Ligand Free Copper(I)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Diaryl Ether by Cs2CO3 via Free Radical Path

Journal:	*Dalton Transactions*
Manuscript ID:	DT-COM-01-2015-000151.R1
Article Type:	Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Mar-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Chen, Hong-Jie; Academia Sinica, Institute of Chemistry, ; National Tsing Hua University, Chemistry Tseng, Mei-Chun; Academia Sinica, Institute of Chemistry I-Jui, Hsu; National Taipei University of Technology, Institute of Organic and Polymeric Materials Chen, Wei-Ting; Academia Sinica, Institute of Chemistry; National Taipei University of Technology, Institute of Organic and Polymeric Materials Han, Chien-Chung; National Tsing Hua University, Chemistry Shyu, Shin-Guang; Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica,

Journal Name RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Ligand Free Copper(I)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Diaryl Ether by Cs_2CO_3 via Free Radical Path

Hong-Jie Chen,[a,b] Mei-Chun Tseng,[a] Hsu I-Jui,[c] Wei-Ting Chen,[a,c] Chien-Chung Han*[b] and Shin-Guang Shyu*[a]

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Complexes $[Cu(I)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})_2]^-$ (A) and $[Cu(II)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})_2(p\text{-tolyl})]^-$ (B) were observed by *in situ* electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis of the ligand free copper(I)-catalyzed C–O coupling reaction using Cs_2CO_3 under the catalytic reaction conditions indicating that they could be intermediates in the reaction. Radical scavenger cumene retarded the reaction. Catalytic cycles composed with a free radical path are proposed based on these observations.

Ullmann-type C–O cross coupling arylation in the synthesis of aryl ether usually consists of a ligand, a base and a copper salt.[1] Addition of ligand usually gives a better yield of the reaction, and different ligands may have different catalytic activities.[2] Thus, Cu(I) complex with the additive ligand is generally proposed as the intermediate involved in the aryl halide activation step of the catalytic cycle.[3,4] For elucidating the reaction mechanism, complexes LCu(I)(OAr) with the additive ligand L have been prepared, and their catalytic activities have been evaluated.[4] Both free radical path and concerned 2e oxidative addition path have been proposed for the aryl halide activation step.[3b-c, 4] Experimental and theoretical studies support both mechanisms indicating that various factors (such as solvent, substrates used) affect the reaction mehanism.[1f, 3b-c, 5]

Cesium carbonate is a common base used in the Ullmann type C–O cross coupling reaction since the first report of its application in the reaction.[1a-b, 2f, 6] The reaction can be carried out in toluene, a relatively environmental benign solvent, and good yield is obtained under relatively mild reaction conditions without adding a ligand.[6a] Cesium carbonate is identified as the key factor for improving the reaction conditions because other metal carbonates and bases do not give similar good results.[6a,6c,7] The improvement is proposed due to the nature of cesium because cesium phenoxide is relatively soluble in organic solvent and may also enhance the solubility of the proposed intermediate $[Cu(OAr)_2]^-$.[6a] Recently, we have reported that the Ullmann type C–N cross coupling arylation having different reactivities when using different metal *tert*-butoxides.[8] The aryl halide activation step changes from a non-free radical path to a free radical path when sodium *tert*-butoxides in the catalytic system switches to potassium *tert*-butoxides.[8a, 8b] These discoveries in both Ullmann type C–N and C–O cross coupling reactions may imply that the activity enhancement in the Ullmann type C–O cross coupling reaction using cesium carbonate alone may have mechanism different from reactions using other metal carbonates. We herein report the i*n situ* ESI-MS analysis[9] of a ligand free Ullmann type Cu(I)-catalyzed cross C–O coupling reaction using Cs_2CO_3 as the base. Complexes $[Cu(I)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})_2]^-$ (denoted as **A**) and $[Cu(II)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})_2(p\text{-tolyl})]^-$ (denoted as **B**) were observed in the reaction system indicating that they could be the intermediates in the reaction. Addition of radical scavenger cumene retarded the reaction indicating the existence of free radical pathway in the catalytic cycle of the reaction. In addition, *in situ* EPR study of the reaction solution detected a Cu(II) specie with a fitted g value of 2.065. A catalytic cycle composed of a free radical path is proposed based on these observations.

We followed the general procedure reported in literatures to investigate the Cu(I)-catalyzed C–O cross coupling arylation between aryl bromide and 2,4-dimethylphenol using Cs_2CO_3 without ligand. A mixture of 2,4-dimethylphenol (1.2 equiv), aryl bromide (1 equiv), Cs_2CO_3 (3 equiv) and CuI (2.5 mol%) was allowed to stir in toluene at

---

E-mail: sgshyu@chem.sinica.edu.tw; Fax: 886-2-2783-1237; Tel: 886-2-2789-8593
[a] Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, 128 Academia Road Sec. 2, Nankang Taipei 115 Taiwan, R.O.C.
[b] Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University, 101, Sec 2, Kuang-Fu Rd., Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan, R.O.C.
[c] Department of Molecular Science and Engineering, National Taipei University of Technology, 1,Sec. 3, Zhongxiao E Road, Taipei 10608, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Table 1. Ligand-free C-O cross coupling reactions between aryl halide and 2,4-dimethylphenol using Cs_2CO_3



Entry	ArX	Aryl ether^b	CuI (mol%)	Conv./GC Yield (%)^{c,d}	Conv./GC Yield (%)^{c,d} With 50 mol% cumene^e
1			2.5	76/68(90)	-
2			2.5	-	69/33(48)
3			-	9/4(44)	-
4			-	22/22(99)	-
5			-	-	51/6(12)
6			2.5	42/41(98)	-
7			2.5	-	31/24(77)^f
8			2.5	25/23(92)	-
9			2.5	-	30/0(0)^g
10			2.5^h	67/64(95)	
11			2.5^i	65/60(92)	
12			2.5^i		70/49(70)^j
13			2.5^k	72/67(90)	

[a] 8 h for reactions with 2.5 mol% of CuI, 2.5 mol% of $CuCl_2$ and 48 h for reactions without CuI. [b] R= 2,4-dimethylphenyl. [c] Yield calculation based on the amount of ArX used; using 1,4-di-*tert*-butylbenzene as internal standard in GC analysis. [d] Selectivity in the parenthesis. [e] prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene was detected by GC-Mass.. [f] *p*-xylene was detected by GC-Mass.. [g] Naphthalene was detected by GC-Mass. [h] 2.5 mol% CuI and 5 mol% Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) in toluene were stirred at 120 ºC for 8 h. Then 2,4-dimethylphenol and 4-bromotoluene were added at RT, and the mixture was stirred at 120 ºC for 8 h. [i] 2.5 mol% $CuCl_2$. [j] prop-1en-2-ylbenzene was not detected by GC-Mass. [k] 2.5 mol% $CuCl_2$ and 5 mol% Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) in THF were stirred at 120 ºC for 8 h. After removing THF, 2,4-dimethylphenol, 4-bromotoluene and toluene were added , and the mixture was stirred at 120 ºC for 8 h.



Scheme 1. Reaction between cumene and aryl radical.

120 °C for 8 h.[1b, 6b] The results of the reactions are summarized in Table 1.

The possibility of free radical path was evaluated by adding free radical scavenger (cumene) in the reaction.[10] Under the same reaction conditions, adding cumene reduced the yield from 68% (without cumene) to 33% in the case of 4-bromotoluene indicating the presence of radical reaction path (Table 1, entries 1, 2).

Reaction between $[CH_3C_6H_4]^\bullet$ and cumene should produce prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene and toluene because $Ar^\bullet$ reacts with cumene to produce prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene and the corresponding Ar-H (Scheme 1).[11] The detection of prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene by GC-Mass confirms the presence of $[CH_3C_6H_4]^\bullet$. The other product toluene cannot be identified because toluene is the reaction media. In order to reconfirm the presence of $Ar^\bullet$ in the C-O cross coupling reaction, 2-bromo-1,4-dimethylbenzene and 1-bromonaphthalene were used instead, and both prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene and the respective Ar-H products, i.e. *p*-xylene and naphthalene were observed (Table 1 entries 7, 9).

Theoretical study suggests that $[(ket)Cu(I)OPh]^-$ (ket = *β*-diketonate) reacts with ArI to generate $Ar^\bullet$ and I^- through a single electron transfer (SET) process in the C–O cross coupling reaction catalyzed by the CuI-*β*-diketon-Cs_2CO_3 catalyst system. Similar to $[(ket)Cu(I)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})]^-$, complex **A** generated by the reaction among 2,4-dimethylphenol, Cs_2CO_3 and CuI may reacts with 4-bromotoluene to produce $[Cu(II)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})_2]$ (denoted as **C**), $[CH_3C_6H_4]^\bullet$ and Br^- through SET.[3b]

The other possible path generating $Ar^\bullet$ is the reaction between aryl halide and Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) generated in the reaction. (phen)M(O*t*Bu) (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline; M = Na, K) and (phen)K(NAr′$_2$) can react with ArI to generate $Ar^\bullet$ which can further react with the solvent toluene to form the C–H arylation products $CH_3C_6H_4Ar'$.[8, 11c] The $Ar^\bullet$ is proposed to react with [phenCu(I)NAr′$_2$)] to generate [phenCu(II)(NAr′$_2$)(Ar)] as an intermediate in the C–N cross coupling reaction catalyzed by CuI-phen-(*t*BuONa/K_2CO_3) mixed-base or CuI-phen-*t*BuOK catalytic systems.[8b-c] In order to evaluate the possibility that Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) — similar to (phen)M(O*t*Bu) (M = Na, K) and (phen)K(NAr′$_2$)—can react with aryl halide to form $Ar^\bullet$, only Cs_2CO_3 was used in the C–O cross coupling reaction between 2,4-dimethylphenol and 4-iodotoluene (or 4-bromotoluene) under similar reaction conditions (Table 1, entries 3, 4). Product yields of 22% and 4% were obtained with selectivity of 99% and 44% for the reactions with 4-iodotoluene and with 4-bromotoluene, respectively. Adding radical scavenger cumene in the reaction reduced the product yield to 6% in the case of 4-iodotoulene, and prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene was observed by GC-Mass (Table 1, entries 5).

In order to confirm the role of Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) in the electron transfer path, Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy), synthesized through transmetalation reaction between K(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) and CsF, was allowed to react with 4-iodotoluene to produce 1-(2,4-



Scheme 2. C-O cross coupling reaction between Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) and iodotoluene.

dimethylphenoxy)-4-methylbenzene. Again, adding cumene in the reaction reduced the product yield from 10% to 3% indicating that Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) is capable transferring electron to aryl halide to form Ar$^\bullet$ under the reaction conditions (Scheme 2).

Trying to identify the intermediates, *in situ* ESI-MS analysis was carried out at 120 °C — the same temperature as the parent catalytic reaction. Similar reaction mixture in toluene was stirred at 120 °C for 2 h in the dry box. The solution was then transferred to a GC vial. The temperature of the solution was kept at 120 °C by immersing the GC vial in a sand bed, and ESI-MS spectra of the solution were then taken. Two peaks at m/z = 305.64 and m/z = 397.12 were observed individually in the negative-ion mode of the ESI-MS in four different measurements (Figure 1). They are identified as **A** and **B** (or [Cu(0)(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)(1-(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)-4-methylbenzene)]$^-$, denoted as **B′**) correspondingly according to their measured accurate mass and isotope distributions (Figure 2).

Based on all the above observations, three possible reaction paths in the Ullmann type C-O cross coupling arylation can be deduced. In path **I**, free radical [CH$_3$C$_6$H$_4$]$^\bullet$, generated through the electron transfer process between Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) and 4-bromotoluene, reacts with [Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)] $^{\bullet+}$ to produce the ether (Scheme 3) . We consider this path is insignificant because the yield of the reaction with only Cs$_2$CO$_3$ is relatively low (Table 1, entry 3). In path **II**, aryl free radical [CH$_3$C$_6$H$_4$]$^\bullet$, generated through the aryl halide SET activation process between **A** and 4-bromotoluene, reacts with **C** to form [Cu(I)(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)(1-(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)-4-methylbenzene)] (denoted as **D)**. Substitution of the ligand 1-(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)-4-methylbenzene on **D** by Br$^-$ produces the ether and [Cu(I)(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)Br]$^-$, which further reacts with 2,4-dimethylphenoxy anion to regenerate **A** to complete the catalytic cycle



Figure 1. *In situ* ESI(-)-MS from the solution taken during the reaction of 2,4-dimethylphenol and 4-bromotoluene with Cs$_2$CO$_3$ in the presence of CuI in toluene at 120 °C from two individual experiments.



Figure 2. Simulated and experimental isotopic distributions of (a) **A** and (b) **B** or **B′**



Scheme 3. The proposed mechanism of Path **I**



Scheme 4. The proposed mechanism of path **II**

(Sheme 4).[3b] In path **III**, $[CH_3C_6H_4]^\bullet$ (generated through path **I** or path **II**) reacts with **A** to form **B** (or **B′**) followed by reductive elimination (in the case of **B)** or substitution of 1-(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)-4-methylbenzene by Br^- (in the case of **B′**) to generate the product 1-(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)-4-methylbenzene and Cu(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) after oxidation of [Cu(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)]$^-$ by [Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)]$^{\bullet +}$ or **C** (Scheme 5).

In order to confirm the role of **A** in the proposed reaction paths, we tried to evaluate the catalytic activity of **Cs**[Cu(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)$_2$]. Because we failed in obtaining pure **Cs**[Cu(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)$_2$], *in situ* prepared 2.5 mol % of **Cs**[Cu(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)$_2$]—by reacting 2.5 mol % CuI with 5.0 mol % of Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxyl) — was allowed to react with 2,4-dimethyl phenol (1.2 equiv), aryl bromide (1 equiv) and Cs_2CO_3 (3 equiv) in toluene under similar reaction conditions, and comparable yield of the ether (64 %; Table 1, entry 10) was obtained. In addition, stoichiometric reaction among CuI (1 equiv), Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxy) (2 equiv) and 4-bromotoluene (2 equiv) under similar reaction conditions producing ether with 84 % yield further supports the above argument.



Scheme 5. The proposed mechanism of path **III**



Figure 3. (a) Experimental and (b) simulated EPR spectra for the reaction between 2,4-dimethylphenol and 4-bromotoluene with Cs_2CO_3 and CuI in toluene at 298K.

In both paths **II** and **III**, a Cu(II) complex (**C** in path **II**, **B** in path **III**) is involved as an intermediate. *In situ* EPR study was also carried out at 120 °C in order to evaluate the presence of Cu(II) moiety in the reaction. Similar reaction mixture in toluene was stirred at 120 °C for 2 h in a sealed tube. The upper portion of the reaction solution was then transferred to an EPR tube in a dry box. We observed a signal around 3000G in the EPR spectrum taken at 25 °C (Figure 3). After fitting,[12] the isotopic *g* value is 2.065 which is in agree with a Cu(II) signal.[13] The spectrum was taken at a relatively high temperature (298K), and all components of *g*-tensor cannot be resolved so that further structural details of the Cu(II) complex cannot be obtained.

If path **II** dominates in the reaction, Cu(II) halide should be able to catalyse the C-O coupling reaction[14] because Cu(II) halide can react with Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxyl) to form **C** which is proposed as an intermediate in path **II**. Replacing CuI with $CuCl_2$ in the catalytic system produced ether with comparable yield (60%, Table 1, entry 11) supporting that **C** is a possible intermediate in path **II**. *In situ* preparation of **C** by reacting $CuCl_2$ with two equivalents of Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxyl) (attempt to synthesis pure **C** failed) followed by adding other reagents obtained ether with 67% yield (Table 1, entry 13) further supports the above argument.

We propose that the source of $[CH_3C_6H_4]\bullet$ is mainly from the SET reaction between [Cu(I)(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)$_2$]$^-$ and 4-bromotoluene because the yield of the ether without adding Cu(I) salt is very low (Table 1, entry 3) indicating the insignificant contribution of $[CH_3C_6H_4]\bullet$ generated from the reaction between Cs(2,4-dimethylphenoxyl) and 4-bromotoluene (scheme 3). In addition, adding cumene in the $CuCl_2$ catalytic system did not effectively retard the reaction (Table 1, entry 12) implying that **C** can react with 4-bromotoluene through a non-radical path. This echoes the partial reduction of the yield of the ether from 68% (without cumene) to 33% (adding dumene) (Table 1, entries 1-2) which indicates the presence of nonradical path if cumene can 100 % inhibit the radical path in the reaction (Table 1, entries 1, 2). Based on the above considerations, recombination of $[CH_3C_6H_4]\bullet$ and **C** to form **D** in path **II** shown in scheme **4** is proposed as the major path of the reaction in spite of the detection of **B** (or **B′**) in path **III** which indicates that the combination reaction between $[CH_3C_6H_4]^\bullet$ and **A** exists. The absence of **D** in the ESI-MS analysis does not confirm the non-existence of **D** because neutral species is very difficult to be detected by ESI-MS.

These results echo the findings in the studies of C–O cross coupling using CuI and K_2CO_3 without adding phen as the ligand.[5] The similar intermediate **A** was detected in the ESI-MS of the K_2CO_3-CuI catalytic system but the yield of the reaction is very low. We did not evaluate the possibility of the radical path in the K_2CO_3-CuI system because of the low yield. If [Cu(I)(2,4-dimethylphenoxy)$_2$]$^-$ can activate 4-bromotoluene *via* SET, then we should obtain similar yield in both K_2CO_3-CuI and Cs_2CO_3-CuI catalytic systems. Different counter cations should be the key factor for the difference in yield because cesium phenoxide has a higher solubility than potassium phenoxide.[6a]

Conclusions

Catalytic cycles with a SET aryl bromide activation step are proposed for the C-O cross coupling reaction catalyzed by Cs_2CO_3-CuI system based on the detection of complexes **A** and **B** in the *in situ* ESI-MS study. *In situ* EPR study confirms the presents of a Cu(II) species in the reaction solution. Adding free radical scavenger cumene reduced the yield of the reaction, and the detection of the aryl compound and prop-1-en-2-ylbenzene formed from the reaction between the $Ar^{\bullet}$ and cumene further support the existence of $Ar^{\bullet}$ and thus the free radical path of the aryl halide activation step in the catalytic cycle.

Notes and references

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: GC data and ESI spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1 a) M. Wolter, G. Nordmann, G. E. Job and S. L. Buchwald, *Org. Lett*. 2002, **4**, 973; b) H. Zhang, D. Ma and W. Cao, *Synlett* 2007, **2007**, 243; c) J. J. Niu, H. Zhou, Z. G. Li , J. W. Xu and S. J. Hu, *J. Org. Chem* 2008, **73,** 7814; d) Q. Zhang, D. Wang, X. Wang and K. Ding, *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, **74**, 7187; e) J. Niu, P. Guo, J. Kang, Z. Li, J. Xu and S. Hu, *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, **74**, 5075.

2 a) H. J. Cristau, P. P. Cellier, S. Hamada, J. F. Spindler and M. Taillefer, *Org. Lett.* 2004, **6**, 913; b) W. L. Bao and X. Lv, *J. Org. Chem*. 2007, **72**, 3863; c) A. B. Naidu and G. Sekar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, **49**, 3147; d) S. Benyahya, F. Monnier, M. Taillefer, M. W. C. Man, C. Bied and F. Ouazzani, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2008, **350**, 2205; e) N. Barbero, R. SanMartin and E. Domínguez, *Green Chem.* 2009, **11**, 830; f) A. B. Naidu, E. A. Jaseer and G. Sekar, *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, **74**, 3675; g) Y. -H. Liu, G. Li and L. -M. Yang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, **50**, 343; h) L. M. Yang, Y. H. Liu and G. Li, *Chin. J. Chem.* 2009, **27**, 423; i) D. Maiti and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, **131**, 17423; j) P.-F. Larsson, A. Correa, M. Carril, P.-O. Norrby and C. Bolm, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, **48**, 5691.

3 a) A. Shafir, P. A. Lichtor and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, **129**, 3490; b) G. O. Jones, P. Liu, K. N. Houk and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2010, **132**, 6205; c) H. Z. Yu, Y. Y. Jiang, Y. Fu and L. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2010, **132**, 18078; d) E. Rezabal, L. Duchackova, P. Milko, M. C. Holthausen and J. Roithova, *Inorg. Chem.* 2010, **49**, 8421; e) G. Lefevre, G. Franc, A. Tlili, C. Adamo, M. Taillefer, I. Ciofini and A. Jutand, *Organometallics* 2012, **31**, 7694.

4 J. W. Tye, Z. Q. Weng, R. Giri and J. F. Hartwig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, **49**, 2185.

5 a) H.-J. Chen, I-J. Hsu, M.-C. Tseng and S.-G. Shyu *Dalton Trans*. 2014, **43,** 11410. b) J. Limberger, B. C. Leal, D. F. Back, J. Dupont and A. L. Monteiroa, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012, **354**, 1429.

6 a) J. F. Marcoux, S. Doye and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, **119**, 10539; b) R. K. Gujadur, C. G. Bates and D. Venkataraman, *Org. Lett*. 2001, **3**, 4315; c) D. Ma and Q. Cai, *Org. Lett.* 2003, **5**, 3799; d) Y.-J. Chen and H.-H. Chen *Org. Lett*. 2006, **8**, 5609; e) H. Rao, Y. Jin, H. Fu, Y. Jiang and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, **12**, 3636; f) R. A. Altman, A. Shafir, A. Choi, P. A. Lichtor and S. L. Buchwald *J. Org. Chem*. 2008, **73**, 284; g) N. Xia and M. Taillefer *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, **14**, 6037; h) S. Benyahya, F. Monnier, M. W. C. Man, C. Bied, F. Ouazzani and M. Taillefer, *Green Chem.* 2009, **11**, 1121.

7 a) B.-A. Wang, R.-S. Zeng, H.-Q. Wei, A.-Q. Jia and J.-P. Zou *Chin. J. Chem.* 2006, **24**, 1062; b) H. Fu, Y. Jin, J. Liu, Y. Yin, Y. Jiang and Y. Zhao, *Synlett* 2006, **2006**, 1564; c) Y. Zhao, Y. Wang, H. Sun, L. Li and H. Zhang *Chem. Commun.* 2007, **43**, 3186; d) Q. Zhang, D. Wang, X. Wang and K. Ding *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, **74**, 7187.

8 a) C.-K. Tseng, C.-R. Lee, C.-C. Han and S.-G. Shyu, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, **17**, 2716; b) C.-K. Tseng, M.-C. Tseng, C.-C. Han and S.-G. Shyu *Chem. Commun.* 2011, **47**, 6686; c) C.-K. Tseng, C.-R. Lee, M.-C. Tseng, C.-C. Han and S.-G. Shyu *Dalton Trans*. 2014, **43**, 7020.

9 a) P. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, **42**, 2832; b) P. Chen and X. Zhang, *Chem. Eur. J,* 2003, **9**, 1852; c) M. N. Eberlin, F. Coelho, L. S. Santos, C. H. Pavam and W. P. Almeida, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, **43**, 4330; d) N. G. Tsierkezos, M. Buchta, P. Holy and D. Schroder, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom*. 2009, **23**, 1550.

10 H. L. Aalten, G. van Koten, D. M. Grove, T. Kuilman, O. G. Piekstra, L. A. Hulshof and R. A. Sheldon *Tetrahedron* 1989, **45**, 5565.

11 a) C. J. C. Whitehouse, S. G. Bell and L.-L. Wong *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, **14**, 10905; b) We did not detect 4-4'-bitolyl —a C-H arylation product one might expected to be formed by the reaction between the leaked product of 4-tolyl radical (via the fragmentation of 4-tolylbromide radical anion) with the solvent toluene. The 4-tolybromide radical may not be reactive enough to carry out the C-H arylation reaction (E. Shirakawa, K. Itoh, T. Higashino and T. Hayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, **132**, 15537; c)W. Liu, H. Cao, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, K. H. Chung, C. He, H. Wang, F. Y. Kwong and A. Lei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, **132**, 16737.

12 S. Stoll and A. Schweiger, *J. Magn. Reson*. 2006, **178**, 42.

13 a) E. Garribba and G. Micera, *J. Chem. Educ.* 2006, **83**, 1229; b) P. Adão, S. Barroso, F. Avecilla, M. C. Oliveira and J. C. Pessoa, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2014, **760**, 212; c) H. Gallardo, E. Meyer, A. J. Bortoluzzi, F. Molin and A. S. Mangrich, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2004, **357**, 505.

14 a) A. Naidu, O. Raghunath, D. Prasad, G. Sekar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, **49**, 1057; b) K. Swapna, S. Murthy, M. Jyothi and Y. Nageswar *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2011, **9**, 5978; c) S. Verma, N. Kumar, S. Jain *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, **53**, 4665.

for table of contents use only.

Ligand Free Copper(I)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Diaryl Ether by Cs_2CO_3 via Free Radical Path

Hong-Jie Chen,[a,b] Mei-Chun Tseng,[a] Hsu I-Jui,[c] Wei-Ting Chen,[a,c] Chien-Chung Han*[b] and Shin-Guang Shyu*[a]

Complexes $[Cu(I)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})_2]^-$ (A) and $[Cu(II)(2,4\text{-dimethylphenoxy})_2(p\text{-tolyl})]^-$ (B) were observed by *in situ* electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis of the ligand free copper(I)-catalyzed C–O coupling reaction using Cs_2CO_3 under the catalytic reaction conditions indicating that they could be intermediates in the reaction.