RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx **ARTICLE TYPE**

Green recyclable SO₃H-carbon catalyst for the selective synthesis of **isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters as non-ionic bio-surfactants**

T. Vijai Kumar Reddy, G. Sandhya Rani, R.B.N. Prasad and B.L.A. Prabhavathi Devi*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX ⁵**DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

A series of novel isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters **3(a-f)** were synthesized by employing a highly active, water resistant and easily recoverable carbon-based solid acid catalyst derived from glycerol. The mannitol was reacted with decanoic, lauric, myristic, palmitic, stearic and oleic acids in presence of carbon acid catalyst under solvent free conditions to obtain corresponding isomannide fatty acid

- 10 monoesters involving *in situ* dehydration of mannitol to isomannide followed by acylation. The optimized reaction conditions for obtaining isomannide monoesters are: fatty acid to mannitol mole ratio (1:1.5), catalyst 20 wt% of mannitol, temperature 180 °C and reaction time of 12 h. The carbon acid catalyst was recovered by filtration and reused for five cycles without losing its catalytic activity. The use of a recyclable solid acid catalyst makes this method more convenient, simple, and cost effective in addition to
- 15 high selectivity with good yields. All the synthesized compounds were further evaluated for their surface 20 calculated. Isomannide monomyristate (**3c**) and isomannide monolaurate (**3b**) exhibited superior surface active properties such as, critical micelle concentration (CMC), surface tension at the CMC (γ_{CMC}), surfactant concentration required to reduce the surface tension of the solvent by 20 mN/m (pC_{20}), maximum surface excess (τ_{max}), and the interfacial area occupied by the surfactant molecules (A_{min}) using surface tension measurements. The micellization (∆*G*°mic) and adsorption free energies (∆*G*°ads) were
- active properties followed by isomannide monopalmitate (**3d**) compared to other isomannide monoesters.

1. Introduction

25 are currently among the highest volume synthetic chemicals 30 oleochemicals and other renewable substrates, such as 35 materials for specialty chemicals.²⁻⁶ In the early $20th$ century, the application of synthetic surfactants has continuously increased and, as a result, surfactants produced globally. Surfactants are produced using either petrochemical or oleochemical feedstocks, favoring petrochemicals which account for roughly two thirds of the organic carbon embodied in the final product.¹ In recent years, carbohydrates, organic acids, and amino acids, have been of increasing interest as feedstocks for surfactant production. Nowadays, growing consumer demand for "green" products has increased attention on the utilization of carbohydrates as raw

40 stabilizing, detergent, and emulsifying properties, they find Sugar-fatty acid ester based bio-surfactants have gained lot of significance because they consist of two inexpensive, renewable and readily available starting agricultural materials such as sugars and fat/oil.⁷⁻¹¹ As surfactants showing high applications in various fields like, food, cosmetic, oral-care products, cleaning and pharmaceutical industries.¹²⁻¹⁷ In addition,

45 digested by the stomach as a carbohydrate-fatty acid mixture.¹⁹ these type of bio-surfactants are odorless, nontoxic, tasteless, non skin irritant, and fully biodegradable.¹⁸ Further they can be easily The hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) of these products can be modulated over a wide range by varying the different chain length, the number of hydrocarbon chains of the sugar molecule, or the degree of unsaturation of the chains.²⁰

50 55 solvents and high temperature may result in the formation of 60 hydroxyls or in the acylation position.²⁵ In case of sugar alcohols, Generally, sugar esters are synthesized by chemical routes.²¹⁻²³ Osipow et al. reported the synthesis of sugar esters by transesterification of sugars with fatty acid methyl ester in DMF employing acid/base catalyst at high temperatures and reduced pressure.24 Use of homogeneous acid/alkaline catalysts, toxic toxic by-products and also decolouration of the product. Some of the by-products are allergenic and conceivably carcinogenic. In addition, industrial processes are not selective and they can form different product mixtures differing in the number of esterified the process encompasses the formation of dehydrated products.²⁶ Although di- and triesters are opportune for many applications, chemical reactions that produce monoesters selectively in prudent ways open interesting perspectives in new applications.

65 Enzymatic synthesis is an alternative route for the synthesis of sugar-based fatty acid esters, which avoids only a few

problems raised in the chemical synthesis.²⁷ Extensive literature reported on lipase mediated synthesis of carbohydrate fatty acid esters.²⁸⁻³⁰ However, one of the major complication of this process is the poor solubility of the sugars leading in some cases

- 5 to low product yield due to long reaction times.³¹⁻³⁸ Another factor is, sugars have low solubility in non-polar solvents, in which lipases exhibit esterification activity, whereas, vice versa most of the lipases lose their activity in polar solvents, in which sugars are soluble.³⁹
- 10 Isomannide monooleate has been extensively used as emulsifiers in many veterinary vaccines.⁴⁰ Very few reports are available in the literature towards the direct synthesis of isomannide monooleate from mannitol by esterification with fatty acid under strong acidic conditions.⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ Major drawbacks of
- 15 these methods are low product yield without selectivity, difficulty in separation and purification of the product apart from the generation of huge quantities of effluents and lack of recovery and reusability of the catalyst. Recent years, carbon-based solid acid catalysts have gained importance due to their significant
- 20 advantages over homogeneous mineral acids, such as increased activity and selectivity, longer catalyst life, negligible equipment corrosion, ease of product separation, and reusability.45

25 esterification activity from bioglycerol (biodiesel by-product), Recently, we have reported a sustainable methodology for the preparation of $SO₃H$ -carbon catalyst with an excellent glycerol pitch (waste from fat splitting industry) and commercial glycerol by involving *in situ* partial carbonization and sulfonation in a single pot.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ This carbon catalyst has showed outstanding catalytic properties by demonstrating its effectiveness for

- 30 different transformations⁴⁹⁻⁵² due to its high thermal stability, 35 solvent free synthetic approach for the preparation of a novel recyclability and strong acid sites of sulfonic acid functional groups. In continuation of our ongoing research towards exploring the applications of the glycerol-based carbon acid catalyst, here we disclose a simple and highly efficient green
- series of isomannide-based fatty acid monoester compounds at 180 °C in 12 h with high selectivity in good yields.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Synthesis of isomannide monoesters

40 SO3H-Carbon catalyst is demonstrated for the selective synthesis of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters involving *in situ* dehydration of mannitol followed by acylation with fatty acid with varying hydrophobic chain lengths (C_{10} , C_{12} , C_{14} , C_{16} , C_{18} and $C_{18:1}$) under solvent free conditions (Scheme 1) in a single

45 pot.

Scheme 1 SO₃H-Carbon catalyzed synthesis of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters.

 50 tube under stirring at 180 °C for 12 h to obtain isomannide fatty The reaction was carried out by heating a mixture of D-mannitol and fatty acid in presence of carbon acid catalyst in ACE pressure acid monoesters **3(a-f)** in good yields.

55 (5-20 wt% of D-mannitol), temperature (140-180 $^{\circ}$ C) and 60 the synthesized isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters were Esterification of oleic acid with D-mannitol was selected as a model reaction for optimization of the various reaction parameters namely, substrate mole ratio, loading of the catalyst reaction period (4-16 h) for the selective formation of isomannide monooleate in maximum yield. Using these optimized reaction parameters, the scope of this methodology was further extended to different fatty acids by varying chain lengths from C_{10} - C_{18} . All purified by silica gel column chromatography and fully characterized by IR, 1 H NMR, 13 C NMR, ESI-MS, HRMS and optical rotation data analysis.

⁶⁵**2.2 Effect of substrate mole ratio**

70 presence of 20 wt% of carbon acid catalyst at 180 °C for 12 h and 75 decrease in the yield of isomannide monooleate from 84 to 61% Molar ratio of substrates would affect the reaction progress and the composition of products. The effect of mannitol: oleic acid mole ratios (1:1-1:2) on the yield of isomannide monooleate was studied for the esterification of mannitol with oleic acid in the results are given in Table 1. The data clearly indicates that, with the increase of mole ratio of mannitol to oleic acid from 1 to 1.5 increases the yield of isomannide monooleate (84%). Further increase of mannitol mole ratio from 1.5 to 2.0, resulted in with increase in the formation of isomannide from 2-31%. Therefore, 1:1.5 molar ratio was found to be the optimum for the maximum conversion of mannitol to isomannide monooleate in 84% yield.

80 **Table 1** Effect of mannitol: oleic acid mole ratio on the yield of isomannide monooleate*^a*

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: oleic acid (1 g) , catalyst (20 wt) of mannitol), temperature (180 °C) and time (12 h).

2.3 Effect of catalyst loading

85 Catalyst concentration relates to the reaction rate, at certain ranges, an increase in catalyst concentration amounts leads to increase of reaction rate. A detailed study was conducted by varying SO₃H-carbon catalyst loading from 5-20 wt% of Dmannitol, keeping the reaction temperature at 180 °C and taking the oleic acid to mannitol molar ratio as 1:1.5 and the results are 90 depicted in Fig.1. The study indicates that, the yield of isomannide monooleate increased from 50 to 84% with the increase of the catalyst loading from 5 to 20 wt%. Even at lower catalyst loading (5 wt%), considerable amount of product formation was observed containing about 50% of isomannide 95

55

monooleate along with \sim 10% of isomannide. Whereas, 20 wt% of catalyst resulted a maximum yield 84% of isomannide monooleate and attempts on further increase of catalyst amount didn't result any significant increase in the product yield. Therefore, 20 wt% of catalyst was found to be the optimum for 5 obtaining the maximum yield of isomannide monooleate by *in situ* dehydration of mannitol (1.5 mmol) to isomannide and

acylation with oleic acid (1 mmol) at 180 °C in 12 h.

Fig. 1 Effect of catalyst loading on the yield of isomannide monooleate
Reaction conditions: oleic acid (1 g), mannitol (0.97 g), temperatur Reaction conditions:
 $(180 °C)$, time $(12 h)$.

¹⁰**2.4 Effect of reaction temperature**

Reaction temperature is of paramount importance in the esterification reaction, especially for the heterogeneous catalysts. Effect of reaction temperature on the condensation of D-mannitol with oleic acid to obtain maximum yield of isomannide 15 monooleate was conducted at different temperatures ranging from 140 to 180 °C for 12 h (Fig. 2). This study revealed that, with the increase of the reaction temperature from 160 to 180 °C, the formation of isomannide monooleate increased drastically from

15 to 84% may be due to the complete melting and dehydration 20 of D-mannitol at 180 $^{\circ}$ C. Hence, 180 $^{\circ}$ C was found to be optimum for the maximum condensation of mannitol and oleic acid to isomannide monooleate.

Fig. 2 Effect of reaction temperature on the yield of isomannide
monooleate. Reaction conditions: oleic acid $(1 g)$, mannitol $(0.97 g)$, catalyst (0.19 g), time (12 h).

2.5 Effect of reaction time

25 Condensation reaction of mannitol with oleic acid at 180° C using 20 wt% catalyst was conducted to study the effect of reaction period varying from 4-16 h and the results are given in Fig. 3. The study indicates that, the yield of isomannide monooleate

increased from 10 to 84% with the increase of reaction time from 4 to 12 h. Further increase to another 4 h resulted only marginal 30 increase in the product yield and hence 12 h was found to be optimum reaction period for the maximum conversion of mannitol to isomannide monooleate.

Fig. 3 Effect of reaction time on the yield of isomannide monooleate Reaction conditions: oleic acid (1 g), mannitol (0.97 g), catalyst (0.19 g), temperature (180 °C).

We proposed a plausible mechanism for the synthesis of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters as shown in Scheme 2. The reaction involves simultaneous dehydration and esterification of mannitol with fatty acid to isomannide monoester. During dehydration step, initially protonation occurs at the primary hydroxy group of D-mannitol by the release of proton from 40 $SO₃H$ -carbon catalyst leading to dehydration (-H₂O) between 1 and 4 hydroxy position for the formation of 1,4 monoanhydromannitol (**1**). This on subsequent elimination of second water molecule from 3 and 6 hydroxy position leads to the formation of isomannide (**2**). During esterification step, 45 protonation of carbonyl group of the fatty acid by the SO_3H carbon catalyst leads to the formation of carbocation which on nucleophilic attack with isomannide followed by dehydration results in isomannide fatty acid monoester (**3**). 35

Scheme 2 The plausible mechanism for the synthesis of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters employing SO_3H -carbon catalyst.

2.6 eusability of the catalyst R

In the case of heterogeneous catalysis, the most important factor is the deactivation and reusability of the catalyst. The efficiency

- 5 of the catalyst was compared upon reuse. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was easily separated by filtration, washed with water and followed by methanol, dried in oven at 120 °C for 1 h. The catalyst was reused for 5 cycles and it was found that the catalytic activity maintained with ~84% conversion in first two
- 10 cycles and marginally reduced from 83% to 80% in the last three cycles (Fig. 4), which may be due to the mass loss of the catalyst during the recovery process. The thermal stability, easy recovery and reusability without any deactivation and leaching indicates that the carbon acid catalyst holds great potential for the green
- 15 chemical processes.

Fig. 4 Reusability of SO₃H-carbon catalyst. Reaction conditions: oleic acid (1 g), mannitol (0.97 g), catalyst (0.19 g), temperature (180 °C), time (12 h).

2.7 Comparative study of SO₃H-carbon catalyst with other **solid acid catalysts**

- $_{20}$ A detailed comparative study of the SO₃H-carbon catalyst against commercially available solid acid catalysts namely, Kieselguhr G, ZSM-5 and Amberlyst-15 was conducted for the synthesis of isomannide monooleate by varying the reaction period from 4 to 16 h and the results were summarized in Fig. 5. Based on the
- 25 study, the catalytic activity can be ordered as follows; carbon catalyst > Amberlyst-15 > $ZSM-5$ > Kieselguhr G. The yield of isomannide monooleate increased with the increase of reaction period from 4 to 12 h and no significant increase in the yield was observed after 16 h. Among these catalysts, the carbon acid
- 30 catalyst displayed excellent activity for the formation of isomannide monooleate in ~85% yield in 12 h. In contrast, Amberlyst-15 showed higher conversion (~38%) during initial 4 h of reaction period and a maximum of \sim 57% yield was observed in 12 h. Whereas, ZSM-5 and Kieselguhr G resulted lower yields

35 of isomannide monooleate in 25 and 10% respectively.

Fig. 5 Comparative study of SO₃H-carbon catalyst against other solid acid catalysts. Reaction conditions: oleic acid (1 g), mannitol (0.97 g), catalyst (0.19 g), temperature $(180 °C)$

The differences in the catalytic activity of any catalysts could be explained by their acidity and surface area. Total acidity of these catalysts was measured by using temperature-40 programmed desorption (TPD) of ammonia and data is given in Table 2. From the data, it is clear that the superior catalytic activity exhibited by the carbon acid catalyst is due to its higher acidity (8.19 mmol/g) when compared to other catalysts. Even 45 though ZSM-5 possesses high surface area (400 m²/g), its lower

activity may be due to its lower acidity (1.6 mmol/g) .

Table 2 Surface area and acidity data of the catalysts

Catalyst	Surface area (m^2/g)	Acidity ^a (mmol/g)		
Kieselguhr G	39.4^{b}	0.05		
$ZSM-5$	400 ^b	1.6		
Amberlyst-15	$45^{\rm b}$	4.7		
Carbon catalyst	0.21°	819		

^aEstimated from NH₃-TPD. ^bData obtained from supplier. ^cData from Ref. [46].

⁶⁰**2.8 Surfactant properties of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters (3a-f)**

65 properties, such as surface tension (γ_{CMC}) , critical micelle 70 tensiometer using standard method and the results are Aqueous solutions of all the synthesized isomannide monoesters **3(a-f)** were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts in Milli-Q water. These solutions were used for evaluation of surfactant concentration (CMC), surfactant concentration required to reduce the surface tension of the solvent by 20 mN/m (pC_{20}), surface excess (τ_{max}), minimum surface area per molecule (A_{min}) at 27 °C. The surfactant properties were measured by Kruss K100 summarized in Table 3.

Based on the above study, the optimized reaction parameters for the condensation of mannitol with oleic acid for the selective preparation of isomannide monooleate in maximum yield of 84% are: mannitol: oleic acid (1.5:1 mmol), catalyst (20 wt% of mannitol), temperature 180 °C and time 12 h. Employing 55 the optimized reaction conditions, a series of isomannide-based monoesters were synthesized with different fatty acids namely decanoic, lauric, myristic, palmitic and stearic acids in very good yields (Scheme 1). All synthesized compounds **3(a-f)** were further evaluated for their surfactant properties. 50

Compound	γ _{CMC} (mN/m)	CMC (mM)	pC_{20}	π_{CMC} (mN/m)	$\tau_{\rm max}\times 10^{12}$ (mol/mm ²)	A_{\min} $\text{(nm}^2/\text{molecule)}$	ΔG°_{ads} (kJ/mol)	$\Delta G^{\circ}{}_{\text{mic}}$ (kJ/mol)
3a	28.64	3.07×10^{-2}	5.09	42.76	6.87	0.24	-32.14	-25.91
3 _b	26.83	0.53×10^{-2}	5.57	44.43	14.07	0.12	-33.43	-30.28
3c	25.79	0.47×10^{-2}	5.61	45.49	15.08	0.11	-33.56	-30.55
3d	30.30	1.27×10^{-2}	5.49	40.80	7.54	0.22	-33.50	-28.10
3e	38.90	4.38×10^{-2}	4.82	31.96	4.47	0.37	-32.16	-25.02
3f	44.14	1.38×10^{-2}	5.06	26.55	5.34	0.31	-32.87	-27.91

Table 3 CMC and thermodynamic properties of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters **3(a-f)***^a*

^aSurface tension measured at 27 °C; γ_{CMC} , surface tension value at CMC; CMC, critical micelle concentration; π_{CMC} , effectiveness of surface tension reduction; τ*max*, maximum surface excess; *Amin*, minimum surface area per molecule; ∆*G*ºads, standard free energy of adsorption; ∆*G*ºmic, standard free energy of micellization.

2.8.1Surface tension and critical micelle concentration

- 10 provide a basic characterization of any surfactant. Fig. 6 Critical micelle concentration and the related surface tension represents the surface tension versus concentration (log scale) of the synthesized isomannide monoesters at 27 °C. The surface tension profile represents of two characteristic regions, one region located at low surfactant concentrations and characterized by a
- 15 continuous depression in the surface tension values by increasing the surfactant concentrations. The second region is located at high surfactant concentrations with almost constant surface tension values when the surfactant concentration increased.

Fig. 6 Variation of surface tension as a function of logarithm of surfactant concentrations of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters (3 a-f).

- Generally, an increase in the hydrophobicity decreases the CMC values by increasing length of the hydrophobic chain. This is due to the fact that the increase in hydrophobicity may render the molecule more favorable for aggregation, resulting in lowering of CMC. The similar trend was observed initially for the 20
- isomannide-based surfactants on increases of hydrophobicity 25 from C_{10} to C_{14} (3a-c). However, the deviation in CMC value from regularity was observed with the further increase in the number of carbon atoms in the hydrophobic chain from C_{16} C_{18} (**3d-e**), which may be due to the increased intramolecular
- 30 hydrophobic interaction by the effect of self-coiling.^{53,54} The deviation in CMC was also observed in the case of unsaturation

in the hydrophobic chain. The low CMC value observed in the case of isomannide monooleate (1.38 \times 10⁻² mM) when compared to isomannide monostearate $(4.38 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mM})$. This can be 35 attributed to the presence of the double bond in the hydrophobic chain of the oleate moiety which decreases the CMC value.

40 determined by using the following Eq 1. The effectiveness of surface tension reduction, π_{CMC} , is another factor which describes the lowering of the surface tension at the interface at surface saturation condition. π_{CMC} value was

$$
\pi_{\rm CMC} = \gamma_0 - \gamma_{\rm CMC} \tag{1}
$$

45 surface tension of the surfactant solution at CMC. The most 50 as efficient surfactants. The efficiency and effectiveness of a 55 resulting in higher efficiency of reduction of surface tension. where γ_0 is the surface tension of the pure water and γ_{CMC} is the efficient surfactant was the one that lowered the surface tension value at the CMC. All the synthesized surfactant solutions have π_{CMC} values range from 26-45 mN/m and the data shown that the compounds **3c** (45.5 mN/m) and **3b** (44.4 mN/m) were exhibited surfactant can also be assessed by the pC_{20} value, which is the negative logarithm of concentration of the surfactant required to reduce the surface tension of water by 20 units. A higher pC_{20} value indicates higher hydrophobic character of the surfactant, Isomannide monomyristate (**3c**) and monolaurate (**3b**) showed significantly higher pC_{20} values, indicates their tendency to be adsorbed at the air-water interface and also to form micelle much greater than the other synthesized surfactants.

60 The surface excess, τ_{max} (mol/mm²), can be calculated according to Eq 2.

$$
\tau_{\text{max}} = (\partial \gamma / \partial \text{ln} C) / RT \tag{2}
$$

- 65 where R is the gas constant (8.314) and T is the absolute temperature (K). The maximum surface excess (τ_{max}) values decreases by increasing the hydrophobic chain length from C_{14} -C18 (**3 c-e**) and the trend was reversed in the case of shorter hydrophobic chains from C_{10} - C_{12} (3 a-b).
- 70 The minimum surface area (A_{min}) can be calculated using Eq 3.

$$
A_{\min} = 1/N. \tau_{\max} \tag{3}
$$

where *N* is the Avogadro number $(6.023 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecule mol}^{-1})$ σ and A_{min} is given in nm² molecule⁻¹. The surface area per molecule (*A*min) values increases gradually by the increase of hydrophobic chain length as mentioned in Table 3. The *A*min values of isomannide monomyristate (**3c**), monopalmitate (**3d**), and monostearate (**3e**) surfactants, viz. 0.11, 0.22 and 0.37 nm2

10 molecule⁻¹ respectively. On the contrary, the trend was reversed in the case of shorter chain lengths of isomannide monoesters (**3a-b**).

2.8.2 Thermodynamic aspects

15 The thermodynamic characteristics of the synthesized isomannide based fatty acid esters were studied using calculated values of micellization and adsorption free energies, ∆*Gº*mic, ∆*Gº*ads respectively (Table 3), according to Eq 4 and 5.

$$
\Delta G^o_{\text{mic}} = RT \ln \text{CMC} \tag{4}
$$

where *R* is the gas constant and *T* is the absolute temperature.

$$
\Delta G^o_{\text{ads}} = \Delta G^o_{\text{mic}} - (\pi_{\text{CMC}} / \tau_{\text{max}})
$$
 (5)

30 the repulsion occurring between the hydrophobic chains and the The micellization (∆*Gº*mic) free energies of the synthesized surfactants in their aqueous media showed a negative value, indicating that micellization is a spontaneous process at a constant temperature. The driving force of micelle formation is

- 35 hydrophobic group interactions than for micellization at air-water polar medium. The negativity of both ∆*Gº*mic and ∆*Gº*ads indicates that the two processes occurred spontaneously. ∆*G*^{*o*}_{ads} is more negative than ∆*Gº*mic indicated that adsorption is more spontaneous due to lesser repulsion between water and
- interface. The increase of ∆*Gº*ads values may be ascribed to the tendency of the molecules to adsorb at the air-water interface until there is complete surface coverage. Beyond this, the surfactant molecules diffuse to the bulk of their solution to form
- 40 micelles. Hence, the micellization and adsorption processes are governed by the thermodynamic aspects.

3. Experimental

25

3.1 Chemical reagents

- 45 Amberlyst-15 (Dow Chemicals, India), ZSM-5 zeolite with a $SiO₂/Al₂O₃$ mole ratio of 30 (Zeolyst, Netherlands), Kieselguhr G (Alfa aesar, India) and all other chemicals were purchased from M/s. SD Fine Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. All solvents used were of analytical grade. Reaction was monitored on silica
- 50 gel TLC plates (coated with TLC grade silica gel, obtained from Merck) employing iodine vapors for detection of spots. Column chromatography was performed over silica gel (100-200 mesh) procured from Qualigens (India) using freshly distilled solvents.

3.2 General methods and analysis

65 fused silica capillary column HP-1 (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d \times 0.25 55 Melting points of the products were recorded using Barnstead 60 (operating at 300 MHz, 500 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C π ⁰ and detector temperatures were maintained at 280 and 300 °C, Electro thermal 9200 instrument. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Spectrum BX. Mass spectra were recorded using electron spray ionization-mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). ¹H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker UXNMR NMR) spectrometer using CDCl₃. Chemical shifts δ are reported relative to TMS (δ = 0.0) as an internal standard. All spectra were recorded at 25 °C. Conversion percentages were studied using Agilent 6850 Gas Chromatograph unit equipped with FID. A µm film of 100% methyl polysiloxane) was used for the conversion percentage. The oven temperature was programmed at 80 °C for 2 min and increased to 300 °C at 10 °C/min, held for 20 min. The flow rate of carrier gas (N_2) was 1 mL/min. The inlet respectively. The area percentage was recorded with a standard HP chemstation data system.

75 BELCAT II instrument (Japan BEL Inc.). Sample was loaded 80 flow rate of 30 mL/min for 1 h and subsequently flushed with The acidity of the catalysts was measured by using temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) of ammonia⁵⁵ on a (100 mg) and analyzed as per program given to the instrument. The sample was preheated at 500 \degree C for 1 h by passing pure helium (99.9%, 50 mL/min). After pretreatment, sample was saturated with anhydrous ammonia (10% NH₃) at 100 °C at a helium at the same temperature to remove physisorbed ammonia. The TPD analysis was carried out from 100 °C to 800 °C at a ramping rate of 10 °C/min. The amount of ammonia evolved was calculated from the peak area of the calibrated TCD signal.

85 90 cleaned with methanol followed by distilled water. The surface 95 almost reached saturation. For each concentration, the solution The surface tension was measured using Kruss K100 tensiometer (Kruss, GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) equipped with platinum ring having radius of 9.545 mm and wire diameter of 0.37 mm. Before measurement, the platinum ring was burned in an oxidizing flame by use of a Bunsen burner and thoroughly tension was (*γ*) measured at different concentrations by adding a subsequent volume of stock solution with a 765 Dosimat (Metrohm) which was connected to a Kruss bowl (120 mL) containing a known volume of water (50 mL) until the value was stirred for 60 s and equilibrated for 60 s, and the average of five readings was taken.

3.3 Preparation of glycerol-based SO₃H-carbon catalyst

100 A mixture of glycerol (10 g) and concentrated sulfuric acid (30 g) 105 was ceased. The resultant black crystalline product was washed 110 characterization of the carbon catalyst was carried out to establish were taken in a 500 ml glass beaker and gently heated on hotplate from ambient temperature to 220 ºC for 20 min, to facilitate *in situ* partial carbonization and sulfonation. The reaction mixture was allowed at that temperature for about 20 min till the foaming with hot water under agitation till the wash water becomes neutral to pH. The partially crystalline product was filtered and dried in an oven at 120 ºC for 2 h in order to ensure free of moisture to obtain glycerol-based carbon acid catalyst (4.67 g). The complete

its physico-chemical characteristics.⁴⁶ The surface area of the catalyst was estimated as 0.21 m^2/g by BET method. The acidity of the catalyst was found to be 8.19 mmol/g by NH_3 -TPD method.

5

3.4 General procedure for the synthesis of isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters (3a-f)

10 pressure tube was heated to 180 °C by immersing in an oil bath A mixture of fatty acid (1 mmol), D-mannitol (1.5 mmol), and carbon acid catalyst (20 wt% of mannitol) taken in an ACE with electrical heating under magnetic stirring for 12 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC and Gas Chromatography using HP-1 capillary column. The catalyst was separated by filtration and washed with chloroform (10 mL). The

- 15 filtrate was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and removed the solvent under reduced pressure. The resulted crude product was subjected to silica gel column chromatography using hexane/ethyl acetate (80:20 v/v) as eluent to obtain pure isomannide fatty acid monoester (yield 70-85%).
- 20

3.5 Spectral data

25 The spectral data of all the compounds are given as follows. All the synthesized isomannide-based fatty acid monoester compounds **3(a-f)** were thoroughly characterized by using different spectral techniques like IR, NMR ESI-MS, and HRMS.

3.5.1 Isomannide monodecanoate (3a)

30 (12H, m, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9-H), 1.58-1.67 (2H, m, 3-H), 35 Hz, O*CH2*CHOCO, Ha), 4.23-4.37 (1H, m, CH2*CH*OH), 4.48 $_{40}$ (ESI⁺): calcd for C₁₆H₂₉O₅ [M+H]⁺, 301.2009; found, 301.2012. Yield 70.3%. $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{24}$ +108.2 (*c* 0.65 in CHCl₃). $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3441 (OH), 2926 and 2857 (CH), 1739 (C=O), 1169 (C-O). ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 0.87 (3H, t, $J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}$, 10-H), 1.23-1.35 1.69 (1H, br s, OH), 2.36 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2-H), 3.57 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_b), 3.84 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.0$ *Hz*, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_b), 3.97 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.0$ Hz, J^2 $= 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_a), 4.11 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.8$ (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOH), 4.69 (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOCO), 5.12-5.18 (1H, q, *CH*OCO). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 14.01, 22.58, 24.79, 28.99, 29.17, 29.33, 31.78, 33.86, 34.10, 70.78, 72.19, 73.75, 73.82, 80.41, 81.51, 173.21. HRMS

3.5.2 Isomannide monolaurate (3b)

45 (C=O), 1173 (C-O). ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.88 (3H, t, *J* 50 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.0$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_a), 4.11 (1H, Yield 75.6%. White solid; mp 66-68 °C. $[\alpha]_D$ ²⁴ +26.2 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃). $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3443 (OH), 2925 and 2855 (CH), 1739 = 6.7 Hz, 12-H), 1.23-1.37 (16H, m, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9- H, 10-H, 11-H), 1.57-1.69 (2H, m, 3-H), 2.38 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2-H), 3.57 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 7.5$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_b), 3.84 (1H, dd, $J^{\prime} = 6.0$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_b), 3.97 dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.8$ Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_a), 4.24-4.36 (1H, m, CH2*CH*OH), 4.49 (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOH), 4.69 (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOCO), 5.12-5.18 (1H, q, *CH*OCO). 13C NMR

55 29.39, 29.54, 31.84, 33.88, 34.12, 70.79, 72.20, 73.78, 73.82, (75 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 14.03, 22.61, 24.81, 29.07, 29.19, 29.27, 80.43, 81.51, 173.22. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{18}H_{33}O_5$ [M+H]⁺, 329.2322; found, 329.2323.

3.5.3 Isomannide monomyristate (3c)

60 Yield 73.5%. White solid; mp 38-40 °C. [α]_D²⁴ +92.2 (*c* 0.55 in 65 $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2-H), 3.57 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, 70 = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOH), 4.69 (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOCO), 5.12- CHCl₃). $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3442 (OH), 2924 and 2855 (CH), 1740 (C=O), 1171 (C-O). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (3H, t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 14-H), 1.21-1.35 (20H, m, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9- H, 10-H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H), 1.55-1.70 (2H, m, 3-H), 2.36 (2H, t, OCH₂CHOH, H_b), 3.84 (1H, dd, $J^{\prime} = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.8$ Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_b), 3.97 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_a), 4.11 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.8$ Hz, O*CH2*CHOCO, Ha), 4.26-4.38 (1H, m, CH2*CH*OH), 4.48 (1H, t, *J* 5.18 (1H, q, *CH*OCO). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3,): *δ* 14.07, 22.63, 24.79, 29.00, 29.19, 29.29, 29.59 (4 × C), 31.85, 33.85, 34.04, 70.75, 72.16, 73.75, 73.79, 80.40, 81.47, 173.22. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for C₂₀H₃₇O₅ [M+H]⁺, 357.2635; found, 357.2637.

3.5.4 Isomannide monopalmitate (3d)

80 = 6.7 Hz, 16-H), 1.21-1.35 (24H, m, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9- 85 O*CH*₂CHOH, H_a), 4.11 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.8$ Hz, 90 33.87, 34.12, 70.77, 72.18, 73.81 (2 × C), 80.42, 81.49, 173.24. Yield 77.2%. White solid; mp 77-79 °C. $[\alpha]_D$ ²⁴ +22.2 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃). $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3443 (OH), 2920 and 2852 (CH), 1740 (C=O), 1175 (C-O). ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.88 (3H, t, *J* H, 10-H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H, 14-H, 15-H), 1.56-1.70 (2H, m, 3-H), 2.36 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2-H), 3.57 (1H, dd, $J¹ = 6.7$ Hz, $J² = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_b), 3.84 (1H, dd, $J^{\prime} = 6.0$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_b), 3.97 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.8$ Hz, O*CH2*CHOCO, Ha), 4.24-4.36 (1H, m, CH2*CH*OH), 4.48 (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOH), 4.69 (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOCO), 5.12-5.18 (1H, q, *CH*OCO). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 14.09, 22.66, 24.81, 29.03, 29.21, 29.32, 29.42, 29.64 (5 × C), 31.88, HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{22}H_{41}O_5$ [M+H]⁺, 385.2948; found, 385.2952.

3.5.5 Isomannide monostearate (3e)

95 Yield 85.1%. White solid; mp 42-44 °C. [α]_D²⁴ +45.5 (*c* 0.65 in 100 (2H, m, 3-H), 1.73 (1H, br s, -OH), 2.38 (2H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2-H), 105 CH2*CH*OH), 4.48 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, *CH*CHOH), 4.69 (1H, t, *J* = CHCl₃). $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3434 (OH), 2919 and 2851 (CH), 1740 (C=O), 1173 (C-O). ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.88 (3H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 18-H), 1.21-1.35 (28H, m, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9- H, 10-H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H, 14-H, 15-H, 16-H, 17-H), 1.58-1.67 3.57 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 7.1$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_b), 3.84 $(1H, dd, J¹= 6.5 Hz, J² = 9.3 Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_b), 3.97 (1H,$ dd, $J^1 = 6.2$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.1$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_a), 4.11 (1H, dd, $J^1 =$ 6.4 Hz, $J^2 = 9.3$ Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_a), 4.23-4.32 (1H, m, 5.1 Hz, *CH*CHOCO), 5.13-5.18 (1H, q, *CH*OCO). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.11, 22.67, 24.82, 29.04, 29.23, 29.34, 29.43,

29.66 (8 × C), 31.90, 33.89, 70.77, 72.20, 73.81, 73.87, 80.43, 81.50, 173.21. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for C₂₄H₄₄O₅Na [M+Na]⁺, 435.3081; found, 435.3083.

⁵**3.5.6 Isomannide monooleate (3f)**

Yield 81.3%. $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{\text{D}}$ ²⁴ +65.6 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃). $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3446 (OH), 2926 and 2856 (CH), 1737 (C=O), 1650 (C=C), 1175 (C-O). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 0.88 (3H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 18- H), 1.23-1.40 (m, 20H, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H, 12-H, 13-H, 14-H, 15-H, 16-H, 17-H), 1.57-1.71 (2H, m, 3-H), 1.96-2.07 (4H, m, 8- 10 H, 11-H), 2.38 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2-H), 3.57 (1H, dd, $J¹ = 6.9$ Hz,

- $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_b), 3.84 (1H, dd, $J^2 = 6.7$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.6$ Hz, OCH₂CHOCO, H_b), 3.97 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.2$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.0$ Hz, OCH₂CHOH, H_a), 4.11 (1H, dd, $J^1 = 6.4$ Hz, $J^2 = 9.4$ Hz, 15 OCH₂CHOCO, H_a), 4.22-4.38 (1H, m, CH₂CHOH), 4.48 (1H, t, *J*
- = 5.2 Hz, *CH*CHOH), 4.69 (1H, t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, *CH*CHOH), 5.12- 5.18 (1H, q, *CH*OCO), 5.30-5.41 (2H, m, 9-H, 10-H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 14.11, 22.66, 24.80, 27.13, 27.18, 29.01, 29.07, 29.12, 29.29 (2 × C), 29.50, 29.65, 29.73, 31.88, 33.87,
- 70.76, 72.18, 73.81, 73.87, 80.41, 81.49, 129.69, 129.97, 173.19. 20 HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{24}H_{43}O_5$ [M+H]⁺, 411.3105; found, 411.3110.

3.6 Surfactant properties

- 25 All the synthesized compounds of isomannide monoesters were evaluated for surfactant properties. Aqueous solutions of nonionic isomannide monoesters were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts in Milli-Q water. A total of five tension measurements for each surfactant were determined using Kruss
- 30 K100 tensiometre and the results presented are mean of such independent measurements.

4 Conclusions

- 35 and environmental benign protocol for the synthesis of novel In conclusion, we have developed a simple, smooth, an efficient isomannide-based fatty acid monoesters employing a novel, highly efficient and reusable $SO₃H$ -carbon catalyst as a heterogeneous green catalyst in good yields. The catalyst exhibited a remarkable efficiency for the selective formation of
- 40 isomannide fatty acid monoesters under solvent free conditions at 180 °C. The merits of this protocol are operationally simple, cleaner reaction profile, ease of product isolation, and reusability of the catalyst. Synthesized compounds were evaluated for their surface active properties. Decrease in CMC value with the
- 45 increase in hydrophobicity was observed for C_{10} , C_{12} and C_{14} fatty acid esters of isomannide (**3a-c**). Whereas, deviation in CMC value from regularity was observed in case of C_{16} and C_{18} fatty acid esters of isomannide (**3d-e**). Among all the synthesized compounds, isomannide monomyristate (**3c**) and monolaurate
- 50 (**3b**) exhibited superior surface active properties followed by isomannide monopalmitate (**3d**).

Acknowledgements

55 financial support from Council of Scientific and Industrial One of the authors T.V.K. Reddy is greatly acknowledge to the Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India, for the award of Senior Research Fellowship (SRF).

Notes and references

- 60 *Centre for Lipid Research, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad-500007, India, Fax: +91 40 27193370; Tel: +91 40 27191845; E-mail: prabhavathi@iict.res.in*
- 65 compounds]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/ † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Gas chromatogram of isomannide monooleate, ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra for all
	- 1 M. Deleu and M. Paquot, *C. R. Chimie.*, 2004, **7,** 641.
- 2 S. Hirota and Y. Sadzuka, *J. Jpn. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **45,** 1125.
- 3 S. Kokubo, K. Matsuda and T. Katsuragi, *J. Jpn. Oil Chem. Soc.,* 70 1996, **45,** 1157.
- 4 H. Minamikawa and M. Hato, *J. Jpn. Oil Chem. Soc.,* 1996, **45,** 1001.
- 5 M. Goto, T. Ono, F. Nakashio and T. A. Hatton, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.,* 1997, **54,** 26.
- 75 6 P. Kim, D. K. Oh, S. Y. Kim and J. H. Kim, *Biotechnol. Lett.,* 1997, **19,** 457.
	- 7 K. Adelhorst, F. Bjorkling, S. E. Godtfredsen and O. Kirk, *Synthesis,* 1990, **2,** 112.
- 8 T. Fujii, *J. Jpn. Oil Chem. Soc.,* 1996, **45,** 1013.
- 80 9 K. Ogino, *J. Jpn. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **45,** 921.
	- 10 J. A. Across, M. Bernabe and C. Otero, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.,* 1998, **57,** 505.
	- 11 J. A. Across, M. Bernabe and C. Otero, *J. Surfactants Detergents.,* 1998, **1,** 345.
- 85 12 Y. Yan, U. T. Bornscheuer, L. Cao and R. D. Schmid, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.,* 1999, **25,** 725.
	- 13 S. W. Chang and J. F. Shaw, *New Biotechnol.,* 2009, **26,** 109.
	- 14 D. Coulon and M. Ghoul, *Agro Food Industry Hi-Tech.,* 1998, **9,** 22.
	- 15 S. Furukawa, Y. Akiyoshi, G. A. O'Toole, H. Ogihara and Y. 90 Morinaga, *Int. J. Food Microbiol.,* 2010, **138,** 176.
	- 16 Y. Queneau, S. Chambert, C. Besset and R. Cheaib, *Carbohydr. Res.,* 2008, **343,** 1999.
	- 17 Y. Yan, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Stuttgart, 2001.
- 18 S. Sabeder, M. Habulin and Z. Knez, *J. Food Eng.,* 2006, **77,** 880.
- 95 19 D. K. Allen and B. Y. Tao, *J. Surfact. Deterg.*, 1999, **2,** 383.
	- 20 R. K. Gupta, K. James and F. J. Smith, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.,* 1983, **60,** 862.
	- 21 Y. Queneau, S. Chambert, C. Besset and R. Cheaib, *Carbohydr. Res.,* 2008, **343,** 1999.
- 100 22 R. Bromann, B. Konig and L. Fischer, *Synth. Commun*., 1999, **29,** 951.
	- 23 F. Caugila and P. Canepa, P, *Bioresour. Technol*., 2008, **99,** 4065.
	- 24 L. Osipow, F. D. Snell, W. C. York and A. Finchler, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 1956, **48,** 1459.
- 105 25 R. Khan, *Pure & Appl. Chem.,* 1984, **56,** 833.
	- 26 G. Fregapane, D. Sarney, G. Greenberg, D. Knight and E. Vulfson, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.,* 1994, **71,** 87.
	- 27 D. Patil, A. D. Leonardis and A. Nag, *J. Food Biochem*., 2011, **35,** 747.

- 28 S. Adachi and T. Kobayashi, *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 2005, **99,** 87.
- 29 S. K. Karmee, *Biofuels Bioprod. Bioref.*, 2008, **2,** 144.
- 30 F. J. Hernandez-Fernandez, A. P. de los Rios, L. J. Lozano-Blanco and C. Godinez, *J. Chem. Tech. Biotechnol.*, 2010, **85,** 1423.
- 5 31 U. H. Zaidan, M. B. A. Rahman, S. S. Othman, M. Basri, E. Abdulmalek, R. N. Z. R. A. Rahman and A. B. Salleh, *Food Chem.,* 2012, **131,** 199.
- 32 J. Yu, J. Zhang, A. Zhao and X. Ma, *Catal. Commun.*, 2008, **9,** 1369.
- 10 33 J. F. Kennedy, H. Kumar, P. S. Panesar and S. S. Marwaha, R. Goyal, A. Parmar and S. Kaur, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.,* 2006, **81,** 866.
	- 34 P. M. L. Goncalves, S. M. Roberts and P. W. H. Han, *Tetrahedron,* 2004, **60,** 927.
- 15 35 G. F. Lorente, J. M. Palomo, J. Cocca, C. Mateo, P. Moro, M. Terreni, R. F. Lafuente and J. M. Guisan, *Tetrahedron,* 2003, **59,** 5705.
	- 36 W. Tsuzuki, Y. Kitamura, T. Suzuki and T. Mase, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.,* 1999, **63,** 1467.
- 20 37 C. Tsitsimpikou, H. Stamatis, V. Sereti, H. Daflos and F. Kolisis, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.,* 1998, **71,** 309.
	- 38 C. C. Akoh, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **71,** 319.
- 39 F. J. Plou, M. Cruces, M. Ferrer, G. Fuentes, E. Pastor, M. Bernabe, M. Christensen, F. Comelles, J. L. Parra and A. Ballesteros, *J.*
- ²⁵*Biotechnol.,* 2002, **96,** 55.
	- 40 J. C. C. Chang, J. P. Diveley, J. R. Savary and F. C. Jensen, *Adv Drug Deliver Rev.* 1998, **32,** 173.
	- 41 K. Oda, Y. Sato, S. Katayama, A. Ito and T. Ohgitani, *Vaccine,* 2004, **22,** 2812.
- 30 42 *US Pat.,,* 3 983 228, 1976.
	- 43 *US Pat.,,* 2, 417 235, 2012.
- 44 S. Soltzberg, *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.,* 1970, **25,** 229.
- 45 S. Kang1, J. Ye and J. Chang, *Int. Rev. Chem. Eng.,* 2013, **5,** 132.
- 35 46 B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi, K. N. Gangadhar, P. S. Sai Prasad, B. Jagannadh and R. B. N. Prasad, *ChemSusChem,* 2009, **2,** 617.
	- 47 B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi, K. N. Gangadhar, K. L. N. Siva Kumar, K. Shiva Shanker, R. B. N. Prasad and P. S. Sai Prasad, *J. Mol. Catal A: Chem.,* 2011, **345,** 96.
- 40 48 B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi, K. N. Gangadhar, K. Vijayalakshmi, R. B. N. Prasad and P. S. Sai Prasad, *J. Lipid Sci. Technol.,* 2012, **44,** 126.
- 49 B. M. Rao, G. N. Reddy, T. V. K. Reddy, B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi, R. B. N. Prasad, J. S. Yadav and B. V. S. Reddy, *Tetrahedron* ⁴⁵*Lett.,* 2013, **54,** 2466.
- 50 M. Vijay, R. B. N. Prasad, B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi, *J. Ole. Sci.,* 2013, **62,** 849.
- 51 U. Chandrakala, R. B. N. Prasad and B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.,* 2014, **53,** 16164.
- 50 52 G. N. Reddy, B. M. Rao, M. Vijay, B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi, R. B. N. Prasad and B. V. Subba Reddy, *Can. J. Chem.,* 2015, **93,** 341.
- 53 M. J. L. Castro, J. Kovensky and A. F. Cirelli, *Langmuir,* 2002, **18,** 2477.
- 54 P. Mukherjee, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.,* 1967, **1,** 242.
- 55 55 O. Rahmanpour, A. Shariati and M. R. K. Nikou, *Int. J. Chem. Eng. & Appl.,* 2012, **3,** 125.