Environmental Science Processes & Impacts

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/process-impacts

Table of contents entry



We have revealed distinctive physicochemical and ion-binding properties of humic and fulvic acid from sedimentary deep groundwater.

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

Environmental Science: Processes

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

Environmental Science: Processes

Physicochemical and ion-binding properties of highly aliphatic humic substances extracted from deep sedimentary groundwater

4 Takumi Saito^{*, a, b}, Motoki Terashima^c, Noboru Aoyagi^d, Seiya Nagao^e, Nobuhide Fujitake^f,
5 Toshihiko Ohnuki^b

- 7 ^aNuclear Professional School, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 2-22
- 8 Shirakata Shirane, Tokai-mura, Ibaraki, 319-1188, Japan
- 9 ^b Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), 2-4 Shirakata,
- 10 Tokai-mura, Ibaraki, 319-1195, Japan
- 11 ^c Radioactive Waste Processing and Disposal Research Department, JAEA, 4-33 Muramatsu,
- 12 Tokai-mura, Ibaraki, 319-1194, Japan
- 13 ^dNuclear Science and Engineering Center, JAEA, 2-4 Shirakata, Tokai-mura, Ibaraki, 319-
- 14 1195, Japan
- ¹⁵ ^{*e*} Institute of Natural and Environmental Technology, Kanazawa University, Wake, Nomi,
- 16 Ishikawa 923-1224, Japan
- 17 ^f Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Rokkodai1, Kobe 657-8501,

18 Japan

- 19 * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: +81-29-284-3518; Fax: +81-
- 20 29-282-5927; E-mail: saito.takumi@jaea.go.jp.

Humic substances (HSs) are ubiquitous in various aquatic systems and play important roles in many geochemical processes. There are increasing evidences on the presence of HSs in deep groundwater; nevertheless, their ion binding properties are largely unknown. In this study we investigated the physicochemical and ion-binding properties of humic and fulvic acids extracted from deep sedimentary groundwater. The binding isotherms of proton (H⁺) and copper (Cu2+) were measured by potentiometry and fitted to the NICA-Donnan model, and the obtained parameters were compared with the generic parameters of the model, which are the average parameters for HSs from surface environments. The deep groundwater HSs were different from surface HSs, having high aliphaticities, high sulfur contents, and small molecular sizes. The amounts of their acidic functional groups were comparable to or slightly larger than those of surface HSs; however, the magnitude of Cu²⁺ binding to the deep groundwater HSs was smaller. The NICA-Donnan model attributed this to the binding of Cu^{2+} to chemically homogeneous low affinity sites, which presumably consist of carboxylic groups, via mono-dentate coordination at relatively low pH. The binding mode tended to shift to multi-dentate coordination with carboxylic groups and more heterogeneous alcoholic/phenolic groups at higher pH. X-ray absorption spectroscopy also revealed that Cu²⁺ binds to O/N containing functional groups and to lesser extent S containing functional groups as its divalent from. This study shows the particularity of the deep groundwater HSs in terms of their physicochemical and ion-binding properties, compared with surface HSs.

Environmental impact

For future use of deep underground space it is necessary to monitor and protect the quality of
deep groundwater. Development of mechanistic models that can describe reactions of
pollutants with components in groundwater is mandatory as is the case for surface water
systems. Humic substances (HSs) play important roles in the speciation of metal ions;

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

Nvironmental Science: Processes

47 nevertheless, detailed ion binding to deep groundwater HSs is largely unknown. This study
48 reveals the particularity of the physicochemical and ion-binding properties of the HSs
49 extracted from sedimentary groundwater by comparing them to those of surface HSs.

1. Introduction

 Humic substance (HS) is a class of natural organic matters, resulting from degradation and condensation of animal, plant and microbial remains, and ubiquitous in various environments: surface and ground water, ocean, soil, and atmosphere.^{1,2} HS is not a molecular entity with a distinct structure, but should be considered as a group of organic molecules with certain physicochemical properties in common.³ Based on the solubility to water at different pH, they are operationally divided to humic acid (HA), which is soluble at pH > 2, fulvic acid (FA), which is soluble at both acidic and alkaline pH, and insoluble humin. HSs play important roles in various environmentally-relevant processes; they determine structures of micro aggregates in soils,³ stabilize metastable minerals,⁴ catalyze redox reactions,⁵ and capture inorganic and organic contaminants.⁶⁻⁸ Proton and metal ions readily bind to the functional groups of HSs,^{8,9} mostly carboxylic and phenolic groups and less significantly amine and sulfur-containing groups, and alter their reactivity,

64 bioavailability, and mobility.^{7,10}

Ion binding to HSs has been an active topic of research over decades.¹¹⁻¹³ The
particularity of HSs as ligands lies in their chemical heterogeneity and polyelectrolyte
nature.^{9, 13} The former is manifested in the distribution of the affinity constant of a HS for a
given metal ion due to diversity of the environments surrounding its functional groups. The
latter originates from negative charges locating on its carbon backbone, which creates
negative electrostatic potential that attracts cations and excludes anions.^{14, 15} Recent
mechanistic models for ion binding to HSs such as the NICA-Donnan model^{9, 11} and the

Model VI and its successor^{12, 16} take these aspects into account and can successfully describe the binding of various cations over a wide range of conditions. These models have been well tested for HSs extracted from different surface environments.^{12, 17, 18} There are certain similarities in the obtained model parameters, once HAs and FAs are separately discussed.¹⁷ Thus, so-called "generic" parameters have been proposed for these two groups of HSs ^{12, 17, 18} and widely used to estimate the level of metal binding to HSs, for which specific model parameters are unavailable.^{19, 20}

There are increasing evidences showing the presence of HS in deep underground environments either as dissolved forms in pore water or bound to host rocks.²¹⁻²⁹ Deep underground environments are rather different from surface aquatic systems, as manifested by slow groundwater flow, which leads to prolonged interaction between rocks and dissolved/suspended components, low oxygen concentration, and no direct energy input from the sun. It is likely that HSs in deep undergrounds are different from their counterparts on surface environments with respect to their structures and ion binding properties.^{21,23} Underground HSs may originate from surface waters transported by downward recharge, dissolution of sedimentary organic materials, or in-situ production from remains of microorganisms or algae in connate water, and have experienced long-term diagenesis. Ratios of dissolved and bound HSs in deep underground environments are different from site to site, and they may have rather different properties even in a given geological setting.³⁰ Uniqueness of deep underground HSs has been pointed out by several researchers.^{21, 23-26}, ^{29, 31, 32} Schäfer et al.²³ studied possible sources of FAs in the Gorleben aquifer, based on

93 isotopic data and C and S X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES). They reported
94 that FAs derived from the deep brine groundwater at around -216 m below ground level (bgl)
95 had similar carbon backbone structures to those of FA in the corresponding shallow recharge
96 groundwater and that HAs and FAs originated from lignite in Miocene sediments were highly

97	aromatic. Alberts et al. ³² reported that the properties of HA and FA extracted from
98	groundwater at -30 to -70 m bgl were different from those of their counterparts from surface
99	water, but copper binding to them was similar. Courdouan et al. ³⁰ studied the binding of
100	trivalent metal ions to extracts of organic matters from pore water and rocks in the Opalinus
101	clay (OPA) and the Callovo-Oxfordian formations and found stronger binding of curium to
102	pore-water organic matters from OPA. Although some properties of deep underground HSs
103	such as elemental composition, ¹³ C NMR carbon distribution, optical properties, and
104	molecular-size distribution have been reported, ^{21,24-26} their ion binding properties over a wide
105	range of conditions remain largely unknown. ³¹⁻³³ This is particularly the case for deep
106	groundwater HSs, as large-scale extraction of HSs from deep groundwater is limited.
107	Deep groundwater HSs can be extracted from pumped groundwater, using boreholes
108	from surface. Some countries are operating underground research laboratories (URLs) for
109	feasibility tests of geological disposal of nuclear wastes, where large amounts of groundwater
110	samples are available with less contamination or alternation. ^{34.37} This makes URLs
111	appropriate places for extraction of deep groundwater HSs.
112	Considering the future use of great-depth underground space by mankind such as
113	geological disposal of nuclear wastes and potential deterioration of groundwater quality, ion
114	binding properties of deep groundwater HSs are to be studied and the applicability of the
115	aforementioned mechanistic models is to be tested, as is the case for surface HSs. Thus, the
116	objective of this study is to reveal the physicochemical and ion-binding properties of HA and
117	FA isolated from sedimentary groundwater at the Horonobe URL of the Japan Atomic
118	Energy Agency (JAEA). ³⁵ The physicochemical properties of the Horonobe HSs, which are
119	denoted as HHSs hereafter, were compared with those of surface HAs and FAs to discuss
120	their structural differences. Binding isotherms of proton (H^+) and copper (Cu^{2+}) was measured
121	over a wide range of conditions by potentiometric titration and fitted to the NICA-Donnan

model.^{9,11} The results were compared to the model calculations with the generic parameters proposed by Milne et al.¹⁸, which capture average trends of ion binding to HSs from surface environments. Oxidation state and local coordination environment of Cu²⁺ bound to HA fraction of the HHS were also assessed by X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). Copper was chosen as a representative divalent metal ion in this study to examine general metal binding properties of the HHSs, as it can be easily quantified by an ion selective electrode (ISE) and its binding to surface HSs have been well studied ^{18, 38, 39}. Copper is also an essential trace element for organisms at low concentration and becomes toxic at elevated concentration.^{40,41} It could be introduced to deep groundwater systems by exploitation of underground space, as it is an important constituent of various materials used in modern industries. Thus, studies on the speciation Cu^{2+} in the presence of groundwater HSs are relevant for its fate in deep groundwater environments. Although the HA and FA from the single groundwater source are examined in this study, the outcomes can be applied or be a good starting point to estimate the degree of metal binding to HSs in sedimentary groundwater similar to this study.

2. Materials and methods

138 2.1. Materials

For the entire experiments, milli-Q grade pure water and analytical-grade chemicals purchased from the Wako Pure Chemical Industries were used, unless otherwise noted. HA and FA were extracted from groundwater collected at the -250-m gallery of the Horonobe URL located in the northern part of Hokkaido Prefecture, Japan. The geology and geochemistry of the site are described elsewhere.^{35,42} The -250-m gallery is located at the boundary of the Pleiocene Koetoi and the Miocene Wakkanai formations, which are composed of diatomaceous and siliceous mudstones, respectively. Groundwater at the sampling location is a weakly alkaline Na⁺/HCO₃⁻ type with relatively high total organic

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

nvironmental Science: Processes

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

nvironmental Science: Processes

carbon (TOC) and Cl⁻ levels. Groundwater after filtration and acidification was passed
through a column packed with DAX-8 resins (Supelite DAX-8, Sigma-Aldrich). Separation
and extraction of HA and FA fractions from the loaded resins and subsequent purification
was performed in a laboratory on surface, according to the protocol recommended by the
International Humic Substances Society (IHSS).⁴³ In total 6.6 g of HA and 3.5 g of FA were
obtained by treating approximately 6,000 L of the groundwater. These values correspond to
approximately 8.5 and 4.5% of the TOC in the groundwater, respectively.

2.2. Characterization of HSs

Elemental compositions and ¹³C NMR carbon distributions of the HHSs were evaluated in the same manner in the previous report.³³ Carbon, H and N contents were determined using an elemental analyzer (Yanagimoto, MT-6), and that of S was analyzed by ion chromatography after conversion to SO_4^{2-} . Ash contents were also determined by combustion at 550 °C. For ¹³C NMR a 50-mg HS sample was dissolved in a mixture of 0.02 mL of 10 M NaOD (99.9% deuteration, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.4 mL of D₂O (99.9% deuteration, Sigma-Aldrich) solution. As a reference material for the chemical shifts, a 0.02-mL of 1.0% solution of sodium 3-trimethylsilylpropionate-2, 2, 3, 3, D₄ (TMSP; 98% deuteration, French Atomic Energy Commission, CEA) was added into the mixture. The test solution was then passed through a glass-fiber filter with a pore size of 0.7 μ m, and placed in a 5-mm diameter spin tube. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded by a Bruker AVANCE 500 spectrometer operating at 125.77 MHz. The inverse gated decoupling technique was applied for the measurement with the pulse width of 45° and the acquisition time of 0.839 s. A total repetition time of 2.5 s was applied to permit relaxation of all the spins, and 4000 - 20000 scans were accumulated. Chemical shift assignments were made using data reported by Wilson⁴⁴ and Fujitake and Kawahigashi⁴⁵.

172	The UV/Vis absorption spectra of the HHS as well as those of the standard or reference
173	HSs from the international humic substances society (IHSS) and the Japanese humic
174	substance society (JHSS) and purified Aldrich HA (PAHA) ⁴⁶ were measured in this study by
175	a UV/Vis spectrometer (UV-3100, Shimadzu). The samples were prepared at 50 mg/L HS
176	solutions in 0.01 M NaHCO ₃ buffer. ⁴⁷ The size distributions of HHA and HFA were
177	determined by flow-field flow fractionation (FI-FFF) with 1 kDa polyethersulfone membrane
178	(AF2000, Postnova), according to Lukman et al. ⁴⁸ The electron accepting capacities (EAC)
179	of the HHS and the standard HSs from the IHSS and JHSS were determined by the mediator
180	electrochemical reduction (MER) in a similar way to Aeschbacher et al. ⁴⁹ , using diquat
181	dibromide monohydrate (99.5%, Supelco) as a mediator. The details of the MER
182	measurement are given in the SI.
183	
184	2.3. Potentiometric titration
185	Potentiometric titration of HHA and HFA were performed, using the Wallingford titration
186	system. ⁵⁰ HHS solutions were prepared by dissolving the freeze-dried samples in alkaline
187	solutions around pH 9 and kept stirred overnight. ⁵¹ The pH and Cu ²⁺ activities were measured
188	by a glass electrode (Metrohm, 6.0150.100) and a Cu ISE (Methrom, 6.0502.140), combined
189	with a 3 M KCl Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Metrohm, 6.0733.100) in an electrolyte bridge
190	(0.1 M NaClO ₄ , Schott B511). All titrations were performed in a thermostated vessel under
191	slight over-pressure of moisturized Ar and continuous stirring. The glass electrode was

slight over-pressure of moisturized Ar and continuous stirring. The glass electrode was
calibrated by titrating HClO₄ solutions with 0.1 M NaOH. The Cu ISE was calibrated by

193 titrating 0.36 mM $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ solution with 0.02 M ethylenediamine solution (Aldrich). The 194 electrode calibrations were performed at the same salt levels as those in the subsequent

⁸ 195 sample titrations.

 Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

nvironmental Science: Processes

Proton binding isotherms of the HHSs were obtained by acid-base titration, as described elsewhere.⁴⁶ Thirty milliliter of a 1 g/L HHA or HFA solution was first titrated to pH 4 and stirred for 1 hour; then three-sets of forward and backward titrations were performed. The salt concentration of the solution was increased by adding a 1 M NaClO₄ solution (Merck) between the different sets of the titration. At every point of the titration the reading of the glass electrode was recorded when the drift became less than 0.1 mV/min or after 30 min. The relative positions of the charge (-q)-pH curves of the HHSs at the different salt levels were determined from the amounts of base and acid titrants necessary to back-titrate H⁺ released in pH-stat salt titration. The absolute position of the curves was then determined by optimizing the initial negative charge, q_0 , at the beginning of the titration in the NICA-Donnan fitting¹¹, as described in the supporting information (SI). The results of the forward base titration were used in the subsequent fitting, as the hysteresis between the forward and backward titrations at a given salt level was small. The uncertainty in the determination of the HHS charge, q, was estimated to be less than 0.1 meq/g, using a typical standard deviation of the glass electrode calibration (0.05 pH unit). Copper binding isotherms to the HHSs were measured by pH-stat titration at three pH

levels (pH 4, 6, and 8) and 0.1 M NaClO₄.³⁸ At pH 4 the additional titration at 0.01 M NaClO₄ was performed. The Cu²⁺ titration to HFA at pH 8 failed most likely because of poor pH buffering (see the discussion below). Thirty milliliter of a 1 g/L HHA or HFA solution was first titrated to pH 4 and stirred for 1 hour, and then to desired pH and equilibrated within 0.2 mV (0.003 pH unit) for 30 min. After equilibration a 0.1 M Cu(ClO₄)₂ solution or 10⁻³ M $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ solution in 0.1 M NaClO₄ was added. The pH of the sample solution was back-titrated to the original value and kept within 0.2 mV for 20 min by addition of the acid and base titrants. Readings of the electrodes were recorded after their drifts became less than 0.1 mV/min or after 20 min. At each titration point the solution was checked for the formation of

 $Cu(OH)_2(s)$ (log $K_{sp} = -19.32^{52}$) and the Cu²⁺ binding amount ([Cu²⁺]_{bound}) was calculated by subtracting the sum of the concentrations of free Cu²⁺ and its hydrolysis products from the total concentration, using the hydrolysis constants of Cu^{2+, 52} The magnitude of the uncertainty in log[Cu²⁺]_{bound} was estimated to be no more than 0.2, using a typical error of the Cu ISE calibration (0.06 as the logarithm of Cu^{2+} activity, $loga_{Cu}$). The obtained H⁺ and Cu²⁺ binding isotherms to HHA and HFA were fit to the NICA-Donnan model,^{9,11} using an in-house MATLAB® program. The details of the model as well as the fitting procedure are given in the SI. First, the maximum density of H⁺ binding sites,

 $Q_{\max j, H}$ of the site j (j = 1 and 2 for the low-affinity and high-affinity sites, respectively), the

median values of the affinity constants of the site j for H⁺, $\tilde{K}_{j,H}$, the apparent heterogeneity

parameters of the site j, m_i , the Donnan parameter, b, and q_0 were optimized, using the

232 charge/pH curves. Then, the median values of the affinity constants of the site *j* for Cu^{2+} ,

 $\tilde{K}_{j,Cu}$, the ion-specific non-ideality parameters of the site *j* for H⁺ and Cu²⁺, $n_{j,H}$, $n_{j,Cu}$, and the heterogeneity parameters of the site *j*, p_j , which correspond to the reciprocal of the width of the affinity distribution, were optimized by fitting to the Cu²⁺ binding isotherms, while $n_{j,H}$ $\times p_j$. was kept equal to m_j . ¹¹ The lower and upper boundaries were set to 0 and 1 for the m_j , n_j , and p_j parameters.

45 238

239 2.4. XAS analysis

Copper K-edge XANES and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) analyses of
Cu²⁺ reacted with HHA and PAHA were carried out at the BL-27B in the Photon Factory,

KEK. The HA samples were dissolved in alkaline solutions at 4.0 g/L and stirred overnight under Ar atmosphere. After adjusting pH to 4 or 7 with 0.1 or 0.01 M HCl and NaOH, a 10 mM $CuCl_2$ solution was added to achieve the Cu^{2+} loading of 80 mmol Cu/Kg HA, and pH was re-adjusted to the original values. These values correspond to the Cu^{2+} binding amounts

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

nvironmental Science: Processes

of 13.3 (log $[Cu^{2+}]_{bound} = -1.88$) and 310 (log $[Cu^{2+}]_{bound} = -0.51$) mmol/Kg, respectively, according to the NICA-Donnan model calculation with the optimized parameters for HHA. After equilibration for two days, the samples were freeze-dried, mixed with boron nitride (BN), and pressed into pellets, which were covered by a Kapton® tape. Reference solid compounds (Cu^{II}O, Cu^{II}Cl₂, Cu^ICl, and Cu^ISCN) were dispersed in BN and pressed into pellets. In addition a 0.02 M Cu²⁺ solution with 0.04 M L(+)-tartrate at pH 7 were also measured as reference.

Copper K-edge X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) were measured in fluorescence mode at
148 K using a liquid N₂ cryostat equipped with Kapton® windows (CoolSpek, UNISOKU)
for the HA samples and in transmission mode at room temperature for the reference materials.
A Si(111) double crystal monochromator was detuned by about 50% to reject higher
harmonic intensity. Reduction and theoretical fitting of the obtained XAS data was performed
by the Athena and Artemis software packages⁵³ and FEFF 6.⁵⁴ The details of the data

259 reduction and fitting are given in the SI.

- - **3. Results and discussion**
 - 262 3.1. Physicochemical properties of the HHSs

The elemental compositions and ¹³C NMR distributions of HHA and HFA are given in Table 1 and the more detailed physicochemical properties of HHA and HFA are summarized in Table S1 in the SI together with those of the IHSS and JHSS HSs and PAHA. These standard or reference HSs are isolated from various surface environments, ranging from soils (EHA from the Elliot soil, IHA and IFA from the Inogashira volcanic ash soil, DHA and DFA from the Dando forest soil), peats (PHA from the Pahokee peat), oxidation products of lignite (Leonardite HA, LHA), rivers (SRHA and SRFA from the Suwannee River), and lakes (NHA and NFA from the Nordic lake and BFA from the Biwako lake). The elemental compositions

of the HHSs are characterized by their low oxygen and ash contents and high hydrogen and sulfur contents, compared to the HAs and FAs from surface environments. The relatively small O/C and large H/C ratios of the HHSs can be manifested in the van Krevelen plot (Fig. 1).⁵⁵ In Fig. S1 of the SI a similar plot with broader data in literatures is also shown. The locations of the standard and commercial surface HAs and FAs depend on their types and origins (Fig. 1). The former is characterized by relatively high O/C ratios; the latter exhibits a wide range of H/C ratios with constant and relatively small O/C ratios. The aquatic HA and FA are close to each other except for BFA. Groundwater HSs tend to have small O/C ratios, as is shown in Fig. S1. Some of groundwater HA as well as marine HS show relatively large H/C ratios. Compared with HSs from various environments, HHA and HFA are different in terms of the O/C and H/C ratios as is shown in Figs. 1. The large H/C values of the HHSs indicate the abundance of saturated carbons, which is in line with their small aromaticities estimated from ¹³C NMR C distribution (Table 1 and Table S1 in the SI). Highly aliphatic nature of organic matters in deep underground environments has been pointed out by several researchers. 26,29,31 Pettersson et al. 26 reported an even higher H/C value (H/C = 1.7) for HA extracted from the granitic groundwater at -280 m bgl. Claret et al.³¹ also reported the highly aliphatic nature of FAs extracted from argillite of Meuse and Opalinus shale and discussed their origin as oceanic sediments at high burial temperature.

The densities of oxygen-containing carboxylic and phenolic functional groups of the HHSs determined by conventional end-point acid-base titration in Table S1 are comparable to those of the surface HSs. This means that the oxygen depletion indicated by the small O/C ratios of the HHSs occurs in functional groups other than carboxylic and phenolic-type groups, as described by Thurman.⁵⁶ The UV/Vis optical properties of the HHSs are characterized by relatively small A_{250/210} and A_{350/280} ratios (Table S1). This points to the & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

nvironmental Science: Processes

presence of small conjugated systems in the HHSs⁴⁸ and again in accordance with their low aromaticities.

The size distributions of the HHSs measured by FI-FFF are compared to those of the IHSS and JHSS standard HSs and PAHA in Fig. 2. For the surface HSs the sizes of the HAs are larger than those of the FAs. The size distributions of the HAs are largely overlapped, although their shapes are somewhat different from each other; EHA, IHA, and LHA possess multiple peaks. The size distributions of the FAs are all mono-modal, and the peak locations are different, depending on their sources. It seems that the JHSS FAs (BFA, DFA, and IFA) are somewhat smaller than the IHSS FAs (SRFA and NFA). The size distributions of HHA and HFA are mono-modal with the modal sizes of 0.6 and 0.3 nm, respectively, which are appreciably smaller than those of the surface HSs. Relatively small sizes of deep underground organic matters have reported in the literatures.^{57, 58} Bouby et al.⁵⁸ reported that organic matters in the Gorleben groundwater had a modal size of 1 nm. Saito et al.⁵⁷ compared the size distribution of organic matters in granitic and sedimentary groundwater by FI-FFF. The sedimentary groundwater was taken from a borehole at the same depth in the Horonobe URL as in this study and exhibited a mono-modal size distribution with a peak around 2 nm. This may indicate that HA and FA fractions may account for only a part of dissolved organic matters in this groundwater.

The EAC of a HS corresponds to the number of electrons transferred to the HS from the mediator, normalized by the mass of the HS, and represent its redox capacity. For the HHSs EAC values are relatively small, compared with those of the IHSS and JHSS HSs (Fig. S2 in the SI). As in Aeschbacher et al.⁴⁹, we found linear relationship between the EAC and the aromaticities of the HSs investigated (Fig. S2). This is because the concentration of quinone moieties, that are predominantly responsible for redox reactions in HSs, tends to be

proportional to the amount of aromatic carbon.⁴² Thus, the HHSs with low aromaticities have
small redox capacities, compared with the surface HSs.

In summary the HHSs can be viewed as relatively small organic matters with abundant aliphatic carbons and sulfurs. The densities of acidic functional groups are comparable to those of the surface HSs. The differences between HHA and HFA are small. The cluster analysis (Fig. S3 in the SI) performed for the physicochemical properties compiled in Table S1 clearly indicates that they are different from the IHSS and JHSS HSs. BFA is an exception, being clustered into the same group as the HHSs. This may indicate the presence of similar formation processes among them.

329 3.2. H^+ and Cu^{2+} binding isotherms to the HHSs

The charge/pH curves of HHA and HFA at the different salt levels are presented in Fig. 3. The negative charge (-q) of the HHSs increases with pH and salt concentration, as is usually seen for surface HSs.¹⁷ The maximum negative charge of HFA is larger than that of HHA, which predominantly arises from larger deprotonation at acidic pH(pH < 6). This further suggests that HFA possesses more acidic functional groups, mostly carboxylic groups, than HHA. In Fig. 3 the charge/pH curves of the HHSs are compared to those calculated by the NICA-Donnan model with the generic parameters derived for surface HSs (Table 2). At acidic pH the slopes of the curves are larger for the HHSs. At neutral and alkaline pH this trend is reversed, although the differences are small. The slope of a charge/pH curve of a HS reflects the width of the corresponding affinity distribution of its functional groups. A smaller slope means a wider distribution and larger chemical heterogeneity. ⁹ Note that at 6 < pH < 9the negative charges of the HHSs hardly change, indicating that the number of the acidic functional groups having corresponding pK_a is small. Thus, these comparisons imply that the negative charges of the HHSs largely originate from H⁺ dissociation from chemically

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

Environmental Science: Processes

homogeneous low-affinity sites, which should mainly consist of carboxylic groups located on
the aliphatic chains of the HHSs, considering their elemental compositions and ¹³C-NMR
carbon distributions (Table 1). The salt dependence of the charge/pH curves is also different
between the HHSs and the model calculation. Relatively large salt effects are observed at
neutral to alkaline pH for the HHSs, but at acidic pH in the model calculation with the
generic parameters.

The Cu²⁺ binding isotherms to HHA and HFA are presented in Fig. 4. The isotherms are similar between them. The binding amounts of Cu²⁺ to the HHSs increases with the Cu²⁺ concentration and pH, as is expected for metal binding to surface HSs.^{18, 38} The observed pH dependency of Cu²⁺ binding is the result of diminished H⁺ competition to the functional groups of the HHSs with an increase of pH. The Cu²⁺ binding amounts also slightly decrease with an increase of salt concentration due to screening of the negative electrostatic potential of the HHSs. In Figs. 4 the Cu²⁺ binding isotherms calculated by the NICA-Donnan model with the generic parameters given in Table 2 are presented for comparison. The binding amounts of Cu²⁺ to the HHSs are smaller than those of the model calculations regardless of pH and salt concentration. Weak binding of europium is also reported for HA and FA extracted from groundwater collected at - 495-550 m bgl through a surface borehole in the Horonobe URL.³³ At pH 4 the slopes of the isotherms to the HHSs are close to 1 in the log-log plot, which are larger than those of the model calculations with the generic parameters at the same pH. Interestingly, the differences in the slopes became smaller at higher pH, and at pH 8 for HHA it becomes similar to the slope of the calculated Cu^{2+} isotherms. The slope of a metal-binding isotherm of HS in log-log plot is determined by the combination of the chemical heterogeneity of sites and the ion-specific non-ideality such as stoichiometry of the binding.⁹ The slope close to 1 in the isotherms of the HHSs at pH 4 together with the relatively large slopes of their charge-pH curves at acidic pH (Fig. 3) indicate Cu²⁺ binding to

relatively homogeneous sites via mono-dentate coordination. At higher pH it seems that the
binding mode tends to shift to coordination with greater denticity such as bi-dentate
coordination.

373 3.3. NICA-Donnan modeling

The results of the NICA-Donnan fitting to the H⁺ and Cu²⁺ isotherms to HHA and HFA are presented in Figs. 3 and 4 and the optimized parameters are given in Table 2 together with the Milne's generic parameters.¹⁷ The 95% confidence intervals and the correlation matrices of the optimized parameters are given in Tables S2, S3 and S4 in the SI. Note that some parameters associated with the high-affinity sites, namely $Q_{\text{max2, H}}$, $\log \tilde{K}_{2,\text{H}}$, and $\log \tilde{K}_{2,\text{Cu}}$ suffered from large errors. This is because that these parameters were not well fitted to the model due to the limited experimental conditions for H⁺ and Cu²⁺ binding to the HHSs in alkaline pH (pH \leq 10 for the charge-pH curves and pH \leq 8 or 6 for the Cu²⁺ isotherms). In order to unequivocally determine these parameters, potentiometric titration in non-aqueous media would be necessary.

For H⁺ binding the model successfully reproduces the charge-pH curves especially at pH < 6. At neutral to alkaline pH the model somewhat underestimated the magnitude of the salt effect. The electrostatic part of the NICA-Donnan model (eps (S4) and (S5) in the SI) assumes a relatively simple functional form for the so-called Donnan volume, which depends only on the salt concentration.¹⁴ Although the Donnan model is relatively simple with only one adjustable parameter and advantageous over other more sophisticated but complex models, its potential flaw for small FAs has been recognized.⁵⁹ Considering the sizes of HHA and HFA, which are smaller than the Debye length of the solutions (1 and 3 nm for 0.1 and 0.01 M NaClO_{4} , electrostatic potential calculation by the rigid-sphere or ion-permeable sphere model would be more realistic.¹⁴ The discrepancy observed at pH > 6 may also

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

nvironmental Science: Processes

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

nvironmental Science: Processes

indicate the presence of a pH-dependent conformational change in the HHSs. Such conformational changes are common for linear aliphatic polyelectrolytes such as polymethacrylic acid.⁶⁰ For HHA Cu²⁺ binding is well reproduced by the model over a wide range of conditions, using the single set of the parameters. For HFA the model overestimated the salt effect and failed describing the Cu²⁺ binding at 0.01 M NaClO₄ and pH 4. It is likely that the model mishandles the electrostatic potential of HFA.

The optimized NICA-Donnan parameters for HHA and HFA are more or less similar to each other except for $Q_{\text{max1, H}}$, which is larger for HFA. The maximum densities of H⁺ binding sites are similar between the high (i = 1) and low (i = 2) affinity sites in HHA. For HFA the density of the latter group was smaller by 1.5 meq/g. The obtained parameters can be compared to those of surface HSs with various origins and the generic parameters in Table 2.¹⁸ The values of $Q_{\text{max1, H}}$ of the HHSs are in the ranges reported for the surface HSs, while those of $Q_{max^2,H}$ are larger.¹⁷ The median affinity constants of H⁺ of the HHS are larger than those of most of the surface HSs and the Milne's generic parameters. The heterogeneity parameter, p_i , and ion-specific non-ideality parameter, $n_{i,H}$ are also relatively large for the HHSs. This is especially the case for the low affinity carboxylic-type sites, reflecting the large slopes of their charge/pH curves (Fig. 3) at pH < 6. The Donnan parameters, b, are also larger for the HHSs. Considering the large aliphaticity of the HHSs as discussed in the physicochemical characterization, the log $\tilde{K}_{2,H}$ values of HHA (10.62) and HFA (10.48), which are larger than the corresponding values of the generic HA (8.60) and FA (8.00), may indicate larger contribution of alcoholic hydroxyl groups to the sites of the HHSs than those of surface HSs, although the presence of phenolic groups with large pK_a can not be entirely neglected as the HHSs still contain a certain amount of aromatic carbons (Table 1). This can also explain weak H⁺ buffering by the HHSs at neutral to alkaline pH (Fig. 3).

Page 19 of 39

418	The NICA-Donnan parameters of Cu ²⁺ binding to HHSs are rather different from those
419	of the generic parameters derived by Milne et al. (Table 1). ¹⁸ For the low-affinity sites
420	$\log \tilde{K}_{1,Cu}$ is larger for HHA and smaller for HFA than the corresponding generic parameters;
421	whereas $n_{1,H}$ for both HHA and HFA are 1 and larger than the corresponding generic
422	parameters (0.56 for GHA and 0.53 for GFA). For the high-affinity sites $\log \tilde{K}_{2,Cu}$ of HHA
423	and HFA are larger than those of the generic parameters, and $n_{2,H}$ are smaller. Thus, the Cu ²⁺
424	binding to the HHSs are characterized by $n_{1,Cu} = 1$ for the low-affinity sites and large
425	$\log \tilde{K}_{2,Cu}$ and small $n_{2,Cu}$ values for the high-affinity sites. A ratio of the parameter $n_{j,i}$ of a
426	metal ion and proton is a good indicator of underlying denticity of complexation reaction
427	$(n_{i,j}/n_{j,H})$ close to 1 for mono dentate binding and 0.5 for bi-dentate binding). Thus, the
428	optimized NICA-Donnan parameters for the HHSs suggest the mono-dentate nature of Cu^{2+}
429	binding to the chemically homogeneous low-affinity sites at acidic pH. Considering the
430	comparable or larger densities of the sites, $Q_{\text{max1, H}}$, of the HHS to/than those of surface HSs,
431	this is most likely caused by sparsely distributing carboxylic groups on aliphatic backbones
432	of the HHSs, which are hard to form bi-dentate coordination with Cu ²⁺ . This can also explain
433	the weak Cu ²⁺ binding to the HHSs (Fig. 4). The relatively small $n_{2, Cu}/n_{2, H}$ values for the
434	HHSs together with the relatively small p_2 values indicate that the more heterogeneous
435	phenolic/alcoholic-type groups involve in the binding of Cu ²⁺ at neutral to alkaline pH via
436	multi-dentate coordination. It is of interest to compare the NICA-Donnan parameters for the
437	HHSs to those optimized for other groundwater HSs. Marang et al. ⁶¹ reported the NICA-
438	Donnan parameters for the binding of divalent and trivalent metal ions including Cu ²⁺ to HA
439	extracted from deep groundwater (-139 m bgl) of the Gorleben aquifer in Germany. The
440	NICA-Donnan parameters of Cu ²⁺ for this HA are more like those of GHA than those of
441	HHA, suggesting diversity of ion binding properties of groundwater HSs. Further research is
442	needed to relate it to origin and genesis of groundwater HSs.

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
a	
10	
11	
12	
12	
17	
14	
10	
10	
17	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
73 50	
50	
51	
52	
ວ ວ	
54	
55	
56	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

443	
444	3.4. Oxidation state and chemical environment of Cu^{2+} bound to HHA
445	The oxidation state of Cu and its coordination environment bound to HHA was assessed by
446	XAS. The XANES spectra of Cu^{2+} bound to HHA and PAHA are compared to those of the
447	reference compounds in Fig. 5. The Cu ^I reference materials exhibit characteristic pre-edge
448	features (8.985 keV for CuSCN and 8.988 keV for CuCl) originating from $1s \rightarrow 4p$
449	transitions. ⁶² The Cu ^{II} compounds, on the other hand, are characterized by intense white lines
450	around 8.997 to 9.000 keV due to $1s \rightarrow$ continuum transitions. ⁶² The XANES spectra of Cu ²⁺
451	bound to HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7 resemble those of Cu^{II} reference materials,
452	especially Cu-tartrate. This suggests that reduction of Cu ²⁺ bound to HHA and PAHA is
453	negligible, although the magnified plot of the edge regions reveals a slight increase at 8.985
454	keV and a decrease at 8.998 keV for HHA (Fig. S4 in SI). Fulda et al. ⁶² reported reduction of
455	Cu ²⁺ upon binding to a soil HA under anoxic condition by XAS. It has been also reported that
456	SRFA rapidly reduces Cu ²⁺ even under oxic conditions. ⁶³ Virtually no reduction of Cu ²⁺ by
457	HHA may agree with its low EAC obtained by MER (Fig. S2). ⁴⁹
458	The k^3 -weighted EXAFS spectra of Cu ²⁺ bound to HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7 (Fig. 6
459	(a)) exhibit systematic differences between the two HAs at 5.7, 6.5, and 7.4 \AA^{-1} . In Fig. S4 of
460	SI the same spectra are shown as an overlapped plot. The magnitudes of the corresponding
461	Fourier transforms (Fig. 6 (b) and Fig. S5 (b) in SI) are also different between them. For both
462	HAs the Fourier transformed magnitudes are dominated by the intense peaks around 1.5 Å,
463	which corresponds to the scattering from the nearest O (and, to lesser extent, N). For HHA
464	additional peaks are noticeable around 2 Å, although their magnitudes are small. These peaks
465	most likely originate from Cu-S path as suggested by others. ^{49,64} This assignment agrees with
466	the high S content of HHA (Tables 1). Quantitative modeling of the first coordination sphere
467	of Cu ²⁺ (Fig. 5 (b) and Table 3) shows that approximately four O exist at 1.93 Å for PAHA,

Page 21 of 39

468	which corresponds to the Jahn-Teller distorted coordination geometry around Cu ²⁺ . For HHA
469	at pH 4 the coordination number (CN) of O in the first shell is decreased and a small but non-
470	negligible number of S (CN = 0.4 ± 0.2) is found at 2.35 Å. At pH 7 the presence of S in the
471	second shell is inconclusive. Note that this does not necessarily mean bi-dentate coordination
472	of Cu ²⁺ with O/N and S in HHA. Considering the results of the NICA-Donnan fitting (Fig. 4
473	and Table 2), it seems more likely that the two independent mono-dentate sites exist in HHA,
474	as an EXAFS spectrum is one-dimensional representation of coexisting coordination
475	environments of a target element. The S containing functional group could be the thioacetic
476	group, R-COSH, which then should be a part of the low affinity sites in the NICA-Donnan
477	modeling, as the pK_a value of thioacetic acid (CH ₃ COSH, $pK_a = 3.33$) is close to that of acetic
478	acid (p $K_a = 4.76$) and smaller than that of methanethiol (CH ₃ SH, p $K_a = 10.33$). ⁶⁵ It is also
479	noteworthy to mention that the contribution of S to Cu^{2+} binding to HHA might be
480	underestimated, compared with that in the original groundwater, as the functional groups
481	containing reduced S may be oxidized during the extraction and storage. For more detailed
482	discussion S speciation in the HHSs should be determined by soft X-ray absorption
483	spectroscopy. ²³

4. Conclusion

486 The present study aims to reveal physicochemical and ion binding properties of the HHSs
487 isolated from deep groundwater in a sedimentary rock formation. It is found that the HHSs
488 are rather different from surface HSs, characterized by high aliphaticity and S contents and
489 relatively small sizes. Proton and Cu²⁺ binding was studied by potentiometric titration and fit
490 to the NICA-Donnan model for comparison to their binding to surface HSs. The results
491 clearly indicate distinctive binding behaviors of H⁺ and Cu²⁺ to the HHSs, likely caused by
492 unique chemical nature of their functional groups, compared with those of surface HSs.

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

& Impacts Accepted Manuscrip

ronmental Science: Processes

ш

493	These ions predominantly bind to chemically homogeneous carboxylic groups of the HHSs
494	and to a lesser extent S containing group by mono-dentate coordination at low pH, which can
495	explain the larger slopes of their isotherms and the smaller magnitude of Cu ²⁺ binding than
496	those of surface HSs. At neutral to alkaline pH H ⁺ dissociation from the HHSs is small, likely
497	because the majority of the high affinity sites consist of alcoholic OH groups with larger pK_a .
498	At the same pH range the slope of the Cu ²⁺ isotherm to HHA becomes smaller and is close to
499	the model calculations with the generic parameters, suggesting that binding mode could
500	change to bi-dentate coordination with both carboxylic and alcoholic/phenolic groups. The
501	XAS analyses further indicate that Cu^{2+} binds to HHA largely as Cu^{II} via O/N containing
502	functional groups and to a lesser extent S containing functional groups. The generality of the
503	results obtained in this study should be examined in the future by performing similar
504	investigation for HSs isolated from various groundwater and the generic parameter sets
505	applicable for groundwater HSs should be developed. Effects of co-existing metal ions,
506	which are present in deep underground water at relatively high concentration, on ion binding
507	to the HHSs are of great interest as they can alter electrostatic properties and secondary
508	structures of the HHSs.
509	

510 Acknowledgements

The authors (T.S.) would like to thank Mr. Lukman Steven for his assistance in the
potentiometric titration and Dr. Masayuki Watanabe for the UV/Vis measurements. This
research was partly supported by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI),
Japan, and "Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B)" (Grant No. 25820446), the Japan Society
for the Promotion of Science. This work was carried out under the approval of the KEK-PF
(2012G723 and 2012G527).

1 2					
- 3 4	518	References			
5 6	519	1.	G. R. Aiken, D. M. McKnight, R. L. Wershaw and P. MacCarthy, Humic Substances in		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	520		Soil, Sediment and Water, Wiley, New York, 1985.		
	521	2.	C. Baduel, M. E. Monge, D. Voisin, J. L. Jaffrezo, C. George, I. El Haddad, N.		
	522		Marchand and B. D'Anna, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2011, 45, 5238-5244.		
15 16	523	3.	F. J. Stevenson, in Humic Substances in Soil, Sediments and Water, eds. G. R. Aiken, D.		
17 18	524		M. Mcknight, R. L. Wershaw and P. MacCarthy, John Wily & Sons, New York, 1985,		
19 20 21	525		pp. 13-52.		
22 23	526	4.	A. M. Jones, R. N. Collins, J. Rose and T. D. Waite, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2009,		
24 25 26	527		73, 4409-4422.		
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	528	5.	J. Jiang and A. Kappler, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2008, 42, 3563-3569.		
	529	6.	G. Bronner and K. U. Goss, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 2011, 45 , 1307-1312.		
	530	7.	J. Buffle, Complexation Reactions in Aquatic Systems: An Analytical Approach, Ellis		
	531		Horwood, Chichester, 1985.		
36 37 28	532	8.	E. Tipping, Cation binding by humic substances, Cambridge University Press,		
39 40	533		Cambridge, 2002.		
41 42	534	9.	L. K. Koopal, T. Saito, J. P. Pinheiro and W. H. van Riemsdijk, Colloids Surf. A, 2005,		
43 44 45	535		265 , 40-54.		
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	536	10.	J. F. McCarthy and J. M. Zachara, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1989, 23, 496-502.		
	537	11.	D. G. Kinniburgh, W. H. van Riemsdijk, L. K. Koopal, M. Borkovec, M. F. Benedetti		
	538		and M. J. Avena, <i>Colloids Surf. A</i> , 1999, 151 , 147-166.		
53 54	539	12.	E. Tipping, Aquat. Geochem., 1998, 4, 3-48.		
55 56 57	540	13.	J. A. Marinsky and J. H. Ephraim, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1986, 20, 349-354.		
57 58 59	541	14.	T. Saito, S. Nagasaki, S. Tanaka and L. K. Koopal, Colloids Surf. A, 2005, 265, 104-113.		
60	542	15.	G. S. Manning, J. Phys. Chem., 1969, 51, 924-934.		

2			
3 4	543	16.	E. Tipping, S. Lofts and J. E. Sonke, Environ. Chem., 2011, 8, 225-235.
5 6 7	544	17.	C. J. Milne, D. G. Kinniburgh and E. Tipping, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2001, 35, 2049-
7 8 9	545		2059.
10 11	546	18.	C. J. Milne, D. G. Kinniburgh, W. H. van Riemsdijk and E. Tipping, Environ. Sci.
12 13	547		Technol., 2003, 37 , 958-971.
14 15 16	548	19.	I. A. M. Ahmed, J. H. Taylor, M. Bieroza, H. Zhang and W. Davison, Water Res., 2014,
17 18	549		67 , 276-291.
19 20 21	550	20.	J. Xiong, L. K. Koopal, W. F. Tan, L. C. Fang, M. X. Wang, W. Zhao, F. Liu, J. Zhang
22 23	551		and L. P. Weng, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 11634-11642.
24 25	552	21.	K. Kovács, A. Gáspár, C. Sajgó, P. Schmitt-Kopplin and E. Tombácz, Geochem. J., 2012,
26 27 28	553		46 , 211-224.
20 29 30	554	22.	K. Longnecker and E. B. Kujawinski, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2011, 75, 2752-2761.
31 32 32	555	23.	T. Schäfer, G. Buckau, R. Artinger, J. I. Kim, S. Geyer, M. Wolf, W. F. Bleam, S.
34 35	556		Wirick and C. Jacobsen, Org. Geochem., 2005, 36, 567-582.
36 37	557	24.	R. Artinger, G. Buckau, S. Geyer, P. Fritz, M. Wolf and J. I. Kim, Appl. Geochem., 2000,
38 39 40	558		15 , 97-116.
41 42	559	25.	C. Gron, L. Wassenaar and M. Krog, Environ. Int., 1996, 22, 519-534.
43 44 45	560	26.	C. Pettersson, J. Ephraim and B. Allard, Org. Geochem., 1994, 21, 443-451.
45 46 47	561	27.	A. Courdouan, I. Christl, S. Meylan, P. Wersin and R. Kretzschmar, Appl. Geochem.,
48 49	562		2007, 22 , 1537-1548.
50 51 52 53 54	563	28.	A. Courdouan, I. Christl, S. Meylan, P. Wersin and R. Kretzschmar, Appl. Geochem.,
	564		2007, 22 , 2926-2939.
55 56	565	29.	L. Grasset, J. Brevet, T. Schafer, F. Claret, E. C. Gaucher, A. Albrecht and A. Ambles,
57 58 59 60	566		Org. Geochem., 2010, 41 , 221-233.

3 4	A. Courdouan, I. Christl, T. Rabung, P. Wersin and R. Kretzschmar, Environ. Sci.		
5 6 7	568		<i>Technol.</i> , 2008, 42 , 5985-5991.
8 9	569	31.	F. Claret, T. Schafer, T. Rabung, M. Wolf, A. Bauer and G. Buckau, Appl. Geochem.,
10 11	570		2005, 20 , 1158-1168.
12 13 14	571	32.	J. J. Alberts, Z. Filip and N. Hertkorn, J. Contam. Hydrol., 1992, 11, 317-330.
15 16	572	33.	M. Terashima, S. Nagao, T. Iwatsuki, N. Fujitake, Y. Seida, K. Iijima and H. Yoshikawa,
17 18	573		J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 2012, 49 , 804-815.
20 21	574	34.	T. Iwatsuki, R. Furue, H. Mie, S. Ioka and T. Mizuno, Appl. Geochem., 2005, 20, 2283-
22 23	575		2302.
24 25 26	576	35.	K. Hama, T. Kunimaru, R. Metcalfe and J. Martin, Phys. Chem. Earth, 2007, 32, 170-
27 28	577		180.
29 30 31 32 33	578	36.	S. Kurosawa, S. C. James, M. Yui and M. Ibaraki, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2006, 298,
	579		467-475.
34 35	580	37.	Y. Tachi, K. Yotsuji, Y. Seida and M. Yui, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2011, 75, 6742-
36 37 38	581		6759.
39 40	582	38.	T. Saito, S. Nagasaki, S. Tanaka and L. K. Koopal, Radiochim. Acta, 2004, 92, 567-574.
41 42	583	39.	J. W. J. van Schaik, D. B. Kleja and J. P. Gustafsson, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2010,
43 44 45	584		74 , 1391-1406.
45 46 47	585	40.	L. M. Gaetke and C. K. Chow, <i>Toxicology</i> , 2003, 189 , 147-163.
48 49 50	586	41.	J. T. Rubino and K. J. Franz, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2012, 107, 129-143.
50 51 52	587	42.	H. Kurikami, R. Takeuchi and S. Yabuuchi, Phys. Chem. Earth, 2008, 33, S37-S44.
53 54	588	43.	R. S. Swift, in Methods of soil analysis. Part 3 - chemical methods, eds. D. L. Sparks, A.
55 56 57	589		L. Page, P. A. Helmke, R. H. Loeppert, P. Soltanpour, M. A. Tabatabai, C. Johnston and
58 59	590		M. E. Sumner, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, 1996, p. 1011.
60	591	44.	M. A. Wilson, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 1981, 32, 167-187.

45. N. Fujitake and M. Kawahigashi, Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 1999, 45, 359-366. 46. T. Saito, L. K. Koopal, W. H. van Riemsdijk, S. Nagasaki and S. Tanaka, Langmuir, 2004, **20**, 689-700. 47. F. J. Stevenson, Humus Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1982. 48. S. Lukman, T. Saito, N. Aoyagi, T. Kimura and S. Nagasaki, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2012, 88, 199-215. 49. M. Aeschbacher, M. Sander and R. P. Schwarzenbach, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 87-93. 50. D. G. Kinniburgh, C. J. Milne and P. Venema, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 1995, 59, 417-422. 51. C. J. Milne, D. G. Kinniburgh, J. C. M. de Wit, W. H. van Riemsdijk and L. K. Koopal, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 1995, 175, 448-460. 52. W. L. Lindsay, Chemical equilibria in soils, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1979. 53. B. Ravel and M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Rad., 2005, 12, 537-541. 54. S. I. Zabinsky, J. J. Rehr, A. Ankudinov, R. C. Albers and M. J. Eller, Phys. Rev. B, 1995, , 2995-3009. 55. J. A. Rice and P. MacCarthy, Org. Geochem., 1991, 17, 635-648. 56. E. M. Thurman, in *Humic Substances in Soil, Sediments and Water*, eds. G. R. Aiken, D. M. Mcknight, R. L. Wershaw and P. MacCarthy, John Wily & Sons, New York, 1985. 57. T. Saito, T. Hamamoto, T. MIzuno, T. Iwatsuki and S. Tanaka, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2015, 30, 1229-1236. 58. M. Bouby, N. Finck and H. Geckeis, *Mineral. Mag.*, 2012, 76, 2709-2721. 59. M. F. Benedetti, W. H. van Riemsdijk and L. K. Koopal, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1996, 30, 1805-1813. 60. R. D. Porasso, J. C. Benegas and M. A. G. T. van den Hoop, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1999, 103, 2361-2365.

617	61. L. Marang, P. E. Reiller, S. Eidner, M. U. Kumke and M. F. Benedetti, Environ. Sci.
618	Technol., 2008, 42 , 5094-5098.
619	62. B. Fulda, A. Voegelin, F. Maurer, I. Christl and R. Kretzschmar, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
620	2013, 47 , 10903-10911.
621	63. A. N. Pham, A. L. Rose and T. D. Waite, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2012, 116, 6590-6599.
622	64. A. Manceau and A. Matynia, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2010, 74, 2556-2580.
623	65. D. R. Lide, ed., CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton,
624	2005.
625	
	 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

1	
∠ 3	
4	
5 6	
7	
8 9	
10	
11	
13	
14	
16	
17	
10	
20	
21	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
29	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35	
36 37	
38	
39 40	
41	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
40	
48 40	
49 50	
51 52	
52 53	
54 55	
55 56	
57	
วช 59	
60	

626	List of the table captions
627	Table 1 Elemental compositions and ¹³ C NMR carbon distributions of HHA and HFA.
628	
629	Table 2 Optimized NICA-Donnan parameters for H ⁺ and Cu ²⁺ binding to HHA and HFA. For
630	comparison the generic parameters for HA and FA, denoted as GHA and GFA, are given. ¹⁸
631	
632	Table 3 EXAFS parameters optimized for the first coordination sphere of Cu^{2+} bound to
633	HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7.

634	List of the figure captions
635	Fig. 1 van Krevelen plot of the HHSs, the IHSS and JHSS reference and standard HSs, and
636	PAHA. The types of HS and origins are designated by different symbols and colors.
637	
638	Fig. 2 Fractograms of the HHSs, the IHSS and JHSS reference or standard HSs, and PAHA
639	by Fl-FFF, using 5 mM Tris buffer as effluent. Fractionated HS was measured by a UV/Vis
640	detector at 255 nm. The fractograms of HAs are shown in (a) and those of FAs in (b).
641	
642	Fig 3. Charge/pH curves (symbols) of HHA (a) and HFA (a) at the three salt concentrations.
643	The solid curves represent the results of fitting to the NICA-Donnan model for the HHSs and
644	the dotted curves correspond to the calculation of the NICA-Donnan model with the generic
645	parameters in Table 2. ¹⁸ The negative charges $(-q)$ are plotted in the ordinates.
646	
647	Fig. 4 Copper binding isotherms to HHA (a) at pH 4, 6, and 8 and to HFA (b) at pH 4 and 6,
648	measured in the presence of 0.1 M NaClO ₄ . For pH 4, the results at 0.01 M NaClO ₄ are also
649	presented. The solid and dashed curves correspond to the results of the NICA-Donnan fitting
650	at 0.1 and 0.01 M NaClO ₄ , respectively. The dotted and chained curves correspond to the
651	calculation of the NICA-Donnan model with the generic parameters in Table 2 at 0.1 and
652	0.01 M salt concentrations. ¹⁸
653	
654	Fig. 5 XANES spectra of Cu^{2+} bound to HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7 together with those
655	of the Cu ^{II} and Cu ^I reference materials
656	
657	Fig. 6 k^3 -weighted Cu K-edge EXAFS spectra (a) and the corresponding Fourier transform
658	magnitude (b) of Cu^{2+} bound to HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7. The Cu^{2+} loading was 80

1
2
3
1
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
1/
10
19
20
21
22
23
20
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
34
35
26
30
31
38
39
40
41
42
12
40
44
45
46
47
48
<u>10</u>
73
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
50
5/
58
59

662

660 the Fourier transform magnitude plots to the results of theoretical fitting of the first

661 coordination sphere of Cu^{2+} (see the text for the details).

1	
2	
3	
4	
т 5	
0	
0	
1	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
IŎ 40	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
21	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
26	
30	
31	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
15	
40	
40 47	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
51	
54	
50	
56	
57	
58	
59	

663 **Table 1** Elemental compositions and ¹³C NMR carbon distributions of HHA and HFA.

C H N O S Ash C ₁ C _{II} C _{II} C _{IV} HA 62.29 6.40 3.36 25.44 2.51 N.D.* 3.0 12.7 26.4 17.9 4 HA 60.23 6.84 2.06 29.00 1.87 N.D.* 4.2 13.9 21.4 15.5 4 Ash free basis. .		Elemental composition (%) ^a						¹³ C NMR (%) ^b				
HHA 62.29 6.40 3.36 25.44 2.51 N.D. ° 3.0 12.7 26.4 17.9 4 HFA 60.23 6.84 2.06 29.00 1.87 N.D. ° 4.2 13.9 21.4 15.5 4 Ash free basis. C1: carbonyl C (190 - 220 ppm), Cn: carboxyl C (165 - 190 ppm), Cn: aromatic C (110 65 ppm), Cn: atomatic C (5 - 48 ppm). Not detected.		С	Н	Ν	0	S	Ash	C_{I}	C _{II}	C _{III}	C _{IV}	C
HFA 60.23 6.84 2.06 29.00 1.87 N.D. ° 4.2 13.9 21.4 15.5 4 Ash free basis. .	HHA	62.29	6.40	3.36	25.44	2.51	N.D. ^c	3.0	12.7	26.4	17.9	40
. Ash free basis. . C ₁ : carbonyl C (190 - 220 ppm), C ₁₁ : carboxyl C (165 - 190 ppm), C ₁₀ : aromatic C (116 65 ppm), C _{1v} : Methoxyl and carbohydrate C (48-110 ppm), C _v : aliphatic C (5 - 48 ppr . Not detected.	HFA	60.23	6.84	2.06	29.00	1.87	N.D. °	4.2	13.9	21.4	15.5	45
. C ₁ : carbonyl C (190 - 220 ppm), C _n : carboxyl C (165 - 190 ppm), C _n : aromatic C (110 65 ppm), C _{1V} : Methoxyl and carbohydrate C (48-110 ppm), C _V : aliphatic C (5 - 48 ppr . Not detected.	a. Ash	free bas	is.									
65 ppm), C _{IV} : Methoxyl and carbohydrate C (48-110 ppm), C _V : aliphatic C (5 - 48 ppr . Not detected.	$b. C_I:$	carbony	1 C (190) - 220 p	opm), C _{II}	: carbo	xyl C (165	5 - 190	ppm), C	C _{III} : arom	atic C (2	110 -
. Not detected.	165 pj	pm), C _{IV}	: Metho	xyl and	carbohy	drate C	C (48-110)	ppm), (C _v : alip	hatic C ((5 - 48 p	pm)
	c. Not	detected	l.									

2
3
4
5
5
6
7
Q
0
9
10
11
11
12
13
11
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
21
51
32
33
24
34
35
36
00
27
37
37 38
37 38 <u>39</u>
37 38 39
37 38 39 40
37 38 39 40 41
37 38 39 40 41 42
 37 38 39 40 41 42 42 42
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 40
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 50 51
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 50 51
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 51 51
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 8 90 51 253
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 51 52 53 54
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 50 51 52 53 55 55 55 55
37 38 39 40 41 23 44 45 46 47 49 50 51 52 53 55 55
37 38 39 41 42 44 45 46 47 49 51 23 55 55 56
37 38 39 41 42 44 45 46 47 49 51 52 53 55 55 55 57
37 38 39 41 42 44 45 46 47 49 51 52 53 55 55 7
37 38 39 41 42 44 45 46 47 49 51 52 53 55 55 57 58

Optimized NICA-Donnan parameters for H⁺ and Cu²⁺ binding to HHA and HFA. 669 Table 2

670 For comparison the generic parameters for HA and FA, denoted as GHA and GFA, are given.18 671

	HHA	HFA	GHA	GFA
q_0 (eq/Kg)	-0.64	-0.53	-	-
b	0.81	0.87	0.49	0.57
$Q_{\text{max1, H}}$ (eq/Kg)	4.38	5.64	3.15	5.88
p_1	1 ª	1 ª	0.62	0.59
$Q_{\max 2, H}$ (eq/Kg)	4.44	4.09	2.55	1.86
p_2	0.36	0.27	0.41	0.70
$\log ilde{K}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,\mathrm{H}}$	3.74	3.63	2.93	2.34
<i>n</i> _{1, H}	0.82	1 ^a	0.81	0.66
$\log \tilde{K}_{2,\mathrm{H}}$	10.62	10.48	8.00	8.60
<i>n</i> _{2, H}	1 ^a	1 ^a	0.63	0.76
$\log ilde{K}_{ m 1,Cu}$	1.32	1.16	2.23	0.26
$n_{1,\mathrm{Cu}}$	1 ^a	1 ^a	0.56	0.53
$\log ilde{K}_{2, ext{Cu}}$	14.43	15.05	6.85	8.26
$n_{2,\mathrm{Cu}}$	0.28	0.29	0.34	0.36
R^2 (H ⁺) ^b	0.9970	0.9975	-	-
$R^2 (Cu^{2+})^{b}$	0.9951	0.9876	-	-

672

673 a. The values in italic are constrained in physically meaningful ranges of the corresponding

674 parameters (see the text of the SI for the detail).

b. The correlation coefficients of the fitting for H^+ and Cu^{2+} . 675

60

Table 3 EXAFS parameters optimized for the first coordination sphere of Cu²⁺ bound to HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7.

	First s	hell (Cu-O/	N)	Seco	ΔE		
-	R	CN ^a	σ^{2b}	R	CN	σ^{2b}	ΔL_0
	(Å)		(10^3 Å^2)	(Å)		(10^3 Å^2)) (eV)
HHA							
pH 4	1.95 ± 0.01	2.7 ± 0.6	3 ± 1	2.35 ± 0.04	0.4 ± 0.2	3 ± 1	1.53 ± 2.36
pH 7	1.95 ± 0.02	3.1 ± 0.9	4 ± 3	2.35 ± 0.16	0.1 ± 0.3	4 ± 3	1.52 ± 3.51
PAHA							
pH 4	1.94 ± 0.01	3.7 ± 0.8	5 ± 2	-	-	-	0.71 ± 2.80
pH 7	1.93 ± 0.01	3.6 ± 0.5	4 ± 1	-	-	-	1.19 ± 2.07

a. Coordination number.

b. Debye-Waller factor. The Debye-Waller factor of the Cu-S shell was set to be equal to that of the Cu-O/H shell.

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript





Fig. 2 Fractograms of the HHSs, the IHSS and JHSS reference and standard HSs, and
PAHA by Fl-FFF, using 5 mM Tris buffer as effluent. Fractionated HS was measured by a
UV/Vis detector at 255 nm. The fractograms of HAs are shown in (a) and those of FAs in (b).

ronmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

Envi



The solid curves represent the results of fitting to the NICA-Donnan model for the HHSs and the dotted curves correspond to the calculation of the NICA-Donnan model with the generic parameters in Table 2.¹⁸ The negative charges (-q) are plotted in the ordinates.

7



Fig. 4 Copper binding isotherms to HHA (a) at pH 4, 6, and 8 and to HFA (b) at pH 4 and 6, measured in the presence of 0.1 M NaClO₄. For pH 4, the results at 0.01 M NaClO₄ are also presented. The solid and dashed curves correspond to the results of the NICA-Donnan fitting at 0.1 and 0.01 M NaClO₄, respectively. The dotted and chained curves correspond to the calculation of the NICA-Donnan model with the generic parameters in Table 2 at 0.1 and 0.01 M salt concentrations.¹⁸

 & Impacts Accepted Manuscrip

ronmental Science: Processes

Env

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript





Fig. 5 XANES spectra of Cu²⁺ bound to HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7 together with those
of the Cu^{II} and Cu^I reference materials



Fig. 6 k^3 -weighted Cu K-edge EXAFS spectra (a) and the corresponding Fourier transform magnitude (b) of Cu²⁺ bound to HHA and PAHA at pH 4 and 7. The Cu²⁺ loading was 80 mmol Cu/Kg HA. Solid curves correspond to the experimental results and dashed curves in the Fourier transform magnitude plots to the results of theoretical fitting of the first coordination sphere of Cu²⁺ (see the text for the details).

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript