Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

PAPER

Dalton Transactions

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

Tin Sulfide and Selenide Clusters soluble in Organic Solvents with the Core

Structures of Sn₄S₆ and Sn₄Se₆

Mingdong Zhong,^a Zhi Yang,^{*,a} Yafei Yi,^a Dongxiang Zhang,^a Kening Sun,^a Herbert W. Roesky,^{*,b} Ying Yang^c

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 200X

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Reactions of LSnCl (1) (L = N(2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃)(SiMe₃)) with sulfur and selenium, respectively under mild conditions yielded two tin chalcogenide clusters. Surprisingly the tin atoms of the L₄Sn₄S₆ (2) and L₄Sn₄Se₆ (3) clusters are oxidized from Sn(II) of the precursor to Sn(IV) of the products under ¹⁰ concomitant reduction of elemental sulfur and selenium to sulfide and selenide, respectively. The released chlorine radicals from the precursor RSnCl (1) react under oxidative addition with another RSnCl molecule to yield the side product LSnCl₃ (4). The soluble nature of compounds 2 and 3 in organic solvents is a unique property of this class of compounds and makes them suitable for reactions in organic solvents. Compounds 2 and 3 were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction and multinuclear NMR investigations. Furthermore in ROP polymerization, the two products show high catalytic activity.

For the first time a tin selenide compound functions in ROP catalysis.

Introduction

In recent years metal chalcogenides have become an important target,¹ due to their solubility in organic solvents, when the ²⁰ inorganic metal chalcogenide core is surrounded by an organic ligand shell. Chalcogenide complexes of the general composition $[(RM)_xE_y]$ (R = organic ligand; M = Ge, Sn; E = S, Se, Te), which are based on an adamantane-(AD) or double-decker-type (DD) inorganic core, have been known for a long time with innocent

- ²⁵ ligands like Me, Ph, tBu, CF₃, C₆F₅, C(SiMe₃)₃.² Furthermore, systems with decorated functional organic ligands such as C₂H₄COO(H), CMe₂CH₂C(O)(Me),³ mono- and bisfunctionalized ferrocenyl units have also been described.⁴ The number of organo-wrapped metal chalcogenides on the molecular level are ³⁰ less known due to the limited synthetic approaches and reactivity studies. Further extension of both the inorganic and the organic
 - In 2006, ligand L (N(2,6- $iPr_2C_6H_3$)(SiMe₃)) was used for the

preparation of high-nuclearity Group 14 metalloid clusters by ³⁵ Power et al.⁵ Due to the less sterically hindered ligand, compounds with low-valent tin form easily clusters. To the best of our knowledge the preparation of organic solvent soluble metal chalcogenide clusters through an intermolecular redox process is virtually unexplored. Complexes of low-valent group 14 metals ⁴⁰ react with sulfur and selenium, respectively, often to the corresponding M=S or M=Se species.⁶ Herein, we report on a less hindered ligand to prepare two tin chalcogenide clusters using LSn(II)Cl, sulfur and selenium, respectively, as precursors.

Result and Discussion

⁴⁵ Treatment of LSnCl with equiv amounts of S and Se, respectively, under ambient temperature results in compounds L₄[Sn₄S₆] (2) and L₄[Sn₄Se₆] (3) (Scheme 1). The metal chalcogenide clusters 2 and 3 were prepared uniquely by the reaction of low-valent tin complex (1) with sulfur and selenium, respectively. Previously

part of this class of complexes is a challenging target.

reports on the preparation of such compounds are using salt elimination reaction or treatment of the precursor under stronger conditions.⁷ The byproduct LSnCl₃ (**4**) was characterized using ESI-MS(+) analysis of the mother liquor from the reaction of **3** ⁵ (LSnCl₃ : m/z 473.35, [LSnCl₃]⁺) (Figure S1). After removal of all volatiles and extraction of the residue with n-hexane, crystalline colorless solid of **2** and crystalline yellow solid of **3** were obtained in yields of 42% and 37%, respectively. They are thermally quite stable (268 °C and 247 °C(dec.)). Previously we ¹⁰ tried the traditional method (reaction of LSnCl with Li₂S, Li₂Se, Na₂S, and Na₂Se, respectively) for preparing the desired

- compounds. However, this method was not successful for achieving the target complexes but rather a mixture of compounds was formed. Furthermore compounds **2** and **3** were ¹⁵ characterized by elemental analysis, single crystal X-ray diffraction, and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectroscopy. For compound **3** was also employed ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy. Compounds **2** and **3** show each only one resonance in the ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum (Figure, S4, S5). This indicates that all tin atoms are equivalent
- ²⁰ on the nmr time scale, reflecting their high symmetrical structure of both **2** and **3**. The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of **3** reveals one resonance at δ 55.49 ppm, indicating the equivalent of all the selenium atoms (Figure, S5). Compounds **2** and **3** are both soluble in toluene and THF, but only slightly soluble in n-hexane.
- ²⁵ The structures of **2** and **3** were further confirmed by X-ray single crystal diffraction and contain co-crystallizing solvent molecules in the crystal structures comprising compounds **2** and **3** as **2**·*n*-hexane and **3**·2THF. **2** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pnma*, which contains a quasi-tetrahedral, AD-type
- $_{30}$ [Sn₄S₆] core with amido ligands (Figure 1). All Sn atoms have the same coordination sphere, where each is surrounded by three S atoms and one amido ligand. The Sn–S bond lengths (2.3890(11)-2.4137(9)Å) are in a narrow range and in agreement with those reported for (C₆F₅Sn)₄S₆ (2.40(1)-2.41(1)Å).⁸ The
- ³⁵ Sn–N bond lengths (2.018(5)-2.032(3)Å) are slightly shorter, when compared with that of the starting material (2.068(2)Å).⁵ Compound **3** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c, the Sn–Se bond lengths are in a narrow range (2.5233(5)-2.5409(5)Å) and comparable to those in [(PhSe)₃Sn–Fc–Sn(SePh)₃] ⁴⁰ (2.5058(11)-2.5413(11)Å) (Fc = ferrocenyl) (Figure 2).⁹

Dehnen et al. studied the influence of various specific organometallic ligands on the structural and electrochemical properties of complexes containing the inorganic Sn/S as well as the Sn/Se core.^{4,10} They found that $(RFcSn)_4Sn_6S_{10}$] [RFc =⁴⁵ CMe₂CH₂C(Me)=N–N=C(Me)Fc] resembles that in the gas phase. Consequently, we investigated the spectrometric properties of complexes **2** and **3** (See the SI, Figure S6, S7). However, compounds **2** and **3** were found to dissociate under APCI-MS conditions (see the SI, Figure S2, S3).

Main-group molecular clusters are interesting because the coordination of their constrained atoms may resemble atoms at elemental surfaces.¹¹⁻¹⁴ The stoichiometric reaction of cluster $Sn_8(ArMe_6)_4$ (ArMe_6 = 2,6-(2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2)_2C_6H_3) with small molecules under ambient conditions has been reported.¹⁵ The 55 known catalytic properties of metal clusters in organic processes are mostly reported with metallasiloxanes,¹⁶ while other main-group metal clusters are less studied. It is well known that metal-alkyl and metal-alkoxide are used as initiators in ROP.17 However, metal selenide compounds have never been studied for 60 this process. We investigated the ROP with 2 and 3, respectively as novel initiators (See Table 2). The two catalysts show high activity in toluene of the polymerization at 100 °C. All polymerizations are complete within 6 h, giving polymers with $M_{\rm n}$ (obsd) values and low polydispersities ($M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n} = 1.08 - 1.42$). 65 It is noteworthy that alcohol is normally added, which leads to a dramatically acceleration of the polymerization process yielding polymers of narrower PDIs.¹⁹ However in our case, polymers of relatively narrow dispersities are obtained without adding alcohol, which implies that a better control is possible by the catalysts 2 70 and 3, respectively. Considering the importance of Group 14 polymerization catalysts, in most of the cases low valent germanium(II),²⁰ tin(II)^{17c, 21} and lead(II)²² ROP catalysts are used. All of the initiators exhibit a similar bias toward ROP catalysts, which is attributed to a chain-end control mechanism 75 influenced predominantly by the presence of the lone pair of electrons. Compared with other tin(II) compounds, 2 and 3 have open coordination sites for substrate binding and activation. We assume that the bulky ligand with harder donors could affect the Lewis acidity of the metal center, with the consequence of ⁸⁰ showing remarkable effects on the catalytic performance.

Based on the ¹H NMR (Figure S8) described above, a mechanism has been proposed to elaborate on the cooperation between the Sn atoms of the inorganic core supported by the organic ligand shell. A brief coordination-insertion mechanism is

proposed in which an acyl-oxygen bond cleavage occurs and one monomer inserts into one Sn-E (S, Sn) bond. A second monomer coordinates to an adjacent Sn atom, which is activated for insertion into the newly formed Sn-O bond. The polymer chain

s thus shuttles between different Sn atoms, leading to a highly efficient polymerization process (Scheme S1).

Experimental Section

- General Procedures. All manipulations were carried out under a dry argon or nitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk line and ¹⁰ glovebox techniques. Solvents including THF, toluene and n-hexane were dried by refluxing with sodium/potassium benzophenone under N₂ prior to use. ε -Caprolactone was stirred over CaH₂ for 18 h and distilled under vacuum. Commercially available chemicals were purchased from J&K and used as ¹⁵ received. Elemental analyses were performed by the Analytical
- Instrumentation Center of the Beijing Institute of Technology. Melting points of the compounds were measured in a sealed glass tube using the Büchi-540 instrument. Raman spectra were recorded on Horiba Jobin Yvon XploRa instrument. Infrared
- ²⁰ spectra of **2** and **3** were recorded on a Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer. UV-visible absorption spectra were recorded on a 4802 UV/VIS Double Beam Spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were acquired with an Agilent Accurate-Mass-Q-TOF MS 6520 system equipped with an atmospheric pressure chemical
- ²⁵ ionization (APCI) source. Molecular weight and the polydispersities of polymers were determined by Shimadzu CTO-20A Gel Penetration Chromatography (GPC) equipped with polystyrene gel columns using THF as an eluent (1 mL/min), calibrated by polystyrene standards at 25 °C. Compound LSnCl
 ³⁰ (L=N(2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃)(SiMe₃)) was prepared according to the literature.²³

Preparation of [L₄[Sn₄S₆] (2). A solution of LSnCl (0.401 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added drop by drop to a suspension of sulfur (0.032g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at room ³⁵ temperature. After the addition was complete, stirring of the reaction mixture was continued overnight. Then the solvent was removed in vacuo. The solid was extracted with n-hexane (20 mL), and the extract was stored at room temperature for 2 d to afford **2** as colorless crystals. An additional crop of **2** was ⁴⁰ obtained from the mother liquor. Total yield: 0.116g (42%), mp 268 °C (decomp). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ 298 K, ppm): δ =

0.27 (s, 36H, SiMe₃), 1.33 (d, 48H, CH₃), 3.58 (sept, 8H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}$, 4 H, CHMe₂), 7.12-7.25 (m, 12H, C₆H₃). ${}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ 298 K, ppm): δ =148.04, 140.41, 125.40, 123.57 (*p*-, *m*-, *o*-, *i*-*C* of Ar), 27.65 (CHMe₂), 22.51 (CHMe₂), 2.79(SiMe₃). ${}^{119}\text{Sn}$ NMR (186 MHz, C₄D₈O), δ = 43.1 ppm. Anal. calcd (%) for C₆₀H₁₀₄N₄Si₄Sn₄S₆ (Mr =1661.07): C, 43.34; H, 6.26; N, 3.37. Found: C, 43.23; H, 5.95; N, 3.49.

Preparation of L₄[Sn₄Se₆]. A solution of LSnCl (0.401g, 1.0 mmol) 50 in THF (10 mL) was added drop by drop to a suspension of selinium (0.079g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at room temperature. After the addition was complete, stirring of the reaction mixture was continued overnight. Then the solvent was removed in vacuo. The solid was extracted with n-hexane (20 55 mL), and the extract was stored at room temperature for 3 d to afford 3 as yellow crystals. An additional crop of 3 was obtained from the mother liquor. Total yield: 0.119g (37%), mp 247 °C (decomp). ¹H NMR 400 MHz, CDCl₃ 298 K, ppm): $\delta = 0.18$ (s, 36H, SiMe₃), 1.11 (d, 48H, CH₃), 3.40 (sept, 8H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6.5$ Hz, 4 60 H, CHMe₂), 6.88-7.01 (m, 12H, C₆H₃). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃298K, ppm): $\delta = 148.27, 141.51, 125.27, 123.60$ (*p*-, *m*-, o-, i-C of Ar), 27.76 (CHMe₂), 25.32 (CHMe₂), 3.43 (SiMe₃). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (186 MHz, C₄D₈O), δ = -168.4 ppm; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, C_4D_8O , $\delta = 55.5ppm$. Anal. calcd (%) for $_{65}$ C₆₀H₁₀₄N₄Si₄Sn₄Se₆ (Mr = 1942.44): C, 37.07; H, 5.35; N, 2.88. Found: C, 36.77; H, 5.17; N, 2.97.

Ring-Opening Polymerization of ε-Caprolactone Catalyzed by 2 and 3, respectively. A 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with the desired amount of initiator in toluene. The solution was stirred for ⁷⁰ 2 min in a glovebox, and toluene along with ε-CL was added to the solution. The solution was stirred for the time given in the table of the manuscript. During the stirring an increased viscosity was observed. The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of 1M HCl-ethanol solution, and poured into methanol to precipitate ⁷⁵ the polymer, which was dried under vacuum and checked by weight.

Single Crystal X-ray Structure Determination and Refinement.

Single crystals of **2** and **3** were mounted with glue on glass fibers and crystal data were collected on the Rigaku AFC10 ⁸⁰ Saturn724 + (2 × 2 bin mode) diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program.²⁴ Structures were solved by direct methods²⁵ and refined

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

by full-matrix least squares on F^2 using the SHELXL-97 program.²⁶ A summary of the crystal data is given in Table 1

 Table 1 Crystallographic Data for Compounds 2 and 3

npirical formula $C_{66}H_{118}N_4S_6Si_4Sn_4$ $C_{68}H_{120}N_4O_2Se_6Si_4Sn_4$ rmula weight 1747.12 2086.56 mperature (K) 153(2) 173(2) ystal system orthorhombic monoclinic ace group <i>Pnma</i> C2/c Å) 14.2045(18) 23.528(5) Å) 27.355(4) 14.278(3) Å) 23.153(3) 27.858(5) (°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 90.00 (Å) 1.2960(2) 90 (°) 90 90.00 (Å) 8997(2) 8617(3) 4 4 4 calcd. (Mg/m³) 1.290 1.608 oscrption coefficient 1.324 3.776 mm ⁻¹) -20≤ h ≤20 -34≤ h ≤34 odex ranges -39 ≤ k ≤ 37 -14 ≤ k ≤20 -34 ≤ l ≤ 31 -37 ≤ l ≤ 40 4139 / 17 / 440
mmula weight 1747.12 2086.56 mperature (K) 153(2) 173(2) ystal system orthorhombic monoclinic ace group Pnma C2/c Å) 14.2045(18) 23.528(5) Å) 27.355(4) 14.278(3) Å) 23.153(3) 27.858(5) (°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 90.00 (Å) 1.2960(2) 90 (Å) 1.290 8617(3) 4 4 4 calcd. (Mg/m³) 1.290 1.608 osorption coefficient 1.324 3.776 mm³) 3576 4128 ange for data 2.25 to 31.51 2.032 to 31.504 llection(°) -20≤ h <20
mperature (K) 153(2) 173(2) ystal system orthorhombic monoclinic ace group $Pnma$ $C2/c$ Å) 14.2045(18) 23.528(5) Å) 27.355(4) 14.278(3) Å) 23.153(3) 27.858(5) (°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 90.00 (Å) 8997(2) 8617(3) $(Å^3)$ 1.290 1.608 scaled. (Mg/m ³) 1.290 1.608 scaled. (Mg/m ³) 3576 4128 scaled. Scale -302 to 31.504 effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 (int) 0.0441 0.0386
systal system orthorhombic monoclinic ace group $Pnma$ $C2/c$ Å) 14.2045(18) 23.528(5) Å) 27.355(4) 14.278(3) Å) 23.153(3) 27.858(5) (°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 90.00 (Å) 8997(2) 8617(3) 4 4 saled. (Mg/m³) 1.290 1.608 sosorption coefficient 1.324 3.776 mm ⁻¹) 3576 4128 arage for data 2.25 to 31.51 2.032 to 31.504 lection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386
ace groupPnmaC2/cÅ)14.2045(18)23.528(5)Å)27.355(4)14.278(3)Å)23.153(3)27.858(5)(°)9090.00(°)9090.00(°)9090.00(Å)8997(2)8617(3)44calcd. (Mg/m³)1.2901.608sosorption coefficient1.3243.776um ⁻¹)35764128ange for data2.25 to 31.512.032 to 31.504llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ dex ranges $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected8632450082(int)0.04410.0386tata / restraints /15131 / 15 / 44014139 / 17 / 440
Å)14.2045(18)23.528(5)Å)27.355(4)14.278(3)Å)23.153(3)27.858(5)(°)9090.00(°)9090.00(Å)8997(2)8617(3) $(Å^3)$ 8997(2)8617(3) $(Å^3)$ 1.2901.608osorption coefficient1.3243.776 m^{-1})35764128output $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $dex ranges$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $dex ranges$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $dex ranges$ 6324 50082(int)0.04410.0386tat / restraints /15131 / 15 /44014139 / 17 /440
Å)27.355(4)14.278(3)Å)23.153(3)27.858(5)(°)9090.00(°)9090.00(°)9090.00(Å)8997(2)8617(3)44calcd. (Mg/m³)1.2901.608osoption coefficient1.3243.776 m^{-1})35764128ange for data llection(°)2.25 to 31.512.032 to 31.504dex ranges $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected8632450082(int)0.04410.0386tat / restraints /15131 / 15 /44014139 / 17 /440
Å)23.153(3)27.858(5)(°)9090.00(°)90112.960(2)°)9090.00(Å ³)8997(2)8617(3)44calcd. (Mg/m ³)1.2901.608cosorption coefficient1.3243.776mm ⁻¹)35764128cool)35764128ange for data2.25 to 31.512.032 to 31.504llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ dex ranges $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ dex ranges $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ effections collected8632450082(int)0.04410.0386tat / restraints /15131 / 15 /44014139 / 17 /440
(°) 90 90.00 (°) 90 112.960(2) °) 90 90.00 (Å ³) 8997(2) 8617(3) 4 4 calcd. (Mg/m ³) 1.290 1.608 osorption coefficient 1.324 3.776 um ⁻¹) 3576 4128 o00) 3576 4128 arange for data 2.25 to 31.51 2.032 to 31.504 llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 tat / restraints / 15131 / 15 / 440 14139 / 17 / 440
(°)90112.960(2)°)9090.00(ų)8997(2)8617(3)44calcd. (Mg/m³)1.2901.608psorption coefficient1.3243.776 mr^{-1} 35764128cange for data2.25 to 31.512.032 to 31.504llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected8632450082(int)0.04410.0386ata / restraints /15131 / 15 / 44014139 / 17 / 440
\circ)9090.00 $(Å^3)$ $8997(2)$ $8617(3)$ 44calcd. (Mg/m³) 1.290 1.608 posorption coefficient 1.324 3.776 mm^{-1} 3576 4128 mm^{-1} 2.25 to 31.51 2.032 to 31.504 llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 tat / restraints / $15131 / 15 / 440$ $14139 / 17 / 440$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
44ealed. (Mg/m³)1.2901.608posorption coefficient1.3243.776 mm^{-1})35764128ange for data2.25 to 31.512.032 to 31.504llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected8632450082(int)0.04410.0386ata / restraints /15131 / 15 / 44014139 / 17 / 440
transform 1.290 1.608 posorption coefficient 1.324 3.776 mm^{-1}) 3576 4128 prange for data 2.25 to 31.51 2.032 to 31.504 llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ dex ranges $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 ata / restraints / 15131 / 15 / 440 14139 / 17 / 440
besorption coefficient 1.324 3.776 mn^{-1}) 3576 4128 000) 3576 4128 range for data 2.25 to 31.51 2.032 to 31.504 llection(°) $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 ata / restraints / 15131 / 15 / 440 14139 / 17 / 440
um^{-1}) 000)35764128ange for data llection(°)2.25 to 31.512.032 to 31.504 $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected8632450082(int)0.04410.0386ata / restraints /15131 / 15 /44014139 / 17 /440
range for data llection(°)2.25 to 31.51 2.032 to 31.504 $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected863248632450082(int)0.04410.0386ata / restraints /15131 / 15 / 44014139 / 17 / 440
Incertain() $-20 \le h \le 20$ $-34 \le h \le 34$ $-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected863248632450082(int)0.04410.0386ata / restraints /15131 / 15 / 440rameters
$-39 \le k \le 37$ $-14 \le k \le 20$ $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 ata / restraints / $15131 / 15 / 440$ rameters
dex ranges $-34 \le l \le 31$ $-37 \le l \le 40$ effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 ata / restraints / 15131 / 15 / 440 14139 / 17 / 440
effections collected 86324 50082 (int) 0.0441 0.0386 ata / restraints / 15131 / 15 / 440 14139 / 17 / 440
(int) 0.0441 0.0386 nta / restraints / 15131 / 15 /440 14139 / 17 /440 rameters 1 14139 / 17 /440
ata / restraints / 15131 / 15 /440 14139 / 17 /440 rameters
rameters
podness-of-fit on F^2 1.001 1.003
nal Rint $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ R1 ^a = 0.0620 R1 ^a = 0.0485
$wR2^b = 0.1637$ $wR2^b = 0.1276$
nt (all data) $R1^a = 0.0651$ $R1^a = 0.0563$
$wR2^b = 0.1670$ $wR2^b = 0.1335$
CDC number 1406396 1406397

5 Conclusions

Herein, we report on amido ligand supported tin sulfide and selenide clusters. Compared with the traditional route, where LiCl or NaCl are eliminated, **2** and **3** are prepared from a Sn(II) complex with sulfur and selenium, respectively. This is a novel ¹⁰ method for preparing soluble Group 14 clusters. Both **2** and **3** show high activity for initiating the polymerization of ε -caprolactone. This is the first example of a tin selenide compound being used in the ROP process.

Acknowledgements

¹⁵ This work was supported by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (2132044), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (RO224/64-1), and the International Science & Technology Cooperation Program of China (2012DFR40240, 2014DFR61080).

20 Notes and references

^a School of Chemical Engineering and Environment, Beijing Institute of Technology, 100081 Beijing, China. Fax: (+86) 10-68911040; Tel:010/68914503; E-mail: zhiyang@bit.edu.cn

^b Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Georg-August-Universität

²⁵ Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany. Fax: (+49) 551-3933373; Tel: 05 51/3933001 + 3933045; E-mail: hroesky@gwdg.de

25

40

45

70

75

80

85

90

^c School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South 50 University, 410083 Changsha, China

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: CCDC 1406396–1406397. For ESI and CIF see 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

‡ Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.

10 1 S. Dehnen and M. Melullis, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2007, 251, 1259.

- (a) R. Hauser and K. Merzweiler, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2002,
 628, 905; (b) C. Dorfelt, A. Janeck, D. Kobelt, E. F. Paulus and H. Scherer, J. Organomet. Chem., 1968, 14, 22.
- 3 (a) Z. H. Fard, L. Xiong, C. Müller, M. Hoyńska and S. Dehnen,
 ¹⁵ *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 6595; (b) J. P. Eußner, B. E. K. Barth, E. Leusmann, Z. You, N. Rinn and S. Dehnen, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013,
 19, 13792; (c) M. R. Halvagar, Z. H. Fard and S. Dehnen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 4716; (d) Z. H. Fard, M. R. Halvagar and S. Dehnen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2848; (e) M. R. Halvagar,
 ²⁰ Z. H. Fard and S. Dehnen, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 4371.
 - 4 Z. You, J. Bergunde, B. Gerke, R. Pöttgen and S, Dehnen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 12512.
 - 5 M. Brynda, R. Herber, P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, I. Nowik, P. P. Power, A. V. Protchenko, A. Ruzicka and J. Steiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, 45, 4333.
 - 6 M. Asay, C. Jones and M. Driess, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 354.
 - (a) M. R. Halvagar, Z. H. Fard and S. Dehnen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 4716; (b) Z. H. Fard, C. Müller, T. Harmening, R. Pöttgen and S. Dehnen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 4441;
- (c) T. Wu, X. Bu, P. Liao, L. Wang, S. T. Zheng, R. Ma and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 3619; (d) T. Wu, Q. Zhang, Y. Hou, L. Wang, C. Mao, S. T. Zheng, X. Bu and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10250; (e) Q. Lin, X. Bu and P. Feng, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 4044.
- 35 8 H. Berwe and A. Haas, Chem. Ber., 1987, 120, 1175.
 - 9 H. P. Nayek, G. Hilt and S. Dehnen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 28, 4205.
 - (a) Z. You and S. Dehnen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 12332; (b) J.
 P. Eußner, B. E. K. Barth, U. Justus, N. W. Rosemann, S. Chatterjee and S. Dehnen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 22.
 - 11 B. E. Eichler and P. P. Power, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 796.
 - 12 J. M. Buriak, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 1271.
 - 13 A. F. Richards, B. E. Eichler, M. Brynda, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Power, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 2546.
 - 14 K. T. Wong, B. Shong, W. Sun and S. F. Bent, J. Phys. Chem. C., 2013, 117, 26628.
 - 15 P. Vasko, S. Wang, H. M. Tuononen and P. P. Power, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 3802.

- 16 (a) F. J. Feher and T. L. Tajima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 2145; (b) U. Ritter, N. Winkhofer, R. Murugavel, U. Voigt, D. Stalke and H. W. Roesky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 8580; (c) G. Tan, Y. Yang, C. Chu, H. Zhu and H. W. Roesky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 12231.
- 55 17 (a) H. R. Kricheldorf, I. K. Saunders and A. Stricker, Macromolecules, 2000, 33, 702; (b) A. Kowalski, A. Duda and S. Penczek, Macromolecules, 2000, 33, 7359; (c) A. P. Dove, V. C. Gibson, E. L. Marshall, H. S. Rzepa, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 9834; (d) A. Meduri, T. Fuoco, M. Lamberti, C. Pellecchia and D. Pappalardo, 60 Macromolecules, 2014, 47, 534; (e) M. O. Miranda, Y. DePorre, H. Vazquez-Lima, M. A. Johnson, D. J. Marell, C. J. Cramer and W. B. Tolman, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 13692. (f) M. Lamberti, I. D'Auria, M. Mazzeo, S. Milione, V. Bertolasi and D. Pappalardo, Organometallics, 2012, 31, 5551; (g) A. Meduri, T. Fuoco, M. 65 Lamberti, C. Pellecchia and D. Pappalardo, Macromolecules, 2014, 47, 534; (h) S. Yang, K. Nie, Y. Zhang, M. Xue, Y. Yao and Q. Shen, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 105; (i) M. Bouyahyi and R. Duchateau, Macromolecules, 2014, 47, 517.
 - 18 M. Save, M. Schappacher and A. Soum, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, 203, 889.
 - (a) W. Li, W. Wu, Y. Wang, Y. Yao, Y. Zhang and Q. Shen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 11378; (b) P. Hao, Z. Yang, W. Li, X. Ma, H. W. Roesky, Y. Yang and J. Li, *Organometallics*, 2015, 34, 105.
 - 20 A. Dumitrescu, H. Gornitzka, B. Martin-vaca, D. Bourissou, G. Bertrand and J.-B. Cazaux, WO 2001 088 014A1, 2001.
 - (a) N. Nimitsiriwat, E. L. Marshall, V. C. Gibson, M. R. J. Elsegood and S. H. Dale, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 13598;
 (b) V. Poirier, T. Roisnel, S. Sinbandhit, M. Bochmann, J.-F. Carpentier and Y. Sarazin, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 2998.
 - 22 H. R. Kricheldorf and C. Boettcher, J. Macromol. Sci., Pure Appl. Chem., 1993, 30, 441.
 - 23 M. Brynda, R. Herber, P. B. Hitchock, M. F. Lappert, I. Nowik, P. P. Power, A. V. Protchenko, A. Ruzicka and J. Steiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 2546.
 - 24 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Empirical Absorption Correction Program, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
 - 25 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELEX-97, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A. 1990, 46, 467–473.
 - 26 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structures Refinement, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.



35 Scheme 1. Preparation of Compound 2 and 3

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

25

Table 2. Ring-opening polymerization of $\epsilon\text{-caprolactone}$ (CL) catalyzed by 2 and 3^a



Entry	Initiator	[CL]/[Sn]	Time	Conv	$M_{\rm w}$	M _n		-
			(h)	(%) ^b	(g/mol) ^c	(g/mol) ^c	PDI ^c	15
1	2	500:1	4.0	43	9879	7734	1.28	-
2	2	1000:1	4.0	51	10287	8662	1.19	
3	2	1500:1	6.0	57	11324	8804	1.27	
4	2	2000:1	6.0	62	14822	10448	1.42	
5	3	500:1	4.0	63	4527	4203	1.08	
6	3	1000:1	4.0	78	15659	12776	1.22	
7	3	1500:1	6.0	82	19896	17006	1.17	20
8	3	2000:1	6.0	88	21252	17832	1.19	_



Figure 2. Molecular structure of 3 without H atoms

5

^aConditions: 30 mL toluene as solvent, 100 °C. ^bObtained from ¹ H NMR analysis. ^cExperimental M_n , M_w and PDI values determined by GPC in THF *vs* Polystyrene standards, using a correcting factor of 0.56 ¹⁸.

10



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 2 without H atoms



Table of Content

Reactions of LSnCl (1) (L = $N(2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3)(SiMe_3)$) with sulfur and selenium, respectively under mild conditions yielded 5 two tin chalcogenide clusters. The two products show high catalytic activity in ROP catalysis.