RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Hydrogen diffusion in MgH² **doped with Ti, Mn and Fe Page 1 of 6 RSC Advances**

 \bf Vasil Koteski,*a Jelena Belošević-Čavor,a Katarina Batalovića, Jana Radakovića and Ana Umicevića

Received Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXXX 200X **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

Incorporation of suitable dopants in $MgH₂$ is widely investigated as the way of improving hydrogen storage characteristics of this material. The catalytic role of transition metal dopants on hydrogen desorption from $MgH₂$ is very promising, but further attention is required in order to optimize the experimental methods and design materials with desired properties. In this paper we investigate the role of Ti, Fe, and Mn on the transport properties of hydrogen in $MgH₂$, which are marked as limiting factor in the effort to lower the hydrogen desorption temperature. Taking into account the lattice relaxation around the impurities, we consider a number of different diffusion paths in the pure and doped system. Using PAW DFT calculations in combination with the NEB method, we demonstrate that the diffusion of the most relevant positively charged hydrogen vacancy and negatively charged interstitial hydrogen atom is locally hindered by the presence of the impurity atoms.

1 Introduction

MgH² attracts a lot of attention as one of the most practical solutions for on-board hydrogen storage, which is mainly due to its high hydrogen capacity (gravimetric capacity 7.6wt.%, volumetric capacity 110 g L⁻¹) and low cost¹. The main obstacles for large scale applications remain the stability of the hydride and its poor kinetic properties. The rate of hydrogen absorption and desorption in the material is relatively low, which can be traced back to the slow diffusion of hydrogen into the rutile crystal lattice of MgH_2^2 . This, together with the stability of the Mg-H bond, translates into a relatively high temperature of desorption of around 400 ◦C at ambient pressure 3 .

Considering MgH_2 as the prototype material for reverse hydrogen storage, ways of tailoring the desired hydrogen storage properties have been proposed. The multi-step process of hydrogen absorption in magnesium is characterized by slow hydrogen sorption kinetics, and its mechanisms are widely studied^{4–6}. The present results point to the formation of a $MgH₂$ layer as the main reason for low absorption rate, given that this layer blocks hydrogen diffusion and further hydrogen uptake in the sample⁷. Improvement of the hydrogen absorption/desorption kinetics is achieved through shortening the path for hydrogen diffusion (nanostructures, thin films) or by introducing suitable dopants which act as catalysts^{8,9}. Transition metal (TM) dopants have been considered good candidates capable of influencing the kinetics of hydrogen absorption and desorption as well as the stability of $MgH₂$ ¹⁰. They are usually incorporated by ball milling and serve as centers for hydride destabilization¹¹. The catalytic activity of the

^{*a*} Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Ser*bia; E-mail: vkotes@vin.bg.ac.rs*

TM for hydrogen desorption from MGH₂ surface is shown to be large 12 . In addition, TM doping shows beneficial effects on the thermodynamical properties of small MgH_2 clusters 13 . Directly or indirectly, this is expected to lower the kinetic barriers for hydrogen diffusion in the bulk¹⁴. However, some puzzling observations remain, one of them being that upon repeated hydrogen desorption/absorption (cycling) in doped $MgH₂$, the catalytic activity of TM dopants is often shown to decline ¹⁵. Ball-miling also introduces structural defects, reduces crystalline size and induces microstrain 11 , therefore enhancing the diffusion of hydrogen in various ways. First principles calculations are widely used to separate the role of ball milling¹⁶ and TM dopants in MgH₂, i.e., to address their effect on stability^{17,18}, desorption of hydrogen from surface $12,19$ and diffusion in the bulk²⁰.

The diffusion of hydrogen in rutile $MgH₂$ was studied by Tao et al. ¹⁶. Hao et al. ²⁰ have found that some dopants can shift the position of the intrinsic Fermi level, thereby altering the population of charged hydrogen defects of interest for hydrogen desorption. Roy et al. 21 have studied the influence of Ti, Fe, Co and Ni in their interstitial and substitutional configurations on the Fermi level shift and concluded that, in the interstitial configuration, these metals enhance the concentration of hydrogen related defects, while in the substitutional case, a shift of the Fermi energy is not expected. The impact of these dopants is realized via the position of the donor or acceptor levels in the band gap^{21} .

However, as the concentration of dopants is increased, it is important to take into account the direct influence of these transition metals on the diffusion of relevant hydrogen defects in their vicinity. Small migration barriers are determined for migration of hydrogen-related defects in rutile MgH_2^2 , while, to the best of our knowledge, the direct effect of transi-

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

tion metals on these barriers was not studied. In this work we investigate the diffusion of hydrogen in MgH_2 doped with Ti, Mn and Fe. Hydrogen diffusion in $MgH₂$ is primarily caused by motion of charged defects²³; therefore we inspect not only the motion of relevant neutral, but also the motion of charged hydrogen vacancies (V_H) and hydrogen interstitials (H_i) near the dopant site. We find that the substitution of Ti, Mn, and Fe for Mg in the rutile structure of $MgH₂$ does not improve the rates of diffusion of hydrogen related defects directly, i.e., the diffusion barriers of these defects in the immediate surroundings of the impurities are generally higher than the ones in pure $MgH₂$. Our calculation shows that the studied transition metals tend to bind hydrogen rather strongly, and thus, suppress its mobility.

2 Computational

Our computational approach is based on the density functional theory $(DFT)^{24}$ and the projector augmented-wave (PAW) method, as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) 25,26 . We employed the PW91 generalized gradient functional²⁷.

MgH² crystallizes in the rutile structure. As a starting point in our calculations, we relaxed the pure $MgH₂$ unit cell. The pure structure was relaxed by fitting the total energy of $MgH₂$ with cubic polynomials on a grid of (a, c) values. All internal degrees of freedom, in the pure and supercell calculations, were relaxed to the nearest local minimum by using the BFGS LineSeach implementation of the Atomic Simulation Environment (ASE) python interface 28 . The optimized lattice parameters, a=4.52 Å and c=3.02 Å, are in good agreement with the experimental values (4.51 Å and 2.99 Å, respectively)²⁹. The internal parameter that defines the position of hydrogen in the crystal structure was determined to be 0.304.

Starting from the relaxed $MgH₂$ structure, we constructed a 71-atom 2x2x3 supercell in which one of the host Mg atoms was replaced with the dopant. The reciprocal space was sampled with a 4x4x4 k-points, using the tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections³⁰. The calculations were spin polarized with cut-off energy of 250 eV. The forces minimization criterion was set to 0.02 eV/A . We used a convergence criterion of 1×10−⁴ eV as the energy difference between two consecutive iterations.

For the calculations of the activation barriers for diffusion, we used the climbing image nudged elastic band (NEB) method³¹. Our NEB simulations were performed with 7 or 8 images interpolated along the diffusion paths and a spring constant of 0.02 eV/Å. During the simulation, the forces acting on each of the atoms within the supercell were converged to 0.03 eV/A . For the NEB, we used the MDM in optimization algorithm as implemented in the ASE python code.

Fig. 1 (Color online) Calculated average TM-H bond lengths in the first coordination sphere around Ti_{Mg} , Mn_{Mg} and Fe_{Mg} for different charge states of the impurity. The horizontal line denotes the average Mg-H bond length in the pure system.

3 Lattice relaxation

The hydrogen atoms around Mg in pure MgH_2 are approximately arranged in an octahedral fashion. The first coordination shell of Mg is composed out of 6 H atoms divided into two groups: two apical H atoms with Mg-H bonds of 1.94 \AA and four equatorial H atoms with Mg-H bonds of 1.96 Å (see Fig. 3). Substitutional transition metals (TM) induce different structural relaxations in $MgH₂$. After metal replacement, the structures were fully relaxed. Upon substitution and regardless of charge state, we observe a shortening of almost all TM-H bonds as compared to the Mg-H bonds in the pure system. The resulting nearest neighbor TM-H distances for Ti_{Mg} , Mn_{Mg} and Fe_{Mg}, averaged over the first coordination shell, are presented in Fig. 1.

The shortening of the TM-H bond lengths is most pronounced around the substitutional iron ion. Our calculated Fe-H distances compare well with those obtained in a Car-Parinello Molecular Dynamics study of iron in $MgH₂$ near the $MgH₂-Mg$ interface³². We also found a good agreement between our calculated Fe-H and Ti-H distances and the ones calculated by Paskaš Mamula et al.³³.

The calculated lattice relaxation around Mn illustrates the need of performing spin polarized calculations. Namely, the lattice relaxation around Mn in its neutral state is markedly different if the spin polarization is switched off, leading to an average Mg-H bond length of only 1.7 Å and a total energy higher by 0.26 eV. In the correct, spin polarized case, Mn is in its high spin state $(S=5/2)$ and the lattice relaxation of the NN H atoms gives significantly larger bond lengths (~ 1.9 Å).

In general, the shorter bond lengths imply that the NN hydrogen atoms are attracted more strongly by the impurity, which, in turn, indicates that the mobility of hydrogen might be hindered with respect to the other parts of the crystal.

4 Defects formation energy

The formation energy of a defect x with charge state q was calculated using the following relation:

$$
E_{form}(x^{q}) = E_{tot}(x^{q}) - E_{tot}(bulk) - \sum_{i} n_{i}(\mu_{i} - E_{i}^{ref}) +
$$

$$
q(E_{v} + E_{f} + E_{c})
$$

(1)

Here, E_{tot} is the total energy of the supercell containing the defect, $E_{tot}(bulk)$ is the total energy of the pure supercell, n_i is the number of atoms of type i added or removed from the system, μ_i is the chemical potential of species of type i and E_i^{ref} is the reference energy calculated as energy per atom of the corresponding bulk elemental phases. For hydrogen, E^{ref} is calculated as one half of the total energy of hydrogen molecule. As it can be seen from the formula given above, E_{form} is a function of the Fermi level position, E_f , within the band gap. E_f is referenced with respect to the top of the valence band E_v , whereas E_c is a correction factor that aligns the electrostatic potential of the defect supercell with that of the defect-free supercell.

For hydrogen desorption in MgH_2 , the system should be modeled in the most relevant, hydrogen-poor conditions. In that case, μ_{Mg} =0. Given that the stability of MgH₂ implies ΔH_{MgH_2} = μ_{Mg} +2 μ H, we also have μ_H =1/2 ΔH_{MgH_2} , where ΔH_{MgH_2} is the formation enthalpy of MgH₂. The calculated $MgH₂$ formation enthalpy is 0.67 eV, which compares relatively well with the experimental value of 0.79 eV^{34} .

The formation energy of intrinsic point defects in MgH₂ under hydrogen-poor conditions is calculated to determine the position of the intrinsic Fermi level; the results are in agreement with earlier report²². The intrinsic Fermi level, as determined from the charge neutrality of the lowest energy defects (H vacancies), is 2.79 eV. Consequently, the formation energy of V_H and V_H is 1.13 eV, while that of the neutral vacancy V_H is 1.10 eV. Given that the formation energies of V_H in all three charge states are close to each other, the concentration of these defects is not expected to play an important role in the overall behavior. The activation energy for diffusion is, therefore, the primary factor that determines the mobility of the vacancy. The formation energies of H_i^- and H_i^+ are 1.35 and 1.86 eV, respectively. In the following, we didn't consider the neutral interstitial hydrogen, H_i^0 , because it is unstable (negative-U center) with a relatively large formation energy of 2.73 eV.

Fig. 2 (Color online) Formation energy of substitutional TM defects in $MgH₂$. The vertical dotted line represents the intrinsic Fermi level.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Fig. 3 (Color online) (a) Schematic representation of various diffusion paths in MgH₂: side view (left) and top view (right). (b) Interstitial hydrogen atom in MgH2.

The energies of formation of substitutional Mn, Ti and Fe are presented in Fig.2. It can be seen that all three impurities have positive-U character and we expect no shift of the intrinsic Fermi level upon doping with these transition metals. Since the TM impurities are stable in their neutral charge state, in the following we are going to consider the kinetic properties of intrinsic defects (H vacancies and interstitials) only with respect to the TM atoms in their neutral charge state.

5 Diffusion activation energies in pure MgH²

Regarding the kinetic properties of $MgH₂$ we first turn our attention to diffusion of variously charged H-related defects in the undoped system.

The activation energy for diffusion is calculated along several different paths in the rutile crystal cell of $MgH₂$, as depicted in Fig. 3. The path $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$ connects one of the four equatorial hydrogen atoms with one of the two apical hydrogen atoms. The path $(1 \leftrightarrow 4)$ connects two neighboring apical H atoms. The path (2 \leftrightarrow 3) is parallel to the (1 \leftrightarrow 4) path and connects two equatorial atoms. Along $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$ and $(2 \leftrightarrow 3)$, the hydrogen vacancy remains bonded to the same central Mg atom (A) at all times, while along $(1 \leftrightarrow 4)$, the bond is broken and the defect is transferred to the neighboring Mg atom (B). The path $(3 \leftrightarrow 4)$ makes sense only when the central Mg atom (A) is replaced with the impurity, in which case the bond between the defect and the dopant atom is also broken.

We have calculated two types of defects: hydrogen vacancies V_H in their neutral, 1+ and 1- charge states (V $_{\rm H}^{0}$, V_H⁺, and V_{H}^{-} \overline{H}_{H}) and hydrogen interstitials H_{i} in their 1+ and 1- charge states $(H_i^+$ and H_i^-). The diffusion of interstitial hydrogen was considered along the channel in $(0,0,1)$ direction (see Fig. 3) but we also tried some of the directions parallel to the paths described above. This is partly due to the fact that interstitial H in some charge states $(1+)$ builds a strong bond with the nearest H atom (H molecule) and the tetrahedral interstitial site is

Fig. 4 (Color online) Migration energy as a function of defect coordinates for V_H^+ diffusion in MgH₂: left - along (1→2) in the pure system; right - along the $(1\rightarrow 4)$ path in the Fe doped MgH₂.

unstable.

Our calculated diffusion barriers in the pure system are presented in Table 1. We found that the kinetic barrier for migration of hydrogen vacancy is the lowest for V_H^+ along $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$. Thus, V_H^{\dagger} is also found to be the most mobile vacancy defect in pure $MgH₂$ with a diffusion barrier of 0.39 eV. This result compares very well to the migration barriers for diffusion of 0.37 eV (Ref.³⁵) and 0.38 eV (Ref.²²). On average, V_H^+ is the most mobile vacancy defect along all of the investigated diffusion paths. The diffusion barrier along $(1 \leftrightarrow 4)$ is considerably higher, which is not unexpected given the fact that the defect is no longer attached to the original Mg atom.

Negatively charged interstitial hydrogen, H_i^- , has a diffusion barrier of 0.16 eV along the central channel in (0,0,1) direction, which compares well to the barrier of 0.15 eV, as calculated by Hao et al.³⁵. H_i^+ , because of its tendency to make a hydrogen molecule with the nearest hydrogen atom²², doesn't stay in the center of the interstitial channel and has somewhat higher diffusion barrier of 0.29 eV. Interestingly, when H_i^- is brought closer to the nearest apical H atoms, we found a very low diffusion barrier. In this configuration $[(1 \leftrightarrow 4)$ in Table 1], $H_i^$ i pushes the nearest apical H atom away from its original position into the direction of the adjacent interstitial channel and the resulting diffusion barrier is only 0.003 eV. This displacing of the nearest hydrogen atom is similar to the one noticed by Hao et al.²⁰ when considering diffusion in MgH₂ via concerted motions of defects.

From Table 1 we see that on average, H_i^- tends to have smaller diffusion barriers than H_i^+ and therefore it is the most mobile interstitial defect in pure MgH2.

6 Diffusion activation energies in doped MgH²

While the diffusion barriers for V_H in the pure system are symmetric, the general feature of the diffusion barriers in the doped system is that they are asymmetric (Fig 4). That means that the initial and final configuration for the majority of investigated paths have different energies. As a result, the diffusion of V_H is easier either while arriving at or while leaving the

Table 1 Calculated diffusion barriers (in eV) along different diffusion paths in the vicinity of substitutional Ti, Mn, Fe and Mg (pure $MgH₂$). The numbers in parentheses represent the values in the reverse direction in the cases where the diffusion paths are asymmetric.

Defect	Path	Mg	Ti	Mn	Fe
V_H^+	$(1\rightarrow 2)$	0.39	0.24(0.28)	0.33(0.35)	0.49(0.45)
V_H^{\ddagger}	$(1\rightarrow 4)$	0.78	0.50(1.08)	1.07(1.02)	0.38(0.99)
V_H^{\ddagger}	$(2\rightarrow 3)$	0.78	0.36	0.61	0.72
V_H^+	$(3\rightarrow 4)$	0.39	0.17(0.70)	0.95(0.85)	0.31(0.95)
V_H^-	$(1\rightarrow 2)$	1.12	0.54(0.56)	0.19(0.17)	0.07(0.20)
V_H^-	$(1\rightarrow 4)$	1.27	0.85(0.96)	1.31(1.22)	1.57(1.24)
∇^0_H	$(1\rightarrow 2)$	0.75	0.48(0.46)	0.32(0.33)	0.15(0.19)
V_H^0	$(1\rightarrow 4)$	0.99	0.55(0.80)	1.34(1.05)	0.96(0.91)
$\overline{H_i^-}$	$(1\rightarrow 2)$	0.22	0.28(0.30)	0.68(0.54)	0.6(0.43)
H_i^-	$(1\rightarrow 4)$	0.003	0.003	0.40	2.13
H_i^-	(0,0,1)	0.16	0.34	0.16	1.20
$\overline{H}{}^+_i$	(0,0,1)	0.29	1.74	1.01	1.05

impurity.

Regardless of charge state, the hydrogen vacancy in almost all investigated cases tends to have low diffusion barrier along $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$ and $(2 \leftrightarrow 3)$, i.e., when the diffusion is happening in the first coordination shell around the TM atom. It means that once the vacancy is bound to the dopant, its mobility is higher than in the pure system. By contrast, V_H , in most of the cases, tends to have higher diffusion barrier at least in one direction (asymmetric diffusion) along the paths where the bond with the TM atom is broken, e.g., either along $(1\rightarrow 4)$ or along $(4\rightarrow 1)$.

When we consider the physically most relevant V_H^+ , we see that, e.g., for titanium we have a markedly lower diffusion barrier in $(1\rightarrow 4)$ and $(3\rightarrow 4)$ direction $(0.50$ and 0.17 eV, respectively) as compared to the pure system $(0.78 \text{ and } 0.39 \text{ eV})$. At the same time, the barriers are much higher in the opposite $[(4 \rightarrow 1)$ and $(4 \rightarrow 3)]$ directions and their calculated values are 1.08 and 0.70 eV. Similar conclusion can be drawn for iron too. Compared to pure $MgH₂$, this translates into higher diffusion barriers for hydrogen leaving the impurity, and lower diffusion barriers for hydrogen arriving at the impurity. The situation with manganese is somewhat different in the sense that the diffusion barriers near Mn are higher in both directions. Thus, the calculated diffusion barriers suggest that Ti, Fe and Mn serve as centers that locally slow down the diffusion of the most mobile positively charged hydrogen vacancy.

The calculated diffusion barriers for the less mobile V_H^- and $V_{\rm H}^0$ indicate that in some cases, the diffusion of these defects along some of the paths (e.g., path (1 \leftrightarrow 4) for Ti_{Mg}) might actually be improved, but their impact on the overall hydrogen

Page 5 of 6 RSC Advances

diffusion in $MgH₂$ is probably small taking into consideration their stability.

As indicated, in the pure system, negatively charged interstitial hydrogen, H_i^- , moves along the center of the interstitial channel (Fig. 3 (b)). The presence of the impurity atom disrupts this movement and $\overrightarrow{H_i}$ is strongly attracted toward the raw of atoms where the impurity is located. Except for diffusion of H_i^- beside Mn, which gives the same diffusion barrier as in the pure $MgH₂$, we found that the diffusion barriers close to the other two impurities are higher. The diffusion barriers are also much higher in all the other investigated directions (Table 1). As for H_i^+ , the positively charged interstitial hydrogen in the pure system tends to move by forming a bond with the nearest hydrogen atoms. The TM impurities additionally obstruct this movement and the diffusion barriers in the doped systems are also higher.

The presence of some TM impurities (up to 5 at%) in some specific local configurations has been shown to improve the diffusion of hydrogen in MgH_2 due to the shift in the position of the intrinsic Fermi level 16,21 . Furthermore, for Ti and Fe it was shown that such shifts can be expected only in the case where metals occupy interstitial positions in the $MgH₂$ lattice, and not when substitution occurs²¹. The results presented in this work are related to the effect of substitutional TM in the MgH² lattice, and therefore they provide a direct insight into the isolated effect of local interaction between the TM and hydrogen-related defects of interest for diffusion.

Our results could explain some puzzling experimental observations, such as that the positive effects of TM doping, primarily related to lowering of hydrogen desorption temperature, were found to decline with cycling¹⁵ or increased concentration of TM dopants in $MgH₂$ ³⁶. The calculated activation energies for the various diffusion paths close to the TM impurity in $MgH₂$ show that doping with Ti, Mn and Fe could influence the overall hydrogen diffusion in a negative way. With increased doping concentration, the transport properties of the hydrogen related defects close to the impurity site become more important. Therefore, our results suggest that larger concentrations of these transition metals in the $MgH₂$ crystal cell as well as synthesis methods that favor substitutional TM implementation, should not be expected to improve the hydrogen desorption properties of magnesium hydride.

7 Conclusions

In summary, we have reported on the effects of TM doping on the microscopical processes of hydrogen diffusion in $MgH₂$. The investigated Ti, Mn and Fe dopants induce a sizable inward relaxation of the hydrogen atoms in the nearest shells. In parallel with this structural change, the diffusion of hydrogen vacancies and interstitial H atoms close to the impurities is significantly altered with respect to the undoped system. For

the most mobile positively charged vacancy and negatively charged interstitial hydrogen in $MgH₂$, our calculations suggest that Ti, Mn and Fe serve as centers that locally slow down the diffusion of hydrogen. Our results suggest that the experimental search for suitable ways of introducing TM dopants should be such to avoid substitutional TM incorporation in MgH² (i.e., larger concentrations of transition metals in the MgH² crystal cell or synthesis methods that favor such TM incorporation in the crystal cell). At the same time, the obtained results might point to one of the reasons why the initial beneficial effect of TM doping on the $MgH₂$ desorption properties often declines upon cycling.

The results of this study suggest that the reason for the experimentally determined better hydrogen diffusion upon introducing TM additions in MgH_2 should be looked for elsewhere, and not in the nature of TM-H interaction. Some of the possible explanations remain the indirect impact of the dopants on the Fermi level shift and the pure mechanical destabilization of the rutile crystal structure by the dopants.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the help of the Serbian Ministry of Science and Education, under Grant No. ON171001.

References

- 1 I. Jain, C. Lal and A. Jain, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2010, **35**, 5133–5144.
- 2 J. Fernndez and C. Snchez, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2002, **340**, 189 – 198.
- 3 B. Sakintuna, F. Lamari-Darkrim and M. Hirscher, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2007, **32**, 1121 – 1140.
- 4 J. Qu, Y. Liu, G. Xin, J. Zheng and X. Li, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 5908– 5912.
- 5 A. V. Kuklin, A. A. Kuzubov, P. O. Krasnov, A. O. Lykhin and L. V. Tikhonova, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2014, **609**, 93 – 99.
- 6 M. Chen, Z.-Z. Cai, X.-B. Yang, M. Zhu and Y.-J. Zhao, *Surface Science*, 2012, **606**, L45 – L49.
- 7 H. Uchida, S. Wagner, M. Hamm, J. Krschner, R. Kirchheim, B. Hjrvarsson and A. Pundt, *Acta Materialia*, 2015, **85**, 279 – 289.
- 8 A. Grzech, U. Lafont, P. C. M. M. Magusin and F. M. Mulder, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2012, **116**, 26027–26035.
- 9 T. Liu, C. Wang and Y. Wu, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2014, **39**, 14262 – 14274.
- 10 M. Zhu, Y. Lu, L. Ouyang and H. Wang, *Materials*, 2013, **6**, 4654–4674.
- 11 J. Huot, G. Liang and R. Schulz, *Applied Physics A*, 2001, **72**, 187–195.
- 12 J. M. Reich, L.-L. Wang and D. D. Johnson, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2014, **118**, 6641–6649.
- 13 S. A. Shevlin and Z. X. Guo, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2013, **117**, 10883–10891.
- 14 S. Zheng, Z.-P. Li and L. A. Bendersky, *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 6968–6974.
- 15 L. Berlouis, E. Cabrera, E. Hall-Barientos, P. Hall, S. Dodd, S. Morris and M. Imam, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2000, **305**, 82 – 89.

6 | Journal Name, 2010, [vol], 1-6

- 16 S. Tao, W. Kalisvaart, M. Danaie, D. Mitlin, P. Notten, R. van Santen and A. Jansen, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2011, **36**, 11802 – 11809.
- 17 E. Germn, V. Verdinelli, C. R. Luna, A. Juan and D. Sholl, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2014, **118**, 4231–4237.
- 18 K. Batalovic, J. Radakovic, J. Belosevic-Cavor and V. Koteski, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 12356–12361.
- 19 Z. Wang, X. Guo, M. Wu, Q. Sun and Y. Jia, *Applied Surface Science*, 2014, **305**, 40 – 45.
- 20 S. Hao and D. S. Sholl, *Applied Physics Letters*, 2009, **94**, 171909.
- 21 A. Roy, A. Janotti and C. G. Van de Walle, *Applied Physics Letters*, 2013, **102**, 033902.
- 22 M. S. Park, A. Janotti and C. G. Van de Walle, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2009, **80**, 064102.
- 23 A. Borgschulte, J. H. Rector, H. Schreuders, B. Dam and R. Griessen, *Applied Physics Letters*, 2007, **90**, 071912.
- 24 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, **140**, A1133–A1138.
- 25 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, 54, 11169-11186.
- 26 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.
- 27 J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh and C. Fiolhais, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1992, **46**, 6671–6687.
- 28 S. R. Bahn and K. W. Jacobsen, *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, 2002, **4**, 56–66.
- T. Moriwaki, Y. Akahama, H. Kawamura, S. Nakano and K. Takemura, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 2006, **75**, 074603.
- 30 P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen and O. K. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, 49, 16223–16233.
- 31 G. Henkelman, B. P. Uberuaga and H. Jnsson, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2000, **113**, 9901–9904.
- 32 S. Giusepponi and M. Celino, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2013, **38**, 15254 – 15263.
- 33 B. P. Mamula, J. G. Novaković, I. Radisavljević, N. Ivanović and N. Novakovic,´ *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2014, **39**, 5874 – 5887.
- 34 M. Yamaguchi and E. Akiba, *Material Science and Technology*, New York: VCH, 1994, vol. 3B, ch. Ternary Hydrides, p. 333.
- 35 S. Hao and D. S. Sholl, *Applied Physics Letters*, 2008, **93**, 251901.
- 36 P. B. Amama, J. T. Grant, J. E. Spowart, P. J. Shamberger, A. A. Voevodin and T. S. Fisher, *Journal of Materials Research*, 2011, **26**, 2725–2734.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]