ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





http://rsc

http://rsc.li/frontiers-organic

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Journal Name



Copper-catalyzed direct decarboxylative hydrosulfonylation of aryl propiolic acids with sulfonylhydrazides leading to vinylsulfones

Accepted 00th January 20xx

Received 00th January 20xx,

Siyu Li,^a Xiang Li,^a Fan Yang^{*a} and Yangjie Wu^{*a}

www.rsc.org/

A simple and facile protocol for copper-catalyzed direct decarboxylative hydrosulfonylation of aryl propiolic acids with sulfonylhydrazides was developed, establishing an attractive approach to (E)-vinylsulfones under base-free conditions. Remarkable features of this reaction include using simple copper catalyst in air and good tolerance aromatic and aliphatic sulfonylhydrazides.

The vinyl sulfone derivatives as versatile building blocks or key structural motifs have wide applications in various organic transformations, pharmaceutical intermediates, biologically active compounds and material sciences.¹ Over the past several decades, various classic synthetic routes to the vinyl sulfone skeleton have been developed such as Knoevenagel condensation,² Wittig reaction,³ and Horner–Emmons reaction,⁴ although suffering from the Z/E mixture isomers of vinyl sulfones. To cope with the drawback and limitation, the direct cross-coupling of the sulfonyl derivative (e.g., RSO₂Cl and RSO₂NHNH₂) with an alkene source (e.g., alkene or alkyne) has emerged as robust and reliable tools for the synthesis of vinyl sulfones and drawn much attention within recent years (Scheme 1).⁵ Especially, sulfonyl hydrazides are not sensitive to air and moisture, easy to prepare and store, and more importantly, their byproducts: i.e., nitrogen and water, are environmentally benign to nature. Therefore, many research efforts have been devoted to the use of sulfonyl hydrazides as a sulfonyl source.^{5a-d} The pioneering work of this type of coupling belongs to Li, reported who the first cross-coupling of 4methylbenzenesulfonohydrazide with styrene in MeCN under metal-free conditions in 2012, albeit affording the vinyl sulfone in a relatively low yield (31%) (Scheme 1a).^{5a} Subsequently, Jiang et al. described a copper-catalyzed version of styrene with 4methylbenzenesulfonohydrazide in DMSO using LiBr as the additive



Scheme 1 Catalytic synthesis of vinylsulfones starting from sulfonylhydrazides.

in 2014, and vinyl sulfones could be generated in a high yield (Scheme 1b).^{5c} Remarkably, Lei developed another facile and elegant synthesis of vinyl sulfones via the iodine-catalyzed reaction of styrene and 4-methylbenzenesulfonohydrazide in the absence of any metal catalysts (Scheme 1c).^{5d} Moreover, Xu established a simple and efficient approach to vinyl sulfones by iron-catalyzed chlorosulfonylation addition using terminal alkynes as an alternative alkene source (Scheme 1d).^{5b}

Aryl propiolic acids are usually solid-state without pungent smell and easy to prepare, store, and transport.⁶ Just recently, Mao developed a Cu/Fe co-catalyzed sulfonylation of aromatic propiolic acids with sulfonyl hydrazides using DTBP as an oxidant via a combined decarboxylative and dehydrazine process, which was not suitable for aliphatic sulfonylhydrazides (Scheme 1e).^{5e} And our research interest is to demonstrate a simple and environmental synthesis of vinyl sulfones through the copper-catalyzed direct decarboxylative coupling of aryl propiolic acids with sulfonyl hydrazides using environmentally benign oxidant. And we envisioned to develop another reaction pattern of aryl propiolic acids with sulfonylhydrazides, possibly in a different hydrosulfonylation process.

The initial optimization process of decarboxylative coupling of 4methylbenzenesulfonohydrazide (1a) with phenylpropiolic acid (2a) was investigated, and the results are displayed in Table 1. After the effect of various copper catalysts was checked, we found that

^a The College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Henan Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Organic Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Applied Chemistry of Henan Universities, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, People's Republic of China. E-mail: yangf@zzu.edu.cn; wyj@zzu.edu.cn.

Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any

supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions^[a]

-COOH Copper source Ph- O Solvent, air									
1a		2a	3a						
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	Ligand	Yield ^[b]					
1	CuCl	DMF	-	28%					
2	CuBr	DMF	-	51%					
3	Cul	DMF	-	57%					
4 ^[c]	Cu₂O	DMF	-	25%					
5	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O	DMF	-	36%					
6	Cul	DMSO	-	41%					
7	Cul	Dioxane	-	25%					
8	Cul	<i>p</i> -xylene	-	21%					
9	Cul	DMF	2, 2'-bpy	85%					
10	-	DMF	-	< 5%					
11 ^[d]	Cul	DMF	2, 2'-bpy	87%					
12 ^[e]	Cul	DMF	2, 2'-bpy	64%					
13 ^[f]	Cul	DMF	2. 2'-bpv	< 5%					

^[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.24 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%) and base (0.4 mmol) in solvent (1 mL) at 100 °C under air for 18 h. ^[b] Isolated yield. ^[c] Under 5 mol% of Cu₂O catalyst loading. ^[d] At 120 °C. ^[e] At 80 °C. ^[f] Under a nitrogen atmosphere.

10 mol% of CuI gave the best result, affording the vinylsulfone product in a moderate yield of 57% under air in DMF (Table 1, entry 3); CuCl, CuBr, Cu₂O and Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O also showed catalytic activity, generating the desired product in relatively lower yields of 25-51% (Table 1, entries 1–2, 4–5); other copper species such as CuCl, CuCl₂, CuBr₂ and CuF₂·2H₂O could not facilitate the reaction (see ESI). Then, other solvents (e.g., DMA, THF, toluene, DMSO, dioxane, and pxylene) were also examined, and among them, the desired product could be obtained in DMSO, dioxane and p-xylene in yields of 41%, 25% and 21%, respectively (Table 1, entries 6-8). Moreover, ligand effect played an important role in this hydrosulfonylation process, and notably, 2,2'-bpy (2,2'-bipyridine) exhibited excellent activity affording the desired product in a high yield of 85% (Table 1, entry 9). However, other nitrogen ligands such as pyridine, phen (1,10phenanthroline), DMEDA (N,N'-dimethyl-1,2-ethanediamine) did not showed any catalytic activity (see ESI). Finally, some controlling experiments were also explored. For example, when the reaction was performed under copper-free conditions, we almost did not get the desired product 3a (Table 1, entry 10). when the reaction temperature was increased to 120 °C or decreased to 80 °C, no much better results were observed and the coupling product were afforded in 87% and 64%, respectively (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). If the reaction was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere instead of air, only a trace of desired product could be received (Table 1, entry 13).

Under the optimized reaction conditions, the substrate scope was then explored and the results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. Generally, either arylsulfonylhydrazides or alkynes possessing an electron-donating group at the benzene ring would be beneficial for this coupling reaction, while the substrates bearing an electronwithdrawing group at the benzene ring would lead to the coupling products in slightly lower yields. For example, arylsulfonylhydrazides possessing an electron-donating group such as alkyl and alkoxy group would accelerate the reaction process, generating the products in excellent yields (3a-3c). The neutral



Page 2 of 4

Table 2 Decarboxylative hydrosulfonylation of aryl propiolic acids or terminal alkynes with sulfonylhydrazides^[a]



^[a] Reaction conditions: sulfonylhydrazide **1** (0.2 mmol), aryl propiolic acid **2** , Cul (10 mol%), 2, 2'-bpy (10 mol%), DMF (1.0 ml) at 100 °C under air for 18 h. ^[b] Isolated vield based on the amount of sulfonylhydrazide.

arylsulfonylhydrazides were well tolerated in this reaction, affording the corresponding products in good yields (**3d-3e**).



 $^{[a]}$ Reaction conditions: sulfonylhydrazide **1** (0.2 mmol), terminal alkyne **2'** (0.24 mmol), CuI (10 mol%), 2, 2'-bpy (10 mol%), DMF (1.0 ml) at 100 °C under air for 18 h. $^{[b]}$ Isolated yield based on the amount of sulfonylhydrazide.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Journal Name

Various electron-withdrawing groups such as halogen atoms and CF₃ were also suitable to this process, albeit delivering the corresponding vinylsulfones in relatively lower to moderate yields (3f-3j). Similarly, aryl propiolic acids or terminal alkynes bearing an electron-donating groups such as ⁿBu, OBn methoxy and methyl group at the benzene ring also afford the corresponding products in moderate to excellent yields (3k-3q). The steric effect played an important role in this reaction, and arylpropiolic acid bearing an ortho-methyl or OBn group lead to evident decrease of reaction yields (30-3q). Moreover, an alkyne possessing an electronwithdrawing bromo atom could also give the product in a moderate yield of 51% (3r). Finally, the reaction of aliphatic sulfonylhydrazides with aryl propiolic acids or terminal alkynes was also performed, and the corresponding vinylsulfone products were obtained in moderate yields (3s-3v). The molecular structure of the vinylsulfone product (3b) was unambiguously determined by the single crystal Xray diffraction study.⁷

		0.1 (40		Air:	85%	
		2, 2'-bpy (10 mol%)	Гs	N ₂	trace	(4)
ISNHNH ₂ +	- PhCOO	DMF (1.0 ml)	- Ph	Without Cu	trace	(1)
1a	2a		3a	ТЕМРО	trace	

In order to understand the mechanism of the transformations, a number of controlling experiments were carried out to gain more insights into the mechanism (eq (1)). When the decarboxylative hydrosulfonylation of phenylpropiolic acid **2a** with 4methylbenzenesulfonohydrazide **1a** was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere instead of under air, only trace amount of desired product **3a** could be observed; when the reaction was performed under copper-free conditions, the reaction could not occur at all, which indicates that the copper species and oxygen are essential to the reaction. The addition of radical scavenger TEMPO could dramatically inhibit the formation of **3a**, demonstrating that a radical process may be involved in this reaction.

On the basis of these experimental results and previous reports, а plausible mechanism for direct decarboxylative hydrosulfonylation of aryl propiolic acids with arylsulfonylhydrazides leading to vinylsulfone is illustrated in Scheme 3. Initially, the Cu(I) catalyst was oxidized to Cu(II) species in the presence of oxygen in air. The Arylsulfonylhydrazide 1 was oxidized to (arylsulfonyl)diazene 4 by Cu(II) species formed in situ and O₂ to release a hydrogen peroxide radical. In this way, (arylsulfonyl)diazene 4 was further oxidized to an active azo radical intermediate A. This oxidation of an arylsulfonylhydrazide 1 into an azo radical A proceeds with an assist of a Cu(II) species in the air, which is quite different from the Mao and Zhang's version of Fe(II)/Cu(II) species in the presence of DTBP.^{5e} Then, the intermediate Α was transferred to a sulfonyl radical **B** with the release of a molecular nitrogen via single electron transfer process. Then, the sulfonyl radical B reacted with alkynylcopper(I) intermediate E generated from aryl propiolic acid 2 and copper(I) iodide to afford an active intermediate radical C. The active intermediate C coupled with hydrogen peroxide radical to form the copper (I) intermediate D. In the presence of HI, the copper(I) intermediate **D** was decomposed to the vinylsulfone product 3, along with the regeneration of a molecular oxygen and Cul to fulfill the catalytic cycle. Note that the homocoupling of



COMMUNICATION

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism.

alkynylcopper(I) intermediate E could occur to afford diyne 5 as a byproduct.

In conclusion, we have developed a copper-catalyzed direct decarboxylative hydrosulfonylation of aryl propiolic acids with sulfonylhydrazides, providing a new and convenient route to (E)-vinylsulfone. This reaction features simple catalytic system and good tolerance of substrates including aliphatic sulfonylhydrazides. Moreover, the decarboxylative hydrosulfonylation shows highly regio and stereo-selectivity, generating (E)-vinylsulfone as the only product.

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 21102134, 21172200) for financial support.

Notes and references

- (a) J. J. Reddick, J. Cheng and W. R. Roush, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 1967; (b) G. Wang, U. Mahesh, G. Y. J. Chen and S. Q. Yao, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 737; (c) D. C. Meadows, T. Sanchez, N. Neamati, T. W. North and J. Gervay-Hague, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2007, 15, 1127; (d) B. A. Frankel, M. Bentley, R. G. Kruger and D. G. McCafferty, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 3404; (e) M. N. Noshi, A. El-awa, E. Torres and P. L. Fuchs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 11242; (f) S. Sulzer-Mossé, A. Alexakis, J. Mareda, G. Bollot, G. Bernardinelli and Y. Filinchuk, Chem.-Eur. J., 2009, 15, 3204.
- 2 (a) S. Chodroff and W. F. Whitmore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950,
 72, 1073; (b) D. A. R. Happer and B. E. Steenson, Synthesis,
 1980, 806.
- 3 (a) M. Mikolajczyk, W. Perlikowska, J. Omelanczuk, H. Cristau and A. Perraud-Darcy, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 9716; (b) J. H. van Steenis, J. J. G. S. van Es and A. van der Gen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 2787.
- 4 I. C. Popoff and J. L. Denver, J. Org. Chem., 1969, **34**, 1128.
- 5 (a) X.-Q. Li, X.-S. Xu and C. Zhou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 12240; (b) X.-Q. Li, X.-H. Shi, M.-W. Fang and X.-S. Xu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 78, 9499; (c) X.-W. Li, Y.-L. Xu, W.-Q. Wu, C. Jiang, C.-R. Qi and H.-F. Jiang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, 20, 7911; (d) S. Tang, Y. Wu, W.-Q. Liao, R.-P. Bai, C. Liu and A.-W. Lei, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 4496; (e) G.-W. Rong, J.-C. Mao, H. Yan, Y. Zheng and G.-Q. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 80, 4697.
- 6 (a) D. Yu, and Y. Zhang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 20184; (b) Y. Hajbi, C. Neagoie, B. Biannic, A. Chilloux, E. Vedrenne, B. Baldeyrou, C. Bailly, J.-Y. Merour, S. Rosca, S. Routier and A. Lansiaux, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2010, 45, 5428; (c) D. Yu and Y. Zhang, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 1275; (d) X. Zhang, W.-Z. Zhang, X. Ren, L.-L. Zhang and X.-B. Lu, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 2402; (e) K. Park, T. Palani, A. Pyo and S. Lee, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 733; (f) M. Arndt, E. Risto, T.

COMMUNICATION

Krause and L. J. Goossen, ChemCatChem, 2012, 4, 484; (g) T. Ponpandian and S. Muthusubramanian, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 43, 4248; (h) L. J. Gooßen, N. Rodríguez, F. Manjolinho and P. P. Lange, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 2913; (i) D. Yu, M.-X. Tan and Y. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2012, 354, 969; (j) K. Park, J.-M. You, S. Jeon and S. Lee, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2013, 1973; (k) X. Li, F. Yang and Y.-J. Wu, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 4543; (I) X. Li, F. Yang, Y.-J. Wu and Y.-S. Wu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 992.

CCDC 1059933 (3b).⁺ Crystal data for compound 3b: $C_{15}H_{14}O_3S$, M = 274.32, Triclinic, a = 8.5030(6) Å, $\alpha =$ $76.750(5)^{\circ}$, b = 10.6762(5) Å, β = 81.107(5) $^{\circ}$, c = 15.8607(10) Å, $\gamma = 88.437(5)^{\circ}$, V = 1384.60(15) Å ³, T = 291.15 K, space group = $P\overline{1}$, Z = 4, number of reflections = 9993, Independent reflections = 4932, [R(int) = 0.0186], Final R indices [I> 2σ (I)] $R_1 = 0.0630$, w $R_2 = 0.1737$, R indices (all data) $R_1 = 0.0743$, $wR_2 = 0.1857.$

Drganic Chemistry Frontiers Accepted Manuscript