bradley, G. E. Palomaki, J. E. Haddow, M. Piper, N. Calonge, W. D. Dotson,
1012	115.	M. P. Douglas and A. O. Berg, <i>Genet Med</i> , 2009, 11 , 3-14.
1013	114.	C. Lacombe, PhD Thesis University of Reims Champagne-Ardenne, France, 2013.
1014	114. 115.	P. Yin, R. Lehmann and G. Xu, <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> , 2015, 1-14.
1015	115. 116.	R. D. Deegan, O. Bakajin, T. F. Dupont, G. Huber, S. R. Nagel and T. A. Witten, <i>Nature</i> , 1997,
1010	110.	389 , 827-829.
	117.	
1018		W. Ristenpart, P. Kim, C. Domingues, J. Wan and H. Stone, <i>Phys Rev Lett</i> , 2007, 99 , 234502.
1019	118.	P. J. Yunker, T. Still, M. A. Lohr and A. Yodh, <i>Nature</i> , 2011, 476 , 308-311.
1020	119.	K. A. Esmonde-White, F. W. Esmonde-White, M. D. Morris and B. J. Roessler, <i>Analyst</i> , 2014, 120, 2724, 2744
1021	120	139 , 2734-2741.
1022	120.	L. Lovergne, G. Clemens, V. Untereiner, R. A. Lukaszweski, G. D. Sockalingum and M. J. Baker,
1023	104	Anal Methods, 2015.
1024	121.	J. Filik and N. Stone, Analyst, 2007, 132 , 544-550.
1025	122.	J. Ollesch, S. L. Drees, H. M. Heise, T. Behrens, T. Brüning and K. Gerwert, <i>Analyst</i> , 2013, 138 , 4002, 4102
1026		4092-4102.

J. Name., 2013, **00**, 1-3 | **27**

1027 1028	123.	C. Hughes, M. Brown, G. Clemens, A. Henderson, G. Monjardez, N. W. Clarke and P. Gardner, <i>J Biophotonics</i> , 2014, 7 , 180-188.
1029	124.	C. Beleites, U. Neugebauer, T. Bocklitz, C. Krafft and J. Popp, Anal Chim Acta, 2013, 760, 25-
1030		33.
1031	125.	D. Pérez-Guaita, J. Kuligowski, S. Garrigues, G. Quintás and B. R. Wood, Analyst, 2014.
1032	126.	M. S. Pepe, Z. Feng, H. Janes, P. M. Bossuyt and J. D. Potter, J Natl Cancer Inst, 2008, 100,
1033		1432-1438.
1034	127.	F. H. Schröder, J. Hugosson, M. J. Roobol, T. L. Tammela, S. Ciatto, V. Nelen, M. Kwiatkowski,
1035		M. Lujan, H. Lilja and M. Zappa, <i>N Engl J Med</i> , 2009, 360 , 1320-1328.
1036	128.	V. A. Moyer, Ann Intern Med, 2012, 157 , 120-134.
1037	129.	J. Cuzick, M. A. Thorat, G. Andriole, O. W. Brawley, P. H. Brown, Z. Culig, R. A. Eeles, L. G.
1038		Ford, F. C. Hamdy and L. Holmberg, Lancet Oncol, 2014, 15, e484-e492.
1039		
1040		



Energy diagram showing transitions involved during infrared absorption, Rayleigh, Raman Stokes and anti-Stokes scattering. This Jablonski diagram shows that the same vibrational states of a given molecule can be probed via two different routes; one directly measures the absolute frequency (IR absorption) and the other measures the relative frequency or Raman shift (Stokes and anti-Stokes). hvo= incident laser energy, hvvib = vibrational energy, Δu = Raman shift, uvib = vibrational frequencies.

182x117mm (111 x 111 DPI)



178x206mm (150 x 150 DPI)



FTIR biological spectrum showing frequent biomolecular band assignments from 3,000-800 cm-1, where v = stretching vibrations, δ = bending vibrations, s = symmetric vibrations and as = asymmetric vibrations. Illustration taken from transmission spectra on human breast ductal carcinoma, prepared on 1mm thick BaF2 slides.[4] 201x168mm (59 x 61 DPI)



Schematic of biomarker use in clinical practice. 238x168mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Example of potential tumour-site related biomarkers. 216x154mm (150 x 150 DPI)



The relative contribution of host and microbial derived biomarkers to enable diagnosis of infection. $172 \times 202 \text{mm} (150 \times 150 \text{ DPI})$



Typical Raman spectrum of dried serum drop with spectral assignments. Spectrum was measured on a calcium fluoride window with a 785 nm laser excitation with an acquisition time of 2x30 seconds. 218x140mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Workflow of biofluid spectroscopy from substrate choice through sample preparation to spectral measurements and data analysis with diagnostic classifiers. 193x254mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Analysis of dried serum drops and coffee ring effect with different dilutions: white light images (left) and chemical images constructed on amide I protein band (right). 80x154mm (150 x 150 DPI)