# RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

5

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

# **ARTICLE TYPE**

# **A facile design, highly efficient green synthetic strategy of peony flowerlike SO<sup>4</sup> 2-SnO2-fly ash nano catalyst for the three component synthesis of serendipity product with dimedone in water**

**Kannan Thirumurthy and Ganesamoorthy Thirunarayanan\*** 

# *Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX*  **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

We have first time successfully found serendipity product of 2-((2-((9-ethyl-9*H*-carbazol-3-yl)amino)- 4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione derivatives

- 10 by utilized  $SO_4^2$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash nano catalyst in water. We have designed  $SO_4^2$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash nano catalyst it has good catalytic activity, easily separable, good reusability and notable industrial applications. The catalytic role of Sn-O has more affinity with carbonyl group of dimedone. The major component of fly ash  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  which may enhance the catalytic activity of oxidation processes. Within this incentive conversion of product could be rapid and high yielded. A facile designed,  $SO_4^2$ Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst
- <sup>15</sup>was characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy ( FT-IR), confocal Raman spectroscopy, powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), Field emission electron microscopy (FE-SEM), Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS and elemental color mapping), High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) and UV-Visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-Vis DRS) techniques. The nano cube and peony flower like morphologies were found in FE-SEM and HR-TEM images. The flower
- <sup>20</sup> like  $SO_4^2$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst has highly stable nature was favorable for organic reaction. The crystalline nature, surface morphology, chemical composition and morphology of the reused  $SO_4^2$ Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst were proved by PXRD, FE-SEM, EDAX and HR-TEM analysis respectively. The facile designed  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash nano catalyst was versatile to both environmental and economical point of view. The synthesized serendipity product derivatives and byproducts were characterized by FT-IR, Nuclear <sup>25</sup>magnetic resonance (NMR) and High resolution-mass spectrometry (HR-MS).

**Introduction** 

Modern organic chemists enthuse on environmental and economical abundant source of water, practically reliable for organic synthesis. It leads to organic synthesis in green manner  $30$  significantly.  $1, 2$  Recent decades, researchers paid much more interest on green synthetic approach with nano green catalyst for organic reactions. 3-5 By having this mind, we have taken account the environment pollutant and thermal power plant waste residue of fly ash to assist as a catalyst for organic reaction. Our group 35 earlier reported  $SO_4^2$ -fly ash catalyst for Crossed-Aldol condensation. <sup>6</sup>This catalyst are not shown good catalytic activity in the present investigation. The demand for the suitable catalyst, we have concentrated on  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  based catalyst. Since low toxic, thermally stable, less moisture native, high selectivity, good <sup>40</sup>catalytic activity, easily separable and reusable catalyst were found in recent articles.  $7-11$  The SnO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was utilized for numerous organic synthesis such as 2,4-diphenyl-4,6,7,8 tetrahydrochromen-5-one, <sup>12</sup>4-Hydroxycoumarin *o*-alkylation, <sup>13</sup> 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-2(1*H*)-ones, <sup>14</sup> ketoesters, <sup>15</sup> esterification,

 $16, 17$  esterification of free fatty acids,  $18$  1*H*-imidazole  $19$  and Mukaiyama aldol condensation.<sup>20</sup>

Carbazole based derivatives are virtuous medicinal application in breast cancer metastases, brain tumour, <sup>21</sup> and HIV. <sup>22</sup> Carbazole moiety possesses another vast attention in organic electronics <sup>50</sup>such as organic solar cells, organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), 23, 24 organic thin film transistors (OTFTs), <sup>25</sup> and organic semiconductors.  $26$  In this context our present investigation is to study the three component reaction with dimedone. In organic synthesis, dimedone is the key intermediate 55 for the synthesis of various products. In general, the three component reaction of dimedone, aniline and aldehydes gave the possible products and are reported such as 1,8-dioxodecahydroacridines, 27-32 acridine <sup>33</sup> and *N*-acridines. <sup>34</sup> Our present investigation deals the reaction with <sup>60</sup>3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole, dimedone and aldehyde in the presence of  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst. The products of this reaction, as (**6, 7** and **8)** were not furnished. Since, the products are unexpected of (**4** and **5)** and these are furnished shown in

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year] *[journal]*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00 | **1**

75

105

Scheme. 1. Based on the earlier literature report, it states that the electron withdrawing nature of *m-*substituent amine (3-amino-9 ethylcarbazole) steric hindrance of electron density which may deferment the reaction.  $35$  Within this possibility products of  $(6, 7)$ 

- <sup>5</sup>and **8)** was not furnished. The reaction was performed in the presence of aniline (**9**) under optimized condition the corresponding product of 2,2-dimethyl-9-phenyl-2,3,9,10 tetrahydroacridin-4(1H)-one (**10)** was furnished. Since, the authors believed that the reaction involves the above domino 10 sequence of Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition
- reactions.

To the best of our knowledge, first time, we have found serendipity product of 2-((2-((9-ethyl-9*H*-carbazol-3-yl)amino)- <sup>15</sup>4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)-5,5-

dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione derivatives in water. The heterogeneous catalyst  $SO_4^2$ Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst has been numerous advantages such as easily separable, economically reliable, eco-friendly and notable industrial applications.



**Scheme.** 1 Screening of the  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst for serendipity product.

# **Results and discussion**

# <sup>40</sup>**Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)**

The FT-IR spectra of the facile designed  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ -fly ash,  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalysts are depicted in Fig. 1a-b. The absorption band was appeared at  $968 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is assigned to the

- $45$  Si-O-Si band. The intense peak was appeared at 443 cm<sup>-1</sup> which indicates Sn-O stretching vibration. The broad vibration peak observed at  $643 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is referred that Sn-O-Sn symmetric and asymmetric frequency band are close agreement with earlier literature.  $36$  In addition to that the broad peak also appeared at
- $50 \times 1124$  cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to asymmetric stretching vibration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> good agreement with earlier reports. 37, 38



**Fig. 1** FT-IR spectra of (a)  $\text{SnO}_2\text{-fly ash}$ , (b)  $\text{SO}_4^2\text{-SnO}_2\text{-fly ash}$ .

## **Confocal Raman spectroscopy**

Fig. 2a-b depicts the confocal Raman spectra of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ -fly ash and  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash respectively. Fig. 2a illustrates that the SiO<sub>2</sub> stretching vibration was observed at  $859 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is close assessment of earlier reported value. <sup>39</sup> Fig. 2b reveals that the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  stretching <sup>80</sup>band was shifted to the higher region, which is specified at 1122 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The broad high intensity of peak observed at 794 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned as  $SO_4^2$  bending vibration which is good agreement with earlier reported Raman shift. <sup>40</sup> From the Fig 2a and 2b spectra results,  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  Raman shifts were found at 614, 816, 1270 <sup>85</sup> and 2211 cm<sup>-1</sup> which are represents the Sn-O-Sn stretching mode coincide in earlier literature. 41, 42



**Fig. 2** Confocal Raman spectra of  $(a)$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash, (b)  $SO_4^2$ <sup>-</sup>SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash.

# **Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)**

The crystalline phase of  $SnO_2$ -fly ash and  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash catalysts were analysed by powder XRD patterns and illustrated  $110$  in Fig. 3a-b. The diffraction patterns of catalysts were indexed by mullite, quartz and magnetite <sup>43, 44</sup> (Fig. 3a-b). A diffraction pattern appeared at 37.10 2 $\theta$  ( $\degree$ ) reveals the presence of SnO<sub>2</sub>. The corresponding 2 $\theta$  (°) value of d spacing value is 1.11 Å and plane

30

70

85

is [3 3 1] which is good agreement with earlier literature of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ (JCPDS card No. 50-1429 space group Pa3 and  $a_0$ =4.870 Å). <sup>45</sup> The new diffraction peaks was observed at 64.98 2θ (°) which may represent the  $SO_4^{2-46}$  In addition to that the diffraction 5 patterns were also observed at 14.10 and 29.28 2θ ( $\degree$ ) (indicated by cube). The corresponding planes are [1 1 1] and [2 2 2] agreed the d spacing value as 2.81 and 1.40 Å respectively. As a result crystalline phase of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  which were good agreement with earlier literature value of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  (JCPDS card No. 50-1429).



**Fig. 3** PXRD-patterns of (a)  $\text{SnO}_2\text{-fly ash}$  (b)  $\text{SO}_4^2\text{-SnO}_2\text{-fly ash}$ .

# **Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM)**

The nano structure and surface morphology of the  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ -fly ash and  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash catalysts were analyzed by FE-SEM and depict in Fig. 4a-d.



**Fig. 4** FE-SEM images of (a)  $\text{SnO}_2$ -fly ash (b-d)  $\text{SO}_4^2$ - $\text{SnO}_2$ -fly  $55$  ash, scale bars (a)  $2 \mu m$ , (b)  $1 \mu m$  (c, d)  $200$  nm.

Fig. 4a image reveals that the  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ -fly ash, the cubic structures which are coexists on globular shape with size of 2 µm. Fig. 4b-d described the surface morphology of the facile designed  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst. Fig. 4b shows the fine shape <sup>60</sup>nanostructure of cubic and numerous of peony like (peony flower inserted) nano flower (indicated yellow spherical) was seemed, about 1 µm. The close up view of the nano cubic and nano flower individual surface morphology of 200 nm which as shown in Fig. 4c, 4d. Fig. 4c seen the flower like regular arrangement was <sup>65</sup>attained and flower petals are uniform dispersal, within the constructed space. Fig. 4d image seems that the fine shape and smooth face of nano cube was clearly observed. In this observation concise, designed catalyst is regularly arranged within the particles.

# **Field emission scanning electron microscopy elemental color mapping**

Manuscrip

The chemical composition, of  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash was examined by FE-SEM elemental color mapping. The result provides the <sup>75</sup>uniform distribution of chemical composition, as illustrated in Fig. 5. The individual elemental color mapping (S, Sn, Si, Al, O, Ca, Fe and Mg) is displayed in Fig. 5b-5i. The fly ash comprises elements (Al, O, Si, Ca, Fe and Mg) ensuing, Sn and S elements are present in facile modified catalyst. Noteworthy no other so element appeared, this result further noticed  $SO_4^2$ Sn $O_2$ -fly ash catalyst is in pure form.



**Fig. 5** FE-SEM elemental color mapping of (a)  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash mix, (b) S, (c) Sn, (d) Si, (e) Al, (f) O, (g) Ca, (h) Fe, and (i) Mg, scale bars (a-i)  $20 \mu m$ .

# **Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDS)**

The chemical composition of  $SnO_2$ -fly ash and  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash was examined by EDS analysis. The facile designed  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ -fly ash components of Si, Mg, Ca, Al, Fe, O and Sn shown in Fig. 6a.

Fig. 6b shows that the facile designed  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash peony like nano flower and nano cube catalyst chemical composition are similar to Fig 6a, however in addition to that S element also appeared. The catalyst elemental composition, weight and atomic <sup>5</sup>weight percentage is given in ESI Table S1.



**Fig. 6** EDS spectra of (a)  $\text{SnO}_2$ -fly ash (b)  $\text{SO}_4^2$ - $\text{SnO}_2$ -fly ash

# **High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM)**

- The nano cube and peony like nano flower morphology of  $40$  SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash was examined by High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) shown in Fig.7a-7f. Fig. 7a reveals that the flawless nano cube was observed, it seen smooth edges of cube length, width and height. The nano cube parameters were displayed, nano cube length is 520 nm, width is
- <sup>45</sup>89 nm and height is 459 nm respectively. The peony flower like nano flower morphology observed of 0.2 µm. The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) (inserted) it seemed, flower like uniform spots shown in Fig. 7b. The nano flower in order to further assessed, it seen nano flower petals about 50 nm (nano petals FFT inserted)
- <sup>50</sup>shown in Fig. 7c. The nano flower morphology have modest characters such as strongly bonded with atoms, highly stable nature, high exposure of active facets, high degree of dispersion and highly crystalline nature. <sup>47</sup> The HR-TEM lattice fringes was measured of 0.21 nm, 0.27 nm (FFT inserted) depicts in Fig. 7d.
- <sup>55</sup>Fig. 7e depicts the growth direction of cube orientation planes [1 1 1], [2 2 2] and [3 3 1] are displayed in SAED pattern (FFT inserted). Fig. 7f close reviewed SAED pattern it seems cube like regular diffraction pattern. From the results suggest that facile designed  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash catalyst has good crystalline phase.



100 **Fig. 7** HR-TEM images of  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash (a) nano cube, (b) nano flower, (c) nano petal, (scale bars (a) 100 nm, (b) 0.2 µm, (c) 50 nm), (d) HR-TEM 5 nm, (e, f) SAED patterns 2 1/nm.

# **Optimization of the reaction conditions**

<sup>105</sup>To find the noticeable reaction condition for unusual product of 2-((2-((9-ethyl-9*H*-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6 oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)-5,5-

dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione **4a** and by product (*E*)-*N*benzylidene-9-ethyl-9*H*-carbazol-3-amine **5a** in water. The  $110$  reaction mixture of dimedone (1 mmol 152 mg), benzaldehyde (1 mmol 0.1 mL) and 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (1 mmol 210 mg) in the absence of catalyst in water at 95 °C for 8 h. The resulting in the absence of catalyst no product was furnished Table 1 entry 1. Then we have attempted the reaction in the presence of fly ash <sup>115</sup>catalyst at 95 °C for 5 h. The resulting trace amount of yield of **4a** and 12% **5a** yield was furnished shown in Table 1 entry 2. The next examination of the reaction in the presence of  $SO_4^2$ -fly ash

catalyst at 85 °C for 3.5 h. This reaction condition, only trace amount of **4a** and 17% **5a** was isolated shown in Table 1 entry 3.





<sup>a</sup>Reaction condition: dimedone, benzaldehyde and 3-amino-9-35 ethylcarbazole (1 mmol scale). bperformed catalyst. <sup>c</sup>Isolated yield.

In this observation to find the catalytic efficiency of sulfuric acid in this reaction, however it did not promote the reaction. Next we <sup>40</sup>screened the catalytic efficiency of five metal oxides (CuO, ZrO, MgO,  $Bi_2O_3$  and  $SnO_2$ ) of the present reaction. In these conditions **5a** only furnished Table 1 entry 5, 7 and 8. However these catalysts (CuO, ZrO, MgO) not supported for product **4a** and **5a.** The good selectivity of the Sn and Bi metals has high 45 oxidation activity, which is addressed on earlier literature.<sup>48</sup> In this connection, presence of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  catalysts to afford trace amount of 4a. Next we have examined metal oxide loaded fly ash catalyst (CuO-fly ash and ZrO-fly ash) these catalysts furnished only **5a** yield depicted in Table 1 entry 10. In this

<sup>50</sup>continuous screening Table 1 entry 3, 4, 9, 11 and 13 the unusual product of **4a** compound was appeared. As results and well documented in the presence of fly ash, super acid condition with

SnO<sup>2</sup> catalyst support product **4a** furnished. In this mind we have focused on sulfated metal oxide fly ash catalyst for the present 55 reaction. The reaction was performed with  $SO_4^2$ -Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-fly ash catalyst support the yield 20% compound **4a** was obtained and illustrated Table 1 entry 14. Our prime motto and aim was improve unusual product, in this intension we studied the different weight percentage of  $SO_4^2$ SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash (SnO<sub>2</sub> 1, 3, 5) <sup>60</sup>wt%) catalyst in the reaction. The yield of **4a** was successfully improved to 56% by using  $1wt\%$  of  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash. In this observation  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash catalyst (3, 5 wt%) and evaluated



**Fig. 8** Optimization of catalyst (a) fly ash, (b)  $SO_4^2$ -fly ash, (c) metal oxides (CuO,  $Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ , ZrO, MgO, and SnO<sub>2</sub>), (d) metal oxides loaded fly ash (CuO,  $Bi_2O_3$ , ZrO, MgO, and SnO<sub>2</sub>), (e, f) so  $SO_4^2$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash (3, 5 wt%).

Inspired by the optimal reaction condition, we have designed further entries, of electron withdrawing and donating substitute groups of aromatic benzaldehyde shown in Table 2 (entry 1-8).

85 The electron withdrawing group of benzaldehydes smoothly went the reaction, with good yield. The bulky group of 2-chloro-6 methoxy-3-quinolinecarboxaldehyde gave good yield within 10 min Table 2 entry 2. Specifically electron withdrawing group of 4-trifluoromethylbenzaldehyde, 4-methylthiobenzaldehyde and

<sup>90</sup>2-thiophenecarboxaldehye to afford excellent yield of unusual product with short reaction time showed in Table 2 entry 3, 5 and 7. When the substrate contain electron donating group minimum time required to convert product.

95

100

105





<sup>a</sup>Reactions were carried out with dimedone, substituted benzaldehyde, 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (1 mmol scale),  $\mathrm{SO}_4^2$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst (50 mg) and water as solvent at 80 °C 35 for 5-10 min. <sup>b</sup>Isolated product. <sup>c</sup>Isolated yield.

# **Reusability of SO<sup>4</sup> 2-SnO<sup>2</sup> -fly ash nano green catalyst**

To evaluate the reusability efficacy heterogeneous  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst, in the formation of unusual 2-<sup>40</sup>((2-((9-ethyl-9*H*-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-

- oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)-5,5 dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione under optimized condition. The reused solid  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst was recovered from reaction mixture by employing filtration technique. The catalyst
- <sup>45</sup>was purified with DCM (20 mL) and the catalyst was dried in hot air oven at 130 °C for 1 h. The similar processes were continued up to sixth consecutive run. The reusability potential of  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst was examined by up to sixth reaction cycles. The conversion of yield was evaluated in the terms of
- $_{50}$  turn-over number (TON<sub>1</sub>, TON<sub>2</sub>) and turn-over frequency (TOF<sub>1</sub>, TOF<sub>2</sub>) shown in Table 3. Recycling experiment of  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash nano catalyst shown in Fig 9. The account concise of fresh catalyst and sixth cycles run catalyst did not lead to any significant decay in its catalytic activity. However the trivial time 55 required to conversion of product.



**Fig. 9** Recycling experiment of  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst

**Table 3** Recycling potential of  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst for  $4c$ <sup>70</sup>and **5c** 

			Entry No. of runs Time Yield TON <sub>1</sub> TON <sub>2</sub> TOF <sub>1</sub> (min) (%)					TOF <sub>2</sub>
75 l		fresh	5	85:7	5.1	0.42	0.017	0.001
	$\overline{2}$	run <sub>1</sub>	5	85:7	5.1	0.42	0.017	0.001
	3	run <sub>2</sub>	5	85:7	5.1	0.42	0.017	0.001
	$\overline{4}$	run $3$	7	84.7	5.0	0.42	0.011	0.001
	5	run $4$	8	84:7	5.0	0.42	0.010	0.0008
so 6		run $5$	8	83:7	4.9	0.42	0.010	0.0008
	7	run $6$	8	83:6	4.9	0.36	0.010	0.0007

# **Characterization techniques of reused SO<sup>4</sup> 2-SnO<sup>2</sup> -fly ash catalyst**

- <sup>85</sup>The catalyst crystalline nature and surface morphology of sixth reaction run  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst was examined by Powder XRD, FE-SEM, EDS and HR-TEM techniques. Fig. 10a depicts sixth cycles run  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst are shown similar to fresh catalyst diffraction pattern. The sixth consecutive
- <sup>90</sup>run catalyst morphology was examined by FE-SEM technique. Fig. 10b-c FE-SEM reveal that the peony like nano flower and nano cube morphology respectively. The nano flower and nano cube regular arrangement of particle 200 nm which is similar to freshly catalyst morphology. From this morphology studies we 95 concise, the reused  $SO_4^2$ Sn $O_2$ -fly ash catalyst no morphology changes even after sixth successive run. The EDS spectrum shown in Fig. 10d and the chemical composition weight percentage were given in ESI Table S1. The HR-TEM images deals that the sixth reaction run catalyst possess no noticeable <sup>100</sup>change in nano flower (FFT images inset) shown in 10e. The smooth face of nano cubic morphology was displayed in Fig. 10f. From the results sixth reaction run catalyst not affected morphology. The SAED pattern diffraction spots seen regular

arrangement shown in Fig.10h.



**Fig. 10** Sixth run  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash catalyst (a) powder XRD (b, c) FE-SEM images (d) EDS (e-g) HR-TEM images (h) SAED patterns 2 1/nm.

# **Probing of SO<sup>4</sup> 2-SnO<sup>2</sup> -fly ash for serendipity product**

The significant scope of the fly ash (type C) major component of  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  to enhance, the catalytic activity for oxidation processes.  $49$ 

- $\frac{1}{2}$  The catalytic role of SnO<sub>2</sub> to activate the electrophilic nature of carbonyl groups (dimedone and aldehyde). The main precious character, of Sn metal was eagerly coordinates with carbonyl group and readily form adduct. In this observation Sn metal has been good selectivity for oxidation processes.  $50$  In addition, the
- 10 catalytic nature of  $SO_4^2$  to improve the oxidation reaction. <sup>51</sup> Within this connection serendipity product could be rapid with high yield.

# **Plausible mechanism of serendipity product**

The plausible mechanism pathway was described the formation <sup>15</sup>of serendipity product of 2-((2-((9-ethyl-9*H*-carbazol-3 yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-

yl)(phenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione. The electronic configuration of Sn and Si empty d orbital promising to form bond with electronegative nature of dimedone.<sup>52</sup> The

- $20$  catalytic probe of  $Sn/SiO<sub>2</sub>$  would be assisted to improve the reactive species and product selectivity.<sup>53, 54</sup> In this favorable observation, we have designed  $SO_4^2$ Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst supported this reaction under optimal condition, resulting the furnished product might be rapid and high yield. The following
- <sup>25</sup>sequence of mechanism has outlined in Scheme **2.** The well documented, reaction pathway of dimedone with benzaldehyde to afford adduct **IV** through Knoevenagel condensation. In addition to that the  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash eagerly coordinates with carbonyl group of dimedone and benzaldehyde enhanced the reaction <sup>30</sup>activity.



<sup>35</sup>**Scheme. 2** Plausible reaction mechanism of serendipity product

The next step nucleophilic Michael addition followed by enol formation was obtained, subsequent the catalyst was regenerated. Then catalyst again involved in the reaction and coordinates with

<sup>40</sup>dimedone and its furnished with the product **V**. The next step **VI** (3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole) react with compound **V**, which under

goes the 1,4-Michael addition and it afford compound **VII.** The subsequent reaction between unreacted benzaldehyde and **VI** it might lead to (*E*)-*N*-benzylidene-9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-3-amine.

<sup>45</sup>The final step is enol formation, followed by deprotonating to afford compound **IX** and catalyst was regenerated.

# **Conclusions**

<sup>50</sup>In summary, we have first time successfully found serendipity product of 2-((2-((9-ethyl-9*H*-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)-5,5-

dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione derivatives (**4a-4g**) in water. The environmental challenge reprocessing solid waste residue, fly ash

- $555$  was successfully designed  $SO_4^2$ Sn $O_2$ -fly ash as a heterogeneous green protocol to three component reaction. In addition, we have demonstrated the nano catalyst was attractive in view of its low cost, simple preparation method, easy to handle, reusable, easily separable from reaction mixture and notable industrial 60 applications. After six consecutive run  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash nano green catalyst provided good yield for unusual 2-((2-((9-ethyl-
- 9*H*-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1 yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione. The crystalline nature and surface morphology of six 65 successive runs  $SO_4^2$  Sn $O_2$ -fly ash nano catalyst was examined by
- PXRD, FE-SEM, EDS and HR-TEM. From these studies we observed no appreciable changes in the catalytic nature.

# **Experimental**

#### <sup>70</sup>**Materials and methods**

All the chemicals were procured from Sigma Aldrich, Merck and SRL India. Fly ash material (type C) was collected from Thermal Power Plant-II, Neyveli Lignite Corporation (NLC), Neyveli, Tamil Nadu, India.

75 Fourier Transform Infrared spectra (KBr 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded on an Avatar-300 Fourier transform spectrophotometer. Confocal Raman spectra of the samples were collected on Wi Tec Alpha 300. The crystalline phase of  $SnO_2$ -fly ash and  $SO_4^2$ - $SnO_2$ fly ash catalyst, were characterized by Powder X-ray diffraction <sup>80</sup>(PXRD) on D8 Advance Bruker diffractometer operating at 230 V, 50 Hz, 6.5 KVA with Cu Ka source  $(\lambda=1.5418 \text{ Å})$ . The nano structure and surface morphology of  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash were characterized by Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (55, Carl Zeiss). The elemental composition analyzed 85 by Energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) working at 200 kV. The samples were prepared by dispersion method on glass slide with gold coating. The nano cube and peony like nano flower  $SO_4^2$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst were recorded, on High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) (Tecnai 90 G2 operating at 120 kV). The samples were dispersed on carboncoated TEM grid (200 mesh). The UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis DRS) of  $\text{SnO}_2\text{-fly}}$  ash and  $\text{SO}_4^2\text{-SnO}_2\text{-fly}}$  ash were recorded on (Shimadzu UV-3600 UV-visible-NIR spectrometer). UV-Vis DRS samples was prepared by pellet 95 assemble method, using BaSO<sub>4</sub> as reference. Melting points of synthesized compounds were determined in open glass capillaries on Mettler FP51 melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. The NMR spectra of unknown compounds were recorded in Bruker AVIII 5000 spectrometer operating, at 400 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H 100 NMR spectra and 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solvent using tetra methyl silane as internal standard. HR-MS (ESI) analyses were recorded on Bruker Maxis instrument (Maxis 10138).

# **Facile designed SO<sup>4</sup> 2-SnO<sup>2</sup> -fly ash nano catalyst.**

In a typical procedure for  $SO_4^2$ SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst, SnCl<sub>4</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O (331 mg 5 wt%) was taken in clean beaker, it dissolved in 10 mL of conductivity water. This solution was added drop wise to the

- <sup>5</sup>sulfated fly ash mixture and it was vigorously stirred for 10 min. Followed by the addition of aqueous ammonia solution (5 mL) was added into the mixture under constant stirring. The mixture was maintained at 80 °C for 1 h. After 1 h stirring the mixture was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave,
- 10 sealed and heated at 116 °C for 3 h. During the process, the pressure was maintained at 30 psi. Then it dried in an oven at 130  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  for 1 h. Then calcined at 500  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  for 3 h in a muffle furnace to get final catalyst. The catalyst preparation method is shown in Fig. 11 as schematic representation.



#### $_{25}$  **Fig.** 11 preparation method of  $SO_4^2$ <sup>-</sup>SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash nano **catalyst**

#### **General procedure for synthesis of serendipity products**

A clean 25 mL round-bottom flask was charged with the dimedone (1 mmol), substituted benzaldehyde (1 mmol), 30 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (1 mmol) and  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash catalyst (50 mg) in water (15 mL) was refluxed at 80 ºC for 5-10 minutes. The completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate and hexane as an eluent 20%). After completion of the reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature. Then

- <sup>35</sup>dichloromethane (20 mL) was added to reaction mixture the organic and aqueous layers were separated. Insoluble solid  $SO_4^2$  SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash nano catalyst was washed with water and dichloromethane (20 mL) its dried in hot air oven at 130 ºC for 1 h. The dried  $SO_4^2$ -Sn $O_2$ -fly ash was reused for further reaction
- 40 run up to sixth run. The organic layer filtered, dried on anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and organic solvent was removed using rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified by Column chromatography, through silica gel (200 mesh) with (20%) 80:20 hexane/ethyl acetate as eluent to the desired pure unusual
- <sup>45</sup>products **4a-4g** and **5a-5g**. The purified compounds were confirmed, by physical constants and spectral techniques (FT-IR, NMR and HR-MS).

## **Characterization data for 2-((2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3 yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-**

- <sup>50</sup>**yl)(phenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione derivatives (4a-4g) and (***E***)-***N***-benzylidene-9-ethyl-9***H***carbazol-3-amine derivatives (5a-5g) (Table 2 entry 1-7)**
- **2-((2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-**<sup>55</sup>**1-en-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (Table 2, entry 1, 4a)**

Isolated as a yellow solid Yield (414 mg 73%); m.p. 82-83 °C; FT-IR 3046, 2931, 1594 cm-1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3), δH; 8.02 (s, 1H, <sup>60</sup>NH), 7.51 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.44-7.42 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.36 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (s, 3H, ArH), 7.23 (d, J=8.5 Hz , 1H, ArH), 7.18

 $(t, J=7.0, 1H, ArH)$ ,  $7.17 -7.13$  (m,  $1H, ArH$ ),  $6.94 -6.91$  (dd,  $J_1=4.0$  Hz, J2=4.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.59 (s, 1H, CH), 4.33 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.51 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, CH2), 2.43 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH2), 2.32 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 65 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.36 (s, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.18 (s, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.27 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 5H, CH3), 1.17 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, CH3), 1.12 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.99 (s, 1H, CH3), 0.92 (s, 1H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>C</sub>, 190.54, 189.43, 158.09, 140.43, 138.91, 138.14, 134.57, 128.26, 128.10, 126.93, 126.83, 126.35, 125.88, 125.46, 125.39, 123.69, <sup>70</sup>122.49, 122.43, 120.43, 118.03, 115.62, 109.02, 108.38, 106.41, 50.33, 49.78, 47.10, 46.49, 37.53, 32.80, 31.44, 29.75, 29.68, 28.63, 28.20, 27.43, 13.86; HRMS (ESI/[M + H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{37}H_{40}N_2O_3$ ; 561.3109, found 561.3117.

#### **2-((2-chloro-3,4-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)(2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-** <sup>75</sup>**yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)methyl)-5,5 dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (Table 2, entry 2, 4b)**

Isolated as a brown solid Yield (554 mg 79%); m.p. 112-113 °C; FT-IR 3046, 2931, 1621, 536 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub>; 9.07 (s, 1H,

- <sup>80</sup>NH), 8.87 (s, 1H, ArH), 8.10 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.88 (d, J=8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.54 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.47 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.39-7.35 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.24 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.08 (s, 1H, ArH), 5.26 (s, 1H, CH), 4.31 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 2H, CH2), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH3), 2.57 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.44 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.33 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 2H,
- <sup>85</sup>CH2), 2.19 (d, J=8.0, 2H, CH2), 1.41 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.22 (s, 3H, CH3), 1.17 (s, 3H, CH3), 1.11 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 4H, CH3), 1.04 (s, 1H, CH3), 0.93 (s, 1H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_c$ ; 200.11, 196.42, 158.30, 152.47, 147.72, 144.29, 142.83, 140.53, 139.31, 136.52, 135.67, 129.56, 129.22, 128.33, 127.97, 126.46, 126.12, 124.41, 123.51, 123.34,
- <sup>90</sup>122.99, 122.38, 120.65, 120.07, 119.14, 118.22, 113.44, 108.92, 108.81, 105.77, 55.63, 53.54, 50.14, 44.92, 37.70, 32.21, 31.83, 28.42, 28.33, 27.10, 13.88; DEPT 152.47, 136.51, 135.66, 129.55, 129.21, 126.46, 120.64, 120.07, 119.26, 119.14, 118.22, 113.43, 109.03, 108.91, 108.80, 105.77, 105.28, 55.62, 53.55, 50.14, 49.45, 41.07, 37.69, 29.29, 28.41, <sup>95</sup>28.34, 27.52, 13.88.

**2-((2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)-5,5 dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (Table 2, entry 3, 4c )**

<sup>100</sup>Isolated as a brown solid Yield (540 mg 85%); m.p. 98-99°C; FT-IR 3046, 2936, 1594, 1326 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$ ; 8.71 (s, 1H, NH), 8.15-8.06 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.87 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.75 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.59-7.49 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.44 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.39 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.29-7.22 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.83 (s, 1H, CH), <sup>105</sup>4.41 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.52 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.47 (s, 2H, CH2), 2.42 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH2), 2.36 (s, 1H, CH2), 2.29 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H, CH2), 1.46 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.25 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 5H, CH3), 1.20 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.12 (s, 2H, CH3), 0.99 (s, 2H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δC; 200.68, 195.69, 177.99, 166.76, 155.70, 142.96, <sup>110</sup>140.61, 139.24, 128.67, 127.18, 126.09, 125.67, 123.56, 123.08, 122.38, 120.62, 120.03, 119.10, 117.82, 115.16, 114.09, 112.95, 110.89, 108.89, 50.24, 47.05, 46.48, 44.70, 41.07, 37.75, 33.76, 32.30, 31.45, 29.59, 29.33, 27.41, 13.87; DEPT 155.70, 128.67, 127.23, 127.18, 126.45, 126.09, 125.67, 125.63, 125.23, 125.13, 123.83, 120.68, 120.62, 120.03, <sup>115</sup>119.11, 119.11, 119.26, 117.82, 112.95, 108.89, 50.13, 47.53, 46.49, 44.41, 41.30, 37.66, 33.50, 32.21, 31.43, 29.61, 29.35, 27.73, 13.77; HRMS  $(ESI/[M + H]^+)$  calcd for  $C_{38}H_{39}F_3N_2O_3$ ; 629.2992, found 629.2991.

#### 120 **2-((2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(3-methoxyphenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3 dione (Table 2, entry 4, 4d)**

Isolated as a brown solid Yield (415 mg 70%); m.p. 81-82 °C; FT-IR 125 3052, 2931, 2838, 1589 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$ ; 8.65 (s, 1H, NH), 8.11 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.04 (d, J=1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.51 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 2H, ArH ), 7.44-7.40 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.24 (d,  $J= 6.2$  Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.06-7.03 (dd  $J<sub>1</sub>=4.0$  Hz,  $J<sub>2</sub>=4.0$  Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.83 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.72 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH ), 5.30 (s, 1H, CH), <sup>130</sup>4.42 (q, J= 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH2), 3.93 (s, 3H, OCH3), 2.61 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.48 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.43 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.37 (s, 2H, CH2), 2.34 (s, 1H, CH2 ), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.24 (s, 3H,

CH3), 1.18 (s, 3H, CH3), 1.15 (s, 3H ,CH3), 0.97 (s, 3H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_c$ ; 200.62, 190.45, 177.87, 166.18, 160.05, 157.94, 143.73, 140.56, 138.87, 138.21, 129.72, 128.91, 128.90, 123.51, 123.11, 122.42, 122.12, 120.60, 120.00, 119.17, 118.92, 112.61, 111.66, 108.79, <sup>5</sup>55.47, 50.28, 49.77, 47.09, 46.44, 41.02, 37.73, 33.61, 31.39, 29.69, 29.45, 27.35, 13.88; DEPT 154.11, 133.85, 129.65, 126.18, 125.47, 124.84, 122.99, 120.70, 120.63, 120.59, 120.42, 120.08, 119.20, 118.04, 113.17, 108.97, 108.89, 106.35, 53.26, 49.73, 46.79, 44.43, 39.14, 37.37, 33.84, 30.01, 29.41, 28.65, 28.25, 26.19, 13.91; HRMS (ESI/[M + H]<sup>+</sup>)

10 calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>; 591.3224, found 591.3223.

#### **2-((2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(4-(methylthio)phenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (Table 2, entry 5, 4e )**

- 15 Isolated as a brown solid Yield (524 mg 87%); m.p. 85-86 °C; FT-IR 3041, 2915, 2865, 1594 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>H</sub>; 8.60 (s, 1H, NH), 8.10 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.01 (d, J=1.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.86 (d, J=8.3, 1H, ArH), 7.49-7.47 (m. 1H, ArH), 7.45 (d, J=1.8, 1H,
- <sup>20</sup>ArH), 7.41 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.39 (s, 1H, ArH) 7.33 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.31 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.21 (d, J=1.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.15 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.0 (d, J=8.0, 1H, ArH), 5.48 (s, 1H, ArH), 4.37 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.54 (s, 3H, SCH3), 2.51 (d, J=2.9, 1H, CH2), 2.46-2.42 (m, 3H, CH2), 2.35 (t, J=2.7 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.32 (s, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.28 (s, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.44 (t, J=7.2
- <sup>25</sup>Hz, 3H, CH2), 1.36 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H, CH3), 1.21 (s, 4H, CH3) 1.13 (s, 2H, CH3), 1.09 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.95 (s, 1H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δC; 190.65, 189.43, 157.25, 143.82, 142.46, 140.57, 138.81, 135.54, 133.47, 128.93, 127.45, 126.81, 125.93, 123.53, 123.13, 120.63, 120.04, 118.94, 115.56, 112.61, 108.84, 108.75, 53.37, 47.10, 46.54, 40.72, 37.71,
- <sup>30</sup>32.45, 31.43, 29.69, 29.44, 27.44, 15.20, 13.93; DEPT 157.31, 128.87, 127.36, 126.84, 125.85, 120.58, 120.00, 118.88, 112.48, 108.76, 108.67, 47.07, 46.47, 37.73, 32.40, 29.66, 27.39, 15.24, 13.88; HRMS  $(ESI/[M + H]^+)$  calcd for  $C_{38}H_{42}N_2O_3S$ ; 607.2995, found 607.2992.

#### 35 **2-((2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(2-nitrophenyl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (Table 2, entry 6, 4f )**

Isolated as a brown solid Yield (415 mg 68%); m.p. 95-96 °C; FT-IR 40 3254, 2920, 1517, 1363 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub>; 9.16 (s, 1H, NH), 8.44 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.17-8.14 (m, 2H, ArH ), 7.96 (d, J=7.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.77 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.61-7.57 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (t, J=1.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (t, J=4.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.30 (s, 1H, CH), 4.42 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.60 (s, 1H,

- <sup>45</sup>CH2), 2.49 (s, 3H, CH2), 2.33 (s, 1H, CH2), 2.19 (s, 2H, CH2), 2.05 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, CH2), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.25 (s, 3H, CH3), 1.17 (s, 3H, CH3), 1.00 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.88 (s, 3H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_c$ ; 200.25, 195.90, 188.17, 152.59, 149.25, 142.67, 140.60, 139.43, 138.63, 134.09, 133.51, 131.60, 130.64, 129.56, 126.51, 126.10, <sup>50</sup>124.57, 123.06, 120.71, 120.12, 119.16, 118.08, 113.62, 108.91, 50.17,
- 48.94, 44.76, 40.80, 37.77, 33.06, 31.83, 30.55, 29.96, 28.48, 28.30, 26.32, 13.88; DEPT 188.16, 152.59, 133.50, 131.25, 130.63, 129.56, 126.51, 126.35, 124.56, 124.13, 120.71, 120.12, 119.16, 118.09, 113.61, 108.90, 50.17, 48.95, 44.75, 40.80, 37.77, 30.55, 29.96, 28.48, 28.30, 55 26.31, 13.88; HRMS (ESI/[M + H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>; 606.2969,
- found 606.2968.

#### **2-((2-((9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-yl)amino)-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)(thiophen-2-yl)methyl)-5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione** <sup>60</sup>**(Table 2, entry 7, 4g)**

Isolated as a brown solid, Yield (487 mg 85%); m.p. 113-114 °C; FT-IR 3046, 2926, 1578, 745 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$ ; 8.02 (s, 1H, NH), 7.51 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.44-7.42 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.36 (d, J=8.0 65 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (s, 3H, ArH), 6.94-6.91 (dd J<sub>1</sub>=4.0 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=4.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.59 (s, 1H, CH), 4.33 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.51 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, CH2), 2.43 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH2), 2.32 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH2), 2.18 (s, 1H, CH2), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.27 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 5H, CH3), 1.17 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, CH3), 1.12 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.99 (s, 1H, CH3), 0.92 70 (s, 1H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>C</sub>; 200.02, 195.60, 178.49,

160.09, 150.72, 143.57, 143.24, 140.59, 138.88, 131.36, 129.49, 127.76,

125.96, 123.51, 123.12, 122.44, 120.70, 120.62, 119.96, 119.24, 118.98, 118.98, 112.81, 108.83, 108.76, 50.13, 49.74, 44.85, 40.91, 37.72, 31.87, 31.22, 29.95, 29.27, 27.09, 13.90;. DEPT 150.76, 131.32, 129.49, 127.72, <sup>75</sup>125.91, 120.59, 119.95, 118.93, 112.74, 108.77, 108.70, 50.32, 49.67, 44.78, 40.86, 37.95, 31.16, 29.93, 29.27, 28.58, 27.38, 27.01, 13.89; HRMS (ESI/[M + H]<sup>+</sup> ) calcd for  $C_{35}H_{38}N_2O_3S$ ; 567.2682, found 567.2682.

#### 80 **(***E***)-***N***-benzylidene-9-ethyl-9***H***-carbazol-3-amine (Table 2, entry 1, 5a)**

Isolated as a brown solid Yield (36 mg 12%); m.p.82-83 °C; FT-IR 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$ ; 8.71 (s, 1H, C=N), 8.23 (d, J=8.0 <sup>85</sup>Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.15 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.07-8.05 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.57-7.53 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.42 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.35 (m, 2H, ArH), 4.35 (q, J=7.1 2H, CH2), 1.45 (t, J=8 Hz, 3H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>C</sub>; 158.02, 143.82, 140.59, 136.81, 130.93, 128.83, 128.65, 125.98, 123.54, 123.15, 120.66, 120.05, 118.98, 112.69, 108.87, 108.78, <sup>90</sup>37.70, 13.92.

#### **(***E***)-***N***-((2-chloro-6-methoxyquinolin-3-yl)methylene)-9-ethyl-9***H***carbazol-3-amine (Table 2, entry 2, 5b)**

<sup>95</sup>Isolated as a brown solid Yield (42 mg 10%); m.p. 182-183 °C; FT-IR 1616, 597 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>H</sub>; 9.11 (s, 1H, C=N), 8.92 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.15-8.11 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.92 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.57 (d, d J<sub>1</sub>=1.6 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=1.6, 1Hz, ArH), 7.48 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.37 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H, CH2), 3.92 100 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>) 1.44 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δC; 158.37, 152.62, 147.81, 144.39, 142.97, 140.58, 139.34, 135.69, 129.67, 128.38, 128.09, 126.50, 126.11, 124.41, 123.57, 123.05, 120.66, 120.05, 119.15, 113.44, 108.90, 108.78, 105.81, 55.63, 37.72, 13.87; HRMS  $(ESI/[M + H]^+)$  calcd for  $C_{25}H_{20}CIN_3O$ ; 414.1374, found <sup>105</sup>414.1373.

#### **(***E***)-9-ethyl-***N***-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylidene)-9***H***-carbazol-3-amine (Table 2, entry 3, 5c )**

<sup>110</sup>Isolated as a brown solid Yield (23 mg 7%); m.p. 108-109°C; FT-IR 1621, 1326 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>H</sub>; 8.71(s, 1H, C=N), 8.15-8.06 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.75 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.54-7.49 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.43 (d, J=8.0 Hz 2H, ArH), 7.27 (s, 1H, ArH), 4.41 (q, J=7.3 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_c$ ; <sup>115</sup>155.73, 142.98, 140.60, 139.23, 128.65, 126.07, 125.71, 123.07, 120.61, 120.01, 119.09, 112.90, 108.86, 108.78, 37.76, 13.87; HRMS  $(ESI[M+H]^+)$  calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{17}F_3N_2$ ; 367.1423, found 367.1422.

#### **(***E***)-9-ethyl-***N***-(3-methoxybenzylidene)-9***H***-carbazol-3-amine (Table 2,**  <sup>120</sup>**entry 4, 5d)**

Isolated as a brown solid Yield (48 mg 14%); m.p. 78-79 °C; FT-IR 1589, 2915 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>H</sub>; 8.60 (s, 1H, C=N), 8.12 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.01 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.92 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), <sup>125</sup>7.48-7.40 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.23 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.00 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 4.41 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H, CH2), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δC 161.89, 157.63, 144.22, 140.52, 138.59, 130.22, 129.80, 125.81, 123.49, 123.11, 120.57, 119.99, 118.80, 114.18, 112.31, 108.72, 108.63, 55.43, 37.70, 13.88.

#### **(***E***)-9-ethyl-***N***-(4-(methylthio)benzylidene)-9***H***-carbazol-3-amine (Table 2, entry 5, 5e)**

130

Isolated as a brown solid Yield (33 mg 9%); m.p. 138-139 °C; FT-IR 135 1589, 2871 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub>; 8.61 (s, 1H, C=N), 8.12 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.02 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.88 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.48 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.42-7.40 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.34 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.25 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.38 (q, J=4.6 Hz, 2H, CH2), 2.55 (s, 3H, SCH3), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) 140 δ<sub>C:</sub> 157.28, 143.87, 142.40, 138.78, 133.50, 128.88, 125.86, 123.52, 123.11, 120.58, 119.99, 118.88, 112.50, 108.76, 108.67, 37.72, 15.23,

13.87; HRMS (ESI/[M + H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S; 345.1426, found 345.1425.

#### **(***E***)-9-ethyl-***N***-(2-nitrobenzylidene)-9***H***-carbazol-3-amine (Table 2,**  <sup>5</sup>**entry 6, 5f)**

Isolated as a brown solid Yield (77 mg, 22%); m.p. 140-141 °C; FT-IR 1610, 1331 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$ ; 9.15 (s, 1H, C=N), 8.43 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.15 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.08 (d, J=8.0 Hz,

<sup>10</sup>1H, ArH), 7.75-7.55 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.50 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49- 7.42 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.28 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.25 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.39 (q, J=4.0 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.48 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>)<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 152.57, 149.28, 142.70, 140.61, 139.44, 133.48, 130.61, 129.57, 126.10, 124.56, 123.56, 123.07, 120.71, 120.11, 119.16, <sup>15</sup>113.62, 108.89, 108.77, 37.77, 13.87.

#### **(***E***)-9-ethyl-***N***-(thiophen-2-ylmethylene)-9***H***-carbazol-3-amine (Table 2, entry 8, 5g)**

- 20 Isolated as a brown solid, Yield (33 mg, 10%); m.p. 210-211 °C; FT-IR 1605, 717 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>H</sub>; 8.78 (s, 1H, C=N), 8.12 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, J=1.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.50-7.47 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.43-7.39 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.22 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.15 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.37 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.45 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>);
- $13^{\circ}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>C</sub>; 150.76, 143.52, 143.23, 140.54, 138.84, 131.30, 129.49, 127.71, 125.91, 123.47, 123.09, 120.60, 119.95, 118.92, 112.73, 108.75, 108.69, 37.72, 13.89; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C19H16N2S; 305.1113, found 305.1111.
- <sup>30</sup>**Acknowledgments**
- The Authors are gratefully acknowledge to Prof. M.Periasamy School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad for benevolent the laboratory facility and also grateful to UGC Networking Resource Centre, School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad providing characterization facility.
- <sup>35</sup>One of the authors K.T is grateful to School of Physics, University of Hyderabad for providing FE-SEM characterization and also grateful to the Centre for Nano Technology, University of Hyderabad for providing HR-TEM measurement.
- <sup>40</sup>G.Thirunarayanan, Department of chemistry, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608 002, Tamilnadu, India. Tel.: +91 4144 220015.E-mail: drgtnarayanan@rediffmail.com

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [UV-Viss DRS

45 spectra of  $SnO_2$ -fly ash and  $SO_4^2$ -SnO<sub>2</sub>-fly ash. EDS spectra of catalyst atomic and weight precentage Table S1. The synthsized compounds of 4a-4g and 5a-5g spectra of NMR and HRMS (4a, 4c, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4g and 5b, 5c, 5e, 5f and 5g).]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

# **Notes and references**

- <sup>50</sup>1 C. -J. Li, T. H. Chan, Comprehensive Organic Reactions in Aqueous Media, 2nd ed. Wiley: New York, 2007.
- 2 (a) C. -J. Li, *Chem. Rev.,* 2005, **105**, 3095-3165. (b) R. N. Butler and A. G. Coyne, *Chem. Rev*., 2010, **110**, 6302-6337. (c ) M.-O. Simon and C. -*J.* Li,*. Chem. Soc. Rev.,* 2012, **41**,1415-1427.
- <sup>55</sup>3 J. Grunes, J.Zhu. and G. A. Somorjai, *Chem. Commun.,* 2003, **18**, 2257-2260.
- 4 D. Astruc, F. Lu and J. R. Aranzaes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.,* 2005, **44**, 7852-7872.
- 5 (a) K. Shimizu, R. Sato and A. Satsuma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.,*
- <sup>60</sup>2009, **48**, 3982-3986. (b) C. A. Witham, W. Huang, C. Tsung, J. N. Kuhn, G. A. Samorjai and F. D. Toste, *Nat. Chem.,* 2009, **2**, 36-41.
- 6 G. Thirunarayanan, P. Mayavel and K. Thirumurthy, *Spectr. Acta. A.,* 2012, **91**, 18-22.
- <sup>65</sup>7 C. P. Nicholas and T. J. Marks, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, **4**, 1557-1559.
- 8 H. Matsuhashi, H. Miyazaki, Y. Kawamura, H. Nakamura and K. Arata, *Chem. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 3038-3042.
- 9 M. K. Lam, K. T. Lee and A. R. Mohamed, *Appl. Catal. B.*, 2009, **93**, 134-139.
- <sup>70</sup>10 H. Matsuhashi, T. Tanaka and K. Arata, *J. Phy. Chem. B.,* 2001, **105**, 9669-9671.
	- 11 V.Ponec and G. C. Bond, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.,* 1995, **95**, 477-539.
	- 12 S. R. Sarda, V. A. Puri, A. B. Rode, T. N. Dalawe, W. N. Jadhav and R. P. Pawar, *Arkivoc.*, 2007, **2**, 246-251.
- <sup>75</sup>13 M. A. Naik, B. G. Mishra, A. Dubey and G. D. Hota., *Bull*. *Catal Soci., India.*, 2009, **8**, 35-40.
	- 14 M. Sowmiya, A. Sharma, A. Parsodkar, B. G. Mishra and A. Dubey, *Appl. Catal. A.,* 2007, **333**, 272-280.
- 15 S. P. Chavan, P. K. Zubaidha, S. W. Dantale, A. Kesavaraja, <sup>80</sup>A. V. Ramaswamy and T. Ravindranathan, *Tetrahedron.,* 1996, **37**, 233-236.
	- 16 Y. Du, S. S. Liu, Y. Zhang, C. Yin, Y. Di and F. -S. Xiao, *Catal. Lett.*, 2006, **108**, 155-158.
- 17 S. Furuta, H. Matsuhashi and K. Arata, *Appl. Catal. A*., 2004, **269**, 85 187-191.
- 18 J. I. Moreno, R. James, R. Gómez and M. E. Niño- Gómez, *Catal. Today*., 2011, **172**, 34-40.
- 19 S. A. Dake, M. B. Khedkar, G. S. Irmale, S. J. Ukalgaonkar, V. V. Thorat, S. A. Shintre and R. P. Pawar, *Synth. Commun.,* 2012, <sup>90</sup>**42**, 509-1520.
- <sup>20</sup> T. R. Gaydhankar, P. N. Joshi, P. Kalita and R. Kumar, *J. Mole. catal. A:Chem.,* 2006, **265**, 306-315.
- 21 N. R. Monks, D. C. Blankey, S. J. East, R. I. Dowell, J. A. Caluete, N. J. Curtin, C. E. Arris and D. R. Newell, *Eur. J. Cancer.,* 2002, **38**, <sup>95</sup>1543-1552.
- 22 J. C. Wang, *Biochem.,* 1996, **65**, 635-692.
- 23 J. H. Burroughes, D. D. C. Bradley, A. R. Brown, R. Marks, N. K. Mackay, R. H. Friend and A. B. Holmes, *Nature*., 1990, **347**, 539-541.
- <sup>100</sup>24 R. H. Friend, R. W. Gymer, A. B. Holmes, J. H. Burroughes, R. N. Marks, C. Taliani, D. D. C. Bradley, D. A. Santos, J. L. Bredas, M. Logdlund and W. R. Salaneck, *Nature.,* 1999, **397**, 121-128.
- 25 (a) G. Horowitz, R. Hajlaoui, D. Fichou and A. E. Kassmi, *J. Appl. Phys.,* 1999, **85**, 3202-3206. (b) Y. Zhang, T. Wada and H. Sasabe, *J.*  <sup>105</sup>*Mater. Chem.*, 1998, **8**, 809-828.
	- 26 J. -F Morin, M. Leclerc, D. Ades and A. Siove, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2005, **26**, 761-778.
- 27 S. M. Vahdat and S. Baghery, *Het. Let. Org*., 2012, **2**, 43-51.
- 28 S. M. Vahdat and M. Akbari, *Orie. J. Chem*., 2011, **27**, 1573-1580.
- <sup>110</sup>29 J. S. Ghomi, M. A. Ghasemzadeh, and S. Zahedi, *J. Mex. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **57**, 1-7.
	- 30 H. Zang, Y. Zhang, Y. Zang and B. W. Cheng, *Ultrason. Sonochem.,* 2010, **17**, 495-499.
- 31 V. A. Chebanov, V. E. Saraev, S. M. Desenko, V. N. Chernenko, 115 I. V. Knyazeva, U. Groth, T. N. Glasnov, and C. O. Kappe, *J. Org. Chem.,* 2008, **73**, 5110-5118.
	- 32 Y. -J. Zhou, D. -S. Chen, Y. -L. Li, Y. Liu, and X. -S. Wang, *ACS Comb. Sci.*, 2013, **15**, 498-502.
- 33 R. G. -Vagheia, and S. M. Malaekehpoor, *J. Iran. Chem. Soc.,* 2010, <sup>120</sup>**7**, 957-964.
	- 34 S. Sudha and M. A. Pasha, *The Sci world J*. 2013, Article ID 930787.
	- 35 W. K. Anderson, E. J. L.Voie, and P. G. Whitkop, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1974, **39,** 881-884.
- 36 T. Toupance, O. Babot, B. Jousseaume and G. Vilaca, *Chem. Mater.,* <sup>125</sup>2003, **15**, 4691-4697.
	- 37 Z. L. Butsko and P. M. Standik, Influence of an electrical field on the structure of a silica gel. D. N. Strazhesko (Eds), Adsorption and adsorbents, New York: John Willey & Sons; 1, 1973. 96-100.
- 38 B. J Saikia, G. Parthasarthy, N. C. Sarmah and G. D. Barvah, *Bull.*  <sup>130</sup>*Mater. Sci*., 2008, **31**, 155-158.
	- 39 S. Loridant, *J. Phys. Chem. B.,* 2002, **106**, 13273-13279.
	- 40 G. Xi and J. Ye, *Inorg.Chem.,* 2010, **49**, 2302-2309.
	- 41 L. Abello, B. Bocha, A. Gaskov, S. Koudryavtseva, G. Lucazesu and M. Romyantseva, *J. Solid. State chem.,* 1998, **135**, 78-85.
- <sup>135</sup>42 B. Cheng, J. M. Russell, W. Shi, L. Zhang and E. T. Samulski, *J.Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2004, **126**, 5972-5973.
	- 43 D. Jain, M. Mishra and A. Rani, *Fuel. Proc. Tech*., 2012, **95**, 119-126.
- 44 I. G. Lodeiro, A. Palomo, and A. F. -Jimenez, *Ceme & Concre Res*., 2007, **37**, 175-183.
- 45 J. Haines, *France private commun*.,1998.
- 46 A. Caron and F. Donohue, *Acta Crystal.*, 1965, **18**, 562-565.
- <sup>5</sup>47 H. Wang, Q. Liang, W. Wang, Y. An, J. Li, and L. Guo, *Cryst. Growth Des.,* 2011, **11**, 2942–2947.
- 48 A. Corma and H. Garcıa, *Chem. Rev.,* 2002, *102,* 3837-3892.
- 49 J. -M. Jehng, *J. Phys. Chem. B.,* 1998, **102**, 5816-5822.
- 50 S. Nishiyama, T. Hara, S. Tsuruya and M. Masai, *J. Phys. Chem. B.,* <sup>10</sup>1999, **103**, 4431-4439.
- 51 A. Corma, L. T. Nemeth, M. Renz, and S. Valencia, *Nature*., 2001, **412**, 423-425.
- 52 I. R. Beattie, *Quart. Rev. (London)*., 1963, **17**, 382-389.
- 53 (a) M. S. Holt, W. L. Wilson, and J. H. Nelson, *Chem. Rev*., 1989, <sup>15</sup>**89**, 11-49. (b) S. Hermans and B. F. G. Johnson, *Chem. Commun*., 2000, **19**, 1955-1956.
	- 54 G. W. Huber, J. W. Shabaker and J. A. Dumesic, *Science*., 2003, **300**, 2075-2077.