Polymer Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/polymers

ARTICLE

Direct functionalization of Kevlar[®] with copolymers

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

containing sulfonyl nitrenes†

Jeremy Yatvin^a, Shalli Sherman^b, Shaun Filocamo^b, and Jason Locklin^a*

Generating innovative methods to functionalize fibers and interfaces are important strategies for developing coatings that impart new or improved properties to a given material. In this work, we present a method for functionalizing highly inert poly(p-phenylene terepthalamide) (Kevlar[®]) fibers via thermal generation of an electrophilic nitrene, while preserving the mechanical properties of the aramid. Because of the high affinity of the sulforyl nitrene singlet state for aromatic rings, the use of a sulfonyl azide-based copolymer allows the covalent grafting of a wide variety of common commercial polymers to Kevlar. Also, by using reactive ester copolymers, an avenue for the attachment of more exotic or delicate functionalities like small molecules, dyes, and biomolecules through postpolymerization modification is described.

Introduction

Since the development of poly(*p*-phenylene terepthalamide) fibers by Stephanie Kwolek at DuPont in 1965,¹ Kevlar[®] and other polyaramids have found utility in hundreds of different applications due to their remarkable chemical and mechanical properties. Pristine Kevlar fibers display high tensile strength,² thermal stability,³ and resistance to mechanical degradation.⁴ These superior properties are primarily derived from the highly conjugated and extensively hydrogen bonded nature of the aramid backbone. This same high degree of conjugation, along with the deactivated nature of the aryl backbone and lack of reactive functional groups, also makes Kevlar and other aramids difficult to process and derivatize using reactive coating methods, which are effective strategies used to impart new properties onto textiles such as anti-wrinkle technology, hydrophobic,⁶ or antimicrobial coatings.⁷

Current methods that have been used to functionalize Kevlar include epoxy resins,⁸ electrochemical deposition,⁹ impregnation,¹ isocyanates,¹¹ radiation, plasma, or chemical radical generation,¹² conventional solution-based electrophilic aromatic substitution.¹³ silanation,¹⁴ or hydrolysis with strong acids to generate reactive end groups.¹⁵ Each of these approaches has critical issues that limit their widespread utility. Most employ harsh chemistry that leads to degradation of the fibers or a functionalizing moiety, while others involve exotic strategies and unstable compounds. Among these approaches are techniques that do not generate covalent bonds between the coating and the aramid fibers, and therefore produce coatings that are subject to dewetting, delamination, and leaching. It is also important to note that several of these techniques depend on exposure to chloride ions, which are known to damage Kevlar.¹⁶

In order to circumvent these issues, we have developed a simple strategy that utilizes a *p*-styrene sulfonyl azide (SSAz) monomer¹⁷ to generate covalent graft points between a copolymer coating and Kevlar fabric. SSAzs can form covalent bonds via an excited nitrene,¹⁸ which is generated through the decomposition and subsequent elimination of nitrogen gas from the azide. The elimination occurs in the presence of UV light or heat.¹⁹ This reactive nitrene can perform several chemistries: C-H or O-H insertions, addition across double bonds to form aziridines, trigger homolytic cleavage of C-H bonds followed by recombination, or electrophilic addition to aromatic rings.²⁰ There are two mechanisms of nitrene C-H insertion: either a direct insertion from the singlet state into a double bond, or hydrogen atom extraction by a triplet state nitrene and subsequent radical-radical recombination between the radical center and the sulfonyl nitrogen radical, both of which lead to covalent bond formation.

SSAzs differ from other C-H grafting chemistries like diradicaloid benzophenone,^{7a, 21} or carbenes derived from diaryldiazonium salts,²² α -diazoesters,²³ or diazirines²⁴ in several important ways. First, polymers incorporating SSAz as a comonomer afford the unique combination of long-term stability under ambient conditions, easy synthetic accessibility, a choice of either photochemical or thermal activation, and the high affinity of the sulfonyl nitrene singlet state for aromatic rings. Perfluorophenylazide based grafting chemistry, which has been used extensively by the Yan group,²⁵ has a similar reactivity as SSAzs;

however the high fluorine content of perfluorophenylazides leads to incompatible wetting on different polymer interfaces, which prevents thin coatings with consistent thickness and uniformity. SSAzs on the other hand are generally more compatible with respect to wetting and do not suffer from this issue. The SSAz copolymers described in this work were all synthesized from low-cost, commercially available starting materials and are stable indefinitely under ambient conditions.



Scheme 1. Arrow pushing mechanism of the grafting of a styrene sulfonyl azide containing copolymer onto a Kevlar substrate.

The SSAz monomer starts to undergo thermal decomposition and nitrene generation at approximately 120 °C, with maximum nitrene generation kinetics occurring at 180 °C.¹⁷ The most important aspect of these materials for this work is that while sulfonyl azides facilely insert into *alkyl* C-H bonds, this functionality is even more reactive towards *aryl* groups,^{19b} which is critical in covalent grafting to substrates like Kevlar with high aryl content. One recent example that demonstrates the reactivity of nitrenes with a polyaramid substrate is work by McVerry *et al.* in which a perfluorophenyl azide-terminated polyethyleneglycol was grafted onto a polyaramid reverse-osmosis filter using photochemical activation.²⁶

The copolymerization of SSAz with other monomers allows for the grafting of a large variety of heat or UV stable polymeric functionalities to the surface of Kevlar via the mechanism in Scheme 1. Since Kevlar and other polyaramids are known to have high thermal stability but are susceptible to UV-catalyzed degradation,²⁷ we have used thermal grafting in this work. Thermal grafting also provides access to the entire geometry of the fibers, rather than just the immediate surface where UV light is limited by substrate transparency and penetration depth. To demonstrate the versatility of thermal grafting onto aramid substrates, we have synthesized several

different SSAz containing copolymers. Common monomers such as acrylonitrile and 4-vinyl benzyl chloride were copolymerized with SSAz to display the effectiveness of covalent grafting despite highly variable polymer wettability and solubility conditions. Poly-4-vinyl-N-benzyl-N-methylbutan-1-amine and polymethacrylic acid copolymers were also synthesized as suitable cationic and anionic base polymers for layer-by-layer (LBL) deposition on the surface of Kevlar. This was followed with precipitation of TiO2 in order to directly observe the coating via EDS (energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy), WDS (wavelength-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) and SEM (scanning electron microscopy). We also copolymerized SSAz with the pentafluorophenol acrylate (PFPA) monomer, an activated ester with superior utility for post-polymerization modification of surfaces.²⁸ The use of PFPA allows the attachment of more delicate or complex functionality that would not survive the initial polymerization or grafting procedures, or would be difficult to synthesize as a copolymer. Most of the previous work for functionalizing Kevlar surfaces focused on small molecule derivatization; however by using SSAz we can take advantage of the fact that polymeric materials are easier to process and have superior coating properties.

Materials and Procedure

Materials: All compounds were purchased from Alpha-Aesar, TCI, or Sigma-Aldrich and unless otherwise noted were used as received. Methylmethacrylate and 4-vinylbenzyl chloride were purified by flashing through basic alumina plugs. Acrylonitrile was purified by flashing though a neutral alumina plug. Methacrylic acid was purified by vacuum distillation. Pentafluorophenol acrylate was synthesized according to a previously published procedure.²⁹ 850 Denier Kevlar KM2 was obtained from Barrday, and was cleaned by sonicating in acetone for 30 minutes, sonicating in hexane for 30 minutes, stirring in 2% w/w sodium borate solution for 1 hr at 85 °C, and then stirring in water at 75 °C for 1 hr, followed by drying in a vacuum oven at room temperature.

Equipment: ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR of small molecules were taken on a Varian Mercury 300 MHz NMR. Polymer spectra were taken on a Varian Inova 500 MHz NMR. FTIR studies were done using a Thermo-Nicolet model 6700 spectrometer equipped with a variable angle grazing angle attenuated total reflection (GATR-ATR) accessory (Harrick Scientific) at 64 scans with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution. Electron dispersive spectroscopy and wavelength dispersive spectroscopy were taken on a JEOL JXA-8600 Electron Microprobe at 20 kV. Scanning electron microscopy was taken on a FEI Inspec F FEG SEM at 20 kV. Fluorescence microscopy pictures were taken using an 8 megapixel digital camera on a Zeiss AX10 Observer inverted microscope with an X-cite Series 120 fluorescent light source and Chroma Technology filter model 11000 FITC UV filter (350 nm excitation, >430 nm emission). Tensile testing was done on an Instron 5500R Series Model 4302 with reported values being the average of 15 pulled yarns from the warp direction of the Kevlar sample. The tensile testing procedure from ASTM D279/D7269M was used, with a 1 kN load cell, and 10 inch yarns with 2.8 twists/inch in the Z direction. A Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA851 was used to perform thermogravimetric analysis on samples at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Nitrogen at 50 mL/min was used as the carrier gas.

Styrene sulfonyl chloride: Synthesized using the procedure developed by Schuh *et al.*¹⁷ The crude product was passed through a silica plug in 1:1 ethyl acetate:hexane (78% yield) and stored in the freezer at -20 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.99 (td, *J* = 8.4

Journal Name

Hz, 2.4 Hz, 2H, *H*-C=C-SO₂) 7.60 (td, *J* =6.9 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 2H, *H*-C=C-CH₂) 6.78 (dd *J* = 17.7 Hz, 10.8 Hz, *H*-C=CH₂) 5.97 (d, *J* = 17.7 Hz, H_{cis} CH-C=CH₂) 5.54 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, H_{trans} CH-C=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ =144.4 (C_{ar}-SO₂N₃), 142.9 (C_{ar}-CH), 134.7 (CH=CH₂), 127.4 (2 × C_{ar}), 1 27.1 (2 × C_{ar}), 119.3 (-CH₂=CH).

Styrene sulfonyl azide (1): Synthesized according to Schuh *et al.* (74% yield).¹⁷ When handling the SSAz monomer, it is important to note that it is stable under cold (-20 °C) storage, but will form an insoluble solid if stored neat at room temperature after 24 hours in the presence of light. It should also not be subjected to temperatures above ~30 °C in a concentrated form, as this can also result in an insoluble mass. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.91 (d, 8.4 Hz, *H*-C=C-SO₂) 7.61 (d, 8.4 Hz, *H*-C=C-CH₂) 6.78 (dd, 17.1 Hz, 11.1 Hz, *H*-C=CH₂) 5.95 (d, 17.7 Hz, *H*_{cis}CH-C=CH₂) 5.52 (d, 10.8 Hz, *H*_{trans}CH-C=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 143.7 (C_{ar}SO2N3), 136.7 (C-CH_{ar}), 134.6 (CH=CH₂), 127.6 (2 × C_{ar}), 126.9 (2 × C_{ar}), 118.6 (CH₂=CH). IR (neat cm⁻¹): 3094, 3069 2128, 1593, 1400, 1372, 1190, 1169, 1088, 989, 927, 844, 759, 748, 650, 597.

Polymethylmethacrylate-co-styrene sulfonyl azide (pMMA-co-SSAz) (2): 1.0 g (9.98 mmol) methylmethacrylate, 140 mg (0.5 mmol) styrene sulfonyl azide, and 18 mg (0.11 mmol) of AIBN were added to an oven dried Shlenk flask with 10 mL dry toluene. The solution was degassed for 30 minutes with argon, before placing on a Shlenk line under nitrogen for reaction, and the headspace purged. The reaction was conducted at 65 °C for 24 hours, then precipitated into cold methanol and suction filtered to obtain 270 mg of off-white solid (23% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.87 (bd, 2H) 7.20 (bd, 2H) 3.60 (bs, 27H) 3.01 (bm, 2H) 0.5-2.1 (bm, 46H).

Poly-4-vinylbenzylchloride-co-styrene sulfonyl azide (p4VBC-co-SSAz) (3): 2 g (13.1 mmol) of 4-vinylbenzyl chloride, 144 mg (0.69 mmol) styrene sulfonyl azide, and 47 mg (0.28 mmol) of AIBN were added to an oven dried Shlenk flask with 10 mL dry toluene. The solution was degassed for 30 minutes with argon, before placing on a Shlenk line under nitrogen for reaction, and the headspace purged. The reaction was conducted at 65 °C for 54 hours, then precipitated into cold methanol and suction filtered to obtain 1.95 g of off-white solid (91% yield).). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ = 7.5-7.6 (bm, 4H) 6.9-7.5 (bm, 20H) 6.3-6.9 (bm, 20H) 4.6-4.9 (bs, 20H) 1.0-2.3 (bm, 30H).

Poly-4-vinyl-N-benzyl-N-methylbutan-1-amine-co-styrene

sulfonyl azide (p4VBA-co-SSAz) (4): 0.94g of P4VBC-co-SSAz was dissolved in 18 mL dry THF, and 1.17 g (13.4 mmol) of 4-methyl-butan-1-amine which had been dried over molecular sieves for 24 hours was added and the reaction conducted on a Shlenk line under nitrogen at room temperature. After 72 hours the developed amine salt was vacuum filtered off, the solvent removed by evaporation, and the crude product redissolved in boiling acetone. The polymer was precipitated by quickly adding this solution to water and suction filtering the solid to obtain 1.25 g of off-white solid. (95% yield) *Note: this polymer should be stored as a stock solution in chloroform.* ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ= 6.4-8.0 (bd, 5H) 3.2-3.7 (bs, 2H) 0.7-2.9 (bm, 16 H).

Polyacrylonitrile-co-styrene sulfonyl azide (pAN-co-SSAz) (5): 1 g (18.8 mmol) of acrylonitrile, 210 mg (1 mmol) styrene sulfonyl



Scheme 2. Styrene sulfonyl azide monomer (1) and copolymer structures used in this work: polymethylmethacrylate (2), polyvinylbenzyl chloride (3), Poly-4-vinyl-N-benzyl-N-methylbutan-1-amine (4), polyacrylonitrile (5), polymethacrylic acid (6), and polypentafluorophenol acrylate

azide, and 68 mg (0.4 mmol) of AIBN was added to an oven dried Shlenk flask with 10 mL dry toluene. The solution was degassed for 30 minutes with argon, before placing on a Shlenk line under nitrogen for reaction, and the headspace purged. The reaction was conducted at 65 °C for 24 hours. Toluene was evaporated off, and the solid was redissolved in DMF and precipitated into ethyl acetate twice. The solid polymer was suction filtered to obtain 0.40 g of yellow solid (33% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ = 7.4-8.2 (bm 4H) 3.0-3.3 (bm, 11H) 1.6-2.3 (bm 28H).

Polymethacrylic acid-co-styrene sulfonyl azide (pMAA-co-SSAz) (6): 1 g (11.6 mmol) of methacrylic acid, 130 mg styrene sulfonyl azide, and 42 mg of AIBN was added an oven dried Shlenk flask with 10 mL dry acetonitrile. The solution was degassed for 30 minutes with argon, before placing on a Shlenk line under nitrogen for reaction, and the headspace purged. The reaction was conducted at 65 °C for 24 hours, then 10 mL of methanol was then added to the reaction to fully solubilize the crude polymer. The solution was then precipitated into ethyl acetate and suction filtered to obtain 0.67 g of off-white solid (59% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ = 12.1-12.5 (bs, 20H) 7.9-8.0 (bm, 2H) 7.6-7.7 (bm, 2H) 1.3-2.1 (bm, 40H) 0.5-1.3, 60H).

Polypentafluorophenolacrylate-co-styrene sulfonyl azide (**pPFPA-co-SSAz**) (7): 1.0 g (4.2 mmol) of pentafluorophenol acrylate, 46 mg (0.22 mmol) styrene sulfonyl azide, and 15 mg AIBN were added to an oven dried Shlenk flask with 10 mL benzene. The solution was degassed for 1 hour, before placing on nitrogen line for reaction, and the headspace purged. The reaction was conducted at 65 °C for 24 hours, then the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The clear viscous liquid remaining was redissolved in THF, precipitated into cold methanol, and suction filtered to obtain 0.42 g of white solid (26% yield).). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.87 (bd, 2H) 7.41 (bd, 2H) 1.5-3.1 (bm, 65H).

| Journal | Name |
|---------|------|
|---------|------|

| | Tensile stress at max load (MPa) | Young's modulus (gf/den) | Energy at break (J) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Virgin Kevlar | 20.158 +/- 0.818 | 726.985 +/- 7.531 | 0.923 +/- 0.070 |
| Thermal Control (TC) | 19.281 +/- 0.757 | 743.618 +/- 6.991 | 0.837 +/- 0.055 |
| p4VBA-co-SSAZ (PCC) | 18.930 +/- 0.783 | 744.818 +/- 10.376 | 0.808 +/- 0.062 |



Figure 1. (A-D) Scanning electron microscope images of (A) SSAz copolymer coated Kevlar with SSAz copolymer cross-bridges; (B) high magnification image of the coated Kevlar fibers which shows the smooth morphology; (C) LBL coated Kevlar with precipitated TiO₂; (D) high magnification image of TiO₂ nanoparticles precipitated on coated Kevlar. (E-H) SSAZ copolymer coated Kevlar elemental mapped at 4.511 keV (Ti Ka line) using (E) backscattered electrons (F) EDS, (G) WDS, and (H) overlaid EDS and WDS data.

Kevlar coating: A clean 2.54 cm x 2.54 cm swatch of Kevlar was dipcoated in a 10 mg/mL solution of polymer in a favorable solvent, then dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature. The swatch was then placed on a hotplate held at 180 °C in a nitrogen atmosphere under a piece of stainless steel that had been previously heated to the same temperature. After 1 hour, the swatch was removed, heavily sonicated in the original coating solvent and dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature.

Layer-by-layer (LbL) deposition and titania precipitation: A coated swatch of Kevlar was soaked for 2 minutes in 0.1 mM HCl

solution (pH=4). Dipcoating was done by alternating between 0.1% w/w polystyrene sulfonate and 0.1% w/w branched polyethyleneimine solutions, with a deionized water washing step between each dip. This process was repeated to form 5 layers. After LbL, the swatch was dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature. TiO₂ was precipitated by placing the coated swatch in 25 mL of a 25 mM (0.076 g/25 mL) Tris buffer solution, then adding 0.5 mL of 50% w/w aqueous dihydroxybis(ammoniumlactato)titanium(IV) and placing on a shaker at 250 rpm for 6 hours.³⁰ The swatch was removed, rinsed with deionized water, and dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature.

Attachment of 1-pyrenemethylamine: A Kevlar swatch was dipped in 50 mg/mL PPFPA-co-SSAz THF solution, dried at room temperature in a vacuum oven, then cured for 1 hr at 180 °C. After curing, the swatch was sonicated in THF to remove any physisorbed polymer. The swatch was then placed in an oven dried Shlenk flask under inert atmosphere. Solutions of 40 mM 1-pyrenemethylamine hydrochloride and 80 mM triethylamine were prepared in DMF and added to the flask, and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature under nitrogen. The swatch was Soxlet extracted with acetone overnight in the dark to wash away excess 1-pyrenemethylamine and dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature.

Results and Discussion

Scheme 2 shows the variety of monomers that were copolymerized with SSAz (1) in this work, including: methyl methacrylate (2), 4vinylbenzyl chloride (3), poly-4-vinyl-N-benzyl-N-methylbutan-1amine (4), acrylonitrile (5), methacrylic acid (6), and pentafluorophenol acrylate (7), a monomer easily synthesized in one step from methacroyl chloride and pentafluorophenol.²⁸ All of these polymerizations were successful, provided the reaction was conducted under suitably dilute conditions. It is of importance to note that all SSAz free radical polymerizations should be performed at concentrations of no more than ~10-20% v/v monomer, as more concentrated reactions gel after several hours at polymerization temperature. Regardless of feed monomer, this gel was insoluble in common solvents, likely indicating a crosslinked polymer network. Nitrene formation at 65 °C is negligible, however Breslow et al. found that in the presence of a hydroperoxide radical initiator, benzene sulfonyl azide showed evidence of decomposition to a benzene sulfonyl radical.^{19b} It follows that in this system, AIBN can initiate the radical decomposition of SSAz, creating free radicals along the polymer backbone, leading to premature crosslinking. By avoiding more concentrated or neat polymerizations, this side reaction can be circumvented.

All the polymers synthesized in this work contained the SSAz crosslinker at 5 mol%, which was chosen to provide a balance between sufficient crosslinking points for grafting while still preserving the properties of a homopolymer prepared from the primary monomer. The resulting polymers were stable for months under ambient conditions with one exception. To produce an amine functionalized polymer, poly-4-vinylbenzylchloride-co-styrene sulfonyl azide (p4VBC-co-SSAz) was post-polymerization modified



Figure 2. FTIR spectra demonstrating the robust attachment of PMMA-co-SSAz copolymer to Kevlar. After coating with PMMA or PMMA-co-SSAz the Kevlar swatches display the methacrylic 1728 cm⁻¹ ester peak. After rinsing, it is evident that the coating is retained only on the swatch that underwent thermal grafting PMMA-co-SSAz.

with N-butylmethylamine to yield poly-4-vinyl-N-benzyl-Nmethylbutan-1-amine-co-styrene sulfonyl azide (**p4VBA-co-SSAz**). After purification, if left in the dry state, pVBA-co-SSAz becomes completely insoluble after several hours. This is surprising because the precursor, p4VBC-co-SSAz, is stable under ambient conditions. Because water is used to precipitate the polymer and remove leftover N-methylbutylamine, we hypothesize that a small proportion of the benzyl chloride groups may remain after post-polymerization modification, which can result in the amine catalyzed formation of dibenzyl ether crosslinks. Sufficient crosslinking renders the polymer insoluble. However, p4VBA-co-SSAz is stable for months if immediately dissolved and kept in an anhydrous chloroform solution.

The polymers produced in this study were cured onto Kevlar swatches at 180 °C for 1 hour, and produced coatings such as those seen in the SEM images in Figure 1A and B. These images of polymer coated Kevlar demonstrate the nature of the attachment chemistry. The clearest features are the crosslinked polymer bridges that extend between Kevlar strands (Figure 1A), and the highly smooth coating on the yarn surface (Figure 1B). The coating is homogeneous across all the strands in a given sample, which indicates uniform distribution and wetting. There were few, if any, defects or voids observed via SEM.

By using SSAzs to attach a base polymer like pVBA-co-SSAz or poly(methacrylic acid-co-styrene sulfonyl azide) (pMAA-co-SSAz), a surface was prepared that was conducive to LbL deposition of anionic poly(styrenesulfonate) and cationic poly(ethyleneimine). After 5 dipping cycles, a coating of titania nanoparticles were precipitated on the Kevlar substrate using previously described methods.³⁰ The titania nanoparticles were observable by SEM, EDS, and WDS. Figure 1C shows an increased surface roughness along with a morphological change due to the precipitated TiO₂, and under high magnification (Figure 1D), TiO₂ nanoparticles are evident. The presence of titanium on the surface is also apparent in the elemental maps (Figure 1 F-H) generated from EDS and WDS from the Ti K α peak at 4.511 keV.

To determine whether the mechanical properties were damaged during the curing process or by the presence of the polymer coating, Kevlar yarns were subjected to tensile testing on an Instron, the results of which are shown in Table 1. p4VBA-co-SSAz coated and cured (PCC) Kevlar was compared to Kevlar that had been thermally cured without any coating (TC), and to virgin Kevlar. The results indicated that there was minimal difference in the mechanical properties between all three samples. With respect to the virgin Kevlar and the TC Kevlar, there was a slight decrease in tensile stress at max load and energy at break, and a slight increase in Young's modulus. There is essentially no statistical difference in these properties between the PCC Kevlar and the TC Kevlar. The net difference between the virgin Kevlar and the PC Kevlar across the properties measured is 6-14%, which borders the standard deviation (4-7%) of the measurements. The close values of the TC and PCC Kevlar tensile results also indicated that the small change in mechanical properties is unrelated to the polymer coating. The thermal curing is done under nitrogen atmosphere to avoid oxidative damage, and it is likely that some molecular rearrangement of water between the amorphous and crystalline regions in the Kevlar is the source of slight mechanical losses.³¹ There was, however, a greater tensile strength loss when curing with pMAA-co-SSAz (Supporting information). Curing with this polymer derivative caused a 14% loss in tensile stress at max load and modulus, and a 25% loss of energy at break. We hypothesize that acid-catalyzed hydrolysis at the curing temperature is causing some chain-scission in Kevlar, resulting in more significant deterioration of the mechanical properties with this acid containing coating.

Thermal gravimetric analysis of a PMMA-co-SSA coated Kevlar sample showed little change in the thermal properties of the material as compared to the control sample (Supplementary Information). The coated sample had a \sim 5% weight loss between



Figure 3. Fluorescence micrographs of (a) Virgin Kevlar, (b) Kevlar coated with PPFPA-co-SSAz; (c) Plain Kevlar immersed in 1-pyrenemethylamine solution; (d). Kevlar coated with pPFPA-co-SSAz that has undergone post-polymerization modification with 1-pyrenemethylamine.

Journal Name

Page 6 of 7

250-550 °C, before the decomposition temperature of Kevlar that occurs around 550 °C, which likely corresponds to the degradation of the PMMA-co-SSA coating.

The pMMA-co-SSAz copolymer was also used to provide direct spectroscopic evidence of the incorporation of a PSAz containing polymer onto Kevlar. Poly(methylmethacrylate) has two distinct IR peaks at 1728 cm⁻¹ and 1149 cm⁻¹ (attributed to the ester C=O and C-O stretches) that can be differentiated from bulk Kevlar. Both pMMA-co-SSAz and commercial pMMA were applied to and cured at 180°C on Kevlar swatches, and the FTIR spectra recorded. Kevlar has a primarily C=O amide I (1645 cm⁻¹), an amide II primarily N-H mode, a C=C_{aromatic} band (1515, cm⁻¹), an amide III with complex contributions (1319 cm⁻¹), a C-O_{aromatic} stretch (1262 cm⁻¹), and a C-H_{aromatic} in-plane vibration peak (1018 cm⁻¹). Figure 2 shows that after curing and prior to rinsing, both pMMA and pMMA-co-SSAz coated Kevlar contain the pMMA ester carbonyl peak at 1728 cm⁻¹ and the C-O peak at 1149 cm⁻¹. However after sonication in dichloromethane, only the Kevlar cured with the pMMA-co-SSAz retains the ester C=O and C-O peaks, indicating that the polymer is still covalently attached.

Finally, in order to demonstrate the flexibility and modularity of this thermal grafting approach, the activated ester monomer PFPA was copolymerized with SSAz. PFPA is notable for its fast and selective reactions with amines (pseudo-first order rate constant of 0.246 s⁻¹ with primary alkyl amines), and reduced susceptibility to hydrolysis.²⁸ pPFPA-co-SSAz allows the functionalization of Kevlar with moieties not amenable to high temperature curing or inclusion directly as a monomer by acting as an excellent substrate for postpolymerization modification. To demonstrate that the PFPA functionality remains reactive after grafting to Kevlar, 1pyrenemethylamine was reacted with the coated surface to produce a copolymer containing pendant pyrene moieties. Figure 3 shows fluorescence microscopy images of the pPFPA-co-SSAz functionalized Kevlar after post-polymerization modification with 1pyrenemethylamine. The polymer containing pendant pyrene moieties shows a strong blue fluorescence (Figure 3D), whereas the controls (plain Kevlar, plain Kevlar exposed to 1-pyrenemethyl amine, and Kevlar cured with pPFPA-co-SSAz) only exhibit the inherent green fluorescence of Kevlar (Figure 3A-C).

Conclusions

In this work, we have adapted a versatile monomer, *p*-styrene sulfonyl azide, for use in the robust coating of inert aramid textiles. Using this approach, a variety of free radical compatible monomers are amenable to covalent grafting, along with the postpolymerization or post-grafting modification of reactive ester containing copolymers with nucleophilic substrates. The copolymers in this study were cured for 1 hour at 180 °C to ensure full crosslinking, however this curing time and high temperature are likely not necessary in all cases. All the polymers synthesized in this study except the unique case of pVBA-co-SSAz did not display any change in properties over several months of experimentation, demonstrating that the SSAz functionality in these polymers is highly stable and can be stored under ambient conditions. It has also been demonstrated that the curing process does not significantly damage the mechanical integrity of Kevlar.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Chris Fleisher and the UGA Microprobe lab for use of the microprobe, to Dr. Roger Hilten for help with the thermal gravimetric analyzer, and to Dr. Evan White for excellent consultation. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the US Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center under contract # W911QY-12-2-0005.

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, College of Engineering, and Nanoscale Science and Engineering Center, 220 Riverbend Rd., Athens, GA, USA. Fax: 706-542-3804; Tel: 706-542-2359; E-mail: jlocklin@uga.edu
 ^b US Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center, 15 Kansas St. Natick, MA, 01760

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Full tensile dataset. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 H. Mera and T. Takata, in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2000.
- 2 D. Zhu, B. Mobasher and S. Rajan, J. Mater. Civ. Eng., 2011, 23, 230.
- 3 (a) X.-G. Li and M.-R. Huang, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 1999, 71, 565; (b)
 J. Brown and B. Ennis, Text. Res. J., 1977, 47, 62.
- 4 R. Pinto, D. Carr, M. Helliker, L. Girvan and N. Gridley, *Text. Res. J.*, 2012, **82**, 1157.
- 5 C. M. Welch, Text. Res. J., 1988, 58, 480.
- 6 J. Zimmermann, F. A. Reifler, G. Fortunato, L.-C. Gerhardt and S. Seeger, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 3662.
- V. P. Dhende, S. Samanta, D. M. Jones, I. R. Hardin and J. Locklin, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, **3**, 2830; (b) B. B. Hsu and A. M. Klibanov, *Biomacromolecules*, 2010, **12**, 6; (c) Y. H. Kim, C. W. Nam, J. W. Choi and J. Jang, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2003, **88**, 1567.
- 8 (a) J. R. Yeh and J. L. Teply, *J. Compos. Mater.*, 1988, 22, 245; (b) J.
 R. Strife and K. M. Prewo, *J. Compos. Mater.*, 1979, 13, 264; (c) A.
 Mittelman and I. Roman, *Composites*, 1990, 21, 63.
- 9 B. K. Little, Y. Li, V. Cammarata, R. Broughton and G. Mills, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 1965.
- 10 H. Mahfuz, F. Clements, V. Rangari, V. Dhanak and G. Beamson, J. Appl. Phys., 2009, **105**, 064307.
- 11 W. Chen, X.-M. Qian, X.-Q. He, Z.-Y. Liu and J.-P. Liu, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2012, 123, 1983.
- (a) Y. Zhang, Z. Jiang, Y. Huang and Q. Li, *Fibers Polym*, 2011, 12, 1014; (b) J. Luo and Y. Sun, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2008, 47, 5291; (c) G. Fan, J. Zhao, Y. Zhang and Z. Guo, *Polym. Bull.*, 2006, 56, 507; (d) G. S. Sheu and S. S. Shyu, *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.*, 1994, 8, 531.
- 13 (a) Y. Wu and G. C. Tesoro, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 1986, **31**, 1041; (b) R. Benrashid and G. C. Tesoro, *Text. Res. J.*, 1990, **60**, 334; (c) T. K. Lin, B. H. Kuo, S. S. Shyu and S. H. Hsiao, *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.*, 1999, **13**, 545.
- (a) J. Li and F. B. Ye, *Plast., Rubber Compos.*, 2010, **39**, 264; (b) T. Ai,
 R. Wang and W. Zhou, *Polym. Compos.*, 2007, **28**, 412.
- 15 G. Li, C. Zhang, Y. Wang, P. Li, Y. Yu, X. Jia, H. Liu, X. Yang, Z. Xue and S. Ryu, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, 68, 3208.
- 16 A. Akdag, H. B. Kocer, S. D. Worley, R. M. Broughton, T. R. Webb and T. H. Bray, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2007, 111, 5581.
- H. Bray, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2007, 111, 5381.
 K. Schuh, O. Prucker and J. Rühe, Macromolecules, 2008, 41, 9284.
- K. Schull, O. Flucker and J. Kulle, *Macromolecules*, 2008, 41, 9284.
 G. K. Raghuraman, K. Schuh, O. Prucker and J. Rühe, *Langmuir*, 2009,
- **26**, 769.
- (a) M. F. Sloan, W. B. Renfrow and D. S. Breslow, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1964, 5, 2905-2909; (b) D. S. Breslow, M. F. Sloan, N. R. Newburg and W. B. Renfrow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, 91, 2273.
- 20 E. F. V. Scriven, Azides and Nitrenes: Reactivity and Utility, Academic Press, Orlando, 1984.
- 21 (a) S. K. Christensen, M. C. Chiappelli and R. C. Hayward, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 5237; (b) O. Prucker, C. A. Naumann, J. Rühe, W. Knoll and C. W. Frank, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 8766.
- 22 (a) United States of America Pat., US6699527 B1, 2004; (b) K. M. Awenat, P. J. Davis, M. G. Moloney and W. Ebenezer, Chem. Commun., 2005, 990; (c) H. Wang, J.-P. Griffiths, R. G. Egdell, M. G. Moloney and J. S. Foord, Langmuir, 2008, 24, 862.
- 23 R. Navarro, M. Pérez Perrino, O. Prucker and J. Rühe, *Langmuir*, 2013, 29, 10932.

- Journal Name
- 24 A. Blencowe and W. Hayes, Soft Matter, 2005, 1, 178.
- 25 (a) L. Liu and M. Yan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, **45**, 6207; (b) L.-H. Liu and M. Yan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2010, **43**, 1434.
- 26 B. T. McVerry, M. C. Y. Wong, K. L. Marsh, J. A. T. Temple, C. Marambio-Jones, E. M. V. Hoek and R. B. Kaner, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2014, 35, 1528.
- 27 (a) DuPont, 1992; (b) S. N. R. Davis, and J.W. Chin, in *NIST Technical Note 1746*, 2012; (c) C. Wei, Q. Xinming, H. Xueqiu and L. Jiping, 2011 9th ICRMS 2011.
- 28 R. M. Arnold, G. R. Sheppard and J. Locklin, *Macromolecules*, 2012, 45, 5444.
- 29 M. Eberhardt, R. Mruk, R. Zentel and P. Théato, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2005, 41, 1569.
 20 D. Complete and E. Theoreman and P. Théato, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2005, 41, 1569.
- 30 S. Filocamo, R. Stote, D. Ziegler and H. Gibson, J. Mater. Res., 2011, 26, 1042.
- 31 (a) A. Abu Obaid, J. M. Deitzel, J. W. Gillespie and J. Q. Zheng, J. Compos. Mater., 2011, 45, 1217; (b) M. Fukuda and H. Kawai, Sen'i Gakkaishi, 1996, 52, 582.